

On the average behavior of the Fourier coefficients of j^{th} symmetric power L -function over a certain sequences of positive integers

Anubhav Sharma*

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Hyderabad
P.O, Prof. C.R.Rao Road, Gachibowli
Hyderabad, India
E-mail: 19mmpp02@uohyd.ac.in

Ayyadurai Sankaranarayanan
School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Hyderabad
P.O, Prof. C.R.Rao Road, Gachibowli
Hyderabad, India
E-mail: sank@uohyd.ac.in

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the average behavior of the n^{th} normalized Fourier coefficients of the j^{th} ($j \geq 2$ be any fixed interger) symmetric power L -function (i.e., $L(s, \text{sym}^j f)$), attached to a primitive holomorphic cusp form f of weight k for the full modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ over a certain sequences of positive integers. Precisely, we prove an asymptotic formula with an error term for the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2+a_5^2+a_6^2 \leq x \\ (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^6}} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2),$$

*Corresponding author

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M06, 11F11, 11F30.

Key words and phrases: non-principal Dirichlet character, Hölder's inequality, j^{th} symmetric power L -function, holomorphic cusp form.

where x is sufficiently large, and

$$L(s, \text{sym}^j f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(n)}{n^s}.$$

When $j = 2$, the error term which we obtain, improves the earlier known result.

1 Introduction

Let $L(s, f)$ be the L -function associated with the primitive holomorphic cusp form f of weight k for the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\lambda_f(n)$ be the normalized n^{th} Fourier coefficient of the Fourier expansion of $f(z)$ at the cusp ∞ , i.e.,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} e^{2\pi i n z},$$

where $\Im(z) > 0$. Then the L -function attached to $\lambda_f(n)$ is defined as

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$, where $\lambda_f(n)$ are the eigenvalues of all the Hecke operators T_n .

Let χ be the Dirichlet character modulo N . Then f is known as a modular form of weight k and level N with Nebentypus χ , if

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \chi(d)(cz+d)^k f(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{H}$ (upper half plane) and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$, where $\Gamma_0(N)$ is the congruence subgroup, i.e.,

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

In 1974, Deligne [2] proved that for any prime p there exist complex numbers $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha(p) + \beta(p) = \lambda_f(p),$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad |\alpha(p)| = |\beta(p)| = 1 = \alpha(p)\beta(p).$$

Then $L(s, f)$ can be written as

$$L(s, f) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Also, $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n)$, where $d(n)$ is the divisor function.

The symmetric square L -function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}(n)}{n^s} \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta^2(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$, where $\lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}(n)$ is multiplicative.

Several authors have studied the average behavior of these Fourier coefficients. In 2006, Fomenko [3] was able to prove some results for the symmetric square L -functions. He showed that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 x,$$

and further he could establish that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}^2(n) = cx + O(x^\theta),$$

where $\theta < 1$.

For more related results, see [19], [12], [7] and [13].

In 2013, Zhai [20] proved an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{\substack{a^2+b^2 \leq x \\ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2}} \lambda_f^l(a^2 + b^2),$$

for $x \geq 1$, and $3 \leq l \leq 8$. For results related to mean square and higher moments of the coefficients of symmetric square L -functions on a certain

sequence of positive integers, see [16] and [17].

In an earlier paper [18], we considered the sum

$$S^* := \sum_{\substack{a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2+a_5^2+a_6^2 \leq x \\ (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^6}} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2),$$

for sufficiently large x and established the asymptotic formula:

$$(1.3) \quad S^* = c^* x^3 + O(x^{\frac{14}{5} + \epsilon}),$$

where c^* is an effective constant (see Theorem 1.1 of [18]).

The main aim of this paper is to generalize and improve the result obtained in [18] by using recent celebrated work ([14, 15]) of Newton and Thorne, and better subconvexity bounds for the related L -functions. More precisely, we prove

Theorem 1.1. *Let $j \geq 2$ be any fixed integer. For sufficiently large x , and $\epsilon > 0$ any small constant, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2+a_5^2+a_6^2 \leq x \\ (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^6}} \lambda_{sym^j f}^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2) = c(j)x^3 + O\left(x^{3 - \frac{6}{3(j+1)^2+1} + \epsilon}\right),$$

where $c(j)$ is an effective constant defined by

$$c(j) = \frac{16}{3} L(3, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(1, sym^{2n} f) L(3, sym^{2n} f \otimes \chi) H_j(3),$$

and χ is the non-principal Dirichlet character modulo 4.

