

Local regularity criteria in terms of one velocity component for the Navier-Stokes equations

Kyungkeun Kang* Dinh Duong Nguyen†

June 7, 2022

Abstract

This paper is devoted to presenting new interior regularity criteria in terms of one velocity component for weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions. It is shown that the velocity is regular near a point z if its scaled $L_t^p L_x^q$ -norm of some quantities related to the velocity field is finite and the scaled $L_t^p L_x^q$ -norm of one velocity component is sufficiently small near z .

Keywords: Local energy solutions, Suitable weak solutions, Navier-Stokes equations, one velocity component, Ladyzhenskaya-Prodi-Serrin regularity condition.

AMS Subject Classification Number: 35Q30, 76D03, 76D05.

1 Introduction

Let us consider the partial regularity of weak solutions for the three dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla \pi = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (\text{NS})$$

associated with the divergence-free initial data v_0 , where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ and $T > 0$. The unknowns in (NS) are the velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and the pressure $\pi : \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is well known that (NS) is invariant under the following natural scaling

$$v(x, t) \mapsto v_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(x, t) \mapsto \pi_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which plays an important role in the regularity theory.

The existence of global weak solutions $v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ of the initial boundary value problem to (NS) was provided a long time ago by Leray [26] ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$) and Hopf [14] (Ω bounded) for finite energy initial data. These solutions satisfy the global energy inequality and now are known as Leray-Hopf weak solutions. However, the uniqueness of global weak solutions and the existence of global strong solutions are still outstanding open problems.

Various sufficient conditions have been provided to guarantee the regularity (and uniqueness) of weak solutions. One of the first and of the most well known of such conditions was independently given by Prodi [31], Serrin [34, 35] and Ladyzhenskaya [23] (also

*Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, 03722 Seoul, Republic of Korea. E-mail address: kkang@yonsei.ac.kr

†Department of Mathematics, Yonsei University, 03722 Seoul, Republic of Korea. E-mail address: nguyendinhduong.math.khntn@gmail.com

known as the (LPS) regularity condition, see also [10]) which reads $v \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ where

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 3 \leq q \leq \infty. \quad (\text{LPS})$$

The case $(p, q) = (\infty, 3)$ has been investigated later by Escauriaza, Seregin and Šverák [9] (also for interior regularity cases).

For a solution v to (NS) we say that $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ is a regular point of v if there exists $r > 0$ such that $v \in L^\infty(Q_r(z))$. Otherwise, it will be called a singular point. The singular set of v contains all singular points. The partial regularity theory for (NS) has been initiated by Scheffer [32, 33] and further improved and simplified by Caffarelli, Kohn and Nirenberg [4], Lin [27], Ladyzhenskaya and Seregin [24] and Vasseur [36]. They showed that the one-dimensional parabolic Hausdorff measure of the set of possible interior singular points for suitable weak solutions (see Definition 2.1) is zero (also for one-dimensional Hausdorff measure). Note that if a weak solution satisfies (LPS) then it is suitable (see [11]). Interior and near boundary regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions were also provided in terms of some norms with scaled factors by Gustafson, Kang and Tsai in [11, 12].

Regularity criteria based only on one velocity component started in [30] in which the authors provided the regularity in $D \times (t_1, t_2) \subset \Omega \times (0, T)$ if one velocity component v_3 is bounded in D . Then, Neustupa, Novotný and Penel in [28] proved the regularity under a (LPS)-type condition $v_3 \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 4 \leq p < \infty, \quad 6 < q \leq \infty,$$

This result was generalized by Kukavica and Ziane [22] to

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq \frac{5}{8} \quad \text{for } \frac{16}{5} \leq p < \infty, \quad \frac{24}{5} < q \leq \infty,$$

and by Cao and Titi [5] to

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3q} \quad \text{for } 3 < p \leq \infty, \quad \frac{7}{2} < q \leq \infty,$$

and also by Pokorný and Zhou [40] to

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2q} \quad \text{for } \frac{8}{3} \leq p < \infty, \quad \frac{10}{3} < q \leq \infty.$$

One of the first results on blow-up criteria via one velocity component in the scaling-invariant space $L^p(0, T; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ ¹ was given by Chemin and Zhang in [7] for $p \in (4, 6)$, then by Chemin, Zhang and Zhang in [8] for $p \in (4, \infty)$ and recently extended to $p \in [2, \infty)$ in [13] by Han, Lei, Li and Zhao. It is equivalent that if $v_3 \in L^p(0, T; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ then v is regular in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$ for $p \in [2, \infty)$. Note that the embedding from the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^{\frac{3p}{p-2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuous for $p > 2$ (see for example [2]), and for $2 < p < \infty$, $(p, \frac{3p}{p-2})$ satisfies (LPS).

Very recently, two significant results have been established. Firstly, Chae and Wolf [6] proved the regularity under strictly (LPS), namely $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} < 1$ for $3 < q \leq \infty$. Meanwhile, Wang, Wu and Zhang [37] obtained (LPS) in the sense of Lorentz spaces

¹That means $\|v_\lambda\|_{L^p(0, \lambda^{-2}T; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} = \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ for $v_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda v(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ with $\lambda > 0$.

$v_3 \in L^{p,1}(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $2 < p < \infty$ and $3 < q < \infty$, where $L^{p,1}$ denotes Lorentz spaces with respect to time variable (note that $L^{p,1} \subsetneq L^p$ for all $p > 1$). On the other hand, in [1] Bae and the first author proved regularity of Type I solution satisfying (LPS) condition for one component of the velocity.

Further discussions on this direction can be found in [29], where the author posed a question: *Whether $v_3 \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with (p, q) satisfying (LPS) is sufficiently for the regularity of solution v in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (t_1, t_2)$?* In general, it is still an open problem at the time writing this paper.

