

GROUND STATES OF SCHRÖDINGER SYSTEMS WITH CHERN-SIMONS GAUGE FIELDS

YAHUI JIANG, TAIYONG CHEN, MARCO SQUASSINA, AND JIANJUN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We are concerned with the following coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds + \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) u = |u|^{2p-2} u + b|v|^p |u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta v + \omega v + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds + \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) v = |v|^{2p-2} v + b|u|^p |v|^{p-2} v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega > 0$, $b > 0$, $p > 1$. By virtue of the variational approach, we show the existence of nontrivial ground state solutions. Precisely, the system above admits a positive ground state solution if $p > 3$ and $b > 0$ large enough or if $p \in (2, 3]$ and $b > 0$ small.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following coupled Schrödinger equations with Chern-Simons gauge fields

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds + \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) u = |u|^{2p-2} u + b|v|^p |u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta v + \omega v + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds + \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) v = |v|^{2p-2} v + b|u|^p |v|^{p-2} v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega > 0$, $b > 0$, $p > 1$ and

$$h(s) = \int_0^s \frac{r}{2} u^2(r) dr, \quad g(s) = \int_0^s \frac{r}{2} v^2(r) dr.$$

When $b = 0$, then system (1.1) is uncoupled and it reduces to two equations of the same type. In recently years, a single nonlinear Schrödinger equations coupled with the Chern-Simons gauge field as follows has received much attention

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} iD_0\phi + (D_1D_1 + D_2D_2)\phi = -f(\phi), \\ \partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 = -\text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_2 \phi), \\ \partial_0 A_2 - \partial_2 A_0 = \text{Im}(\bar{\phi} D_1 \phi), \\ \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = -\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2, \end{cases}$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit, $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$, $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the complex scalar field, $A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the gauge field and $D_\mu = -\partial_\mu + iA_\mu$ is the covariant derivative for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. The Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system consists of Schrödinger equations augmented by the gauge field, which was first proposed and studied in [11, 12]. The

Date: June 3, 2022.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B09, 35J50, 81T10.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger systems, Ground states, Chern-Simons gauge fields, Variational methods.

(1) Corresponding author: marco.squassina@unicatt.it.

(2) M. Squassina is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM). J. J. Zhang was partially supported by NSFC(No.11871123).

model was proposed to study vortex solutions, which carry both electric and magnetic charges. This feature of the model is important for the study of the high-temperature superconductor, fractional quantum Hall effect and Aharvov-Bohm scattering. For more details about system (1.2), we refer the readers to [7–9]. System (1.2) is invariant under gauge transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi e^{i\chi}, \quad A_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \chi,$$

for any arbitrary C^∞ function χ .

Byeon, Hun and Seok [5] investigated the existence of standing wave solutions for system with power type nonlinearity, that is $f(u) = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$ with $p > 2$ and $\lambda > 0$. By using the ansatz

$$\begin{cases} \phi(t, x) = u(|x|)e^{i\omega t}, & A_0(t, x) = k(|x|), \\ A_1(t, x) = \frac{x_2}{|x|^2}h(|x|), & A_2(t, x) = -\frac{x_1}{|x|^2}h(|x|). \end{cases}$$

Byeon et al. got the following nonlocal semilinear elliptic equation

$$(1.3) \quad -\Delta u + (\omega + \xi)u + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds + \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where $h(s) = \int_0^s \frac{r}{2} u^2(r) dr$.

Byeon et al. showed equation (1.3) has at least one solution for any $\lambda > 0$. For the special case $p = 4$, there exist solutions if $\lambda > 1$. It seems hard to obtain the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence when $p \in (4, 6)$. They constructed a Nehari-Pohozaev manifold to obtain the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence. For $p \in (2, 4)$, Pomponio and Ruiz [17] proved the existence and nonexistence of positive solutions to equation (1.3) under the different range of the ω . A series of existence and nonexistence results of solutions to equation (1.3) has been researched in [6, 10, 13, 17, 18, 23]. There has been increasing interest in studying the existence, multiplicity and the concentration behavior of the solutions for the problems above mentioned.