Remark. When $j = 2$, Theorem 1.1 gives the error term $O(x^{\frac{39}{14} + \epsilon})$, which improves the error term in (1.3).

2 Preliminaries and some important lemmas

Let $r_k(n) := \#\{(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k : n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_k^2 = n\}$ allowing zeros, distinguishing signs, and order. We will be concerned with the function $r_6(n)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For any positive integer n , we have*

$$(2.1) \quad r_6(n) = 16 \sum_{d|n} \chi(d') d^2 - 4 \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2,$$

where $dd' = n$, and χ is the non-principal Dirichlet character modulo 4, i.e.,

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. See, for instance, Lemma 2.1 of [18]. □

We can reframe (2.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} r_6(n) &= 16 \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) \frac{n^2}{d^2} - 4 \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2 \\ &= 16l(n) - 4v(n) && \text{(say)} \\ &= l_1(n) - v_1(n), \end{aligned}$$

where $l_1(n) = 16l(n)$ and $v_1(n) = 4v(n)$.

Observe that $l(n)$ is a multiplicative function since $\chi(d)$ is completely multiplicative and hence multiplicative and $f(d) = \frac{n^2}{d^2}$ is also completely multiplicative. Therefore, $\chi(d) \frac{n^2}{d^2}$ is multiplicative and if $g(d)$ is any multiplicative function, then $\sum_{d|n} g(d)$ is also multiplicative. Similarly, $v(n)$ is also multiplicative.

Note that,

$$l(p) = p^2 + \chi(p),$$

$$l(p^2) = p^4 + p^2\chi(p) + \chi(p^2),$$

and

$$v(p) = 1 + p^2\chi(p),$$

$$v(p^2) = 1 + p^2\chi(p) + p^4\chi(p^2).$$

Also, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2+a_5^2+a_6^2 \leq x \\ (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^6}} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2) \\
&= \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(n) \sum_{\substack{n=a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2+a_5^2+a_6^2 \\ (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{Z}^6}} 1 \\
&= \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(n) r_6(n) \\
(2.2) \quad &= \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(n) (l_1(n) - v_1(n)) \\
&= 16 \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(n) l(n) - 4 \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^2 f}^2(n) v(n),
\end{aligned}$$

where $l(n) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) \frac{n^2}{d^2}$, and $v(n) = \sum_{d|n} \chi(d) d^2$.

For $j \geq 2$ (an integer), and $\Re(s) > 1$, the j^{th} symmetric power L -function of f is defined as :

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.3) \quad L(s, sym^j f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}(n)}{n^s} \\
&= \prod_p \prod_{i=0}^j \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^{j-i}(p) \beta^i(p)}{p^s} \right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that,

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{sym^j f}(p) = \sum_{m=0}^j \alpha^{j-m}(p) \beta^m(p),$$

Since $\lambda_{sym^j f}(n)$ is a multiplicative function, and $|\lambda_{sym^j f}(n)| \leq d_{j+1}(n)$ (from (1.1), and (1.2)), where $d_{j+1}(n)$ is the number of ways of expressing n as a product of $j+1$ factors), so we can write the Euler product of $L(s, sym^j f)$ as

$$(2.5) \quad \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}(p)}{p^s} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}(p^l)}{p^{ls}} + \dots \right).$$

Comparing (2.3), and (2.5), we get (2.4).