The aim of this paper is to provide local regularity criteria in terms of one velocity component both for local energy and suitable weak solutions. We provide initial regularity and local criteria in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. As a consequence, we provide a partially (the $L_t^p L_x^q$ -norm of v_3 is needed to be small enough) positive answer to the above question for $q \in [3, \infty]$. For $z = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ and $r > 0$ we denote

$$Q_r(z) := B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t) \quad \text{and} \quad v_r(t) := \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} v(y, t) dy.$$

Before we state our main results, we recall a regularity criterion (see [39, Theorem 5.1]), which is given as follows: There exists an absolute constant $\epsilon_W > 0$ such that if (v, p) be a suitable weak solution in $Q_r(x_0, t_0)$ satisfying

$$r^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_r(x_0, t_0))}^3 \leq \epsilon_W \tag{1.1}$$

for some $r \in (0, 1)$ then $v \in L^\infty(Q_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_0, t_0))$.

Now we are ready to state main theorems. Our first result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (v, π) be a local energy solution (resp., suitable weak solution) to (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ associated with the divergence-free initial data $v_0 \in L_{\text{uloc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (resp., in the sense that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|v(t) - v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0$). Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $M > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon_W]$, where ϵ_W is the constant in (1.1). There exists $\delta_0(M, \epsilon_0) \in (0, 1)$ with the following property. For some $0 < r_0 = r_0(M, \epsilon_0) \leq \sqrt{T}$ if*

$$\sup_{r \in (0, r_0]} r^{-1} \|v_0\|_{L^2(B_r(x_0))}^2 \leq M \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|v_3\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Q_r(x_0, r^2))} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \tag{1.3}$$

hold, then

$$(\delta_0 r_0)^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r_0}(x_0, (\delta_0 r_0)^2))}^3 \leq \epsilon_0.$$

In particular, v is regular in $\{x_0\} \times (0, (\delta_1 r_0)^2]$ for some $\delta_1(M, \epsilon_0) \in (0, 1)$.

For regularity criteria at a given point, an additional condition on the velocity is needed, in which the result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (v, π) be a local energy solution (resp., suitable weak solution) to (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ associated with the divergence-free initial data $v_0 \in E^2$ (resp., $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$). Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$, $M > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon_W]$, where ϵ_W is the constant in (1.1). There exists $\delta_0(M, \epsilon_0) \in (0, 1)$ with the following property. For some $0 < r_0 = r_0(M, \epsilon_0, p_0, q_0) \leq \sqrt{t_0}$, assume that one of following conditions holds*

$$(i) \quad \sup_{r \in (0, r_0]} r^{1 - \frac{2}{p_0} - \frac{3}{q_0}} \|v - v_r\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \leq M \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \in [1, 2), \frac{3}{2} < q_0 \leq \infty;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad & \sup_{r \in (0, r_0]} r^{2 - \frac{2}{p_0} - \frac{3}{q_0}} \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \leq M \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \in [2, 3), 1 < q_0 \leq \infty; \\
(iii) \quad & \sup_{r \in (0, r_0]} r^{2 - \frac{2}{p_0} - \frac{3}{q_0}} \|w\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \leq M \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \in [2, 3), 1 < q_0 < \infty; \\
(iv) \quad & \sup_{r \in (0, r_0]} r^{3 - \frac{2}{p_0} - \frac{3}{q_0}} \|\nabla w\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \leq M \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \in [3, 4), 1 \leq q_0 \leq \infty;
\end{aligned}$$

where $w = \nabla \times v$. In addition, suppose that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|v_3\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Q_r(z_0))} = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Then

$$(\delta_0 r_0)^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r_0}(z_0))}^3 \leq \epsilon_0. \quad (1.5)$$

In particular, z_0 is a regular point of v .

In particular, due to the structure of the local energy inequality we are able to consider a one-scaled condition as in [20, 21, Theorems 2.1], which is related to the pressure for suitable weak solutions but not for local energy solutions. More precisely, the result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (v, π) be a local energy solution (resp., suitable weak solution) to (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ associated with the divergence-free initial data $v_0 \in E^2$ (resp., $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$). Let $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$, $M > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon_W]$, where ϵ_W is the constant in (1.1). There exist $\epsilon(M, \epsilon_0)$ and $\delta_0(M, \epsilon_0) \in (0, 1)$ with the following property. For some $0 < r_0 = r_0(M, \epsilon_0, p, q) \leq \sqrt{t_0}$ if*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{either } r_0^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{r_0}(z_0))}^3 \leq M \quad \text{for local energy solution} \\
& \text{or } r_0^{-2} \left(\|v\|_{L^3(Q_{r_0}(z_0))}^3 + \|\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_{r_0}(z_0))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) \leq M \quad \text{for suitable weak solution}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$r_0^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|v_3\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Q_{r_0}(z_0))} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \quad (1.6)$$

hold then (1.5) follows and z_0 is a regular point of v .

Remark 1.1. We add some comments on our results:

1. Theorem 1.1 is inspired by [18, Theorem 3.1], where the authors provided the initial regularity for M sufficiently small in (1.2). As a consequence, the same conclusion holds if (1.3) is replaced by $v_3 \in L_t^p L_x^q(Q_{r_0}(z_0))$ for (p, q) , $q > 3$ satisfying (LPS).
2. Theorem 1.2 extends the results in [12, Theorem 1.1] and [38, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] in the sense that we only need one component is sufficiently small instead of the whole velocity or two components. A special case of our result shows that v is regular if

$$|v_1, v_2| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{T-t}} \quad \text{and} \quad |v_3| \leq \frac{\epsilon(M)}{\sqrt{T-t}},$$

which improves Leray's result [26, page 227]. In [6, Theorem 1.3], the authors proved that z_0 is a regular point under the following assumption for some $a \in (1, \infty)$ and for some $\rho > 0$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} (-\log(r))^a r^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|v_3\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Q_{\rho, r}(z_0))} = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where $1 \leq \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq \frac{3}{2}$, $3 \leq q < \infty$, $Q_{\rho,r}(z_0) = B'_\rho(x'_0) \times (x_{03} - r, x_{03} + r) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ with $B'_\rho(x'_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y - x'_0| < \rho\}$ and $x_0 = (x'_0, x_{03})$. It can be seen that (1.7) is not given in a dimensionless form as (1.4), but we need one of the additional conditions on v . As a result, in Theorem 1.2, if one of (i),(ii),(iii) or (iv) holds for all z_0 and v_3 satisfies (LPS) with $q > 3$ instead of (1.4) then the global regularity follows.

