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We derive the Hessian geometric structure of nonequilibrium chemical reaction networks (CRN) on the flux and force spaces induced by the Legendre duality of convex dissipation functions and characterize their dynamics as a generalized flow. With this structure, we can extend theories of nonequilibrium systems with quadratic dissipation functions to more general ones with nonquadratic ones, which are pivotal for studying chemical reaction networks. By applying generalized notions of orthogonality in Hessian geometry to chemical reaction networks, we obtain two generalized decompositions of the entropy production rate, each of which captures gradient-flow and minimum-dissipation aspects in nonequilibrium dynamics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermodynamics aims at establishing the general description of thermal systems. Although such a description was obtained for equilibrium thermodynamics [1, 2], its extension to nonequilibrium systems has been limited to specific situations and models. For near-equilibrium situations, Onsager and Machlup evaluated the entropy production rate using the linear approximation known as the force-flux relation [3–6], which corresponds to a quadratic dissipation function. With the recent development of macroscopic fluctuation theory and stochastic thermodynamics [7–9], this result was extended to far-from-equilibrium situations in fluid dynamics and diffusion processes in a continuous space, but the dissipation functions are still quadratic even though they are generalized to be state dependent. Those systems are characterized geometrically via the inner product structure induced by the quadratic functions and associated formal Riemannian geometry. However, the knowledge obtained from such situations and models is not directly applicable to other systems with a discrete state space or with nonlinearities in the governing equations, where the dissipation functions are no longer quadratic. In such cases, the inner product structure is no longer an adequate mathematical basis. Although Wasserstein geometry has recently been introduced into the thermodynamics of diffusion processes as a new geometric approach [10, 11], it also employs the formal Riemannian geometric structure associated with the Wasserstein distance via the Benamou-Brenier formula and thereby is not directly applicable to systems with nonquadratic dissipation functions [12,14].

In this work, we clarify that this problem can be resolved by using the Hessian (information) geometric structure of the flux and force spaces induced by their nonlinear Legendre duality via convex (not necessarily quadratic) dissipation functions. For systems with quadratic convex functions, the force and the corresponding flux are related by a linear transformation induced by the Riemannian metric. Hessian geometry or information geometry [15–17] enables us to relate them by a nonlinear Legendre transformation induced by the convex dissipation functions. Because the Riemannian metric is regarded as the Legendre transformation with quadratic dissipation functions, Hessian geometry is a nonlinear extension of the inner product structure in Riemannian geometry. Even with being a nonlinear extension, Hessian geometry still preserves many important aspects of the inner product structure in the form of generalized orthogonalities, Pythagorean theorem, among others [16, 17]. Therefore, we can naturally and consistently extend various previous results for systems with quadratic dissipation functions to those with nonquadratic ones.

We derive and demonstrate the structure by focusing mainly on chemical reaction networks (CRNs) because they are representative thermodynamic systems with both discrete state space and nonlinearity in the governing rate equations [18–21]. CRNs also include Markov jump processes (MJPs) on a graph, which is an important class of systems in stochastic thermodynamics with a discrete state space but with a linear governing equation [1]. In addition, CRNs are also important in light of their biological and engineering applications [22–24]. The dissipation functions of these systems are not always quadratic, but are more general convex functions.

The nonquadratic property makes it difficult to dissect the equilibrium-like aspects from their nonequilibrium ones by the notion of orthogonality from the usual inner product structure, as was done for overdamped systems.
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1 It should be noted that a linear governing equation does not necessarily mean that its dissipation functions are quadratic.
diffusion processes \cite{25}. As a result, some entropy decomposition formulas have not yet been generalized to MJP and CRN. By employing the generalized notions of orthogonality in Hessian geometry \cite{15,17}, we demonstrate that different aspects of nonequilibrium CRNs can be dissected as generalized decompositions of the entropy production rate (EPR). In particular, we derive a CRN version of the Maes-Netočný relation by generalizing the Helmholtz decomposition. If the dissipation functions are quadratic, these results are automatically and formally reduced to the original results obtained for diffusion processes. Thus, Hessian geometry provides a natural generalization for resolving the problem. Finally, we also discuss how Hessian geometry can potentially fill the gap between quadratic and nonquadratic cases by geometrically capturing the nonlinear dual relation between force and flux, unify the description of those systems, and thereby extend the applicability of nonequilibrium thermodynamics. As such an example, we mention a relation to network thermodynamics and variational characterizations of orthogonality in Hessian geometry \cite{15–17}, we demonstrate how our formulation can contribute to network thermodynamics and variational characterizations of nonequilibrium systems.

This work is organized as follows. In sec. II we define MJP and CRN. In sec. III we introduce the Legendre duality between flux and force, and the associated notion of generalized flow. In sec. IV we clarify the Hessian geometric structure in the flux-force space and generalized orthogonalities, which are one of the main contributions of this work. In sec. V we demonstrate how the generalized notions of orthogonality lead to different types of EPR decompositions and their geometric meaning. In sec. VI we verify the obtained decompositions using CRN that can have equilibrium, complex-balanced, and noncomplex balanced steady states. In sec. VII we summarize our results and provide possible applications and contributions of the Hessian structure in the flux-force space to other thermodynamic problems.

II. MODELS

In this section, we define MJP and CRN and show how MJP can be regarded as a special case of CRN.

A. Markov Jump Processes

A reversible Markov jump process describes random jumps of noninteracting particles on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of $N_v$ vertices, $\{v_i\}_{i\in[1,N_v]}$, and $N_e$ oriented edges $\{e_e\}_{e\in[1,N_e]}$. $k^+_e \geq 0$ is the forward jump rate from the head of the oriented edge $e_e$ to its tail. $k^-_e \geq 0$ is the reverse jump rate from the tail to the head of $e_e$. For infinitely many such particles, we consider $p_i(t) \in [0,1]$, the fraction of particles on vertex $v_i$ at time $t$. Then, the forward and reverse one-way fluxes on the $e$th edge are

$$j^+_e(p) = k^+_e p_{v^+_e}(e_e), \quad j^-_e(p) = k^-_e p_{v^-_e}(e_e),$$

where $v^+_e(e_e)$ and $v^-_e(e_e)$ are the head and tail vertices of edge $e_e$. The total flux function is the difference of one-way flux functions as $\mathbf{j}(p) = j^+_e(p) - j^-_e(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v}$ where $j^+_e(p) = (j^+_{1,e}(p), \cdots, j^+_{N_v,e}(p))^T$. Then, the dynamics of the state vector $p(t) := (p_1(t), \cdots, p_{N_v}(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v}_{\geq 0}$ is represented by the master equation:

$$\dot{p} = -Bj(p) = -\text{div} \mathbf{j}(p),$$

where $B \in \{0,\pm 1\}^{N_v \times N_e}$ is the incidence matrix of graph $\mathcal{G}$ and $\text{div} := B$. More specifically, for $B = (b_{i,e})$,

$$b_{i,e} := +1 \quad \text{if } v_i \text{ is the head of the edge } e_e,$$
$$b_{i,e} := -1 \quad \text{if } v_i \text{ is the tail of the edge } e_e,$$
$$b_{i,e} := 0 \quad \text{otherwise}.$$

Eq. \ref{master_eq} is the continuity equation for diffusion on a graph and $B$ can be regarded as the discrete divergence operator on a graph \cite{26}. We also define the head and tail incidence matrices, respectively, as $B^+ := \max[B,0]$ and $B^- := \max[-B,0]$. Thus, $B = B^+ - B^-$. Then, the flux functions are compactly described in a vector form as

$$\mathbf{j}(p) = k^+ \circ (B^\pm)^T p,$$

where $\circ$ is the component-wise product of two vectors. In this work, we assume that all edges describe reversible transitions, i.e., $k^+_e > 0$ for all $e$. While the representation of the master equation by Eq. \ref{master_eq} is different from that used conventionally in stochastic thermodynamics, they are equivalent and this representation suits our purpose of unveiling the relationship between MJP and CRN as well as the underlying geometric structure.
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\caption{Diagrammatic illustration of CRN (A) Diagrammatic representation of chemical reaction equations with reaction rate constants $\{k_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$. (B) The graph-theoretic structure of the CRN in (A). $v_1$, $v_2$, and $v_3$ correspond to complex $2X_1$, $2X_2$, and $X_1 + X_2$, respectively. Each directed edge represents a pair of forward and reverse reactions, and the direction of the edge indicates the direction of the forward reaction.}
\end{figure}

