

A FAMILY OF MAXIMAL MATHIEU SUBSPACES OF MATRIX ALGEBRAS

GEORGE F. SEELINGER AND WENHUA ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Let F be a field. In this note we give a construction for a family of maximal Mathieu subspaces (or Mathieu-Zhao subspaces) of the matrix algebras $M_n(F)$ ($n \geq 2$). As an application we also give a classification of Mathieu subspaces of $M_2(F)$ under the condition that F is algebraically closed.

1. Background and Motivation

Let F be a field. We start with the definition of a Mathieu subspace for an associative algebra \mathcal{A} over F , which was introduced by the second author in [Z2] (see also [Z3]).

Definition 1.1. *A F -subspace M of \mathcal{A} is a Mathieu subspace (MS) of \mathcal{A} if for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a^m \in M$ for all $m \geq 1$, there exists an N (depending a, b, c) such that $ba^m c \in M$ for all $m \geq N$.*

A MS (Mathieu subspace) is also called a Mathieu-Zhao space or Mathieu-Zhao subspace in the literature (e.g., see [EKC, DEZ]). The introduction of MSs was directly motivated by the Mathieu Conjecture [Mat] and the Image Conjecture [Z1], each of which implies the Jacobian conjecture [Ke, BCW, E]. For example, the Jacobian conjecture will follow if some explicitly given subspaces of multivariate polynomial algebras over \mathbb{C} can be shown to be MSs of the polynomial algebras. For details, see [Z1, DEZ, EKC].

Note that ideals are MSs, but not conversely. Therefore the concept of MSs can be viewed as a natural generalization of the concept of ideals. However, in contrast to ideals, MSs are currently far from being well-understood. This is even the case for the most of finite rings or

Date: June 6, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16P10, 16P99, 16D99.

Key words and phrases. Mathieu subspaces (Mathieu-Zhao spaces); matrix algebras.

The second author has been partially supported by the Simons Foundation grant 278638.

finite dimensional algebras over a field. For example, the classification of all MSs of the matrix algebras $M_n(F)$ ($n \geq 3$) is still wide open.

The study of MSs of matrix algebras $M_n(F)$ was initiated by the second author in [Z3] in which the following two results were proven.

Theorem 1.2. [Z3, Thm. 4.2] *Let $V \subseteq M_n(F)$ be a proper subspace of $M_n(F)$. Then V is a MS of $M_n(F)$ if and only if it does not contain any nonzero idempotent of $M_n(F)$.*

Theorem 1.3. [Z3, Thm 5.1] *Let H be the subspace of $M_n(F)$ consisting of all trace-zero matrices. Then the following statements holds:*

- i) if $\text{char. } F = 0$ or $\text{char. } F > n$, then H is the only MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension 1;*
- ii) if $\text{char. } F \leq n$, then $M_n(F)$ has no MS of codimension 1.*

Actually, the theorem above holds also for one-sided codimension one MSs of $M_n(F)$.

It is easy to see from the two theorems above that every subspace of a MS of $M_n(F)$ is also a MS of $M_n(F)$. Therefore, to classify all MSs of $M_n(F)$ it suffices to classify all maximal MSs of $M_n(F)$.

In particular, when $\text{char. } F = 0$ or $\text{char. } F > n$, every subspace of the trace-zero codimension 1 subspace H is a MS of $M_n(F)$. Conversely, under the same condition on $\text{char. } F$ and $n \geq 3$, A. Konijnenberg [Kon, Cor. 3.5] in his master thesis directed by A. van den Essen proved that every MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension 2 is a subspace contained in H . Furthermore, M. de Bondt [Bo] proved that it is also the case for all the MSs of $M_n(F)$ of codimension less than n .

Theorem 1.4. [Bo, Thm. 1.4] *Assume $\text{char. } F = 0$ or $\text{char. } F \geq n$. If $V \subseteq M_n(F)$ is a MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension less than n , then V is a subspace of H .*

Therefore, we have a unique maximal MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension 1 (with the above restriction on the characteristic of F) and there are no maximal MSs of $M_n(F)$ with codimension between 2 and $n - 1$. Note, in [Bo, Prop. 1.2] a MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension n , which is not contained in H , is given (hence is necessarily maximal) and de Bondt notes, based on [Kon], that “. . . the codimension n case seems quite difficult”.

