

THE VIRTUAL FUNDAMENTAL CLASS FOR THE MODULI SPACE OF SURFACES OF GENERAL TYPE

YUNFENG JIANG

ABSTRACT. We suggest a construction of obstruction theory on the moduli stack of index one covers over the moduli stack of surfaces of general type. Comparing with the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack of a semi-log-canonical surface, we define the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack. The lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack only has locally complete intersection singularities.

We construct the moduli stack of lci covers over the moduli stack of surfaces of general type and a perfect obstruction theory on it. The perfect obstruction theory induces a virtual fundamental class on the Chow group of the moduli stack of surfaces of general type. Thus our construction proves a conjecture of Sir Simon Donaldson for the existence of virtual fundamental class. A tautological invariant is defined by taking integration of the power of first Chern class for the CM line bundle on the moduli stack over the virtual fundamental class. This can be taken as generalization of the tautological invariants defined by the integration of tautological classes over the moduli space \overline{M}_g of stable curves to the moduli space of stable surfaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Background	2
1.2. The index one cover	3
1.3. Singularities of the index one cover	4
1.4. The lci cover	4
1.5. The stack of index one covers	5
1.6. The stack of lci covers	6
1.7. The virtual fundamental class	7
1.8. Tautological invariants	10
1.9. Examples	10
1.10. Future directions	10
1.11. Outline	11
1.12. Convention	11
Acknowledgments	12
2. Preliminaries on perfect obstruction theory	12
2.1. Perfect obstruction theory	12
2.2. Bundle stack	12
2.3. Intrinsic normal cone	13
2.4. Infinitesimal obstruction theory	13
2.5. Virtual fundamental class	14
2.6. Moduli space of projective Deligne-Mumford stacks	15
3. Moduli stack of surfaces of general type	16
3.1. KSBA moduli space of surfaces with s.l.c. singularities	16
3.2. Moduli of surfaces of general type with a finite group action	17
4. Perfect obstruction theory and virtual fundamental class	22
4.1. The moduli stack of index one covers	22

4.2. Obstruction theory	25
4.3. The moduli stack of lci covers	27
4.4. Perfect obstruction theory and virtual fundamental class	38
5. CM line bundle and tautological invariants	42
5.1. CM line bundle on the moduli stack	42
5.2. Tautological invariants	43
6. Examples	43
6.1. Moduli of quintic surfaces	43
6.2. Donaldson's example on sextic hypersurfaces	47
6.3. Short discussion on moduli stack of sextic surfaces	52
References	54

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** The Deligne-Mumford moduli space \overline{M}_g of stable curves of genus $g \geq 2$ is a smooth projective Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension $3g - 3$. It can be taken as the Deligne-Mumford compactification of moduli space of general type algebraic curves by adding nodal curves on the boundary. This moduli stack, together with its variation $\overline{M}_{g,n}$, the moduli space of stable curves of genus g with n -marked points, has been a rich geometric object with relations to many other theories in mathematics and physics.

There exists a universal family $\overline{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$, such that one can pushforward the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\overline{M}_{g,1}/\overline{M}_g}$ to get tautological class called the kappa class on \overline{M}_g . There exist other tautological classes like Hodge classes by taking the Chern classes of the Hodge bundle of \overline{M}_g . The study of the tautological ring $R^*(\overline{M}_g)$ or $R^*(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is a hot subject, see [15], [53], [54] and the references therein.

The integration of the tautological classes over the fundamental class $[\overline{M}_g]$ and $[\overline{M}_{g,n}]$ gives rise to interesting tautological invariants, which were studied in decades, for instance, the Witten's conjecture and Kontsevich's theorem. If X is a geometric target and let $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli stack of stable maps $(f : C \rightarrow X)$ from genus g , n -marked point curve C to X , then $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ is a singular Deligne-Mumford stack and admits a perfect obstruction theory [43], [6]. The Gromov-Witten invariants are defined using the virtual fundamental class of the perfect obstruction theory on $\overline{M}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$, see [5].

In this paper we work on the moduli stack of general type surfaces, which is the two dimensional analogue of the moduli space of stable curves. The compactification of moduli stack of general type surfaces is achieved by [36], [1], called the KSBA compactification by adding surfaces with semi-log-canonical singularities on the boundary. Semi-log-canonical surfaces are the surface analogue of nodal curves in dimension one. More details can be found in Kollar's book [38]. Let us fix invariants $K^2 := K_S^2$, $\chi := \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$ for a general type surface S , and let $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$ be the moduli stack of semi-log-canonical surfaces S with invariants K^2, χ . The moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$ in this paper is obtained from the moduli functor of Q-Gorenstein deformation families of semi-log-canonical surfaces. From [38], this moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli space.

In [13] about the Fredholm topology and enumerative geometry, Donaldson gave two premises in the paper:

(1) There exists a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}]^{\text{vir}} \in H_{\text{vd}}(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}, \mathbb{Q})$ by the theory of Behrend-Fantechi and Li-Tian, [6], [43].

(2) The Miller-Mumford-Morita (MMM)-classes can be extended to $H^*(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}, \mathbb{Q})$.

Donaldson studied the tautological invariants defined by integrating the Miller-Mumford-Morita (MMM)-classes over the virtual fundamental classes $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}]^{\text{vir}}$ in an example. This is a very interesting invariant defined by the complex structures of general type surfaces.

In this paper we provide a rigorous construction of virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}]^{\text{vir}}$ for the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$. We construct a perfect obstruction theory on the moduli stack $M^{\text{lci}} := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}}$ of lci covers over the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$. We also classify the situations where if the moduli space exists, it will not admit Behrend-Fantechi and Li-Tian style virtual fundamental class. We give a partial extension of the MMM-classes to $H^*(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}, \mathbb{Q})$ using the so called CM line bundle on $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$. Thus we give an affirmative answer to Donaldson's first premise at least for nice moduli stacks; and also prove Donaldson's conjecture for the existence of virtual fundamental class in his example.

1.2. The index one cover. Let S be a projective surface, and ω_S be the dualizing sheaf. From [36, Definition 4.17] and [36, Theorem 4.24], a Cohen-Macaulay projective surface S is semi-log-canonical (s.l.c.) if it has only normal crossing singularities in codimension one, all the other singularities are finite set of isolated points, and there exists some $N > 0$ such that $\omega_S^{[N]} := (\omega_S^{\otimes N})^{\vee\vee}$ is invertible, see §3.1 and Definition 3.1 for the formal definition. The minimal model program on surfaces and the definition of s.l.c. singularities in Remark 3.2 imply that the integers N exist.

Let (S, P) be a s.l.c. surface germ. The index of $P \in S$ is, by definition, the least integer $N > 0$ such that $\omega_S^{[N]}$ is invertible. After fixing an isomorphism $\theta : \omega_S^{[N]} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$, each semi-log-canonical germ (S, P) defines an index one cover

$$Z := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_S \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_S^{[N-1]}) \rightarrow S$$

under \mathbb{Z}_N -action, where the multiplication is given by the isomorphism θ , see §3.1 for more details. The surface Z is Gorenstein, which implies ω_Z is invertible. The index one cover is uniquely determined by the étale topology. All of these finite semi-log-canonical germs (which gives the stack $[Z/\mathbb{Z}_N]$) glue to define a Deligne-Mumford stack $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ which is called the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack. The dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}$, which is étale locally given by the \mathbb{Z}_N -equivariant ω_Z , is invertible.

Let T be a scheme. Around the singularity germ (S, P) , a deformation S/T is called Q-Gorenstein if there is a \mathbb{Z}_N -equivariant deformation \mathcal{Z}/T of Z whose quotient is S/T . Let $\omega_{S/T}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf of S/T . We fix notations

$$\omega_{S/T}^{[N]} := (\omega_{S/T}^{\otimes N})^{\vee\vee} = i_* \omega_{S^0/T}^{\otimes N}$$

where $i : S^0 \hookrightarrow S$ is the inclusion of the Gorenstein locus of S/T , which is the locus where $\omega_{S/T}$ is invertible, see [22, §3.1] and [36, §5.4]. From Hacking [22, §3.2], let S/T be a Q-Gorenstein deformation family of s.l.c. surfaces and $P \in S$, then \mathcal{Z} is given by

$$\mathcal{Z} := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_{S/T} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_{S/T}^{[N-1]})$$

where the multiplication is given by fixing a trivialization of $\omega_{S/T}^{[N]}$ at the point P . The canonical covering \mathcal{Z} of $P \in S/T$ is uniquely determined in the étale topology. The local quotient stacks $[\mathcal{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_N]$ given by S/T glue to give the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S}/T which is a flat family over T from [22, Lemma 3.5].

Let A be a \mathbf{k} -algebra and J be a finite A -module. If S/A is a family of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of S over A and \mathfrak{S}/A the family of the corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks, we let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}/A}^\bullet$ be the cotangent complex of \mathfrak{S}/A in [29], [30]. We define

$$T_{\text{QG}}^i(S/A, J) := \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}/A}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_A J).$$

From [22, Proposition 3.9], the set of isomorphism classes of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of S_0/A_0 over $A_0 + J$ is canonically isomorphic to $T_{\text{QG}}^1(S_0/A_0, J)$. Let $A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_0$ be extensions such that the kernel of $A' \rightarrow A$ is J , then there exists a canonical element $\text{Ob}(S/A, J) \in T_{\text{QG}}^2(S/A, J)$ called the obstruction class such that it vanishes if and only if there exists a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation S'/A' over A' .

1.3. Singularities of the index one cover. Let S be a s.l.c. surface. From [36, Theorem 4.23, Theorem 4.24], the s.l.c. surface singularities of S , except the normal crossing singularities in codimension one, are all isolated singularities as follows: finite group quotient surface singularities, simple elliptic singularities, cusp singularities, degenerate cusp singularities, $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of simple elliptic singularities, and \mathbb{Z}_2 quotients of cusps, and degenerate cusps. We refer the readers to the proof of Proposition 3.7 for a list the s.l.c. singularities.

From [36, Proposition 3.10], Kollar-Shepherd-Barron proved that if the quotient singularities admit \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothings, then they must be class T -singularities, see §1.12 for the definition. Therefore the index one covers of such singularities have A_n type singularities, which are l.c.i. For $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients $(S, x)/\mathbb{Z}_N$, ($N = 2, 3, 4, 6$) of the simple elliptic singularities, and \mathbb{Z}_2 quotients $(S, x)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ of cusps, and degenerate cusps, the index one covers are given by the germs (S, x) .

Thus for a s.l.c. surface S , the possible singularities of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S} are l.c.i. singularities, simple elliptic singularities, cusps, and degenerate cusp singularities. This is one of the key new ideas for the construction in the paper.

For l.c.i. singularity germs (S, x) , the local tangent sheaves $\mathcal{T}^q(S) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. The simple elliptic singularity, the cusp, or the degenerate cusp singularity germ (S, x) who has embedded dimension ≤ 4 is l.c.i., see [41, Theorem 3.13] and [60]. But if the simple elliptic singularity, the cusp, or the degenerate cusp singularity germ (S, x) has embedded dimension ≥ 5 , [41, Theorem 3.13] and [60] show that these singularities are never l.c.i. When the embedded dimension of the germ (S, x) is ≥ 6 , then the higher tangent spaces $\mathcal{T}^q(S)$ for $q \geq 0$ are not zero, see [32]. From local to global spectral sequence the higher obstruction spaces $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ do not vanish for $i \geq 3$.

1.4. The lci cover. For the simple elliptic singularity, the cusp, or the degenerate cusp singularity (S, x) with embedded dimension ≥ 5 on a s.l.c. surface S , we introduce the universal abelian cover or the discriminant cover (\tilde{S}, x) of S , see [46], [47]. This type of covering is determined by the topological type of the link Σ of the germ singularity. The link Σ is the boundary of a small neighborhood $U \subset S$ around the singular point x . For the link Σ , we work over the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} .

If the singularity (S, x) is the Gorenstein simple elliptic singularity, cusp or degenerate cusp singularity, then the link Σ , taken as a closed oriented 3-manifold, is not a \mathbb{Q} -homology sphere. The link Σ is a Seifert fibered 3-manifold (i.e., a circle bundle over the torus T^2) when (S, x) is a simple elliptic singularity, and a T^2 -bundle over the circle S^1 when (S, x) is a cusp singularity. We have $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus D$ when (S, x) is a simple elliptic singularity; and $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus D$ when (S, x) is a cusp singularity, where D is the torsion subgroup of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. The discriminant cover of S is determined by the surjective morphism $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus D \rightarrow D$ up to automorphisms of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$. In [46, Proposition 4.1 (2)], Neumann and Wahl constructed a finite cover (\tilde{S}, x) of S with transformation group D' so that (\tilde{S}, x) is a l.c.i. cusp (actually a hypersurface cusp). The finite transformation group D' , which is in general different from D , maybe obtained from the discriminant group D . More details can be found in §4.3.1 and [46, Proposition 4.1 (2)]. In this case the cover works in analytic topology. Note that [46] only constructed the case of cusp singularities, but the simple elliptic singularity case is similar. The key issue is that (\tilde{S}, x) is l.c.i.

If the germ singularity (S, x) is the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotient of a simple elliptic singularity, or the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of a cusp and degenerate cusp singularity, then it is a rational singularity since the exceptional curves of a good resolution of (S, x) is a tree of rational curves. Note that the cyclic quotient of a simple elliptic singularity (S, x) maybe still a simple elliptic singularity. In this case we come back to the situation above. Thus in the case that the cyclic quotient of (S, x) is a rational singularity, the link Σ of the singularity is a \mathbb{Q} -homology sphere. Therefore $D = H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finite abelian group, and there exists a surjective map $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow D$. There exists a universal abelian cover (\tilde{S}, x) of S with transformation group D which is a Galois cover, see [47]. The universal abelian cover has only l.c.i. singularities. The map $(\tilde{S}, x) \rightarrow (S, x)$ from the universal abelian cover to S factors through the map $(Z, x) \rightarrow (S, x)$ from the index one cover, since $(Z, x) \rightarrow (S, x)$ is an abelian cover.

For the covers constructed above, the germ (S, x) is an equisingular deformation of $(\tilde{S}/D', x)$ or $(\tilde{S}/D, x)$ depending if the singularity (S, x) has cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -action or not. We consider the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of (S, x) as the D' or D -equivariant deformations of (\tilde{S}, x) , i.e. the deformations of the Deligne-Mumford stack $[\tilde{S}/D']$ or $[\tilde{S}/D]$.

The lci covering construction is canonical on each analytic germ of the singularities considered above. Thus the data of the local lci covers glue to give a Deligne-Mumford stack $\pi^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{G}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$ which is called the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack. The lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{lci}}$ is s.l.c. and only has l.c.i. singularities. In particular, its dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{G}^{\text{lci}}}$ is invertible. This is the second key new idea in this paper.

Suppose that there is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family \mathcal{S}/T , then from [22, Lemma 3.5] there exists a family of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. If there are simple elliptic singularities, cusp and degenerate cusp singularities of local embedded dimension ≥ 5 on the fibers of \mathcal{S}/T , then the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks can be constructed for the fibers. But it is not clear for the author whether the family \mathcal{S}/T can always be lifted to a flat family $\mathfrak{G}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks.

1.5. The stack of index one covers. A s.l.c. surface S is called stable if its dualizing sheaf ω_S is ample. Let G be a finite group. We consider the stable s.l.c. surface together with a finite group G action. The moduli stack $M := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ is defined

by the moduli functor of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation families of stable s.l.c. G -surfaces. In the following all s.l.c. surfaces admit a finite group G -action, and we write s.l.c. G -surfaces just as s.l.c. surfaces. From [39, Theorem 1.1], the stack M is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli scheme.

Hacking's construction of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack in §1.2 is canonical. Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphic classes of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation families of s.l.c. surfaces and the set of isomorphic classes of flat families of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks in §1.2. We construct the moduli stack of index one covers $M^{\text{ind}} := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ over the moduli stack M . We have

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 4.1) *There exists a morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks*

$$f : M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M$$

which is a bijection on the coarse moduli spaces.

The moduli stack M^{ind} of index one covers is a fine moduli Deligne-Mumford stack, therefore there exists a universal family $p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$, which is a projective, flat and relative Gorenstein morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks. Let

$$E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} := R p_*^{\text{ind}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}})[-1]$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$ is the relative cotangent complex of p^{ind} , and $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of p^{ind} which is a line bundle. This is the case of moduli of projective Deligne-Mumford stacks satisfying the condition in Theorem 2.5 (see also [6, Proposition 6.1]). Thus the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow (p^{\text{ind}})^* \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}[1]$ induces an obstruction theory

$$(1.5.1) \quad \phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$$

on M^{ind} , see Theorem 4.7.

In general the obstruction theory $\phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$ is not perfect due to the possible existence of higher obstruction spaces $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ for $i \geq 3$. The vanishing of the obstruction spaces $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ for $i \geq 3$ is necessary for the existence of a Behrend-Fantechi, Li-Tian style perfect obstruction theory.

1.6. The stack of lci covers. Let S/T be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation family of s.l.c. surfaces, and \mathfrak{S}/T be the corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. From §1.4, it is not known whether \mathfrak{S}/T can always be lifted to a flat family $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. But suppose that there is a flat family $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks, then it induces a flat family \mathfrak{S}/T of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks, which in turn induces a flat family S/T of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of s.l.c. surfaces. The lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks locally have the form $[\tilde{S}/D]$ where \tilde{S} is the lci cover of a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp, or a degenerate cusp singularity. The singularities of \tilde{S} are l.c.i. which always admit smoothing. If there is a D equivariant smoothing of \tilde{S} , then it induces a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing for the quotient surface S , see §4.3.4.

Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be one component of the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces such that any \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation family S/T can be lifted to a flat family $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of

lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. We construct the stack $M^{\text{lci}} := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers over $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$. We have

Theorem 1.2. (Theorem 4.23) *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces such that there exists a moduli stack $M^{\text{lci}} := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers over it. Then the morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks*

$$f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$$

factors through the morphism $f : M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M$ and f^{lci} is a bijection on the coarse moduli spaces.

If the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ contains s.l.c. surfaces S with simple elliptic and cusp singularities with local embedded dimension > 5 , then the lci covers work in the analytic topology. Thus in this case the Deligne-Mumford M^{lci} is an analytic stack. In all the other cases, we get an algebraic Deligne-Mumford stack.

The lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$ is different from the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ only when the s.l.c. surface S has simple elliptic singularities, cusps, degenerate cusp singularities, or the cyclic quotients of them with local embedded dimension ≥ 5 . For simple elliptic singularity germ (S, x) with higher embedded dimension, the discriminant cover $(\tilde{S}, x) \rightarrow (S, x)$ (with transform group D') always has lower embedded dimensions, hence must be smoothable. Thus there exists Gorenstein smoothing of (S, x) which is induced from the D' -equivariant smoothing of (\tilde{S}, x) . Also the lci cover $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ of S has only l.c.i. singularities, thus is smoothable. This means that there should exist moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers such that it contains the discriminant cover $(\tilde{S}, x) \rightarrow (S, x)$ mentioned above.

More interesting case is the cusp singularity germ (S, x) . From Looijenga conjecture (now a theorem) in [14], [21], [45], a cusp singularity (S, x) is smoothable if and only if the resolution cycle E of its dual cusp is an anti-canonical divisor on a smooth rational surface. Consider the lci cover $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ with transformation group D , we hope that the equivariant version of the above result also holds. Thus it is interesting to prove the equivariant Looijenga conjecture for cusp singularities and construct explicit moduli stacks of lci covers.

1.7. The virtual fundamental class. Consider the stack $M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ which is a fine moduli stack, there exists a universal family $p^{\text{lci}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M^{\text{lci}}$ which is a projective, flat and relative Gorenstein morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks. Let

$$E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} = R p_{*}^{\text{lci}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}})[-1]$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$ is the relative cotangent complex of p^{lci} , and $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of p^{lci} which is a line bundle. Thus from Theorem 2.5 (see also [6, Proposition 6.1]), the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow (p^{\text{lci}})^* \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}[1]$ induces an obstruction theory

$$(1.7.1) \quad \phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$$

on M^{lci} .

Since the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ has only l.c.i. singularities, its higher obstruction spaces $\tilde{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) := \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}})$ vanish when $i \geq 3$. The complex $E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$ is a perfect complex with perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$.

