

MULTIPLICATION (m, n) -HYPERMODULES

MAHDI ANBARLOEI

ABSTRACT. The concept of multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules was introduced by Ameri and Norouzi in [2]. Here we intend to investigate extensively the multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules. Let (M, f, g) be a (m, n) -hypermodule (with canonical (m, n) -hypergroups) over a commutative Krasner (m, n) -hyperring (R, h, k) . A (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) is called a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule if for each subhypermodule N of M , there exists a hyperideal I of R such that $N = g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Algebraic hyperstructures represent a natural extension of classical algebraic structures. Introduction of the structures was first done by Marty at the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians in 1934 [15]. Later on, various authors studied it such as by Mittas [19],[20] and Corsini [8],[9]. In 1904, Kasner introduced the notion of n -ary algebras in a lecture in an annual meeting [13]. It is worth noting that Dorente wrote the first paper on the theory of n -ary groups in 1928 [11]. The notion of Krasner hyperrings was introduced by Krasner for the first time in [14]. Also, we can see some properties on Krasner hyperrings in [18] and [21]. In [10], Davvaz and Vougiouklis defined the notion of n -ary hypergroups which is a generalization of hypergroups in the sense of Marty. The concept of (m, n) -hyperrings was introduced in [16]. Davvaz et al. introduced Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings as a generalization of (m, n) -rings and studied some results in this context in [17]. The notions of maximal hyperideal, n -ary prime hyperideal, n -ary primary hyperideal and the radical of a hyperideal in a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring were introduced in [1]. Also, Ostadhadi and Davvaz studied the isomorphism theorems of ring theory and Krasner hyperring theory which are derived in the context of Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings in [23]. Anvariye et al defined the notion of (m, n) -hypermodules over (m, n) -hyperrings in [6]. After, the concepts of free and canonical (m, n) -hypermodules were defined and studied in [5] and [7]. In [2], Ameri and Norouzi introduced a class of the (m, n) -hypermodules, which they defined as multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules. The (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) is called a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule if for each subhypermodule N of M , there exists a hyperideal I of R such that $N = g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we have given some basic definitions and results of n -ary hyperstructures which we need to develop our paper. In section 3, we have proved some important results of the multiplications (m, n) -hypermodule. In section 4, we have studied the primary subhypermodules in a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y99, 20N20 .

Key words and phrases. n -ary prime hyperideal, maximal hyperideal, multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule.

multiplication (m, n) -hyperodule. In section 5, we have investigated intersections and sums of the multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some basic terms and definitions concerning n -ary hyperstructures which we need to develop our paper.

A mapping $f : H^n \rightarrow P^*(H)$ is called an n -ary hyperoperation, where $P^*(H)$ is the set of all the non-empty subsets of H . An algebraic system (H, f) , where f is an n -ary hyperoperation defined on H , is called an n -ary hypergroupoid.

We shall use the following abbreviated notation:

The sequence x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j will be denoted by x_i^j . For $j < i$, x_i^j is the empty symbol. In this convention

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_j, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_n)$$

will be written as $f(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, z_{j+1}^n)$. In the case when $y_{i+1} = \dots = y_j = y$ the last expression will be written in the form $f(x_1^i, y^{(j-i)}, z_{j+1}^n)$.

For non-empty subsets A_1, \dots, A_n of H we define

$$f(A_1^n) = f(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \bigcup \{f(x_1^n) \mid x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

An n -ary hyperoperation f is called associative if

$$f(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(x_1^{j-1}, f(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1}),$$

hold for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1} \in H$. An n -ary hypergroupoid with the associative n -ary hyperoperation is called an n -ary semihypergroup.

An n -ary hypergroupoid (H, f) in which the equation $b \in f(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$ has a solution $x_i \in H$ for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, b \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called an n -ary quasihypergroup, when (H, f) is an n -ary semihypergroup, (H, f) is called an n -ary hypergroup.

An n -ary hypergroupoid (H, f) is commutative if for all $\sigma \in S_n$, the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, and for every $a_1^n \in H$ we have $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)})$. If an $a_1^n \in H$ we denote $a_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)}$ as the $(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)})$. We assume throughout this paper that all Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings are commutative.

If f is an n -ary hyperoperation and $t = l(n-1) + 1$, then t -ary hyperoperation $f_{(l)}$ is given by

$$f_{(l)}(x_1^{l(n-1)+1}) = f(f(\dots, f(f(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}), \dots), x_{(l-1)(n-1)+1}^{l(n-1)+1}).$$

Definition 2.1. ([17]). Let (H, f) be an n -ary hypergroup and B be a non-empty subset of H . B is called an n -ary subhypergroup of (H, f) , if $f(x_1^n) \subseteq B$ for $x_1^n \in B$, and the equation $b \in f(b_1^{i-1}, x_i, b_{i+1}^n)$ has a solution $x_i \in B$ for every $b_1^{i-1}, b_{i+1}^n, b \in B$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

An element $e \in H$ is called a scalar neutral element if $x = f(e^{(i-1)}, x, e^{(n-i)})$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for every $x \in H$.

An element 0 of an n -ary semihypergroup (H, g) is called a zero element if for every $x_2^n \in H$ we have $g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \dots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0$. If 0 and $0'$ are two zero elements, then $0 = g(0', 0^{(n-1)}) = 0'$ and so the zero element is unique.

Definition 2.2. ([12]). Let (H, f) be a n -ary hypergroup. (H, f) is called a canonical n -ary hypergroup if

- (1) there exists a unique $e \in H$, such that for every $x \in H$, $f(x, e^{(n-1)}) = x$;
- (2) for all $x \in H$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in H$, such that $e \in f(x, x^{-1}, e^{(n-2)})$;
- (3) if $x \in f(x_1^n)$, then for all i , we have $x_i \in f(x, x^{-1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{-1}, x_{i+1}^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1})$.

We say that e is the scalar identity of (H, f) and x^{-1} is the inverse of x . Notice that the inverse of e is e .