In Section 2 of this note we give a construction of a family of maximal MSs of $M_n(F)$, which for all $n \geq 2$ contains some maximal MSs of codimension n . In Section 3 we give a classification for all the (maximal) MSs of $M_2(F)$ under the condition that F is algebraically closed.

2. A Class of Maximal Mathieu Subspaces of $M_n(F)$

Throughout this section F stands for a field of arbitrary characteristic, whose algebraic closure is denoted by \bar{F} . We make use of the non-degenerate Killing form on $M_n(F)$ given by

$$\langle b, c \rangle = \text{Tr}(bc) \text{ for all } b, c \in M_n(F).$$

Hence H , the subspace of trace zero matrices in $M_n(F)$, is equal to $I_n^\perp = \{b \in M_n(F) : \text{Tr}(b) = 0\}$. More generally, for any set S , we write $S^\perp = \{b \in M_n(F) : \text{Tr}(bx) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S\}$ for the orthogonal complement of S with respect to the Killing form.

Now let $T \subseteq GL_n(F)$ be a (split, but not necessarily maximal) torus acting on $M_n(F)$ by conjugation and

$$Z(T, M_n) := \{a \in M_n(F) : \tau a \tau^{-1} = a \text{ for all } \tau \in T\}$$

be the centralizer of T in $M_n(F)$. Denote by $X(T)$ the character group of T and let $W(T, M_n) \subseteq X(T)$ be the set of weights of T in $M_n(F)$. Since $X(T)$ is an abelian group, we will use $+$ as the binary operation of $X(T)$ and denote the identity element by 0 . For each $\omega \in W(T, M_n)$, let $M_\omega \subseteq M_n(F)$ be the weight space corresponding to ω . So $M_0 = Z(T, M_n)$. Then we have the following direct sum composition:

$$M_n(F) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in W(T, M_n)} M_\omega.$$

For each $\omega \in W(T, M_n)$ we let $\pi_\omega : M_n(F) \rightarrow M_\omega$ be the corresponding projection. We will use the observation that for any $a \in M_n(F)$ we have $\text{Tr}(a) = \text{Tr}(\pi_0(a))$. Finally, let $X_\mathbb{Q} = X(T) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ and let $f : X_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be a linear functional such that $f(\omega) \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq \omega \in W(T, M_n)$. Define $W_f^+ = \{\omega \in W(T, M_n) : f(\omega) > 0\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Lambda \in T$ be such that $\text{Tr}(\Lambda e) \neq 0$ for all nonzero idempotents $e \in M_0$. Let V be a subspace of $M_n(F)$ such that both V and $\pi_0(V)$ are contained in Λ^\perp . If $\pi_\omega(V)\pi_{-\omega'}(V) = 0$ for all $\omega, \omega' \in W_f^+$, then V is a MS of $M_n(F)$.*

Proof. Assume that V contains a nonzero idempotent $e \in V$. Then $\pi_0(e) = \pi_0(e^2)$ and we can write

$$\pi_0(e^2) = \pi_0(e)^2 + \sum_{\omega \in W_f^+} (\pi_\omega(e)\pi_{-\omega}(e) + \pi_{-\omega}(e)\pi_\omega(e)).$$

Since by assumption $\pi_\omega(e)\pi_{-\omega'}(e) = 0$ for all $\omega, \omega' \in W_f^+$, the above equation reduces to

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_0(e) = \pi_0(e^2) = \pi_0(e)^2 + \sum_{\omega \in W_f^+} \pi_{-\omega}(e)\pi_\omega(e).$$

Set $c := \sum_{\omega \in W_f^+} \pi_{-\omega}(e)\pi_\omega(e)$. Then using the above assumption again, we have $c^2 = 0$. Therefore, by eq. (2.1), $\pi_0(e)$ must have only 0 and 1 as eigenvalues in \bar{F} with at least one nonzero eigenvalue. Hence there exists a nonzero idempotent $e' \in M_0$ such that $\pi_0(e) = e' + w$ for some nilpotent $w \in M_0$. Furthermore, since Λw is nilpotent, we have $\text{Tr}(\Lambda e) = \text{Tr}(\Lambda \pi_0(e)) = \text{Tr}(\Lambda e') \neq 0$. But this contradicts our assumption that $V \subseteq \Lambda^\perp$. Therefore V does not contain any nonzero idempotent and is a MS of $M_n(F)$ by Theorem 1.2. \square