\footnote{Here, we have abused the notation $v^+(e_e)$ to indicate the index of the vertex $v^+(e_e)$.}
B. Chemical Reaction Networks

Deterministic chemical reaction networks (CRN) are an important class of macroscopic thermodynamic models in light of their historical role played in thermodynamics since Gibbs \[27\] and of their wide range of applications in engineering and biology \[22, 24, 28\]. A reversible CRN is composed of a collection of forward and reverse reaction pairs (Fig. 1 (A)), the \(e\)th forward reaction of which is described by the following chemical reaction equation \[22\]:

\[
\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N v_N \rightarrow \beta_1 v_1 + \cdots + \beta_N v_N,
\]

where \(v_i\) is the \(i\)th molecular species, \(N\) is the number of different kinds of molecular species, and \(\alpha_{i,e} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\) and \(\beta_{i,e} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\) are the numbers of molecule \(v_i\) involved as the reactants and products of the \(e\)th reaction, respectively. The stoichiometric vector of the \(e\)th forward reaction is defined as \(s_e := (\beta_{1,e} - \alpha_{1,e}, \ldots, \beta_{N,e} - \alpha_{N,e})^T\). The stoichiometric matrix is \(S := (s_1, \ldots, s_N)\). The stoichiometric vector of the \(e\)th reverse reaction is obtained by just changing the sign of the forward one: \(-s_m\). Thus, the stoichiometric matrix \(S\) defined only for the forward reactions is sufficient to characterize a reversible CRN. Let \(j^+(x)\) and \(j^-(x)\) be the one-way fluxes of the \(e\)th forward and reverse reactions in which \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)\) and \(\alpha_{i,e} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}\) are the numbers of molecule \(v_i\) involved as the reactants and products of the \(e\)th reaction, respectively. The total flux is \(j(x) = j^+(x) - j^-(x)\) where \(j^+(x) = (j_1^+(x), \ldots, j_N^+(x))^T\) and \(j^-(x) = (j_1^-(x), \ldots, j_N^-(x))^T\). Then, we have the deterministic chemical rate equation (CRE) \[21, 22, 28\] as

\[
\dot{x} = S j(x) = -\text{div} j(x).
\]

Eq. \[5\] is the continuity equation for the CRN with the divergence operator \(\text{div} = -S\).

The intrinsic graph structure of CRN can be manifested by considering the set of reactants and products as vertices of the graph \(\mathcal{G}\) connected by the reaction edges (Fig. 1 (B)). Specifically, the set of reactants \(v_+ := (\alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_N v_N)\) and the set of products \(v_- := (\beta_1 v_1 + \cdots + \beta_N v_N)\) are regarded as the head and tail vertices of the \(e\)th reaction edge, respectively. Such sets (vertices) are called complexes in the CRN theory \[28\]. Because each complex is a set of molecular species, a CRN is a special kind of hypergraph in which multiple molecular species are connected by oriented edges (reactions) \[29\]. Reflecting this hypergraph nature, \(S\) can be decomposed as

\[
S = -\Gamma B = -\Gamma (B^+ - B^-),
\]

where \(N\) is the number of different vertices (complexes), \(B\) is the incidence matrix of the complex graph \(\mathcal{G}\), and \(\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N_x \times N_x}\), where \(\gamma_i\) specifies the molecular species involved in the \(i\)th vertex (complex) as \(v_i := (\gamma_{1,i} v_1 + \cdots + \gamma_{N_x,i} v_{N_x})\).

If we adopt the law of mass action kinetics, the \(e\)th forward and reverse reaction fluxes can be represented as

\[
j_e^\pm(x) = k_e^\pm \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} b_{i,e}^\pm \prod_{j=1}^{N_e} x_j^\gamma_{i,j},
\]

where \(k_e^+ \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) and \(k_e^- \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) are the reaction rate constants of the \(e\)th forward and reverse reactions, respectively. In vector form, we can compactly represent it as

\[
j^\pm(x) = k^\pm \circ (B^\pm)^T x^{\Gamma^T},
\]

where \(x := \prod_{j=1}^{N_x} x_j^j\) and \(x^\Gamma := (x_1^\gamma_1, \ldots, x_1^\gamma_N)^T\). We should note an important relation, \((B^\pm)^T x^\Gamma = x^T (B^\mp)^T\), which holds because every column vector of \(B^\pm\) contains only one +1 and the others are 0 (see also Appendix for notation).

By comparing Eq. \[2\] and Eq. \[3\] with Eq. \[5\] and Eq. \[6\], we can see that CRNs contain MJPs as a special case. Specifically, if we identify \(x\) with \(p\) and set \(\Gamma = I\), where \(I\) is the identity matrix, Eq. \[5\] and Eq. \[6\] are reduced to Eq. \[2\] and Eq. \[3\], respectively. In other words, a MJP is a CRN, each complex of which contains only one molecular species. Such a CRN is called a monomolecular reaction network and its thermodynamic nature has been investigated in the context of equilibrium and nonequilibrium chemical thermodynamics, especially by Hill \[18\]. Because CRNs include MJPs, we work only on CRNs in the following sections\[3\].

III. LEGENDRE DUALITY OF FLUX-FORCE

Next, we introduce the Legendre duality between flux and force for CRNs\[3\] and summarize their relation to entropy production. The specific type of convex function introduced here was recently derived in the Macroscopic Fluctuation Theorem (MFT) \[30, 31\].

For a given pair of one-way fluxes \(j^\pm\), the total flux and force are obtained as

\[
j := j^+ - j^- \in \mathcal{F}, \quad f := \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{j^+}{j^-} \in \mathcal{F},
\]

\[3\] The state of MJP is the probability vector \(p(t)\). Thus, the conservation of probability \(\sum_i p_i(t) = 1\) should be satisfied. To regard MJP as a CRN, we here do not impose such a conservation in advance because the conservation of probability over time, i.e., \(\sum_i p_i(t) = \text{const.}\) is automatically satisfied by the property of the incidence matrix \(B\) such that \(B^T B = 0\). Thus, by restricting the dynamics of the system with the initial condition satisfying \(\sum_i x_i(0) = 1\), the constraint \(\sum_i p_i(t) = 1\) is automatically obtained.

\[4\] We abbreviate the thermodynamic force as force here.
where \( \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{V} \) is an \( N_\omega \)-dimensional vector space and \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}^* \) is its linear-algebraic dual space. The force of this form comes from the local detailed balance condition \([34]\), and is also consistent with macroscopic chemical thermodynamics with the mass action kinetics \([2, 19, 21]\).

If \( j \) and \( f \) are defined as in Eq. (9), the entropy production rate (EPR) is obtained as

\[
\dot{\Sigma} := 2\langle j, f \rangle = (j^+ - j^-)^T \ln \frac{j^+}{j^-} \geq 0, \tag{10}
\]

where \( \langle j, f \rangle := \sum_{e=1}^{N_e} j_e f_e \) is the usual bilinear pairing on \( \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}^* \).