Also, due to Hecke

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(p) = \lambda_f(p^j).$$

Further, note that

$$(2.7) \quad \lambda_f^2(p^j) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_f(p^{2l}),$$

since,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_f^2(p^j) &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^j \alpha^{j-m}(p) \beta^m(p) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^j \alpha^{j-m}(p) \beta^m(p) \right) \left(\sum_{m'=0}^j \alpha^{j-m'}(p) \beta^{m'}(p) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{m'=0}^j \left(\alpha^{2j-(m+m')}(p) \right) \left(\beta^{(m+m')}(p) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(We put $m + m' = t$. Observe that for every fixed integer t in the interval $[0, 2j]$ and for every fixed integer m in the interval $[0, j]$, there is a unique integer m' in the interval $[0, j]$ satisfying $m + m' = t$ and thus,)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{l=0}^j \left(\sum_{t=0}^{2l} \alpha^{2j-t}(p) \beta^t(p) \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \left(\sum_{t=0}^{2l} \alpha^{2j-t}(p) \beta^t(p) \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_f(p^{2l}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be a normalized primitive holomorphic cusp form of weight k for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and let $\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(n)$ be the n^{th} normalized Fourier co-*

efficient of the j^{th} symmetric power L -function associated to f . If

$$F_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n)l(n)}{n^s},$$

for $\Re(s) > 3$, then

$$F_j(s) = G_j(s)H_j(s),$$

where

$$G_j(s) := \zeta(s-2)L(s, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f)L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi),$$

and χ is the non-principal character modulo 4.

Here, $H_j(s)$ is a Dirichlet series which converges uniformly, and absolutely in the half plane $\Re(s) > \frac{5}{2}$, and $H_j(s) \neq 0$ on $\Re(s) = 3$.

Proof. We observe that $\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n)l(n)$ is multiplicative and hence

$$(2.8) \quad F_j(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p)l(p)}{p^s} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p^m)l(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \dots \right).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}^2(p)l(p) &= \lambda_f^2(p^j) (p^2 + \chi(p)) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_f(p^{2l}) \right) (p^2 + \chi(p)) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p) \right) (p^2 + \chi(p)) \\ &= p^2 + \chi(p) + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p)p^2 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p)\chi(p) \\ &=: b(p). \end{aligned} \tag{say}$$

From the structure of $b(p)$, we define the coefficients $b(n)$ as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s-2)L(s, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f)L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi),$$

which is absolutely convergent in $\Re(s) > 3$. We also note that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{b(p)}{p^s} + \cdots + \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right) \\
 &= \zeta(s-2)L(s, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f) L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi) \\
 &= G_j(s), \tag{say}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(s) > 3$. Observe that $b(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{2+\epsilon}$ for any small positive constant ϵ .

Now, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{b(p)}{p^s} + \frac{b(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots + \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right| &\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(2+\epsilon)m}}{p^{m\sigma}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(2+\epsilon)m}}{p^{(3+2\epsilon)m}} \quad (\text{in } \Re(s) \geq 3 + 2\epsilon) \\
 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{(1+\epsilon)m}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^{1+\epsilon}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\epsilon}}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^{1+\epsilon} - 1} \\
 &< 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$A = \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p)l(p)}{p^s} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p^m)l(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots,$$

and

$$B = \frac{b(p)}{p^s} + \cdots + \frac{b(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots.$$

From the above calculations, we observe that $|B| < 1$ in $\Re(s) \geq 3 + 2\epsilon$.

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+A}{1+B} &= (1+A)(1-B+B^2-B^3+\cdots) && (\text{in } \Re(s) \geq 3+2\epsilon) \\ &= 1+A-B-AB+\text{higher terms} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p^2)l(p^2) - b(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots + \frac{c_m(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

with $c_m(n) \ll_\epsilon n^{2+\epsilon}$. So,

$$\prod_p \left(\frac{1+A}{1+B} \right) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p^2)l(p^2) - b(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots + \frac{c_m(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right) \ll_\epsilon 1. \quad (\text{in } \Re(s) > \frac{5}{2})$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} H_j(s) &:= \frac{F_j(s)}{G_j(s)} \\ &= \prod_p \left(\frac{1+A}{1+B} \right) \\ &\ll_\epsilon 1, \quad (\text{in } \Re(s) > \frac{5}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and also $H_j(s) \neq 0$ on $\Re(s) = 3$.