Definition 2.3. ([17]) A Krasner (m, n) -hyperring is an algebraic hyperstructure (R, f, g) which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) (R, f) is a canonical m -ary hypergroup;
- (2) (R, g) is a n -ary semigroup;
- (3) the n -ary operation g is distributive with respect to the m -ary hyperoperation f , i.e., for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in R$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n));$$
- (4) 0 is a zero element (absorbing element) of the n -ary operation g , i.e., for every $x_2^n \in R$ we have

$$g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \dots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0.$$

A non-empty subset S of R is called a subhyperring of R if (S, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring. Let I be a non-empty subset of R , we say that I is a hyperideal of (R, f, g) if (I, f) is an m -ary subhypergroup of (R, f) and $g(x_1^{i-1}, I, x_{i+1}^n) \subseteq I$, for every $x_1^n \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 2.4. ([6]) Let M be a nonempty set. Then (M, f, g) is an (m, n) -hypermodule over an (m, n) -hyperring (R, h, k) , if (M, f) is an m -ary hypergroup and the map

$$g : \underbrace{R \times \dots \times R}_{n-1} \times M \longrightarrow P^*(M)$$

satisfied the following conditions:

- (i) $g(r_1^{n-1}, f(x_1^m)) = f(g(r_1^{n-1}, x_1), \dots, g(r_1^{n-1}, x_m))$
- (ii) $g(r_1^{i-1}, h(s_1^m), r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x) = f(g(r_1^{i-1}, s_1, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x), \dots, g(r_1^{i-1}, s_m, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x))$
- (ii) $g(r_1^{i-1}, k(r_i^{i+n-1}), r_{i+m}^{n+m-2}, x) = g(r_1^{n-1}, g(r_m^{n+m-2}, x))$
- (iv) $\{0\} = g(r_1^{i-1}, 0, r_{i+1}^{n-1}, x)$.

If g is an n -ary hyperoperation, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} are subsets of R and $M' \subseteq M$, we set

$$g(T_1^{n-1}, M') = \bigcup \{g(r_1^{n-1}, m) \mid r_i \in T_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, m \in M'\}.$$

A canonical (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) is an (m, n) -ary hypermodule with a canonical m -ary hypergroup (M, f) over a Krasner (m, n) -hyperring (R, h, k) .

Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . A non-empty subset N of M is said to be an (m, n) -subhypermodule of M if (N, f) is a m -ary subhypergroup of (M, f) and $g(R^{(n-1)}, N) \in P^*(N)$.

Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule and N be a subhypermodule of M . Then the hyperideal S_N is considered as follows:

$$S_N = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N\}$$

Definition 2.5. ([4]) Let M be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . A proper subhypermodule K of M is said to be maximal, if for $N \leq M$ with $K \subseteq N \subseteq M$, we have either $K = N$ or $N = M$.

Definition 2.6. ([4]) Let M be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . A proper subhypermodule N of M is said to be n -ary primary, if $g(r_1^{n-1}, x) \subseteq Q$ with $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M \setminus Q$, implies that

$$g(k(r_1^{(t)}, 1^{(n-t)}), \dots, k(r_{n-1}^{(t)}, 1^{(n-t)}), M) \subseteq N$$

for $t \leq n$, and for $t > n$ such that $t = l(n-1) + 1$

$g(k_{(l)}(r_1^{(t)}), \dots, k_{(l)}(r_{n-1}^{(t)}), M) \subseteq N$
for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l > 0$.

Definition 2.7. ([4]) Let N be a subhypermodule of an (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) . Then the set

$$R/N = \{f(x_1^{i-1}, N, x_{i+1}^m) \mid x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^m \in M\}$$

endowed with m -ary hyperoperation f which for all $x_{11}^{1m}, \dots, x_{m1}^{mm} \in R$

$$F(f(x_{11}^{1(i-1)}, N, x_{1(i+1)}^{1m}), \dots, f(x_{m1}^{m(i-1)}, N, x_{m(i+1)}^{mm}))$$

$$= \{(f(t_1^{i-1}, N, t_{i+1}^m) \mid t_1 \in f(x_{11}^{1m}), \dots, t_m \in f(x_{1m}^{mm})\}$$

and with n -ary hyperoperation $G : \underbrace{R \times \dots \times R}_{n-1} \times M/N \longrightarrow P^*(M/N)$ which for all

$x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^m \in M$ and $r_1^{n-1} \in R$

$$G(r_1^{n-1}, f(x_1^{i-1}, N, x_{i+1}^m))$$

$$= \{f(z_1^{i-1}, N, z_{i+1}^m \mid z_1 \in g(r_1^{n-1}, x_1), \dots, z_m \in g(r_1^{n-1}, x_m)\}$$

is an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) , and $(R/N, F, G)$ is called the quotient (m, n) -hypermodule of M by N .

Definition 2.8. ([3]) Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) and P be a maximal hyperideal of R . Then

(1) we define

$$\mathcal{X}_P(M) = \{m \in M \mid \exists p \in P; 0 \in g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)\}$$

$$\mathcal{X}^P(M) = \{m \in M \mid \exists p \in P; \{0\} = g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)\}.$$

In this paper we let $\mathcal{X}_P(M) = \mathcal{X}^P(M)$ for every maximal hyperideal P of R .

(2) M is called an n -ary P -cyclic if there exist $q \in P$ and $m \in M$ such that $g(h(1, -q, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$.

Also, we let $x \in g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$ implies that there exists $a \in I$ such that $\{x\} = g(a, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$, and $\{0\} = h(r, -r, 0^{(m-2)})$, for all $x, m \in M$, $r \in R$ and $I \subseteq R$.

Definition 2.9. ([2]) For every nonzero element m of (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) , we define

$$F_m = \{r \in R \mid 0 \in g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m); r \neq 0\}.$$

It is clear that F_m is a hyperideal of (R, h, k) . The (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) is said to be faithful, if $F_m = \{0\}$ for all nonzero elements $m \in M$, that is $0 \in g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$ implies that $r = 0$, for $r \in R$.