Problem (1.1) is a nonlocal problem due to the appearance of the term $\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds$, which indicates that (1.1) is not a pointwise identity. This causes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of such a problem particularly interesting. System (1.1) is quite different from the following local scalar field system

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = |u|^{2q-2} + b|v|^q|u|^{q-2}u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \omega^2 v = |v|^{2q-2} + b|u|^q|v|^{q-2}v, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

for $\omega > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $q \in (2, 2^*)$, which does not depend on the nonlocal term any more. The coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system (1.4) has attracted considerable attention in the past fifteen years. Maia et al. [16] by using the variational methods and the ideas of Rabinowitz [19] investigated the existence of positive ground state solutions for system (1.4) under sufficient conditions on parameter b and ω . For more progress in this aspect, we refer to [2–4, 14, 21] and the references therein.

The energy functional for system (1.1) $I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{1}{2p} F(u, v),$$

where

$$B(u) = \int \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx,$$

$$F(u, v) = \int (|u|^{2p} + |v|^{2p} + 2b|uv|^p) dx.$$

Here E denotes the subspace of radially symmetric functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_E^2 := \int (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + |u|^2 + \omega|v|^2) dx.$$

The functional I is of class $C^1(E)$, its critical point (u, v) is a weak solution of (1.1) and by standard regularity theory is a classical solution.

Motivated by [16], we try to study the existence of positive ground state solutions for coupled Schrödinger equations with Chern-Simons gauge fields (1.1) with suitable conditions on ω, b .

One of the main difficulties is the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequences if we try to use directly by the mountain pass theorem to get the critical points of I in E . For $p \geq 3$, it is standard to show that Palais-Smale condition holds for I . For $p \in (2, 3)$, the functional I has the mountain-pass geometry. However, it seems hard to prove the Palais-Smale condition holds for the functional I . Motivated by [5], by using a constrained minimizer on Nehari-Pohozaev manifold we circumvent this obstacle.

Another problem is the existence of positive ground state for system (1.1), i.e., a minimal action solution (u, v) with both $u > 0, v > 0$ nontrivial. We point out that the system (1.1) also possesses a trivial solution $(0, 0)$ and semi-trivial solutions of type $(u, 0)$ or $(0, v)$. A solution (u, v) of (1.1) is nontrivial if $u \not\equiv 0$ and $v \not\equiv 0$. Here we overcome this obstacle by energy estimation.

We now state the main result of the paper. The constants $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6$ involved in the statement depends on the ground state of the single equation. We will give the corresponding expressions in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that one of the following conditions hold*

- $p \in (2, 3]$ and $b < \min\{b_5, b_6\}$,
- $p \in (3, 3 + \sqrt{6})$ and $b > \max\{b_1, b_2\}$,
- $p \in [3 + \sqrt{6}, \infty)$ and $b > \max\{b_3, b_4\}$.

Then system (1.1) admits a positive vector ground state.

Compared with the case of $p > 2$, it seems that the case of $p \in (1, 2]$ becomes more complicated and we will consider it in a forthcoming paper. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present some notations and preliminary results. By constructing a suitable manifold, we prove Theorem 1.1 in Sections 3 and 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove the main results, we use the following notations:

- $E_\omega = H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with norm

$$\|v\|_\omega^2 = \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \omega\|v\|_2^2;$$

- $E = H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times E_\omega$ with norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_E^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|_\omega^2.$$

- $L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $p > 1$ with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{2p}^{2p} = \|u\|_{2p}^{2p} + \|v\|_{2p}^{2p}.$$

- $\mathbb{L}_{loc}^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2) := L_{loc}^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L_{loc}^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$;

Lemma 2.1. [5] Suppose that a sequence u_n converges weakly to a function u in H_r^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for each $\varphi \in H_r^1$, $B'(u_n)$, $B'(u_n)\varphi$ and $B'(u_n)u_n$ converges up to a subsequence to $B(u)$, $B'(u)\varphi$ and $B'(u)u$, respectively, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. [5] For $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the following inequality holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^4 dx \leq 4 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Furthermore, the equality is attained by a continuum of functions

$$\left\{ u_l = \frac{\sqrt{8}l}{1 + |lx|^2} \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid l \in (0, \infty) \right\},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_l|^4 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_l|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u_l^2}{|x|^2} \left(\int_0^{|x|} \frac{s}{2} u_l^2(s) ds \right)^2 dx = \frac{16\pi l^2}{3}.$$

Lemma 2.3. If (u, v) is a solution of (1.1) then it satisfies the Pohozaev identity

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\|_2^2 + \omega \|v\|_2^2 + 2 \left(\int \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} h(|x|)^2 + \int \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} g(|x|)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{p} \left(\|(u, v)\|_{2p}^{2p} + 2b \|uv\|_p^p \right).$$