3. Theorem 1.3 is inspired by [20, 21, Theorems 2.1], but we generalize the condition on v_3 to the scaled $L_t^p L_x^q$ -norm instead of only on $L_{t,x}^3$ -norm. We remark that the condition is not involved the pressure in the case of local energy solutions due to the decomposition (2.3). Moreover, Theorem 1.3 also yields [21, Theorem 2.2]. More precisely, let (v, π) be a Leray-Hopf weak solution to (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$. If v is regular then for $q \geq 3$ and $t \in (0, T)$

$$\|v_1(\cdot, t), v_2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{M}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2q}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_3(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon(M)}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2q}}}.$$

4. In [1, Theorem 1.1] the authors proved that smooth bounded solutions of (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (-1, 0)$ do not blow up at $t = 0$ if

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{-t}} && \text{for } t \in (-1, 0), \\ v_3 &\in L^p(-1, 0; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) && \text{for } \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} = 1, q \in (3, \infty]. \end{aligned}$$

They also provided the same result in the cases v_3 belongs to other invariant spaces such as weak Lebesgue, homogeneous Morrey–Campanato and homogeneous Besov spaces. Note that our conditions on v_3 as in (1.3), (1.4) or (1.6) can be considered in the above invariant spaces. We should mention that by using (1.7) the authors in [6] provided the global regularity in the strict case, i.e.,

$$v_3 \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} < 1, q \in (3, \infty],$$

which is due to the logarithmic factor. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3 is that if for some $\epsilon(v, \pi) > 0$

$$\|v_3\|_{L^p(0, \infty; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } \frac{2}{p} + \frac{3}{q} \leq 1, q \in [3, \infty],$$

then v is a regular solution in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$. Therefore, we leave an open question whether or not the above smallness assumption or the logarithmic factor in (1.7) can be relaxed.

5. Theorems 1.1-1.3 partially answer the question posed in [29] and also hold for Leray-Hopf weak solutions under some further assumption on v_0 , for example in the case $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Note that for our results in the case of suitable weak solutions we can replace \mathbb{R}^3 by bounded domains Ω in which we need to require $0 < r_0 \leq \min\{\sqrt{t_0}, \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)\}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to recalling notions of weak solutions to (NS). Proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3 will be given in Sections 3-5, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce some notations and recall some notions of weak solutions to (NS). For $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $r, t > 0$ and $z = (x, t)$ we denote $dz = dxdt$, $Q_r(z) = B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t)$. Let A be a set and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote $\delta A = \{\delta a : a \in A\}$. For $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ be two matrices we define $A : B = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}b_{ij}$ and $u \otimes v = (c_{ij})$ where $c_{ij} = u_i v_j$ for $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3), v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$. For convenience, we denote $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. We use C for denoting absolute constants. We also use the usual notions for Bochner, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. For $q \in [1, \infty]$, we define

$$L^q_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \left\{ f \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|f\|_{L^q_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|f\|_{L^q(B_1(x_0))} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let E^q be the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in $L^q_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ -norm. In [25], the space E^q can be defined by an equivalent way as follows

$$E^q := \left\{ f \in L^q_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{L^q(B_1(x))} = 0 \right\}.$$

We recall the well-known Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality (see for example in [4]) for $u \in H^1(B_r(x_0))$ with $r > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\|u\|_{L^q(B_r(x_0))}^q \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_r(x_0))}^{2a} \|u\|_{L^2(B_r(x_0))}^{q-2a} + Cr^{-2a} \|u\|_{L^2(B_r(x_0))}^q, \quad (2.1)$$

where $2 \leq q \leq 6$ and $a = \frac{3}{4}(q-2)$. Let us recall the notions of suitable weak solutions (see [4, 27]) and local energy solutions or local Leray solutions (see [3, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25]) to (NS), respectively.

Definition 2.1 (Suitable weak solutions). *For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and open interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$, we say (v, π) is a suitable weak solution to (NS) in $\Omega \times I$ if it satisfies*

1. $v \in L^\infty(I; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(I; \dot{H}^1(\Omega))$, $\pi \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega \times I)$;
2. (NS) in the sense of distributions in $\Omega \times I$;
3. and the local energy inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \varphi \, dx + 2 \int_{\Omega_s} |\nabla v|^2 \varphi \, dz \leq \int_{\Omega_s} |v|^2 (\partial_t \varphi + \Delta \varphi) + (|v|^2 + 2\pi)(v \cdot \nabla \varphi) \, dz \quad (2.2)$$

for $s \in I$, $\Omega_s = \Omega \times (0, s)$ and all non-negative $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times I)$.

Definition 2.2 (Local energy solutions). *A vector field $v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$ is a local energy solution to (NS) with divergence free initial data $v_0 \in L^2_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if*

1. for some $\pi \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, T])$, the pair (v, π) is a distributional solution to (NS);
2. for any $r > 0$,

$$\text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t < \min\{r^2, T\}} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |v|^2 \, dx + \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^{\min\{r^2, T\}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 \, dz < \infty,$$

3. for all compact subsets K of \mathbb{R}^3 we have $v(t) \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^2(K)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$;

4. (v, p) satisfies the local energy inequality (2.2) for all non-negative functions $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q)$ with all cylinder Q compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$;

5. for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r > 0$, there exists $c_{r, x_0} \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}((0, T))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x, t) - c_{r, x_0}(t) &= \frac{1}{3}|v(x, t)|^2 + \text{p.v.} \int_{B_{3r}(x_0)} K(x - y) : (v \otimes v)(y, t) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{3r}(x_0)} (K(x - y) - K(x_0 - y)) : (v \otimes v)(y, t) dy \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

in $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_{2r}(x_0) \times (0, T))$ where $K(x) := \nabla^2(\frac{1}{4\pi|x|})$;

6. and for any compactly supported functions $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\text{the function } t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x, t) \cdot w(x) dx \text{ is continuous on } [0, T].$$

