

# FANO FOLIATIONS WITH SMALL ALGEBRAIC RANKS

JIE LIU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the algebraic ranks of foliations on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective varieties. We start by establishing a Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for Fano foliations in terms of algebraic rank. We then investigate the local positivity of the anti-canonical divisors of foliations, obtaining a lower bound for the algebraic rank of a foliation in terms of Seshadri constant. We describe those foliations whose algebraic rank slightly exceeds this bound and classify Fano foliations on smooth projective varieties attaining this bound. Finally we construct several examples to illustrate the general situation, which in particular allow us to answer a question asked by Araujo and Druel on the generalised indices of foliations.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Seshadri constant and Fano varieties	4
3. Foliation	7
4. Bounding the algebraic rank	10
5. Fano foliations with maximal Seshadri constants	16
6. Examples and related questions	19
References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *Fano variety* is a normal projective variety  $X$  such that  $-K_X$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor. The *Fano index* of  $X$  is the largest positive rational number  $\iota_X$  such that  $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \iota_X H$  for a Cartier divisor  $H$  on  $X$  and it can be viewed as an invariant measuring the global positivity of the anti-canonical divisor  $-K_X$  of  $X$ . When  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional smooth Fano variety, a classical theorem of Kobayashi and Ochiai [KO73] asserts that  $\iota_X \leq n + 1$  with equality if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and this result can also be generalised to singular Fano varieties, see [Fuj89, Mae90, AD14] and Theorem 2.4.

Similar ideas can be also applied to the context of *foliations* on normal projective varieties (with mild singularities). One of the central problem in the theory of foliations is to find conditions that guarantee the existence of algebraic leaves and the notion of *algebraic rank* was introduced to measure the algebraicity of leaves [AD19, Definition 2.4]. More precisely, the algebraic rank  $r^a$  of a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  on a normal projective

---

*Date:* 8th June 2022.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J45, 14E30, 32M25, 32S65.

*Key words and phrases.* Seshadri constant, holomorphic foliation, algebraic rank, Fano varieties.

variety  $X$  is the maximum dimension of an algebraic subvariety through a general point of  $X$  that is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, these maximal algebraic subvarieties tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$  are actually the leaves of a subfoliation  $\mathcal{F}^a$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is called the *algebraic part* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We refer the reader to [LPT18, Lemma 2.4] and Definition 3.2 for more details.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation on a normal projective variety  $X$ . The *canonical class*  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Weil divisor  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F})$ . A *Fano foliation*  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  is a foliation such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor. We have the following two notions which generalise the Fano indices of Fano varieties.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor.*

(1.1.1) *If  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big, the generalised index  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as follows:*

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid -K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv tH + P, \text{ where } H \text{ is an ample Cartier Weil divisor and } P \text{ is a pseudoeffective } \mathbb{R}\text{-Cartier Weil } \mathbb{R}\text{-divisor}\}.$$

(1.1.2) *If  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is ample, the Fano index  $\iota(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as the largest rational number such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \iota(\mathcal{F})H$  for some ample Cartier Weil divisor  $H$ .*

Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem was successfully generalised to Fano foliations in the last decades, see [ADK08, AD13, AD14, Hör14, AD19] and the references therein. Our first theorem slightly generalises these results, see also [AD19, Theorem 1.5].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation on  $X$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor. Then  $r^a \geq \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$ .*

As an application we obtain a Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem for foliations. See Example 4.4 for the notion of normal generalised cone and see also [ADK08, Theorem 1.1], [AD14, Theorem 1.2], [Hör14, Theorem 1.3] and [AD19, Corollary 1.6] for related results.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a Fano foliation on  $X$ . Then  $r^a \geq \iota(\mathcal{F})$  and the equality holds only if  $X$  is a normal generalised cone over a polarised  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety  $(T, \mathcal{L})$  with vertex  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a-1}$  and there exists a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $T$  with  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pull-back of  $\mathcal{G}$  under the natural map  $h : X \dashrightarrow T$ .*

Next we study the local positivity of the anti-canonical divisors of foliations. Recall that Demailly introduced in [Dem92] the notion of *Seshadri constant* to measure the local positivity of nef divisors on projective varieties at a smooth point.

**Definition 1.4.** *Let  $D$  be a nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on a normal projective variety  $X$  and  $x \in X$  a smooth point. The Seshadri constant of  $D$  at  $x$  is defined as*

$$\epsilon(D, x) := \inf \frac{D \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x C},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves  $C$  passing through  $x$ . We denote by  $\epsilon(L)$  to be the maximum of  $\epsilon(L, x)$  as  $x$  varies over all smooth points of  $X$ .

This invariant has many interesting properties. For example, we have the following theorem proved by Zhuang in [Zhu18], which can be regarded as the local analogue of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem, see also [BS09] and [LZ18] for related results.

**Theorem 1.5** ([Zhu18, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7]). *Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional normal projective variety such that  $-K_X$  is a nef and big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor. Then*

- (1.5.1)  $\epsilon(-K_X) \leq n + 1$ , and
- (1.5.2)  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  if  $\epsilon(-K_X) > n$ , and
- (1.5.3)  $X$  is rationally connected if  $\epsilon(-K_X) > n - 1$ .

In the following we aim to generalise Theorem 1.5 to foliations and it can be viewed as the local counterpart of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for foliations, see [AD19, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6] for related results.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv A + P$ , where  $A$  is a nef Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor and  $P$  is a pseudoeffective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. Then  $r^a \geq \epsilon(A)$ .*

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.6.

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  nef. Then  $r^a \geq \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ .*

Now we address the rationally connectedness of general leaves of the algebraic part  $\mathcal{F}^a$  of a Fano foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  with Seshadri constant  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) > r^a - 1$ , see [AD19, Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9] and Section 6.3 for related results and further discussion.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv A + P$ , where  $A$  is a nef and big Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor and  $P$  is a pseudoeffective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. If  $r^a < \epsilon(A) + 1$ , then the closure of a general leaf of the algebraic part  $\mathcal{F}^a$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is rationally connected.*

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.8.

**Corollary 1.9.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  nef and big. If  $r^a < \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) + 1$ , then the closure of a general leaf of the algebraic part  $\mathcal{F}^a$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is rationally connected.*

In the viewpoint of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for Fano foliations, it is natural to ask if it is possible to classify those Fano foliations such that the Seshadri constants of their anti-canonical divisors are equal to their algebraic ranks. In the following we give a full list for such foliations on smooth projective varieties, see [AD19, Corollary 1.6].

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a Fano foliation on an  $n$ -dimensional projective manifold  $X$ . Then  $r^a \leq \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$  if and only if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the linear pull-back of a purely transcendental foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r^a}$  with zero canonical class.*

The sharpness of the results above will be discussed in Section 6. In particular, we will construct several examples to illustrate the possibilities of the values of  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\iota(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$  in general setting. The main result of Section 6 can be read as follows.

**Proposition 1.11.** *Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of integers such that  $0 < r < n$  and  $n \geq 3$ .*

- (1.11.1) *For any rational number  $0 < c \leq r$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional projective manifold  $X$  and a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big and  $\epsilon(\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = c$ , where  $H$  is an ample Cartier Weil divisor such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} - \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H$  is pseudoeffective.*

- (1.11.2) For any rational number  $0 < c \leq \min\{r, n - 2\}$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  with klt singularities and a Fano foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  such that  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = c$ .
- (1.11.3) For any rational number  $0 < c \leq r$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  with klt singularities and a Fano foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  such that  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = c$ .

Our statement is actually a bit more general. For  $n = 2$ , we will construct some foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on surfaces in Example 6.7 to show that the rational numbers  $c$  contained in the set  $\{1 - 1/a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  can be realised as the generalised index of  $\mathcal{F}$  as in (1.11.1). In particular, this allows us to give a positive answer to a question proposed by Araujo and Druel on the generalised indices of foliations on projective manifolds (see Example 6.7) and we refer the reader to [AD19, Question 4.4] or Question 6.4 for a precise statement. For  $r = n - 1$ , we will construct some codimension one algebraically integrable foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  in Example 6.13 to show that the rational numbers  $c$  contained in the set  $\{n - 2 + 1/a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$  can be realised as the Fano index of  $\mathcal{F}$  as in (1.11.2). However, we do not know whether there exist Fano foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on surfaces such that  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) < 1$  (cf. Question 6.14).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we collect some results concerning Seshadri constant and Fano varieties with large index or large Seshadri constant. In Section 3 we introduce the basic notions on foliations. In Section 4 we study the positivity of the anti-canonical divisors of foliations and then apply it to prove Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.10. In Section 6 we exhibit some examples and propose a few interesting questions. In particular we prove Proposition 1.11.

**Acknowledgements.** I am grateful to Stéphane Druel and Andreas H\"oring for their helpful comments. This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFA1002300) and the NSFC grants (No. 11688101 and No. 12001521).

## 2. SESHADRI CONSTANT AND FANO VARIETIES

Throughout this paper we work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will frequently use the terminology and results of the minimal model program (MMP) as explained in [KM98]. We refer to Lazarsfeld's books for notions of positivity of  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors, in particular [Laz04, § 5] for a general discussion on Seshadri constant.

