

# Existence and boundary behaviour of radial solutions for weighted elliptic systems with gradient terms

Daniel Devine\*   Gurpreet Singh†

## Abstract

We are concerned with the existence and boundary behaviour of positive radial solutions for the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = |x|^a v^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = |x|^b v^q f(|\nabla u|) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is either a ball centered at the origin or the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a, b, p, q > 0$ , and  $f \in C^1[0, \infty)$  is an increasing function such that  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . Firstly, we study the existence of positive radial solutions in case when the system is posed in a ball corresponding to their behaviour at the boundary. Next, we take  $f(t) = t^s$ ,  $s > 1$ ,  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  and by the use of dynamical system techniques we are able to describe the behaviour at infinity of such positive radial solutions.

**Keywords:** radial solutions, elliptic systems, nonlinear gradient terms, dynamical systems

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the positive radial solutions for the weighted semilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = |x|^a v^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = |x|^b v^q f(|\nabla u|) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is either a ball centered at the origin with radius  $R > 0$  or the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $a, b, p, q > 0$  and  $f \in C^1[0, \infty)$  is an increasing function such that  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ . We start with the assumption that  $u$  and  $v$  are positive radially symmetric solutions of (1). There is no prior condition at the boundary for  $u$  and  $v$ , but it will be required as we move

---

\*School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin; [dadevine@tcd.ie](mailto:dadevine@tcd.ie)

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Ireland; [gurpreet.bajwa2506@gmail.com](mailto:gurpreet.bajwa2506@gmail.com)

forward in our analysis as we are concerned with the classification of all the solutions of (1). In [25], Singh had studied the system (1) in case when  $a = b = q = 0$ , that is, the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = v^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = f(|\nabla u|) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The author considered the system (2) both in case of a ball centered at the origin with positive radius and in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and classified all the positive radial solutions of (2) together with the behaviour of solutions at the boundary. In case  $\Omega$  is a ball, system (2) with  $p = 1$  and  $f(t) = t^2$ , that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = |\nabla u|^2 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

was first studied by Diaz, Lazzo, and Schmidt in [5]. This special choice of  $p$  and function  $f$  appears in the study of the dynamics of viscous, heat-conducting fluid. In [5], the authors obtained that the system (3) has one positive solution which blows up at the boundary and the authors also observed that in case of small dimensions ( $N \leq 9$ ), there exists one sign-changing solution which also blows up at the boundary. In [6, 7], Diaz, Rakotoson, and Schmidt extended these results to time dependent systems.

The problems related to boundary blow-up solutions can be linked back to atleast a century ago, for instance, Bieberbach [3] in 1916 studied the boundary blow-up solutions for the equation  $\Delta u = e^u$  in a planar domain. From that point of time onwards, there has been many new techniques which have been devised to tackle such solutions ( see [12, 13, 24]). In the last few decades, semilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient terms have been studied extensively for such boundary blow-up solutions ( see [1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 19] ).

In [10], Ghergu, Giacomoni and Singh studied the following more general quasilinear elliptic system with nonlinear gradient terms

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u = v^m |\nabla u|^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Delta_p v = v^\beta |\nabla u|^q & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

in which the authors classified all the positive radial solutions in case  $\Omega$  is a ball and also obtained the behaviour at infinity of such solutions.

We first consider the case when  $\Omega = B_R$  is a ball of radius  $R > 0$  and centered at the origin. We obtained that in this case the system (1) has positive radially symmetric solutions  $(u, v)$  such that  $u$  or  $v$  ( or both ) blow up around  $\partial\Omega$  if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\left( \int_0^s F(t) dt \right)^{p/(2p-q+1)}} < \infty \quad \text{where } F(t) = \int_0^t f(k) dk. \quad (5)$$

We also study the full classification of positive radially symmetric solutions in case the system is posed in a ball. The condition (5) is similar to optimal conditions obtained by

Keller [18] and Osserman [20] in 1950s while studying the existence of a solution to the boundary blow-up problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \infty & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain and  $f \in C^1[0, \infty)$  is a nonnegative increasing function. The authors obtained that (6) has  $C^2(\Omega)$  solutions if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{F(s)}} < \infty \quad \text{where } F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt. \quad (7)$$

Equation (7) has also been seen in various other circumstances as it is related to the maximum principle for nonlinear elliptic inequalities. For example, if  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  is nonnegative and satisfies  $\Delta u \leq f(u)$  in  $\Omega$ , then, if  $u$  vanishes at a point in  $\Omega$ , it must vanish everywhere in  $\Omega$ . For various extensions of this result, one could refer to Vazquez [26] and to Pucci, Serrin and Zou [21, 22, 23]. Next, if  $f(t) = t^s$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , then in this case we are able to find the exact rate at which the solution  $(u, v)$  blows up at the infinity. Here, we have used the dynamical system techniques for cooperative systems with negative divergence to find out the exact blow up rate at infinity.