Example 2.2. Let $e_1, \dots, e_t \in M_n(F)$ be a set of orthogonal idempotents so that $I_n = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_t$. Let $T = F^\times e_1 + \dots + F^\times e_t$ and let n_i be the rank of e_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$. If $\varepsilon_i \in X(T, M_n)$ is the character given by $\varepsilon_i(\alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_t e_t) = \alpha_i$ for all $\alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_t e_t \in T$, then $\{\varepsilon_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ forms a basis of $X(T, M_n)$ as a \mathbb{Z} -lattice and $W(T, M_n) = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq t\}$. Let $f : X(T, M_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be given by $f(\varepsilon_i) = i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^t \sigma_i e_i \in T$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^t \sigma_i k_i \neq 0$ for all integer t -tuples $\vec{0} \neq (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t) \in \prod_{i=1}^t [0, n_i]$. Then by Theorem 2.1 we see that the subspace

$$V := \left(M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega \in W_f^+} M_\omega \right) \cap \Lambda^\perp = (M_0 \cap \Lambda^\perp) \oplus \bigoplus_{\omega \in W_f^+} M_\omega.$$

is a MS of $M_n(F)$.

Before proceeding further we fix the following notation in the setting of Example 2.2 above. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we set $M_{i,j} = e_i M_n(F) e_j$. Then $M_n(F) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} M_{i,j}$ and hence, each $a \in M_n(F)$ can be written as $a = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{ij}$ for some $a_{ij} \in M_{i,j}$. In this case we also write a formally as a $t \times t$ matrix (a_{ij}) . We call this matrix **the block matrix form** of a . Then, in terms of the block matrix forms the MS V in Example 2.2 above is the subspace formed by all the matrices whose block matrix forms are lower triangular with the blocks $(a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{tt})$ on the diagonal such that $a_{ii} \in M_{i,i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t \sigma_i \text{Tr}(a_{ii}) = 0$.

When F is algebraically closed, a much more concrete way to look at the MS V above is as follows. Up to conjugations we may assume that the idempotent e_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) is the $n \times n$ matrix with blocks $(0_{n_1 \times n_1}, \dots, 0_{n_{i-1} \times n_{i-1}}, I_{n_i \times n_i}, 0_{n_{i+1} \times n_{i+1}}, \dots, 0_{n_t \times n_t})$ on the diagonal and zero elsewhere. In this case the weight space $M_{i,j} =$

$e_i M_n(F) e_j$ is canonically isomorphic to the space of $n_i \times n_j$ matrices over F . The MS V in Example 2.2 is formed by all the matrices which are in the (usual) lower triangular block form with the matrices $(a'_{11}, a'_{22}, \dots, a'_{tt})$ on the diagonal such that $a'_{ii} \in M_{n_i \times n_i}(F)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^t \sigma_i \text{Tr}(a'_{ii}) = 0$.

In the rest of this section we will freely use the notations fixed in and after Example 2.2. We will show in Corollary 2.6 that the MSs in Example 2.2 when $t = 2$ are actually maximal MSs of $M_n(F)$. However, when $t \geq 3$, the MSs in Example 2.2 are not maximal, which can be seen from the following example.

Example 2.3. *Let V be as in the Example 2.2 with $t \geq 3$. Fix $u \in e_1 M_n(F) e_2$ and $w \in e_2 M_n(F) e_3$ such that $uw \neq 0$. Then let $U = F(u + w) + V$. We show below that U does not contain any nonzero idempotent of $M_n(F)$. Hence U by Theorem 1.2 is a MS of $M_n(F)$, which contains V as a proper subspace.*

Assume that U contains a nonzero idempotent e . Then $e = \alpha(u + w) + v$ for some $\alpha \in F$ and $v \in V$. Since $e_1 U e_3 = 0$, we have $0 = e_1 e e_3 = \alpha^2 u w$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$ and $e \in V$, which is a contradiction, since by Theorem 1.2 V does not contain any nonzero idempotent.