Proof. Assume that $(u, v) \in E$ is a weak solution for (1.1). Similar to [1] and [5], we know

$$\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds, \quad \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2}, \quad \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the standard elliptic estimates imply that $(u, v) \in C_{loc}^{1,\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then we obtain

$\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds, \quad \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2}, \quad \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for any $R > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta u (\nabla u \cdot x) &= \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dS_x, \\ \int_{B_R} u (\nabla u \cdot x) dx &= - \int_{B_R} u^2 dx + o_R(1), \\ \int_{B_R} |u|^{2p-2} u (\nabla u \cdot x) dx &= -\frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{2p}^{2p} + o_R(1), \\ \int_{B_R} \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u (\nabla u \cdot x) dx &+ \int_{B_R} \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} u (\nabla u \cdot x) dx = \pi h^2(R) u^2(R) \\ &+ \pi \left(\int_R^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u^2(R) R^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} u^2 dx + o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dS_x + \int_{B_R} u^2 dx - \pi h^2(R) u^2(R) - \pi \left(\int_R^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u^2(R) R^2 \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{2p}^{2p} + b \int_{B_R} |v|^p |u|^{p-2} u x \cdot \nabla u. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} |\nabla v|^2 dS_x + \int_{B_R} \omega v^2 dx - \pi g^2(R) v^2(R) - \pi \left(\int_R^{+\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds \right) v^2(R) R^2 \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} v^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{2p}^{2p} + b \int_{B_R} |u|^p |v|^{p-2} v x \cdot \nabla v. \end{aligned}$$

Then, summing up (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{R}{2} \int_{\partial B_R} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dS_x + \int_{B_R} u^2 + \omega v^2 - \pi (h^2(R) u^2(R) + g^2(R) v^2(R)) \\ & - \pi \left(\left(\int_R^{+\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds \right) u^2(R) R^2 + \left(\int_R^{+\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds \right) v^2(R) R^2 \right) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} u^2 + \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} v^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{p} (\|u\|_{2p}^{2p} + \|v\|_p^{2p}) - \frac{2b}{p} \int_{B_R} |v|^p |u|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking a limit for with R_n replacing R as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the identity (2.1). This completes the proof. \square

3. EXISTENCE OF RADIAL GROUND STATES

3.1. Case $p > 3$. Remark that (1.1) has semi-trivial solutions $(u_0, 0)$ and $(0, v_0)$, where u_0 and v_0 are positive ground state of the problem

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta u + u + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds + \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) u = |u|^{2p-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad -\Delta v + \omega v + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds + \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) v = |v|^{2p-2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with minimal energy

$$(3.3) \quad A_1 = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u_0|^2 + u_0^2 + \frac{p-3}{6p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u_0|^{2p},$$

$$(3.4) \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_0|^2 + \omega v_0^2 + \frac{p-3}{6p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_0|^{2p}.$$

We can define Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \mid \langle I'(u, v), (u, v) \rangle = 0\}.$$

The corresponding groundstate energy is described as

$$c_{\mathcal{N}} := \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}} I(u, v).$$

Lemma 3.1. (*Mountain-Pass geometry*) Assume $b > 0$. The function $I(u, v)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) There exist a positive constant $r > 0$ such that $I(u, v) > 0$ for $\|(u, v)\|_E = r$;
- (ii) There exist $(e_1, e_2) \in E$ with $\|(e_1, e_2)\|_E > r$ such that $I(e_1, e_2) < 0$.

Proof. Since

$$2b \int |u|^p |v|^p \leq b(\|u\|_{2p}^{2p} + \|v\|_{2p}^{2p}),$$

and by the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - F(u, v); \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 - C \|(u, v)\|_E^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exist $r > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\|(u,v)\|_E=r} I(u, v) > 0.$$

For any $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and $t > 0$,

$$I(tu, tv) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{t^6}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{t^{2p}}{2p} F(u, v),$$

which implies that $I(tu, tv) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

Therefore, I satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain-Pass theorem. We define

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, I(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there exists a unique $\bar{t}_{uv} > 0$ such that $\bar{t}_{uv}(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$. The maximum of $I(tu, tv)$ for $t \geq 0$ is achieved at $t = \bar{t}_{uv}$.*

Proof. $\forall (u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ and $t > 0$, let

$$g(t) := I(tu, tv) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{t^6}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{t^{2p}}{2p} F(u, v).$$

By Lemma 3.1, we deduce that there exists $\bar{t} = \bar{t}_{uv} > 0$ such that

$$g(\bar{t}) = \max_{t > 0} g(t).$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} g'(t) &= t \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + 3t^5 (B(u) + B(v)) - t^{2p-1} F(u, v) \\ &= t^{2p-1} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2p-2}} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{3}{t^{2p-6}} (B(u) + B(v)) - F(u, v) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As $p > 3$, thus $g'(t)$ is strictly decreasing, the point $t = \bar{t}_{uv}$ is the unique value of $t > 0$ at which $\bar{t}_{uv}(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. $c_{\mathcal{N}} = c$.