## 2 Main Results

First, we consider the system (1) in case where  $\Omega = B_R$ , that is, we study the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = |x|^a v^p & \text{in } B_R, \\ \Delta v = |x|^b v^q f(|\nabla u|) & \text{in } B_R, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$  is an open ball of radius  $R > 0$  centred at the origin,  $a, b, p, q > 0$  and  $f \in C^1[0, \infty)$  is an increasing function such that  $f(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

In this paper, sometimes system (8) has one of the following boundary conditions:

- either  $u$  and  $v$  are bounded in  $B_R$  ;

- or

$$u \text{ is bounded in } B_R \text{ and } \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty;$$

- or

$$\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} u(x) = \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty.$$

We note that by (1), the boundary condition  $\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} u(x) = \infty$  and  $v$  is bounded is not possible. Throughout this paper,  $C$  denotes some positive constant which may vary from line to line, or within the same line, and  $r$  will often be used in place of  $|x|$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let us suppose that  $(u, v)$  is a positive radial solution of (8). Then, we have*

(i) Both  $u$  and  $v$  are bounded if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{p/(2p-q+1)}} = \infty. \quad (9)$$

(ii)  $u$  is bounded and  $\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(r) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{s ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{p/(2p-q+1)}} < \infty. \quad (10)$$

(iii)  $\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} u(x) = \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{s ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} = \infty. \quad (11)$$

If  $f(t) = t^s$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , then theorem 2.1 gives the following result:

**Corollary 1.** Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = |x|^a v^p & \text{in } B_R, \\ \Delta v = |x|^b v^q |\nabla u|^s & \text{in } B_R. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

We have:

(i) All positive radial solutions to (12) are bounded if and only if

$$ps + q - 1 \leq 0.$$

(ii) There exists positive radial solutions to (12) satisfying  $u$  bounded and  $\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(r) = \infty$  if and only if

$$s > 2 \left(1 + \frac{1-q}{p}\right).$$

(iii) There exists positive radial solutions to (12) satisfying  $\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} u(x) = \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\frac{1-q}{p} < s \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1-q}{p}\right).$$

Now, we study the system (12) in the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , that is,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = |x|^a v^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \Delta v = |x|^b v^q |\nabla u|^s & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where  $a, b, p, q > 0$  and  $s \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** System (13) has positive radial solutions if and only if

$$ps + q - 1 \leq 0. \quad (14)$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Assume  $p < 1$  and  $ps + q < 1$ . Let  $(u, v)$  be a positive radially symmetric solution of (13). If

$$\frac{p(s-2)(s+as+b+2)}{1-ps-q} \leq 2(N+a-1), \quad (15)$$

then

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{\frac{(a+2)(1-ps-q)+ps(a+1)+bp+2q}{1-ps-q}}} = \frac{(AB^s K)^{\frac{p}{ps+q-1}}}{DK}$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(x)}{|x|^{\frac{(a+1)s+b+2}{1-ps-q}}} = (AB^s K)^{\frac{1}{ps+q-1}},$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2 + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q}, \\ B &= N+a+p \left( 2 + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q} \right), \\ K &= N + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q}, \\ D &= 2+a+p \left( 2 + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Notes on dynamical systems

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  be any two points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then for  $x_i \leq y_i$  we write

$$x \leq y$$

where  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , we write  $x < y$ .

Also, the open ordered interval is defined as

$$[[x, y]] = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x < z < y\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \xi_t = h(\xi) & \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \xi(0) = \xi_0, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where  $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function. This implies that there exists a unique solution  $\xi$  of (16) defined in a maximal time interval for any  $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $\varphi(\cdot, \xi_0)$  denotes the flow associated to (16), that is,  $t \mapsto \varphi(t, \xi_0)$  is the unique solution of (16) defined in a maximal time interval. Let us suppose that the vector field  $h$  is cooperative, that is

$$\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial x_j} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \quad i \neq j.$$

Next, follow the results due to Hirsch [15, 16].

