

# JACOBIAN OF A GRAPH AND GRAPH AUTOMORPHISMS

ISTVÁN ESTÉLYI, JÁN KARABÁŠ, ALEXANDER MEDNYKH,  
AND ROMAN NEDELA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we investigate the faithfulness of certain linear representations of groups of automorphisms of a graph  $X$  in the group of symmetries of the Jacobian of  $X$ . As a consequence we show that if  $X$  is not a cycle and it admits a non-abelian semiregular group of automorphisms, then the Jacobian of  $X$  cannot be cyclic. While the size of the Jacobian of  $X$  is well-understood – its size is equal to the number of spanning trees, the combinatorial interpretation of the rank of Jacobian of a graph is unknown. Our paper presents a contribution in this direction, in particular we have proved that Cayley graphs of degree at least three based on non-abelian groups have non-cyclic Jacobians.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Jacobian of a finite graph is an important algebraic invariant behaving nicely with respect to branched coverings between graphs [3]. It is a certain finite abelian group associated with the graph; we will introduce its formal definition in Section 4. The notion of the Jacobian of a graph, also known as the Picard group, the critical group, the dollar, or the sandpile group, was independently introduced by many authors (see e.g [2, 4, 5, 7, 12, 10]). It can be viewed as a discrete version of the Jacobian in the classical theory of Riemann surfaces. Jacobian also admits a natural interpretation in various areas of physics, coding theory, and financial mathematics. The fact that the size of the Jacobian of a connected graph  $X$  is equal to the number of spanning trees is perhaps its most interesting property.

This paper is aimed to investigate the relation between the symmetries of a graph  $X$  and the symmetries of its Jacobian  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . The main result is formulated in Theorem 5.3, where we prove that a semiregular group of symmetries of a non-trivial 2-connected graph  $X$  embeds into the automorphism group of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . Corollary 5.4 gives a sufficient condition for  $\text{Jac}(X)$  to be acyclic, in particular, Jacobians of non-trivial Cayley graphs based on non-abelian groups are not cyclic (Corollary 5.5).

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50, 05C21, 20B25.

*Key words and phrases.* graph, flow, automorphism, Jacobian.

Main idea of the proof of Theorem 5.3 is to derive two inequalities which are contradictory. One of them is based on properties of the Jacobians with respect to branched coverings between graphs, for details see the paper [3] and Section 4. The other inequality follows from results on counting of spanning trees discussed in Section 3. For technical reasons, we employ an extended model of a graph, developed in Section 2, allowing multiple edges, loops and even semiedges.

In preceding paper [8] we proved that for a 2-connected graph  $X$ , that is not a cycle, the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  embeds into the group of symmetries of the homology group  $H_1(X)$ . Since  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a quotient of  $H_1(X)$ , we got some information about a representation of graph automorphisms in  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$ .

## 2. GRAPHS AND GRAPH COVERINGS

In this paper, we allow graphs to have parallel edges, loops and even semiedges. A simple undirected graph defined in the standard way as a couple  $X = (V, E)$ , where  $V$  is the set of vertices and  $E$  is the set of edges can be defined by a triple  $(D; \sim, \lambda)$ , where  $D$  is a set of darts,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation and  $\lambda \in \text{Sym}(D)$  is an involution as follows. We set  $D$  to be the set of darts, i.e. edges endowed with an orientation. It follows that an edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  gives rise to two darts  $uv$  and  $vu$ , and for every edge  $e \in E$  the dart-reversing involution  $\lambda$  swaps the two underlying darts of  $e$ . Finally, the equivalence classes of  $\sim$  are the sets of darts  $D_u$  incident to the vertex  $u$ . The above mentioned approach easily generalises to graphs with parallel edges, loops and possibly with semiedges.

Formally, a *graph*  $X$  is a triple  $(D; \sim, \lambda)$ , where  $D$  is a finite, non-empty set of darts,  $\sim$  is an equivalence on  $D$ , and  $\lambda \in \text{Sym}(D)$  is an involution. The equivalence classes of  $\sim$  will be called the *vertices* of  $X$ , the set of all vertices will be denoted  $V = V(X)$ . The orbits of  $\lambda$  will be called the *edges* of  $X$ . The projection  $I: x \mapsto [x]_{\sim}$  from the set of darts onto the set of vertices will be called the *incidence function*. We often set  $\lambda(x) = x^{-1}$ , for  $x \in D$ . An edge  $e = \{x, x^{-1}\}$  is a *semiedge*, if  $x = x^{-1}$ , the edge  $e$  is a *loop* if  $x^{-1} \neq x$ , and  $I(x^{-1}) = I(x)$ . Edges that are neither semiedges, nor loops, will be called *ordinary edges*. Two ordinary edges  $e = \{x, x^{-1}\}$ ,  $f = \{y, y^{-1}\}$  will be called *parallel*, or multiple edges if  $\{Ix, Ix^{-1}\} = \{Iy, Iy^{-1}\}$ . A graph  $X$  will be called *simple*, if every edge of  $X$  is ordinary, and no two edges are parallel. A *walk*  $W$  is a sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  such that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  holds  $I(x_i) = I(x_{i+1}^{-1})$ . A walk  $W$  is *closed* if  $I(x_1) = I(x_m^{-1})$ . In particular, a single semiedge  $x$  forms a closed walk. The closed walk  $W$  is a (simple) *cycle* if for any  $i, j$ ,  $i \neq j$  implies  $I(x_i) \neq I(x_j)$ . Note that the walks are by definition oriented.