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let f be a normalized primitive holomorphic cusp form of weight k for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and let $\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}(n)$ be the n^{th} normalized Fourier coefficient of the j^{th} symmetric power L -function associated to f . If*

$$\tilde{F}_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n)v(n)}{n^s},$$

for $\Re(s) > 3$, then

$$\tilde{F}_j(s) = \tilde{G}_j(s)\tilde{H}_j(s),$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_2(s) := \zeta(s)L(s-2, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f)L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi),$$

and χ is the non-principal character modulo 4.

Here $\tilde{H}_j(s)$ is a Dirichlet series which converges uniformly, and absolutely in the half plane $\Re(s) > \frac{5}{2}$, and $\tilde{H}_j(s) \neq 0$ on $\Re(s) = 3$.

Proof. We observe that $\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n)v(n)$ is multiplicative, and hence

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{F}_2(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p)v(p)}{p^s} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(p^m)v(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{sym}^2 f}^2(p)v(p) &= \lambda_f^2(p^j) (1 + p^2\chi(p)) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_f(p^{2l}) \right) (1 + p^2\chi(p)) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p) \right) (1 + p^2\chi(p)) \\ &= 1 + p^2\chi(p) + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p) + \sum_{l=1}^j \lambda_{\text{sym}^{2l} f}(p)p^2\chi(p) \\ &=: h(p). \end{aligned} \tag{say}$$

From the structure of $h(p)$, we define the coefficients $h(n)$ as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)L(s-2, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f)L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi),$$

which is absolutely convergent in $\Re(s) > 3$. We also note that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_p \left(1 + \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \cdots + \frac{h(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \zeta(s)L(s-2, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(s, \text{sym}^{2n} f)L(s-2, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi) \\ &= \tilde{G}_2(s), \end{aligned} \tag{say}$$

for $\Re(s) > 3$. Observe that $h(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{2+\epsilon}$ for any small positive constant ϵ .

Now, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \frac{h(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \cdots + \frac{h(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \cdots \right| &\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(2+\epsilon)m}}{p^{m\sigma}} \\ &< 1. \end{aligned} \tag{in $\Re(s) \geq 3 + 2\epsilon$ }$$

Let us write,

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}^2(p)v(p)}{p^s} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}^2(p^m)v(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \dots,$$

and

$$\tilde{B} = \frac{h(p)}{p^s} + \dots + \frac{h(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \dots.$$

From the above calculations, we observe that $|\tilde{B}| < 1$ in $\Re(s) \geq 3 + 2\epsilon$.

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + \tilde{A}}{1 + \tilde{B}} &= (1 + \tilde{A})(1 - \tilde{B} + \tilde{B}^2 - \tilde{B}^3 + \dots) && (\text{in } \Re(s) \geq 3 + 2\epsilon) \\ &= 1 + \tilde{A} - \tilde{B} - \tilde{A}\tilde{B} + \text{higher terms} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}^2(p^2)v(p^2) - h(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots + \frac{\tilde{c}_m(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{c}_m(n) \ll_\epsilon n^{2+\epsilon}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_p \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{A}}{1 + \tilde{B}} \right) &= \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{sym^j f}^2(p^2)v(p^2) - h(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots + \frac{\tilde{c}_m(p^m)}{p^{ms}} + \dots \right) \\ &\ll_\epsilon 1. && (\text{in } \Re(s) > \frac{5}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_j(s) &:= \frac{\tilde{F}_j(s)}{\tilde{G}_j(s)} \\ &= \prod_p \left(\frac{1 + \tilde{A}}{1 + \tilde{B}} \right) \\ &\ll_\epsilon 1, && (\text{in } \Re(s) > \frac{5}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

and also $\tilde{H}_j(s) \neq 0$ on $\Re(s) = 3$. □

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \int_1^T \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, f\right) \right|^6 dt \ll T^{2+\epsilon},$$

uniformly for $T \geq 1$, and

$$(2.11) \quad L(\sigma + it) \ll_\epsilon (1 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{3}(1+\epsilon-\sigma)+\epsilon},$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$, and $|t| \geq t_0$ (where t_0 is sufficiently large).