**2.1. Basic properties of Seshadri constant.** In this subsection we briefly recall some basic properties of Seshadri constant.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on a normal projective variety  $X$ .*

- (2.1.1)  $D$  is big if and only if  $\epsilon(D) > 0$ .
- (2.1.2) For a very general point  $x \in X$ , we have  $\epsilon(D) = \epsilon(D, x)$ .
- (2.1.3) If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a birational morphism and  $y \in Y$  is a smooth point such that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism in a neighbourhood of  $y$ , then we have

$$\epsilon(\pi^*D, y) = \epsilon(D, \pi(y)).$$

(2.1.4) Let  $Y \subset X$  be a closed subvariety and  $y \in Y$  be a smooth point of both  $Y$  and  $X$ . Then we have

$$\epsilon(D, y) \leq \epsilon(D|_Y, y).$$

*Proof.* The statement (2.1.1) follows from [Laz04, Proposition 5.1.9] and the statement (2.1.2) follows the lower semi-continuity of the Seshadri function  $\epsilon(D, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , see for instance [Laz04, Example 5.1.11]. The statements (2.1.3) and (2.1.4) are direct consequences of the definition.  $\square$

**2.2. Negativity lemma.** Let  $Y \rightarrow Z$  be a projective morphism of varieties and  $D$  a  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Y$ . We say that  $D$  is *nef on the very general curves of  $Y/Z$*  if there is a countable union of proper closed subsets  $W$  of  $Y$  such that  $D \cdot C \geq 0$  for any curve  $C$  on  $Y$  contracted over  $Z$  satisfying  $C \not\subseteq W$ . We need the following general negativity lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (Negativity Lemma I, [Bir12, Lemma 3.3]). *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  be a birational contraction and let  $D$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Y$  written as  $D = D^+ - D^-$  with  $D^+, D^- \geq 0$  having no common components. Assume that  $D^-$  is  $f$ -exceptional and for each irreducible component  $S$  of  $D^-$ , the restriction  $-D|_S$  is nef on the very general curves of  $S/Z$ . Then,  $D \geq 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** (Negativity Lemma II). *Let  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be a fibration between normal projective varieties and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a birational contraction to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$ . Let  $D$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Y$  such that there exists an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $N$  such that  $N$  does not dominate  $Z$  and  $D - N$  is movable. Then there exist effective  $f$ -exceptional Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  having no common components such that  $E^-$  does not dominate  $Z$  and  $D + E^+ - E^- = f^*(f_*D)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  be effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors on  $Y$  having no common components such that

$$D + E^+ - E^- = f^*(f_*D).$$

It remains to show that  $E^-$  does not dominant  $Z$ . As  $f_*N$  is an effective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ , there exist  $f$ -exceptional effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors  $E_N^+$  and  $E_N^-$  having no common components such that

$$N + E_N^+ - E_N^- = f^*(f_*N).$$

As  $N$  does not dominate  $Z$  and  $f^*(f_*N)$  is effective, the effective divisor  $E_N^-$  does not dominate  $Z$ . On the other hand, as  $P := D - N$  is movable, for any  $f$ -exceptional prime divisor  $S$ , the restriction  $P|_S$  is pseudoeffective and hence  $(P - f^*(f_*P))|_S$  is nef on the very general curves of  $S/X$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.2, there exists an effective  $f$ -exceptional Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $E_P$  such that  $P + E_P = f^*(f_*P)$ . As a consequence, we have

$$E^+ - E^- = f^*(f_*D) - D = f^*(f_*N) - N + f^*(f_*P) - P = E_N^+ - E_N^- + E_P.$$

This yields  $E^- \leq E_N^-$  and hence  $E^-$  does not dominate  $Z$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Weak log Fano varieties.** Firstly let us recall the Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem in the singular setting which was proved by Araujo and Druel in [AD14]. Recall that a pair  $(X, \Delta)$  consists of a normal projective variety  $X$  and an effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  such that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier.

**Theorem 2.4** ([AD14, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional pair such that  $-(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \iota A$  for an ample Cartier divisor  $A$  on  $X$  and  $\iota \in \mathbb{Q}$ .*

(2.4.1) *If  $\iota > n$ , then  $n < \iota \leq n + 1$ ,  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\deg(\Delta) < n + 1 - \iota$ .*

(2.4.2) *If  $\iota = n$ , then either  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\deg(\Delta) = 1$ , or  $\Delta = 0$  and  $X$  is isomorphic to a (possibly singular) quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ .*

*In particular, if  $\iota \geq n$ , then  $(X, \Delta)$  is klt unless  $(X, \Delta) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, H)$ , where  $H$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .*

*Proof.* By [AD14, Theorem 1.1], it remains to prove the last statement. If  $X$  is isomorphic to a normal quadric hypersurface, then it is well-known that  $X$  is isomorphic to a cone over a smooth quadric and hence it has only klt singularities (cf. Example 4.4). On the other hand, if  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ , by [AD14, Lemma 2.2], the pair  $(\mathbb{P}^n, \Delta)$  with  $\deg(\Delta) \leq 1$  is klt unless  $\Delta$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .  $\square$

Next we collect some results from [Zhu18] on weak log Fano varieties  $(X, \Delta)$  such that the anti-log canonical divisor  $-(K_X + \Delta)$  has large Seshadri constant. We start with the following simple observation.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  be a birational morphism between normal projective varieties. Assume that there exists a birational contraction  $g : X \dashrightarrow Z$  to a normal projective variety  $Z$  such that  $g^*A = h^*D$ , where  $A$  is an ample  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Z$  and  $D$  is a nef  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $Y$ . Then  $g$  factors through  $h$ , i.e., there exists a birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $g = f \circ h$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu : W \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of  $g : X \dashrightarrow Z$  and denote by  $\nu := g \circ \mu : W \rightarrow Z$  the induced birational morphism. As  $g^*A = h^*D$ , there exists a unique  $\mu$ -exceptional divisor  $E$  such that

$$\nu^*A = \mu^*h^*D + E.$$

As  $A$  is ample and  $E$  is  $(h \circ \mu)$ -exceptional, by negativity lemma (cf. Lemma 2.2), we get  $-E \geq 0$ . On the other hand, as  $D$  is nef and  $E$  is  $\nu$ -exceptional, it follows from negativity lemma again that  $E \geq 0$  and hence  $E = 0$ . In particular, as  $A$  is ample and  $D$  is nef, every curve contracted by  $h \circ \mu : W \rightarrow Y$  is contracted by  $\nu : W \rightarrow Z$ . In particular, by rigidity result [Deb01, Lemma 1.15], the morphism  $\nu$  factors through  $h \circ \mu$ , i.e. there exists a birational morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $f \circ h \circ \mu = \nu = g \circ \mu$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6** ([Zhu18, Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 5.6]). *Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional pair such that  $A := -(K_X + \Delta)$  is nef.*

(2.6.1) *If  $\epsilon(A) > n - 1$ , then  $X$  is rationally connected.*

(2.6.2) *If  $\epsilon(A) \geq n$  and  $(X, \Delta)$  is not klt, then  $\epsilon(A) = n$  and there exists a birational morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  with a hyperplane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that*

$$\Delta = f_*^{-1}H \quad \text{and} \quad K_X + \Delta = f^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^n} + H).$$



*Proof.* The first statement follows from [Zhu18, Theorem 1.5]. Here we note that the boundary  $\Delta$  is assumed to be an effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor in [Zhu18, Theorem 1.5], however the proof still works for  $\Delta$  being an effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. To prove the second statement, note that it follows from [Zhu18, Lemma 5.6] that such a birational map  $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  exists as a rational map. We remark again that the proof given there also works for the boundary  $\Delta$  being a Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. Since  $-(K_{\mathbb{P}^n} + H)$  is ample, applying Lemma 2.5 to  $\text{Id} : X \rightarrow X$  and  $f : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  yields that  $f$  is actually a morphism.  $\square$

### 3. FOLIATIONS

In this section we collect and recall basic facts concerning foliations.

**3.1. Basic notions of foliations.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf over a normal variety  $X$ . Then *rank*  $r$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be its rank at a general point of  $X$ . The dual sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . The *reflexive hull* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{F}^{**}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is called reflexive if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{**}$ . Given a positive integer  $m$ , we denote by  $\wedge^{[m]}\mathcal{F}$  the reflexive sheaf  $(\wedge^m \mathcal{F})^{**}$  and by  $\otimes^{[m]}\mathcal{F}$  the reflexive sheaf  $(\otimes^m \mathcal{F})^{**}$ . In particular, the *determinant*  $\det(\mathcal{F})$  is defined as  $\wedge^{[r]}\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism of varieties, then we write  $\pi^{[*]}\mathcal{F}$  for  $(\pi^*\mathcal{F})^{**}$ . If  $X \rightarrow B$  is a morphism, we denote by  $\Omega_{X/B}$  the relative Kähler differential and by  $T_{X/B}$  the dual sheaf  $\Omega_{X/B}^*$ . Moreover, for simplicity, we will write  $\Omega_{X/B}^r$  (resp.  $\Omega_{X/B}^{[r]}$ ) instead of  $\wedge^r \Omega_{X/B}$  (resp.  $\wedge^{[r]} \Omega_{X/B}$ ).

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal variety. A foliation on  $X$  is a non-zero coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  satisfying*

- (3.1.1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is saturated in  $T_X$ , i.e.  $T_X/\mathcal{F}$  is torsion free, and
- (3.1.2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under the Lie bracket.

The canonical class  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is any Weil divisor on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation of rank  $r$  on a normal variety  $X$ . Then we have a natural morphism  $\Omega_X^r \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{F}^*) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ , which induces a morphism

$$\eta : (\Omega_X^r \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}))^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

The *singular locus*  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be the closed subscheme of  $X$  whose ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is the image of  $\eta$ , see [AD14, Definition 3.4] for more details. In particular, if  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be *regular*.

Let  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a dominant rational map with connected fibres between normal varieties. Let  $X^\circ \subset X$  and  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  be the smooth open subsets such that the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $X^\circ$  induces a dominant morphism  $\varphi|_{X^\circ} = \varphi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a foliation on  $Y$ . The *pull-back of  $\mathcal{G}$  via  $\varphi$*  is defined as the unique foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ} = (d\varphi^\circ)^{-1}(\mathcal{G}|_{Y^\circ})$ . In this case we write  $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([LPT18, Lemma 2.4] and [AD19, Definition 2.4]). *Let  $X$  be a normal variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation on  $X$ . Then there exists a normal variety  $Y$ , unique up to birational equivalence, a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$  and a dominant rational map  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  with connected fibres such that Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation of rank  $r$  on a normal variety  $X$ . There exists a normal variety  $Y$ , unique up to birational equivalence, a dominant rational map with connected fibres  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ , and a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(3.2.1) the foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely transcendental, i.e., there is no algebraic subvariety with positive dimension through a general point of  $Y$  that is tangent to  $\mathcal{G}$ ;

(3.2.2) the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pull-back of  $\mathcal{G}$  via  $\varphi$ .