If (v, p) is a local energy solution to (NS) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ for all $T \in (0, \infty)$ then we say that it is a local energy solution in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$. We recall a version of Gronwall inequality due to Bradshaw and Tsai.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 2.2 in [3]). *Let $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, T_0]; [0, \infty))$ satisfies*

$$f(t) \leq a + b \int_0^t f(s) + f^m(s) ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T_0]$$

and for some constants $a, b > 0$, $m \geq 1$. Then

$$f(t) \leq 2a \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad \text{where } T = \min \left\{ T_0, \frac{C}{b(1 + a^{m-1})} \right\}.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. • (The case of local energy solution) Let $\gamma \in (0, \frac{r_0}{3}]$. The local energy inequality (see [18, Theorem 3.4]) with $\gamma \leq r \leq \frac{r_0}{3}$ and $s \in (0, r_0^2]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_r(s) &:= \text{ess sup}_{t \in (0, s)} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |v(t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{r} \int_0^s \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dz + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\pi_{r_0, x_0}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \\ &\leq 2M + \frac{C}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) dt + \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |v|^3 dz + \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |\pi_{r_0, x_0}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.2) and (2.3) with $(x, t) \in B_{2r_0}(x_0) \times (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{r_0, x_0} &:= \pi(x, t) - c_{r_0, x_0}(t) = \frac{1}{3}|v(x, t)|^2 + p_1(x, t) + p_2(x, t), \\ p_1(x, t) &:= \text{p.v.} \int_{B_{3r_0}(x_0)} K(x - y) : (v \otimes v)(y, t) dy, \\ p_2(x, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{3r_0}(x_0)} (K(x - y) - K(x_0 - y)) : (v \otimes v)(y, t) dy, \\ \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) &:= \sup_{r \in [\gamma, r_0]} E_r(s). \end{aligned}$$

The cubic term is denoted by R_3 and can be estimated by using (2.1) and Young inequality as follows

$$R_3 \leq \epsilon \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) + \frac{C_\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_\gamma^3(t) dt$$

for some absolute constant $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ to be specified later. For the pressure term which is denoted by R_4 and is bounded by using the Calderón-Zygmund estimate

$$R_4 \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{3r}(x_0)} |v|^3 dz + \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz.$$

For any $x \in B_{2r}(x_0) \subset B_{2r_0}(x_0)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{3r_0}(x_0)$ we have the fact that

$$|K(x-y) - K(x_0-y)| \leq C \frac{|x-x_0|}{|x_0-y|^4} \leq \frac{Cr}{|x_0-y|^4}.$$

Therefore, it follows that for $(x, t) \in B_{2r}(x_0) \times (0, s)$ with $s \in (0, r_0^2]$

$$\begin{aligned} |p_2(x, t)| &\leq Cr \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{3r_0}(x_0)} \frac{|v(y, t)|^2}{|x_0-y|^4} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{B_{2^{i+1}r_0}(x_0) \setminus B_{2^i r_0}(x_0)} \frac{|v(y, t)|^2}{|x_0-y|^4} dy \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{4i}} \int_{B_{2^{i+1}r_0}(x_0)} |v(y, t)|^2 dy \\ &\leq C \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, r_0^2)} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |v(x, t)|^2 dx =: V < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$R_4 \leq CV^{\frac{3}{2}} + \epsilon \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) + \frac{C_\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_\gamma^3(t) dt$$

and for $0 < \gamma < r \leq \frac{r_0}{3}$

$$E_r(s) \leq 2M + CV^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\epsilon \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) + \frac{C_\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) + \mathcal{E}_\gamma^3(t) dt.$$

On the other hand, for $\frac{r_0}{3} < r \leq r_0$

$$E_r(s) \leq \frac{9}{r_0} \left(\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, r_0^2)} \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)} |v(t)|^2 dx + \int_{Q_{r_0}(x_0, r_0^2)} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{r_0} |\pi_{r_0, x_0}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \right) := E_0 < \infty.$$

Therefore, by choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$ we obtain for all $s \in (0, r_0^2]$ and for all $\gamma \in (0, r_0]$

$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) \leq C(E_0 + M + V^{\frac{3}{2}}) + \frac{C}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) + \mathcal{E}_\gamma^3(t) dt.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to the above form one has

$$\mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) \leq E_1, \quad \forall s \in (0, \lambda\gamma^2], \forall \gamma \in (0, r_0],$$

where $E_1 := C(E_0 + M + V^{\frac{3}{2}})$ and $\lambda := \frac{C}{1+E_1^2} \leq 1$. It yields $E_r(r^2) \leq \lambda^{-1}E_1$ for all $r \in (0, \lambda r_0]$. In particular,

$$E_r(r^2) \leq \lambda^{-1}(E_1 + E_0) =: E_2, \quad \forall r \in (0, r_0].$$

We assume that the conclusion is not true, i.e.,

$$(\delta_0 r_0)^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r_0}(x_0, (\delta_0 r_0)^2))}^3 > \epsilon_0, \quad \forall \delta_0 \in (0, 1).$$

For $(x, t) \in Q_1(0, 0)$ and $\delta \in (0, r_0]$ we define

$$v_\delta(x, t) := \delta v(\delta x + x_0, \delta^2 t + \delta^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\delta(x, t) := \delta^2 \pi_{r_0, x_0}(\delta x + x_0, \delta^2 t + \delta^2).$$

Then (v_δ, π_δ) is a sequence of suitable weak solutions to (NS) in $Q_1(0, 0)$ since (v, π_{r_0, x_0}) is a suitable weak solution to (NS) in $Q_\delta(x_0, \delta^2)$ for all $\delta \in (0, r_0]$. Moreover, it can be seen from the bound of (v, π) that

$$\|v_\delta\|_{L^\infty(-1, 0; L^2(B_1(0)))}^2 + \|\nabla v_\delta\|_{L^2(-1, 0; L^2(B_1(0)))}^2 + \|\pi_\delta\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(-1, 0; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_1(0)))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq E_2.$$