Here is the main result in the paper:

Theorem 1.3. (Theorem 4.26) Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with invariants K^2, χ , and $f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M$ the moduli stack of lci covers over M . Then the obstruction theory $\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}$ in (1.7.1) is a perfect obstruction theory in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi. Therefore it induces a virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}),$$

where the virtual dimension is

$$\text{vd} = \dim(H^1(S, T_S)^G) - \dim(H^2(S, T_S)^G)$$

for a smooth surface $S \in M$. In the case that $G = 1$, we have

$$\text{vd} = 10\chi - 2K^2.$$

Let $f^{\text{lci}} : \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the canonical morphism between these two Deligne-Mumford stacks. The morphism f^{lci} is proper and is not necessary representable, but it is a bijection on the coarse moduli spaces. From [65, Definition 3.6 (iii)], we define

$$(1.7.2) \quad [\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G]^{\text{vir}} := f_*^{\text{lci}} \left([\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}]^{\text{vir}} \right) \in A_{\text{vd}}(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G)$$

to be the virtual fundamental class of the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$. Note that the virtual fundamental class is a cycle in the Chow group with \mathbb{Q} -coefficient.

The main Theorem 1.3 induces following corollaries. Let us introduce a condition:

Condition 1.4. The simple elliptic singularity, the cusp, and the degenerate cusp singularity, or the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of the simple elliptic singularity, the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotients of the cusp and degenerate cusp singularity on the s.l.c. surface S have at most local embedded dimension 4.

If Condition 1.4 holds, then all the simple elliptic singularities, cusp and degenerate cusp singularities in a s.l.c. surface are l.c.i. singularities. Therefore we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.5. (Corollary 4.27) Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with invariants K^2, χ . If all the s.l.c. surfaces in M satisfy the Condition 1.4, then the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers is the same as the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ and the obstruction theory for the moduli stack $M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers in (1.5.1) is perfect in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi. Thus it induces a virtual fundamental class

$$[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}).$$

A Kawamata-log-terminal (k.l.t.) surface S is a projective surface with only cyclic quotient singularities. We have:

Corollary 1.6. (Corollary 4.2, Corollary 4.28) Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with invariants K^2, χ . If the moduli stack M consists of k.l.t. surfaces, then the moduli stack $M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers is the same as the moduli stack M^{ind} , which is isomorphic to the moduli stack M .

Moreover the obstruction theory for the moduli stack $M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers in (1.5.1) is perfect in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi, and is the same as the perfect obstruction theory on M^{lci} in (1.7.1).

Remark 1.7. *The moduli stack of k.l.t. surfaces is open inside the moduli stack of s.l.c. surface. It is therefore interesting to find examples such that the k.l.t. condition is also closed. It seems the example $\overline{M}_{1,3}$ in [18] gives such an example.*

Let S be a surface with only locally complete intersection singularities. Then S is Gorenstein and ω_S is invertible. In particular, the index one cover and the lci cover are all S itself. Thus if the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ consists of l.c.i. surfaces, then the moduli stacks $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi'}^{\text{lci}, G}$, $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ and $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ are all the same and the universal family $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ is projective, flat and relatively Gorenstein, i.e., the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is a line bundle. Then we have:

Corollary 1.8. (Corollary 4.29) *If the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ only consists of l.c.i. surfaces, then M admits a perfect obstruction theory*

$$\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$$

in the sense of [6], where

$$E_M^\bullet = R p_* (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}/M})[-1]$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet$ is the relative cotangent complex of p . Therefore the perfect obstruction theory induces a virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(M)$. This proves Donaldson's conjecture for the existence of virtual fundamental class in his example [13, §5].

Our main result Theorem 1.3 shows that for the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ obtained from \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations, the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers admits a virtual fundamental class. This provides a strong evidence on Donaldson's conjecture for the existence of virtual fundamental class for a large class of moduli stacks of surfaces of general type. In practice people hope that there are many examples where the boundary divisors of the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ consist of only l.c.i. surfaces, see for examples $\overline{M}_{1,3}^{\text{Gor}}$ and $\overline{M}_{1,2}^{\text{Gor}}$ for the moduli stacks of Gorenstein surfaces in [17], and Donaldson's example in §6.2. Note that the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{1,3}^{\text{Gor}}$ and $\overline{M}_{1,2}^{\text{Gor}}$ are open substacks in the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{1,3}$ and $\overline{M}_{1,2}$. Actually for the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ obtained from \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations, the boundary divisors may only contain the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of class T -singularities. Almost for all of the known examples for $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ in the literature the boundary divisors were constructed using \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of class T -singularities, i.e., using the deformation of the corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. In this case the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers admits a virtual fundamental class. An interesting example is given by the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{1,3}$ of s.l.c. surfaces with $K^2 = 1, \chi = 3$ in [18], where some boundary divisors and other irreducible components in $\overline{M}_{1,3}$ are explicitly constructed by deformation of class T -singularities. It is hoped that the explicit components constructed in [18] completely determine the stack $\overline{M}_{1,3}$.

It is therefore interesting to construct explicit examples of the moduli stack of lci covers using birational geometry techniques. If some component of the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ does not admit a lifting by a moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers, then there may exist cusp or degenerate cusp singularities with higher embedded dimension whose Gorenstein deformation can not be obtained from the deformation of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. For instance, there may exist cusp singularities (S, x) with higher embedded dimension such that

there are boundary divisors in the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ which is given by the Gorenstein deformation of (S, x) , but can not be obtained from the deformation of the corresponding lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$. Due to the existence of higher obstruction spaces calculated in [32]. There is no Li-Tian, Behrend-Fantechi style perfect obstruction theory on such components of the moduli stack, hence no such type virtual fundamental class.

On the other hand, if we consider the s.l.c. surfaces with non-Gorenstein deformations, it is likely that for such a s.l.c. surface S , the higher tangent spaces $\mathcal{T}^q(S)$ do not vanish when $q > 3$. A cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$ already has higher tangent spaces. This makes the higher obstruction space non-vanishing. A formula of higher tangent spaces $\mathcal{T}^q(S)$ for $q \geq 3$ are given in [61], [32] for rational surface singularities and minimally elliptic singularities. If there is a connected component of the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$ of general type surfaces with invariants K^2, χ obtained from non-Gorenstein deformations, the non-vanishing higher obstruction spaces imply that a Li-Tian, Behrend-Fantechi style virtual fundamental class should not exist on $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ in this case.

For all of these cases involving higher obstruction spaces, we need key new ideas for the construction of virtual fundamental class. A construction of virtual fundamental class for the moduli space of stable sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds was given in [7], [50] motivated by gauge theory in higher dimensions and symmetric property on the obstruction theory.

1.8. Tautological invariants. Donaldson [13] also asks to extend the MMM-classes (tautological classes) to the cohomology $H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ for $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$, the KSBA compactification of G -equivariant semi-log-canonical surfaces. In algebraic geometry the CM line bundle on M has been proved to be ample by Patakfalvi and Xu in [51]. If the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ admits a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G]^{\text{vir}}$, we use CM line bundle to define the tautological invariant on $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ by integration of the power of Chern classes of the CM line bundle over the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G]^{\text{vir}}$ in (1.7.2). This can be taken as generalization of the tautological invariants on \overline{M}_g to the moduli space of stable surfaces.

1.9. Examples. We calculate some examples in this paper. The first one is the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ of numerical quintic surfaces. We calculate the virtual fundamental class for the two irreducible components of the moduli stack containing quintic surfaces and type IIa surfaces in [26]. We assume that in the KSBA compactification \overline{P} of these two irreducible components, the boundary divisors only consists of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of class T -singularities. The second is Donaldson's example on the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{24,11}^G$ of sextic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 with a finite group action. We prove Donaldson's conjecture in [13, §5] for the existence of virtual fundamental class for $\overline{M}_{24,11}^G$. We also give a short discussion on the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ of numerical minimal general type sextic surfaces with $K_S^2 = 24, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 11$ without any finite group action. The coarse moduli space of this moduli stack is a scheme with wrong dimension. We discuss the virtual fundamental class for this moduli stack, although we can not fully understand its construction.

1.10. Future directions. In order to construct a virtual fundamental class for all the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces (especially the stack given by non-Gorenstein deformations), we have to find a way to control the higher obstructions given by the higher tangent spaces of the s.l.c. singularities. Therefore based on the

calculation in [61], [32] it is interesting to find a key new idea to control such higher obstructions. It is also interesting to find explicit examples for the moduli stack of lci covers, where studying the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing of simple elliptic singularities, cusps and degenerate cusp singularities is essential.

As Donaldson [13] suggested, it is interesting to study the whole moduli stack $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ of sextic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 , and the corresponding tautological invariants. Other direction is the moduli stack of log general type surfaces. A log general type surface is given by a pair (S, D) , where S is a projective surface, D is an effective divisor such that (S, D) has only semi-log-canonical singularities, and the \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor $K_S + D$ is ample. The moduli stack of such pairs is also constructed in [38] as a proper Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli scheme. This is the higher dimensional analogue of the moduli space $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ of stable curves of genus g with n -marked points. Moreover, let X be a projective Fano or Calabi-Yau n -fold and $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}(X)$ be the moduli stack of morphisms from s.l.c. surfaces with invariants K^2, χ to X in the sense of [1]. The stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}(X)$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli scheme. One can ask if there exists a perfect obstruction theory on such moduli stacks. If it does, the Gromov-Witten like invariants may be defined to count surfaces in X .

1.11. Outline. Here is a short outline for this paper. In §2 basic materials about perfect obstruction theory in [6], [43] are reviewed. In particular, the case of moduli of projective Deligne-Mumford stacks in [6, §6] is recalled in this section. §3 reviews the moduli stack of semi-log-canonical surfaces, and constructs the moduli stack of semi-log-canonical surfaces with a finite group action. In §4 we construct the moduli stack of index one covers over the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces. In particular we define the moduli stack of lci covers over the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces and construct the perfect obstruction theory on it. The virtual fundamental class on the moduli stack of semi-log-canonical surfaces is constructed by the perfect obstruction theory. In §5 we construct the CM line bundle on the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces. We define the tautological invariant by integrating the power of the first Chern class of the CM line bundle over the virtual fundamental class. Finally in §6 we calculate some examples: the moduli stack of quintic surfaces; and Donaldson's example on sextic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 with a finite group action.

1.12. Convention. We work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ throughout of the paper, although some parts work for any algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. For the notion of algebraic stack and Deligne-Mumford stack, we follow the book [42], [12], and [58]. All Deligne-Mumford stacks are quasi-projective which, from A. Kretsch's equivalence condition, means that they can be embedded into a smooth projective Deligne-Mumford stack. Let $D(\mathcal{O}_M)$ be the derived category of coherent modules on the Deligne-Mumford stack M . The Chow group $A_*(M) := A_*(M, \mathbb{Q})$ of the Deligne-Mumford stack M is under \mathbb{Q} -coefficients as in [65].

We use lci to represent locally complete intersection and l.c.i. for locally complete intersection singularities. Class T -singularities are either rational double point or two dimensional cyclic quotient singularities of the form

$$\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x, y] / \mu_{r^2s},$$

where $\mu_{r^2s} = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and there exists a primitive r^2s -th root of unity η such that the action is given by:

$$\alpha(x, y) = (\eta x, \eta^{dsr-1} y)$$

and $(d, r) = 1$. When $s = 1$, these are called Wahl singularities.

Recall a normal surface singularity (S, x) is a rational singularity if the exceptional divisors of the minimal resolution is a tree of rational curves. Simple elliptic surface singularities, cusp or degenerate cusp surface singularities are defined in [36, Definition 4.20]. A *simple elliptic singularity* is a normal Gorenstein surface singularity such that the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution is a smooth elliptic curve. A normal Gorenstein surface singularity is called a *cusp* if the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution is a cycle of smooth rational curves or a rational nodal curve. A *degenerate cusp* is a non-normal Gorenstein surface singularity S . If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a minimal semi-resolution, then the exceptional divisor is a cycle of smooth rational curves or a rational nodal curve. In this case S has no pinch points and the irreducible components of S have cyclic quotient singularities.

Acknowledgments. Y. J. would like to thank Sir Simon Donaldson for suggesting this project, valuable discussions at Stony Brook, and sharing his paper on enumerative invariants. Y. J. thanks Professors Kai Behrend, Richard Thomas for teaching him perfect obstruction theories, nice and detail comments for the paper, and Professor Jonathan Wahl for the email correspondence on universal abelian cover of surface singularities. Y. J. thanks Yuchen Liu, Song Sun, Chenyang Xu for the correspondence and valuable discussion on semi-log-canonical surfaces and the moduli stack of index one covers. This work is partially supported by NSF, and a Simon Collaboration Grant.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON PERFECT OBSTRUCTION THEORY

We review the basic construction of perfect obstruction theory in [6], [43].

2.1. Perfect obstruction theory. Let M be a quasi-projective Deligne-Mumford stack, which is an algebraic stack over \mathbf{k} in the sense of [3], [42] with unramified diagonal. Let \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet be the cotangent complex of M in the sense of [29], [30].

Definition 2.1. ([6, Definition 4.4]) *An obstruction theory for M is a morphism*

$$\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$$

in the derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_M)$ such that

- (1) $E_M^\bullet \in D(\mathcal{O}_M)$ satisfies the condition that $h^i(E_M^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, and $h^i(E_M^\bullet)$ is coherent for $i = 0, -1$.
- (2) ϕ induces an isomorphism on h^0 and an epimorphism on h^{-1} .

Definition 2.2. ([6, Definition 5.1]) *An obstruction theory $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$ for M is called perfect if E_M^\bullet is of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$.*

2.2. Bundle stack. Any complex $E_M^\bullet \in D(\mathcal{O}_M)$ defines an algebraic stack $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ over M as follows: locally around an étale chart $U \rightarrow M$, $(E_M^\bullet)^\vee|_U$ is a complex written as:

$$(E_M^\bullet)^\vee|_U = [E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots]$$

The stack $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)(U)$ is the groupoid of pairs (P, f) where P is an E_0 -torsor (principle homogeneous E_0 -bundle) on U and $f : P \rightarrow E_1|_U$ is an E_0 -equivariant morphism of sheaves on U . Thus $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ is a fiber category fibered by groupoids which is an algebraic M -stack (called an abelian cone stack).

If $E_M^\bullet \in D(\mathcal{O}_M)$ is perfect, i.e., of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$, then $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ is a vector bundle stack, since étale locally around $U \rightarrow M$, $(E_M^\bullet)^\vee|_U$ is a complex of vector bundles $(E_M^\bullet)^\vee|_U = [E_0 \rightarrow E_1]$. The stack is $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)|_U = [E_1/E_0]$.

2.3. Intrinsic normal cone. Let M be a quasi-projective Deligne-Mumford stack. Étale locally there exists a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow i & & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

where $i : U \rightarrow M$ is an étale morphism and $f : U \rightarrow Y$ is a closed immersion into a smooth scheme Y . There is a cone stack $[C_{U/Y}/T_Y|_U]$ where $C_{U/Y}$ is the normal cone, and $T_Y|_U$ acts on the normal cone $C_{U/Y}$. Whenever we have a morphism $\chi : (U', Y') \rightarrow (U, Y)$ of the local embeddings, which means there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \phi_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_Y \\ U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where ϕ_U is étale and ϕ_Y is smooth, we have $(C_{U/Y} \hookrightarrow N_{U/Y})|_{U'}$ is the quotient of $(C_{U'/Y'} \hookrightarrow N_{U'/Y'})$ by the action of $f'^*T_{Y'/Y}$. Here $N_{U/Y}$ is the normal sheaf of U to Y . Hence the isomorphism

$$\tilde{\chi} : [N_{U'/Y'}/f'^*T_{Y'}] \cong [N_{U/Y}/f^*T_Y]|_{U'}$$

identifies the closed subcone stack

$$\tilde{\chi} : [C_{U'/Y'}/f'^*T_{Y'}] \cong [C_{U/Y}/f^*T_Y]|_{U'}$$

The stacks $[N_{U/Y}/f^*T_Y]$ glue to give the stack $h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet)^\vee)$, which is called the intrinsic normal sheaf; and the stacks $[C_{U/Y}/f^*T_Y]$ glue to give the stack \mathbf{c}_M , which is called the *intrinsic normal cone* of M .

2.4. Infinitesimal obstruction theory. We review a bit for the infinitesimal deformation and obstruction theory for later use.

Let $T \rightarrow \bar{T}$ be a square-zero extension of scheme with ideal J , i.e., $J^2 = 0$. For the Deligne-Mumford stack M , and $g : T \rightarrow M$ a morphism, there is a canonical morphism:

$$(2.4.1) \quad g^*\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_T^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{T/\bar{T}}^\bullet$$

in $D(\mathcal{O}_T)$ by functoriality properties of the cotangent complex. One has $\tau_{\geq 1}\mathbb{L}_{T/\bar{T}}^\bullet = J[1]$, so the homomorphism (2.4.1) can be taken as an element

$$\omega(g) \in \text{Ext}^1(g^*\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet, J).$$

Basic fact about deformation theory says that an extension $\bar{g} : \bar{T} \rightarrow M$ of g exists if and only if $\omega(g) = 0$; and if $\omega(g) = 0$ the extensions form a torsor under $\text{Ext}^0(g^*\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet, J) = \text{Hom}(\Omega_M, J)$.

Let $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$ be an obstruction theory. Then [6, Proposition 2.6] tells us that

$$\phi^\vee : h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet)^\vee) \rightarrow h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$$

is a closed immersion. Since the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_M \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ is embedded into the intrinsic normal sheaf, we have $\phi^\vee(\mathbf{c}_M) \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ is a closed subcone stack. If $T \rightarrow \bar{T}$ is a square zero extension of \mathbf{k} -schemes with ideal

sheaf J and $g : T \rightarrow M$ is a morphism, then $\omega(g) \in \text{Ext}^1(g^*\mathbb{L}_{M'}^\bullet, J)$ and we denote by $\phi^*\omega(g) \in \text{Ext}^1(g^*E_{M'}^\bullet, J)$ the image of the obstruction $\omega(g)$ in $\text{Ext}^1(g^*E_{M'}^\bullet, J)$.

We have the following result in [6].

Theorem 2.3. ([6, Theorem 4.5]) *Let M be a Deligne-Mumford stack. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$ is an obstruction theory.
- (2) $\phi^\vee : h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet)^\vee) \rightarrow h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$ is a closed immersion of cone stacks over M .
- (3) For any (T, \bar{T}, g) as above, the obstruction $\phi^*\omega(g) \in \text{Ext}^1(g^*E_{M'}^\bullet, J)$ vanishes if and only if an extension \bar{g} of g to \bar{T} exists; and if $\phi^*\omega(g) = 0$, the extensions form a torsor under $\text{Ext}^0(g^*E_{M'}^\bullet, J) = \text{Hom}(g^*h^0(E_M^\bullet), J)$.

Remark 2.4. [6, Theorem 4.5] has a fourth equivalent condition by using the stack $h^1/h^0(\mathbb{L}_{T/\bar{T}}^\bullet) = C(J)$ and the morphism $\text{ob}(g) : C(J) \rightarrow g^*\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$. Since we don't use that in this paper, we refer the detail discussion to [6, Theorem 4.5].

2.5. Virtual fundamental class. We construct the virtual fundamental class as in [6, §5] for a perfect obstruction theory $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$. First the intrinsic normal cone

$$\mathbf{c}_M \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0((\mathbb{L}_M^\bullet)^\vee) \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$$

is a closed subcone stack of the vector bundle stack $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$. Then intersection theory of Artin stacks in [40] gives the virtual fundamental class

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} = 0_{h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)}^! (\mathbf{c}_M) \in A_{\text{rk}(E_M^\bullet)}(M)$$

i.e., the intersection of the intrinsic normal cone \mathbf{c}_M with the zero section of the bundle stack $h^1/h^0((E_M^\bullet)^\vee)$. Readers may like to construct the virtual fundamental class by intersection theory on Deligne-Mumford stacks. For this, we take a global resolution of E_M^\bullet ([4, Lemma 2.5]) given by

$$E = [E^{-1} \rightarrow E^0]$$

of two term vector bundles such that $E_M^\bullet \sim E$. Then we let $E_i := (E^{-i})^\vee$ and form $E^\vee = [E_0 \rightarrow E_1]$. We have the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & E_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{c}_M & \longrightarrow & [E_1/E_0] \end{array}$$

where $C \subset E_1$ is a subcone inside the vector bundle E_1 which can be taken as the lift of the intrinsic normal cone \mathbf{c}_M . Then the virtual fundamental class

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} = 0_{E_1}^!(C) \in A_{\text{rk}(E)}(M)$$

is the intersection of the cone C with the zero section of the vector bundle E_1 . The construction of the virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}}$ is a fundamental tool to define enumerative invariants in algebraic geometry for various of moduli spaces M , see [5], [64], [55], [62], etc.

2.6. Moduli space of projective Deligne-Mumford stacks. We recall one result in [6, §6] for the obstruction theory of moduli of projective varieties.