As Eq. (9) implies, the pair of flux and force has a nonlinear relationship. To show that the relation is a Legendre duality, we introduce the frenetic activity \([36]\) of \( \psi \in \mathcal{V} \),

\[
\omega := 2\sqrt{j^+ \circ j^-} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_\omega}_{>0}. \tag{11}
\]

Then, we have \( j = \frac{\omega}{2} \circ [e^f - e^{-f}] \). Thus, if \( \omega \) is given, the force \( f \) can be converted to the corresponding flux \( j \) that satisfies Eq. (9). This relation between the pair \( (j, f) \) is a one-to-one Legendre duality (LD) induced by the following strictly convex smooth functions:

\[
\Psi_\omega^*(f) := \omega^T [\cosh(f) - 1],
\]

\[
\Psi_\omega(j) := j^T \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{j}{\omega} \right) - \omega^T \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{j}{\omega} \right)^2} - 1 \right], \tag{12}
\]

which lead to the Legendre transformations:

\[
j = \partial_j \Psi_\omega^*(f) = \omega \circ \sinh(f), \tag{13}
\]

\[
f = \partial_j \Psi_\omega(j) = \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{j}{\omega} \right). \tag{14}
\]

We can easily verify that Eq. (13) and Eq. (14) are equivalent to Eq. (9) by direct computation (see also Appendix ). In the following, we abbreviate \( \partial_f \Psi_\omega^* \) and \( \partial_j \Psi_\omega \) with \( \partial \Psi_\omega^* \) and \( \partial \Psi_\omega \) because we do not use differentiation of \( \Psi^* \) and \( \Psi \) with respect to other variables in this work. Moreover, the pair \( (j, f) \) satisfies the Legendre identity:

\[
\Psi_\omega^*(f) + \Psi_\omega(j) - \langle j, f \rangle = 0. \tag{15}
\]

The potential functions \( \Psi_\omega^*(f) \) and \( \Psi_\omega(j) \) of the form in Eq. (12) were recently derived via large deviation functions of the corresponding microscopic models in MFT \([30, 32, 34]\), where they are called dissipation functions. Both dissipation functions are nonnegative and symmetric:

\[
\Psi_\omega(j) = \Psi_\omega(-j) \geq 0, \quad \Psi_\omega^*(f) = \Psi_\omega^*(-f) \geq 0, \tag{16}
\]

and satisfy \( \min_f \Psi_\omega^*(f) = \Psi_\omega^*(0) = 0 \) and \( \min_j \Psi_\omega(j) = \Psi_\omega(0) = 0 \). From these properties, we can verify that if \( f = 0 \) and \( j = 0 \) are Legendre dual: \( 0 = \partial \Psi_\omega^*(0) \) and \( 0 = \partial \Psi_\omega(0) \). This is consistent with the thermodynamic requirement that if the force is zero, the corresponding flux becomes zero, and vice versa.

In addition, from the Legendre identity (Eq. (15)), the nonnegativity of the EPR can also be attributed to the nonnegativity of the dissipation functions:

\[
\dot{\Sigma} / 2 = \langle j, f \rangle = \Psi_\omega^*(f) + \Psi_\omega(j) \geq 0. \tag{17}
\]

It should be noted that the dissipation functions naturally provide a decomposition of the EPR into nonnegative terms.

In the following, a pair of flux and force with the same decoration, e.g., \( (j, f), (j^*, f^*), \) or \( (j(x), f(x)) \), represents a Legendre dual pair linked by Eq. (13) and Eq. (14). Because of the one-to-one LD, the CRE (Eq. 5) can be represented as:

\[
\dot{x} = \mathcal{S}j(x) = \mathcal{S}\partial \Psi_\omega^*(x)[f(x)] = -\text{div}(\partial \Psi_\omega^*(x)[f(x)]). \tag{18}
\]

The equation of this form is a generalized flow driven by the force \( f(x) \). The representation of the dynamics in this form is not specific to CRN or MJP. Thus, it can cover other systems such as overdamped diffusion by appropriately defining \( \Psi(x), \Psi^*(x), \) and \( f(x) \).

It should be noted that the derivation of Legendre duality and associated quantities and relations is not dependent on the specific functional form of the one-way fluxes, i.e., Eq. (8) or Eq. (3), the former of which is from the kinetic law of mass action assumption. Thus, the result here may be applied to a wider class of kinetic laws.

**IV. HESSIAN GEOMETRY AND GENERALIZED ORTHOGONALITIES**

Because of the nonlinearity of Legendre duality with the nonquadratic dissipation functions, we can no longer employ the inner product structure between flux and force and the associated formal Riemannian geometric notions (Fig. 2). We clarify that this problem is resolved by employing Hessian geometry and its geometric
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Linear subspaces in inner product structure defined by a metric via \( M \) are mapped to linear subspaces in \( F \) and CRN is one of the main contributions of this work.

Instead of the association of \( j \) and \( f \) by a linear transformation \( j = M^* f \) on the inner product space (Fig. 2 A), \( j \) and \( f \) are associated by the Legendre transformation (Fig. 2 B):

\[
j = \partial_f \Psi^*(f), \quad f = \partial_j \Psi(j). \tag{21}
\]

Hessian geometry is fundamental for capturing nonlinear geometry induced by the convex functions. As an important application, it has played the essential role in describing the geometry of statistical models in information geometry \[10, 17\] and that of equilibrium thermodynamics \[2, 37, 38\].

To regard the Hessian geometric structure as a nonlinear generalization of the inner product structure \[10\], we additionally assume that \( \Psi(j) \) satisfies the symmetry condition \( \Psi(j) = \Psi(-j) \). From this symmetry condition for \( \Psi(j) \), we also obtain the symmetry of \( \Psi^*(f) = \Psi^*(-f) \) via the Legendre duality. From the symmetries, the minimum of \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) is attained at \( 0: \arg \min_j \Psi(j) = 0 \) and \( \arg \min_f \Psi^*(f) = 0 \). Because the Legendre transformation Eq. (21) is independent of a constant in \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \), without losing generality, we can assume that \( \min_j \Psi(j) = \Psi(0) = \min_f \Psi^*(f) = \Psi(0) = 0 \). Thus,

\[
\Psi(j) = \Psi(-j) \geq 0, \quad \Psi^*(f) = \Psi^*(-f) \geq 0. \tag{22}
\]

In the following, we call \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) with this property dissipation functions.

To demonstrate that the Hessian geometric structure includes the inner product structure, suppose that \( \Psi(j) \) is a quadratic function as

\[
\Psi(j) = \frac{1}{2} \langle j, Mj \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \|j\|^2_M, \tag{23}
\]

where \( M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) is a positive definite matrix. Then, its Legendre dual becomes

\[
\Psi^*(f) = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^{-1} f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^* f, f \rangle := \frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2_M, \tag{24}
\]

where \( M^* := M^{-1} \). Thus, \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) are reduced to the squared norms associated with the metric \( M \) and \( M^* \). The Legendre transformations become

\[
j = Mf = (M^*)^{-1} f, \quad f = M^* j = M^{-1} j. \tag{25}
\]

These are the linear pairing of \( j \) and \( f \) via the metric \( M \). Furthermore, for the paired \( j \) and \( f \) by \( M \), \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) are essentially identical to the entropy production as

\[
\frac{1}{2} \langle j, f \rangle = \Psi(j) = \Psi^*(f) = \dot{\Sigma}/4. \tag{26}
\]
However, this identity of \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) no longer holds for a nonquadratic \( \Psi(j) \) and \( \Psi^*(f) \) pair. In addition, for quadratic cases, the generalized flow (Eq. (18)) reduces to the flow on a Riemannian manifold:

\[
\dot{x} = -\text{div} M^*_j [f(x)].
\] (27)

As a result, various notions of geometry in the inner product space are generalized in Hessian geometry.

**B. Generalized distance and Bregman divergence**

Owing to the nonlinearity, the notion of distance, i.e., is generalized at least into two versions.