3. THE MULTIPLICATION (m, n) -HYPERMODULES

The notion of multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules was defined in [2]. The (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) is called a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule if for each subhypermodule N of M , there exists a hyperideal I of R such that $N = g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

Example 3.1. (m, n) -hypermodule (\mathbb{Z}, f, g) over (m, n) -hyperring (\mathbb{Z}, h, k) is multiplication. (see Example 3.5 in [2])

Lemma 3.2. Let (M, f, g) be a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) and let $J_{(m,n)}(R)$ be intersection of all maximal hyperideals of R . If $M = g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal $I \subseteq J_{(m,n)}(R)$, then $M = 0$.

Proof. If $m \in M$ then $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal A of R . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} m \in g(1^{(n-1)}, m) &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \\ &= g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(k(A, I, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(k(I, R, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) \\ &= g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, m). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that there exists some $r \in I$ such that $m \in f(g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m), 0^{(m-1)})$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in f(-g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m), m, 0^{(m-2)}) &\subseteq f(g(-r, 1^{(n-2)}, m), g(1^{(n-1)}, m), g(0, 1^{(m-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(h(-r, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.15 in [1], Since $r \in J_{(m,n)}(R)$ then $h(-r, 1, 0^{(m-2)})$ is invertible which means there exists $s \in R$ such that $k(s, h(-r, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} = g(s, 1^{(n-2)}, 0) &\subseteq g(s, 1^{(n-2)}, g(h(-r, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(k(s, h(-r, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) \\ &= g(1, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \\ &= g(1^{(n-1)}, m) \\ &= \{m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $m = 0$ which implies $M = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, f, g) be a nonzero multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that R has the only one maximal hyperideal. Then M is cyclic.*

Proof. Let (M, f, g) be a nonzero multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Assume that I is the only maximal hyperideal of R . The Lemma 3.2 shows that $g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \neq M$. Then there exists some $m \in M$ such that $m \notin g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Thus we have $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(J, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal J of R . Let $J \neq R$. It follows that $J \subseteq I$. Hence we get $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(J, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Since $m \in g(1^{(n-1)}, m) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$, then we conclude that $m \in g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which is contradiction. Thus $J = R$ which implies $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(J, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = M$ and the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.4. *If (M, f, g) is a multiplication hypermodule over (R, h, k) then M/N_1 with $N_1 = g(J_{(m,n)}(R), 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ is a multiplication hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that $J_{(m,n)}(R)$ is intersection of all maximal hyperideals of R .*

Proof. Let $N_1 = g(J_{(m,n)}(R), 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ in which $J_{(m,n)}(R)$ is intersection of all maximal hyperideals of R . Let N be a subhypermodule of M such that $N_1 \subseteq N$ and N/N_1 be a subhypermodule of M/N_1 . Since M is a multiplication hypermodule over R then there exists some hyperideal I of R such that $N = g(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} N/N_1 &= \{f(a_1^{m-1}, N_1) \mid a_1^{m-1} \in N\} \\ &= \{f(g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x_1), \dots, g(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, x_{m-1}), N_1) \mid r_1^{m-1} \in I, x_1^{m-1} \in N\} \\ &= \{f(g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x_1), \dots, g(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, x_{m-1}), f(N_1^{(m-1)}, 0) \mid r_1^{m-1} \in I, \\ &\quad x_1^{m-1} \in N\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{f(f(g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x_1), N_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(g(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, x_{m-1}), N_1, 0^{(m-2)}), 0) | r_1^{m-1} \in I, x_1^{m-1} \in N\} \\
&= \{f(\{f(t_1, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}) | t_1 \in g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x_1)\}, \dots, \{f(t_{m-1}, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}) | t_{m-1} \in g(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, x_{m-1})\}, 0) | r_1^{m-1} \in I, x_1^{m-1} \in N\} \\
&= F(\{f(t_1, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}) | t_1 \in g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x_1)\}, \dots, \{f(t_{m-1}, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}) | t_{m-1} \in g(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, x_{m-1})\}, 0) \\
&= F(G(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, f(x_1, N_1, 0^{(m-2)})), \dots, G(r_{m-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, f(x_{m-1}, N_1, 0^{(m-2)})), 0) \\
&= G(r_1^{m-1}, F(f(x_1, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(x_{m-1}, N_1, 0^{(m-2)}), 0)) \\
&= \bigcup \{G(r, 1^{(n-2)}, f(x, N_1, 0^{(m-2)})) | r = k(r_1^{m-1}, 1^{n-m+1}), r_1^{m-1} \in I, x \in f(x_1^{m-1}, 0), x_1^{m-1} \in M\} \\
&= G(I, 1^{(n-2)}, M/N_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence M/N_1 with $N_1 = g(J_{(m,n)}(R), 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ is a multiplication hypermodule over (R, h, k) . \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . (M, f, g) is multiplication if and only if*

1) $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [f(I_\lambda, S_0, 0^{(m-2)}], 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for all nonempty family of hyperideals I_λ of R .

2) For every hyperideal A of R and the subhypermodule N of M with $N \subset g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$, there exists a hyperideal $B \subset A$ such that $N \subseteq g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

Proof. Since M over R/S_0 is faithful, then we are done, by Theorem 3.11 in [2]. \square

Definition 3.6. An (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) over (R, h, k) is called cofinitely generated if for each nonempty family of subhypermodules N_i of M , $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i = 0$ then there exists a finite subset I' of I such that $\bigcap_{i \in I'} N_i = 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (M, f, g) be a faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Then M is cofinitely generated if and only if R is cofinitely generated.*

Proof. \implies Let (M, f, g) be a faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) and $\bigcap_{i \in I} J_i = 0$ for a nonempty family of hyperideals J_i of R . Then we have

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} g(J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(\bigcap_{i \in I} J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(0, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = 0$$

by Theorem 3.11 in [2]. Since M is cofinitely generated, then there exists a finite subset I' of I with

$$\bigcap_{i \in I'} g(J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(\bigcap_{i \in I'} J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = 0.$$

This implies that $\bigcap_{i \in I'} J_i = 0$, since M is faithful. Then (R, h, k) is cofinitely generated.