The foliation  $\mathcal{F}^a$  on  $X$  induced by the rational map  $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is called the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The algebraic rank of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as the rank of  $\mathcal{F}^a$  and we will denote it by  $r^a$ . Moreover, if  $r^a = r$ , then we say that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable. The foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$  is called the transcendental part of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraically integrable foliation on  $X$  of rank  $r > 0$  such that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let  $i : F \rightarrow X$  be the normalisation of the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By [AD14, Definition 3.11], there is a canonically defined effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta_F$  on  $F$  such that  $K_F + \Delta_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} i^* K_{\mathcal{F}}$ . This pair  $(F, \Delta_F)$  is called a *general log leaf* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $T'$  be the unique proper subvariety of the Chow variety of  $X$  whose general point parametrises the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  (viewed as a reduced and irreducible cycle in  $X$ ). Let  $T$  be the normalisation of  $T'$  and  $U \rightarrow T' \times X$  the normalisation of the universal cycle, with induced morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\nu} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ & & T \end{array} \quad (3.2.3)$$

Then  $\nu : U \rightarrow X$  is birational and, for a general point  $t \in T$ , the image  $\nu(\pi^{-1}(t)) \subsetneq X$  is the closure of a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall call the diagram (3.2.3) the *family of leaves* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , see [AD14, Lemma 3.9]. Let  $m$  be the Cartier index of  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Thanks to [AD14, Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.12], the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  induces a natural generically surjective morphism

$$\otimes^m \Omega_{U/T}^r \longrightarrow \nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (3.2.4)$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_U$  be the algebraically integrable foliation on  $U$  induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ , or equivalently by  $\pi$ . Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$\Omega_{U/T}^{[r]} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_U(K_{\mathcal{F}_U}).$$

As  $\nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}})$  is invertible, after taking reflexive hull of  $\otimes^m \Omega_{U/T}^r$ , the morphism (3.2.4) yields

$$\otimes^m \Omega_{U/T}^r \rightarrow \otimes^{[m]} \Omega_{U/T}^r \rightarrow \otimes^{[m]} \Omega_{U/T}^{[r]} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_U(mK_{\mathcal{F}_U}) \rightarrow \nu^* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (3.2.5)$$

In particular, there exists a canonically defined effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta$  on  $U$  such that

$$K_{\mathcal{F}_U} + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \nu^* K_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Then  $\Delta$  is  $\nu$ -exceptional as  $\nu_* K_{\mathcal{F}_U} = K_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Moreover, for a general point  $t \in T$ , set  $U_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$  and  $\Delta_t := \Delta|_{U_t}$ . Then  $(U_t, \Delta_t)$  coincides with the general log leaf  $(F, \Delta_F)$  defined above. Here we note that  $\Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier along codimension one points of  $U_t$  and thus the restriction  $\Delta|_{U_t}$  is well-defined as Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors.

**Lemma 3.3** (Base change for algebraically integrable foliations). *Let  $X$ ,  $Z$  and  $T$  be normal algebraic varieties such that there exists an equidimensional dominant morphism  $f : X \rightarrow T$  and a quasi-finite morphism  $h : Z \rightarrow h(Z) \subseteq T$ . Assume that the fibres*



of  $f$  over the general points of  $h(Z)$  are irreducible. Let  $Y$  be the normalisation of the main component of  $X \times_T Z$ . Assume that the intersection  $g(Y) \cap (X_{\text{sing}} \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$  has codimension two in  $g(Y)$ , where  $g(Y)$  is the image of the natural morphism  $g : Y \rightarrow X$ . Then the following natural morphism

$$\eta : g^{[*]} \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_{\mathcal{G}}) \quad (3.3.1)$$

is an isomorphism for any integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are the foliations defined by the projections  $f : X \rightarrow T$  and  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Since reflexive coherent sheaves on normal varieties are determined over any open subset whose complementary has codimension at least two, thus we may assume that both  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth such that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are regular after removing subvarieties of codimension at least two in  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $F$  be an irreducible component of an arbitrary fibre of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow Z$ . Then  $g(F)$  is contained in a fibre of  $f : X \rightarrow T$  and hence  $g(F)$  is a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  by dimension counting. In particular, both  $F$  and  $h(F)$  are smooth. Let  $y \in F$  be a general point. As  $F \rightarrow h(F)$  is finite, the induced morphism  $T_F \rightarrow g^*T_{h(F)}$  is an isomorphism at  $y$ . In particular, as  $\mathcal{G}|_F = T_F$  and  $\mathcal{F}|_{h(F)} = T_{h(F)}$ , we see that the induced morphism  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow g^{[*]} \mathcal{F} = g^* \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism at  $y$ . On the other hand, if we assume furthermore that  $F$  is a general fibre of  $\pi$ , then  $F \rightarrow g(F)$  is a birational finite morphism and hence an isomorphism. This implies  $\mathcal{G} = g^* \mathcal{F}$  over general fibres of  $g$ . As a consequence, the induced morphism  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow g^{[*]} \mathcal{F} = g^* \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism in codimension one and hence an isomorphism. In particular, the natural morphism

$$g^{[*]} \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det(g^* \mathcal{F}^*)^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{G}^*)^{\otimes m}$$

is an isomorphism for any integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and we are done.  $\square$

**3.2. Log algebraic part of a general leaf.** Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation with algebraic rank  $r^a > 0$ . Let  $\pi : U \rightarrow T$  be the family of leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Let  $Z \rightarrow T$  be a resolution and let  $Y$  be the normalisation of the main component of  $U \times_T Z$ . Denote by  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  and by  $q : Y \rightarrow Z$  the induced morphisms. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \rho & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ Y & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{\nu} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ Z & \longrightarrow & T & & \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the unique foliation on  $Z$  such that  $q^{-1}\mathcal{G} = \rho^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{G}$  is the transcendental part of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $Z$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{Q}$  the reflexive hull of  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^a$ . Then clearly we have  $\rho_* q^* K_{\mathcal{G}} = K_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , where  $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is a Weil divisor on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{Q}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{Q})$ . In particular, there exists a canonically defined  $\rho$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$  on  $Y$  such that

$$q^* K_{\mathcal{G}} + E_{\mathcal{G}} = \rho^*(\rho_* \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}}) = \rho^* K_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the pull-back foliation  $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{F}^a$ , or equivalently the algebraically integrable foliation induced by  $q$ . Let  $E_{\mathcal{F}^a}$  be the canonically defined  $\rho$ -exceptional effective Weil

$\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $Y$  such that

$$K_{\mathcal{H}} + E_{\mathcal{F}^a} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* K_{\mathcal{F}^a}.$$

Then we obtain

$$K_{\mathcal{H}} + E_{\mathcal{F}^a} + E_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_{\mathcal{D}}) + E_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* K_{\mathcal{F}} - q^* K_{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (3.3.2)$$

We need the following remarkable result of Campana and Păun and we refer to [CP19, Theorem 1.1] and Theorem 5.3 for more details.

**Theorem 3.4** ([CP19]). *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a purely transcendental foliation such that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is pseudoeffective.*

**Lemma 3.5.** *Write  $E_{\mathcal{G}} = E_{\mathcal{G}}^+ - E_{\mathcal{G}}^-$  such that  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^+, E_{\mathcal{G}}^- \geq 0$  with no common components. Then  $E_{\mathcal{G}}^-$  does not dominate  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely transcendental,  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is pseudoeffective by Theorem 3.4. Let  $K_{\mathcal{G}} = N + P$  be the divisorial Zariski decomposition [Bou04, Nak04]. Since  $q$  is equidimensional, the pull-back  $q^*P$  is also movable. Then the result follows from Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

Let  $F$  be a general fibre of  $q$ . Set  $D_F = (E_{\mathcal{F}^a} + E_{\mathcal{G}})|_F$  and  $\Delta_F = E_{\mathcal{F}^a}|_F$ . Then the pair  $(F, \Delta_F)$  is nothing but the general log leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$  and Lemma 3.5 above says that  $D_F$  is an effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor satisfying  $D_F \geq \Delta_F$  and

$$K_F + D_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (K_{\mathcal{H}} + E_{\mathcal{F}^a} + E_{\mathcal{G}})|_F \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* K_{\mathcal{F}}|_F.$$

We will call the pair  $(F, D_F)$  the *log algebraic part of a general leaf* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable, then we have  $D_F = \Delta_F$  and the pair  $(F, D_F)$  is exactly the log leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### 4. BOUNDING THE ALGEBRAIC RANK

In this section we will study the lower bounds of the algebraic ranks of Fano foliations and the main goal is to prove Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6.

**4.1. Positivity of anti-canonical bundle of foliations.** We need the following theorem due to Araujo and Druel.

**Theorem 4.1** ([AD13, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety, and  $f : X \rightarrow C$  a surjective morphism with connected fibres onto a smooth curve. Let  $\Delta^+$  and  $\Delta^-$  be effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $X$  with no common components such that  $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(k\Delta^-) = \mathcal{O}_C$  for every nonnegative integer  $k$ . Set  $\Delta = \Delta^+ - \Delta^-$ , and assume that  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier.*

(4.1.1) *If  $(X, \Delta)$  is klt over the generic point of  $C$ , then  $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$  is not nef and big.*

(4.1.2) *If  $(X, \Delta)$  is lc over the generic point of  $C$ , then  $-(K_{X/C} + \Delta)$  is not ample.*

The following result is a variant of [AD14, Proposition 3.14] (see also [AD13, Proposition 5.8], [Dru17, Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 4.6] and [Liu19, Theorem 4.1]) and the proof is essentially a combination of arguments and results due to Araujo and Druel from [AD13, AD14].

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation on a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a > 0$ . Let  $i : F \rightarrow i(F) \subset X$  be the normalisation of the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Let  $P$  be an effective Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  and denote by  $D_P$  the pull-back  $i^*P$ .*

(4.2.1) *If the pair  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  is klt, then  $-(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P)$  is not nef and big.*

(4.2.2) *If the pair  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  is lc and  $-(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P)$  is ample, then there is a common point in the closure of general leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ .*

*Proof.* Throughout the proof we shall follow the notation in Section 3.2. In particular, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

such that  $Z$  is a smooth projective variety and the image of a general fibre of  $q$  under  $\rho$  is the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the foliation defined by  $q$ .

Let  $C$  be a general complete intersection curve in  $X$  which is disjoint from  $\text{Exc}(\rho)$ . Then we can identify  $C$  with  $\rho^{-1}(C) \subset Y$ . Let us denote  $q(C)$  by  $B$ . Then we may assume that  $Y_B := Y \times_B Z \rightarrow B$  is flat and the general fibres of  $Y_B \rightarrow B$  are reduced, irreducible and normal. In particular, the fibre product  $Y_C = Y_B \times_B C \rightarrow C$  is again flat such that its general fibres are reduced, irreducible and normal. Thus, thanks to [BLR95, Theorem 2.1], there exists a finite morphism  $C' \rightarrow C$  such that  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$  is flat with reduced fibres, where  $Y'$  is the normalisation of  $Y_C \times_C C'$  and  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$  is the morphism induced by the projection  $Y_C \times_C C' \rightarrow C'$ .