Let  $X_1 = (D_1; \sim_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $X_2 = (D_2; \sim_2, \lambda_2)$  be graphs. A mapping  $\psi: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is a *graph homomorphism* if  $x, y \in D_1$ ,  $x \sim_1 y$  implies

$\psi(x) \sim_2 \psi(y)$ , and  $\lambda_2\psi(x) = \psi\lambda_1(x)$ . In particular, a permutation  $f$  of the set of darts is an *automorphism* of a graph  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$  if for every two darts  $x, y \in D$ ,  $x \sim y$  implies  $f(x) \sim f(y)$ , and  $\lambda(f(x)) = f(\lambda(x))$ . The homomorphism  $p: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is a *covering*, if it is onto and its restriction to any class  $[x]_{\sim_1}$  is a bijection. A covering between connected graphs is by definition an epimorphism. The operators  $I$  and  $\lambda$  extends naturally to the set of walks of  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$ . In particular, if  $W = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  is a walk, then  $I(W) = I(x_1)$  and  $\lambda(W) = W^{-1} = x_m^{-1}, x_{m-1}^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}$ . The set of preimages  $\text{fib}(y) = p^{-1}(y)$  for some  $y \in D_2$  will be called the *fibre* over  $y$ , similarly, by  $\text{fib}(v)$  we denote the *vertex-fibre* over vertex  $v \in V(x)$ . If  $X_2$  is connected, then by the unique walk lifting property [14, Proposition 4.2], there exists  $n$  such that  $|\text{fib}(x)| = n = |\text{fib}(v)|$ , for every dart  $x \in D_2$  and for every vertex  $v \in V(X_2)$ . Given covering  $p: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ , the *group of covering transformations*  $CT(p) \leq \text{Aut}(X_1)$  consists of those automorphisms  $f$  satisfying  $pf = p$ . For each closed walk  $W$  in  $X_2$  originating at  $v \in D_2$  we define the permutation  $\beta_W$  of  $\text{fib}(v)$  by setting  $\beta_W(u) = I_1(\tilde{W}^{-1})$ , where  $\tilde{W}$  is the lift of a closed walk  $W$  originating at  $u$ . The permutations  $\beta_W$  acting on  $\text{fib}(v)$  form a group called the *monodromy group*  $\text{Mon}(p)$ . The following statement is well-known: If both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are connected, then the monodromy groups for different fibres are isomorphic and the action of the covering transformation group on  $\text{fib}(u)$  and  $\text{fib}(v)$  are isomorphic for every  $u, v \in V(X_2)$ . The following two statements are well-known, see [14] for instance.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $p: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be an  $n$ -fold covering between connected graphs. Then*

- (i) *the monodromy group is transitive on each fibre,*
- (ii)  *$CT(p)$  is the centraliser of the monodromy action,*
- (iii) *the group of covering transformations is semiregular both on vertices and on darts.*
- (iv)  $|CT(p)| \leq n \leq |\text{Mon}(p)|$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $p: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  be an  $n$ -fold covering between connected graphs. Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) *the monodromy group is regular on each fibre,*
- (ii)  *$CT(p)$  is regular on each fibre,*
- (iii)  $CT(p) \cong \text{Mon}(p)$
- (iv)  $|CT(p)| = n = |\text{Mon}(p)|$ .

A covering satisfying any of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.2 is called *regular*. Note that if  $CT(p) \cong \text{Mon}(p)$  is an abelian group, then  $CT(p) = \text{Mon}(p)$ .

*Example 2.3* (Cayley graphs). Let  $G = \langle S \rangle$  be a group generated by a set  $S = S^{-1}$  of non-trivial elements. Set  $D = G \times S$ ,  $\lambda(g, s) = (gs, s^{-1})$ , and  $(g, s) \sim (h, t)$  iff  $g = h$ . We have defined the Cayley graph

$\text{Cay}(G; S)$  with respect to the generating set  $S$ . Let  $B(S) = (S; \bar{\sim}, \bar{\lambda})$ , where  $\bar{\sim}$  is the one-class equivalence and  $\bar{\lambda}(s) = s^{-1}$ . Clearly  $B(S)$  is a one-vertex graph. Moreover, the projection  $(g, s) \mapsto s$  is a regular covering  $\text{Cay}(G; S) \rightarrow B(S)$ , with the group of covering transformations isomorphic to  $G$ .

We have seen that each Cayley graph is a regular cover over a one-vertex graph, possibly with semiedges. It is natural to ask what is the class of graphs regularly covering one-vertex graphs. To answer the question one has to generalise the definition of a Cayley graph as follows. A *Cayley multigraph* based on a group  $G$ ,  $\text{Cay}(G, M) = (D, \sim, \lambda)$ , is given by a multiset  $M = M^{-1}$  of non-trivial elements of  $G$ , where  $D = G \times M$ ,  $\lambda(g, x) = (gx, x^{-1})$ , and  $(g, x) \sim (h, y)$  iff  $g = h$ . In particular, there are no loops and no semiedges in  $\text{Cay}(G, M)$ , however there may be parallel edges corresponding to multiple appearance of elements in  $M$ .

The following lemma can be understood as a straight generalisation of the famous Sabidussi theorem [16].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a graph without loops and semiedges. Then  $X$  regularly covers a one-vertex graph with the group of covering transformation  $G$  if and only if  $X$  is a Cayley multigraph based on  $G$ .*

### 3. COUNTING SPANNING TREES IN REGULAR COVERS

This section is aimed to establish a lower bound on the number of spanning trees  $\tau(X)$  in a connected regular cover  $X$  over a connected graph  $Y$  in terms of the number of spanning trees of  $Y$ . For technical reasons we extend the function  $\tau(X)$  to disconnected graphs by setting  $\tau(X)$  to be the number of maximal acyclic (cycle-free) spanning subgraphs in  $X$ , referred to as "maximal spanning forests" in [6]. If  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  are the connected components of  $X$ , then  $\tau(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k \tau(X_i)$ . We assume that the considered graphs may contain parallel edges, loops and semiedges. Observe that adding or deleting a loop or a semiedge in the graph  $X$  does not effect  $\tau(X)$ .

Note that  $\tau$  is monotonic in the following sense: If  $W$  is a subgraph of  $X$ , then  $\tau(W) \leq \tau(X)$ , since a maximal acyclic spanning subgraph of  $W$  is an acyclic subgraph of  $X$ , which can always be extended to a maximal acyclic spanning subgraph of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Ok, Thomassen [15]). *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -edge-connected graph, possibly with multiple edges on  $n$  vertices. Then  $\tau(X) \geq n(k/2)^{n-1}$ .*

The following classical result on the edge connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs was proved independently by Mader and by Watkins.