\impliedby Let (R, h, k) be cofinitely generated and $\bigcap_{i \in I} T_i = 0$ for a nonempty family of subhypermodules T_i of M . Since M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule, then for each $i \in I$ there exists a hyperideal J_i of R such that $T_i = g(J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

Now we have

$$g(\bigcap_{i \in I} J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} g(J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = \bigcap_{i \in I} T_i = 0.$$

Since M is faithful then $\bigcap_{i \in I} J_i = 0$. Since R is cofinitely generated, then there exists a finite subset I' of I such that $\bigcap_{i \in I'} J_i = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigcap_{i \in I'} T_i &= \bigcap_{i \in I'} g(J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(\bigcap_{i \in I'} J_i, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(0, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (m, n) -hypermodule (M, f, g) is cofinitely generated. \square

Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . We denote the family of all hyperideals A of R such that $g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = M$ by ω and denote intersection of all members of ω by $\omega(M)$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let (M, f, g) be an faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) and $\omega(M) = B$. Then:*

- (1) $x \in g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$, for each $x \in M$.
- (2) $B = B.B$.
- (3) $B \subseteq P$ or $h(B, P, 0^{(n-2)}) = R$, for each n -ary prime hyperideal P of R .
- (4) M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over B .
- (5) $g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \neq M$, for each proper hyperideal C of R

Proof. (1) Let $B = \omega(M) = \bigcap_{A \in \omega} A$. Theorem 3.11 in [2] shows that $g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(\bigcap_{A \in \omega} A, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = \bigcap_{A \in \omega} (g(A, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) = \bigcap_{A \in \omega} M = M$. Let $x \in R$. Since M is multiplication, then $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x) = g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal D of R . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} x \in g(1^{(n-1)}, x) &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x) \\ &= g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(k(D, 1^{(n-2)}, B), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(k(B, 1^{(n-2)}, D), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x)) \\ &= g(k(B, R, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, x) \\ &= g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, x) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since $M = g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M &= g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(k(B^{(2)}, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &\subseteq g(B.B, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(B.B, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq M$ then $M = g(B.B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which implies $B.B \in \omega$ then $B \subseteq B.B$. Since $B.B \subseteq B$ then we get $B = B.B$.

(3) If $M = g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some n -ary prime hyperideal P of R then $P \in \omega$ and so $B \subseteq P$. If $M \neq g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ then there exists some $m \in M$ such that $m \notin g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Since M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule, then we have $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal C of R . If $C \subseteq P$ then $g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which implies $m \in g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $C \not\subseteq P$. Since for each $m \in M$, $m \in g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that $m \in f(g(b, 1^{(n-2)}, m), 0^{(n-1)})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in f(-g(b, 1^{(n-2)}, m), m, 0^{(m-2)}) \\ &\subseteq f(g(-b, 1^{(n-2)}, m), g(1^{(n-1)}, m), g(0, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) \\ &\subseteq g(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(1^{(n-1)}, m)) \\ &\subseteq g(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(k(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), C, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \end{aligned}$$

Since M is faithful then $k(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), C, 1^{(n-2)}) = 0$ then Since P is an n -ary hyperideal of R and $k(h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), C, 1^{(n-2)}) = \{0\} \subseteq P$ with $C \not\subseteq P$

then we conclude that $h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq P$. Thus $h(b, h(-b, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 0^{(n-2)}) \subseteq f(B, P, 0^{(n-2)})$ which means $h(b, -b, 1, 0^{(n-3)}) \subseteq h(B, P, 0^{(n-2)})$.

Since $0 \in h(b, -b, 0^{(n-2)})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= h(0^{(n-1)}, 1) \\ &= h(0, 1, 0^{(n-2)}) \\ &\subseteq h(h(b, -b, 1, 0^{(n-3)}), 1, 0^{(n-2)}) \\ &= h(b, -b, 1, 0^{(n-3)}) \\ &\subseteq h(B, P, 0^{(n-2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $R = h(B, P, 0^{(n-2)})$.

(4) Let N be an subhypermodule of (m, n) -hypermodule M over B . Since $N = g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, N)$, by (1), then N is an subhypermodule of (m, n) -hypermodule M over R . Thus $N = g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some hyperideal D of R . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N &= g(D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(B, D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(k(B, D, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &\subseteq g(B.D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(B.D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N$ then $g(B.D, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = N$. Since $B.D$ is a hyperideal of B , then M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over B .

(5) Let $g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = M$, for some proper hyperideal C of R . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} M &= g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(C, 1^{(n-2)}, g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(k(C, B, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &\subseteq g(C.B, 1^{(n-2)}, M). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $C.B \in \omega$. Since $b = \omega(M)$ then $B \subseteq C.B \subseteq C$. since $C \subseteq B$ then we get $C = B$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let (M, f, g) be a nonzero (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let $A_1 = \{P \in \text{Max}(R) \mid M \neq g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M)\}$ and $A_2 = \{P \in \text{Max}(R) \mid S_0 \subseteq P\}$ such that $\text{Max}(R)$ is the family of all maximal subhypermodules of R . Then*

$$J_{(m,n)}(M) = g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_1\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_2\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$$

Proof. Suppose that $B_1 = \bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_1\}$ and $B_2 = \bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_2\}$. Let K is a maximal subhypermodule of M . Theorem 3.16 in [2] shows that $K = g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \neq M$, for some maximal hyperideal P of R . By Theorem 3.11 (i) in [2],