It implies that there exist a subsequence of (v_δ, π_δ) (still denoted by (v_δ, π_δ)) and a pair $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$ and by using Aubin-Lion lemma and interpolation we find that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} v_\delta \xrightarrow{*} \bar{v} & \text{in } L^\infty(-1, 0; L^2(B_1(0))), \\ \nabla v_\delta \rightharpoonup \nabla \bar{v} & \text{in } L^2(-1, 0; L^2(B_1(0))), \\ \pi_\delta \rightharpoonup \bar{\pi} & \text{in } L^{\frac{3}{2}}(-1, 0; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(B_1(0))), \\ v_\delta \rightarrow \bar{v} & \text{in } L^a(-1, 0; L^a(B_1(0))) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a < \frac{10}{3}. \end{cases}$$

It can be seen that $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$ is also a suitable weak solution to (NS) in $Q_1(0, 0)$. In addition, using (1.3) we obtain for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \|v_{\delta, 3}\|_{L^p(-1, 0; L^q(B_1(0)))} = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \delta^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{q}} \|v_3\|_{L^p(0, \delta^2; L^q(B_\delta(x_0)))} = 0,$$

which implies that $\bar{v}_3 \equiv 0$. Thus, $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$ is also a suitable weak solution to "the limiting system" which is formally reduced from (NS) by setting $\bar{v}_3 = 0$. The authors in [20, Theorem 3.1] proved that \bar{v} is regular. However, for some $0 < \nu < r_0^{-1}$ so that $0 < \delta\nu < 1$ we have

$$(\nu r_0)^{-2} \|v_\delta\|_{L^3(Q_{\nu r_0}(0, 0))}^3 = (\delta\nu r_0)^{-2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta\nu r_0}(x_0, (\delta\nu r_0)^2))}^3 > \epsilon_0,$$

and

$$(\nu r_0)^{-2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^3(Q_{\nu r_0}(0, 0))}^3 \geq \epsilon_0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

which is a contradiction with the regularity of \bar{v} by choosing ν sufficiently small. Therefore, the proof is complete by iteration and using the one scale regularity criterion given by (1.1).

• (The case of suitable weak solution) In this case the proof is quite similar to that of for local energy solutions and we only need to estimate the pressure term with π instead of π_{r_0, x_0} . As in [18, Theorem 3.1], we decompose the pressure as $\pi = p_1 + p_2$ with

$$p_1(x, t) := -\frac{1}{3} \xi(x) |v(x, t)|^2 + \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x - y) : (v \otimes v)(y, t) \xi(y) dy,$$

where K is given as in (2.3), $\xi = \xi_{x_0}$ is a smooth cut-off function with $\xi = 1$ in $B_\rho(x_0)$ and supported in $B_{2\rho}(x_0)$ for some $\rho \in [3r, \frac{r_0}{2}]$, here $0 < \gamma \leq r \leq \frac{r_0}{8C_1}$ for some absolute constant C_1 will be given below and ρ will be specified later. Since p_2 is harmonic in $B_\rho(x_0)$ and using the Calderón-Zygmund estimate we find that

$$\int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |\pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \leq C \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx + C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |p_2|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx + C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |p_1|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx + C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{B_{2\rho}(x_0)} |v|^3 dx + C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies for some absolute constant $C_1 > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_4 &:= \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{2r}(x_0)} |\pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{2\rho}(x_0)} |v|^3 dz + \frac{C_1 r}{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_\rho(x_0)} |\pi|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \\
&\leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_0^s \int_{B_{8C_1 r}(x_0)} |v|^3 dz + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) + \epsilon \mathcal{E}_\gamma(s) + \frac{C_\epsilon}{\gamma^2} \int_0^s \mathcal{E}_\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}(t) + \mathcal{E}_\gamma^3(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

by choosing $\rho = 4C_1 r \in [3r, \frac{r_0}{2}]$ and using the estimate of R_3 with the fact that $8C_1 r \in [\gamma, r_0]$. Thus the rest of the proof is almost the same that of the previous case. We omit the details and end the proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. • (The case of local energy solution) The local energy inequality gives us for $0 < r \leq \frac{r_0}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(r) &:= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (t_0 - r^2, t_0)} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |v(t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{r} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} |\nabla v|^2 dz + \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{Q_r(z_0)} |\pi_{r_0, x_0}|^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \\
&\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{9r^2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{3r}(z_0))}^3 + \max\{t_0^{\frac{3}{4}}, 1\} \|v_0\|_{L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 \right) =: C(1 + A(3r) + V_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder, Young and Calderón-Zygmund inequalities, a similar estimate for p_2 as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and [17, Lemma 3.5]. It can be seen that for $0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0$

$$A(r) \leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) A(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{Q_\rho(z_0)} |v - v_\rho|^3 dz =: C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) A(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^2 \bar{A}(\rho).$$

Case (i). Similarly to [38, Lemma A.2], by using Hölder and Poincaré inequalities we obtain

$$\bar{A}(\rho) \leq CE(\rho)^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} \left(\rho^{1 - \frac{2}{p_0} - \frac{3}{q_0}} \|v - v_\rho\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_\rho(z_0))} \right)^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)} \leq CE(\rho)^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} M^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)},$$

where $0 < \rho \leq r_0$, α and β should satisfy $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \frac{3\alpha}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{3(1-\alpha-\beta)}{q_0}, \quad 1 = \frac{3\beta}{2} + \frac{3(1-\alpha-\beta)}{p_0}, \quad 1 > \alpha + \beta, \quad \frac{2}{3} > \alpha, \beta, \\
\text{i.e., } \alpha &= \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{3}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} - 2 \right) \left(\frac{4}{p_0} + \frac{6}{q_0} - 3 \right)^{-1}, \quad \beta = \left(\frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{4}{q_0} - 2 \right) \left(\frac{4}{p_0} + \frac{6}{q_0} - 3 \right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to ensure $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we need to restrict ourselves to the cases

$$\frac{3}{2} < \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} < 2, \quad \frac{3}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \geq 2, \quad \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{4}{q_0} \geq 2, \quad p_0, q_0 < \infty.$$

