

EIGENVALUES OF WEIGHTED GENERALIZED SHIFTS OVER DIRECT PRODUCTS OF VECTOR SPACES

SAFOURA ARZANESH, FATEMAH AYATOLLAH ZADEH SHIRAZI,
AREZOO HOSSEINI, REZA REZAVAND

ABSTRACT. In the following text for vector space V over field F we compute all eigenvalues of weighted generalized shift $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathbf{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$ (and generalized shift $\sigma_\varphi : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$) for nonempty set Γ , weight vector $\mathbf{w} \in F^\Gamma$ and self-map $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A18

Keywords: Eigenvalue, Weighted composition operator, Weighted generalized shift.

1. INTRODUCTION

Computing eigenvalues of linear maps is one of favourites of a large group of mathematicians (see e.g., J. von Neumann's work in 1928 [6]). On the other hand generalized shifts has been introduced for the first time in [2] as a generalization of one-sided and two-sided shifts, moreover weighted generalized shifts has been introduced as a common generalization of generalized shifts and weighted operators, in [1], however in brief words it is simply just weighted composition operator [5] (for study of spectrum in weighted composition operators one may note [4] too). Our main aim in the following text is to compute all eigenvalues of a weighted generalized shift over a direct product of linear vector spaces.

Convention 1.1. In the following text V is a linear vector space over field F , $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an arbitrary self-map on nonempty set Γ , and $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in F^\Gamma$ (weight vector). So one may consider our targets of study, the following linear maps:

- generalized shift

$$\sigma_\varphi : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma \quad , \quad (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mapsto (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$$

- weighted generalized shift

$$\sigma_{\varphi, \mathbf{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma \quad , \quad (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mapsto (\mathbf{w}_\alpha x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} (= \mathbf{w} \sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})) .$$

For $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in V^\Gamma$, $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in F^\Gamma$ and nonempty subset D of Γ let $x^D := (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$, $\mathbf{v}^D := (\mathbf{v}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$. Also let:

$$\mathfrak{Z} := \{\alpha \in \Gamma : \mathbf{w}_\alpha = 0\} \quad , \quad \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \bigcup \{\varphi^{-n}(\mathfrak{Z}) : n \geq 0\} .$$

Let's denote zero of F and zero of V simply by "0", also $\mathbf{0} := (0)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ is zero of V^Γ . For vector space W over field F and linear map $T : W \rightarrow W$ suppose $Eigen(T, W)$ is the collection of all eigenvalues of T , i.e., all $r \in F$ such that there exists nonzero vector $x \in W$ with $T(x) = rx$.

2. EIGENVALUES OF $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$

In this section we compute all eigenvalues of $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$. For this aim let's classify points of an arbitrary set A with respect to self-map $f : A \rightarrow A$. We say $a \in A$:

- is a wandering point of f , if $\{f^n(a)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a one-to-one (infinite) sequence,
- is a quasi-periodic or non-wandering point, if there exists $n > m \geq 1$ with $f^n(a) = f^m(a)$,
- is a periodic point if there exists $n \geq 1$ with $f^n(a) = a$.

We denote

- the collection of all wandering points of f by $W(f)$,
- the collection of all quasi-periodic points of f by $Q(f)$,
- the collection of all periodic points of f by $P(f)$.

For $\alpha \in P(f)$, let $per(\alpha) = \min\{n \geq 1 : f^n(\alpha) = \alpha\}$.

Let $\sim := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma : \exists n, m \geq 1 \quad \varphi^n(\alpha) = \varphi^m(\beta)\}$, then \sim is an equivalence relation on Γ (for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\frac{\alpha}{\sim} = \{\beta \in \Gamma : \alpha \sim \beta\}$ is the equivalence class of α with respect to \sim , and $\frac{\Gamma}{\sim} = \{\frac{\beta}{\sim} : \beta \in \Gamma\}$).