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_2\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) &= \bigcap\{g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \mid P \in A_2\} \\ &\subseteq \bigcap\{g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \mid P \in A_1\} \\ &= g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_1\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= J_{(m,n)}(M) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $Q \in A_2$. If $g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = M$ then we get $J_{(m,n)}(M) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which means $J_{(m,n)}(M) \subseteq g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_2\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. If $g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \neq M$ then we conclude that $Q \in A_1$ which implies $J_{(m,n)}(M) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which means $J_{(m,n)}(M) \subseteq g(\bigcap\{P \mid P \in A_2\}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. \square

Definition 3.10. [7] Let M is an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let M_1^t be subhypermodules of M and $t = l(m-1) + 1$, then M is called a (internal) direct sum $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$ if satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $M = f_{(l)}(M_1, \dots, M_t)$ and
(2) $M_i \cap f_{(l)}(M_1, \dots, M_{i-1}, 0, M_{i+1}, \dots, M_t) = \{0\}$

Theorem 3.11. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda$ for some (m, n) -hypermultiplication M_λ over (R, h, k) and $\lambda = l(m-1) + 1$. Then M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) if and only if*

- (i) M_λ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$
(ii) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists hyperideal I_λ of R such that $g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\lambda) = M_\lambda$ and $g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, \hat{M}_\lambda) = 0$ where $\hat{M}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \neq \lambda} M_\mu$.

Proof. \implies Let M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda = l(m-1) + 1$. Then there exists hyperideal I_λ of R such that $g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = M_\lambda$ which implies $g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, \hat{M}_\lambda) \cong g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M/M_\lambda) = M_\lambda/M_\lambda = 0$. Therefore we have $M_\lambda = g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M) = g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\lambda \oplus \hat{M}_\lambda) = g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\lambda)$.

\impliedby Let P be a maximal hyperideal of R such that $\mathcal{X}_P(M_\lambda) = M_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then we get $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{X}_P(M_\lambda) = \mathcal{X}_P(\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda) = \mathcal{X}_P(M)$. If $\mathcal{X}_P(M_\gamma) \neq M_\gamma$, for some $\gamma \in \Lambda$ then we conclude that M_γ is n -ary P -cyclic, by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. It means there exist $m \in M_\gamma$ and $p \in P$ with

$$g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, M) &= g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma \oplus \hat{M}_\gamma) \\ &= g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) \oplus g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, \hat{M}_\gamma) \\ &= g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) \\ &= M_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), I_\gamma, 1^{(n-3)}, M) &= g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) \\ &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $I_\gamma \subseteq P$. It follows that $M_\gamma = g(I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) \subseteq g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma)$ which means $g(P, 1^{(n-2)}, M_\gamma) = M_\gamma$. By Theorem 3.8 in [2], we have $\mathcal{X}(M_\gamma) = M_\gamma$, since M_γ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. This is a contradiction. Thus $I_\gamma \not\subseteq P$ and so $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, I_\gamma) \not\subseteq P$. Hence $h(1, -q, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)})$ for some $q \in P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(1, -q, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) &\subseteq g(k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), I_\gamma, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), I_\gamma, 1^{(n-3)}, M) \\ &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m). \end{aligned}$$

It means M is n -ary P -cyclic. Consequently, M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule, by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. \square

4. THE PRIMARY SUBHYPERMODULES OF MULTIPLICATION (m, n) -HYPERMODULES

Lemma 4.1. Let (M, f, g) be a faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that Q is an n -ary primary hyperideal of R . If $g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$, for some $r \in R$, $m \in M$ then $r \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m, n)}$ or $m \in g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$.

Proof. Let $r \notin \sqrt{Q}^{(m, n)}$. Suppose that $E = \{a \in R \mid g(a, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)\}$. Let $E \neq R$. Therefore there exists a maximal hyperideal P of R such that $E \subseteq P$. If $m \in \mathcal{X}_P(M)$, then for some $p \in P$, $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1, m) = \{0\}$. This means that $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Hence $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq E \subseteq Q$

and so $1 \in Q$, which is a contradiction. Thus $m \notin \mathcal{X}_P(M)$. Then M is n -ary P -cyclic, by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. Then there exists $x \in M$, $p \in P$ such that

$$g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x).$$

This means $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(U, 1^{(n-2)}, x)$ for some $U \subseteq R$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(r, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, m) &= g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &\subseteq g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &\subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &\subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x)) \\ &= g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus for some $Q_1 \subseteq Q$, we get

$$g(r, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, m) = g(Q_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g(k(r, U, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, x) &= g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, g(U, 1^{(n-2)}, x)) \\ &= g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(r, 1^{(n-3)}, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), m) \\ &\subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in U$. Then for some $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(k(r, u, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, x) &= g(Q_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x) \\ &= f(g(Q_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x), 0^{(m-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

This means $0 \in f(g(k(r, u, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, x), -g(Q_1, 1^{(n-2)}, x), 0^{(m-2)})$
 $= g(h(k(r, u, 1^{(n-2)}), -Q_1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(m-2)}, x).$

Therefore $0 \in h(k(r, u, 1^{(n-2)}), -Q_1, 0^{(m-2)})$, since M is faithful. This implies that $k(r, u, 1^{(n-2)}) \in Q_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k(u, k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), r, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) &= k(k(u, r, 1^{(n-2)}), h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) \\ &\subseteq k(Q_1, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) \\ &\subseteq k(Q, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) \\ &\subseteq Q. \end{aligned}$$

Since Q is an n -ary primary hyperideal of R , we have

$$u \in Q \text{ or } k(1, k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), r, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}.$$

Let $k(1, k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), r, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}) = k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), r, 1^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$.

Since Q is an n -ary primary hyperideal of R , then $\sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ is an n -ary prime hyperideal, by Theorem 4.28 in [1]. Since $r \notin \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ and $1 \notin \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$, then $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} \subseteq Q \subseteq E \subseteq P$ and so $1 \in P$, a contradiction. Then we conclude that $u \in Q$. Therefore $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(U, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. By the definition of the set E , we have $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq E \subseteq P$. This is a contradiction. Consequently, $E = R$ and so $m \in g(1^{(n-1)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. \square

Corollary 4.2. Let (M, f, g) be a faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let N be a subhypermodule of M such that $N = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ and $M \neq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some n -ary primary hyperideal Q of R . Then N is an n -ary primary subhypermodule of M .

