

# GRAD-CAFLISCH TYPE DECAY ESTIMATES OF PSEUDO-INVERSE OF LINEARIZED BOLTZMANN OPERATOR AND APPLICATION TO HILBERT EXPANSION OF COMPRESSIBLE EULER SCALING

NING JIANG, YI-LONG LUO\*, AND SHAOJUN TANG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove some Grad-Caffisch type decay estimates of the pseudo-inverse of linearized Boltzmann collision operator, including both the hard potential ( $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ) and part of soft ( $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ ) potential cutoff interaction kernels. The key idea is that the weighted  $L^\infty$ -norms of  $(\mathcal{L} - \nu)f$  are first dominated by the weighted  $L^2$ -norms of  $f$ , and then the  $L^2$ -norms are bounded by the  $L^\infty$ -norms of  $\mathcal{L}f$  via the hypocoercivity of the weighted operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . The proof of the weighted hypocoercivity employs the high-low velocities estimates argument. Finally, these decay estimates are further applied to derive some new point-wise estimates for the Hilbert expansion terms of the Boltzmann equation in the compressible Euler scaling.

**KEYWORDS.** Linearized Boltzmann collision operator; Pseudo-inverse; Decay estimate; hypocoercivity

**MSC2020.** 45Q05, 35Q20, 76P05, 47B34, 45M05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The Boltzmann collision operator.** The well-known Boltzmann collision operator  $\mathcal{B}(F, F)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(F, F) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (F'F'_1 - FF_1)b(\omega, v_1 - v)d\omega dv_1. \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$  is a unit vector,  $d\omega$  is the rotationally invariant surface integral on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , while  $F'_1$ ,  $F'$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F$  are the number density  $F(\cdot)$  evaluated at the velocities  $v'_1$ ,  $v'$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v$  respectively, i.e.

$$F'_1 = F(v'_1), \quad F' = F(v'), \quad F_1 = F(v_1), \quad F = F(v).$$

Here  $(v'_1, v')$  are the velocities after an elastic binary collision between two molecules with velocities  $(v_1, v)$  before the collision, or vice versa. Since both momentum and energy are




FIGURE 1. Transformation of velocities.

conserved during the elastic collision,  $v'_1$  and  $v'$  can be expressed in terms of  $v_1$  and  $v$  as

$$v'_1 = v_1 - [(v_1 - v) \cdot \omega]\omega, \quad v' = v + [(v_1 - v) \cdot \omega]\omega, \quad (1.2)$$

\* Corresponding author June 7, 2022.

where the unit vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$  is parallel to the deflections  $v'_1 - v_1$  and  $v' - v$ , and is therefore perpendicular to the plane of reflection, see Figure 1. In the collision term  $\mathcal{B}(F, F)$ ,  $F'_1 F'$  is the gain term, while  $F_1 F$  is called the loss term.

By Grad's work [11], the collision kernel  $b(\omega, v_1 - v)$  has the factored form

$$b(\omega, v_1 - v) = \beta(\theta) |v_1 - v|^\gamma, \quad \cos \theta = \frac{(v_1 - v) \cdot \omega}{|v_1 - v|}, \quad -3 < \gamma \leq 1, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\beta(\theta)$  satisfies the symmetric assumption

$$\beta(\theta) \sin \theta = \beta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right), \quad \beta(\theta) \sin \theta = \beta(\pi - \theta) \sin(\pi - \theta), \quad (1.4)$$

and the small deflection cutoff condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \beta(\theta) d\omega = 1, \quad 0 \leq \beta(\theta) \leq \beta_0 |\cos \theta| \quad (1.5)$$

for some constant  $\beta_0 > 0$ . The cases  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  and  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  are respectively referred to as the ‘‘soft’’ and ‘‘hard’’ potential cases. In particular,  $\gamma = 0$  is the Maxwell potential case, and  $\gamma = 1$  is the hard sphere case, in which  $\beta(\theta) = \beta_0 |\cos \theta|$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{M}(v) = \mathfrak{M}_{[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]}(v)$  denote the local Maxwellian corresponding to the fluid state  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  given by

$$\mathfrak{M}(v) = \mathfrak{M}_{[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]}(v) = \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}\right), \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\rho > 0$  is the density,  $T > 0$  is the temperature, and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is the bulk velocity. For the perturbation  $F = \mathfrak{M} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}f$  around  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we consider the linearized Boltzmann collision operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f &= -\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v) [\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{M}, \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}f) + \mathcal{B}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}f, \mathfrak{M})] \\ &= \nu(v)f(v) + Kf(v), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where the collision frequency  $\nu(v)$  is defined by

$$\nu(v) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathfrak{M}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 \stackrel{(1.5)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}(v_1) dv_1, \quad (1.8)$$

and the operator  $Kf(v)$  can be decomposed into two parts:

$$Kf(v) = K_1 f(v) - K_2 f(v). \quad (1.9)$$

Here the loss term  $K_1 f(v)$  is

$$K_1 f(v) = \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1, \quad (1.10)$$

and the gain term  $K_2 f(v)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 f(v) &= \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') f(v') + \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1) f(v'_1)] \mathfrak{M}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 \\ &= 2\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') f(v') \mathfrak{M}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where the last equality is derived from the symmetric assumption (1.4), see Section 2 of [11] for instance.

The null space  $\text{Null}(\mathcal{L})$  of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is spanned by the basis

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, \quad \psi_i = \frac{v_i - \mathbf{u}_i}{\sqrt{\rho T}} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \quad \psi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\rho}} \left( \frac{|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

The basis is orthonormal in the Hilbert space  $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Let  $\text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  be the orthogonal space of  $\text{Null}(\mathcal{L})$  in  $L^2$ , namely,

$$\text{Null}(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L}) = L^2.$$

We define the projection  $\mathcal{P} : L^2 \rightarrow \text{Null}(\mathcal{L})$  by

$$\mathcal{P}f = \left\{ \frac{\rho_f}{\rho} + u_f \cdot \frac{v-u}{T} + \frac{\theta_f}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-u|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\rho_f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} dv, \quad u_f = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(v-u) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} dv, \quad \theta_f = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|v-u|^2 - 3T) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} dv.$$

It is a classical result that (for the proof, see Proposition 2.3 of [6] for instance)  $\{\psi_\alpha \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}} : \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, 4\}$  forms the the basis of all collision invariants, i.e.,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}(F, F), \psi_\alpha \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle = 0, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, 4.$$

Here the bracket  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  represents the  $L^2$ -inner product. Moreover, the Boltzmann  $H$ -theorem states that  $\mathcal{B}(F, F) = 0$  is equivalent to  $F = \mathfrak{M}$ . It thereby infers that

$$\mathcal{L}f \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$$

for any  $f \in L^2$ . Furthermore, the restricted operator  $\mathcal{L}|_{\text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})}$  is a one-to-one map from  $\text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  onto  $\text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ , because  $K = \mathcal{L} - \nu$  is a Fredholm operator. Thus, one gives the following definition.

**Definition 1.1** (Pseudo-inverse operator of  $\mathcal{L}$ ). *The inverse operator*

$$(\mathcal{L}|_{\text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})})^{-1} : \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$$

is called the pseudo-inverse operator of the linearized Boltzmann collision operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is briefly denoted by  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ .

By [6] for instance, the linearized Boltzmann collision operator  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies the well-known hypocoercivity

$$\langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle \geq \lambda \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \quad (1.13)$$

for some constant  $\lambda = \lambda(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\nu)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\cdot|^2 \nu(v) dv)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the norm of the weighted  $L^2$  space. Furthermore, by [13], the collision frequency  $\nu(v)$  defined in (1.8) subjects to

$$C_1(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)(1 + |v|)^\gamma \leq \nu(v) \leq C_2(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)(1 + |v|)^\gamma \quad (1.14)$$

for some positive constants  $C_i(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). For notational simplicity, (1.14) will be briefly denoted by

$$\nu(v) \sim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} (1 + |v|)^\gamma. \quad (1.15)$$

It is noted that the collision frequency  $\nu(v)$  is monotone in  $|v|$ . More specifically,

$$\frac{\partial \nu(v)}{\partial |v|} \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \text{ and } \frac{\partial \nu(v)}{\partial |v|} < 0 \text{ for } -3 < \gamma < 0. \quad (1.16)$$

The details can be referred to Section 3 of [11].

**1.2. Motivations and decay results of pseudo-inverse operator.** One of the goals of this paper is to investigate the decay (with respect to  $v$ ) estimates of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . This decay estimate has been widely applied to hydrodynamic limits of the Boltzmann equations (for examples, [7, 9, 10]). Specifically, in any fluid limits of kinetic equations, once the Hilbert expansion approach is employed, the solution will be formally written as  $F_\kappa = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa^n F_n$ , where the kinetic part of  $F_n$  is solved from  $\mathcal{L}F_n = G_n$ . Any estimates of  $F_n$  must rely on the decay estimate of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ .

In Caffisch's paper [3], Page 656, the following result for the decay of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  was stated: <sup>1</sup>

“Grad has shown in [11] that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  preserves decay in  $\xi$ , so that  $\Psi_1 \sim |\xi|^3 \exp\{-|\xi - \mathbf{u}|^2/2T\}$ .”

<sup>1</sup>The symbol  $\xi$  in [3] corresponds to the velocity  $v$  in current work. Moreover, the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in [3] equals to  $\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}$  that we employed.

Here the function  $\Psi_1 = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g$  for some determined  $g$  given in Page 655 of [3]. In our notations, the Caffisch's assertion is equivalent to

$$|\mathcal{L}^{-1}g| \lesssim (1 + |v|)^3 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \|(1 + |v|)^3 \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty} \quad (1.17)$$

for  $g \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ , provided that  $\|(1 + |v|)^3 \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . This result has been widely employed in many literatures later. However, from our point of view, this estimate actually was *not* implied by Grad's work [11], as stated in [3]. Indeed, Grad [11] only proved the following weaker inequality than (1.17):

$$|\mathcal{L}^{-1}g(v)| \lesssim (1 + |v|)^{-m} \|(1 + |v|)^m g\|_{L^\infty} \quad (\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3) \quad (1.18)$$

for any fixed integer  $m > 0$ , provided that  $\|(1 + |v|)^m g\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . The key difference between the estimates (1.17) and (1.18) is: the former is an exponential decay, while the latter is a polynomial decay.

In order to illustrate this, we first display the correspondence of notations between Grad's work [11] and the current paper as follows:

Notations in Grad's work [11]	$L$	$\hat{f}$	$K$	$\xi$	$\hat{g}$	$\xi^2$
Notations in current paper	$\mathcal{L}$	$f$	$-K$	$v$	$g$	$ v ^2$

As shown in (111) and (113), Page 50 of [11],

$$L[\hat{f}] = \nu \hat{f} - K[\hat{f}] = \hat{g}, \quad |\hat{f}| = \frac{1}{\nu} |\hat{g} + K[\hat{f}]| < \frac{1}{\nu_0} (|\hat{g}| + |K[\hat{f}]|), \quad (1.19)$$

where  $\nu > \nu_0 > 0$  for hard potential is employed. The inequalities (70) in Page 41 and (78)-(79) in Page 43 of [11] read

$$N_1[K[\hat{f}]] < k N_2[\hat{f}], \quad N_3^{(r+1/2)}[K[\hat{f}]] < k N_3^{(r)}[\hat{f}] \quad (1.20)$$

for any  $r \geq 0$  and some constant  $k > 0$ , where the quantities  $N_1[\hat{f}]$ ,  $N_2[\hat{f}]$  and  $N_3^{(r)}[\hat{f}]$  are defined in (75), Page 42 of [11], i.e.,

$$N_1[\hat{f}] = \max_\xi |\hat{f}|, \quad N_2[\hat{f}] = \left[ \int \hat{f}^2 d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad N_3^{(r)}[\hat{f}] = \max_\xi (1 + \xi^2)^r |\hat{f}|. \quad (1.21)$$

Following the arguments in Page 50-51 of [11], there holds

$$N_3^{(m/2)}[\hat{f}] < \infty \quad (1.22)$$

for hard potential case and any integer  $m > 0$  provided that

$$\hat{g} \in \text{Null}^\perp(L), \quad \int (\hat{g}^2/\nu) d\xi < \infty, \quad N_3^{(m/2)}[\hat{g}] < \infty.$$

Specifically, the hypocoercivity (1.13) and  $\int (\hat{g}^2/\nu) d\xi < \infty$  imply that

$$\{N_2[\hat{f}]\}^2 < \frac{1}{\nu_0} \int \nu \hat{f}^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

Then, the first inequality in (1.20) implies  $N_1[K[\hat{f}]] < \infty$ . Together with (1.19),  $N_1[\hat{f}] = N_3^{(0)}[\hat{f}] < \infty$  under the condition  $N_3^{(0)}[\hat{g}] < \infty$ . Moreover, the last inequality of (1.20) means  $N_3^{(1/2)}[K[\hat{f}]] < \infty$ . Consequently, (1.19) and  $N_3^{(1/2)}[\hat{g}] < \infty$  imply  $N_3^{(1/2)}[\hat{f}] < \infty$ . From repeating the previous procedure  $m$  times, one directly derives (1.22), which means the decay estimate (1.18).

The Grad's inequality (1.18) illustrates the polynomial decay with any fixed order  $m > 0$  of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ . In this paper, we will enhance the polynomial decay (1.18) to the exponential decay of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , and furthermore generalize the exponential decay to part of soft potential cases  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ . More precisely, we will first prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Exponential decay of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ ). *Let  $0 < q < 1$  and  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ . Let the positive number  $k_\gamma$  satisfy*

$$k_\gamma > \begin{cases} \frac{3-\gamma}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \\ \frac{3-2\gamma}{2}, & \text{if } -\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume that

$$g \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L}), \quad (1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} g \in L^\infty.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(1) For the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,

$$|\mathcal{L}^{-1}g(v)| \leq C \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q}{2}}(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.23)$$

(2) For the part of soft potential cases  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ ,

$$|\mathcal{L}^{-1}g(v)| \leq C \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} \nu^{-1}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q}{2}}(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.24)$$

Here the constant  $C = C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *Actually, the constant  $C = C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$  in Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as a positive smooth function depending on the variables  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .*

Comparing to the hard potential case estimate (1.17) originally stated by Caffisch [3], our estimate (1.23) is slightly different. More precisely, our estimate requires  $0 < q < 1$ , while the estimate (1.17) basically corresponds to the case  $q = 1$ . Furthermore, besides the hard potential, our result also cover some soft potential cases, i.e.  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ .

There were some weighted  $L^2$ -norms estimates for the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  associated with the global Maxwellian  $M(v) = \mathfrak{M}_{[1,0,1]}(v)$  by the hypocoercivity of the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . In [17], Ukai and Yang proved the boundedness of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  from  $L^2(\nu^{-1}dv) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  to  $L^2(\nu dv) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ . This result has also been applied in [14] for instance. In [7], Guo proved the hypocoercivity of the  $\mathcal{L}$  and its  $v$ -derivatives in the mixed space  $H_{x,v}^k(M^{-q}\nu dv dx)$  ( $k \geq 0$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ) for hard and soft potential cases  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ , which can imply the boundedness of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  from  $H_{x,v}^k(M^{-q}\nu^{-1}dv dx) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  to  $H_{x,v}^k(M^{-q}\nu dv dx) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ . In [5], Duan and Yu proved the similar decay estimate (1.23) when the pseudo-inverse of linearized Landau collision operator acted on any polynomial  $U(v)$  of  $v - \mathbf{u}$  such that  $U(v)\mathfrak{M} \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ .

### 1.3. Applications to Hilbert expansions of Boltzmann equation with Euler scaling.

In this subsection, the exponential decay estimates in Theorem 1.1 will be used to control the terms in the Hilbert expansions of the Boltzmann equation with compressible Euler scaling. The scaled Boltzmann equation is

$$\partial_t F_\kappa + v \cdot \nabla_x F_\kappa = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{B}(F_\kappa, F_\kappa), \quad (1.25)$$

where  $F_\kappa(t, x, v)$  is the density of particles of velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , at position  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , time  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $\kappa > 0$  is the Knudsen number, which is assumed to be small. The solution  $F_\kappa$  is sought as the Hilbert expansion form

$$F_\kappa = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa^n F_n, \quad (1.26)$$

where  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_n, \dots$  are independent of  $\kappa$ . As shown in [9, 12] for instance, the expanded terms solve the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^{-1} : \quad & 0 = \mathcal{B}(F_0, F_0), \\
\kappa^0 : \quad & (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) F_0 = \mathcal{B}(F_0, F_1) + \mathcal{B}(F_1, F_0), \\
\kappa^1 : \quad & (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) F_1 = \mathcal{B}(F_0, F_2) + \mathcal{B}(F_2, F_0) + \mathcal{B}(F_1, F_1), \\
& \dots\dots \\
\kappa^n : \quad & (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) F_n = \mathcal{B}(F_0, F_{n+1}) + \mathcal{B}(F_{n+1}, F_0) + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i,j \geq 1}} \mathcal{B}(F_i, F_j), \\
& \dots\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

From the well-known  $H$ -theorem, the order of  $\kappa^{-1}$  in (1.27) implies that

$$F_0(t, x, v) = \mathfrak{M}(t, x, v) = \mathfrak{M}_{[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]}(v),$$

where  $[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]$  depends on the variables  $(t, x)$ , which obeys the compressible Euler system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x(\rho T) = 0, \\ \partial_t[\rho(\frac{3}{2}T + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2)] + \operatorname{div}_x[\rho \mathbf{u}(\frac{3}{2}T + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2)] + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho T \mathbf{u}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.28}$$

Moreover, for each  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f_n := \frac{F_n}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}}$  can be decomposed as the fluid and kinetic parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n &:= \frac{F_n}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}} = \mathcal{P} f_n + (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n \\
&= \left\{ \frac{\rho_n}{\rho} + u_n \cdot \frac{v - \mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\theta_n}{6T} \left( \frac{|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} + (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

where the projection  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined in (1.12), the kinetic part is solved by

$$(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ -(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) [\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x) (f_{n-1} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}})] + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 1}} \Gamma(f_i, f_j) \right\}, \tag{1.30}$$

and the fluid variables  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  are solved by the linearized compressible Euler system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_n + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u_n + \rho_n \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \rho(\partial_t u_n + u_n \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x u_n) - \frac{\nabla_x(\rho T)}{\rho} \rho_n + \nabla_x \left( \frac{\rho \theta_n + 3T \rho_n}{3} \right) = \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n), \\ \rho \left( \partial_t \theta_n + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \theta_n + \frac{2}{3}(\theta_n \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} + 3T \operatorname{div}_x u_n) + 3u_n \cdot \nabla_x T \right) = \mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n). \end{cases} \tag{1.31}$$

Here the nonlinear collision operator  $\Gamma(f_i, f_j)$  denotes

$$\Gamma(f_i, f_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}} \mathcal{B}(f_i \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, f_j \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}). \tag{1.32}$$

The source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n) \in \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{u,i}^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n) &= - \sum_{j=1}^3 \partial_{x_j} \langle T \mathcal{A}_{ij}, (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n \rangle \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\
\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n) &= - \operatorname{div}_x (2T^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle \mathcal{B}, (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n \rangle) + 2T \mathbf{u} \cdot \langle \mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n \rangle - 2\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) f_n),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  are the Burnett functions belonging to  $\operatorname{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  with entries

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{ij} &= \left\{ \frac{(v_i - u_i)(v_j - u_i)}{T} - \delta_{ij} \frac{|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{3T} \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 3), \\
\mathcal{B}_i &= \frac{v_i - u_i}{2\sqrt{T}} \left( \frac{|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 5 \right) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq 3).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

Once  $f_n$  is rewritten as the following abstract and intuitive form:

$$f_n = \text{Kinetic}(n) + \text{Fluid}(n), \quad (1.35)$$

where  $\text{Kinetic}(n) = (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n$  and  $\text{Fluid}(n) = \mathcal{P}f_n$ , one can summarize the orders to solve  $f_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) as the following Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The orders of solving  $f_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ):  $\text{Fluid}(0)$  is  $F_0/\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$  determined by the solution  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  to the compressible Euler system (1.28).

In this paper, the following two hypotheses will be imposed while controlling the expanded terms  $F_n(t, x, v)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ):

**(H1)** From Schochet's work [16], one can assume  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  is a bounded smooth solution to the compressible Euler system (1.28), and  $\rho, T$  have both positive lower and upper bounds. Moreover, all derivatives of  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  are also bounded. Namely, for any large  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\inf_{t,x} \rho > 0, \quad \inf_{t,x} T > 0, \quad \epsilon_m = \frac{1}{\inf_{t,x} \rho} + \frac{1}{\inf_{t,x} T} + \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{t,x} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)| < \infty, \quad (1.36)$$

where the derivative operator  $\partial_{t,x}^\beta$  is defined by

$$\partial_{t,x}^\beta = \frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial t^{\beta_0} \partial x_1^{\beta_1} \partial x_2^{\beta_2} \partial x_3^{\beta_3}}$$

for  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$ .

**(H2)** Based on (H1) and Theorem 1.2 below, the solution  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  to the linearized compressible Euler system (1.31) can also be assumed to be bounded and smooth, *provided that the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  are bounded and smooth*. Furthermore, all derivatives of  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  are also bounded. More precisely, for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{h}_m^n := \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{t,x} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)| < \infty. \quad (1.37)$$

Remark that, for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\epsilon_m \leq \epsilon_{m+1}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_m^n \leq \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^n.$$

The goal of this subsection is to control the expanded terms  $F_n = f_n \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . For the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , Cagliaris stated the following inequality in Proposition 3.1, Page 656 of [3]:

*Let  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  be a smooth solution of the compressible equations (1.28), and form the Maxwellian  $F_0 = \mathfrak{M}$  as in (1.6). Then the terms  $F_1, \dots, F_6$  of the Hilbert expansion<sup>2</sup> are smooth in  $(t, x)$*

<sup>2</sup>In [3], the expansion was truncated after the sixth term, due to showing the convergence of the expanded series (1.26) by controlling the associated remainder system uniformly in  $\kappa > 0$ .



and have decay given by

$$F_i(t, x, v) \leq c|v|^{3i}\mathfrak{M}(t, x, v), \quad (1.38)$$

where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $v, x, t$ .

As we mentioned in the last subsection, in Grad [11] the inequality (1.18) was shown. Based on it the following bound of  $F_n$  could be derived:

$$|F_i(t, x, v)| \leq c(1 + |v|)^{-m}\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, x, v) \text{ for any integer } m > 0, \quad (1.39)$$

which is weaker than (1.38).

We use Theorem 1.1 to prove some new estimates of the terms in the Hilbert expansion (1.26). This is the second main result of this paper.

First, in the Hilbert expansion framework, the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  depends on the parameters  $(t, x)$ , and so  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  does. We will also derive the decay estimates of the  $(t, x)$ -derivatives of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . Then the following decay theorem is first displayed.