The first version is to define a generalized distance via the dissipation functions as

\[
D_H(j, j') := \Psi(j - j'),
\] (28)

\[
D'_H(f, f') := \Psi^*(f - f').
\] (29)

From Eq. (22), \( D_H(j, j') \) is nonnegative, symmetric \( D_H(j, j') = D_H(j', j) \) and satisfies \( D_H(j, j') = 0 \) if and only if \( j = j' \). The same is true for \( D'_H(f, f') \). In addition, they are reduced to the usual metric induced by the squared norm for quadratic cases, as shown in Eq. (23) and Eq. (24).

The second version is the Bregman divergence, which is defined as

\[
D[j][j'] := \Psi(j) - \Psi(j') - \langle j - j', \partial_j \Psi(j') \rangle
\]
\[
D^*[f][f'] := \Psi^*(f) - \Psi^*(f') - \langle \partial_f \Psi^*(f'), f - f' \rangle.
\]

The Bregman divergence \( D[j][j'] \) is nonnegative and strictly convex for \( j \) and also attains the minimum 0 if and only if \( j = j' \). However, it is generally asymmetric \( D[j][j'] \neq D[j'][j] \). If \( \Psi(j) \) is quadratic (Eq. (23)), \( D[j][j'] \) is reduced to \( D[j][j'] = \frac{1}{2}||j - j'||_M^2 || \) and \( D^*[f][f'] \) is reduced to \( D^*[f][f'] = \frac{1}{2}||f - f'||_M^2 \). It should be noted that for any flux-force pairs \( \langle j, f \rangle \) and \( \langle j', f' \rangle \), the divergences \( D[j][j'] \) and \( D^*[f][f] \) are the same:

\[
D[j][j'] = D^*[f][f]
\] (30)

Thus, \( D[j][j'] \) and \( D^*[f][f] \) are different representations of the same quantity in the flux space \( J \) and the force space \( F \), respectively. As a result, \( D[j][j'] \) and \( D^*[f][f] \) are also the same as the following mixed representation:

\[
D[j][j'] := \Psi(j) + \Psi^*(f') - \langle j, f' \rangle
\] (31)

i.e., \( D[j][j'] = D[j][f'] = D^*[f][f] \) (see also Appendix).

**C. Generalized Hilbert orthogonality**

The two generalized distances naturally lead to two generalized notions of orthogonality.

For any two force vectors \( f_S, f_A \in F \), the first orthogonality is defined to satisfy

\[
\Psi^*(f_S + f_A) = \Psi^*(f_S - f_A).
\] (32)

This definition comes from the fact that, if \( f_S \) and \( f_A \) are orthogonal in an inner product space, then \( ||f_S + f_A||_M = ||f_S - f_A||_M \) holds. We call this orthogonality a generalized Hilbert orthogonality or simply Hilbert orthogonality. Then an orthogonal decomposition of a given force \( f \) into \( f = f_S + f_A \) is obtained by finding an iso-dissipation force \( f_{iso} \) satisfying \( \Psi^*(f) = \Psi^*(f_{iso}) \) and by computing \( f_S \) and \( f_A \) as

\[
f_S = \frac{f + f_{iso}}{2}, \quad f_A = \frac{f - f_{iso}}{2}.
\] (33)

Note that \( f_{iso} = f_S - f_A \) and also that we can have different decompositions of \( f \) by choosing different iso-dissipation forces \( f_{iso}' \). In addition, from the symmetry of \( \Psi^* \), we have \( \Psi^*(f) = \Psi^*(f_{iso}) = \Psi^*(-f_{iso}) = \Psi^*(-f) \).

As a consequence of the orthogonality, we obtain

\[
D[j][j_{iso}] = (j, f_A) \geq 0,
\] (34)

where we use \( D[j][j_{iso}] \geq 0 \) (see Appendix for a proof). Similarly, by considering the symmetry of \( \Psi^*(f) \), we also have

\[
D[\omega][j - j_{iso}] = (j, f_S) \geq 0.
\] (35)

From these relations, we have a decomposition of \( \langle j, f \rangle \) into two nonnegative terms:

\[
\langle j, f \rangle = \langle j, f_S \rangle + \langle j, f_A \rangle
\] (36)

\[
= \frac{D[j][j_{iso}]}{2} + \frac{D[j][j_{iso}]}{2}.
\] (37)

Because \( \langle j, f \rangle \) is proportional to the EPR (Eq. (10)), this decomposition provides a way to obtain nonnegative decompositions of the EPR. It should be noted that we have infinitely many decompositions of this type. In addition, by choosing \( j_{iso} = j \), the decomposition reduces to the fluctuation relation \( \Sigma = D[j][j] \), where we used \( j = j_{iso} \). This orthogonality has been employed in the MFT to characterize the quasi-potential and the gradient flow aspects of equilibrium and nonequilibrium systems as we see in the next section. The iso-dissipation hypersurface is known as a level surface of the convex function in Hessian geometry and as a central affine surface in affine differential geometry. In both cases, it works as a central geometric object. Moreover, this central affine surface also plays an important role in isobaric thermodynamics, where volume can change in conjunction with reactions. The Hilbert orthogonality is also defined in the flux space using \( \Psi(j) \) similarly to \( \Psi(j_A + j_S) = \Psi(j_A - j_S) \) while we do not use it in this work. It should be noted that the orthogonality of \( f_A \) and \( f_S \) generally does not mean the orthogonality of the corresponding \( j_A \) and \( j_S \).

---

**Footnotes**

11. Not necessarily for \( j' \).

12. It should be noted that the symmetry property of the dissipation function Eq. (22) is not required to derive these properties.
D. Information geometric orthogonality

The second orthogonality comes from the information geometry [15,17]. For three flux vectors \( \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}', \mathbf{j}'' \in \mathcal{J} \) satisfying \( \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' + \mathbf{j}'' \), the Bregman divergence \( \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][0] \) can be decomposed as

\[
\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][0] = \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][\mathbf{j}'] + \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][\mathbf{j}''][0] + \langle \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}'' \rangle,
\]

where \( \mathbf{j}'' \) is the Legendre dual of \( \mathbf{j}''. \) The second orthogonality of \( \mathbf{j}' \) and \( \mathbf{j}'' \) is defined by \( \langle \mathbf{j}', \mathbf{j}'' \rangle = 0 \). Then the following generalized Pythagorean theorem (GPT) holds:

\[
\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][0] = \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{j}][\mathbf{j}''][0].
\]

For quadratic dissipation functions, the GPT is reduced to the conventional Pythagorean theorem:

\[
\|\mathbf{j}'\|_M^2 = \|\mathbf{j}''\|_M^2 + \|\mathbf{j}''\|_M^2.
\]

Thus, Eq. (39) is a generalization of the usual orthogonality. We call this orthogonality the information geometric orthogonality. Similarly, for \( \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}' + \mathbf{f}'' \), the dual orthogonality between \( \mathbf{f}' \), \( \mathbf{f}'' \in \mathcal{F} \) is also defined by \( \langle \mathbf{f}', \mathbf{f}'' \rangle = 0 \), leading to the dual version of GPT:

\[
\mathcal{D}^*[\mathbf{f}][0] = \mathcal{D}^*[\mathbf{f}][\mathbf{f}'][0] + \mathcal{D}^*[\mathbf{f}'][0].
\]

The Bregman divergence and the information geometric orthogonality are the central geometric tools in information geometry for analyzing manifolds of statistical models and for conducting statistically meaningful projections onto submanifolds [16,17]. In addition, they have also been recently employed in the thermodynamics of diffusion processes, MJP, and CRN [37,38,41-43]. However, these works investigate the information and Hessian geometric structures of state space, i.e., the space of the probability vector \( \mathbf{p} \) or the concentration vector \( \mathbf{x} \), in which the thermodynamic potential functions such as the Gibbs free energy work as the convex potential function inducing the Hessian structure. As a result, the Hessian structure of the state space captures the energetic or equilibrium aspects of the systems. In contrast, the Hessian structure of the flux-force space in this work captures the kinetic and nonequilibrium aspects of the systems.