Let $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be a projective, flat morphism between two Deligne-Mumford stacks. The morphism p is called relative Gorenstein if the relative dualizing complex $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet$ is a line bundle ω . Let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet$ be the relative cotangent complex of p and form the complex

$$E_M^\bullet := R p_* (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \otimes \omega) [-1].$$

The Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \rightarrow p^* \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet[1]$ induces a map:

$$\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet.$$

Theorem 2.5. ([6, Proposition 6.1]) *Let $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be a projective, flat and relative Gorenstein morphism of Deligne-Mumford stacks. Assume that the family \mathcal{M} is universal at every point of M . Then $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$ is an obstruction theory for M . Moreover, if E_M^\bullet is perfect, i.e., of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$, then ϕ is a perfect obstruction theory for M .*

Proof. The proof is in [6, Proposition 6.1]. We provide the proof here for completeness and later use.

We show an equivalence condition as in Theorem 2.3. Consider a scheme T and let $f : T \rightarrow M$ be a morphism, then we have a Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{M} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

Let $T \rightarrow \bar{T}$ be a square-zero extension with ideal sheaf J , then the obstruction to extending \mathcal{T} to a flat family over \bar{T} lies in $\text{Ext}^2(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{T}/T}^\bullet, q^* J)$. If the extensions exist, they form a torsor under $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{T}/T}^\bullet, q^* J)$. The flatness of p implies that $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{T}/T}^\bullet = g^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}}^k(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{T}/T}^\bullet, q^* J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}^k(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet, R g_* q^* J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}^k(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet, p^* R f_* J)$$

and also

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}}^k(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet, p^* R f_* J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_M}^{k-1}(E_M^\bullet, R f_* J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_T}^{k-1}(f^* E_M^\bullet, J).$$

The family \mathcal{M} is universal, which means that the fibers of p has finite automorphism group. Therefore E_M^\bullet satisfies $h^i(E_M^\bullet) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $h^i(E_M^\bullet)$ is coherent for $i = 0, -1$. The morphism $\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet$ induces morphisms

$$\phi_k : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}}^k(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{T}/T}^\bullet, q^* J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_T}^{k-1}(f^* E_M^\bullet, J) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_T}^{k-1}(f^* \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet, J).$$

Then if M is a moduli stack, then ϕ_1 is an isomorphism and ϕ_2 is injective. So from Theorem 2.3, ϕ is an obstruction theory.

If E_M^\bullet is perfect which is of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$, then ϕ is a perfect obstruction theory from Definition 2.2. \square

Remark 2.6. *If p is smooth and the relative fiber is of dimension ≤ 2 , then it is not hard to see that E_M^\bullet is a perfect obstruction theory. Since p is smooth, the fibers are all smooth projective surfaces. Therefore the cohomology $H^*(M, (R p_*(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \otimes \omega))^\vee)$ calculates the cohomology $H^*(S, T_S)$ for each fiber S for the morphism p . Let us further assume that all the surfaces in the fibers are of general type which means S has finite automorphism group. Thus M is a Deligne-Mumford stack. The cohomology $H^1(S, T_S)$ classifies the deformation for the surface S ; and $H^2(S, T_S)$ classifies the obstruction. Since there are no higher dimensional cohomology, the obstruction theory is perfect.*

In this paper we apply Theorem 2.5 in the more general setting for the moduli stack where $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ is the universal family of the moduli of surfaces with semi-log-canonical singularities which is called the KSBA compactification of the moduli of surfaces of general type.

3. MODULI STACK OF SURFACES OF GENERAL TYPE

In this section we review the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with only semi-log-canonical (s.l.c.) singularities. The moduli space of varieties of general type has been studied for decades. Our main references are [20], [36], [37], [1], [39], [23], [22] etc.

3.1. KSBA moduli space of surfaces with s.l.c. singularities. Let us recall the notion of stable surfaces. Roughly speaking a stable surface is a surface which can arise as a limit of smooth surfaces under stable reduction.

We fix some notations for the projective surface S . Let K_S be the canonical class of S , which is a Weil divisor class, and let ω_S be the dualizing sheaf. From [56, Appendix to §1], for any integer $r > 0$ we set

$$\omega_S^{[r]} := \mathcal{O}_S(rK_S) = (\omega_S^{\otimes r})^{\vee\vee}.$$

From [56, Appendix to §1, Theorem 7], ω_S is a torsion-free of rank one sheaf. If S is normal, ω_S is a divisorial sheaf which satisfies the equivalent conditions in [56, Appendix to §1, Proposition 2]. In particular, ω_S is reflexive if S is normal.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a projective surface. We say that S has s.l.c. singularities if the following conditions hold:

- (1) The surface S is reduced, Cohen-Macaulay, and has only double normal crossing singularities $(xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^3$ away from a finite set of points.
- (2) We use notations above. Let the pair (S^\vee, Δ^\vee) be the normalization of S with the inverse image of the double curve. Then (S^\vee, Δ^\vee) has log canonical singularities.
- (3) For some $N > 0$ the N -th reflexive tensor power $\omega_S^{[N]}$ for the dualizing sheaf ω_S is invertible.

Remark 3.2. Let us recall the type of surface singularities here. Let (S, P) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein singularity germ, and $f : Y \rightarrow S$ be a good semi-resolution of S in sense of [36, Proposition 4.13]. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that we can write $\omega_Y^N \cong f^* \omega_S^{[N]} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum N a_i E_i)$, where E_i are the exceptional divisors and all a_i are rational. Then (S, P) is called

- (1) semi-canonical if $a_i \geq 0$,
- (2) semi-log-terminal if $a_i > -1$,
- (3) semi-log-canonical if $a_i \geq -1$.

If (S, P) is normal, then we get the definition of canonical, log-terminal and log-canonical singularity with the above inequality unchanged.

Definition 3.3. A stable surface is a connected projective surface S such that S has s.l.c. singularities and the dualizing sheaf ω_S is ample.

Let us recall the index one cover for a surface S with s.l.c. singularities as in [22, §2.3], [37]. Let (S, P) be a s.l.c. surface germ. The index of $P \in S$ is the least integer such that $\omega_S^{[N]}$ is invertible. Fix an isomorphism $\theta : \omega_S^{[N]} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$, we define

$$Z := \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_S} \left(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \omega_S \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_S^{[N-1]} \right)$$

where the multiplication on \mathcal{O}_Z is defined by the isomorphism θ . Then $\pi : Z \rightarrow S$ is a cyclic cover of degree N which is called the index one cover of S . This cover

satisfies the properties that the inverse image of the point P is a single point $Q \in Z$; the morphism π is étale over $S \setminus P$; and the surface Z is Gorenstein, which means that Z is Cohen-Macaulay and the dualizing sheaf ω_Z is invertible. The germ (Z, P) is also s.l.c. This is uniquely determined locally in the étale topology.

Definition 3.4. *Let (S, P) be a s.l.c. surface germ. We say a deformation $(P \in \mathcal{S})/(0 \in T)$ is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein if it is induced by an equivariant deformation of the index one cover of $(P \in S)$. This means there exists a μ_N -equivariant deformation \mathcal{Z}/T of Z whose quotient is \mathcal{S}/T .*

Let us define the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces. Let T be a scheme of finite type over \mathbf{k} . A family of stable surfaces over T is a flat family $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow T$ such that each fiber is a stable surface and \mathcal{S}/T is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein in the sense above, i.e., everywhere locally on \mathcal{S} the family \mathcal{S}/T is induced by an equivariant deformation of the index one cover of the fiber.

Definition 3.5. *Fix two invariants $K^2, \chi \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{K^2, \chi}$ be the functor*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

sending

$$T \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{the groupoid of } \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T \\ \bullet \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T \text{ is a flat family above} \\ \bullet \text{ for each fiber } S_t, t \in T, K_{S_t}^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_t}) = \chi. \end{array} \right\}$$

From [36], [37], [1],

Theorem 3.6. *The functor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is represented by a proper Deligne-Mumford stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}} := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{K^2, \chi}$ of finite type over \mathbf{k} with projective coarse moduli space.*

3.2. Moduli of surfaces of general type with a finite group action. We go further to define moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces with finite group actions.

3.2.1. S.L.C. surfaces with finite group action. Let S be a surface of general type and G a finite group. We consider the action of G on S and form the quotient Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S} = [S/G]$.

Here is one example of surface with a finite group action. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quintic surface $\{x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 = 0\}$. Let $\zeta \in \mu_5$ be a primitive generator of the cyclic group of order 5. Then we set the group action for the group $G = (\mu_5)^2$ with two generators ζ_1, ζ_2 by:

$$\zeta_1 \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (\zeta_1 x_1, \zeta_1^{-1} x_2, x_3, x_4);$$

$$\zeta_2 \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_2, \zeta_2 x_3, \zeta_2^{-1} x_4).$$

Then $[S/G]$ is a quotient surface.

Let S be a stable surface, i.e., surface with only s.l.c. singularities. Then a G -action on S is given by

$$\sigma : G \times S \rightarrow S$$

taken as a homomorphism such that it satisfies the group action conditions.

Proposition 3.7. *Let S be a stable surface with a finite group G -action. We call $[S/G]$ a global quotient surface Deligne-Mumford stack with only s.l.c. singularities. Then the G -action preserves the s.l.c. singularities in the sense that if (S, P) is a s.l.c. germ, then the action locally sends s.l.c. germs to s.l.c. germs.*

Proof. It is a good place to recall the classification of surface s.l.c. singularities in [36, Theorem 4.24]. The s.l.c. surface singularities are exactly:

- (1) The semi-log-terminal singularities;

- (2) The Gorenstein surfaces such that every Gorenstein surface S is either semi-canonical (which is smooth, normal crossing, pinch point or DuVal singularities) or has simple elliptic singularities, cusp, or degenerate cusp singularities;
- (3) $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of simple elliptic singularities;
- (4) \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of cusps and degenerate cusps.

The semi-log-terminal surface singularities are exactly [36, Theorem 4.22, 4.23, 4.24]:

- (1) Quotient of \mathbb{A}_k^2 by Brieskorn [10];
- (2) Normal crossing or pinch points;
- (3) $(xy = 0)$ modulo the group action given by $x \mapsto \zeta^a x, y \mapsto \zeta^b y$, and $z \mapsto \zeta z$, where ζ is a primitive r -th root of unity and $(a, r) = 1, (b, r) = 1$;
- (4) $(xy = 0)$ modulo the group action $x \mapsto \zeta^a y, y \mapsto x$, and $z \mapsto \zeta z$, where ζ is a primitive r -th root of unity and $4|r, (a, r) = 2$;
- (5) $x^2 = zy^2$ modulo the group action given by $x \mapsto \zeta^{1+a} x, y \mapsto \zeta^a y$, and $z \mapsto \zeta^2 z$, where ζ is a primitive r -th root of unity and r odd, and $(a, r) = 1$.

The G -action on S induces the action on s.l.c. germs. If (S, P) and (S, P') are two s.l.c. germs, then the G -action induces a morphism $(S, P) \rightarrow (S, P')$ on the s.l.c. germs which is a G -equivariant morphism under the above classification. \square

3.2.2. \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations. Next we generalize the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of s.l.c. surfaces to the case with finite group actions. Everything is a routine generalization and we only list the basic results.

Definition 3.8. *Let S be a stable surface endowed with a finite group G -action, and (S, P) be a s.l.c. surface germ. A G -equivariant deformation $(P \in \mathcal{S})/(0 \in T)$ is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein if it is induced by an equivariant deformation of the index one cover of $(P \in S)$ compatible with the G -action. This means there exists a μ_N -equivariant deformation \mathcal{Z}/T of Z whose quotient is S/T . Both \mathcal{Z} and S admit G -actions compatible with the local μ_N -action.*

Here is a result in [36] for one-parameter deformation family which automatically holds for G -equivariant deformations.

Lemma 3.9. ([22, Lemma 3.4]) *Let $\mathcal{S}/(0 \in T)$ be a G -equivariant flat family of s.l.c. surface over germ of a curve T . Assume that the generic fiber is canonical, which has only Du Val singularities and the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathcal{S}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. Then \mathcal{S}/T is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein.*

We collect some facts for the G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations. We omit the G -actions. For a flat family \mathcal{S}/T of s.l.c. surfaces, let $\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf. From [36, §5.4], [56, Appdedix to §1] and [22, §3.1], we have

$$\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[N]} := (\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{\otimes N})^{\vee\vee} = i_*(\omega_{S^0/T}^{\otimes N})$$

where $i : S^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the inclusion of the Gorenstein locus, i.e., the locus where the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}$ is invertible.

From [22, Lemma 3.5], if (S, P) is a s.l.c. surface germ of index N and $Z \rightarrow S$ is the index one cover under the cyclic group μ_N -action, let $\mathcal{Z}/(0 \in T)$ be a μ_N -equivariant deformation of Z inducing a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation $\mathcal{S}/(0 \in T)$ of S . Then

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \oplus \omega_{\mathcal{S}/T} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[N-1]})$$

and the multiplication of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is given by fixing a trivialization of $\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[N]}$. If the deformation $\mathcal{S}/(0 \in T)$ admits a G -action, then every power $\omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[i]}$ is endowed

with a G -action and the index one cover is also endowed with a G -action making this $\mathcal{Z}/(0 \in T)$ also G -equivariant.

The index one cover of the s.l.c. germ (\mathcal{S}, P) is uniquely determined in the étale topology. If \mathcal{S} contains finite set of s.l.c. points P_1, \dots, P_l , these data of index one covers locally glue to define a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S}/T which we call the canonical covering (Hacking) stack, or the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack associated with \mathcal{S}/T . The dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}/T}$ is invertible.

Let us collect some deformation and obstruction facts about the canonical covering stacks. We replace T by a \mathbf{k} -algebra A , and consider an infinitesimal extension $A' \rightarrow A$. Let \mathcal{S}/A be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family of s.l.c. surfaces with G -action and \mathfrak{S}/A its index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack.

Definition 3.10. *A deformation of \mathfrak{S}/A over A' is a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S}'/A' which is flat over A' such that $\mathfrak{S}' \times_{\text{Spec } A'} \text{Spec } A \cong \mathfrak{S}$.*

Equivalently a deformation \mathfrak{S}'/A' of \mathfrak{S}/A is a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'}$ of flat A' -algebras on the étale site of \mathfrak{S} such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}'} \otimes_{A'} A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}$. Thus the deformation theory of \mathfrak{S} is controlled by the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}/A}^\bullet$ as in [30]. Let us fix the following notations:

Let A be a \mathbf{k} -algebra and J a finite A -module. For a flat family \mathcal{S}/A of schemes over A let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{S}/A}^\bullet$ be the cotangent complex. Then define:

$$T^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{S}/A}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_A J)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) = \mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{S}/A}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes_A J)$$

The groups $T^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J)$ govern the deformation and obstruction theory of \mathcal{S}/A .

We are interested in the G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation theory of \mathcal{S}/A . Thus for the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family \mathcal{S}/A of s.l.c. surfaces, let \mathfrak{S}/A be family of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks, and $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the map to its coarse moduli space. Define

$$T_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}/A}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_A J)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) = \pi_* \mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}/A}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_A J).$$

We denote by $T_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J)^G$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J)^G$ their G -invariant parts of the extension groups.

The following two results are proved by P. Hacking [22, Proposition 3.7, Theorem 3.9] which automatically work for G -equivariant case:

Proposition 3.11. ([22, Proposition 3.7]) *Let \mathcal{S}/A be a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family of s.l.c. surfaces and \mathfrak{S}/A its index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Consider the infinitesimal extension $A' \rightarrow A$ and \mathcal{S}'/A' a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of \mathcal{S}/A , let \mathfrak{S}'/A' be the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence from the set of isomorphism classes of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of \mathcal{S}/A over A' to the set of isomorphism classes of deformations \mathfrak{S}'/A' over A' .*

Proposition 3.12. *Let \mathcal{S}_0/A_0 be a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family of s.l.c. surfaces and let J be a finite A_0 -module. Then we have:*

- (1) *The set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of \mathcal{S}_0/A_0 over $A_0 + J$ is naturally an A_0 -module and is canonically isomorphic to $T_{\text{QG}}^1(\mathcal{S}/A, J)^G$. Here $A_0 + J$ means the ring $A_0[J]$ with $J^2 = 0$;*
- (2) *Let $A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_0$ be infinitesimal extensions and the kernel of $A' \rightarrow A$ is J . Let \mathcal{S}/A be a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein of \mathcal{S}_0/A_0 . Then*

- (a) There exists a canonical element $\text{ob}(\mathcal{S}/A, A') \in T_{\text{QG}}^2(\mathcal{S}/A, J)^G$ called the obstruction class. It vanishes if and only if there exists a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation \mathcal{S}'/A' of \mathcal{S}/A over A' .
- (b) If $\text{ob}(\mathcal{S}/A, A') = 0$, then the set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations \mathcal{S}'/A' is an affine space underlying $T_{\text{QG}}^1(\mathcal{S}_0/A_0, J)^G$.

Proof. This is a basic result of deformation and obstruction theory of algebraic varieties, see [22, Theorem 3.9] and [30]. \square

3.2.3. *Higher cohomologies of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack.* Let S be a s.l.c. surface, and let $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ be the index one covering Deligne-Mumford (Hacking) stack in §3.2.2. The cohomology $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S) = \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}})$ can be calculated by the local to global spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)) \implies T_{\text{QG}}^{p+q}(S)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S) := \pi_*(\mathcal{E}xt^q(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}))$ and $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ is the map to its coarse moduli space.

Proposition 3.13. *Let S be a s.l.c. surface satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) S is Kawamata-log-terminal (k.l.t.); or
- (2) the possible simple elliptic singularity, the cusp and the degenerate cusp singularity of S , and the possible $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of a simple elliptic singularity, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotients of the cusp and the degenerate cusp singularity of S all have embedded dimension at most 4,

then the higher cohomologies $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S)$ vanish for $i \geq 3$.

Proof. From the classification of semi-log-canonical surface singularities in Proposition 3.7, and known fact in birational geometry, a k.l.t. surface S only has cyclic quotient singularities, cyclic quotients of the normal crossing, and pinch point singularities, or DuVal singularities. Then if the surface S admits a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation, from [36, Proposition 3.10], the cyclic quotient singularities must have the form

$$\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[x, y] / \mu_{r^2 s},$$

where $\mu_{r^2 s} = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and there exists a primitive $r^2 s$ -th root of unity η such that the action is given by:

$$\alpha(x, y) = (\eta x, \eta^{dsr-1} y)$$

and $(d, r) = 1$. Thus the index one cover of S locally has the quotient

$$\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[x, y] / \mu_{rs}$$

given by $\alpha'(x, y) = (\eta' x, (\eta')^{rs-1} y)$, which is an A_{rs-1} -singularity, and therefore l.c.i. The cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ only have two terms concentrated in degrees $-1, 0$. Therefore the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)$ is zero for $q \geq 2$. By the local to global spectral sequence $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$.

If a s.l.c. surface S has a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity with embedded dimension at most 4, then from [41, Theorem 3.13], and [60], these singularities must be locally complete intersections. General s.l.c. surfaces have $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of simple elliptic, cusp and or degenerate cusp singularity, their index one covers \mathfrak{S} locally must be l.c.i. and the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)$ is zero for $q \geq 2$ making the global cohomology $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. \square

Remark 3.14. Recall for a s.l.c. surface S , the tangent sheaves $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)$ satisfy the following properties (see for example [22])

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^0(S) = \mathcal{T}_S$ is the tangent sheaf of S .
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^1(S)$ supports on singular locus of S , which can be calculated as follows: if locally \mathfrak{S} is given by $[V/\mathbb{Z}_N] \rightarrow U$ for an open subset $U \subset S$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^1(S) = \left(p_* \mathcal{E}xt^1(\Omega_V, \mathcal{O}_V) \right)^{\mathbb{Z}_N}$$

where $p : V \rightarrow U$ is the natural morphism.

- (3) $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^2(S)$ supports on the locus of the index one cover Z which is not local complete intersection.
- (4) $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)$ for $q \geq 3$ may support on non-complete intersection singularities of S .

Therefore from the local to global spectral sequence, to determine the higher cohomologies $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S)$, it is sufficient to know $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S)$ for $q \geq 3$ since for any coherent sheaf F the cohomologies $H^p(S, F)$ only survive for $p = 1, 2$. From [60], if a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity has embedded dimension ≥ 5 , then the singularity is definitely not complete intersection. There should exist an example of degenerate cusp singularity (S, p) such that its embedded dimension is ≥ 5 , and the tangent sheaves $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S) \neq 0$ for some $q \geq 3$. It is likely that for a cusp or degenerate singularity germ (S, p) with embedded dimension > 5 , if the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{T}_{\text{QG}}^3(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \neq 0$, see [32]. In this situation, the cohomology spaces $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S)$ are not zero for $i \geq 3$. These higher obstruction spaces for the s.l.c. surface S imply that there is no Behrend-Fantechi style perfect obstruction theory on the moduli stack of surfaces of general type containing s.l.c. surfaces with such type of singularities.

From Remark 3.14, we make the following condition for s.l.c. surfaces:

Condition 3.15. If a s.l.c. surface S has the following surface singularity (S, p) : a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity, or the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of the simple elliptic singularity, and the \mathbb{Z}_2 quotients of a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity, then (S, p) has embedded dimension at most 4.