**Theorem 1.2** (Exponential decay of parameter derivatives of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ ). *For any fixed integer  $m \geq 1$ , let  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m$ . Let  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  be a solution to (1.28) satisfying hypothesis (H1). Under the same assumptions in Theorem 1.1, one further assumes that*

$$\mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_m}^{m, \infty}(g) := \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=i} \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_i}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g\|_{L^\infty} < \infty \quad (1.40)$$

for any fixed  $0 < q_m < q_{m-1} < \dots < q_2 < q_1 < q_0 = q < 1$ , where  $q$  is given in Theorem 1.1. Then there is a positive constant  $C = C(\mathbf{e}_m) > 0$  such that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta [\mathcal{L}^{-1} g(t, x, v)]| \leq C \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_m}^{m, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qm}{2}}(t, x, v) \quad \forall t, x, v, \quad (1.41)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_m$  is mentioned in (1.36), and  $\Theta_\gamma(v)$  is defined by

$$\Theta_\gamma(v) = 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \text{ and } \Theta_\gamma(v) = \nu^{-1}(v) \text{ if } -\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0. \quad (1.42)$$

Remark that the definition of functional  $\mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_m}^{m, \infty}(g)$  can be extended to the case  $m = 0$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_{q_0}^{0, \infty}(g) := \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}}(v) g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Then, based on Theorem 1.2, the following new estimates are proved.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $0 < q < 1$  and integer  $m \geq 0$  be arbitrary, and  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ . Let  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  be a bounded and smooth solution of the compressible system (1.28), and form the Maxwellian  $F_0 = \mathfrak{M}$ . Assume that the hypotheses (H1)-(H2) hold. Then the terms  $F_1(t, x, v), \dots, F_n(t, x, v), \dots$  of the Hilbert expansion are bounded and smooth in  $(t, x)$ , and satisfy the decay*

$$\sum_{|\beta|=m} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta F_n(t, x, v)| \leq C \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(t, x, v) \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.43)$$

where the constant  $C = C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}, \mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1}^1, \mathfrak{h}_{m+n-2}^2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{h}_m^n) > 0$  is independent of the variables  $t, x, v$ . Here  $\mathbf{e}_{m+n}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are defined in (1.36) and (1.37), respectively.

We note that, in [7], based on the boundedness of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  from  $H_{x,v}^k(M^{-q}\nu^{-1}dvdx) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  to  $H_{x,v}^k(M^{-q}\nu dvdx) \cap \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  and the Sobolev embedding theory, Guo proved the similar bounds (1.43) of the expanded terms  $F_n(t, x, v)$  when proving the incompressible Navier-Stokes limit of the Boltzmann equation.

**Remark 1.2.** *It is seemly to be confused that the bounds of  $\sum_{|\beta|=m} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta F_n(t, x, v)|$  depends on the quantity  $\mathfrak{h}_m^n = \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m} \sup_{t,x} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)|$  associated with the fluid variables  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  of  $F_n(t, x, v)$ . However,  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  is solved by the linearized compressible Euler*



system (1.31) with the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  given in (1.33). They subject to the bounds (4.51)-(4.52) and (4.69) below, i.e.,

$$\left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1) \right| + \left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1) \right| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+2}) < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n) \right| + \left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n) \right| \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n+1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n+1-p}^p; 1 \leq p \leq n-1) < \infty \quad (\forall n \geq 2) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ . By the existence theory of the system (1.31) (see [10, 12], for instance), the all quantities  $\mathfrak{h}_m^n$  ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ) can be bounded by the quantity  $\epsilon_{m'} = \frac{1}{\inf_{t,x} \rho} + \frac{1}{\inf_{t,x} T} + \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq m'} \sup_{t,x} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)|$  for sufficiently large integer  $m' = m'(n, m) \geq 0$ , which corresponds to the solution  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  of the compressible Euler system (1.28). Nevertheless, the well-posedness of the system (1.31) is not the goal of this paper. We thereby represent the upper bounds of  $F_n$  depending on the quantities  $\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1}^1, \mathfrak{h}_{m+n-2}^2, \dots, \mathfrak{h}_{m+1}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{h}_m^n$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $m = 0$  in the bound (1.43). There holds

$$|F_n(t, x, v)| \leq C\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(t, x, v) \quad \forall n \geq 1 \quad (1.44)$$

for  $0 < q < 1$  and  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ . For the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , the bound (1.44) is stronger than the bound (1.39), but weaker than the bound (1.38). However, the estimate (1.38) is hard to be verified. The closest results to Caflisch's inequality that we can achieve is estimates of  $F_1(t, x, v)$  with respect to the hard sphere case  $\gamma = 1$ . More precisely, we compare the bound of  $F_1(t, x, v)$ , about which Caflisch claimed that

$$|F_1(t, x, v)| \leq c|v|^3\mathfrak{M}(t, x, v). \quad (1.45)$$

About our results, by the equality (4.47) and (4.48) below, the kinetic part of  $f_1 = F_1/\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$  can be expressed as

$$(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1 = -\nabla_x \mathbf{u} : \widehat{\mathcal{A}}(v) + \frac{\nabla_x T}{\sqrt{T}} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(v), \quad (1.46)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(v) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{A}(v)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}(v) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathcal{B}(v)$ . Following the equation (23) in Section 3 of [1], Theorem 2.1 of [4] or Section 2.3.3 of [2], it can be shown that there exist two scalar valued functions  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}(v) = \mathbf{a}(|v|)\mathcal{A}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(v) = \mathbf{b}(|v|)\mathcal{B}(v). \quad (1.47)$$

Moreover, Proposition 6.5 of [6] shown that, for a hard sphere gas ( $\gamma = 1$ ), the functions  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  satisfy the growth estimate

$$|\mathbf{a}(|v|)| + |\mathbf{b}(|v|)| \leq C(1 + |v|) \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (1.48)$$

It thereby holds that

$$|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1| \leq C(\epsilon_1)(1 + |v|)^4\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Together with  $\mathcal{P}f_1 = \left\{ \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} + u_1 \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\theta_1}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$ , one has

$$|f_1(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_1, \mathfrak{h}_0^1)(1 + |v|)^4\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(t, x, v),$$

which means that

$$|F_1(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_1, \mathfrak{h}_0^1)(1 + |v|)^4\mathfrak{M}(t, x, v). \quad (1.49)$$

However, this is also weaker than the Caflisch's inequality (1.45) with respect to the case  $n = 1$  and hard sphere case  $\gamma = 1$ .

1.4. **Sketch of proofs.** The first part of this paper is to derive the decay estimates of  $f = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g$  for  $f, g \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ , that is, Theorem 1.1. Note that  $g = \mathcal{L}f = \nu f + Kf = \nu f + K_1f - K_2f$ .

For preparation of the work, with general local Maxwellian  $\mathfrak{M}$  with fluid variable  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$ , we initially calculate the integral kernel of the operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  in Lemma 2.1, and estimate their bounds in Lemma 2.2, which also shows that the integral kernels are both in  $L^1$  for  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Based on these, the first step is to prove that  $\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|K_i f(v)|$  can be bounded the quantity  $\|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2}$  for  $0 < q < 1$  and  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$ , see Lemma 3.1. Intuitively, since  $\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|K_i f(v)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbb{k}_i(v, v_1)| \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q}{2}}(v_1) |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1) f(v_1)| dv_1$ , the  $L^2$ -integrability of  $\mathbb{k}_i(v, v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)$  uniformly in  $v$  is required. By the spirit of Lemma 2.2, the constraint  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$  is necessary.




FIGURE 3. Outlines of proof of decay estimates for the pseudo-inverse  $\mathcal{L}$ .