It should be noted that the Hilbert and information geometric orthogonalties are reduced to usual orthogonality when the dissipation functions are quadratic (see also Appendix ).

V. CHEMICAL REACTION DYNAMICS AND ENTROPY PRODUCTION DECOMPOSITIONS

In this section, we demonstrate how the two generalizations of orthogonality enable us to extend the different entropy production decompositions to CRN (and MJP). From the explicit functional forms of the one-way fluxes
\[ \ln K \in \text{Im} S^T. \] (45)

We denote such \( K \) as \( K_{eq} \). Note that the EQ condition is independent of the activity parameter \( \kappa \). Then, for each initial condition \( x_0 > 0 \), a unique equilibrium state \( x_{eq} \) exists, which is determined by the intersection of \( M_{eq}(K_{eq}) \) and the stoichiometric compatibility class \( \mathcal{M}_{cb} \) [37, 41]. Moreover, from the DBC, we have \( \ln K_{eq} = S^T \ln x_{eq} \). Then, the force \( f_0(x) \) of the equilibrium dynamics is represented as

\[
f_0(x) = -\frac{1}{2} S^T \ln \left( \frac{x}{x_{eq}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} S^T \partial_x \varphi_{eq}(x), \tag{47}\]

where \( \varphi_{eq}(x) = D_{K_{eq}}[x\|x_{eq}] := x^T \ln \frac{x}{x_{eq}} - 1^T(x - x_{eq}) \) is the Gibbs free energy of the equilibrium CRN. The matrix \( S^T \) can be considered as the discrete version of the gradient \( \nabla = S^T \) for the chemical hypergraph because \( S^T \) is the adjoint operator of \( S = -\nabla \). Thus, the equilibrium force is a gradient of \( \varphi_{eq}(x) \):

\[
f_0(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla \varphi_{eq}(x). \tag{48}\]

Additionally, the Wegscheider condition (Eq. [45]) is interpreted as \( \ln K_{eq} \in \text{Im}[\nabla] \). Then the dynamics (Eq. [18]) under the EQ parameter condition becomes a generalized gradient flow (GF) of \( \varphi_{eq}(x) \) [45]:

\[
\dot{x} = S j_0(x) = S \partial_x [\Psi_x^*] \left[ -\frac{1}{2} S^T \partial_x \varphi_{eq}(x) \right], \tag{49}\]

where \( \Psi_x^* := \Psi_{\kappa_0}(x) \). Because \( x(t) \) is the gradient flow of \( \varphi_{eq}(x(t)) \), the integral \( \int \varphi_{eq}(x(t))/dt \) is always non-positive as

\[
-\frac{d\varphi_{eq}(x)}{dt} = (\dot{x}, -\partial_x \varphi_{eq}(x)) = (S j_0(x), -S^T \partial_x \varphi_{eq}(x)) = 2(j_0(x), f_0(x)) = 2[\Psi_x(j_0(x)) + \Psi_x^*(f_0(x))] = \hat{\Sigma} \geq 0, \tag{51}\]

where we use Eq. [15] and Eq. [10]. By integration, we have the relation between the change of free energy and the entropy production:

\[
\varphi_{eq}(x(t)) - \varphi_{eq}(x(0)) = \int_{t'=0}^t \hat{\Sigma}_t dt'. \tag{52}\]

The uniqueness of the intersection is a consequence of Hessian geometric structure on the concentration-chemical potential space.

FIG. 4. Schematic illustration of the Hilbert orthogonality between \( f_0(x) \) (blue arrows) and \( f_A \) (magenta arrows). The green solid curve represents the iso-dissipation hypersurface: \( \Psi_x^*(f) = \Psi_x^*(f_0(x)) \equiv \text{const} \). The black circle, red square, and green star in \( \mathcal{F} \) are \( f_0(x), f_5(x), \) and \( f_{iso}(x) \), respectively. The light blue circle, red square, and green star in \( \mathcal{F} \) are their Legendre transform, i.e., \( j_0(x), j_5(x), \) and \( j_{iso}(x) \), respectively. The light blue line in \( \mathcal{F} \) are the NEF subspaces, \( P'(-f_A) \) (solid line) and \( P'(-f_A) \) (dashed line), and the EF subspace \( P' \) (dotted line). In \( \mathcal{J} \), the corresponding NEF manifolds, \( \mathcal{M}_{cb}(f_A) \) (the light solid blue curve) and \( \mathcal{M}_{cb}(-f_A) \) (the light dashed blue curve), and the EF manifold \( \mathcal{M}_{cb}(-f_A) \) (the light dotted blue curve) are also depicted.

B. Complex balanced dynamics, Hilbert orthogonality, and Hatano-Sasa decomposition

Next, we consider the nonequilibrium complex balanced (CB) steady state, and the EPR decomposition induced by the Hilbert orthogonality. The result here is a reinterpretation of the result in [32–34] from the viewpoint of Hessian geometry. Nevertheless, it is worth including because the decomposition is contrasted with the information geometric decomposition in the next subsection.

CB states of CRN are defined as the set satisfying

\[
\mathcal{M}_{cb}(K, \kappa) := \{ x \mid Bj_0(x; K, \kappa) = 0 \}. \tag{53}\]

A parameter set \( (K_{cb}, \kappa_{cb}) \) induces CB dynamics if the CB condition \( \mathcal{M}_{cb}(K_{cb}, \kappa_{cb}) \neq \emptyset \) holds. Then, for each initial condition \( x_0 \), the CB steady state \( x_{cb} \) is uniquely determined by the intersection of \( \mathcal{M}_{cb}(K_{cb}, \kappa_{cb}) \) and the stoichiometric compatibility class \( \mathcal{P}(x_0) \) as \( x_{cb} = \mathcal{M}_{cb}(K_{cb}, \kappa_{cb}) \cap \mathcal{P}(x_0) \) [37, 41].

The CB state inherits important properties of the equilibrium state such as the uniqueness, global stability, and the gradient-flow-like aspect [20, 21, 37, 44]. By definition, an EQ state is a CB state, but not vice versa. Additionally, the steady states of MJP always satisfy the CB condition because \( Bj_0(x; K, \kappa) = 0 \) is nothing but the steady state condition for MJP (Eq. [2]). Thus, MJP are unconditionally complex balanced. In contrast, CRN are not always complex balanced depending on the parameter value.
Using $x_{cb}$, the force $f_0(x)$ is represented as
\[ f_0(x) = -\frac{1}{2} S^T \partial_x \varphi_{cb}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{K_{cb}}{K_{eq}}, \] 
where $K_{eq} := S^T \ln x_{cb}$ and $\varphi_{cb}(x) := DF_{cb}[x \parallel x_{cb}]$. Let $f_S(x) := -\frac{1}{2} S^T \partial_x \varphi_{cb}(x)$ and $f_A := \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{K_{cb}}{K_{eq}}$. Then, for all $x \in X$, the generalized Hilbert orthogonality holds (Fig. 4):
\[ \Psi^*_x(f_S(x) + f_A) = \Psi^*_x(f_S(x) - f_A), \] 
because of the CB condition $Bj_0(x_{cb}) = 0$ [32,33] (see Appendix for a proof). Thus, Eq. (36) admits a nonnegative decomposition of the EPR:
\[ \hat{\Sigma}(x) = \hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x) + \hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{hk}}(x), \] 
where $\hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x) := 2(J_0(x), f_S(x))$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{hk}}(x) := 2(J_0(x), f_A)$. Owing to this nonnegative decomposition, $\varphi_{cb}(x)$ works as a Lyapunov function (quasi-potential):
\[ -\frac{d\varphi_{cb}(x)}{dt} = \hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x) = DF_{j_0(\cdot)}[f_{\text{iso}}(\cdot)] \geq 0, \] 
and $\hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x)$ behaves like an excess EPR, i.e., $\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x_t) = 0$. Thus, $\varphi_{cb}(x)$ as a Lyapunov function guarantees the stability of the CB state $x_{cb}$ and the gradient-flow-like property of the CB dynamics.