Proof. Suppose that N be a subhypermodule of a faithful multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule M such that $N = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some n -ary primary hyperideal Q of R . Let for $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $m \in M \setminus N$, $g(r_1^{n-1}, m) \subseteq N$. Since $N = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ and $g(k(r_1^{n-1}, 1), 1^{(n-2)}, m) = g(r_1^{n-1}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$, we

have $k(r_1^{n-1}, 1) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$, By Lemma 4.1. Since $\sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} \subseteq \sqrt{S_N}^{(m,n)}$ with $S_N = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N\}$, then N is an n -ary primary subhypermodule of M . \square

Corollary 4.3. Let (M, f, g) be a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . The followings are equivalent for a proper subhypermodule N of M :

- (1) N is an n -ary primary subhypermodule of M .
- (2) $S_N = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N\}$ is an n -ary primary hyperideal of R .
- (3) $N = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ for some n -ary primary hyperideal Q of R with $S_0 \subseteq Q$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) It follows from Theorem 5.3 in [4].

(2) \implies (3) It is clear by Remark 3.2 in [2].

(3) \implies (1) Let for $r_1^{n-1} \in R$ and $m \in M \setminus N$, $g(r_1^{n-1}, m) \subseteq N$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & g(1^{(n-1)}, g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, g(k(r_2^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m))) \\ &= g(1^{(n-2)}, k(r_1, 1^{(n-1)}, g(k(r_2^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m))) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ &= g(1, k(r_1, 1^{(n-1)}), \dots, k(r_{n-2}, 1^{(n-1)}), g(r_{n-1}, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(k(r_1, 1^{(n-1)}), \dots, k(r_{n-2}, 1^{(n-1)}), k(r_{n-1}, 1^{(n-1)}), m) \\ &= (r_1^{n-1}, m) \\ &\subseteq N = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \end{aligned}$$

for n -ary primary hyperideal Q of R . Since $1 \notin \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$, then

$$g(r_1, 1^{(n-2)}, g(k(r_2^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$$

by Lemma 4.1. By using Lemma 4.1 again, we have

$$r_1 \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } g(k(r_2^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$$

In the second case, we have

$$g(r_2, g(r_3^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$$

Therefore we get

$$r_2 \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } g(r_3^{n-1}, 1^{(2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, m) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M),$$

by Lemma 4.1. In the second case, by using a similar argument we get $r_{n-1} \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ or $m \in g(Q, 1^{(n-1)}, M) = N$. Since $m \notin N$, then we have $r_i \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and so $k(r_1^{n-1}, 1) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$. Since $\sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} \subseteq \sqrt{S_N}^{(m,n)}$, then $k(r_1^{n-1}, 1) \in \sqrt{S_N}^{(m,n)}$. It follows that N is an n -ary primary subhypermodule of M . \square

5. INTERSECTIONS AND SUMS OF THE MULTIPLICATION (m, n) -HYPERMODULE

Theorem 5.1. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that $M = f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$ for some multiplication subhypermodules N_λ of M and $\lambda = l(m-1)+1$. The followings are equivalent:

- (1) M is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule.
- (2) $N_\lambda = g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$
- (3) $R = h(A(m), B, 0^{(m-2)})$ for each $m \in M$ such that $A(m) = \{r \in R \mid 0 \in g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, m)\}$ and $B = f_{(l)}(S_{N_\lambda}^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$
- (4) for every maximal hyperideal P of R either $M = \mathcal{X}_P(M)$ or there exist $a \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_\lambda$ and $p \in P$ such that $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, a)$

Proof. (1) \implies (2) It is clear.

(2) \implies (3) Let $R \neq h(A(m), B, 0^{(m-2)})$ for some $m \in M$. Then there exists some

maximal hyperideal Q of R such that $h(A(m), B, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq Q$. Then $B \subseteq Q$ which implies $g(B, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ which means $g(f_{(l)}(S_{N_\lambda}^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Thus $f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}) = M \subseteq g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$ and so $M = g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)$. Since $m \in M = f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$ then $m \in f_{(l)}(m_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$ for some elements $m_\lambda \in N_\lambda$. Since N_λ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule then there exists hyperideal I_λ of R such that $g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda) = g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, N_\lambda)$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda) &= g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, N_\lambda) \\ &= g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(I_\lambda, S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-3)}, M) \\ &= g(I_\lambda, S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-3)}, g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(I_\lambda, Q, 1^{(n-3)}, g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &\subseteq g(I_\lambda, Q, 1^{(n-3)}, N_\lambda) \\ &= g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, g(I_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, N_\lambda)) \\ &= g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda)) \\ &= g(Q, R, 1^{(n-3)}, m_\lambda) \\ &= g(Q, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $q_\lambda \in Q$ such that $m_\lambda \in f(g(q_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda), 0^{(m-1)})$ which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\in f(-g(q_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda), m_\lambda, 0^{(m-2)}) \\ &\subseteq f(g(-q_\lambda, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda), g(1^{(n-1)}, m_\lambda), g(0, 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda)) \\ &= g(h(-q_\lambda, 1, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get $0 \in g(g(h(-q_\lambda, 1, 0^{(m-2)})^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)$ which means

$$g(h(-q_\lambda, 1, 0^{(m-2)})^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}) \in A(m) \subseteq Q.$$

Since all q_λ 's belong to Q then we conclude that $1 \in Q$ which is a contradiction. Consequently, $R = h(A(m), B, 0^{(m-2)})$.