Henceforth consider $\mathfrak{g} \in \Gamma$ and let:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}, \\ \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \varphi \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \sim_{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \sim \cap (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}) (= \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \mathfrak{Z} \cap \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} (= \{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{w}_{\alpha} = 0\}), \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \cap \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} (= \bigcup \{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-n}(\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}) : n \geq 0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. We have $ker(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}) = \{(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in V^\Gamma : \forall \alpha \in \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) \quad x_{\alpha} = 0\}$.

Hence $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$ is one-to-one if and only if $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z})$. It is well-known that $0 \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma)$ if and only if $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$ is not one-to-one. Hence $0 \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma)$ if and only if $\varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) \neq \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.2. If $\downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \Gamma$, then:

$$\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) \subseteq \{0\}.$$

Moreover in the above case by Remark 2.1, $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = \emptyset$ if $\varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) = \Gamma$ and $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = \{0\}$ otherwise.

Proof. Suppose $r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma)$, then there exists $x = (x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in V^\Gamma$ with $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx$. There exists $\theta \in \Gamma$ with $x_{\theta} \neq 0$. By $\theta \in \Gamma = \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ there exists $n \geq 0$ with $\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^n(\theta)} = 0$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx &\Rightarrow \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}^{n+1}(x) = r^{n+1}x \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{w}_{\theta} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^n(\theta)} x_{\varphi^{n+1}(\theta)} = r^{n+1}x_{\theta} \\ &\Rightarrow r^{n+1}x_{\theta} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3. If $\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim} \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$, then $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi \upharpoonright_{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}) \setminus \{0\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} = \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ since $\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim} \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. Now use Lemma 2.2 for weighted generalized shift $\sigma_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}}} : \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$. \square

Lemma 2.4. $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma}) = \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in \Gamma \}$.

Proof. First suppose $r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}})$, then there exists $x = (x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in V^{\Gamma}$ such that $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx$. Choose $\theta \in \Gamma$ with $x_{\theta} \neq 0$, then we have $x^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}} \neq \mathbf{0}^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}$ and:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx &\Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma \quad \mathfrak{w}_{\alpha} x_{\varphi(\alpha)} = rx_{\alpha}) \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall \alpha \in \frac{\theta}{\sim} \quad \mathfrak{w}_{\alpha} x_{\varphi(\alpha)} = rx_{\alpha}) \\ &\Rightarrow \sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}(x^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}) = rx^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}} \\ &\stackrel{x^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}} \neq \mathbf{0}^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}{\Rightarrow} r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}) \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma}) \subseteq \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in \Gamma \}$.

Now, for $\beta \in \Gamma$ suppose $s \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\beta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\beta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\beta}{\sim}})$, then there exists $z = (z_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \frac{\beta}{\sim}}$ with $z \neq \mathbf{0}^{\frac{\beta}{\sim}}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\beta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\beta}{\sim}}}(z) = sz$. Let:

$$y_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} z_{\alpha}, & \alpha \in \frac{\beta}{\sim}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

then for $y := (y_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ we have $y \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(y) = sy$ which leads to $s \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma})$ and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. If $W(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$, then:

$$F \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma}).$$

Moreover in the above case by Remark 2.1, $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma}) = F \setminus \{0\}$ if $\varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) = \Gamma$ and $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^{\Gamma}) = F$ otherwise.

Proof. Choose $r \in F \setminus \{0\}$ and $\theta \in W(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ and $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose $\alpha \in \frac{\theta}{\sim}$, then there exist $p, q \geq 1$ with $\varphi^p(\alpha) = \varphi^q(\theta)$. Let

$$x_{\alpha} := r^{q-p} (\mathfrak{w}_{\alpha} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^p(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_{\theta} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^q(\theta)})^{-1} v.$$

Note that x_{α} does not depend on chosen p, q , since for $p_1, q_1 \geq 1$ with $\varphi^{p_1}(\alpha) = \varphi^{q_1}(\theta)$, we may suppose $p_1 \geq p$, then:

$$\varphi^{q_1}(\theta) = \varphi^{p_1}(\alpha) = \varphi^{p_1-p}(\varphi^p(\alpha)) = \varphi^{p_1-p}(\varphi^q(\theta)) = \varphi^{p_1-p+q}(\theta).$$