However, other cases follow by using Hölder inequality. Indeed, for (p_1, q_1) such that $1 \leq \frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{3}{q_1} < 2$ with $\frac{3}{2} < q_1 \leq \infty$ we can find (p_0, q_0) satisfying the above conditions and

$$\rho^{1-\frac{2}{p_1}-\frac{3}{q_1}} \|v - v_\rho\|_{L_t^{p_1} L_x^{q_1}(Q_\rho(z_0))} \leq C \rho^{1-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} \|v - v_\rho\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_\rho(z_0))}.$$

In addition, we have $0 \leq 3(\alpha + \beta) < 2$ since our restriction on (p_0, q_0) . By using the above estimates and Young inequality we find that for $0 < 3(\alpha + \beta) < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) A(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^2 \bar{A}(\rho) \quad (0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right) A(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^2 E(\rho)^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} M^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{3\rho}\right) A(3\rho) + C \left(\frac{3\rho}{r}\right)^2 (C(1 + V_0 + A(3\rho)))^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} M^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)} \quad (0 < 6r \leq 3\rho \leq r_0) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_0\theta}{3} + \frac{1}{4}\right) A(3\rho) + \theta^{-\frac{4}{2-3(\alpha+\beta)}} C_{\alpha,\beta,M,V_0} \quad (r = \theta\rho, 2\theta \leq 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} A(3\rho) + \theta^{-\frac{4}{2-3(\alpha+\beta)}} C_{\alpha,\beta,M,V_0} \quad (4C_0\theta \leq 3, 2\theta \leq 1, 3\rho \leq r_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 > 1$ is an absolute constant, and for $\alpha + \beta = 0$ (i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 0$ or $p_0 = q_0 = 3$)

$$A(\theta\rho) \leq \frac{1}{2} A(3\rho) + \theta^{-2} C_M, \quad (4C_0\theta \leq 3, 2\theta \leq 1, 3\rho \leq r_0).$$

We choose $\theta = \min\{\frac{3}{4C_0}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and denote $\theta_0 = \frac{\theta}{3}$, $\rho_0 = 3\rho$. By iteration,

$$A(\theta_0^k \rho_0) \leq \frac{1}{2^k} A(\rho_0) + C_{\alpha,\beta,M,V_0}, \quad \forall k \geq 1, 0 < \rho_0 \leq r_0.$$

Thus, there exists $k_0 = k_0(r_0)$ sufficiently large such that

$$A(r) \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,M,V_0,r_0}, \quad \forall 0 < r \leq \theta_0^{k_0} r_0.$$

Case (ii). Similarly to the previous case, by using Hölder, Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities we find that

$$\bar{A}(\rho) \leq CE(\rho)^{\frac{3\gamma_0}{2}} \left(\rho^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_\rho(z_0))} \right)^{3(1-\gamma_0)} \leq CE(\rho)^{\frac{3\gamma_0}{2}} M^{3(1-\gamma_0)},$$

where $0 < \rho \leq r_0$, $\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 + \beta_0$, α_0 and β_0 should satisfy $\alpha_0 \geq 0, \beta_0 \geq 0$ and

$$1 = \frac{3\alpha_0}{2} + \frac{\beta_0}{2} + \frac{3(1-\alpha_0-\beta_0)}{q_0^*}, \quad q_0^* = \frac{3q_0}{3-q_0} \quad \text{for } q_0 \in (1, 3),$$

$$1 = \frac{3\beta_0}{2} + \frac{3(1-\alpha_0-\beta_0)}{p_0}, \quad 1 > \alpha_0 + \beta_0, \quad \frac{2}{3} > \alpha_0, \beta_0,$$

$$\text{i.e., } \alpha_0 = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{3}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} - 3 \right) \left(\frac{4}{p_0} + \frac{6}{q_0} - 5 \right)^{-1}, \quad \beta_0 = \left(\frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{4}{q_0} - \frac{10}{3} \right) \left(\frac{4}{p_0} + \frac{6}{q_0} - 5 \right)^{-1}.$$

So that we force ourselves to the cases

$$\frac{5}{2} < \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} < 3, \quad \frac{3}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} \geq 3, \quad \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{4}{q_0} \geq \frac{10}{3}, \quad q_0 \in (1, 3)$$

and other cases can be recovered by using Höler inequality as above. Note that we have $0 \leq 3\gamma_0 < 2$. Therefore, we can bound $A(r)$ as in Case (i).

Case (iii). Let $w = \nabla \times v$ and $0 < \rho \leq r_0$, as in [12, Lemma 3.6], we define

$$u(x, t) := \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_x \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \times w(y, t) \phi(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad h := v - u,$$

where ϕ is a standard cut off function supported in $B_\rho(x_0)$ such that $\phi = 1$ in $B_{\frac{3\rho}{4}}(x_0)$. It can be seen that $\Delta h = 0$ in $B_{\frac{3\rho}{4}}(x_0)$. For $0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0$ and $q_0 \in (1, \infty)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v\|_{L^{q_0}(B_r(x_0))}^{q_0} &\leq C_{q_0} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{q_0}(B_r(x_0))}^{q_0} + C_{q_0} \|\nabla h\|_{L^{q_0}(B_r(x_0))}^{q_0} \\ &\leq C_{q_0} \|w\|_{L^{q_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{q_0} + C_{q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \|\nabla h\|_{L^{q_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{q_0} \\ &\leq C_{q_0} \|w\|_{L^{q_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{q_0} + C_{q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \|\nabla v\|_{L^{q_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{q_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Calderón-Zygmund estimate and the mean value property of harmonic functions. Integrating in time yields for $1 < q_0 < 3$ (other cases can be recovered by using Hölder inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} H(r) &:= r^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} \|\nabla v\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{q_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \quad (0 < r \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C_{p_0, q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} M + C_{p_0, q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}} H(\rho) \quad (0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0) \\ &= C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} M + C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}} H(\rho) \quad (r = \theta\rho, 2\theta \leq 1, 0 < \rho \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} M + C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{\frac{3}{q_0}-1} H(\rho) \quad (r = \theta\rho, 2\theta \leq 1, C_{p_0, q_0} > 1, 0 < \rho \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C_{p_0, q_0} M + \frac{1}{2} H(\rho) \quad (2C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{\frac{3}{q_0}-1} \leq 1, 2\theta \leq 1, C_{p_0, q_0} > 1, 0 < \rho \leq r_0). \end{aligned}$$

By iteration, we can bound $H(r)$ by $C_{p_0, q_0} M$ for $0 < r \leq \theta^{k_0} r_0$ with some sufficiently large integer $k_0(r_0)$. It returns to Case (ii).