**Theorem 3.1.** (see [16, Theorem 1]) *Any compact limit set of (16) contains an equilibrium or is a cycle.*

**Definition.** *A finite sequence of equilibria  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n = \zeta_1$ , ( $n \geq 2$ ) is known as a circuit such that  $W^u(\xi_i) \cap W^s(\xi_{i+1})$  is non-empty. Here,  $W^u$  and  $W^s$  represents the stable and unstable manifolds respectively.*

**Note:** There is no circuit in case all the equilibria are hyperbolic and also their stable and unstable manifolds are mutually transverse.

**Theorem 3.2.** (see [16, Theorem 2]). *Let us assume that  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a compact set such that:*

- (i) *There is no circuit and all the equilibria in  $L$  are hyperbolic.*
- (ii) *The number of cycles in  $L$  which have period less than or equal to  $T$  is finite, where  $T > 0$ .*

*Then:*

- (a) *Every limit set in  $L$  is an equilibrium or cycle.*
- (b)  *$L$  has finite number of cycles.*

**Theorem 3.3.** (see [15, Theorem 7]) *Assume that  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\xi_1 < \xi_2$ . Further, if*

$$\operatorname{div} h < 0 \quad \text{in } [[\xi_1, \xi_2]],$$

*then there are no cycles of (16) in  $[[\xi_1, \xi_2]]$ .*

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We divide our proof into the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(u, v)$  be a positive radial solution of (8). Then*

$$\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty$$

*if and only if*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* First, assume that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} v(r) = \infty$ . System (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} (u'(r)r^{N-1})' = r^{N+a-1}v^p(r), \\ (v'(r)r^{N-1})' = r^{N+b-1}v^q(r)f(|\nabla u|), \\ u'(0) = v'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

An integration over  $(0, r)$ ,  $0 < r < R$ , then gives us

$$\begin{cases} u'(r) = r^{1-N} \int_0^t t^{N+a-1} v^p(t) dt, \\ v'(r) = r^{1-N} \int_0^t t^{N+b-1} v^q(t) f(|\nabla u|) dt, \end{cases}$$

from which it follows that  $u$  and  $v$  are increasing in  $(0, R)$ . We can thus take the first integral equation above and estimate  $u'$  as

$$u'(r) \leq r^{1-N} v^p(r) \int_0^r t^{N+a-1} dt = \frac{r^{a+1} v^p(r)}{N+a}$$

so from (1) we have that

$$r^a v^p(r) \leq u''(r) + \frac{N-1}{r} \cdot \frac{r^{a+1} v^p(r)}{N+a},$$

which further implies,

$$\frac{1+a}{N+a} r^a v^p(r) \leq u''(r).$$

Similarly we have

$$v'(r) \leq \frac{r^b v^q(r) f(u'(r))}{N+b},$$

from which it follows

$$\frac{1+b}{N+b} r^b v^q(r) f(u'(r)) \leq v''(r).$$

We thus have the following two estimates for all  $0 < r < R$ , which will be important as we proceed:

$$\frac{1+a}{N+a}r^av^p(r) \leq u'' \leq r^av^p(r), \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1+b}{N+b}r^bv^q(r)f(u'(r)) \leq v'' \leq r^bv^q(r)f(u'(r)). \quad (18)$$

Now, where  $w = u'$ , we multiply the right inequality in (18) by  $v'$  and integrate over  $[0, r]$  to find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v'(r)^2}{2} &\leq \int_0^r t^bv^q(t)v'(t)f(w(t))dt \\ &\leq r^bf(w(r)) \int_0^r \left( \frac{v^{q+1}(t)}{q+1} \right)' dt \\ &\leq Cf(w(r))v^{q+1}(r) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < R, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

from which it follows

$$v^{-\frac{q+1}{2}}(r)v'(r) \leq C\sqrt{f(w(r))}. \quad (20)$$

Now, fix  $0 < r_0 < R$ . From (17), we see that for all  $r > r_0$  we have

$$v^p(r) \leq Cw'(r)$$

and multiplying this inequality by (20) we obtain

$$v^{p-\frac{q+1}{2}}(r)v'(r) \leq C\sqrt{f(w(r))}w'(r).$$

Integrating both sides of this equation over  $(r_0, r)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} v^{p+\frac{1-q}{2}}(r) - v^{p+\frac{1-q}{2}}(r_0) &\leq C \int_{r_0}^r w'(t)\sqrt{f(w(t))}dt \\ &\leq C \int_{w(r_0)>0}^{w(r)} \sqrt{f(t)}dt \\ &\leq C \int_{w(0)=0}^{w(r)} \sqrt{f(t)}dt \quad \text{for all } r > r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} v(r) = \infty$ , we have that there exists  $\rho < R$  such that

$$v^{p+\frac{1-q}{2}}(r) = (v^p(r))^{\frac{2p-q+1}{2p}} \leq C \int_0^{w(r)} \sqrt{f(t)}dt \quad \text{for all } \rho < r.$$

Let  $\tilde{r} = \max\{r_0, \rho\}$ . Using (17) again we see

$$(w'(r))^{\frac{2p-q+1}{2p}} \leq C \int_0^{w(r)} \sqrt{f(t)}dt \quad \text{for all } \tilde{r} < r,$$



from which it follows that

$$\frac{w'(r)}{\left(\int_0^{w(r)} \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq C \quad \text{for all } \tilde{r} < r.$$

We can integrate both sides of this expression over  $(\tilde{r}, r)$  to find

$$\int_{\tilde{r}}^r \frac{w'(t) dt}{\left(\int_0^{w(t)} \sqrt{f(s)} ds\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq C \int_{\tilde{r}}^r dt$$

or, after a change of variables

$$\int_{w(\tilde{r})}^{w(r)} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq C(r - \tilde{r}) \leq Cr.$$

Letting  $r \rightarrow R$ , we see

$$\int_{w(\tilde{r})}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq CR < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty. \quad (21)$$

A minor adjustment of Lemma 4.1 in [25] gives us that (21) is equivalent to

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty. \quad (22)$$

Assume now that (22) holds. We see that (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = u(0) + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \left( \int_0^t s^a v^p(s) ds \right) dt, & r > 0, \\ v(r) = v(0) + \int_0^r t^{1-N} \left( \int_0^t s^b v^q(s) f(|u'(s)|) ds \right) dt, & r > 0, \\ u(0) > 0, \quad v(0) > 0. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Using a contraction mapping argument, we can show that system (23) has a solution  $(u, v)$  defined on some maximum interval  $[0, R_0)$ . Now, fix  $\rho \in (0, R_0)$ , and, recalling (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(w(r)) &\leq Cv^{-q}(r)v''(r) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0, \\ w'(r) &\leq Cv^p(r) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Multiplying the two inequalities in (24) and the integrating over  $[\rho, r]$  we find

$$F(w(r)) - F(w(\rho)) \leq Cv^{p-q}(r)v'(r),$$

which we can express as

$$F(w(r)) \leq Cv^{p-q}(r)v'(r).$$

Using (24) again, we see that the above becomes

$$w'(r)F(w(r)) \leq Cv^{2p-q}(r)v'(r) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0.$$

Define

$$G(r) := \int_{\rho}^r F(t)dt \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} G(w(r)) &= \int_{\rho}^{w(r)} F(t)dt \leq C \int_{\rho}^r v^{2p-q}(t)v'(t)dt \\ &\leq C[v^p(r)]^{\frac{2p-q+1}{p}} \\ &\leq C[w'(r)]^{\frac{2p-q+1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$C \leq \frac{w'(r)}{G(w(r))^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R_0.$$

Integrating the above over  $[\rho, r]$  gives

$$C(r - \rho) \leq \int_{\rho}^r \frac{w'(t)}{G(w(t))^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} dt = \int_{w(\rho)}^{w(r)} \frac{dt}{G(t)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}}$$

Now, letting  $r \rightarrow R_0$  we see that

$$C(R_0 - \rho) \leq C \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{G(t)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}}$$

from which it follows that

$$R_0 \leq C \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty.$$

We have obtained a positive radial solution  $(u, v)$  of (1) in  $B_{R_0}$  satisfying  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R_0} v(r) = \infty$ . Now, if  $R > 0$  is any arbitrary radius, we set

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \lambda^{b + \frac{a(1-q)}{p} + 2(1 + \frac{1-q}{p})} f\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

By the above, we know there exists  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{u} = r^a \tilde{v}^p & \text{in } B_{R_0}, \\ \Delta \tilde{v} = r^b \tilde{v}^q \tilde{f}(|\nabla \tilde{u}|) & \text{in } B_{R_0}, \end{cases}$$

where  $B_{R_0}$  is a maximum ball of existence. Let

$$\begin{cases} u(r) = \tilde{u}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) & \text{in } B_R, \\ v(r) = \lambda^{-\frac{a+2}{p}} \tilde{v}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) & \text{in } B_R. \end{cases}$$

Taking  $\lambda = \frac{R}{R_0}$  we see that  $(u, v)$  is a solution to (1) in  $B_R$ . □

**Lemma 2.** *System (8) has a positive radial solution satisfying*

$$u \text{ is bounded in } B_R \text{ and } \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty \quad (25)$$

if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty.$$

Also, system (8) has a positive radial solution satisfying

$$\lim_{|x| \nearrow R} u(x) = \lim_{|x| \nearrow R} v(x) = \infty \quad (26)$$

if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove this for a solution satisfying (25), so assume  $(u, v)$  is a solution to (1) satisfying (25). From Lemma 1 we know that  $f$  must satisfy (22). From Lemma 4.1 in [25], we know that

$$\left(\int_0^{2s} F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}} \geq \left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}} \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

Arguing in a similar way to Lemma 1, we find that there exists  $\rho \in (0, R)$  such that

$$\int_{w(r)}^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^{2s} F(t) dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq \int_{w(r)}^\infty \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s \sqrt{f(t)} dt\right)^{\frac{2p}{2p-q+1}}} \leq C_1(R-r) \quad (27)$$

and

$$\int_{w(r)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} \geq C_2(R-r) \quad (28)$$

for all  $\rho < r < R$ . Now, let  $\Gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be defined as

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_t^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(\sigma)d\sigma\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}}.$$

We note that  $\Gamma$  is decreasing and by (22) we see  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(t) = 0$ . From (27) and (28) we find

$$\Gamma(2w(r)) \leq C_1(R-r) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(w(r)) \geq C_2(R-r) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R.$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is decreasing, this then implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2w(r) &\geq \Gamma^{-1}(C_1(R-r)) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R, \\ w(r) &\leq \Gamma^{-1}(C_2(R-r)) \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R. \end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling that

$$u(r) = u(\rho) + \int_{\rho}^r w(t)dt \quad \text{for all } \rho \leq r < R,$$

we see that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} u(r) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_{\rho}^R w(t)dt = \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\rho}^R \Gamma^{-1}(C(R-r))dt = \infty,$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} u(r) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_0^{C(R-\rho)} \Gamma^{-1}(u)du = \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \Gamma^{-1}(u)du = \infty.$$

The change of variables  $t = \Gamma^{-1}(u)$  then gives us that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} u(r) = \infty$  if and only if

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{s ds}{\left(\int_0^s F(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-q+1}}} = \infty.$$

To show that the opposite implication holds, one can proceed as in Lemma 1 to obtain the local existence of a solution, and then use a scaling argument.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.2

First, we assume that (14) holds. We have seen that this implies the existence of a positive, radial solution  $(u, v)$  in a maximum ball. Both  $u$  and  $v$  are increasing, and by the corollary 1 we know that  $u$  and  $v$  are both bounded. Hence the domain of existence must be  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Conversely, assume that (14) does not hold, and let  $(U, V)$  be a solution to (13). We know from Lemma 2 that there exists a solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  satisfying

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \tilde{v}(r) = \infty.$$

Now, if  $(U, V)$  is a solution to (13) in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then so is  $(U_\lambda, V_\lambda)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda(r) &= \lambda^{\frac{p(b+2-s)-(a+2)(q-1)}{sp+q-1}} U(\lambda r) \\ V_\lambda(r) &= \lambda^{\frac{b+2+s(a+1)}{sp+q-1}} V(\lambda r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by considering small enough  $\lambda > 0$ , we are justified in assuming that  $V(0) > \tilde{v}(0) > 0$ . Define

$$R := \sup\{r \in (0, 1) \mid V(t) > \tilde{v}(t) \text{ in } (0, r)\},$$

and assume  $R \neq 1$ . Now, for all  $0 < r < R$  we have, where  $W = U'$  and  $\tilde{w} = \tilde{u}'$ ,

$$(Wr^{N-1})' = r^{N+a-1}V^p(r) > r^{N+a-1}\tilde{v}^p(r) = (\tilde{w}r^{N-1})'.$$

An integration over  $[0, r]$ , where  $0 < r \leq R$ , yields  $W > \tilde{w}$  on  $(0, R]$ . Using a similar strategy we see

$$(V'r^{N-1})' = r^{N+b-1}V^q(r)W^s(r) > r^{N+b-1}\tilde{v}^q(r)\tilde{w}^s(r) = \lambda^B(\tilde{v}'(r)r^{N-1})'.$$

This implies that  $V' > \tilde{v}'$  on  $(0, R]$  and hence  $V > \tilde{v}$  on  $[0, R]$ , contradicting the fact that  $R \neq 1$ . Hence  $R = 1$ , and so  $V > \tilde{v}$  on  $(0, 1)$ . But this then implies that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} V(r) = \infty$ , which contradicts the fact that  $V(r)$  is a global solution.

## 6 Proof of the Theorem 2.3

We obtained that  $u', v', u, v$  are increasing in the proof of the Theorem 2.1 and

$$\begin{cases} u'(r) = r^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1+a} v^p(t) dt & \text{for all } r > 0, \\ v'(r) = r^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1+b} v^q(t) (u')^s(t) dt & \text{for all } r > 0, \end{cases}$$

which gives us

$$\frac{r^{a+1}v^p(0)}{N+a} \leq u'(r) \leq \frac{r^{a+1}v^p(r)}{N+a} \quad \text{for all } r > 0 \quad (29)$$

and

$$\frac{v^{ps+q}(0)r^{(a+1)s+b+1}}{(N+b)(N+a)^s} \leq v'(r) \leq \frac{r^{b+1}v^q(r)u'^s(r)}{N+b} \quad \text{for all } r > 0. \quad (30)$$

Using (29) and (30) we obtain that  $u'(r)$ ,  $v'(r)$ ,  $u(r)$ ,  $v(r)$  tend to infinity as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Next, we do the following change of variables ( see [17], [2, 14] )

$$X(t) = \frac{ru'(r)}{u(r)}, \quad Y(t) = \frac{rv'(r)}{v(r)}, \quad Z(t) = \frac{r^{a+1}v^p(r)}{u'(r)} \quad \text{and} \quad W(t) = \frac{r^{b+1}v^q(r)u'^s(r)}{v'(r)},$$

where  $t = \ln(r)$  for  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Direct computation shows that  $(X(t), Y(t), Z(t), W(t))$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} X_t = X(Z - (N-2) - X) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ Y_t = Y(W - (N-2) - Y) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ Z_t = Z(N+a+pY - Z) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ W_t = W(sZ + N - sN + s + b + qY - W) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Also, by L'Hopital's rule we deduce that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(t) = 2 - N + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t)$ . Hence, it is enough to study the last three equations of (31), that is,

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = Y(W - (N-2) - Y) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ Z_t = Z(N+a+pY - Z) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ W_t = W(sZ + N - sN + s + b + qY - W) & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Our system can be rewritten as

$$\xi_t = h(\xi) \quad (33)$$

where

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} Y(t) \\ Z(t) \\ W(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} Y(W - (N-2) - Y) \\ Z(N+a+pY - Z) \\ W(sZ + N - sN + s + b + qY - W) \end{pmatrix}.$$

One notices that the system (33) is cooperative. Therefore, the following comparison principle holds:

**Lemma 3.** *Let us assume that  $\xi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} Y(t) \\ Z(t) \\ W(t) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{\xi}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Y}(t) \\ \tilde{Z}(t) \\ \tilde{W}(t) \end{pmatrix}$  are the two non-negative solutions of (33) such that*

$$Y(t_0) \geq \tilde{Y}(t_0), \quad Z(t_0) \geq \tilde{Z}(t_0), \quad W(t_0) \geq \tilde{W}(t_0)$$

for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$Y(t) \geq \tilde{Y}(t), \quad Z(t) \geq \tilde{Z}(t), \quad W(t) \geq \tilde{W}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Using (29) and (30) we deduce that  $Z \geq N + a$  and  $W \geq N + b$ . Hence, we only have two equilibria of (32) which satisfy  $Z \geq N + a$  and  $W \geq N + b$ , that is,

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ N + a \\ N + s(a + 1) + b \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q}, \\ N + a + p \left( 2 + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q} \right) \\ N + \frac{b+2q+s(1+a+2p)}{1-ps-q} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.**  $\xi_2$  is asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* At  $\xi_2$ , we have the following linearized matrix:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -Y_2 & 0 & Y_2 \\ pZ_2 & -Z_2 & 0 \\ qW_2 & sW_2 & -W_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the associated characteristic polynomial of  $M$  is

$$P(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - M) = \lambda^3 + \alpha\lambda^2 + \beta\lambda + (1 - ps - q)\gamma,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= Y_2 + Z_2 + W_2 \\ \beta &= Y_2Z_2 + Z_2W_2 + (1 - q)Y_2W_2 \\ \gamma &= Y_2Z_2W_2. \end{aligned}$$

As  $ps + q < 1$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ , we have that  $P(\lambda) > 0$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$ . In case  $P$  has three real roots, then all of them are negative, which makes  $\xi_2$  asymptotically stable. Next, we need to consider the case where  $P$  has exactly one real root. So, let us assume that  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  be the roots of characteristic polynomial  $P$ . We need to show that  $\text{Re}(\lambda_2) = \text{Re}(\lambda_3) < 0$ , that is,  $P(-\alpha) = -\beta\alpha + (1 - ps - q)\gamma < 0$ , which is same as claiming,  $\beta\alpha > (1 - ps - q)\gamma$ . By the use of AM-GM inequality we get that

$$\alpha \geq 3\sqrt[3]{Y_2Z_2W_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \geq 3(1 - q)^{\frac{1}{3}}\sqrt[3]{(Y_2Z_2W_2)^2}$$

which further gives us the desired result, that is,  $\alpha\beta > (1 - ps - q)\gamma$ . Hence,  $\xi_2$  is asymptotically stable. □

**Lemma 5.** For all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$0 \leq Y(t) \leq 2 + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q}, \quad (34)$$

$$N + a \leq Z(t) \leq N + a + p \left( 2 + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q} \right), \quad (35)$$

$$N + s(a + 1) + b \leq W(t) \leq N + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q}. \quad (36)$$

*Proof.* As  $v'(0) = 0$  and  $v(0) = 0$ , we deduce that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Y(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{rv'(r)}{v(r)} = 0$ .

Next, we show that there exists  $t_j \rightarrow -\infty$  such that

$$\begin{cases} Y(t_j) \leq Y_2, \\ Z(t_j) \leq Z_2, \\ W(t_j) \leq W_2. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Y(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} Z(t) = N + a$ , we only need to prove the last part of (37). So, let us assume by contradiction that this is not true. Thus  $W > W_2$  in  $(-\infty, t_0)$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we have

$$W_t = W(sZ + N - sN + s + b + qY - W) < 0 \quad \text{in } (-\infty, t_0).$$

for small enough  $t_0$ . Therefore,  $W$  is decreasing in the neighbourhood of  $-\infty$ , that is, there exists  $\ell = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} W(t)$ . Again, by the use L'Hopital's rule we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} W(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{b+1}v^q(r)u^s(r)}{v'(r)} \\ &= \frac{s(N+a) - s(N-1) + b + 1}{1 - \frac{N-1}{\ell}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $\ell = N + s(a+1) + b < W_2$  and this contradicts the assumption that  $W > W_2$  in a neighbourhood of  $-\infty$ . Hence, with this we have proven the last part of (37). Next, we apply the Comparison Lemma 3 on all the intervals  $[t_j, \infty)$  for  $j \geq 1$  in order to obtain the upper bound inequalities in Lemma 5. Similarly, the lower bound inequalities can be obtained.  $\square$

Now, assume  $L = \overline{[[\xi_1, \xi_2]]} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . We have that  $\omega(\zeta) \subseteq L$  by Lemma 5. As  $\zeta_2$  is asymptotically stable, we get that  $L$  has no circuits. Also, by (15), one could obtain that

$$\operatorname{div} h(\xi) = -W + (s-2)Z + (-2+p+q)Y + 2 + a + N(1-s) + s + b < 0 \quad \text{in } L.$$

By the use of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 we get that  $\omega(\xi)$  reduces to one of the equilibria  $\xi_1$  or  $\xi_2$ . In case  $\xi(t) \rightarrow \xi_1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have that  $Y(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Also, we obtain that  $Y_t > 0$  in a neighbourhood of infinity by using the second equation of (31) which is impossible given that  $Y(t) > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $\xi(t) \rightarrow \xi_2$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(t) &= 2 + a + p \left( 2 + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q} \right), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Y(t) &= 2 + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) &= N + a + p \left( 2 + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q} \right), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) &= N + \frac{b + 2q + s(1 + a + 2p)}{1 - ps - q}. \end{aligned}$$



Now , using  $(X(t), Y(t), Z(t), W(t))$  , we get

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{\frac{(a+2)(1-ps-q)+ps(a+1)+bp+2q}{1-ps-q}}} = \frac{(AB^s K)^{\frac{p}{ps+q-1}}}{DK}$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(x)}{|x|^{\frac{(a+1)s+b+2}{1-ps-q}}} = (AB^s K)^{\frac{1}{ps+q-1}},$$

where,

$$A = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Y(t),$$

$$B = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t),$$

$$K = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t),$$

$$D = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(t).$$

## References

- [1] S. Alarcón, J. García-Melián, and A. Quaas, Keller-Osserman type conditions for some elliptic problems with gradient terms, *J. Differential Equations* **252** (2012), 886–914.
- [2] M.F. Bidaut-Véron and H. Giacomoni, A new dynamical approach of Emden-Fowler equations and systems, *Adv. Differential Equations* **15** (2010), 1033–1082.
- [3] L. Bieberbach,  $\Delta u = e^u$  und die automorphen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 173–212.
- [4] Y. Chen, P.Y.H. Pang, and M. Wang, Blow-up rates and uniqueness of large solutions for elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient term and singular or degenerate weights, *Manuscripta Math.* **141** (2013), 171—193.
- [5] J.I. Díaz, M. Lazzo, and P.G. Schmidt, Large solutions for a system of elliptic equations arising from fluid dynamics, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37** (2005), 490–513
- [6] J.I. Díaz, J.M. Rakotoson, and P.G. Schmidt, A parabolic system involving a quadratic gradient term related to the Boussinesq approximation, *RACSAM. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **101** (2007), 113–118.
- [7] J.I. Díaz, J.M. Rakotoson, and P.G. Schmidt, Local strong solutions of a parabolic system related to the Boussinesq approximation for buoyancy-driven flow with viscous heating, *Adv. Differential Equations* **13** (2008), 977–1000.

- [8] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, and B. Sirkov, Solvability of nonlinear elliptic equations with gradient terms, *J. Differential Equations* **254** (2013), 4327–4346.
- [9] R. Filippucci and F. Vinti, Coercive elliptic systems with gradient terms, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis* **6** (2017), no. 2, 165–182.
- [10] M. Ghergu, J. Giacomoni, and G. Singh, Global and blow-up radial solutions for quasi-linear elliptic systems arising in the study of viscous, heat conducting fluids, *Nonlinearity* **32** (2019), 1546–1569.
- [11] M. Ghergu, C. Niculescu, and V. Rădulescu, Explosive solutions of elliptic equations with absorption and non-linear gradient term, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **112** (2002), no. 3, 441–451.
- [12] M. Ghergu and V.D. Rădulescu, Singular elliptic problems: bifurcation and asymptotic analysis, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 37, 2008.
- [13] M. Ghergu and V.D. Rădulescu, Nonlinear PDEs. Mathematical models in biology, chemistry and population genetics, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [14] I. Guerra, A note on nonlinear biharmonic equations with negative exponents, *J. Differential Equations* **253** (2012), 3147–3157.
- [15] M.W. Hirsch, Systems of Differential Equations that are Competitive or Cooperative. V: Convergence in 3-Dimensional Systems, *J. Differential Equations* **80** (1989), 94–106.
- [16] M.W. Hirsch, Systems of Differential Equations that are Competitive or Cooperative. IV: Structural Stability in Three-Dimensional Systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), 1225–1234.
- [17] J. Hulshof and R.C.A.M. van der Vorst, Asymptotic behaviour of ground states, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2423–2431.
- [18] J.B. Keller, On solutions of  $\Delta u = f(u)$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **10** (1957), 503–510.
- [19] M. Magliaro, L. Mari, P. Mastrolia, and M. Rigoli, Keller-Osserman type conditions for differential inequalities with gradient terms on the Heisenberg group, *J. Differential Equations* **250** (2011), 2643–2670.
- [20] R. Osserman, On the inequality  $\Delta u \geq f(u)$ , *Pacific J. Math.* **7** (1957), 1641–1647.
- [21] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, Precise damping conditions for global asymptotic stability for nonlinear second order systems, *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), 275–307.
- [22] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, The strong maximum principle revisited, *J. Differential Equations* **196** (2004), 1–66.

- [23] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, and H. Zou, A strong maximum principle and a compact support principle for singular elliptic inequalities, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **78** (1999), 769–789.
- [24] V. Rădulescu, Singular phenomena in nonlinear elliptic problems. From blow-up boundary solutions to equations with singular nonlinearities, in *Handbook of Differential Equations: Stationary Partial Differential Equations*, Vol. 4 (Michel Chipot, Editor), North-Holland Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2007, pp. 483-591.
- [25] G. Singh, Classification of radial solutions for semilinear elliptic systems with nonlinear gradient terms, *Nonlinear Analysis* **129** (2015), 77–103.
- [26] J.L. Vázquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear equations, *Appl. Math. Optimization* **12** (1984), 191–202.