3.2.4. *The moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces with G -action.* We define the moduli functor of s.l.c. surfaces with a finite group G -action.

Let

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^G := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{K^2, \chi}^G : \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

sending

(3.2.1)

$$T \mapsto \left\{ (f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T \text{ is a } G\text{-equivariant } \mathbb{Q}\text{-Gorenstein deformation} \\ \text{family of s.l.c. surfaces;} \\ \bullet \text{ Conditions (1)-(5) hold for each geometric fiber;} \\ \bullet \text{ For each geometric point } t \in T, \text{ there exists } N > 0 \\ \text{such that the natural map} \\ \omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[N]} \otimes \mathbf{k}(t) \rightarrow \omega_{S_t}^{[N]} \text{ is an isomorphism, where} \\ \omega_{\mathcal{S}/T}^{[N]} = j_*(\omega_{\mathcal{S}_0/T}^{\otimes N}), j : \mathcal{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \text{ is the inclusion of} \\ \text{the locus where } f \text{ is Gorenstein.} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

modulo equivalence. The Conditions (1)-(5) above are given by:

- (1) Each fiber of $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T$ is a reduced projective surface with G -action, i.e., the quotient stack $[S_t/G]$;
- (2) Each S_t is connected with only s.l.c. singularities with a G -action;

- (3) the sheaf $\omega_{S_t}^{[N]}$ which is defined by $\omega_{S_t}^{[N]} = j_*(\omega_{(S_t)_0}^{\otimes N})$ and $j : (S_t)_0 \rightarrow S_t$ is the inclusion of Gorenstein locus of S_t , is a G -equivariant ample line bundle;
- (4) $K_{S_t}^2 = \frac{1}{N^2}(\omega_{S_t}^{[N]} \cdot \omega_{S_t}^{[N]}) = K^2$;
- (5) $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_t}) = \chi$.

Then we have:

Theorem 3.16. *The functor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^G$ is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack $M := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ with projective coarse moduli space.*

Proof. Since here we only add a finite group G -action on all the s.l.c. surfaces in the moduli space, the moduli stack M should exist as a closed substack of $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$, which is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack proved in [37], [2], and [39] as a special case.

Let us make more precise arguments. From [2], [23, Theorem 1.1], after fixing the data K^2, χ , there exists a uniform $N > 0$ such that $\omega_S^{[N]}$ is invertible, i.e., a line bundle for any S in the moduli space. Note that [2] did the case of surfaces which is exactly what we want. [23, Theorem 1.1] proved the case of higher dimensional log general type varieties. Therefore to prove M is a Deligne-Mumford stack, from [12, §4.21], one needs to show that M has representable and unramified diagonal, and there is a smooth surjection from a scheme of finite type to M . The first one is from [39, Proposition 5.8], and the second one is from [39, Proposition 5.11]. The properness is just from the result of [23]. \square

4. PERFECT OBSTRUCTION THEORY AND VIRTUAL FUNDAMENTAL CLASS

In this section we construct an obstruction theory on the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers over one connected component $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ of the moduli of s.l.c. surfaces with a finite group G -action. The obstruction theory is not perfect in general, but in some nice situation such that there is no higher obstruction spaces for the s.l.c. surfaces the obstruction theory is perfect.

Comparing with the moduli stack of index one covers, we construct the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers over the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ such that there is a perfect obstruction theory on $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$. The perfect obstruction theory induces a virtual fundamental class on the Chow group $A_*(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G})$ of $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$.

4.1. The moduli stack of index one covers. Let G be a finite group. Recall from Section 3.2.2, a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation family

$$S \rightarrow T$$

of s.l.c. surfaces is the same as the G -equivariant deformation $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T$ of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. There is a canonical morphism $p : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ which make the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & T & \end{array}$$

commute and S is the coarse moduli space of \mathfrak{S} . Thus the canonical correspondence motivates us to define the moduli functor

$$M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbb{k}} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

which sends

$$T \mapsto \{f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T\}$$

where $\{f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T\}$ represents the isomorphism classes of families of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T$. The coarse moduli space of the family $\{f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T\}$ must satisfy the condition in (3.2.1).

Theorem 4.1. *The functor $M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ has representable and unramified diagonal, therefore is a fine Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli space. Furthermore there is a canonical morphism*

$$f : \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G.$$

The morphism f induces a bijection on the projective coarse moduli spaces.

Proof. Every s.l.c. surface and its index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack admit G -action making the families G -equivariant. In the following we omit the G -action. We first show that the diagonal morphism

$$M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}} \times_{\mathbf{k}} M^{\text{ind}}$$

is representable and unramified. Let $(f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T)$ and $(f' : \mathfrak{S}' \rightarrow T)$ be two objects in $M^{\text{ind}}(T)$, then the isomorphism functor of the two families $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}')$ is represented by a quasi-projective group scheme $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}')$ over T . The reason is as follows. Let $(\overline{f} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T)$ and $(\overline{f}' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow T)$ be the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein families of the corresponding s.l.c. surfaces over T . From [39, Proposition 5.8], the isomorphism functor $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ is represented by a quasi-projective group scheme $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ over T . The canonical morphisms $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathfrak{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ are all Deligne-Mumford. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathfrak{S}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{S}' \end{array}$$

Any isomorphism $\mathfrak{S} \cong \mathfrak{S}'$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S}'$ on the coarse moduli spaces, and any isomorphism $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S}'$ together with an isomorphism $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}^{[N]} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{S}'}^{[N]}$ implies an isomorphism $\mathfrak{S} \cong \mathfrak{S}'$. Therefore the functor $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}')$ is represented by a quasi-projective group scheme $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}')$ and is also unramified over T since its geometric fibers are finite (due to the automorphic group of each fiber \mathfrak{S}_t is finite).

From [39, Proposition 5.11], there is a cover $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ which is a smooth surjective morphism onto M where \mathcal{C} is a scheme of finite type. This is because M is a projective Deligne-Mumford stack. Also from the construction of the moduli functor there is a canonical morphism $p : M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M$ of stacks. Look at the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & M^{\text{ind}} \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow f \\ & & M \end{array}$$

For every $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, the above diagram induces a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & M^{\text{ind}} \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow f \\ & & M \end{array}$$

such that there are families $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow Y$; $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow Y$ where $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow Y$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of the s.l.c. surface S and $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow Y$ is the corresponding family of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. Thus taking as Deligne-Mumford stacks, M^{ind} and M share the same cover \mathcal{C} with only the isotropy automorphic groups different, where the automorphic groups on M^{ind} are probably larger than those on M .

From the above construction, there is a canonical morphism $f : M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M$ sending an index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ to $S \in M$. This morphism f induces a bijection on the coarse moduli spaces since the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S} has coarse moduli space S . \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of the moduli stack of general type surfaces with invariants $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$. If each s.l.c. surface S in M has only k.l.t. singularities, then the moduli stack M^{ind} of index one covers is isomorphic to M .*

Proof. If a s.l.c. surface has at most k.l.t. singularities, then except the l.c.i. singularities, all the other singularities are cyclic quotient singularities. Thus from the construction in Section 3.2.2, the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S} has the form $[Z/\mu_N]$ around the cyclic quotient singularity $P \in S$, where $Z \rightarrow P \in V \subset S$ is the index one cover. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphic classes of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein families S/T of s.l.c. surfaces and the set of isomorphic classes of flat families \mathfrak{S}/T of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. The automorphism group of the stack $[Z/\mu_N]$ is the same as the automorphism group of V . Except the cyclic quotient singularities, \mathfrak{S} and S are isomorphic. Thus the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of S . From the construction of the moduli functor M^{ind} , it is the same as the functor M and M^{ind} is isomorphic to M as Deligne-Mumford stacks. \square

Remark 4.3. *Over the germs (S, x) of $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotients of simple elliptic singularities, \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotients of cusp singularities and degenerate cusp singularities, the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $[S/\mathbb{Z}_N]$ for $N = 2, 3, 4, 6$ may have different automorphic group from the s.l.c. surface S/\mathbb{Z}_N . It is interesting to find the information of the automorphic groups.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of the moduli stack of general type surfaces with invariants $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$. If each s.l.c. surface S in M has only l.c.i. singularities, then the moduli stack M^{ind} of index one covers is isomorphic to M .*

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 4.2. If a s.l.c. surface has at most l.c.i. singularities, it is Gorenstein and the dualizing sheaf ω_S is a line bundle. Thus from the construction in Section 3.2.2, the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S} is just S . Therefore from the construction of the moduli functor M^{ind} , it is the same as the functor M and M^{ind} is the same as M as Deligne-Mumford stacks. \square

4.2. Obstruction theory. Let $M := \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be one connected component of the moduli stack of G -equivariant s.l.c. surfaces with fixed invariants $K_S^2 = K, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$ as in Theorem 3.16. Still from Theorem 3.16 there exists a universal family for the moduli stack

$$p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$$

since the stack is a fine moduli stack. From Theorem 4.1, there also exists a universal family

$$p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$$

and a commutative diagram:

$$(4.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} & \xrightarrow{p^{\text{ind}}} & M^{\text{ind}} \\ \tilde{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{p} & M. \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.5. *The universal family $p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$ is projective, flat and relative Gorenstein. Therefore the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ is invertible.*

Proof. Since p^{ind} is a universal family for the moduli stack M^{ind} , it is flat and projective. The relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ is invertible since it gives the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}$ of the canonical index one covering stack \mathfrak{S}_t for each geometric point $t \in M^{\text{ind}}$ and $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}$ is invertible (due to \mathfrak{S}_t Gorenstein). \square

Remark 4.6. *In general for the universal family $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$, the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is not a line bundle, since the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is not a line bundle on the non-Gorenstein locus.*

Let $\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ be the relative cotangent complex of p^{ind} and we consider

$$E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} := R p_*^{\text{ind}} \left(\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} \right) [-1].$$

Here the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ satisfies the property

$$\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} |_{(p^{\text{ind}})^{-1}(t)} \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t},$$

where the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}$ of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}_t \rightarrow S_t$, which is locally given by $\omega_{S_t}^{[N]}$ at singularity germs, is invertible.

Theorem 4.7. *The complex $E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$ defines an obstruction theory (in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi)*

$$\phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$$

induced by the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} \rightarrow (p^{\text{ind}})^ \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}[1]$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.5, the universal family $p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$ is a projective, flat, relative Gorenstein morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks. Also M^{ind} is a fine moduli stack. Thus $\phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}$ gives an obstruction theory from Theorem 2.5 (also see [6, Proposition 6.1]). For completeness of the detail analysis of local deformation and obstruction theory of s.l.c. surfaces, we include the details here.

The basic observation is that the complex

$$\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} := R p_*^{\text{ind}} \left(\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}} \right)$$

when restricted to a point $t \in M^{\text{ind}}$, calculates the cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{S}_t, T_{\mathfrak{S}_t}) = T_{\text{QG}}^*(\mathfrak{S}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}_t})$ for the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S}_t . Since it is

of general type, $\dim H^0(\mathfrak{S}_t, T_{\mathfrak{S}_t}) = 0$. Over a point $t \in M^{\text{ind}}$, the complex $\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet$ gives

$$\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}|t}^\bullet = Rp_*^{\text{ind}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}_t}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}),$$

and

$$\left(\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}|t}^\bullet\right)^\vee = Rp_*^{\text{ind}}(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}_t}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}_t}).$$

Thus $\left(\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}|t}^\bullet\right)^\vee$ is given by $p_*^{\text{ind}} \mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}_t}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}_t})$ which was studied in [22, §3] and Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.12. Therefore the cohomology $\left(\tilde{E}_{M^{\text{ind}}|t}^\bullet\right)^\vee$ gives

$$T_{\text{QG}}^1(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})^G; \quad T_{\text{QG}}^2(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})^G$$

in Proposition 3.12.

If we have a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_t & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p^{\text{ind}} \\ t = \text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}) & \longrightarrow & M^{\text{ind}} \end{array}$$

Then from Proposition 3.12 the first order infinitesimal Q-Gorenstein deformation of $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}) \in M^{\text{ind}}$ (i.e., the Q-Gorenstein deformation of S_t) is given by $T_{\text{QG}}^1(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})^G$, and the obstruction is given by $T_{\text{QG}}^2(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})^G$. There may exist higher obstruction spaces $T_{\text{QG}}^i(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})^G$ for $i \geq 3$. We make this more precise following Proposition 3.12. Let A be a finitely generated Artinian local \mathbf{k} -algebra, and \mathcal{S}_A/A be a Q-Gorenstein deformation of S over A . Let $\bar{A} \rightarrow A$ be an infinitesimal extension of A with kernel J , and let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the maximal ideal of \bar{A} and assume that $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot J = 0$ (J is a $A/\bar{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathbf{k}$ space). Then there is an obstruction class

$$\text{ob}(\mathcal{S}_A/A, \bar{A}) \in T_{\text{QG}}^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^G \otimes J$$

such that $\text{ob}(\mathcal{S}_A/A, \bar{A}) = 0$ if and only if there exists a Q-Gorenstein deformation $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{A}}$ of \mathcal{S}_A over \bar{A} . Moreover, if $\text{ob}(\mathcal{S}_A/A, \bar{A}) = 0$, then the isomorphism classes of such deformations form a torsor under $T_{\text{QG}}^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)^G \otimes J$.

One can make this argument into a family by considering a scheme $T \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$, and the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_T & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p^{\text{ind}} \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & M^{\text{ind}} \end{array}$$

Let $T \rightarrow \bar{T}$ be a square zero extension with ideal sheaf J . The obstruction to extending \mathcal{M}_T to a flat family over \bar{T} lies in $\text{Ext}^2(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_T/T}^\bullet, q^*J)$ and if the extensions exist, they form a torsor under $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_T/T}^\bullet, q^*J)$. Since we have $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_T/T}^\bullet = g^*\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet$, and p^{ind} is flat,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_T}}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_T/T}^\bullet, q^*J) &= \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}}}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet, Rg_*q^*J) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}}}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet, (p^{\text{ind}})^*Rf_*J). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}}}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet, (p^{\text{ind}})^*Rf_*J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{M^{\text{ind}}}}^{i-1}(E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet, Rf_*J) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{M^{\text{ind}}}}^{i-1}(f^*E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^\bullet, J)$$

where for the first isomorphism we use Grothendieck duality since $(p^{\text{ind}})^!(\mathcal{O}_{M^{\text{ind}}})$ is the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}$ which is invertible.

Since $p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M^{\text{ind}}$ is a universal family for the moduli stack M^{ind} , the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow (p^{\text{ind}})^*\mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}[1]$ defines a morphism

$$\phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet}.$$

From the above analysis, this morphism satisfies Condition (3) in Theorem 2.3. Therefore ϕ^{ind} defines an obstruction theory for M^{ind} in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi. \square

4.3. The moduli stack of lci covers.

4.3.1. *Universal abelian cover of s.l.c. surface germs.* Recall Remark 3.14 in §3.2.3, let S be a s.l.c. surface and $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ the corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Except l.c.i. singularities the germs on the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S} may have simple elliptic singularities, cusp or degenerate cusp singularities of embedded dimension ≥ 5 . Locally the germ singularity is of the form $[Z/\mu_N]$, where $(Z, 0)$ is a germ singularity which is a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity and N is the index. Note that $N = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$.

From the classification result in [36, Theorem 4.24], we consider the simple elliptic singularity, cusp or degenerate cusp singularity $(S, 0)$, and the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotient of simple elliptic singularity $(S, 0)$, \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of cusp singularity or degenerate cusp singularity $(S, 0)$. The \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of $(S, 0)$ is equivalent to the \mathbb{Z}_N -equivariant deformation of $(Z, 0)$.

Let us fix to the surface singularity germ $(S, 0)$. Let

$$(4.3.1) \quad \sigma : X \rightarrow S$$

be a good resolution and $A = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$ be the decomposition of exceptional set $\sigma^{-1}(0) = A$ such that A is a divisor having only simple normal crossings. A divisor supported in A is called a cycle. Let Σ be the link of $(S, 0)$ which is, by definition, the boundary ∂U of a small neighborhood U of the singularity 0. The link Σ is an oriented 3-manifold over real number \mathbb{R} . The neighborhood U can be made to be a tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisor so that $\partial U = \Sigma$ is the link of the singularity. This can be obtained by plumbing theory of surface singularities in [48]. Then

$$H_2(U, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \subset H_2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$$

where n is the number of exceptional curves in A . Let \langle, \rangle be the intersection form on these groups and define

$$H_2(U)^{\#} = \{v \in H_2(U, \mathbb{Q}) : \langle v, w \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } w \in H_2(U, \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Then the embedding $H_2(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(U)^{\#}$ can be identified with the map $H_2(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(U, \Sigma)$. So the long exact sequence in homology identifies the discriminant group

$$D := H_2(U)^{\#} / H_2(U, \mathbb{Z})$$

with the torsion subgroup of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$. The intersection form \langle, \rangle induces on D a natural non-singular pairing:

$$D \otimes D \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}; \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle / \mathbb{Z}$$

which is the torsion link pairing of Σ .

If $K \subset D$ is a subgroup, then there is an induced non-singular pairing:

$$K \otimes (D/K^{\perp}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where K^\perp is the orthogonal complement of K under the pairing. The group D/K^\perp is canonically isomorphic to the dual $\hat{K} = \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and is non-canonically isomorphic to K itself.

If Σ is a rational homology sphere then the universal abelian cover of Σ is the Galois cover of Σ determined by the natural homomorphism $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma) = D$. Thus any subgroup $K \subset D$ determines an abelian cover of Σ , i.e., the Galois cover with covering transformation group D/K . The Galois cover corresponding to K^\perp is called the *dual cover* for K , with transformation group D/K^\perp . The dual cover for D is thus the universal abelian cover.

Let us consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotient of simple elliptic singularity $(S, 0)$, \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of cusp singularity or degenerate cusp singularity $(S, 0)$. Then A is a tree of rational curves since the \mathbb{Z}_N -quotient of simple elliptic singularity and cusp singularity are rational singularities. An explicit \mathbb{Z}_2 -action on cusps is given in [46], and $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ actions on simple elliptic singularity is given in [36, §5.2], [33, §9.6]. By the way all of these singularities are log-canonical singularities and more generally, a cyclic group quotient of log-canonical singularity is a rational singularity.

For such a s.l.c. germ $(S, 0)$, its link Σ is a rational homology sphere. $D = H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finite abelian group. From [47], we take

$$(\tilde{S}, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$$

to be the universal abelian cover, where the topology of the cover is determined by the link Σ . Let $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ be the index one cover of the singularity germ $(S, 0)$ such that $[Z/\mathbb{Z}_N] \cong S$ for $N = 2, 3, 4, 6$. Then the universal abelian cover $(\tilde{S}, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ factors through the index one cover

$$(4.3.2) \quad (\tilde{S}, 0) \rightarrow (Z, 0)$$

since $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ is an abelian cover.

The deformation of $(S, 0)$ can be given by the D -equivariant deformation of $(\tilde{S}, 0)$. Thus we have

Theorem 4.8. *If $(S, 0)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotient of simple elliptic singularity, or a \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity germ, then there exists the universal abelian cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$. Moreover, the D -equivariant deformations of $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ gives \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of $(S, 0)$.*

Proof. The cases of $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotient of simple elliptic singularity and \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of a cusp is from [46], [47], and (4.3.2). The \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of degenerate cusp is given in [33, §9.6], where the degenerate cusp only has two irreducible components. In this case we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{S}^{\text{norm}}) & \longrightarrow & S^{\text{norm}} = S_1 \sqcup S_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\tilde{S}) & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

where S^{norm} is the normalization of S , and the two components S_i have cyclic quotient singularities. From [46], [47], $\tilde{S}^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow S^{\text{norm}}$ is the universal abelian cover. Then \tilde{S} is obtained from \tilde{S}^{norm} by identifying the double curves. We know that \tilde{S}^{norm} is l.c.i., so is \tilde{S} . \square

Remark 4.9. *Suppose that $(S, 0)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotient of simple elliptic singularity, or a \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of a cusp singularity, and $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is the universal abelian cover.*

which is equivalent to the dual cusp has resolution cycle of length ≤ 4 . It is easy to check that the above resolution graph of the universal abelian cover cusp $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ exactly satisfies this condition. The dual graph (of the dual cusp) of (4.3.4) and (4.3.5) is given by $[-2a, -2d, -2a, -2d]$ which has length 4. Therefore it is a complete intersection cusp.

Example 2. Let us look at the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient cusp singularity $(S, 0)$ in Example 1 again. The universal abelian cover cusp $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ has resolution cycle given by (4.3.4) and (4.3.5), and it is a complete intersection cusp. From [21], this cusp singularity $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is smoothable if and only if the resolution cycle of its dual cusp is the anticanonical divisor of a smooth rational surface.