Proof. Let us define

$$c_1 := \inf_{(u,v) \in E \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu, tv).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we get that $c_{\mathcal{N}} = c_1$. Since $I(tu, tv) < 0$ for any t large, it follows that $c \leq c_1$. Since every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ intersects \mathcal{N} , so that $c \geq c_{\mathcal{N}}$. This completes the proof. \square

Set

$$b_1 = \left(\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, \quad b_2 = \left(\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{p-1} - 1,$$

$$b_3 = \left(\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, \quad b_4 = \left(\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{p-1} - 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 case $p > 3$. By the Ekeland variational principle [22] that there exists a sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \in E$ such that

$$I(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c, \quad I'(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } E'.$$

By computing $I(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{6}\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle$, we get that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in E . Then there exists $(u, v) \in E$ such that, up to a subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n, v_n) &\rightharpoonup (u, v) \text{ in } E, \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u, v) \text{ in } L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad p \in (1, +\infty), \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u, v) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $I'(u, v) = 0$ and $I(u, v) \leq c$. If $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$, it follows that $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$, $I(u, v) \geq c$. Then, $I(u, v) = c$. Assume the contrary that $(u, v) = (0, 0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1)\|(u_n, v_n)\|_E &= I((u_n, v_n)) - \frac{1}{2}\langle I'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \rangle \\ &= -(B(u) + B(v)) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2p}\right)F(u, v) + o(1) \\ &= o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus (u, v) is a ground state solutions of system (1.1). We denote

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(u, v) &:= \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2, \\ h_2(u, v) &:= \|u\|_2^2 + \omega\|v\|_2^2, \\ h_3(u, v) &:= B(u) + B(v). \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $(u, v) \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{N}} &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu, tv) \\ &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{2}(h_1(u, v) + h_2(u, v)) + \frac{t^6}{2}h_3(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p}}{2p}F(u, v) \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{2}(h_1(u, v) + h_2(u, v)) - \frac{t^{2p}}{4p}F(u, v) \right\} + \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^6}{2}h_2(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p}}{4p}F(u, v) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{p-1}{2p} \left(\frac{2(h_1 + h_2)^p}{F} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{p-3}{2p} \left(\frac{6h_3^{\frac{p}{3}}}{F} \right)^{\frac{3}{p-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\omega \leq 1$, $A_1 \geq A_2$. We can choose (u_0, u_0) such that

$$c_{\mathcal{N}} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu_0, tu_0) < A_2.$$

we can choose (u_0, u_0) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{N}} &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu_0, tu_0) \\ &\leq \frac{p-1}{2p} \left(\frac{2(2\|u_0\|^2)^p}{2(1+b)\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \frac{p-3}{2p} \left(\frac{6(2B(u_0))^{\frac{p}{3}}}{2(1+b)\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{3}{p-3}} \\ &\leq \max\{2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, 3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\} \frac{p-1}{p} \|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p} \left(\frac{1}{1+b} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\max\{2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, 3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\} = \begin{cases} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, & p \in [3 + \sqrt{6}, \infty), \\ 3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}, & p \in (3, 3 + \sqrt{6}). \end{cases}$$

We have

$$b > \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}}{\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, & p \in [3 + \sqrt{6}, \infty), \\ \left(\frac{\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}}{\frac{pA_2}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|u_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, & p \in (3, 3 + \sqrt{6}). \end{cases}$$

In a similar fashion, if $\omega \geq 1$, we get that

$$b > \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}}{\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, & p \in [3 + \sqrt{6}, \infty), \\ \left(\frac{\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}}{\frac{pA_1}{(p-1)3^{\frac{3}{p-3}}\|v_0\|_{2p}^{2p}}} \right)^{p-1} - 1, & p \in (3, 3 + \sqrt{6}). \end{cases}$$