(3) \implies (4) Suppose that for some maximal hyperideal P of R , $M \neq \mathcal{X}_P(M)$. Then there exists some $m \in M$ such that $0 \notin g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)$ for all $p \in P$. If $A(m) \not\subseteq P$ then there exists $p \in P$ such that $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq A(m)$ which means $0 \in g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, m)$ which is a contradiction. Then $A(m) \subseteq P$ and so $B \notin P$ by (3). This follows that $S_{N_\lambda} \not\subseteq P$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then there exists $p \in P$ such that $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq S_{N_\lambda}$. Thus $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N_\lambda$. Let $\mathcal{X}_P(N_\lambda) = N_\lambda$ and $x \in g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, m) \subseteq N_\lambda$. Then for all $p \in P$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \in g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, x) &\subseteq g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, m)) \\ &= g(g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, m) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}) \subseteq A(m) \subseteq P$. Since P is an n -ary prime hyperideal and $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P$ then we get $S_{N_\lambda} \subseteq P$ which is a contradiction. Then $\mathcal{X}_P(N_\lambda) \neq N_\lambda$. Hence N_λ is n -ary P -cyclic, by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. Then there exist $a \in N_\lambda$ and $q \in Q$ such that $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, N_\lambda) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, a)$. By $g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \subseteq N_\lambda$ it follows that $g(h(1, -q, 0^{(m-2)}), h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-3)}, M) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, a)$. It is clear that $h(p, q, -k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}) \subseteq P$.

Let $t \in h(p, q, -k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-3)})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(1, -t, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) &\subseteq g(h(1, -(h(p, q, -k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}), 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M)) \\ &= g(h(1, -p, -q, k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-4)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\ &= g(h(1, -p, h(-q, k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= g(h(1, -p, h(-q, -(-k(p, q, 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(h(1, -p, h(-q, k(-p, -q, 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(h(1, -p, k(-q, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(h(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), k(-q, h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-2)}, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(k(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), h(1, -q, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, a).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) \implies (1) This is obvious by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. \square

Corollary 5.2. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that $R = h_{(l)}(S_{N_\lambda}^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$ for some multiplication subhypermodules N_λ of M and $\lambda = l(m-1) + 1$. Then M is a multiplication hypermodule.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(h_{(l)}(S_{N_\lambda}^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}), 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= f_{(l)}(g(S_{N_\lambda}, 1^{(n-2)}, M)^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}) \\
&\subseteq f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}) \\
&\subseteq M,
\end{aligned}$$

then $M = f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$. Thus M is a multiplication hypermodule, by Theorem 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.3. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that $M = f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$ for some multiplication subhypermodules N_λ of M and $\lambda = l(m-1) + 1$. Then for each subhypermodule N of M , $N = f_{(l)}((N \cap N_\lambda)^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$.

Proof. Let N be an arbitrary subhypermodule of M . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= g(S_N, 1^{(n-2)}, M) \\
&= g(S_N, 1^{(n-2)}, f_{(l)}(N_\lambda^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})) \\
&= f_{(l)}((g(S_N, 1^{(n-2)}, N_\lambda)^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})) \\
&\subseteq f_{(l)}((N \cap N_\lambda)^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)}) \\
&\subseteq N.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $N = f_{(l)}((N \cap N_\lambda)^{(\lambda \in \Lambda)})$. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let H and K be two multiplication subhypermodules of M such that H, K and $f(H, K, 1^{(n-2)})$ are multiplication hypermodules. Then $H \cap K$ is a multiplication hypermodule.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_P(H \cap K) \neq H \cap K$ for some maximal hyperideal P of R . Then we conclude that $\mathcal{X}_P(H) \neq H$, $\mathcal{X}_P(K) \neq K$ and $\mathcal{X}_P(f(H, K, 0^{(m-2)})) \neq f(H, K, 0^{(m-2)})$. By Theorem 3.8 in [2] and Theorem 5.1, there exist $a \in H$, $b \in K$, $c \in H \cup K$ and $p, p', p'' \in P$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H) &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, a) \\
g(h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, K) &\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, b)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, f(H, K, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, c).$$

If $c \in H$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, b) &\subseteq g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, f(H, K, 0^{(m-2)})) \\
&\subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, c)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\subseteq H$$

which implies

$$g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, b) \subseteq H \cap K.$$

Let $s \in h(p', p'', -k(p', p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}) \subseteq P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & g(h(1, -s, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & \subseteq g(h(1, -(h(p', p'', -k(p', p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-3)}), 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(1, -p', -p'', k(p', p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-4)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(1, -p', h(-p'', k(p', p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(1, -p', h(-p'', -(-k(p', p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(1, -p', h(-p'', k(-p', -p'', 1^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(1, -p', k(-p'', h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-3)}, 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(h(h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), k(-p'', h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)})), 0^{(m-2)}, 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & = g(k(h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \\ & \subseteq g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(h(1, -p', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, K)) \\ & \subseteq g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, b)) \\ & = g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists $m \in g(h(1, -p'', 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, b) \subseteq H \cap K$ such that

$$g(h(1, -s, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, H \cap K) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, m)$$

which means $H \cap K$ is n -ary P -cyclic. Similarly, by $c \in K$ it follows that $H \cap K$ is n -ary P -cyclic. Thus $H \cap K$ is a multiplication hypermodule, by Theorem 3.8 in [2]. \square

Lemma 5.5. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let subhypermodules N_1 and N_2 of M be multiplication. If $R = h(A, B, 0^{(m-2)})$ such that $A = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, N_2) \subseteq N_1\}$ and $B = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, N_1) \subseteq N_2\}$, then $f(N_1, N_2, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.2. \square