From [45, (1.1) Theorem], for certain $a, d \geq 1$, there is a smooth rational surface (X, D) with the anticanonical divisor D given by $[-2a, -2d, -2a, -2d]$. Thus from [21], the cusp singularity $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is smoothable, which induces the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of $(S, 0)$.

4.3.2. *Discriminant cover of s.l.c. surface germs.* Now we assume that the s.l.c. germ $(S, 0)$ is the Gorenstein simple elliptic singularity or the cusp singularity which is a normal surface singularity. Let us fix to the cusp singularity case. In this case the index one cover is just $(Z, 0) = (S, 0)$, and we have the good resolution $\sigma : X \rightarrow S$ and $\sigma^{-1}(0) = A$ is a cycle of rational curves. The link Σ is not a rational homology sphere. The link is a T^2 -bundle over the circle S^1 and $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus D$. Suppose that the type of the cusp singularity is given by $[-e_1, \dots, -e_k]$ determined by the resolution graph of the cusp, where e_i are positive integers and $-e_i$ are the self-intersection numbers of the component curves in the exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of $(S, 0)$. Then the monodromy of the link is given by the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & e_k \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & e_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $\pi_1(\Sigma) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_A \mathbb{Z}$.

As in [46, §4], there is no natural epimorphism $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow D$, hence no natural Galois cover with transformation group D . But different epimorphisms of $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus D \rightarrow D$ are related by automorphisms of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, and hence by automorphisms of $(S, 0)$. Then there is a natural cover up to automorphisms, called the discriminant cover. Also for any subgroup $K \subset D$ we still have the cover for K and the dual cover for K , with transformation groups D/K and D/K^\perp respectively. From the proof in [46, §4], take $K = \{1\}$ and let $(\tilde{S}, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ be the discriminant cover of $(S, 0)$, which is also the dual cusp of $(S, 0)$.

In [46, Proposition 4.1 (2)], Neumann and Wahl constructed a finite cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ of S with transformation group D' so that $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is a hypersurface cusp, which is a l.c.i. cusp. The finite transformation group D' is given as follows. First we take the quotient finite group $\pi_1(\Sigma)/H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where H is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^2 generated by $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The subgroup $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \subset \pi_1(\Sigma)$ determines a cover of S . By taking the discriminant cover if necessary we get the cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ of S with transformation group D' . The key issue is that $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is a complete intersection cusp. Thus we obtain:

Lemma 4.10. *Let $(S, 0)$ be a cusp singularity, then there exists a finite discriminant cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ with transformation group D' and the cusp $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is a complete intersection cusp. A deformation of the Deligne-Mumford stack $[\tilde{S}/D']$, i.e., a D' -equivariant deformation of \tilde{S} , induces a Gorenstein deformation of the cusp $(S, 0)$.*

The above analysis works for simple elliptic singularity $(S, 0)$. We have:

Lemma 4.11. *A simple elliptic singularity surface $(S, 0)$ is covered by a l.c.i. simple elliptic singularity surface $(\tilde{S}, 0)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [46, Proposition 4.1]. Let $(S, 0)$ be a simple elliptic singularity with multiplicity $n > 0$. If $n \leq 4$, then from [41, Theorem 3.13], it is a l.c.i. singularity. Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow S$ be the minimal resolution such that $A = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ is the exceptional elliptic curve. Then $A \cdot A = -n$.

The link Σ is a Seifert fibered 3-manifold (i.e., a circle bundle over the torus T^2). We have $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus D$, where D is the torsion subgroup, and also is the quotient $H_2^\#(U, \mathbb{Z})/H_2(U, \mathbb{Z})$, where U is a tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisor A and $\partial U = \Sigma$ is the link. Since the self-intersection $A \cdot A = -n$, $D = \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then similar analysis as in [46] we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & (\tilde{S}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

where $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is the discriminant cover of S with transformation group D , and X' is the minimal resolution of \tilde{S} . The cover also gives a cover $\Sigma' \rightarrow \Sigma$ of the corresponding link Σ such that link Σ' of $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ has first homology group $H_1(\Sigma', \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $A' = (\sigma')^{-1}(0)$ we have the self-intersection $A' \cdot A' = -1$. Thus $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is a hypersurface simple elliptic singularity, see [41, Theorem 3.13]. \square

Thus from the above analysis and Lemma 4.11 we have

Theorem 4.12. *If $(S, 0)$ is a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity germ, then there exists the discriminant cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ of $(S, 0)$. Moreover, the D' -equivariant deformations of $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ induce Gorenstein deformations of $(S, 0)$.*

Proof. We only need to argue the degenerate cusp singularity case. Let $(S, 0)$ is a degenerate cusp singularity, which is a non-normal surface singularity sharing the same properties of cusp singularities. We construct the following diagram:

$$(4.3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\tilde{S}^{\text{norm}}, 0) & \longrightarrow & (S^{\text{norm}}, 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\tilde{S}, 0) & \longrightarrow & (S, 0) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are normalizations and the horizontal maps are universal abelian covers.

The cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ can be constructed as follows. From [59, §1], suppose that S_1, \dots, S_r are the irreducible components of S that form a cycle if $r \geq 3$. After reordering if necessary, S_i and S_{i+1} meet generically transversally in a smooth irreducible curve and for $j \neq i, i \pm 1$, $S_i \cap S_j = \{0\}$. If $r = 2$, then S_1 and S_2 meet generically transversally in the union of two smooth curves meeting transversally at 0. If $r = 1$, then the singular locus of S is smooth and irreducible. The normalization S^{norm} of S is a disjoint union of cyclic quotient singularities (which are rational singularities). Let $\sigma^{\text{norm}} : X^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow S^{\text{norm}}$ be the minimal resolution of S^{norm} . (Here $S^{\text{norm}} = \sqcup_i \bar{S}_i$ where \bar{S}_i is the normalization of S_i . Then $X^{\text{norm}} = \sqcup_i X_i$ where $X_i = \text{Bl}_0 \bar{S}_i$ if \bar{S}_i is smooth and the minimal resolution of \bar{S}_i otherwise). Then we get the minimal resolution

$$\sigma : X \rightarrow S$$

by identifying X_i and X_{i+1} along the strict transform of the curve along which S_i and S_{i+1} meet in S . Then $\sigma^{-1}(0)$ is a cycle of rational curves. We construct the following diagram:

(4.3.7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X^{\text{norm}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\text{norm}}} & S^{\text{norm}} \\
 & \nearrow f & \downarrow f & \nearrow f & \downarrow \\
 (\tilde{X}^{\text{norm}}) & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & (\tilde{S}^{\text{norm}}) & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \sigma \\
 (\tilde{X}) & & & & S \\
 & \searrow \tilde{\sigma} & & \nearrow & \\
 & & (\tilde{S}) & &
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are all normalizations and the two top and bottom squares are fiber products. First the top square is constructed as follows. Let $\sigma^{\text{norm}} : X^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow S^{\text{norm}}$ be the minimal resolution of S^{norm} constructed above. Then we take the fiber product \tilde{X}^{norm} . Since X is obtained by identifying X_i and X_{i+1} along the strict transform of the curve along which S_i and S_{i+1} meet in S . Then \tilde{X} is obtained by identifying \tilde{X}_i and \tilde{X}_{i+1} along the preimages of the transformation curves under the covering map f along which S_i and S_{i+1} meet in S . Note that the cover map f may give different orders on different components, and we only identify same number of the preimage curves. The transformation group D of the universal abelian cover $f : \tilde{S}^{\text{norm}} \rightarrow S^{\text{norm}}$ is the product of all the finite abelian groups in the components of f . Then contract down all the exceptional rational curves we get the cover $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ with the same finite abelian transformation group D . This constructs the diagram (4.3.6). Since $(\tilde{S}^{\text{norm}}, 0)$ is l.c.i., $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ is also l.c.i. \square

Remark 4.13. *It is possible that not all the Gorenstein deformations of $(S, 0)$ come from the deformations of $[\tilde{S}/D']$. For simple elliptic singularities $(S, 0)$, it is smoothable if the self-intersection number of the exceptional smooth elliptic curve ≤ 9 , then the discriminant cover $(\tilde{S}, 0)$ has exceptional divisor E' whose self-intersection number is definitely ≤ 9 , hence smoothable. Thus the deformations of $[\tilde{S}/D']$ induces deformations of $(S, 0)$.*

Still from [21], a cusp singularity $(S, 0)$ is smoothable if and only if the resolution cycle of its dual cusp sits as anticanonical divisor in a smooth rational surface. Thus it is interesting to study under which condition the Gorenstein deformation of the cusp singularity $(S, 0)$ is given by the deformations $[\tilde{S}/D']$ of the discriminant cover.

Example 3. *In Example 1, there is a universal abelian cover of the quotient-cusp which factors through the cusp in the quotient. We have examples of cusps which do not admit abelian covers by complete intersection cusps.*

Let $(S, 0)$ be a cusp singularity whose resolution graph is given by (4.3.3) in Example 1. Let

$$k = 4, e_1 = 6, e_2 = 3, e_3 = 3, e_4 = 2.$$

Then the resolution cycle of this specific cusp is $[-10, -3, -3, -3, -3, -2]$. From [46, Lemma 2.4], the dual cusp has resolution cycle

$$[-4, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2].$$

The dual cusp of the cusp corresponding to $[-4, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2]$ has resolution cycle $[-2, -10]$, which is a complete intersection cusp. Thus the cusp $(S, 0)$

corresponding to the resolution cycle $[-10, -3, -3, -3, -3, -2]$ maybe be covered by a complete intersection cusp.

But if we choose

$$k = 5, e_1 = 4, e_2 = 2, e_3 = 2, e_4 = 2, e_5 = 3,$$

then the resolution cycle of this specific cusp is $[-6, -2, -2, -3, -3, -2, -2, -4]$. The dual cusp has resolution cycle

$$[-2, -2, -2, -5, -5, -2].$$

The dual cusp of the cusp corresponding to $[-2, -2, -2, -5, -5, -2]$ has resolution cycle $[-6, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -4]$ which has length 8 (not a complete intersection).

Therefore the cusp corresponding to $[-6, -2, -2, -3, -3, -2, -2, -4]$, and its dual cusp corresponding to $[-2, -2, -2, -5, -5, -2]$ are both non complete intersection cusps. From [46, Proposition 2.5], the cusp corresponding to $[-6, -2, -2, -3, -3, -2, -2, -4]$ can not have an abelian cover by a complete intersection cusp. We have to take the discriminant cover in Theorem 4.12.

In this case we calculate the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -40 & -211 \\ 131 & 691 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore from the proof of [46, Proposition 4.1], the subspace $H \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -40 \\ 131 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives a subgroup $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(\Sigma)$ (where Σ is the link of the cusp singularity). The cover determined by $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \subset \pi_1(\Sigma)$ is the cusp with resolution graph consisting of a cycle with one vertex weighted by -651 . Then the discriminant group of this cusp is \mathbb{Z}_{651} . By taking the abelian cover again corresponding to this finite group we get a hypersurface cusp whose resolution graph is given by $651 - 3 = 648$ numbers of vertexes weighted by -2 and one vertex weighted by -3 . The final cusp singularity is the discriminant cover of the original cusp $(S, 0)$.

4.3.3. The lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack over s.l.c. surfaces. Let S be a s.l.c. surface such that the possible elliptic singularities, cusp and degenerate cusp singularities in S all have embedded dimension ≥ 5 , i.e. they are not l.c.i. singularities. Then the argument in Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.8 constructed the universal abelian cover or the discriminant cover of the singularity germs so that their covers are l.c.i. The construction only depends on the local analytic structure of the singularity.

Similar to the construction of index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$, there are only finite singularity germs $(S, 0)$ in S such that the corresponding simple elliptic singularities, cusp and degenerate cusp singularities have embedded dimension ≥ 5 (i.e., not l.c.i.). Thus for each such germ singularity, we perform the universal abelian cover or the discriminant cover construction in §4.3.1 and §4.3.2. We get another Deligne-Mumford stack

$$\pi^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$$

with the coarse moduli space S such that $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ only has l.c.i. singularities. We call $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack of S . Note that if $[Z/\mu_N]$ is a germ chart of \mathfrak{S} , then $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ locally has the germ chart $[\tilde{S}/D]$, where D is the transformation group of the lci cover. The Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ is Gorenstein since $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ only has l.c.i. singularities on each chart. Thus we get a

commutative diagram:

$$(4.3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \mathfrak{S} \\ & \searrow \pi^{\text{lci}} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

We make a summary here. Let $(S, 0)$ be a singularity germ in a s.l.c. surface S , then

- (1) if $(S, 0)$ is a simple elliptic singularity, a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity with embedded dimension ≥ 5 , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \cong (\tilde{Z}, 0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S} = (Z, 0)$$

where $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ is the index one cover. In this case $(Z, 0) = (S, 0)$ and $(\tilde{Z}, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ is the discriminant cover.

- (2) if $(S, 0)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotient of a simple elliptic singularity, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of a cusp or a degenerate cusp singularity with embedded dimension ≥ 5 , then we have

$$\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \cong (\tilde{Z}, 0) \rightarrow S = (S, 0)$$

where $(\tilde{Z}, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$ is the universal abelian cover. The map factors through the index one cover map $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (S, 0)$.

4.3.4. Flat family of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. From Theorem 4.12 and Theorem 4.8, the deformation of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ induces the Gorenstein deformation of \mathfrak{S} . We make this more precise in families.

For a s.l.c. surface germ $(S, 0)$, we have the lci cover $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ with transformation group D such that the lci-covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ is given by $[\tilde{S}/D]$. First we have the following result:

Proposition 4.14. *Suppose that we have a curve C and let $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow C$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein one-parameter deformation of the s.l.c. surface S_0 with only simple elliptic singularities, cusps or degenerate cusps (with local embedded dimension ≥ 5), or the $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ quotients of simple elliptic singularities, \mathbb{Z}_2 quotient of cusps, and S_t has RDP singularities. Then if around $P \in S_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$, there exists a D -equivariant deformation $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ of \tilde{S} which induces the local deformation of $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow C$, then there exists a deformation $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow C$ of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks which induces the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein one-parameter deformation $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow C$.*

Proof. The lci-covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ and S are the same when removing the finite singular points of simple elliptic singularities, cusps or degenerate cusps. Thus if locally around the singular points the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation is induced by the deformation of the lci-covering Deligne-Mumford stack, then the result is true globally. \square

Remark 4.15. *Comparing with Example 1 and Example 3, it is interesting to study the equivariant smoothing of cusp and quotient-cusp singularities. We hope the equivariant Looijenga's conjecture also holds, see [14], [21].*

Let A be a \mathbf{k} -algebra, and let \mathcal{S}/A be a family of s.l.c. surfaces. Let \mathfrak{S}/A be the family of corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Lemma 4.16. *Let \mathcal{S}/A be a family of s.l.c. surfaces, and let $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack, $\pi^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the lci covering Deligne-Mumford*

stack. For the diagram

$$(4.3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \mathfrak{S} \\ & \searrow \pi^{\text{lci}} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

we have $(\hat{\pi})^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}/A} \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A}$.

Proof. For the isomorphism of the dualizing sheaves, note that for each fiber S_t of the family \mathcal{S}/A , the dualizing sheaf of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack is $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}} \cong \omega_{S_t}^{[N]}$ where N is the index of the s.l.c. surface S_t . We look at the diagram (4.3.8) at any singularity germ. For a germ singularity $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{0})$, let $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the index one cover such that $[\mathcal{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_N] \cong \mathfrak{S}$ and the diagram (4.3.9) is given by

$$(4.3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \searrow \pi^{\text{lci}} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

In the case that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{0})$ is a simple elliptic singularity, cusp or degenerate cusp singularity, since $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{0})$ is Gorenstein, then the index one cover is itself, i.e., $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{S}$. In this case we only have the morphism $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ where $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the discriminant cover with transformation group D constructed in Theorem 4.12 and $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} = [\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}}/D]$. Since \mathcal{Z}^{lci} is l.c.i., it follows that $(\pi^{\text{lci}})^* \omega_{\mathcal{S}} \cong \omega_{\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}}}$. This is because the dualizing sheaves $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}, \omega_{\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}}}$ can be given by the minimal resolutions:

$$(4.3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{lci}}} & \mathcal{X} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{lci}}} & \mathcal{S} \end{array}$$

see [59, Lemma 1.1].

In the case that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{0})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ -quotients of simple elliptic singularity or a \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of cusp or degenerate cusp singularity, we really have the diagram (4.3.10) such that $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{0})$ is a rational singularity. Then $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the universal abelian cover with transformation group $D = H_1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ where Σ is the link of the singularity. Therefore $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \cong [\mathcal{Z}^{\text{lci}}/D]$. In this case $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}} = \omega_{\mathcal{S}}^{[N]}$ where N is the index of the singularity. The dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is the \mathbb{Z}_N -equivariant $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus taking as the equivariant dualizing sheaves, $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}} \cong (\hat{\pi})^* \omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$, which can be seen from the minimal resolutions in diagram (4.3.11) again and $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is constructed from $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}(A)$ where A is the exceptional divisor. \square

4.3.5. Deformation and obstruction of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. Let A be a \mathbf{k} -algebra and $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A$ a flat family of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. Let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A}^{\bullet}$ be the cotangent complex of $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A$ and let J be a finite A -module. We also let $\pi^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the map to its coarse moduli space. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) &= \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}} \otimes_A J) \\ \widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(\mathcal{S}/A, J) &= \pi_*^{\text{lci}} \text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A}^{\bullet}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}} \otimes_A J). \end{aligned}$$

If the s.l.c. surface S admits a finite group G action, then its index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack and lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack also admit a G -action. Then we let $(\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(S/A, J))^G$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{QG}}^i(S/A, J))^G$ be the G -invariant parts of the extension groups.

We have similar results as in Proposition 3.11 and Proposition 3.12 for lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks.

Proposition 4.17. *Let S/A be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family of s.l.c. surfaces. The corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack and lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack are denoted by \mathfrak{S}/A and $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A$ respectively. Suppose that $A' \rightarrow A$ is an infinitesimal extension. Let S'/A' be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of S/A , and \mathfrak{S}'/A' the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Then*

(1)

$$S'/A' \mapsto \mathfrak{S}'/A'$$

give a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of S/A over A' and the set of isomorphism classes of deformations of \mathfrak{S}/A .

(2) Any isomorphism class of the deformations $(\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}}/A'$ of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack induces an isomorphism class of deformations of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks

$$(\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}}/A' \mapsto \mathfrak{S}'/A'$$

which in turn induces an isomorphism class of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of S/A over A'

$$(\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}}/A' \mapsto S'/A'.$$

Proof. The case $S'/A' \mapsto \mathfrak{S}'/A'$ for the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack is Proposition 3.11.

For the second case, from Remark 4.9 Remark 4.13, any deformation of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack induces a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of the surface singularity S/A . \square

Remark 4.18. *We should point out again that it is not known whether any deformation of the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{S}'/A' is induced by the deformation $(\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}}/A'$ of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proposition 4.19. *Let S_0/A_0 be a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family of s.l.c. surfaces and let J be a finite A_0 -module. We let $(\mathfrak{S}_0)^{\text{lci}}/A_0$ the corresponding lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Then we have:*

- (1) *The set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations of S_0/A_0 which are induced from the deformations of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $(\mathfrak{S}_0)^{\text{lci}}/A_0$ over $A_0 + J$ is naturally an A_0 -module and is canonically isomorphic to $\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^1(S/A, J)^G$. Here $A_0 + J$ means the ring $A_0[J]$ with $J^2 = 0$;*
- (2) *Let $A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_0$ be infinitesimal extensions and the kernel of $A' \rightarrow A$ is J . Let S/A be a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein of S_0/A_0 . Then*
 - (a) *There exists a canonical element $\text{ob}(S/A, A') \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\text{QG}}^2(S/A, J)^G$ called the obstruction class. It vanishes if and only if there exists a G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation S'/A' of S/A over A' which are induced from the deformations of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $(S')^{\text{lci}}/A'$.*
 - (b) *If $\text{ob}(S/A, A') = 0$, then the set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations S'/A' is an affine space underlying $\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^1(S_0/A_0, J)^G$.*

Proof. From Theorem 4.12, this is a basic result of deformation and obstruction theory of algebraic varieties, see [30]. \square

Lemma 4.20. *Let S be a s.l.c. surface, and $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$ the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack. Then $\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$.*

Proof. There is also a local to global spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S, \mathcal{O}_S)) \Rightarrow \widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^{p+q}(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

Since S is of general type, the higher cohomology $H^p(F) = 0$ for any sheaf F and $p \geq 3$. The sheaf $\widehat{T}_{\text{QG}}^q(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ when $q \geq 2$ since $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$ only has l.c.i. singularities. Thus from the local to global spectral sequence we get the result in the lemma. \square

4.3.6. *The moduli stack of lci covers over $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$.* We consider the families $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks. Extending the result in §4.1 we define the moduli stack of lci covers over the moduli stack M of s.l.c. surfaces.