Proof. Proof of (2.10) is given by Jutila, for instance, see [11] and using maximum-modulus principle in a suitable rectangle, we get (2.11), for instance, see [4]. \square

Lemma 2.5. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \int_1^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{12} dt \ll T^{2+\epsilon},$$

uniformly for $T \geq 1$, and

$$(2.13) \quad \zeta(\sigma + it) \ll_{\epsilon} (1 + |t|)^{\max\{\frac{13}{42}(1-\sigma), 0\} + \epsilon},$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$, and $|t| \geq 1$.

Proof. For the proof of (2.12), see [8] and (2.13) is due to Bourgain, for instance, see [1]. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let χ be a primitive character modulo q and $\mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^d(s, \chi)$ be a general L -function of degree $2A$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(2.14) \quad \int_T^{2T} \left| \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^d(\sigma + it, \chi) \right|^2 dt \ll (qT)^{2A(1-\sigma) + \epsilon},$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$, and $T \geq 1$. Also,

$$(2.15) \quad \mathfrak{L}_{m,n}^d(\sigma + it, \chi) \ll (q(1 + |t|))^{\max\{A(1-\sigma), 0\} + \epsilon},$$

uniformly for $-\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$.

Proof. For the proof of (2.14) and (2.15), see [10]. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

From (2.2), we can write

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) r_6(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) l_1(n) - \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v_1(n).$$

Firstly, we consider the sum $\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) l_1(n)$. We begin by applying the Perron's formula (see chapter 2.4 of [5]) to $F_j(s)$ with $\eta = 3 + \epsilon$, and

$10 \leq T \leq x$. Thus we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^j f}^2(n) l_1(n) &= 16 \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^j f}^2(n) l(n) \\ &= \frac{16}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-iT}^{\eta+iT} F_j(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We move the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{5}{2} + \epsilon$, and by Cauchy's residue theorem there is only one simple pole at $s = 3$, coming from the factor $\zeta(s-2)$. This contributes a residue, which is $c(j)x^3$, where $c(j)$ is an effective constant depending on the values of various L -functions appearing in $G_j(s)$ at $s = 3$.

More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} c(j) &= 16 \lim_{s \rightarrow 3} (s-3) \frac{F_j(s)}{s} \\ &= \frac{16}{3} L(3, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(1, sym^{2n} f) L(3, sym^{2n} f \otimes \chi) H_j(3). \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{sym^j f}^2(n) l_1(n) &= c(j)x^3 + \frac{16}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT} + \int_{3+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT} + \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT}^{3+\epsilon+iT} \right\} F_j(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right) \\ &= c(j)x^3 + \frac{16}{2\pi i} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3) + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{say}$$

Contribution of horizontal line integrals (J_2 and J_3) in absolute value

(using Lemmas 2.2, 2.5 and 2.6) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}^{3+\epsilon} \frac{|\zeta(\sigma-2+iT) \prod_{n=1}^j L(\sigma-2+iT, \text{sym}^{2n} f)|}{T} x^\sigma d\sigma \\
&\ll \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{|\zeta(\sigma+iT) \prod_{n=1}^j L(\sigma+iT, \text{sym}^{2n} f)|}{T} x^{\sigma+2} d\sigma \\
&\ll \left(\frac{x^2}{T}\right) \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} x^\sigma T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{4}{21}\right)(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \\
&\ll \left(\frac{x^{2+2\epsilon}}{T}\right) \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{4}{21}\right)}}\right)^\sigma T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{4}{21}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\left(\frac{x}{T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{4}{21}\right)}}\right)^\sigma$ is monotonic as a function of σ for $\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon$, and hence the maximum is attained at the extremities of the interval $[\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon, 1+\epsilon]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll x^{2+2\epsilon} \left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{2}{21}-1\right)} + \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \right) \\
&\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+3\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{23}{21}+\epsilon\right)} + \frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}.
\end{aligned}$$