By $\theta \in W(\varphi)$ and $\varphi^{q_1}(\theta) = \varphi^{p_1-p+q}(\theta)$ we conclude $q_1 = p_1 - p + q$ and $p - q = p_1 - q_1$ in particular $q_1 \geq q$, moreover:

$$\begin{aligned}
& r^{q_1 - P_1} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{P_1}(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)})^{-1} \\
&= r^{q-P} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{P_1}(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)})^{-1} \\
&= r^{q-P} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^P(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{P+1}(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{P_1}(\alpha)}) \\
&\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q+1}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)})^{-1} (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^q(\theta)})^{-1} \\
&= r^{q-P} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^P(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q+1}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{P_1-P+q}(\theta)}) \\
&\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q+1}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)})^{-1} (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^q(\theta)})^{-1} \\
&= r^{q-P} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^P(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q+1}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)}) \\
&\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q+1}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{q_1}(\theta)})^{-1} (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^q(\theta)})^{-1} \\
&= r^{q-P} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^P(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^q(\theta)})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover for $\beta \in \frac{\theta}{\sim}$, if $s, t \geq 1$ and $\varphi^s(\beta) = \varphi^t(\theta)$, then $\varphi^s(\varphi(\beta)) = \varphi^{s+1}(\beta) = \varphi^{t+1}(\theta)$ and:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_\beta &= r^{t-s} (\mathfrak{w}_\beta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\beta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^s(\beta)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^t(\theta)})^{-1} v, \\
x_{\varphi(\beta)} &= r^{t+1-s} (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\beta)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^2(\beta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+1}(\beta)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{t+1}(\theta)})^{-1} v,
\end{aligned}$$

thus:

$$\mathfrak{w}_\beta x_{\varphi(\beta)} = r \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+1}(\beta)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{t+1}(\theta)}^{-1} x_\beta = r \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{t+1}(\theta)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{t+1}(\theta)}^{-1} x_\beta = r x_\beta$$

which shows:

$$\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}} ((x_\beta)_{\beta \in \frac{\theta}{\sim}}) = (\mathfrak{w}_\beta x_{\varphi(\beta)})_{\beta \in \frac{\theta}{\sim}} = r (x_\beta)_{\beta \in \frac{\theta}{\sim}}$$

and $r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}})$. By Lemma 2.4, $r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma)$ \square

Remark 2.6 ([3, Remark 2.6]). For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ we have:

- $\alpha \in W(\varphi)$ if and only if $\frac{\alpha}{\sim} \subseteq W(\varphi)$,
- $\alpha \in Q(\varphi)$ if and only if $\frac{\alpha}{\sim} \subseteq Q(\varphi)$.

In particular, if $\sim = \Gamma \times \Gamma$, then:

- $W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $W(\varphi) = \Gamma$,
- $Q(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $Q(\varphi) = \Gamma$,

Lemma 2.7. Let $W(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \emptyset$, $\Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$ and $\sim = \Gamma \times \Gamma$, then:

1. $\emptyset \neq P(\varphi) \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \subseteq Q(\varphi)$,
2. for all $\theta \in P(\varphi)$ we have $\{\varphi^n(\theta) : n \geq 0\} = P(\varphi)$,
3. suppose $\theta \in P(\varphi)$, then:

$$\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = r^{per(\theta)}\}, & \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}) = \Gamma, \\ \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = r^{per(\theta)}\} \cup \{0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that $P(\varphi) \subseteq Q(\varphi) \subseteq \Gamma = W(\varphi) \cup Q(\varphi)$ (one may consider Remark 2.6).

1) Since $W(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \emptyset$ we have $\Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = Q(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \subseteq Q(\varphi)$.

Choose $\kappa \in Q(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$, then for each $n \geq 1$ we have $\varphi^n(\kappa) \in \Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. Since $\kappa \in Q(\varphi)$, there exists $p \geq 1$ such that $\varphi^p(\kappa) \in P(\varphi)$, in particular $P(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\theta \in P(\varphi)$, by $\sim = \Gamma \times \Gamma$ we have $\theta \sim \kappa$ and there exist $s \geq 1$, $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, per(\theta) - 1\}$ with $\varphi^s(\kappa) = \varphi^t(\theta)$, hence $\theta = \varphi^{per(\theta)}(\theta) = \varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t}(\kappa) \in \Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ which leads to $P(\varphi) \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$.