The second step is to derive the hypocoercivity of the weighted linearized Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . To be more precise, one should prove that the quantity  $\|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2}^2$  can be bounded by  $\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{L} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle + \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$ , as in Lemma 3.4, where  $\Theta_\gamma$  is given in (1.42). The key ingredients of proof is to derive the low velocities estimates about  $\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$  in Lemma 3.2 and the high velocities estimates on  $\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$  in Lemma 3.3. The low velocities estimates obtain the upper bound  $\|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$ . On the other hand, the high velocities estimates gain the upper bound  $l(r) \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$  for some factor  $l(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , which is important to obtain the hypocoercivity of the weighted operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . Here  $r > 0$  characterizes the magnitude of the velocity  $v$ . Remark that the quantity  $\|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$  can be bounded by  $\langle \mathcal{L} f, f \rangle$  via the hypocoercivity (1.13) of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Collecting the low and high velocities estimates before reduces to the hypocoercivity of the weighted linearized Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}$ . Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be completed in Subsection 3.3. Moreover, the key ingredients of the proof for decay estimates of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  can be intuitively sketched as the Figure 3 above.

When carrying out the high velocities estimates of  $K_2^\chi f$ , there are different ways to deal with the integral factor  $I(V_\parallel, \zeta_\perp) := \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{\chi(|V|)}{|V|^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_\perp + \zeta_\perp|^2}{2T}\right) dV_\perp$  of  $K_2^\chi f$  in (3.51) for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and the soft potential cases  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ .

- Hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ : We dominate  $I(V_\parallel, \zeta_\perp)$  by  $\frac{2\pi T}{r^{1-\gamma}}$ . If  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , the small factor  $l(r) = \frac{2\pi T}{r^{1-\gamma}}$  is exactly what we required for sufficiently large  $r > 0$ . If

$\gamma = 1$ , by  $\nu(v) \sim 1 + |v|$ ,  $\|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2$  can be bounded by  $\|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$ . Here the small factor  $l(r) = \frac{1}{1+r}$  is required. For details, see Case 1 of proof of Lemma 3.3.

- Soft potential cases  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ : We first decompose  $I(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp})$  into two parts

$$I(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp}) = \left\{ \underbrace{\int_{|V'_{\perp}| > \tau |\zeta_{\perp}|}_{I_1(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp})} + \underbrace{\int_{|V'_{\perp}| > \tau |\zeta_{\perp}|}_{I_2(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp})} \right\} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp}$$

for some  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . For the quantity  $I_1(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp})$ , we use the bound  $\frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}}$  to get the small factor  $l(r) = \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}}$  as required (see Case 2(a) of proof of Lemma 3.3). For the quantity  $I_2(V_{\parallel}, \zeta_{\perp})$ , the inequality

$$\frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{2^{1-\gamma}}{(1+|v-u|^2 + |v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}$$

in Case 2(b) will be first employed. Then we apply the high-low velocities estimates arguments for the quantity  $|v-u| + |v-u+V_{\parallel}|$ . As in Case 2(b-1) of proof of Lemma 3.3, the high velocities estimates gain the bound  $\frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2$  with the small factor  $l(r) = \frac{1}{1+r}$  required. On the other hand, as in Case 2(b-2) of proof of Lemma 3.3, the low velocities estimate gets a bound  $\|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$ .

The second part of this paper is to apply the decay estimates of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  to the Hilbert expansion of the scaled Boltzmann equation. Because the fluid variables  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$ , depending on  $(t, x)$ , subject to the compressible Euler equations, the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  thereby involves the parameters  $t, x$ . Consequently, we first justify the decay of  $(t, x)$ -derivatives of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ , i.e., Theorem 1.2. The key of the proofs depends the decay estimates of the pseudo-inverse  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  in Theorem 1.1. Then, by the induction arguments for the order of derivatives of  $(t, x)$ -variables, Theorem 1.2 can be concluded. Finally, in Theorem 1.3, we prove the decay bounds of the Hilbert expansions  $F_n(t, x, v)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and their  $(t, x)$ -derivatives. The ideas are the induction arguments for  $n \geq 1$  based on the decay estimated of the pseudo-inverse  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  and its high order  $(t, x)$ -derivatives.

**1.5. Organization of this paper.** In the next section, the expressions of the integral kernels of  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  and  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  are derived in Lemma 2.1, and their bounds are verified in Lemma 2.2. In Section 3, the pointwise decay estimates of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  in Theorem 1.1 is proved. Section 4 is aim at justifying decay estimates of  $(t, x)$ -derivatives of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  in Theorem 1.2, and deriving the bounds of the expanded terms  $F_n(t, x, v)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) in Theorem 1.3.

## 2. ESTIMATES OF THE INTEGRAL KERNEL OF THE OPERATOR $K$

This section is aimed at estimating the integral kernel of the operator  $K$ . Recalling the decomposition  $Kf = K_1f - K_2f$  in (1.9), one respectively rewrites the operators  $K_1f$  and  $K_2f$  in (1.10) and (1.11) as

$$K_1f(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1, \quad K_2f(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the integral kernels  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  and  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  can be explicitly expressed as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and the collision kernel  $b$  in (1.3) subject to the conditions (1.4)-(1.5). Then the integral kernel  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  is*

$$\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) = \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^{\gamma}, \quad (2.2)$$

and the integral kernel  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  can be expressed as follows:

(1) if  $\gamma = 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) = \frac{4\beta_0\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v_1 - v|^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1 - v|^2} + \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2T|v_1 - v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1 - v)\right]; \quad (2.3)$$

(2) if  $-3 < \gamma < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) &= \frac{4\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v_1 - v|^{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1 - v|^2} + \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2T|v_1 - v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1 - v)\right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{y \perp (v_1 - v)} \exp\left(-\frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}\right) (|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|v_1 - v|}{\sqrt{|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2}}\right) dy\right], \quad (2.4) \\ &\text{where } \zeta_2 = \frac{(v_1 - v) \wedge (v_1 + v) \wedge (v_1 - v)}{2|v_1 - v|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof for the case  $[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T] = [1, 0, 1]$  can be found in [11] and [13], and the arguments of the general case  $[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]$  are similar to that therein. However, for convenience for readers, one sketches the outline of proof for the general case  $[\rho, \mathbf{u}, T]$  here, in which there is an additional quantity  $\frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2T|v_1 - v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1 - v)$  in the expression of  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$ .

The verification of  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  is trivial. The goal is to focus on the integral kernel  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$ . Let

$$v_1 - v = \xi + y, \quad \xi = [\omega \cdot (v_1 - v)]\omega, \quad y = (v_1 - v) - [\omega \cdot (v_1 - v)]\omega = \omega \wedge (v_1 - v) \wedge \omega,$$

where  $(v_1, v, \omega)$  is given in Figure 1. From Section 2 of [11], one has

$$d\omega dv_1 = d\omega d(v_1 - v) = \frac{2}{|\xi|^2} d\xi dy. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, the relations in Figure 1 show that

$$v' = \xi + v, \quad v_1 = v + \xi + y. \quad (2.6)$$

Then, from (1.11),

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 f(v) &= 4\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{y \perp \xi} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi + v) \mathfrak{M}(v + \xi + y) f(v + \xi) \\ &\quad \times |\xi|^{-2} \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi + y|}\right) |\xi + y|^\gamma dy d\xi, \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

where the relation  $\cos \theta = \frac{(v_1 - v) \cdot \omega}{|v_1 - v|} = \frac{|\xi|}{|\xi + y|}$  is used. Since  $v' = v + \xi$ , one has  $dv' = d\xi$  for any fixed  $v$ . Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 f(v) &= 4\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{y \perp (v' - v)} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}(v' + y) f(v') |v' - v|^{-2} \\ &\quad \times \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|v' - v|}{|v' - v + y|}\right) |v' - v + y|^\gamma dy dv', \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v') &= 4\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') |v' - v|^{-2} \\ &\quad \times \int_{y \perp (v' - v)} \mathfrak{M}(v' + y) \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|v' - v|}{|v' - v + y|}\right) |v' - v + y|^\gamma dy. \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