Contribution of the left vertical line integral (J_1) in absolute value (using Lemmas 2.2, 2.5, 2.6 and Hölder's inequality) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT} \frac{\left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right) \prod_{n=1}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f\right) \right|}{\left| \frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+it \right|} x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} dt \\
&\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} + x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right) \right|^{12} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^2 f\right) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \max_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \prod_{n=2}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f\right) \right|^{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \prod_{n=2}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f\right) \right|^2 dt \right) \right\}^{\frac{5}{12}} \\
&\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} + x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} \left(T^{-1+2 \cdot \frac{1}{12} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + ((j+1)^2 - 4) \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{12} \right) + ((j+1)^2 - 4) \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{12} \right)} \right) \\
&\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4} - \frac{13}{12} + \epsilon \right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $10 \leq T \leq x$. Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) l_1(n) = c(j) x^3 + O\left(x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4} - \frac{13}{12} + \epsilon\right)}\right) + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right).$$

We choose T such that $x^{\frac{5}{2}} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4} - \frac{13}{12}\right)} \asymp \frac{x^3}{T}$ i.e., $T^{\left(\frac{3(j+1)^2-1}{12}\right)} \asymp x^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Therefore, $T \asymp x^{\frac{6}{3(j+1)^2-1}}$.

Thus, we get

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) l_1(n) = c(j) x^3 + O\left(x^{3 - \frac{6}{3(j+1)^2-1} + 3\epsilon}\right).$$

Similarly, we apply the Perron's formula (see chapter 2.4 of [5]) to $\tilde{F}_j(s)$

with $\eta = 3 + \epsilon$, and $10 \leq T \leq x$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v_1(n) &= 4 \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v(n) \\ &= \frac{4}{2\pi i} \int_{\eta-iT}^{\eta+iT} \tilde{F}_j(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We move the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{5}{2} + \epsilon$. Note that, there is no singularity in the rectangle obtained, and the function $\tilde{F}_j(s) \frac{x^s}{s}$ is analytic in this region. Thus, using Cauchy's theorem for rectangle pertaining to analytic functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v_1(n) &= \frac{4}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT} + \int_{3+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT} + \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT}^{3+\epsilon+iT} \right\} \tilde{F}_j(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{2\pi i} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3) + O\left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{say})$$

Contribution of horizontal line integrals (J_2 and J_3) in absolute value (using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon}^{3+\epsilon} \frac{|L(\sigma-2+iT) \prod_{n=1}^j L(\sigma-2+iT, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi)|}{T} x^\sigma d\sigma \\ &\ll \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{|L(\sigma+iT) \prod_{n=1}^j L(\sigma+iT, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi)|}{T} x^{\sigma+2} d\sigma \\ &\ll \left(\frac{x^2}{T}\right) \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} x^\sigma T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)(1-\sigma)+\epsilon} \\ &\ll \left(\frac{x^{2+2\epsilon}}{T}\right) \max_{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1+\epsilon} \left(\frac{x}{T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)}}\right)^\sigma T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\left(\frac{x}{T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{2}-\frac{1}{6}\right)}}\right)^\sigma$ is monotonic as a function of σ for $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \epsilon$, and hence the maximum is attained at the extremities of the interval $[\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll x^{2+2\epsilon} \left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{1}{12}-1+\epsilon\right)} + \frac{x^{1+\epsilon}}{T} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+3\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{13}{12}+\epsilon\right)} + \frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Contribution of the left vertical line integral (J_1) in absolute value (using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, 2.6 and Hölder's inequality) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \int_{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon-iT}^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+iT} \frac{\left| L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right) \prod_{n=1}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi\right) \right|}{\left| \frac{5}{2}+\epsilon+it \right|} x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} dt \\ &\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} + x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it\right) \right|^6 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^2 f \otimes \chi\right) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \max_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \prod_{n=2}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi\right) \right| \left(\int_{10 \leq |t| \leq T} \left| \prod_{n=2}^j L\left(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon+it, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi\right) \right|^2 dt \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} + x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} \left(T^{-1+2 \cdot \frac{1}{6}+3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + ((j+1)^2-4) \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right) + ((j+1)^2-4) \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right)} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{11}{12}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $10 \leq T \leq x$. Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v_1(n) = O \left(x^{\frac{5}{2}+\epsilon} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{11}{12}\right)} \right) + O \left(\frac{x^{3+3\epsilon}}{T} \right).$$

We choose T such that $x^{\frac{5}{2}} T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}-\frac{11}{12}\right)} \asymp \frac{x^3}{T}$ i.e., $T^{\left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{4}+\frac{1}{12}\right)} \asymp x^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Therefore, $T \asymp x^{\frac{6}{3(j+1)^2+1}}$.