2) Consider $\theta, \lambda \in P(\varphi)$, it's evident that $\{\varphi^n(\theta) : n \geq 0\} \subseteq P(\varphi)$. Since $\theta \sim \lambda$, there exist $s \in \{0, \dots, per(\lambda) - 1\}$, $t \geq 1$ with $\varphi^t(\theta) = \varphi^s(\lambda)$. Thus $\lambda = \varphi^{per(\lambda)}(\lambda) =$

$\varphi^{t+per(\lambda)-s}(\theta) \in \{\varphi^n(\theta) : n \geq 0\}$. Therefore $P(\varphi) \subseteq \{\varphi^n(\theta) : n \geq 0\}$.

3) First suppose $r \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\theta \in P(\varphi)$, then there exists $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in V^\Gamma$ such that $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx$. Choose $\beta \in \Gamma$ with $x_\beta \neq 0$, by $\beta \sim \theta$ there exist $s \geq 1, t \in \{0, \dots, per(\theta) - 1\}$ such that $\varphi^s(\beta) = \varphi^t(\theta)$ hence $\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t}(\beta) = \varphi^{per(\theta)}(\theta) = \theta$ and:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx &\Rightarrow \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}^{s+per(\theta)-t}(x) = r^{s+per(\theta)-t}x \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{w}_\beta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\beta)} x_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t}(\beta)} = r^{s+per(\theta)-t} x_\beta \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{w}_\beta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\beta)} x_\theta = r^{s+per(\theta)-t} x_\beta \neq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow x_\theta \neq 0 \quad (\ast) \end{aligned}$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}(x) = rx &\Rightarrow \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}^{per(\theta)}(x) = r^{per(\theta)}x \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} x_{\varphi^{per(\theta)}(\theta)} = r^{per(\theta)} x_\theta \\ &\Rightarrow \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} x_\theta = r^{per(\theta)} x_\theta \\ &\stackrel{(\ast)}{\Rightarrow} \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = r^{per(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider $\rho \in F \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = \rho^{per(\theta)}$ and $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$. For $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there exist $s \geq 1, t \in \{0, \dots, per(\theta) - 1\}$ such that $\varphi^s(\alpha) = \varphi^t(\theta)$. Let:

$$y_\alpha := \rho^{-(s+per(\theta)-t)} \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)} v.$$

Note that y_α does not depend on chosen $s \geq 1, t \in \{0, \dots, per(\theta) - 1\}$, for this aim consider $s_1 \geq 1, t_1 \in \{0, \dots, per(\theta) - 1\}$ with $\varphi^{s_1}(\alpha) = \varphi^{t_1}(\theta)$. One may suppose $s_1 \geq s$. Then $\varphi^{t_1}(\theta) = \varphi^{s_1}(\alpha) = \varphi^{s_1-s}(\varphi^s(\alpha)) = \varphi^{s_1-s+t}(\theta)$ and there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $s_1 - s + t = t_1 + k per(\theta)$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)} \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s_1+per(\theta)-t_1-1}(\alpha)} \\ &= \rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)} \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+k per(\theta)-1}(\alpha)} \\ &= \rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)}) \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t}(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+per(\theta)-1}(\alpha)}) \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+per(\theta)}(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+2 per(\theta)-1}(\alpha)}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+(k-1) per(\theta)}(\alpha)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t+k per(\theta)-1}(\alpha)}) \\ \varphi^s(\alpha) \stackrel{=}{=} \varphi^t(\theta) &\quad \rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)}) \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)+per(\theta)-1}(\theta)}) \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)+per(\theta)}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)+2 per(\theta)-1}(\theta)}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad (\mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)+(k-1) per(\theta)}(\theta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)+k per(\theta)-1}(\theta)}) \\ &= \rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)}) (\mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\theta)-1}(\theta)})^k \\ &= \rho^{-(s_1+per(\theta)-t_1)+k per(\theta)} (\mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)}) \\ &= \rho^{-(s+per(\theta)-t)} \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{s+per(\theta)-t-1}(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\beta \in \Gamma$ and $p \geq 2, q \in \{0, \dots, \text{per}(\theta) - 1\}$ with $\varphi^p(\beta) = \varphi^q(\theta)$ we have $\varphi^{p-1}(\varphi(\beta)) = \varphi^q(\theta)$ and:

$$y_\beta := \rho^{-(p+\text{per}(\theta)-q)} \mathfrak{w}_\beta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{p+\text{per}(\theta)-q-1}(\beta)} v,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\varphi(\beta)} &= \rho^{-(p-1+\text{per}(\theta)-q)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\beta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{p-1+\text{per}(\theta)-q-1}(\varphi(\beta))} v \\ &= \rho^{-(p-1+\text{per}(\theta)-q)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\beta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{p+\text{per}(\theta)-q-1}(\beta)} v \\ &= \rho \rho^{-(p+\text{per}(\theta)-q)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi(\beta)} \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{p+\text{per}(\theta)-q-1}(\beta)} v \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{w}_\beta y_{\varphi(\beta)} = \rho y_\beta$, which shows $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \rho (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ and $\rho \in \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma)$. \square

Corollary 2.8. If $\mathfrak{g} \in Q(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$, then $\emptyset \neq P(\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq Q(\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})$, and $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi \upharpoonright_{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim}}) \setminus \{0\} = \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{\text{per}(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = r^{\text{per}(\theta)}\}$ for all $\theta \in P(\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g} \in Q(\varphi)$, so $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\sim} \subseteq Q(\varphi)$. Thus $W(\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \emptyset \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \emptyset$ (note that $\sim_{\mathfrak{g}} = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \Gamma_{\mathfrak{g}}$). Use Lemma 2.7 to complete the proof. \square

Theorem 2.9 (Eigenvalues of weighted generalized shifts). For

$$\mathbf{M} := \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \exists \theta \in P(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \prod_{0 \leq i < \text{per}(\theta)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^i(\theta)} = r^{\text{per}(\theta)}\}$$

we have:

$$\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = \begin{cases} F \setminus \{0\}, & W(\varphi) \not\subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}, \Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}), \\ F, & W(\varphi) \not\subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}, \Gamma \neq \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}), \\ \mathbf{M}, & W(\varphi) \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}, \Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}), \\ \mathbf{M} \cup \{0\}, & W(\varphi) \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}, \Gamma \neq \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. First suppose $W(\varphi) \not\subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$, then by Lemma 2.5, $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = F \setminus \{0\}$ if $\Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{Z})$ and $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = F$ otherwise.

Now suppose $W(\varphi) \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. For $\theta \in \Gamma$ we have the following cases:

- $\theta \in W(\varphi) \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. In this case by Corollary 2.3, $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi \upharpoonright_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}) \setminus \{0\} = \emptyset$.
- $\theta \in Q(\varphi)$ and $\frac{\theta}{\sim} \subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. Again in this case by Corollary 2.3, $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi \upharpoonright_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}) \setminus \{0\} = \emptyset$.
- $\theta \in Q(\varphi)$ and $\frac{\theta}{\sim} \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$. In this case by Corollary 2.8, $P(\varphi) \cap \frac{\theta}{\sim} \cap \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \emptyset$ and $\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi \upharpoonright_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}_{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\theta}{\sim}}) \setminus \{0\} = \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{w}_\theta \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{\text{per}(\theta)-1}(\theta)} = r^{\text{per}(\theta)}\}$ for each $\theta \in P(\varphi) \cap \frac{\theta}{\sim}$.