This decomposition is equivalent to those proposed for CRN in [20,21] as a generalization of the Hatano-Sasa decomposition [49]. Therefore, this result is just a reinterpretation of the previous ones. However, owing to the geometric perspective endowed by Hessian geometry, the non-negativity of $\hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x)$ and $\hat{\Sigma}_{GF}^{\text{ex}}(x)$ becomes transparent, the proof of which in previous works required more technical and less transparent computations [20,21].

C. Information geometric orthogonality and Maes-Netočný decomposition

While the gradient flow aspect and associated EPR decomposition by the quasi-potential have been investigated in CRN theory, MFT, and applied mathematics [20,21,33,44], the decomposition does not capture other important thermodynamic aspects such as minimum dissipation or minimum entropy production principles. In addition, the Hilbert orthogonality for $\varphi_{cb}(x)$ (Eq. (55)) holds only when the CB condition is satisfied. In CRN, we can have non-complex-balanced steady states depending on the parameter values.

As another type of the EPR decomposition, we have Maes-Netočný decomposition, which generalizes the Komatsut-Nakagawa-Sasa-Tasaki approach [42,43]. Moreover, its geometric interpretation for overdamped diffusion processes was recently provided in terms of the formal Riemannian geometry of the flux-force space [24]. Its extension to MJP and CRN has yet to be achieved because of their nonquadratic dissipation functions. We show that the information geometric orthogonality is central to this extension.

In the Maes-Netočný decomposition, for a given $x$ [44] the non-equilibrium flux $j_0(x)$ is decomposed into the quasi-steady flux $j_{st}(x)$ and the remaining part as $j_0(x) = j_{st}(x) + (j_0(x) - j_{st}(x))$. The quasi-steady flux $j_{st}(x)$ is the flux that makes a given state $x$ steady obtained by modulating the conserved force, i.e., being generated by the gradient of potential force in the case of overdamped diffusion. This means that $j_{st}(x) \in \ker[\text{div}]$ and $f_0(x) - f_{st}(x) \in \text{Im}\{\text{grad}\}$ hold. Furthermore, $j_{st}(x)$ is also characterized as the minimum EPR flux under the constraint of $f_0(x) - f_{st}(x) \in \text{Im}\{\text{grad}\}$ [44]. From the viewpoint of vector calculus, the decomposition is a special case of the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition [49]. With the the Riemannian inner product structure between $F$ and $\mathcal{J}$ for overdamped diffusion, we can also regard $j_{st}(x)$ as the orthogonal projection of $j_0(x)$ onto $\ker[\text{div}]$ along $\text{Im}\{\text{grad}\}$. Because the Maes-Netočný decomposition is tightly linked to the orthogonality in the inner product space, it is not trivial how to extend the decomposition to other systems such as CRN (and MJP) with nonquadratic dissipation functions. We show how information-geometric orthogonality can resolve this problem.

\[ 14 \text{ is not necessarily a steady state here.} \]
First, we define a linear affine subspace $P^v(j)$ as
\[ P^v(j) = \{j' | j - j' \in \text{Ker} S\} \subset J. \] (57)
Because $j' \in P^v(j)$ satisfies $Sj = Sj'$, $P^v(j)$ is the set of the fluxes that induces the same instantaneous velocity $\dot{x}$ as $j$. Thus, we call $P^v(j)$ an iso-velocity subspace in $J$. Because $\dot{x} = 0$ for the steady state flux, we also denote $P^v_0 := P^v(0)$ as the steady state (zero-velocity) subspace.

As the complementary subspace of $P^v(j)$, we also define a linear affine subspace $P^f(f) \subset F$ as
\[ P^f(f) = \{f' | f - f' \in \text{Im} S^T\}. \] (58)
Because $S^T$ is the gradient of a CRN, i.e., $\text{grad} = S^T$, $P^f(f)$ is the subspace obtained by shifting $\text{Im}[\text{grad}] = \text{Im}[S^T]$ by $f$. We call $P^f(f)$ an nonequilibrium force (NEF) subspace in $F$ if $f \notin \text{Im} S^T$ and the equilibrium force (EF) subspace if $f \in \text{Im} S^T$ because, if the equilibrium condition is satisfied, $f_0(x)$ is always in $\text{Im}[S^T]$ as in Eq. (48). We denote the EF subspace by $P^f_{eq} := P^f(0)$.

To obtain the generalized version of $j_{st}(x)$, we transform $P^f(f)$ and $P^f_{eq}$ to $J$ via the Legendre transformation:
\[ M^f_x(f) := \partial P^f_x[ P^f(f)] \subset J, \] (59)
\[ M^f_{x,eq} := \partial P^f_x[ P^f_{eq}] \subset J. \] (60)
It should be noted that $M^f_x(f)$ depends on the current state $x$ of the system even though $P^f(f)$ does not because the Legendre transformation is dependent on $x$ via the activity $\omega(x)$. From the definition, $M^f_x(f)$ and $M^f_{x,eq}$ are the set of nonequilibrium and equilibrium fluxes obtained by modulating the gradient force.

Then the generalized quasi-steady flux $j_{st}(x)$ is obtained by the intersection of $P^v_{st}$ and $M^f_x(f_0(x))$:
\[ j_{st}(x) := P^v_{st} \cap M^f_x(f_0(x)). \] (61)
For the case of overdamped diffusion processes, the Legendre transformation of the corresponding quadratic dissipation function is linear and, therefore, $M^f_x(f_0(x))$ becomes a flat subspace. The existence and uniqueness of $j_{st}(x)$ follow from the linear algebra. However, for the generalized $j_{st}(x)$, the existence and uniqueness of $j_{st}(x)$ are not guaranteed a priori, because $M^f_x(f_0(x))$ is a curved manifold as shown in Fig. 3(A). Nonetheless, $j_{st}(x)$ in Eq. (61) exists uniquely. More generally, for any $j'$ and $f''$, the following intersection is unique and transversal (see Appendix for proof):
\[ j_{int}(j', f'') = P^v(j') \cap M^f_x(f''). \] (62)
This result generalizes the uniqueness of the intersection of complementary subspaces under an inner product structure. This is one of the notable properties of Hessian geometry. In information geometry and Hessian geometry, $P^v(j)$ and $M^f_x(f)$ are called dual flat spaces [13][17].

By virtue of the uniqueness, we obtain a unique decomposition of the flux $j_0(x)$:
\[ j_0(x) = j_{eq}(x) + \langle j_0(x), j_{eq}(x) \rangle. \] (63)

Because $j_{st}(x) \in \text{Ker} S$ and $j_{st}(x) \in \mathcal{M}_x^f(f_0(x))$ where $\mathcal{M}_x^f(f_0(x)) = \partial \Psi^*_x[f_0(x)] + \text{Im}[S^T]$, $j_{st}(x)$ is the steady flux that is obtained by modulating the nonequilibrium force $f_0(x)$ by adding the gradient equilibrium force. We also have $f_0(x) - f_{st}(x) \in \text{Im} S^T$. Thus, Eq. (63) is a generalization of Maes-Netočný decomposition and also of Helmholtz decomposition. Moreover, from $\langle j_{st}(x), f_0(x) - f_{st}(x) \rangle = 0$, we have the generalized Pythagorean relation:
\[ \mathcal{D}_x[f_0(x)]|0 = \mathcal{D}_x[j_0(x)]|j_{eq}(x) + \mathcal{D}_x[j_{eq}(x); 0], \] (69)
This variational formula indicates that $f_{st}(x)$ is the minimum dissipation force that can be obtained by tilting the nonequilibrium force $f_0(x)$ with the equilibrium gradient force. Thus, $j_{st}(x)$ is the minimum dissipation (MD) steady state flux. For quadratic cases where the equivalence of the dissipation functions and the EPR holds (Eq. (63)), the result reduces to the minimum entropy production principle.