Consider the Diagram 4.3.8, and the families over a scheme T , where

- (1) $\overline{f} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T$ is a family of s.l.c. surfaces,
- (2) $f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T$ is the corresponding index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack,
- (3) $f^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T$ is the corresponding lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack,

We define the functor:

$$M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G} : \text{Sch}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$$

which sends

$$T \mapsto \{f^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T\}$$

where $\{f^{\text{lci}} : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T\}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of families of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T$. The set $\{\overline{f} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T\}$ of the coarse moduli spaces of the families must satisfy the condition in (3.2.1).

Remark 4.21. *From the construction of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S$ in §4.3.1, §4.3.2 and the family of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks in §4.3.5, we only take the lci cover for a s.l.c. surface S with simple elliptic singularities, cusp or degenerate cusp singularities with local embedded dimension ≥ 5 .*

Let S_t be a s.l.c. surface such that its index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}_t \rightarrow S_t$ is a fiber of $f : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow T$, and N is its index. Then the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{S_t}^{[N]}$ is \mathbb{Z}_N -equivariant which is the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}$. Look at the diagram (4.3.8) again, from Lemma 4.16 we have $(\hat{\pi})^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}/A} \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/A}$ (by taking $T = \text{Spec}(A)$). Thus

$$K^2 = K_{S_t}^2 = \frac{1}{N^2} (\omega_{S_t}^{[N]} \cdot \omega_{S_t}^{[N]}) = (\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t} \cdot \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t}) = (\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t^{\text{lci}}} \cdot \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_t^{\text{lci}}}).$$

Remark 4.22. *Let us consider the component of the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ such that the Q-Gorenstein deformations of the s.l.c. surfaces S are induced from the deformations of the corresponding lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}$.*

Theorem 4.23. *The functor $M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ represents a Deligne-Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli space. Moreover there is a canonical morphism*

$$f^{\text{lci}} : \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$$

which factors through the morphism $f : M^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow M$. There is a commutative diagram:

$$(4.3.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & M^{\text{ind}} \\ & \searrow f^{\text{lci}} & \downarrow f \\ & & M. \end{array}$$

These three morphisms induce bijections on the projective coarse moduli spaces.

Proof. The proof is from the above canonical construction of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks, and has the same method as in Theorem 4.1. Also note the fact that the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation family $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow T$ of s.l.c. surfaces is the same as the deformation family $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T$ of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks.

We show that the diagonal morphism

$$M^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M^{\text{lci}} \times_{\mathbf{k}} M^{\text{lci}}$$

is representable and unramified. This is from the following argument. If we have two objects $(f : \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T)$ and $(f' : (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow T)$ in $M^{\text{lci}}(T)$, then the isomorphism functor of the two families $\mathbf{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}, (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}})$ is represented by a quasi-projective group scheme $\text{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}, (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}})$ over T . Still let $(\tilde{f} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow T)$ and $(\tilde{f}' : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow T)$ be the families of the corresponding s.l.c. surfaces over T in the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation. The isomorphism functor $\text{Isom}_T(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ is represented by a quasi-projective group scheme $\text{Isom}_T(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ over T . Look at the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{S}' \end{array}$$

Any isomorphism $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}} \cong (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S}'$ on the coarse moduli spaces and the isomorphisms coming from the local stacky isotropy groups induces the same isomorphism on the coarse moduli spaces. Thus the functor is represented by a quasi-projective scheme $\text{Isom}_T(\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}, (\mathfrak{S}')^{\text{lci}})$ over $\text{Isom}_T(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}')$ and is also unramified over T since its geometric fibers are finite.

The cover $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ in the proof of Theorem 4.1 still serves as a cover over the stack M^{lci} . This is because for a given family of s.l.c. surface \mathcal{S}/T there is a unique family $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}/T$ of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks if it exists. We omit the details. The three morphisms in the diagram all induce bijections on the projective coarse moduli spaces since $\mathfrak{S}^{\text{lci}}, \mathfrak{S}$ have the same coarse moduli space \mathcal{S} for a s.l.c. surface \mathcal{S} . \square

Remark 4.24. The morphism $f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M$ is proper since both the two Deligne-Mumford stacks M^{lci} and M are proper. But f^{lci} is not necessary representable.

Corollary 4.25. Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces with $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$. If each s.l.c. surface in M satisfies Condition 3.15, then the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers is the same as M^{ind} . If moreover every s.l.c. surface $S \in M$ is l.c.i., then $M^{\text{lci}} = M^{\text{ind}} = M$.

Proof. The corollary is from the construction of the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack. \square

4.4. Perfect obstruction theory and virtual fundamental class.

4.4.1. *Perfect obstruction theory.* In this section we prove there is a perfect obstruction theory on the moduli stack M^{lci} of lci covers over the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ of s.l.c. surfaces. Let

$$p^{\text{lci}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M^{\text{lci}}$$

be the universal family. Let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet$ be the relative cotangent complex of p^{lci} and

$$E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet := Rp_*^{\text{lci}} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}} \right) [-1].$$

The relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}$ satisfies the property

$$\omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}} \big|_{(p^{\text{lci}})^{-1}(t)} \cong \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_f^{\text{lci}}},$$

where $\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_f^{\text{lci}}}$ is the dualizing sheaf of the canonical lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S}_f^{\text{lci}}$ which is invertible.

Theorem 4.26. *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of moduli space of G -equivariant semi-log-canonical general type surfaces with invariants $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$, and $f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M$ the moduli stack of lci covers over M . Then the complex $E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet$ defines a perfect obstruction theory (in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi)*

$$\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet$$

induced by the Kodaira-Spencer map $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet \rightarrow (p^{\text{lci}})^* \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet[1]$.

Proof. Since the universal family p^{lci} is a flat, projective and relative Gorenstein morphism between Deligne-Mumford stacks, Theorem 2.5 ([6, Proposition 6.1]) implies that ϕ^{lci} is an obstruction theory. Detail analysis is the same as Theorem 4.7.

To show that ϕ^{lci} is a perfect obstruction theory, it is sufficient to show that the complex

$$E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet = Rp_*^{\text{lci}} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}} \right) [-1]$$

is of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$. The complex $E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet$, when restricted to every geometric point t in M^{lci} , calculates the cohomology $\hat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})$, where $\mathfrak{S}_f^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow S_t$ is the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack corresponding to the point t . From Lemma 4.20, the cohomology spaces $\hat{T}_{\text{QG}}^i(S_t, \mathcal{O}_{S_t})$ only survive when $i = 1, 2$, and all the higher cohomology spaces vanish. Therefore the obstruction theory ϕ^{lci} is perfect. \square

Corollary 4.27. *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with invariants K^2, χ . If all the s.l.c. surfaces in M satisfy the Condition 3.15, then the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers is the same as the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ and the obstruction theory for the moduli stack $M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers in Theorem 4.7 is perfect in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi.*

Proof. If the Condition 3.15 holds, then the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ has only l.c.i. singularities. Therefore the moduli stack $M^{\text{lci}} = M^{\text{ind}}$ and the obstruction theory in Theorem 4.7 is the same as the obstruction theory in Theorem 4.26. \square

Corollary 4.28. *Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be the moduli stack of surfaces of general type with invariants K^2, χ . If the moduli stack M consists of k.l.t. surfaces, then the moduli stack*

$M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers is the same as the moduli stack M^{ind} of index one covers in Diagram (4.3.12).

Moreover the obstruction theory for the moduli stack $M^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{ind}, G}$ of index one covers in Theorem 4.7 is perfect in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi, and is the same as the perfect obstruction theory on M^{lci} in Theorem 4.26.

Proof. If the s.l.c. surfaces S in M is k.l.t, then S must have cyclic quotient singularities. From the argument in Proposition 3.13, and [36, Proposition 3.10], since the surface S admits a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation, the cyclic quotient singularities must have the form

$$(4.4.1) \quad \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[x, y] / \mu_{r^2s},$$

where $\mu_{r^2s} = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and there exists a primitive r^2s -th root of unity η such that the action is given by:

$$\alpha(x, y) = (\eta x, \eta^{dsr-1}y)$$

and $(d, r) = 1$. Thus the index one cover of S locally has the quotient

$$\text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[x, y] / \mu_{rs}$$

given by $\alpha'(x, y) = (\eta'x, (\eta')^{rs-1}y)$, which is an A_{rs-1} -singularity. Therefore the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow S$ has only l.c.i. singularities. From the definition of moduli of lci covering Deligne-Mumford stacks in §4.3.6, there is no need to take the lci covering for such a s.l.c. surface S . Thus $M^{\text{lci}} = M^{\text{ind}}$, and the obstruction theory in Theorem 4.7 is the same as the obstruction theory in Theorem 4.26, which is perfect. \square

Corollary 4.29. *Let $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal family for the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ of s.l.c. G -stable surfaces, which is projective and flat. Assume that globally the stack M consists of l.c.i. surfaces, then the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is relatively Gorenstein, which means $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is a line bundle. The complex*

$$E_M^\bullet := R p_* (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}/M}) [-1]$$

defines a perfect obstruction theory

$$\phi : E_M^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^\bullet.$$

Proof. From Corollary 4.25, $M^{\text{lci}} = M$. The complex E_M^\bullet is of perfect amplitude contained in $[-1, 0]$. This is because p is relative Gorenstein, which means each fiber surface of p is Gorenstein and $R p_* (\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/M}^\bullet \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}/M})$ gives the cohomologies $H^i(S, T_S)$ for any fiber of p which vanish except $i = 1, 2$. \square

Remark 4.30. *Let $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal family for the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ of s.l.c. G -stable surfaces, which is projective and flat. Assume in the stack M there exist s.l.c. surfaces S with quotients of simple elliptic singularities, cusp or degenerate cusp singularities with embedded dimension > 5 ; or the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ is constructed from non \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations containing s.l.c. surfaces with cyclic quotient singularities of order > 3 , then there does not exist a Behrend-Fantechi, Li-Tian style virtual fundamental class on $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$.*

Proof. This is due to the existence of the higher obstruction spaces $T^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ for such s.l.c. surfaces, see calculations in [32]. \square

4.4.2. *Virtual fundamental class.* Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of the moduli stack of s.l.c. surfaces, from Theorem 4.26 the moduli stack $M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ of lci covers admits a perfect obstruction theory:

$$\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$$

where

$$E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} := R p_*^{\text{ind}} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{lci}}/M^{\text{lci}}} \right) [-1].$$

We follow the method in Section 2.5 to construct the virtual fundamental class on M^{lci} .

Let $\mathbf{c}_{M^{\text{lci}}}$ be the intrinsic normal cone of M^{lci} such that étale locally on an open subset $U \subset M^{\text{lci}}$, there exists a closed immersion

$$U \hookrightarrow Y$$

into a smooth scheme Y , $\mathbf{c}_{M^{\text{lci}}}|_U = [C_{U/Y}/T_Y|_U]$. Let $N_{M^{\text{lci}}} = h^1/h^0((E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})$ be the intrinsic normal sheaf of M^{lci} , and there is a natural inclusion

$$\mathbf{c}_{M^{\text{lci}}} \hookrightarrow N_{M^{\text{lci}}}.$$

The perfect obstruction theory complex $E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$ is perfect, and we denote the corresponding bundle stack as $h^1/h^0((E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})$. The perfect obstruction theory $\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$ satisfies that $h^{-1}(\phi^{\text{lci}})$ is surjective, and $h^0(\phi^{\text{lci}})$ is isomorphic. Therefore it induces an inclusion of stacks $N_{M^{\text{lci}}} \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0((E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})$.

Definition 4.31. *The virtual fundamental class of the perfect obstruction theory $\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet}$ is defined as:*

$$[M^{\text{lci}}]^{\text{vir}} = [M^{\text{lci}}, \phi^{\text{lci}}]^{\text{vir}} := 0_{h^1/h^0((E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})}^!([\mathbf{c}_{M^{\text{lci}}}] \in A_{\text{vd}}(M^{\text{lci}}))$$

where vd is the virtual dimension of M^{lci} , and $0_{h^1/h^0((E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})}^!$ is the Gysin map in the intersection theory of Artin stacks [40].

For the morphism $f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G} \rightarrow M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ which is Deligne-Mumford, from [65, Definition 3.6 (iii)] we define

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} := f_*^{\text{lci}}([M^{\text{lci}}, \phi^{\text{lci}}]^{\text{vir}}) \in A_{\text{vd}}(M)$$

which is called the virtual fundamental class for the moduli stack M .

Remark 4.32. *From Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.28, if the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ consists of k.l.t. surfaces, then the morphism $f^{\text{lci}} : M^{\text{lci}} \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism and the perfect obstruction theory induces a virtual fundamental class $[M]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(M)$.*

Corollary 4.33. *Suppose the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ of s.l.c. G -stable surfaces only consists of l.c.i. surfaces, then the perfect obstruction theory in Corollary 4.29*

$$\phi : E_M^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_M^{\bullet}$$

induces a virtual fundamental class

$$[M]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(M).$$

Proof. This is from Corollary 4.29 and the construction of virtual fundamental class in this section. \square

Remark 4.34. *The virtual dimension of M^{lc} is the same as the virtual dimension of the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi'}^G$, which is*

$$\text{vd} = \dim H^1(S, T_S)^G - \dim H^2(S, T_S)^G$$

for S is a general smooth s.l.c. surface in M .

In the case that G is trivial, the virtual dimension of M^{lc} can be calculated by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vd} &= \text{rk}(E_{M_0}^\bullet) = \chi(S, T_S) = \int_S \text{Ch}(T_S) \cdot \text{Td}(T_S) \\ &= -\left(\frac{7}{6}c_1^2 - \frac{5}{6}c_2\right) = 10\chi - 2K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $10\chi - 2K^2 \geq 0$, the virtual dimension is nonnegative and one can define invariants by taking integration over the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}]^{\text{vir}}$ by some tautological classes.

5. CM LINE BUNDLE AND TAUTOLOGICAL INVARIANTS

5.1. CM line bundle on the moduli stack. Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be one connected component of KSBA moduli stack of s.l.c. G -stable surfaces. From [13, §2.1], over smooth part $M_{K^2, \chi}^G$ consisting of smooth general type surfaces S with $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$, differential geometry can define Miller-Mumford-Morita (MMM)-classes on $H^*(M_{K^2, \chi}^G, \mathbb{Q})$. Donaldson [13, §4] asks a question to extend the MMM-classes to $H^*(\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi'}^G, \mathbb{Q})$ of the whole KSBA compactification M .

In algebraic geometry there exists CM line bundle on the moduli stack $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ as defined in [63], [16], [51]. We recall it here. Let $p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal family which is a projective, flat morphism with relative dimension 2. Then the relative canonical sheaf $K_{\mathcal{M}/M}$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and relatively ample, see [23], [39]. For any relatively ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi'}$, we have

$$\det(p_!(\mathcal{L}^k)) = \det(R^*p_*(\mathcal{L}^k)) = \bigotimes_i \left(\det(R^i p_*(\mathcal{L}^k)) \right)^{(-1)^i}$$

As \mathcal{L} is relatively ample, $R^i p_*(\mathcal{L}^k) = 0$ for $i > 0, k \gg 0$, so $\det p_!(\mathcal{L}^k) = \det p_*(\mathcal{L}^k)$. From [34], there exists line bundles λ_i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ on $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi'}$, such that for all k ,

$$\det p_!(\mathcal{L}^k) \cong \lambda_3^{\binom{k}{3}} \otimes \lambda_2^{\binom{k}{2}} \otimes \lambda_1^{\binom{k}{1}} \otimes \lambda_0.$$

Let $\mu := -(K_{S_i} \cdot \mathcal{L}|_{S_i}) / \mathcal{L}^2|_{S_i}$, then the CM line bundle (corresponding to \mathcal{L}) is:

$$\lambda_{\text{CM}} = \lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/M, \mathcal{L}) := \lambda_3^{2\mu+6} \otimes \lambda_2^{-6}.$$

Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in [16], we have:

$$\begin{cases} c_1(\lambda_3) = p_*(c_1(\mathcal{L})^3); \\ 2c_1(\lambda_3) - 2c_1(\lambda_2) = p_*(c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \cdot c_1(K_{\mathcal{M}/M})). \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{L} = K_{\mathcal{M}/M}$, then the CM line bundle is

$$\lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/M, K_{\mathcal{M}/M}) := \lambda_3^4 \otimes \lambda_2^{-6} = \lambda_2^2$$

since Serre duality implies that $\lambda_3 = \lambda_2^2$. We have

$$c_1(\lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/M, K_{\mathcal{M}/M})) = p_* \left((K_{\mathcal{M}/M})^3 \right).$$

We define

$$L_{\text{CM}} := \lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/M, K_{\mathcal{M}/M}).$$

From [51, Theorem 1.1], the CM line bundle L_{CM} is ample on the KSBA moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$.

5.2. Tautological invariants. Let $M = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G$ be a connected component of moduli of G -equivariant general type surfaces with invariants $K_S^2 = K^2, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = \chi$. From Theorem 4.26 the lci covering Deligne-Mumford stack $M^{\text{lci}} = \overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^{\text{lci}, G}$ admits a perfect obstruction theory $\phi^{\text{lci}} : E_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{M^{\text{lci}}}^\bullet$, hence a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G]^{\text{vir}}$ in Definition 4.31.

Definition 5.1. Let $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$ be one connected component of the moduli stack of stable surfaces with fixed invariants K^2, χ which satisfies Condition 3.15. Define the tautological invariants by

$$I_{\text{CM}} = \int_{[\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}^G]^{\text{vir}}} (c_1(L_{\text{CM}}))^{\text{vd}}.$$

Remark 5.2. It is interesting to consider other tautological classes on the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{K^2, \chi}$.

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we calculate two examples.

6.1. Moduli of quintic surfaces.

6.1.1. General degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 . Let us first consider some basic invariants for smooth hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 of degree $d \geq 5$. Let $\iota : S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree d , then we have the exact sequence:

$$(6.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0$$

where $N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3} = \mathcal{O}_S(d)$ is the normal bundle. When $d \geq 5$, the surfaces S is of general type, therefore $H^i(S, T_S) = 0$ only except $i = 1, 2$. We calculate the cohomologies of the tangent bundle of S for $d = 5, 6$:

$$(6.1.2) \quad \begin{cases} H^1(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{40}; \\ H^2(S, T_S) \cong 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$(6.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} H^1(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{68}; \\ H^2(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^6. \end{cases}$$

The cohomologies $H^*(S, T_S)$ are calculated by taking the long exact sequence of the cohomology of (6.1.1):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^0(S, T_S) \rightarrow H^0(S, T_{\mathbb{P}^3}|_S) \rightarrow H^0(S, N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3}) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(S, T_S) \rightarrow H^1(S, T_{\mathbb{P}^3}|_S) \rightarrow H^1(S, N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(S, T_S) \rightarrow H^2(S, T_{\mathbb{P}^3}|_S) \rightarrow H^2(S, N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3}) \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

and the long exact sequences on cohomology of the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d) \rightarrow \iota_* N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-d) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \iota_*(T_{\mathbb{P}^3}|_S) \rightarrow 0.$$

We omit the detail calculation.

6.1.2. *Moduli of quintic surfaces.* Let us first briefly recall the moduli of quintic surfaces in [26]. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quintic surface defined by a homogeneous degree five polynomial. It is well-known that the topological invariants of S are given by $K_S = \mathcal{O}_S(1)$, and

$$K_S^2 = 5; \quad q = \dim H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0, \quad p_g = 4; \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 5.$$

Let $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ be the moduli stack of general type minimal surfaces S with $K_S^2 = 5, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 5$. From [26], the coarse moduli space of the Gieseker's moduli stack $M_{5,5} \subset \overline{M}_{5,5}$ is a 40-dimensional scheme with two irreducible components $M_0 \cup_N M_1$ meeting transversally at a 39-dimensional scheme N , where M_0 is the component containing quintic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 with rational double points singularities (RDP's). The other components M_1 and N consist of the following surfaces. First from [26, Theorem 1], for any minimal surface with $K_S^2 = 5; \quad q = \dim H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0, \quad p_g = 4; \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 5$, the canonical system $|K_S|$ has at most one base point. There are three types of surfaces:

Type I: $|K_S|$ has no base point. The surface S is birationally equivalent to S' , where $S' \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is a quintic surface with only RDP's singularities;

Type IIa: $|K_S|$ has one base point. Let $\pi: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the quadric transformation with center at the base point $b \in |K_S|$, then there exists a surjective morphism $f: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 2;

Type IIb: $|K_S|$ has one base point. In this case there exists a surjective map $f: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \Sigma_2$ of degree two, where Σ_2 is the Hirzebruch surface of degree two, and there also exists a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (\tilde{S}) & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow \psi \\ \Sigma_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$

such that the image of φ and ψ are the quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^3 . Note that all the Type I, IIa, IIb surfaces are l.c.i. surfaces. The deformation of Type I, Type IIa and Type IIb surfaces are given by the deformation of the corresponding birational models in the description.