One first splits

$$\frac{v' + v}{2} = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2, \quad \zeta_1 \parallel (v' - v), \quad \zeta_2 \parallel y.$$

More specifically,

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{|v'|^2 - |v|^2}{2|v' - v|^2} (v' - v), \quad \zeta_2 = \frac{(v_1 - v) \wedge (v_1 + v) \wedge (v_1 - v)}{2|v_1 - v|^2}.$$

By the facts  $y \cdot (v' - v) = y \cdot \zeta_1 = \zeta_1 \cdot \zeta_2 = 0$ , a direct calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{|v-u|^2}{4T} + \frac{|v'-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{|v'+y-u|^2}{2T} \\ &= -\frac{|v'-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{|y+\frac{v'+v}{2}-u|^2}{2T} \\ &= -\frac{|v'-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v'|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v'-v|^2} + \frac{|v'|^2-|v|^2}{2T|v'-v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v' - v) - \frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}(v' + y) \\ &= \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{|v'-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v'|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v'-v|^2} + \frac{|v'|^2-|v|^2}{2T|v'-v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v' - v) - \frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

It therefore infers that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v') &= \frac{4\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v' - v|^{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{|v'-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v'|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v'-v|^2} + \frac{|v'|^2-|v|^2}{2T|v'-v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v' - v)\right] \\ &\quad \times \int_{y \perp (v'-v)} \exp\left[-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}\right] \beta(\arccos \frac{|v'-v|}{|v'-v+y|}) |v' - v + y|^\gamma dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

If  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\beta(\theta) = \beta_0 |\cos \theta|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{y \perp (v'-v)} \exp\left[-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}\right] \beta(\arccos \frac{|v'-v|}{|v'-v+y|}) |v' - v + y|^\gamma dy \\ &= \beta_0 |v' - v| \int_{y \perp (v'-v)} \exp\left[-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}\right] dy = 2\pi T \beta_0 |v' - v|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Namely, (2.3) holds.

If  $-3 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $y \perp (v' - v)$  implies that  $|v' - v + y| = \sqrt{|v' - v|^2 + |y|^2}$ . Then (2.4) holds, and the proof of Lemma 2.1 is completed.  $\square$

Next, the bounds of the integral kernels  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  and  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  will be derived as following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Set  $b_0 \in [0, 1 - \gamma]$  if  $-1 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and  $b_0 \in (-\gamma - 1, 2)$  if  $-3 < \gamma \leq -1$ . Then, for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) &= \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \exp\left(-\frac{|v-u|^2+|v_1-u|^2}{4T}\right) \leq \mathbf{k}_1(v_1 - v), \\ \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) &\leq \frac{c_1 \rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (1 + T) e^{\frac{|u|^2}{2\epsilon T}} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2-b_0-\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2}\right] \leq \mathbf{k}_2(v_1 - v), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $c_1 > 0$  is a constant, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_1(v_1 - v) &= \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \exp\left(-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T}\right), \\ \mathbf{k}_2(v_1 - v) &= \frac{c_1 \rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (1 + T) e^{\frac{|u|^2}{2\epsilon T}} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2-b_0-\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\mathbf{k}_1(v)$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2(v)$  are both in  $L^1$ .

*Proof.* The first inequality on  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  in (2.13) can be directly implied by the elementary inequality  $|v - u|^2 + |v_1 - u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|v_1 - v|^2$ .

Now we focus on the second inequality in (2.13). One first claims that if  $b_0 < 2$  and  $0 \leq b_0 \leq 1 - \gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \int_{y \perp (v_1-v)} \exp\left(-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}\right) (|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \beta(\arccos \frac{|v_1-v|}{\sqrt{|v_1-v|^2+|y|^2}}) dy \\ &\leq 2\pi c_0 \beta_0 (T + \frac{1}{2-b_0}) |v_1 - v|^{b_0+\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Indeed, by (1.5), one has

$$\beta(\arccos \frac{|v_1-v|}{\sqrt{|v_1-v|^2+|y|^2}}) \leq \beta_0|v_1-v|(|v_1-v|^2+|y|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3 below,

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \beta_0|v_1-v| \int_{y \perp (v_1-v)} \exp(-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T})(|v_1-v|^2+|y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} dy \\ &\leq c_0\beta_0|v_1-v|^{b_0+\gamma} \int_{y \perp (v_1-v)} |y|^{-b_0} \exp(-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}) dy \\ &\leq c_0\beta_0|v_1-v|^{b_0+\gamma} \left( \int_{\substack{y \perp (v_1-v) \\ |y| \leq 1}} |y|^{-b_0} dy + \int_{\substack{y \perp (v_1-v) \\ |y| > 1}} \exp(-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-u|^2}{2T}) dy \right) \\ &\leq 2\pi c_0\beta_0(T + \frac{1}{2-b_0})|v_1-v|^{b_0+\gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

provided that  $b_0 < 2$  and  $0 \leq b_0 \leq 1 - \gamma$ . The claim (2.14) holds.

From (2.3)-(2.4) and (2.14), it is derived that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) &\leq \frac{c_1\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1+T) \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2-b_0-\gamma}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2} + \frac{|v_1|^2-|v|^2}{2T|v_1-v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1-v)\right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where  $c_1 = 8\pi\beta_0 \max\{1, \frac{c_0(3-b_0)}{2-b_0}\} > 0$ . For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , straightforward calculations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\epsilon(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2} + \frac{|v_1|^2-|v|^2}{2T|v_1-v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1-v) \\ &= -\frac{\epsilon}{8T} \left( \frac{|v_1|^2-|v|^2}{|v_1-v|} + \frac{2}{\epsilon} \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v_1-v}{|v_1-v|} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon T} \left( \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v_1-v}{|v_1-v|} \right)^2 \leq \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2\epsilon T}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

It thereby infers that

$$\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) \leq \frac{c_1\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(1+T) e^{\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2\epsilon T}} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2-b_0-\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2}\right] \quad (2.19)$$

for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ , hence the second inequality in (2.13) holds.

Finally, the  $L^1$ -integrability of  $\mathbf{k}_1(v)$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2(v)$  on  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  will be justified. One asserts that, for any fixed  $a > 0$ ,

$$\text{the integral } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^k e^{-a|v|^2} dv \text{ converges, if and only if } k > -3. \quad (2.20)$$

Indeed, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^k e^{-a|v|^2} dv = \left\{ \int_{|v| \leq 1} + \int_{|v| > 1} \right\} |v|^k e^{-a|v|^2} dv. \quad (2.21)$$

The last part is obviously convergent for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . The first part can be bounded by

$$e^{-a} \int_{|v| \leq 1} |v|^k dv \leq \int_{|v| \leq 1} |v|^k e^{-a|v|^2} dv \leq \int_{|v| \leq 1} |v|^k dv. \quad (2.22)$$

It is easy to verify that  $\int_{|v| \leq 1} |v|^k dv$  converges if and only if  $k > -3$ . The assertion (2.20) holds. Therefore,  $\mathbf{k}_1(v)$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2(v)$  are both in  $L^1$ , if and only if  $\gamma > -3$  and  $b_0 + \gamma - 2 > -3$ . Together with the constraints  $b_0 < 2$  and  $0 \leq b_0 \leq 1 - \gamma$ ,  $b_0$  exactly subjects to the conditions given in Lemma 2.2. Then the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ . For any  $0 \leq b_0 \leq 1 - \gamma$  and  $a > 0$ , the function*

$$f(x) = x^{b_0}(x^2 + a^2)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$$

*is bounded on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and*

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq c_0 a^{b_0+\gamma-1},$$

*where the constant  $c_0 > 0$  is independent of  $a > 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $b_0 = 0$  and  $f(x) \equiv 1$ . The conclusion holds. If  $-3 < \gamma < 1$ , a direct calculation shows

$$f'(x) = \frac{(b_0 + \gamma - 1)x^2 + b_0 a^2}{x(x^2 + a^2)} f(x).$$

Note that  $f(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ .

(1) If  $b_0 + \gamma - 1 = 0$ , then  $f'(x) \geq 0$  for  $x > 0$ . Namely,  $f(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ . It thereby infers that  $0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) \leq f(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 1 = a^{b_0 + \gamma - 1}$ . The conclusion holds.

(2) If  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $f'(x) < 0$  for  $x > 0$ . Hence  $f(x)$  is decreasing on  $x > 0$ . Then there holds that  $0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) \leq f(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = a^{\gamma - 1} = a^{b_0 + \gamma - 1}$ . The conclusion holds.

(3) If  $0 < b_0 < 1 - \gamma$ , then  $f(x)$  is increasing on  $(0, \sqrt{\frac{b_0 a^2}{1 - \gamma - b_0}})$ , and decreasing on  $(\sqrt{\frac{b_0 a^2}{1 - \gamma - b_0}}, +\infty)$ . Observe that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$  and  $f(\sqrt{\frac{b_0 a^2}{1 - \gamma - b_0}}) = c_0 a^{b_0 + \gamma - 1}$ , where  $c_0 = \frac{b_0^{\frac{b_0}{2}} (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}}}{(1 - \gamma - b_0)^{\frac{b_0 + \gamma - 1}{2}}} > 0$ . Then  $0 \leq f(x) \leq c_0 a^{b_0 + \gamma - 1}$ , and the proof of Lemma 2.3 is finished.  $\square$

### 3. POINTWISE DECAY ESTIMATES OF $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ : PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, the pointwise decay estimates of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  for the case  $-1 < \gamma \leq 1$  will be derived by three steps: (1) to bound  $|Kf|$  by some weighted  $L^2$  norms of  $f$ ; (2) to control the weighted  $L^2$  norms of  $f$  by employing the hypocoercivity of some weighted- $\mathcal{L}$  operators; (3) to finish the pointwise decay estimates of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . Remark that the restriction  $\gamma > -\frac{3}{2}$  will be required in the first steps. Throughout this section, the notation  $A \lesssim_\alpha B$  will be employed to denote by the inequality  $A \leq C(\alpha)B$  for some constant  $C(\alpha) > 0$  depending on the parameter  $\alpha$ .

**3.1. Pointwise controls of  $|Kf|$ .** This subsection is aimed at dominating the quantities  $|K_1 f|$  and  $|K_2 f|$  by some proper weighted  $L^2$  norms of  $f$ , where  $K_1 f$  and  $K_2 f$  are respectively defined in (1.10) and (1.11) before. More precisely, the following results about the hard potential case and part of soft potential case will be given.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any fixed  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , there hold*

$$\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) |K_1 f(v)| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) |K_2 f(v)| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, by the decomposition  $Kf = K_1 f - K_2 f$ , there holds

$$\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) |Kf(v)| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.3)$$

**Remark 3.1.** *As indicated in the proof of Lemma 3.1 below, the condition  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$  is actually due to the requirement of the square integrability of the integral kernels  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  and  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$ , or corresponding to the bounds  $\mathbf{k}_1(v_1 - v)$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2(v_1 - v)$  given in Lemma 2.2.*

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* Recalling the definition of  $K_1 f$  in (1.10) and Lemma 2.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} |K_1 f| &\lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left(\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \exp\left(-\frac{|v-u|^2 + |v_1-u|^2}{4T}\right) |f(v_1)| dv_1 \\ &\lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left(\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T}\right) \underbrace{\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^{2\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{|v-u|^2 + |v_1-u|^2}{2T}\right) dv_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{B} \|f\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$



where the last inequality is derived from the Hölder inequality. Since  $|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|v_1 - v|$  and  $0 < q < 1$ , one derives from (2.20) that if  $2\gamma > -3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} B^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^{2\gamma} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)(|v-\mathbf{u}|^2+|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2)}{2T}\right] dv_1 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^{2\gamma} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)|v_1-v|^2}{4T}\right] dv_1 \\ &\leq \int_{|v|\leq 1} |v|^{2\gamma} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)|v|^2}{4T}\right] dv = \frac{4\pi}{2\gamma+3} + \left(\frac{4\pi T}{1-q}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

It therefore implies the estimate (3.1), provided that  $2\gamma > -3$  is further assumed.

Next, one tries to verify the bound (3.2). By Lemma 2.2 and the Hölder inequality, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} |K_2 f| &\lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \exp\left(\frac{q|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{4T}\right) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2-b_0-\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T}\right] |f(v_1)| dv_1 \\ &\lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} D \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  is arbitrary,  $b_0$  is assumed in Lemma 2.2, and  $D$  is expressed by

$$D := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2(2-b_0-\gamma)}} \exp\left[\frac{q(|v-\mathbf{u}|^2-|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2)}{2T} - \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{4T}\right] dv_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that

$$|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 - |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 = -|v_1 - v|^2 - 2(v_1 - v) \cdot (v - \mathbf{u}), \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$|v_1|^2 - |v|^2 = |v_1 - v|^2 + 2v \cdot (v_1 - v). \quad (3.9)$$

Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{q(|v-\mathbf{u}|^2-|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2)}{2T} - \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{4T} \\ &= -\frac{(2q+2-\epsilon)|v_1-v|^2}{4T} - \frac{1}{T} \left\{ (q+1-\epsilon) \frac{v \cdot (v_1-v)}{|v_1-v|} |v_1-v| + \frac{(1-\epsilon)[v \cdot (v_1-v)]^2}{|v_1-v|^2} - q\mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1-v) \right\} \\ &= -\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{1-\epsilon} - 4\epsilon_0\right) \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{4T} + \frac{q|\mathbf{u}|^2}{4\epsilon_0 T} \\ &\quad - \frac{1-\epsilon}{T} \left| \frac{v \cdot (v_1-v)}{|v_1-v|} + \frac{q+1-\epsilon}{2(1-\epsilon)} |v_1-v| \right|^2 - \frac{\epsilon_0}{T} |v_1-v - \frac{q}{2\epsilon_0} \mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\leq -\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{1-\epsilon} - 4\epsilon_0\right) \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{4T} + \frac{q|\mathbf{u}|^2}{4\epsilon_0 T} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for some small  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  to be determined. Then, for any fixed  $0 < q < 1$ , one will find the proper  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$1 - \frac{q^2}{1-\epsilon} - 4\epsilon_0 > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Actually, by taking  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(1 - q^2) > 0$  and  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1-q^2}{8(1+q^2)} > 0$ , one has

$$1 - \frac{q^2}{1-\epsilon} - 4\epsilon_0 = \frac{1-q^2}{2(1+q^2)} > 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Namely,

$$\frac{q(|v-\mathbf{u}|^2-|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2)}{2T} - \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{4T} \leq -\frac{(1-q^2)|v_1-v|^2}{8(1+q^2)T} + \frac{2q(1+q^2)|\mathbf{u}|^2}{(1-q^2)T}. \quad (3.13)$$

Then, by (2.20), if  $-2(2 - b_0 - \gamma) > -3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &\leq \exp\left[\frac{2q(1+q^2)|u|^2}{(1-q^2)T}\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|v_1-v|^{2(2-b_0-\gamma)}} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q^2)|v_1-v|^2}{8(1+q^2)T}\right] dv_1 \\ &\leq \exp\left[\frac{2q(1+q^2)|u|^2}{(1-q^2)T}\right] \left\{ \int_{|v|\leq 1} \frac{1}{|v|^{2(2-b_0-\gamma)}} dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q^2)|v|^2}{8(1+q^2)T}\right] dv \right\} \\ &= \left[ \frac{4\pi}{2(b_0+\gamma-2)+3} + \left(\frac{8\pi T(1+q^2)}{1-q^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right] \exp\left[\frac{2q(1+q^2)|u|^2}{(1-q^2)T}\right] < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

It therefore infers that the bound (3.2) holds, provided that  $-2(2 - b_0 - \gamma) > -3$  is further assumed.