In conclusion, $c_{\mathcal{N}} < \min\{A_1, A_2\}$ provided

$$b > \begin{cases} \max\{b_1, b_2\} & p \in [3 + \sqrt{6}, \infty), \\ \max\{b_3, b_4\} & p \in (3, 3 + \sqrt{6}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $u \not\equiv 0$ and $v \not\equiv 0$, (u, v) is a nontrivial ground state solution. In fact, since $(|u|, |v|) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $c_{\mathcal{N}} = I(|u|, |v|)$, we conclude that $(|u|, |v|)$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1). Using the maximum principle, we infer that $|u|, |v| > 0$. Thus $(|u|, |v|)$ is a positive least energy solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Case $2 < p \leq 3$. Remark that (1.1) has semi-trivial solutions $(u_1, 0)$ and $(0, v_1)$, where u_1 and v_1 are a positive ground state of the problem

$$(3.5) \quad -\Delta u + u + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} u^2(s) ds + \frac{h^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) u = |u|^{2p-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad -\Delta v + \omega v + \left(\int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{g(s)}{s} v^2(s) ds + \frac{g^2(|x|)}{|x|^2} \right) v = |v|^{2p-2} v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with minimal energy

$$(3.7) \quad B_1 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{3\alpha - 2} \|\nabla u_1\|_2^2 + \frac{2\alpha - 1}{3\alpha - 2} \|u_1\|_2^2 + \frac{(p-3)\alpha + 1}{p(6\alpha - 4)} \|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p},$$

$$(3.8) \quad B_2 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{3\alpha - 2} \|\nabla v_1\|_2^2 + \frac{2\alpha - 1}{3\alpha - 2} \|v_1\|_2^2 + \frac{(p-3)\alpha + 1}{p(6\alpha - 4)} \|v_1\|_{2p}^{2p},$$

where $\alpha > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{3-p}$ for $p \in (2, 3)$ and $\alpha > 1$ for $p = 3$.

For any $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, we denote with $\gamma_{u,v} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow E$ the curve

$$\gamma_{u,v}(t) := (t^\alpha u(t), t^\alpha v(t)),$$

where $\alpha > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p-1} < \alpha < \frac{1}{3-p}$ for $p \in (2, 3)$ and $\alpha > 1$ for $p = 3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\gamma_{u,v}(t)) &= \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{2} (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2) + \frac{t^{2(\alpha-1)}}{2} (\|u\|_2^2 + \omega \|v\|_2^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{t^{6\alpha-4}}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{t^{2p\alpha-2}}{2p} F(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating both sides with respect to t at 1, we obtain the following constraint

$$\begin{aligned} J(u, v) &= \alpha (\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2) + (\alpha - 1) (\|u\|_2^2 + \omega \|v\|_2^2) \\ &\quad + (3\alpha - 2) (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{p\alpha - 1}{p} F(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

We define a constraint manifold of Pohozaev-Nehari mtype

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \mid J(u, v) = 0\}.$$

The corresponding groundstate energy is described as

$$c_{\mathcal{M}} := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{M}} I(u, v).$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &:= \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2, \quad b(u, v) := \|u\|_2^2 + \omega \|v\|_2^2, \\ c(u, v) &:= B(u) + B(v), \quad d(u, v) := \|(u, v)\|_{2p}^{2p} + 2b\|uv\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4. *For given positive constants a, b, c, d , a function $f(t) = at^{2\alpha} + bt^{2(\alpha-1)} + ct^{6\alpha-4} - dt^{2p\alpha-2}$ has exactly one critical point on $(0, +\infty)$, the maximum.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [5], we omit it here. \square

Lemma 3.5. *For any $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, there exists a unique $t_{u,v} > 0$ such that $\gamma_{u,v}(t_{u,v}) \in \mathcal{M}$ and*

$$c_{\mathcal{M}} = \inf_{(u,v) \in E \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \max_{t>0} I(\gamma_{u,v}(t)).$$

Proof. The proof is standard, so we omit here. \square

Now we are ready to find the positive ground state solutions of (1.1) by minimizing the functional I on \mathcal{M} .

Set

$$b_5 = \left(\frac{(3p\alpha)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} B_2}{\|u_1\|_{2q}^{2q}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} - 1,$$

$$b_6 = \left(\frac{(3p\alpha)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} B_1}{\|v_1\|_{2q}^{2q}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} - 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 case $2 < p \leq 3$. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1: \mathcal{M} is nonempty.