However, for nonquadratic cases, Eq. (65) alone does not provide a relevant EPR decomposition because EPR is not determined only by $\Psi^*_x(f_0(x))$. To resolve the problem, we consider the dual decomposition of $j_0$ as shown in Fig. 3(A):
\[ j_0(x) = j_{eq}(x) + \langle j_0(x), j_{eq}(x) \rangle, \] (67)
where we define $j_{eq}(x)$ as
\[ j_{eq}(x) := P^v(j_0(x)) \cap \mathcal{M}_x^f_{eq}. \] (68)
Because $j_{eq}(x) \in P^v(j_0(x))$, the flux $j_{eq}(x)$ induces the same instantaneous velocity $\dot{x}$ as $j_0(x)$. Moreover, because $j_{eq}(x) \in \mathcal{M}_x^f_{eq}$, it is the flux induced by an equilibrium force, i.e., a pure gradient force. Thus, $j_{eq}(x)$ is the equilibrium flux that induces the same dynamics as $j_0(x)$. It should be noted that $j_{eq}(x)$ is generally induced by a nonequilibrium force. In addition, we have $f_{eq}(x) \in \text{Im} S^T$ and $j(x) - j_{eq}(x) \in \text{Ker} S$ from $j_{eq}(x) \in \mathcal{M}_x^f_{eq}$ and $j_{eq}(x) \in P^v(j_0(x))$, respectively. Thus, Eq. (67) is another generalization of Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition of the flux $j_0(x)$. Moreover, owing to $\langle j_0(x), f_{eq}(x) \rangle = 0$, we have the Pythagorean relation:
\[ \mathcal{D}_x[j_0(x)]|0 = \mathcal{D}_x[j_{eq}(x)] + \mathcal{D}_x[j_{eq}(x); 0]. \] (69)
which is reduced to a decomposition of the primal dissipation function:

\[
\Psi_x(j_0(x)) = D_x[j_0(x) || j_{eq}(x)] + \Psi_x(j_{eq}(x)).
\]  

(70)

From this, \( j_{eq} \) can be characterized variationally (see Appendix for proof):

\[
\hat{j}_{eq}(x) = \arg \min_{j \in \mathcal{P}(j_0(x))} \Psi_x(j).
\]  

(71)

This variational formula means that, among all fluxes that induce the same velocity as \( j_0(x) \), the equilibrium flux \( j_{eq}(x) \) is the flux that minimizes the primal dissipation function. Thus, \( \hat{j}_{eq}(x) \) is the MD flux.

By combining Eq. (70) and Eq. (65), we have an EPR decomposition (see Appendix for proof):

\[
\hat{\Sigma}(x) = \hat{\Sigma}_{hk}(x) + \hat{\Sigma}_{ex}(x)
\]  

(72)

where we define housekeeping and excess EPR as

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_{hk}(x) := 2 [D_x[j_0(x) || j_{eq}(x)] + \Psi_x^*(f_{st}(x))] \geq 0,
\]

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_{ex}(x) := 2 [D_x^*[f_0(x)][f_{st}(x)] + \Psi_x(j_{eq}(x))] \geq 0.
\]

If the state \( x(t) \) converges to a steady state \( x_{st} \)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{\Sigma}_{hk}(x(t)) = \Sigma_{hk}(x_{st}) = 2\langle j_0(x_{st}), f_0(x_{st}) \rangle
\]

(73)

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{\Sigma}_{ex}(x(t)) = \Sigma_{ex}(x_{st}) = 0,
\]

(74)

where we used \( j_0(x_{st}) = j_{st}(x_{st}) \) and \( j_{eq}(x_{st}) = 0. \) Thus, this EPR decomposition geometrically generalizes the Maes-Netočný one to MJP and CRN and clarifies its dualistic minimum dissipation principles.

If the state \( x(t) \) converges to a CB state \( x_{cb} \), then

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{\Sigma}_{hk}(x(t)) = 2\langle j_A, f_A \rangle
\]

VI. NUMERICAL DEMONSTRATION

We numerically demonstrate the two decompositions in Eq. (56) and Eq. (72) and the geometric relations among \( j_0(x) \), \( j_{st}(x) \), \( j_{eq}(x) \), and \( j_A \) and those among \( j_0(x), j_{st}(x), \) and \( j_{eq}(x) \) by using a CRN in Fig. 11(A) [50, 51].

TABLE I. The parameter values used for simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>( k_1 )</th>
<th>( k_2 )</th>
<th>( k_3 )</th>
<th>( k_4 )</th>
<th>( k_5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3\sqrt{2}</td>
<td>4\sqrt{2}</td>
<td>4\sqrt{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex balanced</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \sqrt{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-complex balanced</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \sqrt{2} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( x_{st} \) is not necessarily a CB state but can be a general steady state.

The CRN depicted in Fig. 11(A) is defined by the following set of chemical reaction equations:

\[
2x_1 \xrightarrow{k_1^{-1}} 2x_2, \quad 2x_2 \xrightarrow{k_2^{-1}} x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1 + x_2 \xrightarrow{k_3^{-1}} 2x_1.
\]

(75)

The corresponding structural quantities are

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & +1 & +1 \\ +2 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(76)

(77)

One can show that this simple nonlinear CRN has any of the equilibrium, CB, and non-CB steady states depending on the kinetic parameter values [50, 51]. In addition, the flux and force spaces are three-dimensional, and, thereby, the relevant geometric objects and quantities can be visualized computationally. We used the parameter values in Tab. I for the simulations in Fig. 6 and in Fig. VII. Because the state space is two-dimensional and the stoichiometric compatibility class is one-dimensional, i.e., \( \mathcal{P}(x_0) := \{ x | x - x_0 \in \text{Im}[S] \} = \)
\[\{x|x = x_0 + \xi(1, -1)^T, \xi \in \mathbb{R}\},\] the trajectory is restricted in the one-dimensional \(P(x_0)\) independently of the parameter values. We also selected the parameter values in Tab. 1 so that all the sets of equilibrium, CB and non-CB steady states are equivalent to the set, \(\{x|x_2 = 2x_1\}\).

Thus, the topological properties of the state space are the same for the three sets of parameters.

Figure 6 shows the values of the EPR and the associated dissipation functions in the state space. For the equilibrium parameter (Fig. 6(A)), the EPR and dissipation functions attain their global minimum value of zero on the equilibrium states (black line). For the CB parameter (Fig. 6(B)), the global minimum is not necessarily attained, which results in the non-zero EPR at the CB steady state \(x_{\text{cb}}\) (Fig. 7(A)). For the non-CB parameter (Fig. 6(C)), the landscapes of the EPR and dissipation functions become more complicated, and their values along the trajectory \(x_t\) are not monotonous (Fig. 7(C)).

For the CB and non-CB cases, the two decompositions, Eq. 60 and Eq. 72, are computed (Fig. 7(B) and (D)). For the CB case, we verify that both decompositions provide the expected behaviors: both housekeeping and excess components stay non-negative; the excess one converges to 0; the housekeeping one does to a finite non-negative value (Fig. 7(B)).

For the non-CB case, the expected behaviors are also produced (Fig. 7(D)) for the information-geometric decomposition, Eq. 72 (a generalization of Maes-Netočný decomposition). On the contrary, the housekeeping component of the Hilbert decomposition, Eq. 60, becomes negative within a certain time window because the Hilbert orthogonality, Eq. 59, does not hold in this case. It should be noted that this result does not mean that the Hilbert decomposition is not applicable to the non-CB case. The result only indicates that the force decomposition by \(f_s(x) = -\frac{1}{2}S^T \partial_x \varphi(x)\) and \(f_A = \frac{1}{2} \ln K_{cb}\) with the specific form of \(\varphi_{cb}(x) := D_{KL}[x|x_{cb}]\) no longer satisfies the Hilbert orthogonality. We may be able to recover the orthogonality and the associated decomposition by choosing other functional form of \(\varphi_{cb}(x)\). Finding such \(\varphi_{cb}(x)\) is linked to the computation of quasi-potential, but this problem is still challenging for generic non-CB cases.