On the other hand by Corollary 2.8, for $\theta \in Q(\varphi)$ we have $\frac{\theta}{\sim} \not\subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ if and only if $P(\varphi) \cap \frac{\theta}{\sim} \not\subseteq \downarrow \mathfrak{Z}$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 and above cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) \setminus \{0\} \\
&= \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\} \} \\
&= \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in Q(\varphi), \frac{\alpha}{\sim} \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset \setminus \{0\} \} \\
&= \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in P(\varphi), \frac{\alpha}{\sim} \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset \setminus \{0\} \} \\
&= \bigcup \{ \text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi|_{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}, \mathfrak{w}^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}}, V^{\frac{\alpha}{\sim}}) : \alpha \in P(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \setminus \{0\} \} \\
&= \bigcup \{ \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\alpha)-1}(\alpha)} = r^{per(\alpha)}\} : \alpha \in P(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \} \\
&= \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \exists \alpha \in P(\varphi) \setminus \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} \quad \mathfrak{w}_\alpha \cdots \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^{per(\alpha)-1}(\alpha)} = r^{per(\alpha)}\} \\
&= M
\end{aligned}$$

Use Remark 2.1 to complete the proof. \square

Remark 2.10. If $\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}} : V^\Gamma \rightarrow V^\Gamma$ is isomorphism, then it is onto and $\mathfrak{Z} = \downarrow \mathfrak{Z} = \emptyset$. So by Theorem 2.9 and Remark 2.1:

$$\text{Eigen}(\sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{w}}, V^\Gamma) = \begin{cases} F \setminus \{0\}, & W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset, \\ \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \exists \theta \in P(\varphi) \prod_{0 \leq i < per(\theta)} \mathfrak{w}_{\varphi^i(\theta)} = r^{per(\theta)}\}, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.11 (Eigenvalues of generalized shifts). We have:

$$\text{Eigen}(\sigma_\varphi, V^\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \exists \theta \in P(\varphi) \quad o(r) | per(\theta)\}, & W(\varphi) = \emptyset, \Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma), \\ \{r \in F \setminus \{0\} : \exists \theta \in P(\varphi) \quad o(r) | per(\theta)\} \cup \{0\}, & W(\varphi) = \emptyset, \Gamma \neq \varphi(\Gamma), \\ F \setminus \{0\}, & W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset, \Gamma = \varphi(\Gamma), \\ F, & W(\varphi) \neq \emptyset, \Gamma \neq \varphi(\Gamma). \end{cases}$$

where for $r \in F \setminus \{0\}$, $o(r)$ denotes the order of r in multiplicative group $F \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Use Theorem 2.9 and the fact that $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_{\varphi, \mathfrak{u}}$ for $\mathfrak{u} = (1)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, F. Ebrahimifar, R. Rezavand, *Weighted generalized shift operators on ℓ^p spaces*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, Volume 71, Issue 1 (2021), 1–12.
- [2] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, N. Karami Kabir, F. Heidari Ardi, *A Note on shift theory*, Mathematica Pannonica, Proceedings of ITES-2007, 19/2 (2008), 187–195.
- [3] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, E. Soleimani, *On eigenvalues of generalized shift linear vector isomorphisms*, Computational Methods for Differential Equations, Vol. 7, No. 4 (2019), 616–620.
- [4] G. Gunatillake, *Spectrum of a compact weighted composition operator*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 135, No. 2 (2007), 461–467.
- [5] J.–Sh. Jeang, N.–Ch. Wong, *Weighted composition operators of $C_0(X)$'s*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 201 (1996), 981–993.

- [6] J. von Neumann, *Eigenvalue problems of symmetric operators (Eigenwertproblem symmetrischer Funktionaloperatoren)* (German), JFM 54.0427.02, Jahresbericht D. M. V. 37, 11-14 (1928).

Safoura Arzanesh, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: arzanesh.parsian@gmail.com)

Fatemah Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: f.a.z.shirazi@ut.ac.ir, fatemah@khayam.ut.ac.ir)

Arezoo Hosseini, Faculty of Mathematics, College of Science, Farhangian University, Pardis Nasibe-shahid sherafat, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: a.hosseini@cfu.ac.ir)

Reza Rezavand, School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science, University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran (*e-mail*: rezavand@ut.ac.ir)