Next we consider the following family of MSs of $M_n(F)$, which coincides the case $t = 2$ of Example 2.2 when e_1 or $e_2 = 0$.

Example 2.4. *Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be as in Example 2.2 with $t = 3$, except we here do not assume that all $e_i \neq 0$. Let T, ε_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$), and $X(T, M_n)$ be as in Example 2.2 with $t = 3$. Then $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}$ is a basis of $X(T, M_n)$. Let $T' \subseteq T$ be the subtorus defined by $T' = F^\times(e_1 + e_2) + F^\times e_3$ and let $\varepsilon'_1 = \varepsilon_1|_{T'}$. We define $f : X(T', M_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by setting $f(\varepsilon'_1) = 1$ and $f(\varepsilon_3) = 3$. Hence $W(T', M_n) = \{\omega, 0, -\omega\}$ where $\omega = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon'_1$. Let $\Lambda = \sigma_1(e_1 + e_2) + \sigma_2 e_3 \in T'$ be such that $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ and $k_1 \sigma_1 + k_2 \sigma_2 \neq 0$ for all integer ordered pairs $\vec{0} \neq (k_1, k_2) \in [0, n_1 + n_2] \times [0, n_3]$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that*

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \left(Z(T', M_n) + e_1 M_n(F) e_3 + e_3 M_n(F) e_2 \right) \cap \Lambda^\perp \\ (2.2) \quad &= \left(Z(T', M_n) \cap \Lambda^\perp \right) + e_1 M_n(F) e_3 + e_3 M_n(F) e_2 \end{aligned}$$

is a MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension $(n_1 + n_2)n_3 + 1$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *The MSs in Example 2.4 are maximal MSs of $M_n(F)$.*

Proof. Let V be a MS of the type given in Eq. (2.2) and let e_i, ε_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and $\Lambda = \sigma_1(e_1 + e_2) + \sigma_2 e_3$ be as in Example 2.4. Fix any

$w \notin V$. We show below that there exists a nonzero idempotent Q in $V + Fw$. Hence $V + Fw$ by Theorem 1.2 is not a MS of $M_n(F)$, and V is a maximal MS of $M_n(F)$.

Note that we may assume $w \in Z(T', M_n) + e_3M_n(F)e_1 + e_2M_n(F)e_3$. Furthermore, if $w \in Z(T', M_n)$, then $w \notin \Lambda^\perp$, and we may choose $Q = I_n$, for $I_n \in Z(T', M_n) \subseteq Fw + V$. Therefore, we may assume further $w \notin Z(T', M_n)$. Let $w_0 \in Z(T', M_n)$, $w_1 \in e_3M_n(F)e_1$ and $w_2 \in e_2M_n(F)e_3$ such that $w = w_0 + w_1 + w_2$. Then w_1 and w_2 are not both zero. We now divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: Assume $w_0 \in \Lambda^\perp$. Then $w_0 \in Z(T', M_n) \cap \Lambda^\perp \subseteq V$. Replacing w by $w - w_0$ we can assume $w_0 = 0$ and $w = w_1 + w_2$. Without loss of generality, assume $w_1 \neq 0$ is of rank r . Then there exists $v \in e_1M_n(F)e_3 \subseteq V$ such that w_1v and vw_1 are both idempotents of rank r with $w_1vw_1 = w_1$ and $vw_1v = v$. The existence of v follows from the following general fact: *for each $a \in M_{m \times k}(F)$ of rank r , there exists $b \in M_{k \times m}(F)$ such that ab and ba are both idempotents of rank r , which satisfy the equations $aba = a$ and $bab = b$.* Indeed, we can find $P \in GL_m(F)$ and $Q \in GL_k(F)$ such that $a = P \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times k} Q$. Then

$$\text{we let } b = Q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{k \times m} P^{-1}.$$

Now we claim that, for any $\beta \neq -1$, we have that

$$Q := (1 + \beta)^{-1}((e_3 + w_2 + \beta v)(e_3 + w_1) + \beta(e_3 - w_1v))$$

is an idempotent with trace equal to $\text{rank}(e_3) = n_3$. To show Q is an idempotent, we write Q in the block matrix form as:

$$Q = \frac{1}{1 + \beta} \begin{bmatrix} \beta vw_1 & 0 & \beta v \\ w_2w_1 & 0 & w_2 \\ w_1 & 0 & (1 + \beta)e_3 - \beta w_1v \end{bmatrix}$$

where the (i, j) -block represents an element in $e_iM_n(F)e_j$. Then it is straightforward to check $Q^2 = Q$ using the identities $vw_1v = v$ and $w_1vw_1 = w_1$.