Next, as the closed subvariety  $Y_{\text{sing}} \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{H})$  has codimension at least two in  $Y$ , we may assume furthermore that the smooth projective curve  $C$  is disjoint from the closed subvariety  $\text{Exc}(\rho) \cup Y_{\text{sing}} \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{H})$  and the intersection  $Y_B \cap (Y_{\text{sing}} \cup \text{sing}(\mathcal{H}))$  has codimension at least two in  $Y_B$ . Let us denote by  $g : Y_{C'} \rightarrow g(Y_{C'}) = Y_B \subset Y$  the natural morphism. According to (3.2.5) and Lemma 3.3, for any integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mK_{\mathcal{F}^a}$  is Cartier, we have a natural non-zero morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(mK_{\mathcal{H}'}) \xrightarrow{\cong} g^{[*]} \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow g^* f^* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{\mathcal{F}^a}), \quad (4.2.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}'$  is the algebraically integrable foliation induced by the projection  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$ . In particular, there exists a canonically defined effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta'$  on  $Y'$  such that

$$K_{\mathcal{H}'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^* f^* K_{\mathcal{F}^a}.$$

Moreover, let  $F'$  be a general fibre of  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$ . Then clearly  $F' \rightarrow g(F')$  is an isomorphism. By the general choice of  $C$  and  $F$ , we may assume that  $g(F') = F$  and the pair  $(F', \Delta'|_F)$  is isomorphic to the pair  $(F, \Delta_F)$ , which is the general log leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ .

On the other hand, since  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is pseudoeffective, we can assume that  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot B \geq 0$  since  $C$  is general. In particular, the pull-back  $g^* q^* K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is nef. On the other hand, Since the fibres of  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$  are reduced, we have  $K_{\mathcal{H}'} = K_{Y'/C}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Y'/C'} + \Delta' + g^* E_{\mathcal{G}} &= K_{\mathcal{H}'} + \Delta' + g^* E_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^* f^* K_{\mathcal{F}^a} + g^* E_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &\sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^* f^* K_{\mathcal{F}} - g^* q^* K_{\mathcal{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $D'$  the Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $\Delta' + g^*E_{\mathcal{G}}$  and by  $D_{F'}$  the restriction  $D'|_{F'}$ . Then the pair  $(F', D'_{F'})$  is isomorphic to the pair  $(F, D_F)$  and the pair  $(F', D_{F'} + g^*f^*P|_{F'})$  is isomorphic to  $(F, D_F + D_P)$ .

On the other hand, we can write  $D' = D'^+ - D'^-$  with  $D'^+, D'^- \geq 0$  having no common components. Then clearly  $g(\text{supp}(D'^-))$  is contained in  $\text{supp}(E_{\mathcal{G}}^-)$ , which is contained in  $\text{Exc}(\rho)$ . As a consequence, the curve  $C$  is disjoint from  $g(\text{supp}(D'^-))$ , which implies that there is no fibre of  $q' : Y' \rightarrow C'$  contained in  $\text{supp}(D'^-)$ . Thus, we have  $q'^*\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(kD'^-) = \mathcal{O}_{C'}$  for every non-negative integer  $k$ .

Now we assume that  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  is klt. Then the pair  $(Y', D' + g^*f^*P)$  has klt singularities over the generic point of  $C'$  by inverse of adjunction. Applying Theorem 4.1 yields that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor

$$-(K_{Y'/C'} + D' + g^*f^*P) = -(K_{\mathcal{H}'} + D' + g^*f^*P) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} -g^*f^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P) + g^*q^*K_{\mathcal{G}}$$

cannot be nef and big. As  $g^*q^*K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is nef,  $-(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P)$  cannot be nef and big and the first statement follows.

Finally we assume that  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  is lc and  $-(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P)$  is ample. Then the pair  $(Y', D' + g^*f^*P)$  has lc singularities over the generic point of  $C'$  by inverse of adjunction. Suppose to the contrary that there is no common point in the closure of the general leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Following the same argument as in [AD13, Proposition 5.3], we can assume that the morphism  $g : Y' \rightarrow Y_B \rightarrow f(Y_B)$  is finite and hence  $-g^*f^*(K_{\mathcal{F}} + P)$  is ample. In particular, since  $g^*q^*K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is nef, the anti-log-canonical divisor  $-(K_{Y'/C'} + D' + g^*f^*P)$  is ample, which contradicts Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**4.2. Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for foliations.** In this subsection we apply Proposition 4.2 to prove a Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for foliations. We start with the following example which will be frequently used in Section 6.

*Example 4.3.* Let  $Z$  be normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$  be an ample line bundle on  $Z$ . Given positive integers  $r', m$  and non-negative integers  $b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_{r'} \geq 0$ , let us denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the vector bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(m) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r'} \mathcal{O}_Z(-b_i).$$

Set  $b = \sum_{i=1}^{r'} b_i$  and denote by  $X$  the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$  the natural projection. Let  $\Lambda$  be the tautological divisor of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  and let  $A$  be a Weil divisor on  $Z$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_Z(A) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ . Denote by  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Q}) = E \subsetneq X$  the prime divisor associated to the quotient  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_Z(-b_i) = \mathcal{Q}$ . Then we have  $E \sim \Lambda - m\pi^*A$ .

*Example 4.4* (Normal generalised cone). In Example 4.3, set  $b_i = 0$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r'$ . For an integer  $e \gg 1$ , the linear system  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(e)|$  induces a birational morphism  $\mu : X \rightarrow Y$  onto a normal projective variety. The morphism  $\mu$  contracts the divisor  $E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus r'}) \subsetneq X$  onto  $\mu(E) = \mathbb{P}^{r'-1}$  and induces an isomorphism  $Y \setminus \mu(E) \cong X \setminus E$ . We will call  $X$  the *normal generalised cone over the base*  $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m))$  with vertex  $\mu(E) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r'-1}$ .

By [AD14, Remark 4.2],  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial if and only if  $Z$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial. Moreover, by [Kol97, Example 3.8], if  $Z$  is klt and  $-K_Z$  is ample, then  $Y$  has only klt singularities and if  $-K_Z \equiv 0$  and  $Z$  is lc, then  $Y$  has only lc singularities.

We recall the following general definition, see [AD19, Lemma 4.1].

**Definition 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and let  $D$  be a big  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . We define*

$$\widehat{\iota}(D) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid D \equiv tA + P, \text{ where } A \text{ is an ample Cartier Weil divisor and } P \text{ is a pseudoeffective } \mathbb{R}\text{-Cartier Weil } \mathbb{R}\text{-divisor}\}.$$

According to [AD19, Lemma 4.1], there exists an ample Cartier Weil divisor  $H$  on  $X$  and a pseudoeffective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $P$  such that  $D \equiv \widehat{\iota}(D)H + P$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation on a normal projective variety  $X$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor. Then the *generalised index*  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as  $\widehat{\iota}(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $q : Y \rightarrow Z$  and  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  be the morphism defined as in Section 3.2. Arguing by contraction we suppose that  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) > r^a$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  be a sufficiently small positive real number such that  $r^a < \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then by assumption the divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} - (\iota(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)H$  is a big  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, where  $H$  is an ample Cartier Weil divisor such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} - \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$  is pseudoeffective. In particular, there exists an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $P$  on  $X$  such that

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)H + P.$$

Let  $i : F \rightarrow X$  be the normalisation of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$  and let  $(F, D_F)$  be the log algebraic part. Denote by  $D_P$  the pull-back  $i^*P$ . Then applying Theorem 2.4 to the pair  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  shows that  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  has only klt singularities and we get a contraction by Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By definition, we have  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) \leq \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$ . Hence, we must have  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) \leq r^a$  by Theorem 1.2. Moreover, one can easily derive from Theorem 2.4 and the proof of Theorem 1.2 that if the equality  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) = r^a$  holds, then the log algebraic part  $(F, D_F)$  of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^{r^a}, H)$ , where  $H$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a}$ .

Let  $q : Y \rightarrow Z$  and  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  be the morphisms defined in Section 3.2. Then the images of the general fibres of  $q$  under  $\rho$  are the closure of the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . In particular, the general fibre  $F$  of  $q$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a}$ . Let  $A$  be an ample Cartier Weil divisor on  $X$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \iota(\mathcal{F})A$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} := \rho^* \mathcal{O}_X(A)$  is a  $q$ -ample line bundle such that  $\mathcal{M}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r^a}}(1)$ . Thus the pair  $(Y, \mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1))$  as varieties over  $Z$  by [AD14, Proposition 4.10], where  $\mathcal{E} := q_* \mathcal{M}$  is a nef vector bundle over  $Z$  with rank  $r^a + 1$ .

On the other hand, note that there exists a purely transcendental foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on the smooth projective variety  $Z$  such that  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is pseudoeffective and  $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{F} = q^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ . Moreover, by (3.3.2) there exists a canonically defined  $\rho$ -exceptional Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $E$  on  $Y$  such that

$$K_{\mathcal{H}} + E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \rho^* K_{\mathcal{F}} - q^* K_{\mathcal{G}},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the foliation defined by  $q$  and the restriction  $E|_F = D_F$  of  $E$  to a general fibre  $F$  of  $q$  is a hyperplane in  $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{r^a}$ . In particular, there exists a unique  $q$ -horizontal prime divisor  $E_h$  on  $Y$  such that  $E - E_h$  is  $q$ -vertical and hence there exists a Weil  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  on  $Z$  such that  $q^*D = E - E_h$ . Let  $\{H_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$  be a collection of ample divisors on  $X$  and let  $C = m_1 H_1 \cap \cdots \cap m_{n-1} H_{n-1}$  be a general complete intersection curve with

$m_i \gg 1$ . Then the preimage  $\rho^{-1}(C)$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp}(E)$  and as a consequence the curve  $B := q(\rho^{-1}C)$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp}(D)$ . Let  $n : B' \rightarrow B$  be the normalisation of  $B$  and let  $q' : Y_{B'} \rightarrow B'$  be the fibre product  $Y \times_Z B' \cong \mathbb{P}(n^*\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}'$  be the foliation on  $Y_{B'}$  defined by  $q'$  and denote by  $g : Y_{B'} \rightarrow Y$  the natural morphism. Then we have

$$K_{\mathcal{H}'} + g^*E_h = g^*K_{\mathcal{H}} + g^*E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*(\rho^*K_{\mathcal{F}} - q^*K_{\mathcal{G}}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -r^a A' - g^*q^*K_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad (4.5.1)$$

where  $A' := g^*\rho^*A$ . Set  $\mathcal{E}' = n^*\mathcal{E}$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(K_{\mathcal{H}'}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(K_{Y_{B'}/B'}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(-(r^a + 1)A') \otimes q'^*\det(\mathcal{E}'). \quad (4.5.2)$$