The component M_0 consists of Type I surfaces; component M_1 consists of Type IIa surfaces and the intersection N parametrizes type IIb surfaces. For surfaces S , from [24], $|\text{Aut}(S)| \leq 42 \cdot \text{Vol}(S, K_S)$ and if S is minimal then $\text{Vol}(S, K_S) = K_S^2$ and $|\text{Aut}(S)| \leq 42 \cdot 5$. If we consider all the automorphism groups of S , we get the Deligne-Mumford stack $\overline{M}_{5,5}$.

The complete boundary divisors of $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ are still not explicitly constructed, see [52] for an explicit construction of one boundary divisor $D_{\frac{1}{4}(1,1)} \subset \overline{M}_{5,5}$ corresponding to Wahl singularity of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$. But the abstract KSBA compactification $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ is constructed and is a proper Deligne-Mumford stack, see [38].

Let us give an example for $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ on the boundary loci consisting of s.l.c. surfaces. In [52], Rana gave a construction of one boundary divisor $D_{\frac{1}{4}(1,1)} \subset \overline{M}_{5,5}$, which consists of s.l.c. surfaces with only one Wahl type $\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$ singularity. The boundary divisor $D_{\frac{1}{4}(1,1)} = D_1 \cup_{N_{2b}} D_{2a}$ also contains two irreducible components,

where D_1 is the component consisting of Type 1 surfaces; D_{2a} is the component consisting of Type 2a surfaces, and N_{2b} is the component consisting of Type 2b surfaces. Type 1, 2a and 2b surfaces are classified as follows. The minimal resolution of a Type 1 surface is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, branched over a sextic intersecting a given diagonal tangentially at 6 points. The preimage of the diagonal is two (-4) -curves intersecting at 6 points. Contracting one of these (-4) -curves gives a stable numerical quintic surface of Type 1. The minimal resolutions of Type 2a (respectively Type 2b) surfaces are themselves minimal resolutions of double covers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (respectively a quadric cone), the branch curve of which is a sextic B intersecting a given ruling at two nodes of B and transversally at two other points. There are relations: Type 1 (respectively Type 2a, Type 2b) surfaces are the deformation limits of Type I (respectively Type II a, Type II b) surfaces. Thus D_1, D_{2a} are 39-dimensional, and N_{2b} is 38-dimensional.

The obstruction space at such boundary divisor was calculated. Let us take a point $S \in D_{2b}$ such that there exists open étale neighborhoods $U_{2a} \subset \overline{M}_{5,5}$ and $U_{2b} \subset \overline{M}_{5,5}$ satisfying the condition that U_{2b} contains elements in D_1 and the boundary N_{2b} , U_{2a} is only a neighborhood of D_1 which does not intersect with N_{2b} . From [52, Theorem 5.1], $U_{2b} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{41}$ is cut out by $H' = q'(t) \cdot r'(t) = 0$ for two holomorphic functions. For the surface germ (S, P) of Type 2b, the obstruction space is calculated by the corresponding canonical covering stack \mathfrak{S} which contains only one orbifold point of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$. Then the obstruction space $T_{\text{QG}}^2(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{S}}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}) = H^2(\mathfrak{S}, T_{\mathfrak{S}}) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$ is calculated in [52].

Recall in [36] a quotient T -singularity is given by a quotient 2-dimensional singularity of type $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, dna - 1)$, where $n > 1$ and $d, a > 0$ are integers with a and n are coprime. These are the quotient singularities that admit a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing. When $d = 1$, these are called Wahl singularity. The s.l.c. minimal surfaces S with T -singularities satisfying $K_S^2 = 5, p_g = 4$ may give some other irreducible components of $\overline{M}_{5,5}$.

6.1.3. *Discussion of virtual fundamental class.* The KSBA compactification $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ may contain a lot of irreducible components. Let us only consider the following two irreducible components

$$\overline{P} := \overline{M}_0 \cup_{\overline{N}} \overline{M}_1$$

where $\overline{M}_0 = \overline{M}^{\text{quintic}}$ is the closure of the component in $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ containing the smooth quintics; and \overline{M}_1 is the closure of the component in $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ containing the smooth Type IIa surfaces in [26]. The two Deligne-Mumford stacks \overline{M}_0 and \overline{M}_1 are 40-dimensional Deligne-Mumford stacks meeting at a 39-dimensional substack \overline{N} . The above calculation on the cohomology of $H^*(S, T_S)$ implies that the main component \overline{M}_0 is smooth on the open part M_0 consisting quintic surfaces. From [26], the open subset $M_1 \subset \overline{M}_1$ is also smooth. The singular locus of \overline{P} only happens on \overline{N} . We assume that all the boundary loci of \overline{P} contain stable surfaces with class T -singularities, so that their index one covers only have normal crossing and A_n -type singularities. Thus from Corollary 4.25, $\overline{P}^{\text{lc}} = \overline{P}^{\text{ind}}$.

We construct the virtual fundamental class for \overline{P} . Let $f : \overline{P}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \overline{P}$ be the moduli stack of index one covers. Then

$$\overline{P}^{\text{ind}} = \overline{M}_0^{\text{ind}} \cup_{\overline{N}^{\text{ind}}} \overline{M}_1^{\text{ind}}$$

where $f^0 : \overline{M}_0^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \overline{M}_0$, $f^1 : \overline{M}_1^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \overline{M}_1$ are the moduli stacks of index one covers over the components \overline{M}_0 and \overline{M}_1 respectively, and they intersect at $f_N : \overline{N}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \overline{N}$ which is the moduli stack of index one covers over \overline{N} . The morphisms

f^0, f^1 and f_N are isomorphisms except on the boundary divisors of \bar{P} given by \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing of class T -singularities. For example, over the divisor $D_{\frac{1}{4}(1,1)} = D_1 \cup_{N_{2b}} D_{2a}$ in \bar{P} , the fibers of f^0, f^1 and f_N are the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stacks of the stable surfaces with one Wahl singularity $\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$ of Type 1, Type 2a and Type 2b respectively.

Let $p^{\text{ind}} : \mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \bar{P}^{\text{ind}}$ be the universal family. Then there is a perfect obstruction theory

$$\phi^{\text{ind}} : E_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet},$$

where

$$E_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} := R p_{*}^{\text{ind}} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\text{ind}}/\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}} \right) [-1].$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}$ be the intrinsic normal cone for \bar{P}^{ind} . This intrinsic normal cone can be written as:

$$\mathbf{c}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}} = \mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_0^{\text{ind}}} + \mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_1^{\text{ind}}}$$

where $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_0^{\text{ind}}}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_1^{\text{ind}}}$ are the intrinsic normal cones of the components \bar{M}_0^{ind} and \bar{M}_1^{ind} respectively. This can be calculated by embedding \bar{P}^{ind} into a higher dimensional smooth Deligne-Mumford stack \mathcal{Y} and the normal cone of $\mathbf{C}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}/\mathcal{Y}}$ contains two irreducible components given by the two irreducible components \bar{M}_0^{ind} and \bar{M}_1^{ind} .

Look at the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{c}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}} & \hookrightarrow & h^1/h^0((E_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{c}\bar{v} & \hookrightarrow & h^1((E_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee}) = \text{Ob}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}} \end{array}$$

where $\bar{c}\bar{v}$ is the coarse moduli space of the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}$, and $\text{Ob}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}$ is the obstruction sheaf of the perfect obstruction theory ϕ^{ind} .

Let $s : \bar{P}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \text{Ob}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}$ be the zero section. From Definition 4.31 the virtual fundamental class $[\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{40}(\bar{P}^{\text{ind}})$ is obtained from the intersection of the intrinsic normal cone with the zero section of the bundle stack $h^1/h^0((E_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}}^{\bullet})^{\vee})$. From the decomposition of the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}} = \mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_0^{\text{ind}}} + \mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_1^{\text{ind}}}$, the intersection can be calculated separately. Also note that both \bar{M}_0^{ind} and \bar{M}_1^{ind} are smooth, the coarse moduli spaces of the intrinsic normal cones $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_0^{\text{ind}}}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{\bar{M}_1^{\text{ind}}}$ are just \bar{M}_0^{ind} and \bar{M}_1^{ind} respectively. Therefore the intersections are just the intersections of \bar{M}_0^{ind} and \bar{M}_1^{ind} with the zero sections of the obstruction sheaf. We obtain

$$[\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}]^{\text{vir}} = [\bar{M}_0^{\text{ind}}] + [\bar{M}_1^{\text{ind}}] \in A_{40}(\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}).$$

There is a canonical morphism

$$f : \bar{P}^{\text{ind}} \rightarrow \bar{P}$$

which is Deligne-Mumford and is an isomorphism except on the boundaries. Thus we get:

$$[\bar{P}]^{\text{vir}} = f_*([\bar{P}^{\text{ind}}]^{\text{vir}}) \in A_{40}(\bar{P}).$$

Remark 6.1. *It is interesting to calculate the tautological invariants for the moduli stack of quintic surfaces.*

6.2. Donaldson's example on sextic hypersurfaces. In this section we talk about Donaldson's example on sextic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 , and give an affirmative answer for the existence of virtual fundamental class on the moduli of G -equivariant sextic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 for some finite group G , thus proving Donaldson's conjecture on the existence of virtual fundamental class of this example.

6.2.1. *The GIT moduli space.* Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth degree 6 hypersurface, then the cohomology of the tangent bundle of S is given in (6.1.3). Other topological invariants are given by:

$$e(S) = 108; \quad p_g = 10; \quad K_S^2 = 24; \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 11.$$

Let $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ be the moduli stack of stable surfaces S with invariants $K_S^2 = 24, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 11$. It is not known in the literature what this moduli stack looks like, but at least there exists one component of $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ containing sextic surfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 .

In order to get explicit moduli stack, Donaldson [13, §5] put more symmetries on the sextic surfaces. Let $\mathbb{P}^3 = \text{Proj}(\mathbf{k}[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2])$. Let $\zeta \in \mu_6$ be a primitive generator of the cyclic group of order 6, and let G be the subgroup of $GL(4, \mathbf{k})$ generated by the actions on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^4$:

$$\begin{cases} (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (\zeta x_1, \zeta^{-1} y_1, x_2, y_2); \\ (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (x_1, y_1, \zeta x_2, \zeta^{-1} y_2); \\ (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (x_2, y_2, x_1, y_1). \end{cases}$$

Then G acts on the sextic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 . The invariant degree 6 homogeneous polynomials are given by:

$$\alpha x_1^6 + \beta y_1^6 + \alpha x_2^6 + \beta y_2^6 + A Q_+^3 + B Q_+ Q_-^2$$

where $Q_{\pm} = x_1 y_1 \pm x_2 y_2$. Then the $G_m = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ -action by

$$(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (\lambda x_1, \lambda^{-1} y_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda^{-1} y_2)$$

induces homogeneous polynomials invariant under the action of G . All the invariant degree 6 polynomials under the G -action give the parameter space

$$(\alpha, \beta, A, B).$$

The G_m acts on the parameter space by

$$(\alpha, \beta, A, B) \mapsto (\lambda^6 \alpha, \lambda^{-6} \beta, A, B).$$

Thus the invariant degree 6 homogeneous polynomials in \mathbb{P}^3 are classified by:

$$\alpha(x_1^6 + y_1^6 + x_2^6 + y_2^6) + A Q_+^3 + B Q_+ Q_-^2$$

which is unique up to change of the sign of α . The moduli spaces of GIT stable locus of sextic hypersurfaces with G -action is $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 / \{\pm\}$. Here $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[A, B]$ and each (A, B) corresponds to a hypersurface:

$$(6.2.1) \quad S_{AB} = \{x_1^6 + y_1^6 + x_2^6 + y_2^6 + A Q_+^3 + B Q_+ Q_-^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3.$$

We recall the KSBA compactification of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 / \{\pm\}$ in [13, §5]. Before KSBA, there is a naive compactification by embedding $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and then taking the quotient by $\mu_2 = \{\pm\}$ -action. Modulo the automorphism group of the sextic surfaces, the moduli stack is the quotient $M^{GIT} = [\mathbb{P}^2 / \mu_2]$. The polytope description is given

in [13, §5]. The stacky fan in the sense of [8], [31] is given by $\Sigma = (N, \Sigma, \beta)$, where $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$, Σ is the fan in \mathbb{R}^2 generated by rays $\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$, $\mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{(-2,-1)}$, and $\beta : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow N$ is given by $(2, 0), (0, 1), (-2, -1)$. The quotient action of μ_2 on the homogeneous coordinates $[x : y : z]$ of \mathbb{P}^2 by

$$[x : y : z] \mapsto [x : -y : -z].$$

The fixed point locus are the point $[1 : 0 : 0]$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Proj } \mathbf{k}[0 : y : z]$ which is the divisor at infinity. The divisor \mathbb{P}^1 in the moduli toric Deligne-Mumford stack $[\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2]$ corresponds to the following surfaces:

$$\{AQ_+^3 + BQ_+Q_-^2 = 0\}$$

for $A, B \neq 0$ at the same time. Note that there are three cases:

- (1) $A, B \neq 0$, then $\{AQ_+^3 + BQ_+Q_-^2 = 0\}$ corresponds to three quadrics meeting in four lines;
- (2) $B = 0, A \neq 0$, this corresponds to the quadric $\{Q_+ = 0\}$ with multiplicity 3;
- (3) $B \neq 0, A = 0$, this corresponds to the quadric $\{Q_+ = 0\}$ and the quadric $\{Q_- = 0\}$ with multiplicity 2.

6.2.2. *The KSBA compactification.* Let us consider the KSBA compactification of the moduli stack $[\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2/\mu_2]$ of sextic hypersurfaces with G -action. We follow Donaldson's argument but using the fan structure of the toric Deligne-Mumford stack $M^{GIT} = [\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2]$.

- Let $O := ((0, 1), (-2, -1))$ be the top cone generated by $\{(0, 1), (-2, -1)\}$, which corresponds to the affine toric Deligne-Mumford stack $[\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2/\mu_2]$, and the sextic surfaces in (6.2.1). One can think of the ray $\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$ standing for the infinity divisor $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset M^{GIT}$ which is fixed under μ_2 . The ray $\mathbb{R}_{(-2,-1)}$ (which corresponds to $OIII$ in Donaldson's picture in [13, Page 20]) corresponds to the surfaces $\{S_{A0}\}$ in (6.2.1).

- The toric Deligne-Mumford stack $[\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2] = [\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^2/\mu_2] \sqcup \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\mathbb{P}^1 = \chi(\Sigma/\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)})$, i.e., the toric Deligne-Mumford stack of the quotient fan $\Sigma/\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$ modulo the ray $\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$. So it is enough to know what sextic surfaces the ray $\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$ corresponds for. As pointed out in [13, §5], this ray $\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}$ corresponds to surfaces in $\{AQ_+^3 + BQ_+Q_-^2 = 0\}$ for A, B not zero at the same time. Let $III := ((-2, -1), (2, 0))$ be the top cone generated by $\{(-2, -1), (2, 0)\}$ and $II := ((2, 0), (0, 1))$ be the top cone generated by $\{(2, 0), (0, 1)\}$. Note that the surface $\{Q_+ = 0\}$ with multiplicity 3 corresponds to the origin in the cone III , and the surface $\{Q_+Q_-^2 = 0\}$, one quadric $\{Q_+ = 0\}$ and one $\{Q_- = 0\}$ with multiplicity 2 corresponds to the origin in the cone II .

The surfaces corresponding to the infinity divisor \mathbb{P}^1 are not s.l.c. surfaces, and we perform weighted blow-ups on $M^{GIT} = [\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2]$ to get the KSBA compactification. We know from [13] that in the cone III , the vertex corresponds to the surface in $\{S_{A0}\}$ when $A \rightarrow \infty$. The construction is given as follows. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the triple cover over \mathbb{P}^3 branched over S_{00} . There exists a section $\eta \in \pi^*\mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow Y$ such that $\eta^3 = s$, and s is the section cutting out S_{00} . Then let $W \subset Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the surface cut out by $\eta = \lambda Q_+$. Let $A = \lambda^3$. When $A \rightarrow \infty$, we get a triple cover S_{III} over $\{Q_+ = 0\} = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched over $\{S_{00} \cap \{Q_+ = 0\}\}$. This triple cover $S_{III} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has an extra automorphism group μ_3 . Therefore we do weighted blow-up on the toric Deligne-Mumford stack $[\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2]$ by inserting the ray $\mathbb{R}_{(4,-1)}$ generated by $(4, -1) = 3(2, 0) + (-2, -1)$. This ray splits the cone III into two top cones denoted by $III = ((-2, -1), (4, -1))$

and $IV' = ((4, -1), (2, 0))$. From [9], this gives a new stacky fan Σ' and a toric Deligne-Mumford stack

$$h : \chi(\Sigma') \rightarrow M^{GIT}$$

which is a weighted blow-up. The exceptional locus (divisor) of h corresponds to the following family of surfaces: take affine coordinates (s, t) of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $C_\mu \in |\mathcal{O}(6, 6)|$ be the curve with affine equation:

$$1 + s^6 + t^6 + s^6 t^6 + \mu \cdot s^3 t^3 = 0.$$

Then the family of surfaces (corresponding to the exceptional locus \mathbb{P}^1 by μ , but $\mu \neq \infty$) is:

$$\mu : \mathcal{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

which are triple covers over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with simple branching over $C_\mu, C_{-\mu}$. The $\mu = 0$ case corresponds to the surface S_{III} above. All of these surfaces are s.l.c. surfaces.

Now we perform on the top cone II similarly. Since the vertex of the cone II corresponds to $\{Q_+ Q_-^2 = 0\}$, there exists a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry. We do the weighted blow-up on the toric Deligne-Mumford stack $\chi(\Sigma')$ by inserting (inside the cone II) a ray $\mathbb{R}_{(2,1)}$ generated by $(2, 1) = (2, 0) + (0, 1)$. This ray splits the top cone II into two top cones $II = ((2, 1), (0, 1))$ and $IV'' = ((2, 0), (2, 1))$. Thus we get a new stacky fan Σ'' such that the morphisms

$$\chi(\Sigma'') \xrightarrow{h'} \chi(\Sigma') \xrightarrow{h} \chi(\Sigma) = M^{GIT}$$

are all weighted blow-ups. The exceptional divisor \mathbb{P}^1 of $h' : \chi(\Sigma'') \rightarrow \chi(\Sigma')$ (also using affine coordinates μ , but $\mu \neq \infty$) parametrizes the family of surfaces:

$$\tilde{\mu} : \mathcal{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

which are double covers over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched over a divisor in $\mathcal{O}(8, 8)$ given by $C_\mu \sqcup \{s = 0, s = \infty, t = 0, t = \infty\}$. Each of these four lines meets with C_μ in 6 points. Let

$$\text{Bl}_{24 \text{ pts}} \mathcal{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

be the blow-up along these 24 points, and then let

$$\overline{\text{Bl}}_{24 \text{ pts}} \mathcal{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mu$$

be morphism by collapsing down the proper transformation of the four lines $\{s = 0, s = \infty, t = 0, t = \infty\}$. $\mu = 0$ case corresponds to the surface S_{II} and all of these surfaces are s.l.c.

For the toric Deligne-Mumford stack $\chi(\Sigma'') \rightarrow \chi(\Sigma) = M^{GIT}$, we collapse down the proper transformation of the locus $\chi(\Sigma/\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}) = \mathbb{P}^1$ and obtain a toric Deligne-Mumford stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$, where the stacky fan is given by $\overline{\Sigma} = (\mathbb{Z}^2, \overline{\Sigma}, \beta)$. The fan $\overline{\Sigma} = \{O, III, IV, II\}$ contains four top cones, where O, III, II are the same as before, and $IV = ((4, -1), (2, 1))$. This toric Deligne-Mumford stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ is projective since the fan $\overline{\Sigma}$ is clearly complete.

To see that $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ is the KSBA compactification of M^{GIT} , note that in M^{GIT} , the only non-KSBA surfaces are given by the infinity divisor $\chi(\Sigma/\mathbb{R}_{(2,0)}) = \mathbb{P}^1$. After doing weighted blow-ups and collapsing this infinity divisor, all surfaces parametrized by $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ are KSBA s.l.c. surfaces. Also the surfaces parametrized by the top cone IV are given by (see [13, §5.2]) complete intersections in the weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$. More precisely, let $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2) =$

$\text{Proj}(\mathbf{k}[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, h_+, h_-])$ where x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 have degree 1 and h_+, h_- have degree 2, and define the surfaces $S^{\alpha, \beta} \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$ by:

$$(6.2.2) \quad S^{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{cases} x_1^6 + y_1^6 + x_2^6 + y_2^6 + h_+^3 + h_+ h_-^2 = 0; \\ x_1 y_1 = \alpha h_+ + \beta h_- = 0; \\ x_2 y_2 = \alpha h_+ - \beta h_-. \end{cases}$$

The most singular one $S^{0,0}$ corresponds to the vertex in *IV*, which corresponds to the surface in *III* and *II* by taking $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. Also from [13, §5.2], the surfaces in *III* and *II* can be obtained from the surfaces $S^{\alpha, \beta}$. The surfaces $S^{\alpha, \beta}$ are complete intersections, therefore are Gorenstein, i.e., the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{S^{\alpha, \beta}}$ is a line bundle for any pair (α, β) .