Next, note that $\text{Tr}(\Lambda(Q - w)) = \text{Tr}(\Lambda Q) = \sigma_2 n_3 + \frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)\beta r}{\beta + 1}$. Note also that by the assumption on σ_1 and σ_2 we have $r\sigma_1 + (n_3 - r)\sigma_2 \neq 0$, since $n_3 - r \geq 0$ as $w_1 \in e_3M_n(F)e_1$ so $r = \text{rank}(w_1) \leq \text{rank}(e_3) = n_3$.

Choose $\beta = -\frac{\sigma_2 n_3}{r\sigma_1 + (n_3 - r)\sigma_2}$. Since $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, we get $\beta \neq -1$ and $\text{Tr}(\Lambda(Q - w)) = 0$. Hence we have $Q - w \in V$ and $Q \in Fw + V$.

Case 2: Assume $w_0 \notin \Lambda^\perp$. Then $Fw_0 + (\Lambda^\perp \cap Z(T', M_n)) = Z(T', M_n)$, whence there exist $0 \neq \alpha \in F$ and $x_0 \in (\Lambda^\perp \cap Z(T', M_n)) \subseteq$

V such that $\alpha w_0 + x_0 = e_3$. Set

$$Q := (\alpha w + x_0 + \alpha^2 w_2 w_1) = (e_3 + \alpha w_1 + \alpha w_2 + \alpha^2 w_2 w_1)$$

which in the block matrix form is

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha^2 w_2 w_1 & 0 & \alpha w_2 \\ \alpha w_1 & 0 & e_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it follows that $Q^2 = Q$. Since $w_2 w_1 \in e_2 M_n(F) e_1 \subseteq \Lambda^\perp \cap Z(T', M_n) \subseteq V$, we get $Q \in Fw + V$. \square

Note that by letting $e_2 = 0$ in Example 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 it is easy to check that we have also the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $e_1 \in M_n(F)$ be a rank r idempotent with $0 < r < n$ and $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in F$ such that $k_1 \sigma_1 + k_2 \sigma_2 \neq 0$ for all integer ordered pairs $\vec{0} \neq (k_1, k_2) \in [0, r] \times [0, n - r]$. Set $e_2 = I_n - e_1$. Then*

$$V = \left(\sigma_1 e_1 + \sigma_2 e_2 + e_1 M_n(F) e_3 \right)^\perp,$$

which in the block matrix form is

$$V = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_1 e_1 & e_1 M_n(F) e_2 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 e_2 \end{array} \right)^\perp$$

is a maximal MS of $M_n(F)$ of codimension $(rn - r^2 + 1)$.

When $r = 1$ the MSs in the corollary above have codimension n . The example given in [Bo, Prop. 1.2] is one of these MSs of this type.

3. Classification of Mathieu Subspaces of $M_2(F)$

Throughout this section F stands for an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic. In this section we give a classification for all MSs of $M_2(F)$. As pointed out in Section 1, by Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we only need to classify all maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a MS of $M_2(F)$ and $a \in V$ with $\text{Tr}(a) \neq 0$. Then the following two statements hold:*

- i) a is invertible;*
- ii) if $\dim_F V = 2$, then a has distinct (nonzero) eigenvalues.*

Proof. *i)* Assume that a is singular. Then a is of rank 1 and $a^2 = \text{Tr}(a)a$ by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem. Hence $\text{Tr}(a)^{-1}a$ is a nonzero idempotent in V , and, by Theorem 1.2, V is not a MS of $M_2(F)$, contradicting our assumption on V .