**Theorem 3.2** (Mader [13], Watkins [17]). *The edge connectivity of a vertex transitive graph is equal to its valency.*

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 imply the following statement.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -valent Cayley graph based on a group of order  $n$ . Then  $\tau(X) \geq n(k/2)^{n-1}$ .*

**Theorem 3.4** (Alon [1]). *Let  $X$  be a connected  $k$ -regular simple graph,  $k \geq 3$ , with  $n$  vertices. Then  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$ .*

Theorem 3.4 is stated for connected graphs, however, the inequality  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$  easily extends to disconnected graphs.

*Example 3.5.* Let  $G_m$  be the cubic graph obtained from a  $2m$ -cycle by doubling every other edge so that the single and double edges alternate (see Figure 1). How many spanning trees does it have? The number of spanning trees that contain an edge from each pair of parallel edges (and therefore missing a single edge of the original cycle) is  $m \cdot 2^m$ . The number of spanning trees that contain all the single edges (and therefore avoid a pair of parallel edges) is  $m \cdot 2^{m-1}$ . Hence  $\tau(G_m) = m \cdot 2^m + m \cdot 2^{m-1} = 3m \cdot 2^{m-1}$ . Setting  $n = 2m$  we obtain  $\tau(G_{n/2}) = \frac{3}{2}n \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ . One can check that  $G_m$  is the regular covering graph over the one-vertex graphs  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  having semiedges (see Figure 1). The groups of covering transformations for these coverings are dihedral of order  $2m$ .




FIGURE 1. The graph  $G_5$  has 240 spanning trees

Let  $Y$  be a connected graph and  $X$  be a loopless graph without semiedges. Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -fold covering. The covering  $p$  is *trivial*, if  $X$  is a disjoint union of  $n$  isomorphic copies of  $Y$ . A subgraph  $Z \subseteq Y$  will be called  *$p$ -invariant*, if  $Z$  lifts along  $p$  to  $n$  isomorphic copies of  $Z$ . Since  $Y$  is connected, there exists a connected  $p$ -invariant subgraph. Let  $Y$  be a graph without semiedges. Then by standard lifting arguments [9, p. 61], any simply-connected subset  $Z$  of  $Y$  is  $p$ -invariant. In particular,  $Y$  contains a connected  $p$ -invariant subgraph  $Z_{max}$  with maximal number of darts. Set  $\bar{\beta}(p) = |D(Y)| - |D(Z_{max})|$ . The integer  $\bar{\beta}(p)$  can be viewed as a measure of non-triviality of the covering  $p$ . In particular, if  $\bar{\beta}(p) = 0$ , then  $p$  is trivial. In this case,  $Y = Z_{max}$  and  $X$  is a disjoint union of  $n$  copies of  $Y$ . If  $\bar{\beta}(p) \leq 2$ , we call the covering  $p$  *almost trivial*. A covering is *strongly non-trivial* if it

is not almost trivial. In other words, a strongly non-trivial covering  $p$  is characterised by the property  $\bar{\beta}(p) > 2$ . By definition,  $p^{-1}(Z)$  consists of  $n$  copies of  $Z$  and each connected component (isomorphic to  $Z$ ) is a subgraph of  $X$ . If  $p$  is regular, then each component of  $p^{-1}(Z)$  is an induced subgraph of  $X$ .

*Example 3.6.* One can easily describe almost trivial coverings  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  that are not trivial. We assume that  $X$  is a graph without loops and semiedges and  $Y$  is connected. First, let us restrict  $p$  to a component  $X' \leq X$ . By definition, if  $p$  is almost trivial  $\bar{\beta}(p) \leq 2$ . Let  $Z$  be a maximal  $p$ -invariant subgraph of  $Y$ . The following cases are possible

*Case 1:*  $\bar{\beta}(p) = 1$ . Then  $D(Y) \setminus D(Z)$  contains exactly one semiedge  $h$ . This semiedge lifts to a unique edge in  $X'$  joining two copies of  $Y - h = Z$  swapped by an involution of  $\text{Aut}(X')$ .



FIGURE 2. Case 1

*Case 2:*  $\bar{\beta}(p) = 2$  and  $D(Y) \setminus D(Z)$  contains exactly two semiedges  $h_1, h_2$ . Here, the graph  $X'$  can be constructed by replacing each vertex of a cycle of length  $2n$  by a copy of  $Y - \{h_1, h_2\}$ . Two consecutive copies of  $Z$  are connected by a single edge, where every other is a lift of  $h_1$  (alternatively of  $h_2$ ).




FIGURE 3. Case 2

*Case 3:*  $\bar{\beta}(p) = 2$  and  $D(Y) \setminus D(Z)$  contains either a single ordinary edge  $e$  or a single loop  $e$ . We construct the graph  $X'$  by replacing each vertex of a cycle of length  $k$ ,  $k \geq 2$  by a copy of  $Y - e$ . Two consecutive copies of  $Z$  are connected by a single edge which is a lift of  $e$ .




FIGURE 4. Case 3

Note that the restriction of an almost trivial covering  $p$  to a connectivity component of  $X$  is always regular. In particular, the groups of covering transformations are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , dihedral group of order  $2n$ , and the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_k$ , respectively.

**Reduced covering.** Given non-trivial  $n$ -fold covering  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  one can associate an  $n$ -fold reduced covering  $p_R: X_R \rightarrow Y_R$  over a one-vertex graph with  $\bar{\beta}(p)$  darts. Let  $Z$  be a maximal  $p$ -invariant subgraph of  $Y$ . The graph  $X_R$  is obtained by contracting each component of  $p^{-1}(Z)$  into a vertex. Similarly, the graph  $Y_R$  arises by contraction of  $Z$  into a vertex. The covering  $p_R$  is the restriction of  $p$  to the set  $D(X) \setminus D(p^{-1}(Z))$ .