Finally, we computationally visualized the various geometric objects introduced in this work in Figs. 8 and 9 for the CB parameter set in Tab. 1.
Next, we verify the information-geometric orthogonality in Fig. 9. In the flux space $\mathcal{J}$ (the left panel in Fig. 9), we observe that the flux manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^d(f_0(x))$ is on the iso-velocity subspace $\mathcal{P}^v(f_0(x))$. The steady state flux $\mathcal{I}_0(x)$ is on the intersection of the zero-velocity subspace $\mathcal{P}^{zv}$ and the NEF manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^d(f_0(x))$. By mapping these objects into $\mathcal{F}$ by the Legendre transform, we observe that $\mathcal{F}_0(x)$ is on the intersection of the NEF subspace $\mathcal{P}^f(f_0(x))$ and the zero-velocity manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^v(f_0(x))$. Similarly, $\mathcal{F}_0(x)$ is on the intersection of the EF subspace $\mathcal{P}^{ef}$ and the zero-velocity manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^v(\phi_0(x))$. By the Legendre transformation, the iso-velocity manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^v(f_0(x))$, and the zero-velocity manifold $\mathcal{M}_0^{zv}$, are curved in $\mathcal{F}$. These graphical representations demonstrate how the nonlinear Legendre transform relates the subspaces and manifolds in $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ and also how the generalized notions of orthogonality of Hessian geometry are realized in these spaces.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have clarified that Hessian geometry is the natural geometric structure of nonequilibrium and nonlinear CRNs as well as MJPs. More generally, it can capture the geometry induced by nonquadratic convex dissipation functions. By employing generalized notions of orthogonality, various aspects of nonequilibrium dynamics are dissected as decompositions of EPRs, which generalize the well-established ones \cite{25,17,48} to CRNs and MJPs.

A. Thermodynamic uncertainty relation and Fisher information

The nonquadratic property of the dissipation functions of CRN and MJP also appears implicitly in different problems of thermodynamics. One such example is thermodynamic uncertainty relation (TUR) and its extension to MJP. TUR is a relation that bounds the fluctuation of a generalized current in a nonequilibrium steady state by the entropy production \cite{52}:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_g[J^{ss}_d]^2}{\text{Var}[J^{ss}_d]} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \dot{\Sigma}(t')dt'$$ \hfill (78)

where $J^{ss}_d$ is a time-integrated generalized current at the steady state, and $\mathbb{E}_g[J^{ss}_d]$ and $\text{Var}[J^{ss}_d]$ are the mean and variance of $J^{ss}_d$. Since the proposal of the TUR conjecture and its first proof \cite{53,54}, the TUR has been extended to various models and situations, including MJP \cite{52} and CRN \cite{53}. However, even though Eq. (78) is tight for overdamped diffusion processes, it is not tight for MJP. Instead, for MJP, the fluctuation of the current is bounded tightly by the pseudo entropy production (pEPR) \cite{55}:

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_g[J^{ss}_d]^2}{\text{Var}[J^{ss}_d]} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau \dot{\Pi}(t')dt'$$ \hfill (79)

where the pEPR is defined as

$$\dot{\Pi}(t) := \frac{(j^+(x)) - j^-(x))}{j^+(x) + j^-(x)},$$ \hfill (80)

and $\dot{\Pi}(t) \leq \dot{\Sigma}(t)$ holds \cite{52}. We here mention that this gap between the EPR and pEPR is linked to the Fisher information of the dissipation function. The Fisher information matrix (or metric) for a strictly convex function $\phi^*(f)$ is defined by its Hessian as

$$G^*_{e,c}(f) := \frac{\partial^2 \phi^*(f)}{\partial f_e \partial f_c}.$$ \hfill (81)

For $\phi^*(f) = \Psi_\omega(f)$ defined by Eq. (12), we have

$$G^*_{\omega, f} = \text{diag}[\omega \circ \cosh(f)] = (j^+ + j^-),$$ \hfill (82)
where we used Eq. (9) and Eq. (11). For $\phi(j) = \Psi_\omega(j)$, we have the Fisher information matrix:

$$G(\omega, j) = G^*(\omega, f)^{-1} = \frac{1}{j^+ + j^-}. \quad (83)$$

Then, the pEPR is represented as

$$\tilde{I}(j) = \langle j, G(\omega, j)j \rangle, \quad (84)$$

which allows us to regard $\tilde{I}(t)$ as an approximation of the EPR by replacing the actual force $f$ associated with $j$ with a pseudo-force $f^p := G(\omega, j)$. If the dissipation function is quadratic, the actual force $f$ and the pseudo-force $f^p$ coincide. Thus, the non-tightness of the TUR for MJP is a manifestation of the nonquadratic nature of the dissipation functions.

B. Network thermodynamics

The information geometric decomposition that we introduced is tightly related to the network thermodynamics \[18, 19, 56–58\]. The network thermodynamics is an attempt to extend the methodology of network theory developed mainly for linear electric circuits to other physical systems \[59, 60\]. While the linear network theory successfully works for linear electric circuits, which have a linear force and flux relation (Ohm’s law) and resulting quadratic dissipation functions, its application to MJP and CRN has encountered difficulties due to the nonlinear relation between force and flux, especially when we evaluate the EPR decomposition for a transient and far from equilibrium state. The Hessian geometric structure and the generalized decompositions in this work are extensions of those in network thermodynamics. Specifically, the information geometric decomposition is a nonlinear generalization of the cycle-cocycle decomposition \[61\]. However, network thermodynamics and network theory accommodate a wide variety of methods that are not yet exploited in this work \[61, 62\]. For example, the algebraic structure underlying the network is explored by using the integral basis defined by cycles, cords, and spanning trees in the network \[19, 63\]. As an application, the steady EPR is related to cyclic fluxes and affinities in the network. We may incorporate and exploit the algebraic structure of MJP and CRN more explicitly into our framework.

C. Duality and variational characterizations in thermodynamics

The notion of duality is the core of thermodynamics. In equilibrium thermodynamics, the duality of extensive and intensive variables induced by thermodynamic potential functions characterizes the energetic aspect of physical systems. The roles and differences of dual pairs of thermodynamic potential functions are well recognized. In addition, Hessian geometry is central to comprehend the geometric properties of equilibrium thermodynamics \[2, 37\]. For the duality between the force and flux explored in this work, the dual dissipation functions have been recognized for a while in MFT \[7\] and metric gradient flow theory \[14\]. Nevertheless, nonquadratic dissipation functions have been investigated only very recently even in MFT \[61, 64\] and also in other physics communities \[62\]. As in the case of equilibrium thermodynamics, grasping the roles played by the dual functions should be essential for understanding nonequilibrium and kinetic aspects of thermodynamics. Hence, Hessian geometry becomes an indispensable tool for investigating the geometry induced by the duality and also the variational aspects of nonequilibrium phenomena. In thermodynamics, there exist continued attempts to characterize nonequilibrium states and relations variationally, which go by the names of minimum entropy production principle \[65, 67\], maximum entropy production principle \[68, 69\], the least dissipation principle \[5, 70\], and others. However, all these principles still have limitations in their applicability for nonlinear and far from equilibrium situations \[71\]. Hessian geometry and also information geometry, which has an ability to handle nonlinearity induced by convex functions, may contribute to resolving a part of problems in such principles. Moreover, they can serve as the natural language to integrate the equilibrium (energetic) and nonequilibrium (kinetic) descriptions, and thereby provide us a more universal understanding of thermodynamic systems and extend the applicability of nonequilibrium thermodynamics.
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