ii) Let λ_1 and λ_2 be the eigenvalues of a . Then $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \neq 0$ by *i)*. Let $c \in I_2^\perp$ so that $\{a, c\}$ is a basis of V . Note that for all $x \in F$ we have $\text{Tr}(a + xc) = \text{Tr}(a) \neq 0$. Then by *i)* $\det(a + xc) \neq 0$ for all $x \in F$. Since F is algebraically closed, this is true if and only if $a^{-1}c$ has no nonzero eigenvalue in F . Therefore, $a^{-1}c$ must be nilpotent, which gives us $a^{-1}ca^{-1}c = 0 \Rightarrow ca^{-1}c = 0$. Hence, $\det c = 0$, so c is nilpotent of degree 2 by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem since we have $\text{Tr}(c) = 0$ as well. Viewing c as a nonzero linear endomorphism of F^2 we have $\ker(c) = \text{Im}(c) = \ker(ca^{-1})$ (as one-dimensional subspaces of F^2). Fix any $0 \neq \vec{v} \in \ker(c)$. Then \vec{v} is simultaneously an eigenvector of both a and c since $a\vec{v} \in \ker(ca^{-1}) = \ker(c)$. Without loss of generality, assume $a\vec{v} = \lambda_1\vec{v}$.

Assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$. Note that $a - \lambda_1 I_2 \neq 0$ (otherwise I_2 would be in V) and is nilpotent. Therefore we have

$$\ker(a - \lambda_1 I_2) = \text{Im}(a - \lambda_1 I_2) = F\vec{v} = \text{Im}(c) = \ker(c).$$

Hence there exists $\beta \in F$ such that $a - \lambda_1 I_2 = \beta c$. But this implies $I_2 \in V$, which by Theorem 1.2 is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and statement *ii)* holds. \square

In his master thesis ([Kon, Thm. 3.10]) directed by A. van den Essen, A. Konijnberg characterized all the codimension 2 subspaces of $M_2(F)$ when F is algebraically closed and $\text{char. } F \neq 2$. Now we can give a different proof for this result without the condition $\text{char. } F \neq 2$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $V \subseteq M_2(F)$ be a MS with $\dim_F V = 2$. Then either $V \subseteq I_2^\perp$ or there exist nonzero idempotents $e_1, e_2 \in M_2(F)$ such that $e_1 + e_2 = I_2$ and $V = (\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2) + e_1 M_2(F) e_2$ for some distinct nonzero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$.*

In other words, either $V \subseteq I_2^\perp$ or V is conjugate to the subspace $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 s & t \\ 0 & \lambda_2 s \end{pmatrix} \mid s, t \in F \right\}$ for some distinct nonzero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume $V \not\subseteq I_2^\perp$. Then there exists an $a \in V$ with $\text{Tr}(a) \neq 0$. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be eigenvalues of a in F . Then $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$, and by Lemma 3.1, we also have $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Let c and \vec{v} be as in the proof above for Lemma 3.1, *ii)*. Then by the arguments there we have $ca^{-1}c = 0$; $c\vec{v} = 0$ and $a\vec{v} = \lambda_1\vec{v}$. Let $\vec{u} \in F^2$ be the eigenvector of a such that $a\vec{u} = \lambda_2\vec{u}$. Let $e_1, e_2 \in M_2(F)$ be the idempotents corresponding to the decomposition $F^2 = F\vec{v} \oplus F\vec{u}$. Then it is easy to see that V is of the desired form. \square

Remark 3.3. *i) For the subspace V in Corollary 3.2 such that $V \not\subseteq I_2^\perp$ we let $\sigma_1 = -\lambda_2$ and $\sigma_2 = \lambda_1$. Then V is a subspace of the type given in Corollary 2.6 by which V is indeed a MS of $M_2(F)$.*

ii) If $\text{char. } F \neq 2$, then I_2^\perp does not contain any nonzero idempotent. Hence each subspace $V \subseteq I_2^\perp$ by Theorem 1.2 is a MS of $M_2(F)$. Therefore, Corollary 3.2 in this case coincides with [Kon, Thm. 3.10] which characterizes all MSs of codimension 2 of $M_2(F)$.