Formally, let  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$  and  $Y = (\bar{D}; \bar{\sim}, \bar{\lambda})$ . We set  $\bar{D}_R = \bar{D} \setminus D(Z)$  and  $D_R = D \setminus D(p^{-1}(Z))$ . Further,  $X_R = (D_R, \sim_R, \lambda_R)$  and  $Y_R = (\bar{D}_R, \bar{\sim}_R, \bar{\lambda}_R)$ , where  $\lambda_R, \bar{\lambda}_R$  are the restrictions of  $\lambda$  to  $D_R$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  to  $\bar{D}_R$ , respectively. For  $x, y \in D_R$  we have  $x \sim_R y$  if there exists a component  $Z_i \subset p^{-1}(Z)$  such that both  $x$  and  $y$  are incident to a vertex in  $Z_i$ . In  $Y_R$  we have  $x \sim_R y$  for any two darts  $x, y \in \bar{D}_R$ . By definition, the restriction of  $p$  to  $D_R$  is a covering over a one-vertex graph. If  $p$  is a regular covering with the group of covering transformations isomorphic to  $G$ , then so is  $p_R$ . In particular,  $X_R$  is a Cayley multigraph based on  $G$ .

We shall proceed with a technical claim.

**Lemma 3.7.** *The real function  $x \mapsto (n/x)^x$  is strictly monotonically increasing on the interval  $(0, n/e)$ , and strictly monotonically decreasing on the interval  $(n/e, \infty)$ , where  $e$  is the base of the natural logarithm. Thus its minimum taken over the set of positive divisors  $\{d : d \mid n, 1 \leq d < n\}$  is attained either at  $d = 1$  or at  $d = n/2$ , where the function values are  $n$  and  $2^{n/2}$ , respectively. Clearly,  $2^{n/2} \geq n$ , except for  $n = 3$ .*

We are now ready to state and prove the main results of this section.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $X$  be a graph without loops and semiedges and let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-trivial  $n$ -fold regular covering over a one-vertex graph  $Y$  of degree at least two. Then  $\tau(X) \geq n$ , where the equality holds if and only if  $X$  is a cycle. Moreover, if  $p$  is strongly non-trivial, then  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$  and  $\tau(X) > n$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumptions,  $\bar{\beta} = 2\ell + s$ , where  $\ell$  and  $s$  are the numbers of loops and semiedges attached to the unique vertex of  $Y$ . We distinguish the following cases.

- (i)  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 0$ , or
- (ii)  $\ell = 1$  and  $s \geq 1$ , or
- (iii)  $\ell = 0$  and  $s \geq 3$ , or
- (iv)  $\ell = 1$  and  $s = 0$ , or
- (v)  $\ell = 0$  and  $s = 2$ .

Note that the case  $\ell = 0$  and  $s = 1$  is excluded by the assumption that degree of  $X$  is at least two.

By definition, the covering  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is strongly non-trivial if and only if one of the cases (i), (ii), or (iii) happens. We first deal with these cases.

Case (i). Let  $Y' \leq Y$  be a one-vertex subgraph of  $Y$  formed by two loops. Then the lift  $X' \leq X$  of  $Y'$  is a 4-valent vertex-transitive graph, consisting of  $d$  disjoint isomorphic copies of a connected Cayley multigraph of size  $n/d$ , for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ . Since  $X$  is loopless, we have  $n/d \geq 2$ , and each of the two loops lifts to a uniform 2-factor formed by  $n/a$  cycles of length  $a$ ,  $n/b$  cycles of length  $b$ , respectively. Let  $U$  be a component of  $X'$ . Then  $U$  is a 4-valent Cayley multigraph. If  $U$  is a simple graph, then by Theorem 3.2 it is 4-edge-connected. If this is not the case, then the underlying simple graph is either a cubic Cayley graph, a cycle, or  $K_2$ . If the reduced graph  $\bar{U}$  is cubic, by Theorem 3.2, it is 3-edge-connected. Then it is not difficult to show that the original graph  $U$  is 4-edge-connected. In the remaining cases  $U$  is a cycle of length greater or equal to 2 with each edge doubled, hence  $U$  is 4-edge-connected as well. Employing Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\tau(X) \geq \tau(X') = \prod_{i=1}^d \tau(X'_i) \geq \left( \frac{n}{d} \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \right)^d = \left( \frac{n}{2d} \right)^d 2^n > 2^n > n.$$

Cases (ii) and (iii). Let  $Y'$  be a subgraph of  $Y$  with one non-trivial loop and one semiedge, or let  $Y' \leq Y$  be a subgraph with three semiedges. It follows that  $X'$  is a cubic vertex-transitive multigraph. Assume  $X'$  is simple. Theorem 3.2 implies that each of the  $d$  isomorphic components of  $X'$  of size  $n/d$  is 3-edge-connected. By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.7,

$$\tau(X') = \prod_{i=1}^d \tau(X'_i) \geq \left[ \frac{n}{d} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \right]^d = \left( \frac{n}{d} \right)^d \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{n-d} > \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^d \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{n-d} = \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^n.$$

It follows that  $\tau(X) > n$  and  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$ . Let  $X'$  have multiple edges, but no edge is tripled. Then a component  $X'_i$  of  $X'$  is isomorphic to a graph  $G_m$  from Example 3.5 for a fixed  $m$  and if  $X'$  is a disjoint union of  $d$  such isomorphic components  $X'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ), then  $m = n/2d$  for some divisor  $2d$  of  $n$ . The number of spanning trees in such a component on  $n/d$  vertices is  $\tau(X'_i) = 3/2 \cdot n/2d \cdot 2^{n/2d} \geq 3/2 \cdot 2^{n/2d}$ , thus

$$\tau(X') = \prod_{i=1}^d \tau(X'_i) = \left( \frac{3n \cdot 2^{\frac{n}{2d}}}{4d} \right)^d \geq \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{n/2}.$$

It follows that  $\tau(X) > n$  and  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$ .



Finally, if  $X'$  contains a tripled edge, then the graph  $X'$  is a union of  $n/2$  cubic dipoles. Clearly,  $\tau(X') = 3^{n/2}$ , implying both  $\tau(X) > n$  and  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$ . We have proved the statement for a strongly non-trivial covering  $p: X \rightarrow Y$ .