Thus, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) v_1(n) = O\left(x^{3 - \frac{6}{3(j+1)^2+1} + \epsilon}\right).$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda_{\text{sym}^j f}^2(n) r_6(n) = c(j)x^3 + O\left(x^{3 - \frac{6}{3(j+1)^2+1} + \epsilon}\right),$$

where $c(j)$ is an effective constant given by

$$c(j) = \frac{16}{3} L(3, \chi) \prod_{n=1}^j L(1, \text{sym}^{2n} f) L(3, \text{sym}^{2n} f \otimes \chi) H_j(3),$$

and χ is the non-principal Dirichlet character modulo 4.

This proves the theorem.

Concluding Remarks: Note that we have the expected upper bounds, namely,

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \zeta\left(\frac{5}{7} + it\right) \right|^{12} dt \ll T^{1+\epsilon}$$

and

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| L\left(\frac{5}{8} + it, f\right) \right|^4 dt \ll T^{1+\epsilon},$$

uniformly for $T \geq 1$ (see [9, 4]). Even if we move the line of integration to $\Re(s) = \frac{5}{7}$ and $\Re(s) = \frac{5}{8}$ pertaining to $l_1(n)$ and $v_1(n)$ respectively, and using the arguments of this paper, we end up with the same error term as stated in the Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

The first author, Anubhav Sharma, wishes to express his gratitude to the University of Hyderabad for its financial support and IoE's performance based publication incentive towards his Ph.D Program.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *Decoupling, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 30(1) (2017), 205-224.

- [2] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. I*, Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques 43(1) (1974), 273-307.
- [3] O. M. Fomenko, *Identities Involving Coefficients of Automorphic L-Functions*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences (2006) 133(6), 1749-1755.
- [4] A. Good, *The square mean of Dirichlet series associated with cusp forms*, Mathematika 29(2) (1982), 278-295.
- [5] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan, *Multiplicative number theory: The pretentious approach*, Book manuscript in preparation 12 (2014).
- [6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford university press (1979).
- [7] X. He, *Integral power sums of Fourier coefficients of symmetric square L-functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 147(7) (2019), 2847-2856.
- [8] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The twelfth power moment of the Riemann-function*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 29(4) (1978), 443-462.
- [9] A. Ivic, *Exponent pairs and the zeta function of Riemann*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar 15(1-3) (1980), 157-181.
- [10] Y. Jiang and G. Lü, *On the higher mean over arithmetic progressions of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Acta Arithmetica 3(166) (2014), 231-252.
- [11] M. Jutila and Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Bombay), *Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums*, 80 (1987).
- [12] H. Lao, *On the fourth moment of coefficients of symmetric square L-function*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics Series B 33(6) (2012), 877-888.
- [13] Y. K. Lau, and G. Lü, *Sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 62(3) (2011), 687-716.

- [14] J. Newton, and J. A. Thorne, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS 134(1) (2021), 1-116.
- [15] J. Newton, and J. A. Thorne, *Symmetric power functoriality for holomorphic modular forms, II*, Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS 134(1) (2021), 117–152.
- [16] A. Sharma and A. Sankaranarayanan, *Discrete mean square of the coefficients of symmetric square L -functions on certain sequence of positive numbers*, Research in Number Theory 8(1) (2022), 1-13.
- [17] A. Sharma and A. Sankaranarayanan, *Higher moments of the Fourier coefficients of symmetric square L -functions on certain sequence*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2 (2022), 1-18.
- [18] A. Sharma and A. Sankaranarayanan, *Average behavior of the Fourier coefficients of symmetric square L -function over some sequence of integers*, Integers (Accepted).
- [19] H. Tang, *Estimates for the Fourier coefficients of symmetric square L -functions*, Archiv der Mathematik 100(2) (2013), 123-130.
- [20] S. Zhai, *Average behavior of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms over sum of two squares*, Journal of Number Theory 133(11) (2013), 3862-3876.