Combining (4.5.1) and (4.5.2) yields that there exists a sufficiently divisible positive integer  $m$  such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(mg^*E_h) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(mA') \otimes q'^*\det(\mathcal{E}')^{\otimes -m} \otimes q'^*\mathcal{O}_{B'}(n^*K_{\mathcal{G}})^{\otimes -m}. \quad (4.5.3)$$

As  $\mathcal{O}_{Y_{B'}}(A) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}')} (1)$  and  $mg^*E_h$  is effective, the isomorphism (4.5.3) means

$$H^0(B', \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{E}' \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}')^{\otimes -m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B'}(mn^*K_{\mathcal{G}})^{\otimes -1}) \neq 0.$$

Then [AD14, Lemma 4.11] yields  $\deg(n^*K_{\mathcal{G}}) \leq 0$ . As  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is pseudoeffective and  $C$  is a general complete intersection, we must have  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot B' = 0$ . In particular, since  $\rho^{-1}(C)$  is disjoint from  $\text{supp}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \subset \text{supp}(\text{Exc}(\rho))$ , we obtain

$$K_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot C = \rho^*K_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \rho^{-1}(C) = (q^*K_{\mathcal{G}} + E_{\mathcal{G}}) \cdot \rho^{-1}(C) = q^*K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot \rho^{-1}(C) = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the reflexive hull of  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^a$ . Since  $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is pseudoeffective, by [Pet94, Lemma 6.5] we obtain  $K_{\mathcal{Q}} \equiv 0$  and consequently  $\mathcal{F}^a$  is a Fano foliation with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}^a} \equiv r^a A$ . In particular, applying [Hör14, Theorem 1.3] to  $(X, \mathcal{F}^a)$  shows that the  $X$  is a normal generalised cone over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial polarised variety  $(T, \mathcal{L})$  with vertex  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a-1}$ . Here we remark that though [Hör14, Theorem 1.3] is stated for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear equivalence, the proof given there still works for numerical equivalence in our situation because the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear equivalence is only used to derive the description of the general log leaves by applying [AD14, Proposition 4.5], or equivalently Theorem 2.4, in Step 1 of its proof, see [Hör14, p.2476, Proof of Theorem 1.3] for details. However, this description holds automatically for  $(X, \mathcal{F}^a)$  by the argument in the beginning of our proof.

Finally, by abuse of notation we may still denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the foliation on  $T$  such that  $h^{-1}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ , where  $h : X \dashrightarrow T$  is the natural map. Let  $\pi : U := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus r^a}) \rightarrow T$  be corresponding projective bundle (cf. Example 4.4) and let  $E$  be the exceptional divisor of  $\nu : U \rightarrow X$ . Then it is clear that we have

$$\nu_*\pi^*K_{\mathcal{G}} = K_{\mathcal{Q}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{\mathcal{F}} - K_{\mathcal{F}^a} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0.$$

On the other hand, we also have  $\pi^*K_{\mathcal{G}} + aE \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \nu^*K_{\mathcal{Q}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$  for some  $a \geq 0$ . Nevertheless, as the restriction  $E|_F$  of  $E$  to a general fibre  $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{r^a}$  of  $\pi$  is a hyperplane, we must have  $a = 0$  and hence  $K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8.** In this subsection we finish the proof of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8 and the proofs are similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 by applying Theorem 2.6.



*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* If  $r^a = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is purely transcendental and hence  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is pseudoeffective by Theorem 5.3. In particular, the pseudoeffective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $-A \equiv K_{\mathcal{F}} + P$  is anti-nef by assumption and so  $A \equiv 0$  and  $\epsilon(A) = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $r^a > 0$  and  $\epsilon(A) > r^a$ . In particular, the Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor  $A$  is nef and big. After replacing  $A$  by  $(1 - \varepsilon)A$  and  $P$  by  $(1 - \varepsilon)A + P$  for a sufficiently small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may assume that  $P$  is actually a big Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. In particular, up to linear equivalence, we may also assume that  $P$  is an effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor.

Let  $i : F \rightarrow i(F) \subset X$  be the normalisation of the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Denote by  $(F, D_F)$  the log algebraic part of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . As  $F$  is general, we can assume that  $F$  is not contained in the support of  $P$ . In particular, the pull-back  $D_P := i^*P$  is a well-defined effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor and we have

$$K_F + D_F + D_P \sim_{\mathbb{R}} i^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + i^*P \equiv -i^*A. \quad (4.5.4)$$

By assumption, we have  $\epsilon(i^*A) > r^a = \dim(F)$ . Then Theorem 2.6 implies that the pair  $(F, D_F + D_P)$  is klt, which contradicts Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety, and  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  a foliation with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  ample. If  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq r^a$ , then  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$  and the log algebraic part  $(F, D_F)$  of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{P}^{r^a}, H)$ , where  $H$  is a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a}$ . Moreover, there is a common point in the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ .*

*Proof.* The equality  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$  follows from Theorem 1.6. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.4, we have  $r^a > 0$  as  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is ample. Let  $(F, D_F)$  be the log algebraic part of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then we have

$$\epsilon(-K_F - D_F) = \epsilon(-i^*K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq r^a = \dim(F). \quad (4.6.1)$$

By Proposition 4.2, the pair  $(F, D_F)$  is not klt. Then Theorem 2.6 implies that there exists a birational morphism  $\nu : F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r^a}$  such that there exists a hyperplane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a}$  satisfying  $\nu_*^{-1}H = D_F$  and  $\nu^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^{r^a}} + H) = K_F + D_F$ . As  $i : F \rightarrow i(F) \subset X$  is the normalisation and  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is ample, the anti-log canonical divisor

$$-(K_F + D_F) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -i^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$$

is ample. Moreover, as  $-(K_{\mathbb{P}^{r^a}} + H)$  is ample, it follows that  $\nu$  is finite and hence  $\nu$  is an isomorphism. As a consequence, the pair  $(F, D_F)$  is lc and the result follows from Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$  be a sufficiently small positive real number. After replacing  $A$  by  $(1 - \varepsilon)A$  and  $P$  by  $(1 - \varepsilon)A + P$ , we may assume that  $P$  is an effective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. Let  $i : F \rightarrow i(F) \subset X$  be the normalisation of the closure of a general leaf of the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$  and set  $D_P := i^*P$ . Then we have

$$K_F + D_F + D_P \sim_{\mathbb{R}} i^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + i^*P \equiv -i^*A,$$

where  $(F, D_F)$  is the log algebraic part of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive number and  $F$  is general, we still have  $\epsilon(i^*A) > r^a - 1 = \dim(F) - 1$  after replacing  $A$  by  $(1 - \varepsilon)A$  by assumption. Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that  $F$  is rationally connected.  $\square$

## 5. FANO FOLIATIONS WITH MAXIMAL SESHADRI CONSTANTS

In the section we study Fano foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on smooth projective varieties  $X$  such that  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$  and in particular we will prove Theorem 1.10.

**5.1. Stability condition with respect to movable curve classes.** In this subsection we briefly recall some basic facts about stability of coherent sheaves with respect to a movable curve class, see [GKP16]. Given a normal projective variety  $X$ , we denote by  $N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  the space of numerical curve classes. A curve class  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  is called *movable* if  $D \cdot \alpha \geq 0$  for all effective Cartier Weil divisors  $D$  on  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  a movable curve class. Similar to the classical case, given a torsion free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  with positive rank on  $X$ , we can define the *slope of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\alpha$*  to be the real number

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{\det(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \alpha}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})}.$$

**Definition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-zero torsion free coherent sheaf on a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  and let  $\alpha \in N_1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a movable curve class. The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\alpha$ -semistable (resp.  $\alpha$ -stable) if, for any proper subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $0 < \text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$ , one has*

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \quad (\text{resp. } \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) < \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F})).$$

A number of known results from the classical case are extended from the classical case to this setting. For example, the existence of unique maximally destabilising subsheaf and the existence of Harder-Narasimhan filtration are proved in [GKP16]. More precisely, recall that the *maximal and minimal slopes of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\alpha$*  are defined as

$$\mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F}) := \sup\{\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) \mid 0 \neq \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F} \text{ is a coherent subsheaf}\}$$

and

$$\mu_{\alpha}^{\min}(\mathcal{F}) := \inf\{\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}) \mid \mathcal{Q} \neq 0 \text{ is a torsion-free quotient of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

By [GKP16, Proposition 2.22 and Corollary 2.24], there exists a unique non-zero coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}) = \mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F})$  and if  $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{F}$  is any subsheaf with  $\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{E}') = \mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Moreover, by [GKP16, Corollary 2.26], there exists a unique *Harder-Narasimhan filtration* of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; that is, a filtration

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F},$$

where each quotient  $\mathcal{Q}_i = \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  is torsion free,  $\alpha$ -semistable and where the sequence of slopes  $\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_i)$  is strictly decreasing. In particular, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is exactly the unique maximal destabilizing subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Moreover, for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we have

$$\mu_{\alpha}^{\min}(\mathcal{F}_i) = \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_i) = \mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}). \quad (5.1.1)$$

Now we suppose that  $\mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$  and set  $s := \max\{1 \leq i \leq k \mid \mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_i) > 0\}$ . Then we define the *positive part of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\alpha$*  to be the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^+ := \mathcal{F}_s$ .