Now we shall deal with Cases (iv) and (v), which will be discussed together. In these cases the graph  $X$  is a union of  $d$  disjoint cycles  $X_i$  of length  $n/d \geq 2$ , for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ . Each such cycle has  $n/d$  spanning trees, thus

$$\tau(X) = \prod_{i=1}^d \tau(X_i) = \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)^d \geq n,$$

according to Lemma 3.7. Equality holds if and only if  $d = 1$  implying that  $X$  is a cycle.

Observe that  $\tau(X) > \tau(X')$  except when  $X$  is a cycle.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -fold non-trivial regular covering between connected graphs and let  $X$  have no loops and semiedges. If  $X$  is not a tree, then  $\tau(X) \geq n\tau(Z)$ , where  $Z \leq Y$  is a maximal connected  $p$ -invariant subgraph. If  $p$  is strongly non-trivial, then  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}\tau(Z)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the reduced covering  $p_R: X_R \rightarrow Y_R$  over a one-vertex graph  $Y_R$ . Choose a spanning tree  $T_R$  in  $X_R$  and a spanning tree  $T$  in  $Z$ . Since  $Z$  is an invariant subgraph, the  $\cup_{g \in G} T_g$  is an acyclic subgraph of  $X$  formed by  $|G|$  isomorphic lifts  $T_g$  of  $T$ . For every edge  $e \in T_R$  joining lifts  $w_g$  and  $w_h$ ,  $g, h \in G$ , over the unique vertex  $w$ , there exists an edge  $e'$  in  $X$  joining  $T_h$  to  $T_g$ . It follows that the set  $\cup_{g \in G} E(T_g) \cup \{e' : e \in E(T_R)\}$  induces a spanning tree of  $X$ . Since the choice of the pair of trees  $(T_R, T)$  is independent, and two different pairs give rise to different spanning trees in  $X$ , we have proved the inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \tau(X) \geq \tau(X_R)\tau(Z).$$

By Lemma 3.8 we have  $\tau(X_R) > 2^{n/2}$  and  $\tau(X_R) > n$ , except  $X_R$  is a cycle, or  $X_R \cong K_2$ . If  $X_R$  is a cycle, then  $\tau(X_R) = n$ , and  $\tau(K_2) = 1$ . The statement is proved in all cases except  $n = 2$  and  $\bar{\beta}(p) = 1$ . In the latter case we have  $\tau(X) \geq 2\tau(Z)$ , except  $Z \leq Y$  is a tree, and  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $Z + h$ , where  $h$  is a semiedge. Then,  $X$  is a tree, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -fold non-trivial regular covering between connected graphs and let  $X$  has no loops and semiedges. If  $X$  is not a tree, nor a cycle, then  $\tau(X) > n$ . If  $p$  is strongly non-trivial, then  $\tau(X) > 2^{n/2}$ .*

## 4. JACOBIAN OF A GRAPH

The aim of this section is to introduce the Jacobian of a connected graph  $X$  and to summarise some of its properties. In what follows the considered graphs may have semiedges, multiple edges and loops.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$  be a connected graph. A mapping  $\nu: D \rightarrow A$  into an abelian group  $A$  will be called a *harmonic flow* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (FLW)  $\nu(x^{-1}) = -\nu(x)$ , for every  $x \in D$ ,
- (KLC)  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \nu(x_i) = 0$  for every oriented cycle  $C = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ ,
- (KLV)  $\sum_{y \sim x} \nu(y) = 0$  for every dart  $x \in D$ ,
- (GEN)  $A$  is generated by  $\{\nu(x) \mid x \in D\}$ .

The sets of equations (KLC) and (KLV) are the well-known Kirchhoff's laws for cycles and for vertices, respectively.

**Definition 4.2.** Given connected graph  $X$ , the *Jacobian*  $\text{Jac}(X)$  of graph  $X$  is the maximal abelian group  $A$  such that  $X$  admits a harmonic  $A$ -flow. A harmonic flow  $D(X) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$  will be called  $J$ -flow.

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  the free abelian group generated by  $S$ . Denote by  $D^+$  a subset of  $D$  containing from each edge  $\{x, x^{-1}\}$  exactly one dart. In other words,  $D^+$  is a transversal of the set of edges of  $X$ . Observe that by (FLW) a flow on  $X$  is determined by its values on  $D^+$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$  be a connected graph. Then  $\text{Jac}(X) \cong \mathcal{A}(D^+)/L$ , where  $L$  is the subgroup generated by the elements  $\sum_{x \in C} x$  for every cycle  $C$  of  $X$  and by the elements  $\sum_{y \sim z} y$ , for every  $z \in D$ .*

In what follows we list some of the properties of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ .

- (P1)  $|\text{Jac}(X)| = \tau(X)$ , in particular,  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a finite abelian group [2],
- (P2) any abelian group  $A$ , in which one can define a harmonic  $A$ -flow  $D(X) \rightarrow A$ , is a quotient of  $\text{Jac}(X)$ ,
- (P3)  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a quotient of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ,
- (P4) the rank of  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is at most  $v(X) - 1$ , where  $v(X)$  is the number of vertices,
- (P5) finding a canonical decomposition of  $\text{Jac}(X)$  into cyclic factors is equivalent to computation of the Smith normal form of the matrix of the homogeneous system of equations determined by  $L$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  [12].

Let us discuss the properties (P1), (P2) and (P3) which will be used in the next section.

Property (P2) is a consequence of Lemma 4.3. Indeed, if  $\nu: D \rightarrow A$  is a harmonic  $A$ -flow, then by (GEN) the group  $A$  can be viewed as a quotient of the free abelian group  $\mathcal{A}(D^+)$ . Since  $\nu$  is harmonic, (KLC) and (KLV) are satisfied, and eventually some other relations hold.