For each $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, $J(t^\alpha u(t \cdot), t^\alpha v(t \cdot))$ is of the form $at^{2\alpha} + bt^{2(\alpha-1)} + ct^{6\alpha-4} - dt^{2p\alpha-2}$, which is positive for small t and negative for large t . Thus, there exists $\tilde{t}_{uv} > 0$ such that $J(\tilde{t}_{uv}^\alpha u(\tilde{t}_{uv} \cdot), \tilde{t}_{uv}^\alpha v(\tilde{t}_{uv} \cdot)) = 0$. Thus, \mathcal{M} is not empty.

Step 2: \mathcal{M} is bounded away from zero, i.e. $(0, 0) \notin \partial\mathcal{M}$.

For each $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= \frac{p}{p\alpha - 1} \left(\alpha a(u, v) + (\alpha - 1)b(u, v) + (3\alpha - 2)c(u, v) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{p}{p\alpha - 1} (\alpha - 1) \|(u, v)\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$, $\|(u, v)\|_E^{2p} \geq C \|(u, v)\|_E^2$. Therefore, $\|(u, v)\|_E \geq \rho > 0$ and the conclusion holds.

Step 3: $c_{\mathcal{M}} > 0$.

For each $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$, combining (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{1}{2p} F(u, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_E^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B(u) + B(v)) - \frac{1}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \left(\alpha a(u, v) + (\alpha - 1)b(u, v) + (3\alpha - 2)c(u, v) \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) a(u, v) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) b(u, v) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3\alpha - 2}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) c(u, v) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) \|(u, v)\|_E^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking into account Step 2 and $p\alpha - 1 > \alpha$, we can obtain $c_{\mathcal{M}} > 0$.

Step 4: If $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a minimizing sequence for I on \mathcal{M} , then it is bounded.

Let $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $I(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow c_{\mathcal{M}}$. As in Step 3, we get

$$I(u_n, v_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) a(u_n, v_n) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) b(u_n, v_n) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3\alpha - 2}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) c(u_n, v_n).$$

Since the coefficients of $a(u_n, v_n)$, $b(u_n, v_n)$ and $c(u_n, v_n)$ are positive, then

$$I(u_n, v_n) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \right) \|(u_n, v_n)\|_E^2,$$

it follows that $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in E . Thus, there exists $(u, v) \in E$, up to a subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} (u_n, v_n) &\rightharpoonup (u, v) \text{ in } E, \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u, v) \text{ in } L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad p \in (1, \infty), \\ (u_n, v_n) &\rightarrow (u, v) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $a(u, v) + b(u, v) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(u_n, v_n) + b(u_n, v_n)$, then it follows $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v)$ in E and $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$, then $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ is attained by (u, v) .

If $a(u, v) + b(u, v) < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(u_n, v_n) + b(u_n, v_n)$, by the Lemma 2.1 and $J(u_n, v_n) = 0$, we deduce that $J(u, v) < 0$, then it follows that $(u, v) \notin \mathcal{M}$ and $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. Then there exists a unique $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $J(t_0^\alpha u(t_0 \cdot), t_0^\alpha v(t_0 \cdot)) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$I(t_0^\alpha u(t_0 \cdot), t_0^\alpha v(t_0 \cdot)) < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{t_0^{2\alpha}}{2} a(u_n, v_n) + \frac{t_0^{2(\alpha-1)}}{2} b(u_n, v_n) + \frac{t_0^{6\alpha-4}}{2} c(u_n, v_n) - \frac{t_0^{2p\alpha-2}}{2p} d(u_n, v_n) \right).$$

Since $J(t^\alpha u_n(t \cdot), t^\alpha v_n(t \cdot))$ has the maximum value at $t = 1$ for all n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(t_0^\alpha u(t_0 \cdot), t_0^\alpha v(t_0 \cdot)) &< \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} a(u_n, v_n) + \frac{1}{2} b(u_n, v_n) + \frac{1}{2} c(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{2p} d(u_n, v_n) \right) \\ &= c_{\mathcal{M}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Step 5: The minimizer (u, v) is a regular point of \mathcal{M} , i.e. $J'(u, v) \neq 0$.