Case (iv). For $0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0$, $1 \leq q_0 < \frac{3}{2}$ (other cases where $\frac{3}{2} \leq q_0 \leq \infty$ can be recovered by using Hölder inequality) and $\frac{3}{2} \leq \bar{q}_0 := \frac{3q_0}{3-q_0} < 3$, using Sobolev inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^{\bar{q}_0}(B_r(x_0))}^{\bar{q}_0} &\leq C_{q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \|w\|_{L^{\bar{q}_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{\bar{q}_0} + C_{q_0} \|w - w_\rho\|_{L^{\bar{q}_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{\bar{q}_0} \\ &\leq C_{q_0} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^3 \|w\|_{L^{\bar{q}_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{\bar{q}_0} + C_{q_0} \|\nabla w\|_{L^{q_0}(B_\rho(x_0))}^{\bar{q}_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &:= r^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} \|w\|_{L_t^{p_0} L_x^{\bar{q}_0}(Q_r(z_0))} \quad (0 < r \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{\frac{3}{q_0}-2} G(\rho) + C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{2-\frac{2}{p_0}-\frac{3}{q_0}} M \quad (0 < \rho \leq r_0, r = \theta\rho, 2\theta \leq 1, C_{p_0, q_0} > 1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} G(\rho) + C_{p_0, q_0} M \quad (2C_{p_0, q_0} \theta^{\frac{3}{q_0}-2} \leq 1, 2\theta \leq 1, 0 < \rho \leq r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G(r)$ is bounded by $C_{p_0, q_0} M$ for $0 < r \leq \theta^{k_0} r_0$ with some sufficiently large integer $k_0(r_0)$. We then return to Case (iii) since $\frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} = \frac{2}{p_0} + \frac{3}{q_0} - 1 \in [2, 3)$. All above cases imply that

$$E(r) \leq C_{\alpha, \alpha_0, \beta, \beta_0, M, V_0, p_0, q_0, r_0}, \quad \forall 0 < r \leq r_0.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 by using (1.4) instead of (1.3), where we only need to modify the definition of (v_δ, π_δ) in the following way

$$v_\delta(x, t) := \delta v(\delta x + x_0, \delta^2 t + t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\delta(x, t) := \delta^2 \pi_{r_0, x_0}(\delta x + x_0, \delta^2 t + t_0).$$

- (The case of suitable weak solution) The local energy inequality yields for $0 < 2r \leq r_0$

$$E(r) \leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{4r^2} \|v\|_{L^3(Q_{2r}(z_0))}^3 + \frac{1}{4r^2} \|\pi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_{2r}(z_0))}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) =: C(1 + A(2r) + B(2r)),$$

where $E(r)$ is given as in the case of local energy solutions with π instead of π_{r_0, x_0} . As in [12, Lemma 3.4] we have

$$B(r) \leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho} \right) B(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 \bar{A}(\rho) \quad \text{for } 0 < 2r \leq \rho \leq r_0,$$

which implies as in the previous case that

$$\begin{aligned} D(r) &:= A(r) + B(r) \quad (0 < 2r \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho} \right) D(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 \bar{A}(\rho) \quad (0 < 8r \leq 4\rho \leq r_0) \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{\rho} \right) D(\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 E(\rho)^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} M^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{r}{2\rho} \right) D(2\rho) + C \left(\frac{\rho}{r} \right)^2 (C(1 + D(2\rho)))^{\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)}{2}} M^{3(1-\alpha-\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof then can follow as in the case of local energy solutions by considering $D(r)$ as $A(r)$. We omit the details and end the proof. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We will present the proof of Theorem 1.3. The idea of the proof comes from those of [20, 21, Theorems 2.1]. However, for local energy solutions the condition is not involved the pressure due to the decomposition (2.3).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. • (The case of local energy solution) We assume that the conclusion is not true, i.e., there exists a sequence of local energy solutions (v^n, π^n) such that

$$\begin{cases} r_0^{-2} \|v^n\|_{L^3(Q_{r_0}(z_0))}^3 \leq M, \\ r_0^{1-\frac{2}{p}-\frac{3}{q}} \|v_3^n\|_{L_t^p L_x^q(Q_{r_0}(z_0))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \\ (\delta_0 r_0)^{-2} \|v^n\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r_0}(z_0))}^3 > \epsilon_0 \quad \forall \delta_0 \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.2,

$$E^n(r) \leq C(1 + M + V_0) \quad \text{for } r := \frac{r_0}{3},$$

where $E^n(r)$ is given as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 with (v^n, π_{r_0, x_0}^n) instead of (v, π_{r_0, x_0}) . Therefore, there exist a subsequence of (v^n, π_{r_0, x_0}^n) (still denoted by (v^n, π_{r_0, x_0}^n)) and $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$

such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{cases} v^n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \bar{v} & \text{in } L_t^\infty L_x^2(Q_r(z_0)), \\ \nabla v^n \rightharpoonup \nabla \bar{v} & \text{in } L^2(Q_r(z_0)), \\ \pi_{r_0, x_0}^n \rightharpoonup \bar{\pi} & \text{in } L^{\frac{3}{2}}(Q_r(z_0)), \\ v^n \rightarrow \bar{v} & \text{in } L^a(Q_r(z_0)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a < \frac{10}{3}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we have $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$ is a suitable weak solution to (NS) in $Q_{r_0}(z_0)$. The convergence of v_3^n implies that $\bar{v}_3 \equiv 0$. Therefore, $(\bar{v}, \bar{\pi})$ is also a suitable weak solution to "the limiting system" and \bar{v} is regular as well. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$(\delta_0 r)^{-2} \|v^n\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r}(z_0))}^3 \rightarrow (\delta_0 r)^{-2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^3(Q_{\delta_0 r}(z_0))}^3 \geq \epsilon_0 \quad \forall \delta_0 \in (0, 1),$$

which is a contradiction with the regularity of \bar{v} for δ_0 small enough. Therefore, the proof is complete.