As concerns Property (P3) it follows from the following observation. Recall that  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{A}(D_T^+)$ , where  $D_T^+ \subseteq D^+$  is the subset formed

by the cotree darts with respect to a spanning tree  $T$ . Since every tree has pendant darts (darts incident to vertices of degree one), if the values of a harmonic flow on  $D_T^+$  are prescribed, then using (KLV) one can extend the flow to the pendant darts in  $D^+ \setminus D_T^+$ . Repeating the argument, the flow extends to all the darts in  $D^+$ . Hence  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a quotient of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Property (P1) is a reformulation of the well-known Kirchoff matrix-tree theorem. To see it, one has to relate our definition of the Jacobian to the standard one, see [3], using the concept of divisors. A *divisor* of  $X$  is an integer-valued function defined on the set of vertices of  $V$ . Observe that the sum of two divisors is again a divisor, therefore the divisors of  $X$  form a free abelian group of rank  $v(X)$ . Given divisor  $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  determines a *potential flow* by setting  $\nu_f(x) = f(Ix^{-1}) - f(Ix)$ . The flow  $\nu_f$  satisfies (KLC) for all closed walks of  $X$ . Vice-versa, any flow  $\nu$  in an abelian group  $A$  satisfying (KLC) determines a function  $f: V \rightarrow A$  such that  $\nu = \nu_f$ . Note that  $f$  is not uniquely determined by  $\nu$ . To make this correspondence unique, we need to prescribe value of  $f$  at some vertex (or to introduce any other linear relation on the set of vertices). While the group of flows in a group  $A$  satisfying (KLC) is in a correspondence with the group of (potential) functions in  $A$  satisfying an extra linear relation. A good question to ask is which functions on vertices correspond to flows satisfying both (KLC) and (KLV). With a little effort one can establish the following correspondence.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X = (D; \sim, \lambda)$  be a graph without loops and semiedges and  $\Delta$  be its Laplacian. Let  $f: V \rightarrow A$  be a potential function. Then a potential flow  $\nu_f: D \rightarrow A$  is a harmonic  $A$ -flow if and only if the potential function  $f$  satisfies  $\Delta f = \vec{0}$ .*

It follows that  $\text{Jac}(X) \cong \text{Div}_0 / \Delta(\text{Div})$ , where  $\text{Div}_0$  is the set of divisors of degree 0. With this correspondence in mind, the proof of (P1) can be found in [3, p. 769]. The equivalence of the various definitions of Jacobians, including the one used throughout the paper can be found e.g. in the appendix of [11].

## 5. REPRESENTATION OF $\text{Aut}(X)$ IN $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$

Assume we have a harmonic  $J$ -flow  $\xi: D \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$ . Then for every  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$  we define a transformation  $\xi \mapsto \xi_f$  by setting  $\xi_f(x) = \xi(f(x))$ . Since  $f$  takes vertices onto vertices and cycles onto cycles,  $\xi_f$  is a  $J$ -flow. Since  $\xi$  generates  $\text{Jac}(X)$ , every element  $y$  of the Jacobian can be written as  $y = \sum_{x \in D} c_x \xi(x)$ , where  $c_x \in \mathbb{Z}$  for each  $x \in D$ . We define a mapping  $\Theta: \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  taking  $f \mapsto f^*$ ,  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ , where  $f^*$  is given by setting

$$f^*(y) = f^*\left(\sum_{x \in D} c_x \xi(x)\right) = \sum_{x \in D} c_x \xi(f(x)).$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *With the above notation,  $f^*$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Jac}(X)$  and  $\Theta: \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  is a group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* The restriction of  $f^*$  onto the generating set  $S = \{\xi(x): x \in D\}$  of  $\text{Jac}(X)$  permutes the elements of  $S$ . Moreover,  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$  satisfies the following

- $\xi f(x^{-1}) = \xi(fx)^{-1} = -\xi f(x)$ , for every  $x \in D$ ,
- the set  $D_v = \{x \in D: I(x) = v\}$  of darts based at  $v \in V$  is mapped by  $f$  onto  $D_{f(v)}$ , hence

$$f^* \left( \sum_{x \in D_v} \xi(x) \right) = \sum_{f(x) \in D_{f(v)}} \xi(f(x)) = \sum_{z \in D_{f(v)}} \xi(z),$$

- an oriented cycle  $C$  is mapped to an oriented cycle  $f(C)$ , hence

$$f^* \left( \sum_{x \in C} \xi(x) \right) = \sum_{f(x) \in f(C)} \xi(f(x)) = \sum_{z \in f(C)} \xi(z).$$

It follows that a relation in the presentation of  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is mapped onto a relation. Consequently, the mapping  $\xi(x) \mapsto \xi(f(x))$  extends to a group automorphism.

Now we verify that  $\Theta$  is a group homomorphism. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(X)$  be automorphisms. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\alpha\beta)(y) &= (\alpha\beta)^*(y) = \sum_{x \in D} c_x \xi(\alpha\beta(x)) = \alpha^* \sum_{x \in D} c_x \xi(\beta(x)) \\ &= \alpha^*(\beta^*(y)) = \Theta(\alpha)(\Theta(\beta)(y)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The central problem, we are interested in, reads as follows: For which subgroups  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ , the image  $\Theta(G) \cong G$ . Equivalently, we need to investigate when the restriction  $\Theta|_G$  is a monomorphism. By the first isomorphism theorem, a subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$  embeds into  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  if and only if the kernel  $\ker \Theta|_G$  is trivial. Observe that  $f \in \ker(\Theta|_G)$  if  $\xi(x) = \xi(f(x))$ , i.e. if and only if  $\xi$  takes constant values on the dart-orbits of the group  $\langle f \rangle$ , generated by  $f$ . An automorphism  $f$  will be called  $\xi$ -invariant if  $\xi(x) = \xi(f(x))$ , for every dart  $x \in D$ .