**Lemma 5.2** ([AD19, Corollary 2.18]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on a normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective variety  $X$  with  $\mu_{\alpha}^{\max}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$  for some movable class  $\alpha$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is a foliation on  $X$  whenever  $\mu_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_i) \geq 0$ .*

**Theorem 5.3** ([CP19, Theorem 1.1], compare it with Theorem 3.4). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on a normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective variety  $X$ . If  $\mu_\alpha^{\min}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$  for some movable curve class  $\alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable and the closure of a general leaf is rationally connected.*

The following useful criterion for uniruledness is well-known for experts.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $X$  be a projective manifold. If there exists a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$  and a movable curve class  $\alpha$  such that  $\mu_\alpha^{\max}(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ , then  $X$  is uniruled.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be the maximally destabilising subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 5.2, the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a foliation on  $X$ . Moreover, as  $\mathcal{F}'$  is  $\alpha$ -semistable, we have

$$\mu_\alpha^{\min}(\mathcal{F}') = \mu_\alpha(\mathcal{F}') = \mu_\alpha^{\max}(\mathcal{F}) > 0.$$

In particular, by Theorem 5.3, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}'$  is algebraically integrable and the closure of its general leaf is rationally connected. Hence, the variety  $X$  is uniruled.  $\square$

**5.2. Minimal rational curves.** Let  $X$  be a uniruled projective manifold. Then there exists a covering family  $\mathcal{K}$  of minimal rational curves; that is, an irreducible component of  $\text{Ratcurves}^n(X)$  such that for a general point  $x \in X$ , the closed subset  $\mathcal{K}_x$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  parametrising curves through  $x$  is non-empty and proper. Let  $C$  be a general rational curve parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $C$  is standard. In other words, there exists a non-negative integer  $d$  such that

$$f^*T_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-d-1)},$$

where  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$  is the normalisation.

Given a covering family  $\mathcal{K}$  of minimal rational curves on a uniruled projective manifold  $X$ , let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\text{Chow}(X)$ . Two points  $x, y \in X$  are said to be  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent if they can be connected by a chain of 1-cycles from  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ . This defines an equivalence relation on  $X$ . By [Cam92] (see also [Kol96, IV, 4.16]), there exists a proper surjective equidimensional morphism  $\pi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow T^\circ$  from a dense open subset of  $X$  onto a normal variety whose fibres are  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalence classes. We call this map the  $\mathcal{K}$ -rationally connected quotient of  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.5** ([Ara06] and [ADK08, Proposition 2.7]). *Let  $X$  be a uniruled projective manifold equipped with a covering family  $\mathcal{K}$  of minimal rational curves. Let  $\pi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow T^\circ$  be the  $\mathcal{K}$ -rationally connected quotient of  $X$ . Assume that there exists a subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$  such that  $f^*\mathcal{F}$  is ample, where  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$  is the normalisation of a general curve parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then, after shrinking  $X^\circ$  and  $T^\circ$  if necessary,  $\pi^\circ$  becomes a  $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ -bundle,  $\mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ} \subset T_{X^\circ/T^\circ}$  and every rational curve parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$  meeting  $X^\circ$  is a line contained in the fibres of  $\pi^\circ$ .*

**5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.10.** From now on let  $X$  be a projective manifold such that there exists a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  ample. Then  $X$  is uniruled by Lemma 5.4. Fix a covering family  $\mathcal{K}$  of minimal rational curves on  $X$ . Let  $\alpha = [C]$  be the numerical class of a general minimal rational curve  $C$  parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  the positive part of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 5.2, Theorem 5.3 and (5.1.1), the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  is an algebraically integrable foliation on  $X$  and therefore  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \subset \mathcal{F}^a$ . In particular,

we have  $r^+ \leq r^a$ , where  $r^+$  and  $r^a$  are the ranks of  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  and  $\mathcal{F}^a$ , respectively. Denote by  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$  the normalisation of the standard rational curve  $C$  and write

$$f^*T_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-d-1)}.$$

**5.3.1. Step 1. Splitting type of  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ .** We determine the possibilities of the splitting types of the positive part  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  along the general minimal rational curve  $C$ .

*Claim 5.6.* If  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$ , then one of the following statements hold.

$$(5.6.1) \quad r^a = r^+ + 1 \text{ and } f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(r^+-1)}.$$

$$(5.6.2) \quad r^a = r^+ \text{ and } f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(r^+-1)}.$$

$$(5.6.3) \quad r^a = r^+ \text{ and } f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(r^+-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}, \quad r^+ \geq 2.$$

$$(5.6.4) \quad r^a = r^+ \text{ and } f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r^+}.$$

*Proof of Claim 5.6.* Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the quotient  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ . Let  $K_{\mathcal{Q}}$  be a Weil divisor on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{Q}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{Q})$ . Then we have  $K_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \alpha \geq 0$  by the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  and (5.1.1). In particular, we get

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+} \cdot \alpha \geq -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \alpha = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot C \geq \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a.$$

On the other hand, as  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  is saturated in  $T_X$  and  $C$  is general, by [Kol96, II, Proposition 3.7], we can assume that  $f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  is a subbundle of  $f^*T_X$ . Write

$$f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{r^+})$$

with  $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_{r^+}$ . Since  $C$  is standard, we have  $a_1 \leq 2$ ,  $a_i \leq 1$  if  $2 \leq i \leq d+1$  and  $a_i \leq 0$  if  $i \geq d+2$ , where  $d = -K_X \cdot C - 2$ . In particular, one obtains

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+} \cdot C \leq r^+ + 1$$

with equality if and only if

$$f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+ \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus(r^+-1)}.$$

On the other hand, as  $r^+ \leq r^a$ , we get

$$r^a + 1 \geq r^+ + 1 \geq -K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+} \cdot C \geq r^a.$$

If  $r^a = r^+ + 1$ , then we have  $-K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+} \cdot C = r^+ + 1$  and we are in Case (5.6.1). On the other hand, if  $r^a = r^+$ , then we have  $r^+ + 1 \geq -K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+} \cdot C \geq r^+$  and the result follows from an easy computation.  $\square$

**5.3.2. Step 2. General minimal rational curves are not tangent to  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ .**

*Claim 5.7.* Let  $C$  be a general minimal rational curve parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$  with normalisation  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ . Then  $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  is not contained in  $f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ .

*Proof of Claim 5.7.* Let  $\pi : U \rightarrow T$  be the family of leaves of  $\mathcal{F}^a$  and  $\nu : U \rightarrow X$  the evaluation morphism. By [Kol96, II, Proposition 3.7], we may assume that general minimal rational curves parametrised by  $\mathcal{K}$  are disjoint from  $\nu(\text{Exc}(\nu))$ .

Assume to the contrary that  $T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$  is contained in  $f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ . Then we have  $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \subset f^*\mathcal{F}^a$ . In particular, there exists a general fibre  $F$  of  $\pi$  such that  $C$  is contained in  $\nu(F)$ . Moreover, as  $C$  is general, the preimage  $\nu^{-1}(C) \subset F$  is disjoint from  $\text{Exc}(\nu) \cap F = \Delta_F$  (see [AD19, Lemma 2.12]). Nevertheless, as  $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{r^a}$  has Picard number one and  $\Delta_F$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{P}^{r^a}$  by Corollary 4.6, we get a contradiction.  $\square$

5.3.3. *Step 3. End of proof.* We end the proof by showing that  $\mathcal{F}$  is induced by a linear projection of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r^a}$ . By Step 1 and Step 2, the splitting type  $f^*\mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$  must be of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus r^+}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^a = \mathcal{F}_\alpha^+$ . Moreover, by Theorem 5.5, after shrinking  $T^\circ$  if necessary, the  $\mathcal{K}$ -rationally connected quotient  $\pi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow T^\circ$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^{d+1}$ -bundle such that  $\mathcal{F}^a|_{X^\circ} \subset T_{X^\circ/T^\circ}$ . On the other hand, according to Corollary 4.6, there is a common point in the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}^a$ . Hence, we must have  $\dim(T) = 0$ . As a consequence, we obtain  $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\mathcal{F}^a \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is a foliation with rank  $r^a$  and  $\det(\mathcal{F}^a) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r^a)$ . Moreover, as  $\epsilon(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) = 1$  and  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$ , we obtain

$$\det(\mathcal{F}^a) \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r^a).$$

Hence, by [AD19, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.7], the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the linear pull-back of a purely transcendental foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r^a}$  with zero canonical class. This finishes the proof.

## 6. EXAMPLES AND RELATED QUESTIONS

In this last section, we exhibit some examples concerning the sharpness of our main results and also propose a few interesting related questions. Firstly let us recall the following folklore conjecture on the lower bound of Seshadri constant, which is only confirmed in dimension two [EL93].

**Conjecture 6.1** ([Laz04, Conjecture 5.2.4]). *Let  $L$  be a nef and big line bundle on an irreducible projective variety. Then  $\epsilon(L) \geq 1$ .*

We start with the following simple observation.

**Lemma 6.2.** *In Example 4.3, if we assume furthermore that  $Z$  is smooth and  $\text{Pic}(Z) \cong \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ , then we have*

$$\text{Nef}(X) = \langle \Lambda + b_1\pi^*A, \pi^*A \rangle \text{ and } \text{Pseff}(X) = \langle E, \pi^*A \rangle.$$

*Proof.* The description of the nef cone of  $X$  is obvious and we only need to deal with the pseudoeffective cone of  $X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the unique real number such that  $\Lambda - \alpha\pi^*A$  generates an extremal ray of  $\text{Pseff}(X)$ . As  $E \sim \Lambda - m\pi^*A$  is effective, we must have  $\alpha \geq m$ . In particular, the restriction  $(\Lambda - \alpha\pi^*A)|_E$  is not pseudoeffective. As a consequence, the divisor  $\Lambda - \alpha\pi^*A$  is not modified nef and hence there exists a unique prime effective divisor  $D'$  such that  $[D'] \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}(\Lambda - \alpha\pi^*A)$  by [HLS20, Lemma 2.5]. In particular, as  $D'|_E$  is not pseudoeffective, we obtain  $D' = E$  and  $\alpha = m$ .  $\square$

*Example 6.3.* Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of positive integers such that  $r < n$ . Then for any integer  $d \geq -r$ , there exists a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  and such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \mathbb{P}^n(d)$ .

(6.3.1) If  $r \leq n - 2$ , we may take  $\pi : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$  to be a linear projective and then take  $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r}}$  to be a purely transcendental rank one foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r}$  such that

$$\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r}}(d+r) \quad \text{and} \quad d+r \geq 0.$$

Then the pull-back  $\mathcal{F} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$  is a foliation with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  and such that  $\det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)$ .

(6.3.2) If  $r = n - 1$ , we may take  $\pi : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  to be a rational map defined by two general coprime homogeneous polynomials  $f$  with degree  $d_f$  and  $g$  with degree  $d_g$  such that  $d = d_f + d_g - n - 1$ . Then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  induced by  $\pi$  has algebraic rank  $r^a = n - 1$  and  $\det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)$ .

**6.1. Generalised index and Seshadri constant.** In this subsection, we will discuss the sharpness of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.6. Firstly let us recall the following question asked by Araujo and Druel in [AD19] on the generalised indices of foliations.