To the contrary, suppose that $J'(u, v) = 0$. For $(u_t, v_t) = (t^\alpha u(tx), t^\alpha v(tx))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_t, v_t) &= t \frac{d}{dt} I(u_t, v_t), \\ \frac{d}{dt} J(u_t, v_t) &= \frac{d}{dt} I(u_t, v_t) + t \cdot \frac{d^2}{dt^2} I(u_t, v_t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left. \frac{d}{dt} J(u_t, v_t) \right|_{t=1} = 0$, it follows that

$$2\alpha^2 a(u, v) + 2(\alpha - 1)^2 b(u, v) + 2(3\alpha - 2)^2 c(u, v) - \frac{2(p\alpha - 1)^2}{p} d(u, v) = 0.$$

Then, combining with $J(u, v) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\alpha^2 - \alpha(p\alpha - 1))a(u, v) + ((\alpha - 1)^2 - (\alpha - 1)(p\alpha - 1))b(u, v) \\ &\quad + ((3\alpha - 2)^2 - (3\alpha - 2)(p\alpha - 1))d(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of $a(u, v)$, $b(u, v)$ and $c(u, v)$ in the above identity are negative, which is a contradiction.

Step 6: $I'(u, v) = 0$.

Thanks to Lagrange multiplier rule, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad I'(u, v) = \mu J'(u, v).$$

We claim $\mu = 0$. There holds

$$\begin{cases} \alpha a + (\alpha - 1)b + (3\alpha - 2)c - \frac{p\alpha - 1}{p}d = 0; \\ (1 - 2\alpha\mu)a + (1 - 2\mu(\alpha - 1))b + 3(1 - \mu(6\alpha - 4))c - (1 - \mu(2p\alpha - 2))d = 0; \\ (2\mu(\alpha - 1) - 1)b + 2(\mu(6\alpha - 4) - 1)c - \frac{\mu(2p\alpha - 2) - 1}{p}d = 0. \end{cases}$$

The first equation holds since $J(u, v) = 0$. The second one follows by multiplying (3.10) by (u, v) and integrating. The third one comes from Pohozaev equality. We get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \mu \left(((2\alpha^2 - (2p\alpha - 2)\alpha)a(u, v) + (2(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(p\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 1))b(u, v) \right. \\ & \left. + (2(3\alpha - 2)^2 - 2(p\alpha - 1)(3\alpha - 2))c(u, v) \right). \end{aligned}$$

All coefficients of $a(u, v)$, $b(u, v)$, $c(u, v)$ in the above identity are negative. This implies that $\mu = 0$.

Step 7: (u, v) is a positive ground state of system (1.1).

$$c_{\mathcal{M}} = \inf_{(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}} \max_{t > 0} I(\gamma_{u, v}(t)).$$

The proof is standard, so we omit it here. Thus, for fixed $(u, v) \in E \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{M}} & \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(\gamma_{u, v}(t)) \\ & = \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{2} h_1(u, v) + \frac{t^{2(\alpha-1)}}{2} h_2(u, v) + \frac{t^{6\alpha-4}}{2} h_3(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p\alpha-2}}{2p} F(u, v) \right\} \\ & \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^{2\alpha}}{2} h_1(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p\alpha-2}}{6p} F(u, v) \right\} + \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^{2(\alpha-1)}}{2} h_2(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p\alpha-2}}{6p} F(u, v) \right\} \\ & \quad + \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{t^{6\alpha-4}}{2} h_3(u, v) - \frac{t^{2p\alpha-2}}{6p} F(u, v) \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{p\alpha - \alpha - 1}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{3p\alpha h_1^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{(p\alpha - 1)F} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2p\alpha - 2\alpha - 2}} + \frac{p\alpha - \alpha}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{3p(\alpha - 1) h_2^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}}{(p\alpha - 1)F} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p\alpha - \alpha}} \\ & \quad + \frac{p\alpha - 3\alpha + 1}{2(p\alpha - 1)} \left(\frac{3p(3\alpha - 2) h_3^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{3\alpha-2}}}{(p\alpha - 1)F} \right)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha - 3\alpha + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\omega \leq 1$, $B_1 \geq B_2$. We can choose (u_0, u_0) such that

$$c_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(\gamma_{u_1, u_1}(t)) < B_2.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{\mathcal{M}} &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(\gamma_{u_1, u_1}(t)) \\
&\leq \frac{p\alpha - \alpha - 1}{p\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{3p\alpha (\|\nabla u_1\|_2^2)^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{\alpha}}}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)\|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2p\alpha-2\alpha-2}} + \frac{p\alpha - \alpha}{p\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{3p(\alpha - 1) (\|u_1\|_2^2)^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}}}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)\|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p\alpha-\alpha}} \\
&\quad + \frac{p\alpha - 3\alpha + 1}{p\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{3p(3\alpha - 2)(B(u_1))^{\frac{p\alpha-1}{3\alpha-2}}}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)\|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p}} \right)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} \\
&\leq \|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p} \left(\left(\frac{3p\alpha}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p\alpha-\alpha-1}} + \left(\frac{3p(\alpha - 1)}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p\alpha-\alpha}} + \left(\frac{3p(\alpha - 2)}{(p\alpha - 1)(1+b)} \right)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} \right) \\
&\leq (3p\alpha)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} (1+b)^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \|u_1\|_{2p}^{2p},
\end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$b < \left(\frac{(3p\alpha)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} B_2}{\|u_1\|_{2q}^{2q}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} - 1.$$