The following lemma deals with properties of  $\xi$ -invariant automorphisms. Given flow  $\xi: D(X) \rightarrow G$ , the local group  $G^\xi \leq G$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\{\xi(C): C \text{ an oriented cycle of } X\}$ , where  $\xi(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi(x_i)$ , for an oriented cycle  $C = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$ . If  $\xi$  is a harmonic flow, then the local group is by (KLC) trivial.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a connected graph and let  $\xi: D \rightarrow A$  be a harmonic flow on  $X$ . Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$  be a  $\xi$ -invariant automorphism which is semiregular both on darts and vertices. Let  $p: X \rightarrow X/\langle f \rangle$  be the canonical projection  $x \mapsto [x]_f$ , for  $x \in D(X)$ .*

*Then  $\bar{\xi}: \bar{D} \rightarrow A$  defined by  $\bar{\xi}[x]_f = \xi(x)$  is a flow satisfying (KLV) on  $Y = X/\langle f \rangle$  and the local group  $A^{\bar{\xi}}$  is an epimorphic image of  $\langle f \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $Y = X/\langle f \rangle$  and let the order of  $f$  be  $n$ . By definition,  $\bar{\xi}$  is a flow satisfying (KLV). Since the projection  $X \rightarrow X/\langle f \rangle$  is a regular covering, the vertices in the fibre over  $v \in Y$  can be indexed as  $v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}$ , where  $v_i = f^i(v_0)$ . By Theorem 2.2, the action of the covering transformation group  $\langle f \rangle$  coincides with the monodromy action of the group of  $v$ -based closed walks  $\pi(Y, v)$  on  $\text{fib}_v = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ . More precisely, there is an epimorphism  $\Phi: \pi(v, Y) \rightarrow \langle f \rangle$  with the kernel  $\ker(\Phi) = p(\pi(v_0, X))$ . We show that the assignment:  $\Psi: \Phi(W) \mapsto \bar{\xi}(W)$ ,  $W \in \pi(v, Y)$ , is an epimorphism from  $\langle f \rangle$  to the local group  $A^{\bar{\xi}}$ .

First we show that  $\Psi$  is well-defined. Suppose that  $\Phi(W_1) = \Phi(W_2)$ . Then  $1 = W_1 W_2^{-1}$  and  $\Phi(W_1 W_2^{-1}) = 0$  implying that there are lifts  $\tilde{W}_1$  and  $\tilde{W}_2$  such that  $\tilde{W}_1 \tilde{W}_2^{-1} \in \pi(v_0, X)$ . Since  $\xi$  is a harmonic flow, we have  $\xi(\tilde{W}_1 \tilde{W}_2^{-1}) = 0$ , and consequently,  $\bar{\xi}(W_1 W_2^{-1}) = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{\xi}(W_1) = \bar{\xi}(W_2)$ . Hence  $\Psi(\Phi W_1) = \bar{\xi}(W_1) = \bar{\xi}(W_2) = \Psi(\Phi W_2)$ .

Secondly, we show that it is a homomorphism. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in \langle f \rangle$ , where  $g_1 = \Phi(W_1)$  and  $g_2 = \Phi(W_2)$  for some  $W_1, W_2 \in \pi(v, Y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g_1 g_2) &= \Psi(\Phi(W_1) \Phi(W_2)) = \bar{\xi}(W_1 W_2) = \Psi(\Phi(W_1 W_2)) \\ &= \bar{\xi}(W_1) \bar{\xi}(W_2) = \Psi(\Phi W_1) \Psi(\Phi W_2) = \Psi(g_1) \Psi(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

By definition,  $\Psi$  is an epimorphism.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper establishing that any semiregular group of automorphisms of a graph acts faithfully on its Jacobian.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be simple a 2-connected graph with at least three vertices that is not a cycle. Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$  be a subgroup acting semiregularly both on darts and on vertices of  $X$ . Then the subgroup  $\Theta(G)$  of  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi$  be a harmonic  $J$ -flow in  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . Our aim is to prove that the kernel of the homomorphism  $\Theta|_G: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  is trivial. Suppose to the contrary that there exists  $f \in G$ ,  $f \neq \text{id}$  such that  $f \in \ker(\Theta|_G)$ . It follows that  $\xi(f(x)) = \xi(x)$  for every dart  $x \in D$ , in other words  $f$  is  $\xi$ -invariant. Denote  $\bar{x} = [x]_f$  the orbit of  $\langle f \rangle$  containing  $x \in D(X)$ . Since the action of  $G$  is regular on darts and vertices, the natural projection  $x \mapsto [x]_f = \bar{x}$  is a regular cyclic covering  $p: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $Y = X/\langle f \rangle$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $p$  induces a flow  $\bar{\xi}: D(Y) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$  on  $Y$  satisfying (KLV). Moreover, the corresponding local group  $(\text{Jac}(X)/\langle f \rangle)_v$  is cyclic of order dividing the order of  $f$ . The flow  $\xi$  may not satisfy (KLC). However, we can easily understand the ‘‘defect’’ of  $\bar{\xi}$ . For every oriented simple cycle  $\bar{C}$  of  $Y = X/\langle f \rangle$  we set  $df(\bar{C}) = \sum_{\bar{x} \in D(\bar{C})} \bar{\xi}(\bar{x})$ . The total defect of  $\bar{\xi}$  is the subgroup

$$K = df(\bar{\xi}) = \langle df(\bar{C}) \mid \bar{C} \in \mathcal{C}(Y) \rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  is the set of oriented cycles in  $Y$ . Now we can formulate several claims about  $K$ :

- $K \leq \text{Jac}(X)$ ,
- the flow  $\xi^*$  in the factor group  $\text{Jac}(X)/K$ , defined by  $\xi^*(\bar{x}) = \bar{\xi}(\bar{x})K$ , satisfies both Kirchhoff laws,
- $K \leq \text{Jac}(Y)_{\bar{v}}$  is a cyclic group whose order divides  $|f|$ .

The first claim holds true, since every generator  $df(\bar{C})$  of  $K$  belongs to  $\text{Jac}(X)$ . For every cycle  $\bar{C}$ , we have  $\sum_{\bar{x} \in \bar{C}} \xi^*(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\bar{x} \in \bar{C}} \bar{\xi}(\bar{x})K = df(\bar{C})K = K$ . Thus the second claim holds. By definition,  $K$  is a local group with respect to  $\bar{\xi}$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $|K|$  divides  $|f| = n$ . Since  $\xi^*$  is a harmonic flow in  $\text{Jac}(X)/K$ , the group  $\text{Jac}(X)/K$  is an epimorphic image of  $\text{Jac}(Y)$ . It follows that

$$\tau(Y) = \tau(X/\langle f \rangle) = |\text{Jac}(Y)| \geq |\text{Jac}(X)/K| = |\text{Jac}(X)|/|K| \geq \tau(X)/n.$$

Thus  $\tau(X) \leq n\tau(Y)$ .