Corollary 3.4. *i) If $\text{char. } F \neq 2$, then the dimensional 1 maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ are exactly the subspaces of the form $F(I_2 + c)$, where $c \in M_2(F)$ is nilpotent.*

ii) If $\text{char. } F = 2$, then there is no dimension 1 maximal MS in $M_2(F)$.

Proof. Let V be a dimensional 1 maximal MS of $M_2(F)$ with $V = Fa$ for some $a \in M_2(F)$. Then by Theorem 1.2 $a^2 \neq \beta a$ for any nonzero $\beta \in F$, otherwise $\beta^{-1}a$ would be a nonzero idempotent in V . If $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$, then $V \subseteq I_2^\perp$ which would obviously not be maximal. Therefore $\text{Tr}(a) \neq 0$ and, by Lemma 3.1, a is invertible.

Let λ_1, λ_2 be the nonzero eigenvalues of a . Then $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$. If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then V is a proper subspace of $(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2) + e_1 M_2(F) e_2$ in Corollary 3.2, which as pointed out in Remark 3.3, *i)* is a MS of $M_2(F)$, whence V would not be maximal. Therefore we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, which we may assume are both equal to 1. Let $c = a - I_2$. Then c is nonzero and nilpotent, and $V = F(I_2 + c)$.

Conversely, for any nonzero nilpotent $c \in M_2(F)$, $I_2 + c$ is invertible. The subspace $F(I_2 + c)$ does not contain any nonzero idempotents, as the only invertible idempotent of $M_2(F)$ is I_2 . Then by Theorem 1.2 $F(I_2 + c)$ is a MS of $M_2(F)$.

Now assume $\text{char. } F \neq 2$. To show statement *i)*, we only need to show that, for any nonzero nilpotent $c \in M_2(F)$, the subspace $F(I_2 + c)$ is maximal among MSs of $M_2(F)$. Assume otherwise. Then V is strictly contained in a proper MS W of $M_2(F)$. If $\dim_F W = 3$, then $W = I_2^\perp$ by Theorem 1.3, which is a contradiction of $\text{Tr}(I_2 + c) = 2 \neq 0$. Therefore $\dim_F W = 2$. Then by Lemma 3.1 the eigenvalues of $I_2 + c$ must be distinct, which is a contradiction. Therefore $F(I_2 + c)$ is a maximal MS of $M_2(F)$, and statement *i)* follows.

Now assume $\text{char. } F = 2$. To show statement *ii)* it suffices to show that, for all nonzero nilpotent $c \in M_2(F)$, the subspace $F(I_2 + c)$ is not maximal among MSs of $M_2(F)$. Note that $\dim_F I_2^\perp = 3$ and the only nonzero idempotent contained in I_2^\perp is I_2 . Hence there exists a dimensional 2 subspace U of I_2^\perp such that $I_2 + c \in U$ and $I_2 \notin U$. Then by Theorem 1.2, U is a MS of $M_2(F)$ which strictly contains V . Therefore

V is not a maximal MS of $M_2(F)$, and statement *ii*) follows. \square

Now we give a classification for maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ in the next two theorems.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume $\text{char. } F \neq 2$. Then the maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ are exactly the followings:*

- i) I_2^\perp ;*
- ii) the subspaces of the form $(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2) + e_1 M_2(F) e_2$ for some nonzero idempotents e_1, e_2 such that $e_1 + e_2 = I_2$ and some distinct nonzero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$;*
- iii) the subspaces of the form $F(I_2 + c)$ for some nonzero nilpotent $c \in M_2(F)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 the only MS of dimension 3 of $M_2(F)$ is I_2^\perp . Consequently, all dimensional 2 MSs V of $M_2(F)$ with $V \not\subseteq I_2^\perp$ are maximal. Then, by Corollary 3.2 and Remark 3.3, *i*) we see that maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ of dimension 2 are exactly those given in *ii*). Finally, by Corollary 3.4 the maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ of dimension 1 are exactly those given in *iii*). \square

Theorem 3.6. *Assume $\text{char. } F = 2$. Then the maximal MSs of $M_2(F)$ are exactly the followings:*