Analogously, if $\omega \geq 1$, we get that

$$b < \left(\frac{(3p\alpha)^{\frac{3\alpha-2}{p\alpha-3\alpha+1}} B_1}{\|v_1\|_{2q}^{2q}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p-2}} - 1.$$

In conclusion, if $b < \min\{b_5, b_6\}$, it follows that $c_{\mathcal{M}} < \min\{B_1, B_2\}$. Therefore, $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$, (u, v) is a nontrivial ground state solution. In fact, since $(|u|, |v|) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $c_{\mathcal{N}} = I(|u|, |v|)$, we conclude that $(|u|, |v|)$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1). Using the maximum principle, we infer that $|u|, |v| > 0$. Thus $(|u|, |v|)$ is a positive least energy solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azzollini and A. Pomponio, Positive energy static solutions for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger system under a large-distance fall-off requirement on the gauge potentials, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **60**(2021), 1-30.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, and E. Colorado, Bound and ground states of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *CR. Math.*, **342**(2006), 453-458.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, and E. Colorado, Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **75**(2007), 67-82.
- [4] T. Bartsch, and Z.-Q. Wang, Note on ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger systems, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **19**(2006), 200-207.
- [5] J. Byeon, H. Huh, and J. Seok, Standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the gauge field, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **263**(2012), 1575-1608.
- [6] J. Byeon, H. Huh, J. Seok, On standing waves with a vortex point of order N for the nonlinear Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equations, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **261**(2016) 1285-1316.
- [7] G. V. Dunne, *Self-Dual Chern-Simons Theories*, Springer, 1995.
- [8] C. R. Hagen, A new gauge theory without an elementary photon, *Ann. Phys.*, **157**(1984), 342-359.
- [9] C. R. Hagen, Rotational anomalies without anyons, *Phys. Rev.*, **31**(1985), 2135-2136.
- [10] H. Huh, Standing waves of the Schrödinger equation coupled with the Chern-Simons gauge field, *J. Math. Phys.*, **53**(2012).
- [11] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory, *Phys. Rev. D.*, **42**(1990), 3500-3513.

- [12] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Soliton solutions to the gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the plane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **64**(1990), 2969-2972.
- [13] C. Ji, and F. Fang, Standing waves for the Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation with critical exponential growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **450**(2017), 578-591.
- [14] T.-C. Lin, and J.-C. Wei, Ground state of N coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \leq 3$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **255**(2005), 629-653.
- [15] Z.-S. Liu, Z. Ouyang, and J.-J. Zhang, Existence and multiplicity of sign-changing standing waves for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^2 , *Nonlinearity*, **32**(2019), 3082-3111.
- [16] L. A. Maia, E. Montefusco, and B. Pellacci, Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **229**(2006).
- [17] A. Pomponio, and D. Ruiz, A variational analysis of a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **17**(2015), 1463-1486.
- [18] A. Pomponio, D. Ruiz, Boundary concentration of a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation on large balls, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **53**(2015) 289-316.
- [19] P. H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **43**, 270-291(1992).
- [20] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **237**(2006), 655-674.
- [21] B. Sirakov, Least energy solitary waves for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **271**(2007), 199-221.
- [22] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [23] J. Zhang, W. Zhang, and X. Xie, Infinitely many solutions for a gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **88**(2019), 21-27

(Y. H. Jiang)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
 CHINA UNIVERSITY OF MINING AND TECHNOLOGY
 XUZHOU, 221116, CHINA
Email address: 18843111149@163.com

(T. Y. Chen)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
 CHINA UNIVERSITY OF MINING AND TECHNOLOGY
 XUZHOU, 221116, CHINA
Email address: taiyongchencumt@163.com

(M. Squassina)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITA CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE,
 VIA DELLA GARZETTA 48, 25133 BRESCIA, ITALY
Email address: marco.squassina@unicatt.it

(J. J. Zhang)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICA AND STATISTICS
 CHONGQING JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY
 CHONGQING 400074, CHINA
Email address: zhangjianjun09@tsinghua.org.cn