• (The case of suitable weak solution) The proof in this case is similar to that of for local energy solutions. We define $E^n(r)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 with (v^n, π^n) instead of (v, π_{r_0, x_0}) . Thus,

$$E^n(r) \leq C(1 + M) \quad \text{for } r := \frac{r_0}{2},$$

that completes the proof as in the previous case. \square

Acknowledgments

K. Kang was supported by NRF-2019R1A2C1084685. D. D. Nguyen was supported by NRF-2015R1A5A1009350.

References

- [1] Hantaek Bae and Kyungkeun Kang. Regularity condition of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in terms of one velocity component. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 94:120–125, 2019.
- [2] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [3] Zachary Bradshaw and Tai-Peng Tsai. Global existence, regularity, and uniqueness of infinite energy solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 45(9):1168–1201, 2020.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, and L. Nirenberg. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 35(6):771–831, 1982.
- [5] Chongsheng Cao and Edriss S. Titi. Regularity criteria for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 57(6):2643–2661, 2008.
- [6] D. Chae and J. Wolf. On the Serrin-type condition on one velocity component for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 240(3):1323–1347, 2021.

- [7] Jean-Yves Chemin and Ping Zhang. On the critical one component regularity for 3-D Navier-Stokes systems. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 49(1):131–167, 2016.
- [8] Jean-Yves Chemin, Ping Zhang, and Zhifei Zhang. On the critical one component regularity for 3-D Navier-Stokes system: general case. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(3):871–905, 2017.
- [9] L. Escauriaza, G. A. Seregin, and V. Šverák. $L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 58(2(350)):3–44, 2003.
- [10] E. B. Fabes, B. F. Jones, and N. M. Rivière. The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p . *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 45:222–240, 1972.
- [11] Stephen Gustafson, Kyungkeun Kang, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations near the boundary. *J. Differential Equations*, 226(2):594–618, 2006.
- [12] Stephen Gustafson, Kyungkeun Kang, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Interior regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 273(1):161–176, 2007.
- [13] Bin Han, Zhen Lei, Dong Li, and Na Zhao. Sharp one component regularity for Navier-Stokes. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 231(2):939–970, 2019.
- [14] Eberhard Hopf. Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. *Math. Nachr.*, 4:213–231, 1951.
- [15] Hao Jia and Vladimír Šverák. Minimal L^3 -initial data for potential Navier-Stokes singularities. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 45(3):1448–1459, 2013.
- [16] Hao Jia and Vladimír Šverák. Local-in-space estimates near initial time for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and forward self-similar solutions. *Invent. Math.*, 196(1):233–265, 2014.
- [17] Kyungkeun Kang, Hideyuki Miura, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Short Time Regularity of Navier–Stokes Flows with Locally L^3 Initial Data and Applications. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2020. rnz327.
- [18] Kyungkeun Kang, Hideyuki Miura, and Tai-Peng Tsai. Regular sets and an ϵ -regularity theorem in terms of initial data for the Navier–Stokes equations. *Pure Appl. Anal.*, 3(3):567–594, 2021.
- [19] N. Kikuchi and G. Seregin. Weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations satisfying the local energy inequality. In *Nonlinear equations and spectral theory*, volume 220 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 141–164. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [20] Igor Kukavica, Walter Rusin, and Mohammed Ziane. An anisotropic partial regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 19(1):123–133, 2017.
- [21] Igor Kukavica, Walter Rusin, and Mohammed Ziane. On local regularity conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinearity*, 32(6):1905–1928, 2019.
- [22] Igor Kukavica and Mohammed Ziane. One component regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Nonlinearity*, 19(2):453–469, 2006.

- [23] O. A. Ladyženskaya. Uniqueness and smoothness of generalized solutions of Navier-Stokes equations. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 5:169–185, 1967.
- [24] O. A. Ladyženskaya and G. A. Seregin. On partial regularity of suitable weak solutions to the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 1(4):356–387, 1999.
- [25] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem*, volume 431 of *Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [26] Jean Leray. Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace. *Acta Math.*, 63(1):193–248, 1934.
- [27] Fanghua Lin. A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 51(3):241–257, 1998.
- [28] Jiří Neustupa, Antonín Novotný, and Patrick Penel. An interior regularity of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations in dependence on one component of velocity. In *Topics in mathematical fluid mechanics*, volume 10 of *Quad. Mat.*, pages 163–183. Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2002.
- [29] Jiří Neustupa. A contribution to the theory of regularity of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations via one component of velocity and other related quantities. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 20(3):1249–1267, 2018.
- [30] Jiří Neustupa and Patrick Penel. Regularity of a suitable weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations as a consequence of regularity of one velocity component. In *Applied nonlinear analysis*, pages 391–402. Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 1999.
- [31] Giovanni Prodi. Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 48:173–182, 1959.
- [32] Vladimir Scheffer. Partial regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *Pacific J. Math.*, 66(2):535–552, 1976.
- [33] Vladimir Scheffer. Hausdorff measure and the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 55(2):97–112, 1977.
- [34] James Serrin. On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 9:187–195, 1962.
- [35] James Serrin. The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations. In *Nonlinear Problems (Proc. Sympos., Madison, Wis., 1962)*, pages 69–98. Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963.
- [36] Alexis F. Vasseur. A new proof of partial regularity of solutions to Navier-Stokes equations. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, 14(5-6):753–785, 2007.
- [37] Wendong Wang, Di Wu, and Zhifei Zhang. Scaling invariant Serrin criterion via one velocity component for the navier-stokes equations. arXiv:2005.11906, 2020.
- [38] Wendong Wang and Zhifei Zhang. On the interior regularity criteria and the number of singular points to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Anal. Math.*, 123:139–170, 2014.

- [39] Jörg Wolf. On the local regularity of suitable weak solutions to the generalized Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 61(1):149–171, 2015.
- [40] Yong Zhou and Milan Pokorný. On the regularity of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations via one velocity component. *Nonlinearity*, 23(5):1097–1107, 2010.