- i) the dimensional 2 subspaces V of I_2^\perp such that $I_2 \not\subseteq V$;*
- ii) the subspaces of the form $(\lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2) + e_1 M_2(F) e_2$ for some nonzero idempotents e_1, e_2 such that $e_1 + e_2 = I_2$ and some distinct nonzero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \neq 0$.*

Proof. First, since the only nonzero idempotent contained in I_2^\perp is I_2 , the subspaces given in *i*) are MSs of $M_2(F)$. By Remark 3.3, *i*) the subspaces given in *ii*) are also MSs of $M_2(F)$. Since $M_2(F)$ by Theorem 1.3 has no MS of dimension 3, all MSs of dimension 2 are maximal. Therefore the theorem follows immediately from Corollaries 3.2 and 3.4. \square

We end this section with the following example to show that Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 do not hold if the base field F is not algebraically closed. Furthermore, the example shows also that in general MSs are not preserved under base field extensions.

Example 3.7. *Let K be a field such that there exists $s \in K$ with $s \neq 0, \pm 1$ and $s \neq t^2$ for all $t \in K$. Let $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = Ka + Kb$. Since $\det(a + xb) = s - x^2 \neq 0$ for all $x \in K$, all*

nonzero elements of V are invertible. Hence V by Theorem 1.2 is a MS of $M_2(K)$ (for $I_2 \notin V$), and by Theorem 1.3 is maximal (for $V \not\subseteq I_2^\perp$). However, V cannot be any of MSs of dimension 2 given in Theorem 3.5 or Theorem 3.6, since each of those MSs that is not contained in I_2^\perp contains some nonzero nilpotent elements but V does not.

Furthermore, let L be a field that contains K and \sqrt{s} . Set $U := L \otimes_K V$ and $c := \frac{1}{1+s}(a + \sqrt{s}b)$. Then c is a nonzero idempotent in U , whence U by Theorem 1.2 is not a MS of $M_2(L) = L \otimes_K M_2(K)$.

REFERENCES

- [BCW] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian Conjecture, Reduction of Degree and Formal Expansion of the Inverse*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7**, (1982), 287–330.
- [Bo] M. de Bondt, *Mathieu Subspaces of Codimension Less Than n of $\text{Mat}_n(K)$. Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **64**(10) (2016), 2049–2067.
- [DEZ] H. Derksen, A. van den Essen and W. Zhao, *The Gaussian Moments Conjecture and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Israel J. Math. **219** (2017), no. 2, 917–928. See also arXiv:1506.05192 [math.AC].
- [DK] J. J. Duistermaat and W. van der Kallen, *Constant Terms in Powers of a Laurent Polynomial*. Indag. Math. (N.S.) **9** (1998), no. 2, 221–231.
- [E] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture*. Prog. Math., Vol.190, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [EKC] A. van den Essen, S. Kuroda and A. J. Crachiola, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture: New Results from the Beginning of the 21st Century*. Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser 2021.
- [Ke] O. H. Keller, *Ganze Gremona-Transformationen*. Monats. Math. Physik **47** (1939), no. 1, 299–306.
- [Kon] A. Konijnenberg, *Mathieu Subspaces of Finite Products of Matrix Rings* [Master thesis]. Radboud University of Nijmegen; 2012. Directed by A.R.P. van den Essen.
- [Mat] O. Mathieu, *Some Conjectures about Invariant Theory and Their Applications*. Algèbre non commutative, groupes quantiques et invariants (Reims, 1995), 263–279, Sémin. Congr., 2, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1997.
- [Z1] W. Zhao, *Images of Commuting Differential Operators of Order One with Constant Leading Coefficients*. J. Alg. **324** (2010), no. 2, 231–247. [MR2651354]. See also arXiv:0902.0210 [math.CV].
- [Z2] W. Zhao, *Generalizations of the Image Conjecture and the Mathieu Conjecture*. J. Pure Appl. Alg. **214** (2010), 1200–1216. See also arXiv:0902.0212 [math.CV].
- [Z3] W. Zhao, *Mathieu Subspaces of Associative Algebras*. J. Alg. **350** (2012), no.2, 245–272.

G. F. SEELINGER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, IL 61761. *Email*: GFSEELI@ILSTU.EDU

W. ZHAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY, NORMAL, IL 61761. *Email*: WZHAO@ILSTU.EDU