Suppose  $\bar{\beta}(p) > 2$ . By Proposition 3.9 we get  $\tau(X) > n$ , a contradiction. Assume  $\bar{\beta}(p) \leq 2$ . By Proposition 3.9 we have  $\tau(X) \geq n\tau(Z)$ , where  $Z$  is a maximal  $p$ -invariant subgraph. Suppose  $\tau(X) \leq n$ . Then  $Z$  is a spanning tree of  $Y$  and  $\tau(X) = n$ . Consequently,  $\tau(X_R) \leq n$ . By Lemma 3.8 the reduced cyclic covering  $X_R \rightarrow Y_R$  is a covering of a cycle over a one-vertex graph of degree two, or  $X_R$  is  $K_2$ . Then  $Y$  is a unicyclic graph, or a tree with two semiedges attached. It follows that the covering graph  $X$  is unicyclic as well, contradicting the assumptions. Thus,  $\tau(X) > n$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

A simple 2-connected graph  $X$  is *non-trivial* if it has at least three vertices and  $X$  is not a cycle.

**Corollary 5.4.** *If a non-trivial 2-connected graph  $X$  admits a non-abelian semiregular automorphism, then the rank of the Jacobian  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is at least 2.*

*Proof.* Assume, to the contrary, that  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is cyclic. Then  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  is abelian. However, by Theorem 5.3 the group  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$  contains a non-abelian subgroup, which is a non-sense.  $\square$

Some other conditions for the group  $\text{Jac}(X)$  to be non-cyclic were obtained earlier in the paper by D. Lorenzini [12].

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Cayley graph based on a non-abelian group. If the degree of  $X$  is at least three, then  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is not cyclic.*

It is natural to ask whether the requirement of semiregularity posed on a group of automorphisms in Theorem 5.3 can be relaxed. In particular, Theorem 5.3 suggests the following open problem: *Is there a 2-connected graph  $X$  other than a cycle such that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  does not embed into  $\text{Aut}(\text{Jac}(X))$ ?*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first, second and the fourth authors were supported by the grant GACR 20-15576S. The second and fourth authors were supported by the grant No. APVV-19-0308 of Slovak Research and Development Agency and grant VEGA 02/0078/20 of Slovak Ministry of Education. The third author was supported by Sobolev Institute of Mathematics under agreement No. FWNF-2022-0005 with the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon. The number of spanning trees in regular graphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 1(2):175–181, 1990.
- [2] R. Bacher, P. d. La Harpe, and T. Nagnibeda. The lattice of integral flows and the lattice of integral cuts on a finite graph. *Bulletin de la société mathématique de France*, 125(2):167–198, 1997.
- [3] M. Baker and S. Norine. Riemann-Roch and Abel-Jacobi theory on a finite graph. *Advances in Mathematics*, 215(2):766–788, 2007.
- [4] M. Baker and S. Norine. Harmonic morphisms and hyperelliptic graphs. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(15):2914–2955, 2009.
- [5] N. L. Biggs. Chip-firing and the critical group of a graph. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 9(1):25–45, 1999.
- [6] A. Bondy and U. Murty. *Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer London, 2011.
- [7] D. Dhar, P. Ruelle, S. Sen, and D.-N. Verma. Algebraic aspects of abelian sandpile models. *Journal of physics A: mathematical and general*, 28(4):805, 1995.
- [8] I. Estélyi, J. Karabáš, R. Nedela, and A. Mednykh. On a representation of the automorphism group of a graph in a unimodular group. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(12):112606, 2021.
- [9] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [10] M. Kotani and T. Sunada. Jacobian tori associated with a finite graph and its abelian covering graphs. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 24(2):89–110, 2000.
- [11] H. Lheem, D. Li, C. J. Quines, and J. Zhang. Exponents of jacobians of graphs and regular matroids. *Rose-Hulman Undergraduate Mathematics Journal*, 21(2):art. no. 6, 2020.
- [12] D. Lorenzini. Smith normal form and Laplacians. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 98(6):1271–1300, 2008.
- [13] W. Mader. Über den zusammenhang symmetrischer graphen. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 21(1):331–336, 1970.
- [14] A. Malnič, R. Nedela, and M. Škoviera. Lifting graph automorphisms by voltage assignments. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 21(7):927 – 947, 2000.
- [15] S. Ok and C. Thomassen. On the minimum number of spanning trees in k-edge-connected graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 84(3):286—296, 2017.
- [16] G. Sabidussi. On a class of fixed-point-free graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 9(5):800–804, 1958.
- [17] M. E. Watkins. Connectivity of transitive graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 8(1):23–29, 1970.

(I. Estélyi) NTIS, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA, TECHNICKÁ 8, 301 00  
PLZEŇ 3, CZECH REPUBLIC

(I. Estélyi) FACULTY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF PAN-  
NONIA, EGYETEM U. 10., 8200 VESZPRÉM, HUNGARY  
*Email address*, I. Estélyi: [estelyi.istvan@mik.uni-pannon.hu](mailto:estelyi.istvan@mik.uni-pannon.hu)

(J. Karabáš) NTIS, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA, TECHNICKÁ 8, 301 00  
PLZEŇ 3, CZECH REPUBLIC

(J. Karabáš) DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF NATURAL  
SCIENCES, MATEJ BEL UNIVERSITY, BANSKÁ BYSTRICA, SLOVAKIA  
*Email address*, J. Karabáš: [jan.karabas@umb.sk](mailto:jan.karabas@umb.sk)

(A. Mednykh) SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PR. KOPTYUGA 4,  
NOVOSIBIRSK, 630090, RUSSIA

(A. Mednykh) NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY PIROGOVA 2, NOVOSIBIRSK,  
630090, RUSSIA  
*Email address*, A. Mednykh: [smedn@mail.ru](mailto:smedn@mail.ru)

(R. Nedela) FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA,  
TECHNICKÁ 8, 30100 PLZEŇ 3, CZECH REPUBLIC

(R. Nedela) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BANSKÁ  
BYSTRICA, SLOVAKIA  
*Email address*, R. Nedela: [nedela@kma.zcu.cz](mailto:nedela@kma.zcu.cz)