*Question 6.4* ([AD19, Question 4.4]). Is there a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on a projective manifold  $X$  with  $\widehat{i}(\mathcal{F}) \notin \mathbb{N}$  and  $\widehat{i}(\mathcal{F}) < r^a < \widehat{i}(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ ?

We will give a positive answer to this question by constructing foliations on the projective bundles  $X$  given in Example 4.3. We start with the following general result.

**Lemma 6.5.** *In Example 4.3, we assume furthermore that  $Z$  is smooth and  $\text{Pic}(Z) \cong \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ . Let  $D \equiv \beta\Lambda + \gamma\pi^*A$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor on  $X$ . If  $D$  is big but not ample, i.e.,  $-m\beta < \gamma \leq b_1\beta$ , then we have*

$$\widehat{i}(D) = \frac{\beta m + \gamma}{m + b_1 + 1}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $D \equiv \widehat{i}(D)H + P$  for an ample Cartier Weil divisor  $H$  and a pseudoeffective  $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor. Let  $a$  and  $e$  be the unique non-negative real numbers such that  $P \equiv eE + a\pi^*A$ . If  $e = 0$ , then  $P \equiv a\pi^*A$  is nef. In particular, the divisor  $D$  is ample, which is impossible by our assumption. Thus we must have  $e > 0$ . On the other hand, if  $a > 0$ , then  $P$  is big and consequently there exists a positive real number  $t$  such that  $P - tH$  is pseudoeffective. In particular, we get

$$D \equiv \widehat{i}(D)H + P \equiv (\widehat{i}(D) + t)H + (P - tH),$$

which is absurd. Hence, we have  $a = 0$  and  $P \equiv eE$  with  $e > 0$ .

Since  $H$  is an ample Cartier Weil divisor, by the description of  $\text{Nef}(X)$ , there exists two positive integers  $d$  and  $c > b_1d$  such that  $H \equiv d\Lambda + c\pi^*A$ . By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D - P &\equiv D - eE \equiv (\beta - e)\Lambda + (em + \gamma)\pi^*A \\ &\equiv \widehat{i}(D)(d\Lambda + c\pi^*A). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Lambda$  and  $\pi^*A$  are linearly independent, this implies

$$\widehat{i}(D) = \frac{\beta - e}{d} \tag{6.5.1}$$

and

$$em + \gamma = \widehat{i}(D)c \geq \widehat{i}(D)(b_1d + 1). \tag{6.5.2}$$

Then combining (6.5.1) and (6.5.2) yields

$$(md + b_1d + 1)e \geq \beta(b_1d + 1) - d\gamma. \tag{6.5.3}$$

In particular, we obtain

$$e \geq \frac{\beta(db_1 + 1) - d\gamma}{md + b_1d + 1}. \tag{6.5.4}$$



Then combining (6.5.4) with (6.5.1) gives

$$\widehat{\iota}(D) \leq \frac{\beta(md + b_1d + 1) - \beta(b_1d + 1) + d\gamma}{d(md + b_1d + 1)} \leq \frac{\beta m + \gamma}{m + b_1 + 1} \quad (6.5.5)$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $d = 1$  and  $c = b_1 + 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of positive integers such that  $r < n$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then for any positive rational number  $c \leq r$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional projective manifold  $X$  and a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big and*

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H) = c,$$

where  $H$  an ample Cartier divisor  $H$  such that  $P := -K_{\mathcal{F}} - \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H$  a pseudoeffective Weil  $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor.

*Proof.* By Example 6.3, we may assume that  $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, there exist two positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $c = p/q < r$ .

**Case 1.**  $r > c > 1$ , i.e.  $p > q$ . Let  $l$  be a large enough positive integer such that

$$l(p - q) + (p - qr) + 1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p}{q} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{ql}\right)r + \frac{1}{ql}. \quad (6.6.1)$$

Note that this is possible because  $q < p < qr$ . Let  $b_1 = lq - 1$  and let  $b_i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq r$ ) be some non-negative integers such that

$$b - b_1 = \sum_{i=2}^r b_i = l(p - q) + (q - pr) + 1. \quad (6.6.2)$$

The existence of  $b_i$ 's follows from the fact that by (6.6.1) we have  $b - b_1 > 0$  and

$$b - b_1 = l(p - q) + (p - qr) + 1 \leq l(p - q) \leq (lq - 1)(r - 1) = b_1(r - 1).$$

Let  $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1))$  be the pair  $(\mathbb{P}^{n-r}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r}}(1))$  and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$  be the projective bundle constructed in Example 4.3 with  $m = q$ ,  $r' = r$  and  $b_i$ 's chosen as above. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the algebraically integrable foliation induced by  $\pi$ . Then we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} = -K_{X/Z} \sim (r + 1)\Lambda - (q - b)\pi^*A.$$

In particular,  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big but not ample, and by Lemma 6.5 we have

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \frac{(r + 1)q + b - q}{q + lq} = \frac{p}{q}. \quad (6.6.3)$$

**Case 2.**  $0 < c < 1$ , i.e.  $p < q$ . Let  $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(1))$  be the pair  $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$  and let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional projective manifold constructed in Example 4.3 with  $m$  and  $b = b_1$  to be determined. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a foliation on  $Z$  with algebraic rank  $r - 1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Z(K_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(d)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the pull-back  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ . Then by [AD19, 2.6], we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} = -K_{X/Z} - \pi^*K_{\mathcal{G}} = 2\Lambda + (b - m - d)\pi^*A. \quad (6.6.4)$$

Then  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big but not ample if and only if  $-2m < b - m - d \leq 2b$  by Lemma 6.2. Moreover, if so, then Lemma 6.5 implies

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{2m + b - m - d}{m + b + 1} = \frac{m + b - d}{m + b + 1}. \quad (6.6.5)$$

Set  $m = q$ ,  $b = q - 1$  and let  $d = 2(q - p) - 1 \geq 1 \geq 1 - r$ . Then the existence of  $(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{G})$  follows Example 6.3. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $-2m < b - m - d \leq 2b$  and therefore by (6.6.5) a straightforward computation shows that

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{q + q - 1 - (2(q - p) - 1)}{q + q - 1 + 1} = \frac{p}{q}. \quad (6.6.6)$$

Finally note that the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_Z(b_1 + 1)$  is very ample in both cases and consequently we have  $\epsilon(H) \geq 1$ , where  $H = \Lambda + (b_1 + 1)\pi^*A$ . On the other hand, since the restriction of  $H$  to fibres of  $\pi$  is a hyperplane section, we obtain  $\epsilon(H) = 1$ . On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 6.5, in both cases we have  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H + eE$  for some positive rational number  $e > 0$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Example 6.7.* Let  $a \geq 2$  be a positive integer and let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be the Hirzebruch surface  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the foliation induced by  $\pi$ . Then we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim 2\Lambda - (a-1)\pi^*A,$$

where  $A$  is a point on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big but not ample by Lemma 6.2 and then by Lemma 6.5 we have

$$\widehat{\iota}(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \epsilon(\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H) = \frac{2(a-1) - (a-1)}{a} = 1 - \frac{1}{a},$$

where  $H = \Lambda + \pi^*A$  is an ample Cartier divisor such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}} - \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})H$  is pseudoeffective. As a consequence, combining this example with Proposition 6.6 gives a positive answer to Question 6.4.

*Question 6.8.* Given any positive rational number  $c < 1$ , does there exist a smooth projective surface  $X$  and a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  such that  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is big and  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = c$ ? By Example 6.7, the answer is positive if  $c$  is contained in the *standard multiplicities*

$$\Phi := \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{a} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \right\}.$$

**6.2. Fano index and Seshadri constant.** In this subsection, we will discuss the sharpness of Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.7 and Theorem 1.10. Let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a Fano foliation on a normal projective variety  $X$ . By definition, it is clear that we have  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) \leq \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$ . The following example shows that this inequality may be strict in general case.

*Example 6.9.* Let  $r \geq 2$  be a fixed positive integer. In Example 4.3, let  $r' = r$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $b_i = r - 2$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq r'$ . Moreover, by Example 6.3, we may choose a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r-1}$  such that  $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r)$  and  $2r < n - 1$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the pull-back  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mathcal{F}} &\sim -(r+1)\Lambda - \pi^*(r(r-2)-1)A + \pi^*K_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &\sim -(r+1)\Lambda - ((r+1)(r-2)+1)\pi^*A. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by Lemma 6.2,  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is ample. On the other hand, note that we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} - E \sim r\Lambda + ((r+1)(r-2)+2)\pi^*A = r(\Lambda + (r-1)\pi^*A)$$

and in particular we get  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) \geq r$ . On the other hand, let  $H = d\Lambda + c\pi^*A$  be an ample divisor such that  $d$  and  $c$  are two positive integers satisfying

$$c \geq b_1 d + 1 = d(r - 2) + 1 \text{ and } -K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \iota(\mathcal{F})H.$$

Then we obtain

$$\iota(\mathcal{F})d = r + 1 \text{ and } \iota(\mathcal{F})c = (r + 1)(r - 2) + 1.$$

This yields

$$(r + 1)(r - 2) + 1 = \iota(\mathcal{F})c \geq \iota(\mathcal{F})(d(r - 2) + 1) \geq (r + 1)(r - 2) + \iota(\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus we obtain  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$  and hence  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) = 1 < r \leq \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 6.10.** *In Example 4.4, we assume furthermore that  $Z$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$  is globally generated. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a foliation on  $Z$  with  $\mathcal{O}_Z(K_{\mathcal{H}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z(d)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the foliation induced by  $\mathcal{H}$  on the normal generalised cone  $Y$  over  $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m))$  with vertex  $\mathbb{P}^{r'}$ . If  $d < mr'$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fano foliation on  $Y$  such that*

$$\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r' - \frac{d}{m}.$$

*Proof.* We follow the notations in Example 4.3 and Example 4.4. Let  $H$  be the ample Cartier divisor on  $Y$  such that  $\mu^*H = \Lambda$ . As  $Y$  is covered by rational curves of  $H$ -degree one and  $|\Lambda|$  is base-point free, we have  $\epsilon(H) = \epsilon(\Lambda) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the pull-back foliation  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{H}$  on  $X$ . By [AD19, 2.6], we have

$$K_{\mathcal{G}} = K_{X/Z} + \pi^*K_{\mathcal{H}} \sim -(r' + 1)\Lambda + (m + d)\pi^*A. \quad (6.10.1)$$