Theorem 5.6. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let L, N_1, \dots, N_t be subhypermodules of M such that $L, N_i, f(L, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) and $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^t N_i$ are multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules. Then $f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_P(f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})) \neq f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})$ for some maximal hyperideal P of R . Then $\mathcal{X}_P(f(L, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})) \neq f(L, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, since $f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq f(L, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})$. By Theorem 5.1 we conclude that $h(A_i, B_i, 0^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P$ with $A_i = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, N_i) \subseteq L\}$ and $B_i = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, L) \subseteq N_i\}$. Let $C = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, N) \subseteq L\}$. Then $A_i \subseteq C$. Therefore we have $h(B_i, C, 0^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $B = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, L) \subseteq N\}$. Then we get $h(B, C, 0^{(m-2)}) = h(\bigcap_{i=1}^t B_i, C, 0^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P$ which means $B \not\subseteq P$ or $C \not\subseteq P$. Hence there exists $p \in P$ such that $h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq B \cup C = B' \cup C'$ with $B' = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq N\}$ and $C' = \{r \in R \mid g(r, 1^{(n-2)}, f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq L\}$. Then we have

$$g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq L$$

or

$$g(h(1, -p, 0^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq N.$$

Since L and N are multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules, then by Lemma 5.5, we

conclude that $f(L, \bigcap_{i=1}^t N_i, 0^{(m-2)}) = f(L, N, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. \square

Theorem 5.7. *Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) . Let N_1, \dots, N_n be subhypermodules of M such that for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $f(N_i, N_j, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Then N_1, \dots, N_n are multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules if and only if $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule.*

Proof. \implies Let N_1, \dots, N_n are multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules. By induction on n , $N_2 \cap \dots \cap N_n$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Now, by using Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.4, the proof is completed.

\impliedby Let $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ be a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Assume that for some maximal hyperideal P of R , $\mathcal{X}_P(N_1) \neq N_1$. This implies that for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{X}_P(f(N_1, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})) \neq f(N_1, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})$. Then there exist $x_i \in N_1 \cup N_i$ and $p_i \in P$ such that $g(h(1, -p_i, 1^{(m-2)}), 1^{(n-2)}, f(N_1, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq g(R, 1^{(n-2)}, x_i)$, by Theorem 5.1. If $x_i \in N_1$ then N_1 is an n -ary P -cyclic. If $x_i \in N_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$), then

$$g(h(1, -p_2, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, h(1, -p_n, 0^{(m-2)}), N_1) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i.$$

By Theorem 3.8 in [2] and using an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 5.1 ((3) \implies (4)), we conclude that N_1 is multiplication. Similarly, we can show that for all $2 \leq i \leq n$, N_i is multiplication. \square

Corollary 5.8. Let (M, f, g) be an (m, n) -hypermodule over (R, h, k) such that subhypermodules $N_1, \dots, N_n, N_{n+1}, \dots, N_k$ of M are multiplication. If for all $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, $f(N_i, N_j, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Then $f(N, L, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule with $N = N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_n$ and $L = N_{n+1} \cap \dots \cap N_k$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.7, N is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Theorem 5.6 shows that for each $n+1 \leq i \leq k$, $f(N, N_i, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule. Thus $f(N, N_{n+1} \cap \dots \cap N_k, 0^{(m-2)})$ is a multiplication (m, n) -hypermodule, by Theorem 5.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, Prime and primary hyperideals in Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings, *European J. Combin.*, **34** (2013) 379-390.
- [2] R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, On multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules, *European J. Combin.*, **44** (2015) 153-171.
- [3] R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, Corrigendum to "On multiplication (m, n) -hypermodules" [*European J. Combin.* 44 (2015) 153–171], *European J. Combin.*, **44** (2015) 172-174.
- [4] R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, V. Leoreanu-fotea, On prime and primary subhypermodules of (m, n) -hypermodules, *European J. Combin.*, **44** (2015) 175-190.
- [5] S.M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili, Canonical (m, n) -hypermodules over Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings, *Iranian J. Math. Sci. Inf.*, **7** (2) (2012) 17–34.
- [6] S.M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Fundamental relation on (m, n) -ary hypermodules over (m, n) -ary hyperrings, *Ars Combin.*, **94** (2010) 273–288.
- [7] Z. Belali, S.M. Anvariye, S. Mirvakili, Free and cyclic canonical (m, n) -ary hypermodules, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **42** (1) (2011) 105–118.
- [8] S. Corsini, Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, *Second edition*, Aviani editor, Italy, (1993).
- [9] S. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of hyperstructure theory, *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 5, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2003).
- [10] B. Davvaz, T. Vougiouklis, n -ary hypergroups, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol.* **30** (A2) (2006) 165-174.
- [11] W. Dorente, Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, *Math. Z.*, **29** (1928) 1-19.

- [12] V. Leoreanu, Canonical n -ary hypergroups, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **24**(2008).
- [13] E. Kasner, An extension of the group concept (reported by L.G. Weld), *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **10** (1904) 290-291.
- [14] M. Krasner, A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, *International J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **6** (1983) 307-312.
- [15] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, *8th Congress Math. Scandenesves, Stockholm*, (1934) 45-49.
- [16] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Constructions of (m, n) -hyperrings, *Matematicki Vesnik*, **67** (1) (2015) 1-16.
- [17] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Relations on Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings, *European J. Combin.*, **31**(2010) 790-802.
- [18] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Applications of the α^* -relation to Krasner hyperrings, *Journal of Algebra*, **362** (2012) 145-156.
- [19] J. Mittas, Hyperstructures canoniques, *Mathematica Balkanica*, **2** (1972) 165-179.
- [20] J. Mittas, Hyperstructures et certaines de leurs proprietes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Ser. A-B, **269** (1969) A623-A626.
- [21] S.,Omid, B., Davvaz, On ordered Krasner hyperrings, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **12** (2) (2017) 35-49.
- [22] S. Omid, B. Davvaz, Contribution to study special kinds of hyperideals in ordered semihyperrings, *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.*, (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtusci.2016.09.001>.
- [23] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi, B. Davvaz, A Note on isomorphism theorems of Krasner (m, n) -hyperrings, *Arabian Journal of Mathematics*, **5** (2016) 103-115.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IMAM KHOMEINI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, QAZVIN, IRAN.

Email address: m.anbarloei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir