

Travelling Wave Solutions of the Bistable Reaction-Diffusion Equation with Nonlinear jump discontinuity

Hou, Lingling (hll67800@163.com)

June, 2022

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the existence and the stability of travelling wave solution to a bistable reaction-diffusion equation with a jump discontinuous point on nonlinear term. Sub-super solution method is used throughout this paper. As a matter of fact, this nonlinear discontinuity term is frequently emerging when study the travelling wave solution of reaction-diffusion-ODE systems. Hence, it is meaningful to consider this kind of problem.

1 Problem

Consider the reaction-diffusion equation:

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(u), \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} f_0(u), & u \in [0, a] \\ f_1(u), & u \in [a, 1] \end{cases}$$

with $0 < a < 1$. Here $f_0 \in C^1[0, a]$ and $f_1 \in C^1[a, 1]$ satisfy

(H1) $f_0(0) = 0, f_0'(0) < 0, f_1(0) = 0$ and $f_1'(1) < 0$.

(H2) $f_0(u) < 0$ for $u \in (0, a]$, $f_1(u) > 0$ for $u \in [a, 1)$.

(H3) $\int_0^a f_0(u)du + \int_a^1 f_1(u)du > 0$.

I would like to study the travelling wave solution to (1.1) under the moving coordinate $z = x + ct$, satisfies $u(z) \rightarrow 0$, as $z \rightarrow -\infty$, and $u(z) \rightarrow 1$, as $z \rightarrow +\infty$. The method I am going to adopt is basically rely on the works of [1].

First, denote

$$\underline{\alpha} = \inf_{u \in [0, a]} \frac{f_0(u)}{u}, \quad \bar{\alpha} = \sup_{u \in [0, a]} \frac{f_0(u)}{u},$$

and

$$\underline{\beta} = \inf_{u \in [a, 1]} \frac{f_1(u)}{u-1}, \quad \bar{\beta} = \sup_{u \in [a, 1]} \frac{f_1(u)}{u-1}.$$

Due to the assumption (H1)-(H2), and notice $-\infty < \underline{\alpha} \leq \bar{\alpha} < 0, -\infty < \underline{\beta} \leq \bar{\beta} < 0$.

Remark 1.1. *Thanks to the assumption (H3), it holds the fact:*

- (1) For sufficiently small positive ϵ , it holds $f_0(u) - \epsilon u < \underline{\alpha}u < f_0(u)$ and $f_0(u) < \bar{\alpha}u < f_0(u) + \epsilon u$, when $0 \leq u \leq a$. On the other hand, $f_1(u) < \underline{\beta}(u-1) < f_1(u) - \epsilon(u-1)$ and $f_1(u) + \epsilon(u-1) < \bar{\beta}(u-1) < f_1(u)$, when $a \leq u \leq 1$.
- (2) $\sqrt{-\bar{\alpha}a} < \sqrt{-\underline{\alpha}a} < \sqrt{-\bar{\beta}(1-a)} < \sqrt{-\underline{\beta}(1-a)}$.

Next, define four discontinuous functions:

$$\underline{f}(u) = \begin{cases} \underline{\alpha}u, & u \in [0, a] \\ \underline{\beta}(u-1), & u \in [a, 1] \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\bar{f}(u) = \begin{cases} \bar{\alpha}u, & u \in [0, a] \\ \bar{\beta}(u-1), & u \in [a, 1] \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\underline{g}(u) = \begin{cases} \underline{\alpha}u, & u \in [0, a] \\ \underline{\beta}(u-1), & u \in [a, 1] \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\bar{g}(u) = \begin{cases} \bar{\alpha}u, & u \in [0, a] \\ \bar{\beta}(u-1), & u \in [a, 1] \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Thus it's easily to find the C^0 solutions of

$$\begin{cases} u_{zz} - cu_z + h(u) = 0, \\ u(0) = a, u(-\infty) = 0, u(+\infty) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

for $h(u) = \underline{f}(u)$, $h(u) = \bar{f}(u)$, $h(u) = \underline{g}(u)$ and $h(u) = \bar{g}(u)$. In order to consider the C^1 solution of (1.6), the following four cases are needed.

- (i) $h(u) = \underline{f}(u)$. The C^0 solutions for any $c \geq 0$ is given:

$$\underline{u}(z) = \begin{cases} a \exp(\lambda_0^+(c)z), & z < 0, \\ 1 + (a-1) \exp(\lambda_1^-(c)z), & z \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Where $\lambda_0^+(c) = (c + \sqrt{c^2 - 4\underline{\alpha}})/2 > 0$, $\lambda_1^-(c) = (c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4\underline{\beta}})/2 < 0$. By choosing \underline{c} such that

$$a = \frac{\lambda_1^-(\underline{c})}{\lambda_1^-(\underline{c}) - \lambda_0^+(\underline{c})},$$

then we get a unique C^1 solution of (1.6).

- (ii) $h(u) = \bar{f}(u)$. Similarly to the case (i), the C^0 solutions for any $c \geq 0$ is given:

$$\bar{u}(z) = \begin{cases} a \exp(\bar{\lambda}_0^+(c)z), & z < 0, \\ 1 + (a-1) \exp(\bar{\lambda}_1^-(c)z), & z \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Where $\bar{\lambda}_0^+(c) = (c + \sqrt{c^2 - 4\bar{\alpha}})/2 > 0$, $\bar{\lambda}_1^-(c) = (c - \sqrt{c^2 - 4\bar{\beta}})/2 < 0$. By choosing \bar{c} such that

$$a = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_1^-(\bar{c})}{\bar{\lambda}_1^-(\bar{c}) - \bar{\lambda}_0^+(\bar{c})},$$

then we get a unique C^1 solution of (1.6).

- (iii) $h(u) = \underline{g}(u)$. The C^0 solutions for any $c \geq 0$ is given:

$$\check{u}(z) = \begin{cases} a \exp(\lambda_0^+(c)z), & z < 0, \\ 1 + (a-1) \exp(\lambda_1^-(c)z), & z \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

By choosing \check{c} such that

$$a = \frac{\lambda_1^-(\check{c})}{\lambda_1^-(\check{c}) - \lambda_0^+(\check{c})},$$

then we get a unique C^1 solution of (1.6).

- (iv) $h(u) = \bar{g}(u)$ The C^0 solutions for any $c \geq 0$ is given:

$$\hat{u}(z) = \begin{cases} a \exp(\bar{\lambda}_0^+(c)z), & z < 0, \\ 1 + (a-1) \exp(\underline{\lambda}_1^-(c)z), & z \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

By choosing \hat{c} such that

$$a = \frac{\underline{\lambda}_1^-(\hat{c})}{\underline{\lambda}_1^-(\hat{c}) - \bar{\lambda}_0^+(\hat{c})},$$

then we get a unique C^1 solution of (1.6).

2 Existence of travelling wave solution

For the existence and uniqueness of the travelling wave solution to (1.1), we need to prove a few lemmas.

Let $w^-(z) = u_z(z)$, for $z < 0$ and $w^+(z) = u_z(z)$, for $z > 0$. Also, $\underline{w}(z) = \underline{u}_z(z)$ and $\bar{w}(z) = \bar{u}_z(z)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. Then $\underline{u}^-(z) = a \exp(\underline{\lambda}_0^+(c)z)$ and $\bar{u}^-(z) = a \exp(\bar{\lambda}_0^+(c)z)$ are the sub-solution and super-solution of*

$$\begin{cases} u_{zz}^- - cu_z^- + f_0(u^-) = 0, \\ u^-(0) = a, u^-(-\infty) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, $\underline{u}^+(z) = 1 + (a-1) \exp(\underline{\lambda}_1^-(c)z)$ and $\bar{u}^+(z) = 1 + (a-1) \exp(\bar{\lambda}_1^-(c)z)$ are the super-solution and sub-solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_{zz}^+ - cu_z^+ + f_1(u^+) = 0, \\ u^+(0) = a, u^+(\infty) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Assume (H1)-(H3) hold. Then*

$$\lim_{z \uparrow 0} \underline{w}^-(z) = \underline{\lambda}_0^+(c)a > \lim_{z \uparrow 0} \bar{w}^-(z) = \bar{\lambda}_0^+(c)a,$$

and

$$\lim_{z \downarrow 0} \underline{w}^+(z) = \underline{\lambda}_1^-(c)(a-1) > \lim_{z \downarrow 0} \bar{w}^+(z) = \bar{\lambda}_1^-(c)(a-1).$$

In addition,

$$\underline{w}^-(0) = \underline{w}^+(0), \quad \text{for } c = \underline{c},$$

and

$$\bar{w}^-(0) = \bar{w}^+(0), \quad \text{for } c = \bar{c}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions (H1)-(H3), we claim:*

- (I) $w^-(z; c)$ is increasing with respect to c ; $w^+(z; c)$ is decreasing with respect to c .
- (II) If $c = 0$, then $\bar{w}^-(0) < \underline{w}^-(0) < \bar{w}^+(0) < \underline{w}^+(0)$.
- (III) $\underline{w}^-(0; \check{c}) = \bar{w}^+(0; \check{c})$ and $\bar{w}^-(0; \hat{c}) = \underline{w}^+(0; \hat{c})$.

Remark 2.1. *The conclusions in Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 imply*

$$0 < \check{c} < \min\{\underline{c}, \bar{c}\} \leq \max\{\underline{c}, \bar{c}\} < \hat{c}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Existence and uniqueness). *Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold. Then there exists a positive constant c^* , such that (1.1) has a unique C^1 travelling wave solution $u^*(z + c^*t)$ with positive speed c^* , where $\check{c} \leq c^* \leq \hat{c}$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. We just give the proof of $\underline{u}^-(z)$, others are followed by using the same way.

Substitute $\underline{u}^-(z) = a \exp(\underline{\lambda}_0^+(c)z)$ into the first second-order partial differential equation of (2.1), we can get

$$\underline{u}_{zz}^- - c\underline{u}_z^- + f(\underline{u}_z^-) \geq 0,$$

by using the claim (1) in Remark 1.1. Thus we say $\underline{u}^-(z)$ is the super-solution of (2.1). \square

Proof of Lemma 2.2. It is obviously by using the conclusion of (1.7) and (1.8). \square

Proof of Lemma 2.3. (I) We consider the following ODE problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw^-(u; c)}{du} = c - \frac{f_0(u)}{w^-(u; c)}, & \text{for } u \in [0, a], \\ w^-(0; c) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Derivative with respect to c in (2.3), we obtain

$$\frac{dw_c^-}{du} = 1 + \frac{f_0(u)w_c^-}{(w^-)^2},$$

Let

$$G^-(u) = w_c^-(u; c) \exp\left(-\int_{a/2}^u \frac{f_0(s)}{(w^-)^2(s)} ds\right).$$

Then we get

$$\frac{dG^-(u)}{du} = \exp\left(-\int_{a/2}^u \frac{f_0(s)}{(w^-)^2(s)} ds\right) > 0.$$

Hence, we conclude that $G^-(u) > G^-(0) = 0$, this implies $w_c^-(u; c) > 0$. Thus $w^-(u; c)$ is increasing with respect to c .

Similarly, when we consider the following ODE problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw^+}{du} = c - \frac{f_1(u)}{w^+(u; c)}, & \text{for } u \in [a, 1], \\ w^+(1; c) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Derivative with respect to c , we have

$$\frac{dw_c^+}{du} = 1 + \frac{f_1(u)w_c^+}{(w^+)^2}.$$

Let

$$G^+(u) = w_c^+(u; c) \exp\left(\int_u^{1-a/2} \frac{f_0(s)}{(w^+)^2(s)} ds\right).$$

An easily calculation showed $dG^+(u)/du > 0$, then $G^+(u) < G(1) = 0$. Thus $w_c^+(u; c) < 0$ and $w^+(u; c)$ is decreasing with respect to c .

(II) If $c = 0$, then $\underline{\lambda}_0^+(0) = \sqrt{-4\underline{\alpha}}/2$, $\overline{\lambda}_0^+(0) = \sqrt{-4\overline{\alpha}}/2$, $\overline{\lambda}_1^-(0) = -\sqrt{-4\overline{\beta}}/2$, $\underline{\lambda}_1^-(0) = -\sqrt{-4\underline{\beta}}/2$. Hence the claim (2) in Remark 1.1 imply the result.(see Figure 1 (a))

(III) The results are followed in (1.9) and (1.10). (see Figure 1 (b) and (c)) \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Lemma 2.1 provides for any $c \geq 0$, there are C^0 solutions to (1.6) with $h(u) = f(u)$:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}^-(z) \leq u^-(z) \leq \overline{u}^-(z), & \text{for } z < 0, \\ \overline{u}^+(z) \leq u^+(z) \leq \underline{u}^+(z), & \text{for } z > 0. \end{cases}$$

Combining with the Lemma 2.3, there exists a c^* between \check{c} and \hat{c} , such that $u^*(x + c^*t)$ is the unique C^1 solution to (1.1). \square




Figure 1: c is increasing from figure (a) to figure (c). On the left side of $u = a$, \underline{w}^- , w^- , \bar{w}^- are moving up, on the right side of $u = a$, \underline{w}^+ , w^+ , \bar{w}^+ are moving down, as c increases. Figure (d) showed the C^1 solution of $\bar{u}(z)$, figure (e) showed the C^1 travelling wave solution of (1.1) when $c = c^*$, and figure (f) showed the C^1 solution of $\underline{u}(z)$.

3 Stability of travelling wave solution

Lemma 3.1. Let k be a bounded function, and $\varphi(x, t)$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_t - \varphi_{xx} - k\varphi \geq 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T], \\ \varphi(x, 0) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then for every large positive constant L , there exists $\varepsilon(t, L)$ such that

$$\min_{|x| < L} \varphi(x, t) \geq \varepsilon(t, L) \int_{-L}^L \varphi(x, t) dx. \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold, $u(x, t)$ is the solution of (1.1) with the initial value $u_0(x)$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(x, t) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $u(x, t)$ be the solution of (1.1) and $u^*(x + ct)$ be the travelling wave solution of (1.1). Then for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_\varepsilon > 0$ and $T_\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$u^*(x + cT_\varepsilon - M_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq u(x, T_\varepsilon) \leq u^*(x + cT_\varepsilon + M_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

Lemma 3.4. Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold, and $u^*(z)$ is the solution to (1.6) with $h(u) = f(u)$. Then there exist a small independent positive constant δ_0 and a large positive constant σ , which depend on $u^*(z)$, such that for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_0]$ and every $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the functions U^+ and U^- defined by

$$U^\pm(x, t) := u^*(x + ct + z_0 \pm \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) \pm \delta e^{-\gamma t} \quad (3.6)$$

are a super-solution and a sub-solution to (1.1) respectively. Here $\gamma := \frac{1}{2} \min\{-f'_0(0), -f'_1(1)\}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Stability). *Assume that (H1)-(H3) hold, $u^*(z)$ is the travelling wave solution to (1.1). Then there exist a positive constant κ and some positive constants a_0, a_1 with $0 < a_0 \leq a \leq a_1 < 1$, such that for any $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$ and*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u_0(x) > a_1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u_0(x) < a_0,$$

the solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.1) with initial value $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ has the property that

$$\|u(x, t) - u^*(x + ct + z^*)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq K e^{-\kappa t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

where z^* and K are constants depending on $u_0(x)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since

$$\varphi_t - \varphi_{xx} \geq k\varphi \geq -\|k\|_\infty \varphi,$$

where $\|k\|_\infty = \|k\|_{L^\infty}$. Hence, for $x \in [-L, L]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, t) &\geq \frac{e^{-\|k\|_\infty t}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}} \varphi(y, 0) dy \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-\|k\|_\infty t - \frac{L^2}{t}}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{-L}^L \varphi(y, 0) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon(t, L) = \exp(-\|k\|_\infty t - \frac{L^2}{t})/2\sqrt{\pi t}$, thus the result is followed. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. First, let $v_0(x, t)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (v_0)_t - (v_0)_{xx} = f_0(v_0), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \\ v_0(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{if } x \leq -M_0, \\ v_0(x, 0) = a_0, & \text{if } x > -M_0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Where M_0 is a constant such that

$$u_0(x) < a_0 \leq a, \quad \text{for } \forall x \leq -M_0, \quad f_0(v) < 0, \quad \text{for } \forall v \in (0, a].$$

Similarly, let $v_1(x, t)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (v_1)_t - (v_1)_{xx} = f_1(v_1), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \\ v_1(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{if } x \geq M_1, \\ v_1(x, 0) = a_1, & \text{if } x < M_1. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Where M_1 is a constant such that

$$u_0(x) > a_1 \geq a, \quad \text{for } \forall x \geq M_1, \quad f_1(v) > 0, \quad \text{for } \forall v \in [a, 1).$$

Second, define $q_0(t)$ and $q_1(t)$ are the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} (q_0)_t = f_0(q_0), & t > 0 \\ q_0(0) = a, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (q_1)_t = f_1(q_1), & t > 0 \\ q_1(0) = a, \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

respectively.

Since $f_1(v) > 0$ for $v \in [a, 1)$, $f_0(v) < 0$ for $v \in (0, a]$, and $f_1(1) = 0, f_0(0) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q_1(t) = 1, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q_0(t) = 0.$$

Note that $q_1(0) \leq v_1(x, 0), q_0(0) \geq v_0(x, 0)$, for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. By comparison principle,

$$q_1(t) \leq v_1(x, t) \leq 1, \quad \text{for } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

$$q_0(t) \geq v_0(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_1(x, t) = 1, \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_0(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

In the following, we will prove (3.3). Set $w_1 = u_1 - v_1$, here u_1 is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (u_1)_t - (u_1)_{xx} = f_1(u_1), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \\ u_1(x, 0) = \max\{u_0(x), a\}, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(w_1)_t - (w_1)_{xx} = f_1(u_1) - f_1(v_1) = k(x, t)w.$$

Where $k(x, t) = \int_0^1 f_1'(v_1 + s(u_1 - v_1)) ds$.

Set $K_1 = \max_{a \leq u \leq 1} |f_1'(u)|$. We define w_1^+ and w_1^- are the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} (w_1^+)_t - (w_1^+)_{xx} = K_1 w_1^+, \\ w_1^+(x, 0) = \max\{w_1(x, 0), 0\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (w_1^-)_t - (w_1^-)_{xx} = K_1 w_1^-, \\ w_1^-(x, 0) = \min\{w_1(x, 0), 0\}, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then $w_1(x, 0) = w_1^+(x, 0) + w_1^-(x, 0)$, Hence, $w_1(x, t) = w_1^+(x, t) + w_1^-(x, 0)$.

Since $w_1^+(x, 0) \geq 0$, by the Maximum principle,

$$0 \leq w_1^+(x, t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(K_1 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t})}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} w_1^+(y, 0) dy.$$

Similarly,

$$0 \leq -w_1^-(x, t) \leq - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(K_1 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t})}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} w_1^-(y, 0) dy.$$

Therefore,

$$|w_1(x, t)| = w_1^+(x, t) - w_1^-(x, t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(K_1 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t})}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} |w_1(y, 0)| dy.$$

Note that $w_1(x, 0) = 0$, when $x \geq M$; $w_1(x, 0) \leq 1$, when $x < M$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1(x, t)| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^M \frac{\exp(K_1 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{4t})}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} dy \\ &= \frac{e^{K_1 t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_z^{\infty} e^{-s^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here $z = \frac{x-M}{2\sqrt{t}}$. Notice that

$$\int_z^{\infty} e^{-s^2} ds \leq \frac{1}{2z} e^{-z^2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{t} \exp(K_1 t - \frac{(x-M)^2}{4t})}{x-M}, \quad \text{when } x \geq M.$$

Hence, when $x \geq M + \sqrt{4K_1 t}$,

$$|u_1(x, t) - v_1(x, t)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{t}}{x-M} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4K_1 t}}.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u_1(x, t) = 1.$$

In the same way, if we set $w_0 = u_0 - v_0$, here u_0 is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (u_0)_t - (u_0)_{xx} = f_0(u_0), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \\ u_0(x, 0) = \min\{u_0(x), a\}, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Then we can also prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u_0(x, t) = 0.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3.3. From Lemma 3.2, we know for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists T_\varepsilon > 0$, $\tilde{M}_\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$u(x, T_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \geq \tilde{M}_\varepsilon,$$

$$u(x, T_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \leq -\tilde{M}_\varepsilon.$$

Let a_ε be the point such that

$$u^*(-a_\varepsilon + cT_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon, \quad u^*(a_\varepsilon + cT_\varepsilon) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Set $M_\varepsilon = \tilde{M}_\varepsilon + a_\varepsilon$, we have

$$u^*(x + cT_\varepsilon + M_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq -\tilde{M}_\varepsilon, \\ \varepsilon, & \text{if } x < -\tilde{M}_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

$$u^*(x + cT_\varepsilon - M_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq \tilde{M}_\varepsilon, \\ 1 - \varepsilon, & \text{if } x > \tilde{M}_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Thus we claim (3.5) hold. □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. We define a large positive constant $M \gg 1$, and define

$$K_0 = \max_{0 \leq u \leq a} |f'_0(u)|, \quad K_1 = \max_{a \leq u \leq 1} |f'_1(u)|, \quad K_2 = \max_{x \in (0, a), y \in (a, 1)} \frac{f_1(y) - f_0(x)}{y - x},$$

$$\delta_0 = \frac{\gamma}{K_0 + K_1 + K_2}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\gamma + K_0 + K_1 + K_2}{\gamma \epsilon^*}, \quad \epsilon^* = \min_{|z| \leq M} |u_z^*(z)|.$$

Substitute $U^+(x, t)$ into (1.1), and notice $u^*(z)$ satisfies $u'' - cu' + f(u) = 0$, then we derive

$$U_t^+ - U_{xx}^+ - f(U^+) = \delta e^{-\gamma t} [\sigma \gamma u_z^* - \gamma + \delta e^{\gamma t} (f(u^*) - f(u^* + \delta e^{-\gamma t}))]. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, we will calculate the right-hand side of (3.11) from $|z| > M$ and $|z| \leq M$. For $|z| > M$. Since $u^*(z) \rightarrow 0$, as $z \rightarrow -\infty$, $u^*(z) \rightarrow 1$, as $z \rightarrow +\infty$, also notice that $u_z^*(\pm\infty) = 0$. By the definition of K_0, K_1 . Thus

$$f(u^*) - f(u^* + \delta e^{-\gamma t}) \geq -K_0 \delta e^{-\gamma t}, \quad z < -M.$$

$$f(u^*) - f(u^* + \delta e^{-\gamma t}) \geq -K_1 \delta e^{-\gamma t}, \quad z > M.$$

For $|z| \leq M$. Because of the definition of K_2 , it holds

$$f(u^*) - f(u^* + \delta e^{-\gamma t}) \geq -K_2 \delta e^{-\gamma t}, \quad |z| \leq M.$$

Combining the definition of σ , then

$$U_t^+ - U_{xx}^+ - f(U^+) \geq 0.$$

In the same way to consider $U^-(x, t)$, we substitute it into (1.1), then we derive

$$U_t^- - U_{xx}^- - f(U^-) = \delta e^{-\gamma t} [\gamma - \sigma \gamma u_z^* + \delta e^{\gamma t} (f(u^*) - f(u^* - \delta e^{-\gamma t}))] \leq 0.$$

Above all the statements we just prove the conclusions in the Lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Applying Lemma 3.4, by choosing $z_0 = 0$ in $U^\pm(x, t)$, and $z = x + ct$. It yields

$$u^*(z - \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) - \delta e^{-\gamma t} \leq u(z - ct, t) \leq u^*(z + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) + \delta e^{-\gamma t}.$$

For every $t > 0$, set

$$\Sigma(t) = \{(Z_1(t), Z_2(t), \delta(t)) \mid u^*(z + Z_1(t)) - \delta(t) \leq u(z - ct, t) \leq u^*(z + Z_2(t)) + \delta(t)\}.$$

$$D(t) = \min_{(Z_1, Z_2, \delta) \in \Sigma(t)} \{Z_2(t) - Z_1(t) + A\delta(t)\}.$$

Where $0 \leq \eta \leq \varepsilon_0, \xi_i \leq M_{\varepsilon_0}$,

We want to show that there exists positive constant $\theta < 1$, for any $\tau > 0$, it hold

$$D(t + \tau) \leq \theta D(t).$$

We divide the proof into two case:

Case 1: $Z_2(t) - Z_1(t) \leq A\delta(t)$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0$,

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq u^*(x + ct + c\tau + Z_2(t) + \sigma\delta(t)[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) + \delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau}$$

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq u^*(x + ct + c\tau + Z_1(t) - \sigma\delta(t)[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) - \delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau}$$

Set

$$Z_1(t + \tau) = Z_1(t) - \sigma\delta(t)[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}],$$

$$Z_2(t + \tau) = Z_2(t) + \sigma\delta(t)[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}],$$

$$\delta(t + \tau) = \delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau}.$$

Then

$$(Z_1(t + \tau), Z_2(t + \tau), \delta(t + \tau)) \in \Sigma(t + \tau).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(t + \tau) &\leq Z_2(t + \tau) - Z_1(t + \tau) + A\delta(t + \tau) \\ &= Z_2(t) - Z_1(t) + 2\sigma\delta(t)[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}] + A\delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau} \\ &\leq D(t) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\sigma}{A} + e^{-\gamma\tau} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}D(t) \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\tau \geq \frac{\ln 8}{\gamma}, A = 16\sigma$.

Case 2: $Z_2(t) - Z_1(t) \geq A\delta(t)$.

Using the definition of ϵ^* in the proof of Lemma 3.4, it follows that

$$\int_{-M}^M u^*(z + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) - u^*(z - \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) dz \geq 4\epsilon^* M\sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]. \quad (3.12)$$

We assume that

$$\int_{-M}^M u^*(z + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) - u(z - ct, t) dz \geq \int_{-M}^M u(z - ct, t) - u^*(z - \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) dz.$$

Then from (3.12), we have

$$\int_{-M}^M u^*(z + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) - u(z - ct, t) dz \geq 2\epsilon^* M\sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]. \quad (3.13)$$

Let

$$\Phi(x, t) = u^*(x + ct + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma t}]) + \delta e^{-\gamma t} - u(x, t),$$

then $\Phi(x, t)$ is the solution of (3.1) with $k\varphi = K\Phi$, thus we applying (3.2) to get

$$\Phi(x, \tau) \geq \varepsilon(\tau, L) \int_{-L}^L \Phi(x, \tau) dx.$$

Combing (3.13), then when $|x| \leq L$, we have

$$u^*(x + c\tau + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) + \delta e^{-\gamma\tau} - u(x, \tau) \geq \varepsilon(\tau, L)L\delta[2\epsilon^*\sigma(1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}) + e^{-\gamma\tau}]. \quad (3.14)$$

When $|x| > L$,

$$u(x, \tau) \leq u^*(x + c\tau - H(\tau)\delta + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) + \delta e^{-\gamma\tau}.$$

Here $H(\tau) = 2\varepsilon(\tau, L)L\epsilon^*\sigma$. Thus,

$$u(x, t + \tau) \leq u^*(x + ct + c\tau - H(\tau)\delta + \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) + H(\tau)\delta + \delta e^{-\gamma\tau}.$$

Similarly,

$$u(x, t + \tau) \geq u^*(x + ct + c\tau + H(\tau)\delta - \sigma\delta[1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}]) - H(\tau)\delta - \delta e^{-\gamma\tau}.$$

Set

$$Z_1(t + \tau) = Z_1(t) + H(\tau)\delta(t),$$

$$Z_2(t + \tau) = Z_2(t) - H(\tau)\delta(t),$$

$$\delta(t + \tau) = \delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau} + H(\tau)\delta(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} D(t + \tau) &\leq Z_2(t + \tau) - Z_1(t + \tau) + A\delta(t + \tau) \\ &= Z_2(t) - Z_1(t) + (A - 2)H(\tau)\delta(t) + A\delta(t)e^{-\gamma\tau} \\ &\leq (Z_2(t) - Z_1(t)) + \left(\frac{A - 2}{A}H(\tau) + e^{-\gamma\tau}\right)A\delta(t) \\ &\leq \nu D(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \nu < 1$.

Therefore, $\exists 0 < \theta < 1$, such that

$$D(t + 1) \leq \theta D(t), \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

Then, $D(t) \leq Me^{t \ln \theta}$. Therefore, there exists $0 \leq \xi(t) \leq Me^{-\rho t}, 0 \leq \eta(t) \leq Me^{-\rho t}$, such that

$$\|u(x, t) - u^*(x + ct + \xi(t))\|_\infty = o(1)e^{-\rho t}.$$

Here $\rho = -\ln \theta$. □

Acknowledgments

This work is inspired by [2, Theorem 3.1], in which it takes a distinct method into account.

References

- [1] Xinfu Chen, Existence, uniqueness, and asymptotic stability of traveling waves in non-local evolution equation, *Advances in Differential Equations*, 2 (1997), 125-160.
- [2] Lingling Hou, Hiroshi Kokubu, Anna Marciniak-Czochra and Izumi Takagi, Existence of travelling wave to reaction-diffusion-ODE models with hysteresis. (Submitted)