6.2.3. *Virtual fundamental class.* From the construction above in §6.2.2, there exists a universal family

$$p : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \chi(\overline{\Sigma})$$

which is projective, flat and relatively Gorenstein. It is relatively Gorenstein since every fiber surface S_t of p at $t \in \chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ is a complete intersection surface. This implies that the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}$ is a line bundle. Therefore from Corollary 4.29 and Corollary 4.33, we have

Proposition 6.2. *Let*

$$E_{\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}^{\bullet} := R p_* \left(\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}^{\bullet} \otimes \omega_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})} \right) [-1].$$

Then there exists a perfect obstruction theory

$$\phi : E_{\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}^{\bullet}.$$

Therefore there exists a virtual fundamental class $[\chi(\overline{\Sigma})]^{\text{vir}} \in A_{\text{vd}}(\chi(\overline{\Sigma}))$. This proves Donaldson's conjecture for the existence of virtual fundamental class in [13, §5].

The virtual dimension $\text{vd} = 1$ is calculated in [13, §5]. The moduli stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ is smooth of dimension 2, but has wrong dimension.

We briefly review the calculation of virtual dimension. We actually have for a sextic hypersurface S_6 ,

$$\dim H^1(S_6, T_{S_6})^G = 2; \quad \dim H^2(S_6, T_{S_6})^G = 1$$

where the calculation in [13, §5] is given as follows. Look at the Euler sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T^* \mathbb{P}^3(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T^* \mathbb{P}^3(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking sections gives:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(T^* \mathbb{P}^3(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow S^2(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the canonical line bundle $K_{S_6} \cong \mathcal{O}_{S_6}(2)$, we have

$$H^0(T^* S_6 \otimes K_{S_6}) \cong \Lambda^2(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4})$$

and the G -equivariant part of $\Lambda^2(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4})$ is 1-dimensional spanned by $\omega = dx_1 dy_1 + dx_2 dy_2$. By Serre duality, the obstruction space $H^2(S_6, T_{S_6})^G = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$.

The moduli stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ admits an obstruction bundle which is a line bundle such that over points $t \in \chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ representing a sextic surface S_6 , it is given by the obstruction space $H^2(S_6, T_{S_6})^G = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^1$. As proved in [13, Page 24], the obstruction bundle is given by studying the section $s_{\omega} \in T^* \mathbb{P}^3(2)$ defined by the symplectic

form ω on \mathbb{A}_k^4 . We omit the details and more precise proof is in [13, Page 24]. We denote by L_{Ob} the obstruction bundle. Since the moduli stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ is a smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stack, standard perfect obstruction theory in [6] implies that the virtual fundamental class is just:

$$[\chi(\overline{\Sigma})]^{\text{vir}} = e(L_{\text{Ob}}) \cap [\chi(\overline{\Sigma})] \in A_1(\chi(\overline{\Sigma})).$$

In the new toric Deligne-Mumford stack $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$, we have two divisors

$$D_{II} := \chi(\overline{\Sigma}/\mathbb{R}_{(-2,-1)}); \quad D_{III} := \chi(\overline{\Sigma}/\mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}).$$

The coarse moduli space of these two substacks are all isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , and is the same as the closed substack in $M^{GIT} = [\mathbb{P}^2/\mu_2]$ corresponding to the rays $\mathbb{R}_{(-2,-1)}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{(0,1)}$. Donaldson [13, Formula (19)] calculated that

$$\langle -c_1(L_{\text{Ob}}), D_{II} \rangle = -1/4.$$

Also [13, Formula (17)] calculated that

$$\langle c_1(\lambda_2), D_{II} \rangle = 12.$$

So $c_1(L_{\text{Ob}}) = \frac{1}{48}c_1(\lambda_2)$ and

$$(6.2.3) \quad \text{PD}[\chi(\overline{\Sigma})]^{\text{vir}} = \frac{1}{48}c_1(\lambda_2).$$

Also Donaldson calculated

$$\langle c_1(\lambda_2)^2, [\chi(\overline{\Sigma})] \rangle = 288$$

in [13, Formula (18)] using the property of the line bundle λ_2 . Thus

$$(6.2.4) \quad \langle c_1(\lambda_2), [\chi(\overline{\Sigma})]^{\text{vir}} \rangle = 6.$$

6.2.4. Tautological invariants. Let us calculate one tautological invariant following [13, §5.3]. There are two MMM-classes associated to the characteristic classes $c_1^3, c_1c_2^2$. Donaldson calculated the integration of these classes against the virtual fundamental class $[\chi(\overline{\Sigma})]^{\text{vir}}$.

Consider the CM line bundle $L_{\text{CM}} := \lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma}), K_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})})$ in §5.1. We have

$$\lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma}), K_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}) := \lambda_3^4 \otimes \lambda_2^{-6},$$

where λ_2, λ_3 are line bundles on $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$. Serre duality implies that $\lambda_3 \cong \lambda_2^2$. Thus

$$\lambda_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma}), K_{\mathcal{M}/\chi(\overline{\Sigma})}) := \lambda_2^2.$$

Then $L_{\text{CM}} = \lambda_2^2$. The tautological invariant in Definition 5.1 is:

$$I_{\text{CM}} = \int_{[\overline{M}_{g^2, \chi}]^{\text{vir}}} c_1(L_{\text{CM}}) = 12$$

from (6.2.4).

Remark 6.3. Donaldson [13, §5.4] relates the KSBA compactification $\chi(\overline{\Sigma})$ to some moduli space of stable maps to $\mathbb{P}^2/(\mu_2 \times \mu_2)$ and probably Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}^2/(\mu_2 \times \mu_2)$. It is very interesting to explore its deep relationship.

6.3. Short discussion on moduli stack of sextic surfaces. Let $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ be the KSBA moduli stack of sextic surfaces S with $K_S^2 = 24, \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 11$. Although it seems hard to obtain explicitly all the boundary divisors of $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ which contain s.l.c. sextic surfaces with quotient singularities, in [27] Horikawa classifies all the deformation of smooth sextic hypersurfaces. Let us review [27, Theorem 1]. Let S be a smooth sextic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 , then the line bundle K_S is divisible by 2 which we denote by $2L = K_S$. From [27, Lemma 2.1], $h^0(S, L) = 4$, thus the line bundle L determines a morphism

$$\phi_L : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3.$$

Then from [27, Theorem 1], there are totally six deformations of S associated with the morphism ϕ_L .

Ia: S is birationally equivalent to a sextic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 with at most RDP's as singularities;

Ib: ϕ_L is a generically 2-fold map onto a cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 ;

Ic: ϕ_L is a generically 3-fold map onto a quadratic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 ;

IIa: ϕ_L is a generically 2-fold map onto a smooth quadratic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 ;

IIb: ϕ_L is a generically 2-fold map onto a singular quadratic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 ;

III: ϕ_L is composed of a pencil of curves of genus 3 of non-hyperelliptic type.

In [27] Horikawa gave explicit constructions for each possible deformation. We list all the constructions as complete intersection surfaces in weighted projective spaces:

Ia: The surface S of type Ia is a sextic hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ given by a degree 6 homogeneous polynomial with only RDP's as singularities.

Ib: The surface S of type Ib is a complete intersection surface in $\mathbb{P}(3, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ with coordinates (w, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) of weights $(3, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ given by

$$g = 0; \quad w^2 + f = 0$$

where $g = g(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is cubic function and $f = f(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is a degree 6 homogeneous polynomial.

Ic: The surface S of type Ic is a complete intersection surface in $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ with coordinates (u, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) of weights $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ given by

$$g = 0; \quad u^3 + A_2u^2 + A_4u + A_6 = 0$$

where $g = g(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is of degree 2 and $A_{2j} = A_{2j}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are degree $2j$ homogeneous polynomials.

IIa and IIb: For a surface S of type IIa or IIb, its canonical model is in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ with coordinates $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, u, w)$ of weights $(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$ defined by:

$$q = 0; \quad x_0u = h; \quad w^2 = u^3 + A_2u^2 + A_4u + A_6$$

where q, h, A_{2j} are homogeneous polynomials in x_i of degree $2, 3, 2j$ respectively.

III: From [27, §6], the surface of type III can be given as a complete intersection of the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3)$ with coordinates $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, z, w)$ of weights $(1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3)$ defined by:

$$\Phi_i = 0; \quad \Psi_i = 0; \quad \Gamma_i = 0, \quad \Delta = 0.$$

Here $\Phi_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ are of degree 2, $\Psi_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ are of degree 3, $\Gamma_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ are of degree 4, and Δ has degree 6.

We can perform the same calculation as in (6.1.3) to calculate the cohomology of such complete intersection surfaces S :

$$\begin{cases} H^1(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^{68}; \\ H^2(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^6. \end{cases}$$

Let $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}} \subset \overline{M}_{24,11}$ be the closure of Gieseker moduli stack $M_{24,11} \subset \overline{M}_{24,11}$.

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose that we know all the boundary divisors consisting s.l.c. sextic surfaces in $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$, the moduli stack $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$ is an irreducible Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension 68.*

Proof. From [26, Theorem 2], the Gieseker moduli stack $M_{24,11}$ (without the KSBA compactification) is irreducible. There may have some other irreducible components in $\overline{M}_{24,11}$ consisting of singular s.l.c. sextic surfaces. But the closure $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$, should be irreducible. For the dimension of the moduli stack, note that the dimension of the homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbf{k}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ modulo equivalence is:

$$84 - 16 = 68.$$

This locus contains all the type Ia surfaces, i.e., the sextic hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^3 . All the other types of deformation surfaces above should belong to the boundary divisor since the moduli stack is irreducible. Therefore the dimension of the moduli stack is 68. \square

Conjecture 6.5. *Over the s.l.c. sextic surfaces S in all the boundary divisors of $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$, the cohomology of the tangent sheaf of S is given by*

$$H^1(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^{68}; \quad H^2(S, T_S) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^6.$$

Remark 6.6. *In the case of moduli stack $\overline{M}_{5,5}$ of numerical quintics, the boundary divisors consisting of a unique Wahl singularity $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$ were found in [52], where the only cases of minimal surfaces with a unique Wahl singularity are of type $\frac{1}{4}(1, 1)$ and $\frac{1}{9}(2, 5)$, and the case $\frac{1}{9}(2, 5)$ is impossible proved in [52].*

In the case of sextic surfaces, from calculation there are totally possible 29 cases of the unique Wahl singularity in the minimal surfaces in the boundary divisors, which makes the calculation much more complicated. Thanks for Debaditya Raychaudhury for valuable discussions and the detailed explanation.

Let us only consider the moduli stack $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$ such that all of its boundary divisors consist of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of class T -singularities. Let $f : \overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}} \rightarrow \overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$ be the index one covering Deligne-Mumford stack.

Thus from the conjecture we have:

Proposition 6.7. *Under the conjecture 6.5, there exists a rank 6 nontrivial obstruction bundle $\text{Ob} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}$ such that over any surface $S \in \overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$, the fiber is given by $T_{\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{G}}^2(S)$. Assume that the obstruction bundle Ob is nontrivial, then the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}]_{\text{vir}} \in A_{62}(\overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}})$ is given by*

$$[\overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}]_{\text{vir}} = e(\text{Ob}) \cap [\overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}].$$

Proof. Since under the conjecture the moduli stack $\overline{M}^{\text{sextic}}$ and $\overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}$ are projective Deligne-Mumford stacks and the obstruction bundle $\text{Ob} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{\text{ind}}^{\text{sextic}}$ is nontrivial, then standard argument in the perfect obstruction theory shows that the virtual fundamental class is just the Euler class of the obstruction bundle. \square

Remark 6.8. *It is very interesting to check if Conjecture 6.5 holds, and calculate the tautological invariants for the moduli stack $\overline{M}_{24,11}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexeev, Moduli spaces $M_{g,n}(W)$ for surfaces, in *Higher dimensional complex varieties*, Trento, 1994, 1-22.
- [2] V. Alexeev and S. Mori, Bounding singular surfaces of general type, In: Christensen C., Sathaye A., Sundaram G., Bajaj C. (eds) *Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry with Applications*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [3] M. Artin, Versal deformations and algebraic stacks, *Invent. Math.*, 27, 165- 189 (1974).
- [4] K. Behrend, Donaldson-Thomas invariants via microlocal geometry, *Ann. Math.* (2009), Vol. 170, No.3, 1307-1338, math.AG/0507523.
- [5] K. Behrend, Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry, *Invent. Math.* 127, 601-617(1997).
- [6] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, The intrinsic normal cone, alg-geom/9601010, *Invent. Math.* 128 (1997), no. 1, 45-88.
- [7] D. Borisov and D. Joyce, Virtual fundamental classes for moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau four-folds, *Geom. Topol.* 21 (2017), 3231–3311, arXiv:1504.00690.
- [8] L. Borisov, L. Chen and G. Smith, The orbifold Chow ring of toric Deligne-Mumford stacks, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18 (2005),no.1,193-215, math.AG/0309229.
- [9] L. Borisov and R. Horja, On the K-theory of smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks, *Snowbird lectures on string geometry*, 21-42, Contemp. Math., 401, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, arXiv:math/0503277.
- [10] E. Brieskorn, Rationale Singularitäten komplexer Flächen, *Invent. Math.*, 4, 336-358 (1968).
- [11] F. Catanese, On the moduli spaces of surfaces of general type, *Journal Diff. Geometry*, 19 (1984) 483-515.
- [12] P. Deligne, and D. Mumford, The irreducibility for the space of curves of given genus, *Publications Mathematiques, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 36:75-109, 1969.
- [13] S. K. Donaldson, Fredholm topology and enumerative geometry: reflections on some words of Michael Atiyah, *Proceedings of 26th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, 1-31.
- [14] P. Engel, A proof of Looijenga’s conjecture via integral-affine geometry, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 109(3): 467-495, 2018.
- [15] C. Faber, A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves, *Moduli of Curves and Abelian Varieties (The Dutch Intercity Seminar on Moduli)*, *Aspects of Mathematics* E 33, 109-129, Vieweg, Wiesbaden 1999. arXiv:math/9711218.
- [16] J. Fine and J. Ross, A note on positivity of the CM line bundle, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (2006), 14 pages, ID95875.
- [17] M. Franciosi, R. Pardini and S. Rollenske, Gorenstein stable surfaces with $K_X^2 = 1$ and $p_g > 0$, arXiv:1511.03238.
- [18] M. Franciosi, R. Pardini, J. Rana and S. Rollenske, I-surfaces with one T-singularity, arXiv:2012:05838.
- [19] R. Friedman, Global smoothing of varieties with normal crossings, *Annals Math.*, 118 (1983), 75-114.
- [20] D. Gieseker, Global moduli for surfaces of general type, *Invent. Math.*, 43(3):233-282, 1977.
- [21] M. Gross, P. Hacking and S. Keel, Mirror symmetry for log Calabi-Yau surfaces I, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci.*, 122:65-168, (2015).
- [22] P. Hacking, Compact moduli of plane curves, *Duke Math. Journal*, Vol. 124, No. 2 (2004), 213-257.
- [23] C.D. Hacon, J. MacKernan, and C. Xu, Boundedness of moduli of varieties of general type, *J. Euro. Math. Soc.* 20 (2018), Issue 4, 865-901, arXiv:1412.1186.
- [24] C.D. Hacon, J. MacKernan, and C. Xu, On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type, *Annals of Math.* 177 (2013), Issue 3, 1077-1111.
- [25] C.D. Hacon, J. MacKernan, and C. Xu, Boundedness of varieties of log general type, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 97.1, 2018.
- [26] E. Horikawa, On deformations of quintic surfaces, *Invent. Math.*, 31, 43-85 (1975).
- [27] E. Horikawa, Deformations of sextic surfaces, *Topology*, 32 (1993), no. 4, 757-772.
- [28] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, *Aspects of Mathematics*, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997. MR MR1450870 (98g:14012).
- [29] L. Illusie, Complexe cotangent et déformations, *LNM* 239, 283, Springer, 1971-2.
- [30] L. Illusie, Cotangent complex and deformations of torsors and group schemes, in *Toposes, algebraic geometry and logics*, *LNM* 274, Springer, 1972, p. 159-189.

- [31] Y. Jiang, The orbifold cohomology of simplicial toric stack bundles, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 52, No.2 (2008), 493-514, math.AG/0504563.
- [32] Y. Jiang, A note on higher obstruction spaces for surface singularities, preprint, arXiv:2112.10679.
- [33] Y. Kawamata, Crepant blowings-up of three dimensional canonical singularities and its application to degenerations of surfaces, *Ann. Math.*, Vol. 127, No. 1 (1988), 93-163.
- [34] F. Knudsen and D. Mumford, The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I: Preliminaries on det and div, *Math. Scand.*, 39 (1976), 19-55.
- [35] J. Kollar, Moduli of varieties of general type, *Handbook of Moduli: Volume II*, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 24, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, pp. 115-130, arXiv:1008.0621.
- [36] J. Kollar and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities, *Invent. Math.*, 91, 299-338 (1998).
- [37] J. Kollar, Projectivity of complete moduli, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 32 (1990), no.1, 235-268.
- [38] J. Kollar, Moduli of varieties of general type (2017). Book in preparation.
- [39] S. J. Kovacs and Z. Patakfalvi, Projectivity of the moduli space of stable log-varieties and subadditivity of log-Kodaira dimension, arXiv:1503.02952.
- [40] A. Kretsch, Cycle groups for Artin stacks, *Invent. Math.*, 138, 495-536 (1999).
- [41] H. Laufer, On minimally elliptic singularities, *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol. 99, No. 6 (1977), 1257-1295.
- [42] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs Algébriques*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*.
- [43] J. Li and G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11, 119-174, 1998, math.AG/9602007.
- [44] R. Lichtenbaum and M. Schlessinger, The cotangent complex of a morphism, *Transaction AMS*, Vol. 128, No. 1 (Jul., 1967), 41-70.
- [45] E. Looijenga, Rational surfaces with an anticanonical cycle, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 114 (2):267-322, 1981.
- [46] W. D. Neumann and J. Wahl, Universal abelian covers of quotient-cusps, *Math. Ann.* 326, 75-93 (2003).
- [47] W. D. Neumann and J. Wahl, The End Curve theorem for normal complex surface singularities, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 12 (2010), no. 2, 471-503.
- [48] W. D. Neumann, A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves, *Transaction A.M.S.*, Vol. 268, No.2, (1981), 299-344.
- [49] F. Nironi, Grothendieck duality for Deligne-Mumford stacks, arXiv:0811.1955.
- [50] J. Oh and R. P. Thomas, Counting sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds, I, arXiv:2009.05542.
- [51] Z. Patakfalvi and C. Xu, Ampleness of CM line bundle on the moduli space of canonically polarized varieties, arXiv:1503.08668.
- [52] J. Rana, A boundary divisor in the moduli space of stable quintic surfaces, *International Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 28, No. 04, (2017).
- [53] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, Relations in the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves, arXiv:1301.4561.
- [54] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixton, D. Zvonkine, Relations on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ via 3-spin structures, *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 28 (2015) 1, 279-309, arXiv:1303.1043.
- [55] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category, *Invent. Math.*, vol.178, 407-447 (2009).
- [56] M. Reid, Canonical 3-folds, in *Algebraic Geometry Angers 1979*, 273-310.
- [57] A. Simonetti, \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant smoothings of cusps singularities, arXiv:2201.02871.
- [58] Stack Project: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/download/stacks-morphisms.pdf>.
- [59] N. I. Shepherd-Barron, Degenerations with numerically effective canonical divisor, *The birational geometry of degenerations*, *Progress in Mathematics*, Vol. 29, 33-84.
- [60] J. Stevens, Degenerations of elliptic curves and equations for cusp singularities, *Math. Ann.*, vol. 311, 199-222 (1998), arXiv:alg-geom/9512014.
- [61] J. Stevens, Higher cotangent cohomology of rational surface singularities, *Compositio Math.* 140 (2004) 528-540.
- [62] Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas, Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces I: stable case, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 29 (2020), 603-668, arXiv:1702.08487.
- [63] G. Tian, Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature, *Invent. Math.*, 130 (1997), no. 1, 1-37.
- [64] R. P. Thomas, A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations, *J. Differential Geom.*, 54, 367-438, 2000. math.AG/9806111.
- [65] A. Vistoli, Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces, *Invent. Math.*, 97 (1989) 613-670.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 405 SNOW HALL 1460 JAYHAWK BLVD, LAWRENCE KS 66045 USA

Email address: y.jiang@ku.edu