As  $m\mu_*\pi^*A \sim H$  and  $\mu_*\Lambda = H$ , one gets

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} = -\mu_*K_{\mathcal{G}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \left(r' + 1 - \frac{m + d}{m}\right)H = \left(r' - \frac{d}{m}\right)H. \quad (6.10.2)$$

Hence, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier Weil divisor  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is ample if and only if  $d < mr'$ . Moreover, if so, then we have  $\iota(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r' - d/m$  since  $Y$  is covered by rational curves with  $H$ -degree zero.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of positive integers such that  $r < n$ . For any rational number  $c \leq \min\{r, n - 2\}$ , there exists an  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety  $X$  with klt singularities and a Fano foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  with algebraic rank  $r^a = r$  such that*

$$\iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = c.$$

*Proof.* By Example 6.3, we may assume that  $c \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $[c] - c = p/q < 1$  for some positive integers  $p$  and  $q$ . Denote  $[c]$  by  $r'$ . Then we have  $n - r' \geq 2$ . Let  $Y$  be the normal generalised cone over the base  $(\mathbb{P}^{n-r'}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r'}}(q))$  with vertex  $\mathbb{P}^{r'-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r'}$  with algebraic rank  $r - r'$  and such that  $\det(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r'}}(p)$  (cf. Example 6.3). Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the foliation on  $Y$  induced by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has algebraic rank  $r$  and by Lemma 6.10 we have

$$\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r' - \frac{p}{q} = [c] - \frac{p}{q} = c.$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.12.* In general the foliations constructed in Proposition 6.11 are very far from being lc in the sense of McQuillan ([AD13, 3.9]).

In the following we consider codimension one algebraically integrable foliations.

*Example 6.13.* Let  $X$  be the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  where  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  are positive integers satisfying  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ . Then  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial Fano variety of Picard number one and with klt singularities. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the foliation induced by the map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  by sending  $[x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n]$  to  $[x_0^{a_1} : x_1]$ . Then we have

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \left( \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \right) H,$$

where  $H$  is the prime divisor on  $X$  defined as  $\{x_0 = 0\}$ . Moreover, note that the Cartier index of  $H$  is  $\text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and by [LZ18, Example 22] we have  $\epsilon(H) = 1/a_n$ .

(6.13.1) Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Set  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$  and  $a_3 = \dots = a_n = m$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fano foliation satisfying

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = n - 2 + \frac{1}{m}.$$

We remark that here  $X$  is nothing but the normal generalised cone over the base  $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(m))$  with vertex  $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ .

(6.13.2) Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Set  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1} = m'$  and  $a_n = m$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fano foliation satisfying

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{(n-2)m' + m}{m'm} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1 + \frac{(n-2)m'}{m}.$$

In particular, for any rational number  $n-2 < c < n-1$ , we may choose suitable coprime positive integers  $m'$  and  $m$  such that  $m' < m$  and  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = c$ .

(6.13.3) Assume that  $n = 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fano foliation on the surface  $X$  satisfying

$$\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{a_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1.$$

*Question 6.14.* According to Proposition 6.11 and Example 6.13, it is natural to ask the following two questions.

(6.14.1) Given a positive integer  $n$  and a rational number  $n-2 < c < n-1$ , does there exist Fano foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on an  $n$ -dimensional projective variety  $X$  such that  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = c$ ? Note that by Example 6.13 we have a positive answer if  $c$  is contained in the following set

$$\left\{ n - 2 + \frac{1}{a} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \right\}.$$

(6.14.2) Given a positive integer  $n$  and a rational number  $n-2 < c < n-1$ , does there exist Fano foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on projective surfaces such that  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = c$ ? Note that by Example 6.13 we have a positive answer if  $n \geq 3$  and  $c$  is contained in the following set

$$\left\{ n - 2 + \frac{1}{a} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \right\}.$$

Now we are in the position to finish the proof of Proposition 1.11.

*Proof of Proposition 1.11.* It follows from Proposition 6.6, Proposition 6.11 and Example 6.13.  $\square$

**6.3. Rationally connectedness and Seshadri constant.** In this last subsection we discuss the sharpness of Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$  be the cone over a plane curve of degree three. Then  $X$  is a Fano variety with lc singularities such that  $\epsilon(-K_X) = n - 1$ , but  $X$  is not rationally connected. In particular, this shows that Theorem 2.6 (1) is sharp. On the other hand, it is shown by Araujo and Druel in [AD19, Theorem 1.8] that the general leaf of the algebraic part of a foliation  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  on a projective manifold  $X$  is rationally connected if  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) \geq r^a - 1$ .

*Example 6.15.* Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of positive integers such that  $1 < r < n$ . Let  $Y$  be the normal generalised cone over  $(\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}}(m))$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an algebraically integrable foliation by curves on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-r+1}}(-d)$  for some  $d > 0$  and the closure of the general leaf of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a smooth curve of genus  $\geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the foliation on  $Y$  induced by  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $d < m(r - 1)$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraically integrable Fano foliation with rank  $r$  and by Lemma 6.10 we have

$$\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r - 1 - \frac{d}{m}.$$

In particular, if  $m$  tends to infinity, then  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}})$  tends to  $r - 1$  from left. Moreover, the closure of the general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  is actually a normal generalised cone over a smooth curve with genus  $\geq 2$  and hence it is not rationally connected.

*Example 6.16.* Let  $(r, n)$  be a pair of positive integers such that  $1 < r < n$ . Let  $W$  be an  $(n - r)$ -dimensional projective manifold and let  $C$  be an elliptic curve. Set  $Z = C \times W$  and denote by  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$  the foliation by curves on  $Z$  induced by the projection  $Z = C \times W \rightarrow W$ . Let  $Y$  be the normal generalised cone over  $(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(m))$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$  is a very ample line bundle over  $A$ . Then  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and has only lc singularities. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the foliation on  $Y$  induced by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraically integrable foliation whose general leaf is not rationally connected. Moreover, by Lemma 6.10 we have

$$\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \iota(\mathcal{F}) = \widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r - 1.$$

In the point of view of Theorem 1.8 and [AD19, Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9], the two examples above lead us to ask the following natural questions.

*Question 6.17.* Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  be a foliation with  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  big.

- (6.17.1) If  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) > r^a - 1$ , is the closure of the general leaf of the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$  rationally connected?  
 (6.17.2) If  $X$  has only klt singularities and either  $\widehat{\iota}(\mathcal{F}) = r^a - 1$  or  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a - 1$ , is the closure of the general leaf of the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$  rationally connected?

In Theorem 1.10, we have classified Fano foliations on smooth projective varieties with maximal Seshadri constant and the smoothness plays a key role in the proof. On the other hand, in the viewpoint of Conjecture 6.1 and Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem for foliation (cf. Theorem 1.3), it is natural to ask the same question for singular varieties.

*Question 6.18.* Classify those Fano foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial normal projective varieties (with klt singularities) such that  $\epsilon(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) = r^a$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AD13] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. On Fano foliations. *Adv. Math.*, 238:70–118, 2013.
- [AD14] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. On codimension 1 del Pezzo foliations on varieties with mild singularities. *Math. Ann.*, 360(3-4):769–798, 2014.
- [AD19] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. Characterization of generic projective space bundles and algebraicity of foliations. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 94(4):833–853, 2019.
- [ADK08] Carolina Araujo, Stéphane Druel, and Sándor J. Kovács. Cohomological characterizations of projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *Invent. Math.*, 174(2):233–253, 2008.
- [Ara06] Carolina Araujo. Rational curves of minimal degree and characterizations of projective spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 335(4):937–951, 2006.
- [Bir12] Caucher Birkar. Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 115:325–368, 2012.
- [BLR95] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. Formal and rigid geometry. IV. The reduced fibre theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 119(2):361–398, 1995.
- [Bou04] Sébastien Boucksom. Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 37(1):45–76, 2004.
- [BS09] Thomas Bauer and Tomasz Szemberg. Seshadri constants and the generation of jets. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(11):2134–2140, 2009.
- [Cam92] Frédéric Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 25(5):539–545, 1992.
- [CP19] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun. Foliation with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 129:1–49, 2019.
- [Deb01] Olivier Debarre. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [Dem92] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles. In *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, volume 1507 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 87–104. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [Dru17] Stéphane Druel. On foliations with nef anti-canonical bundle. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(11):7765–7787, 2017.
- [EL93] Lawrence Ein and Robert Lazarsfeld. Seshadri constants on smooth surfaces. *Astérisque*, (218):177–186, 1993. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992).
- [Fuj89] Takao Fujita. Remarks on quasi-polarized varieties. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 115:105–123, 1989.
- [GKP16] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell. Movable curves and semistable sheaves. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (2):536–570, 2016.
- [HLS20] Andreas Höring, Jie Liu, and Feng Shao. Examples of Fano manifolds with non-pseudoeffective tangent bundle. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, to appear, 2020.
- [Hör14] Andreas Höring. Twisted cotangent sheaves and a Kobayashi-Ochiai theorem for foliations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 64(6):2465–2480, 2014.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [KO73] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Takushiro Ochiai. Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 13:31–47, 1973.
- [Kol96] János Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [Kol97] János Kollár. Singularities of pairs. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 221–287. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series*, volume 48 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 3. Folge*. Berlin: Springer, 2004.
- [Liu19] Jie Liu. Characterization of projective spaces and  $\mathbb{P}^r$ -bundles as ample divisors. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 233:155–169, 2019.
- [LPT18] Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet. Singular foliations with trivial canonical class. *Invent. Math.*, 213(3):1327–1380, 2018.



- [LZ18] Yuchen Liu and Ziquan Zhuang. Characterization of projective spaces by Seshadri constants. *Math. Z.*, 289(1-2):25–38, 2018.
- [Mae90] Hidetoshi Maeda. Ramification divisors for branched coverings of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . *Math. Ann.*, 288(2):195–199, 1990.
- [Nak04] Noboru Nakayama. *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, volume 14 of *MSJ Memoirs*. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004.
- [Pet94] Thomas Peternell. Minimal varieties with trivial canonical classes. I. *Math. Z.*, 217(3):377–405, 1994.
- [Zhu18] Ziquan Zhuang. Fano varieties with large Seshadri constants. *Adv. Math.*, 340:883–913, 2018.

JIE LIU, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE,  
CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, CHINA

*Email address:* [jliu@amss.ac.cn](mailto:jliu@amss.ac.cn)

*URL:* <http://www.jliumath.com>