Recall that the constraints of  $b_0$  in Lemma 2.2 is that

$$\Phi_1(\gamma) := \{b_0 \mid b_0 \in [0, 1 - \gamma] \text{ if } -1 < \gamma \leq 1, \text{ and } b_0 \in (-\gamma - 1, 2) \text{ if } -3 < \gamma \leq -1\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The restrictions given in (3.5) and (3.14) can be further characterized by a set

$$\Phi_2(\gamma) := \{b_0 \mid -2(2 - b_0 - \gamma) > -3, 2\gamma > -3\}. \quad (3.16)$$

One only requires to accurately find the set

$$\Xi = \{\gamma \mid \Phi_1(\gamma) \cap \Phi_2(\gamma) \neq \emptyset, -3 < \gamma \leq 1\}, \quad (3.17)$$

because the conclusions of Lemma 3.1 hold for all  $\gamma \in \Xi$ . If  $-1 < \gamma \leq 1$ , it is easy to know that  $b_0 = 1 - \gamma \in \Phi_1(\gamma) \cap \Phi_2(\gamma)$ , hence,  $(-1, 1] \subseteq \Xi$ . If  $-3 < \gamma \leq -1$ , the set  $\Phi_1(\gamma)$  reads  $-\gamma - 1 < b_0 < 2$ . However, the set  $\Phi_2(\gamma)$  indicates that  $\gamma > -\frac{3}{2}$  and  $b_0 > -\gamma + \frac{1}{2}$ . As shown in Figure 4,  $\Phi_1(\gamma) \cap \Phi_2(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$  is equivalent to  $-\gamma + \frac{1}{2} < 2$  and  $-3 < \gamma \leq -1$ , namely,




FIGURE 4. The set  $\Phi_1(\gamma) \cap \Phi_2(\gamma)$  with  $-3 < \gamma \leq -1$ .

$-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq -1$ . It thereby means that  $(-\frac{3}{2}, -1] \subseteq \Xi$  and  $(-3, -\frac{3}{2}] \subseteq \mathbb{R} - \Xi$ , the complement of  $\Xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consequently, one concludes that  $\Xi = (-\frac{3}{2}, 1]$ , and the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.  $\square$

**3.2. Hypocoercivity of the weighted linearized Boltzmann operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .** In this subsection, the hypocoercivity of the weighted linearized Boltzmann operator will be derived, which is the modified version of the hypocoercivity of the linearized operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.13). These results are applied to deal with the weighted  $L^2$  norms of  $f$ .

First, for any fixed  $r > 0$ , the smooth monotone cutoff function  $\chi(s) \in C^\infty(0, +\infty)$  is introduced by

$$\chi(s) \equiv 0 \text{ for } 0 < s \leq r, \quad \chi(s) \equiv 1 \text{ for } s \geq 2r, \quad 0 \leq \chi(s) \leq 1 \text{ for all } s > 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Split the operator  $Kf$  as follows:

$$Kf = K^{1-\chi}f + K^\chi f, \quad K^\Lambda f = K_1^\Lambda f - K_2^\Lambda f \text{ for } \Lambda = 1 - \chi \text{ and } \chi, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$K_1^\Lambda f(v) = \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Lambda(|v_1 - v|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) f(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$K_2^\Lambda f(v) = 2\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Lambda(|v_1 - v|) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}(v_1) f(v') b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1. \quad (3.21)$$

**Lemma 3.2** (Low velocities estimates). *Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$  and any fixed  $r > 0$ . Then there holds*

$$|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T, r} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\Theta_\gamma = \Theta_\gamma(v)$  is defined in (1.42) and is generalized to  $-3 < \gamma \leq -\frac{3}{2}$ , i.e.,

$$\Theta_\gamma(v) = 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \text{ and } \Theta_\gamma(v) = \nu^{-1}(v) \text{ if } -3 < \gamma < 0. \quad (3.23)$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $K^{1-\chi} f = K_1^{1-\chi} f - K_2^{1-\chi} f$ . It suffices to prove that

$$|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_i^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T, r} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \quad (3.24)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Step 1.* Control the quantity  $|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle|$ .

By the definition of  $\chi(s)$  in (3.18), one knows that  $1 - \chi(|v_1 - v|) \equiv 0$  if  $|v_1 - v| \geq 2r$ . Recalling the expression of  $K_1^{1-\chi} f$  in (3.20), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| &\leq \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [1 - \chi(|v_1 - v|)] \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) \\ &\quad \times |f(v_1) f(v)| b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 dv. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Note that, for  $|v_1 - v| \leq 2r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 &= |v_1 - v|^2 - 2(v_1 - v) \cdot (v_1 - \mathbf{u}) + |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon_1) |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 + (1 + \varepsilon_1^{-1}) |v_1 - v|^2 \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon_1) |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 + 4(1 + \varepsilon_1^{-1}) r^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the small constant  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  is to be determined. Then, for the undetermined  $0 < q' \leq q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}^{-q'}(v) &= \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{-q'} \exp\left(\frac{q'|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}\right) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{-q'} \exp\left[\frac{q'(1+\varepsilon_1)|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2 + 4q'(1+\varepsilon_1^{-1})r^2}{2T}\right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{q'\varepsilon_1} \exp\left[\frac{2(1+\varepsilon_1^{-1})r^2}{T}\right] \mathfrak{M}^{-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)}(v_1). \end{aligned}$$

It therefore infers that, if  $|v_1 - v| \leq 2r$ ,

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) \leq \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{q'\varepsilon_1} \exp\left[\frac{2(1+\varepsilon_1^{-1})r^2}{T}\right] \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)}(v_1) \quad (3.26)$$

for some constants  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and  $0 < q' < q$  to be determined. For any fixed  $0 < q < 1$ , one should find a pair of  $(\varepsilon_1, q')$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2} - (q - q') > 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} - q'(1 + \varepsilon_1) > 0, \quad 0 < q' < q, \quad \varepsilon_1 > 0, \quad (3.27)$$

which is equivalent to  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2q'} - 1$  and  $\max\{0, q - \frac{1}{2}\} < q' < \min\{q, \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then, for any fixed  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $q'$  exists, and  $\varepsilon_1$  can be taken as  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2q'} - 1) > 0$ . Namely, the required pair of  $(\varepsilon_1, q')$  exists for  $0 < q < 1$ . Remark that if  $q = 1$ , the needed pair of  $(\varepsilon_1, q')$  does not exist.

From (1.5), (3.25), (3.26), (3.27) and the Hölder inequality, it is deduced that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, u, T, r} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v) |f(v) f(v_1)| \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')(v)} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)(v_1)} |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, u, T, r} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Theta_\gamma(v) f(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')(v)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)(v_1)} |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 \right] dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v_1)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)(v_1)} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')(v)} |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv \right] dv_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Observe that  $|\Theta_\gamma(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')(v)}$  is uniformly bounded in  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Moreover, together with (1.15), Lemma 2.3 of [13] implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-q'(1+\varepsilon_1)(v_1)} |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 \sim_{\rho, u, T} \nu(v), \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-(q-q')(v)} |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv \sim_{\rho, u, T} \nu(v_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, u, T, r} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 \nu(v) dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v_1)|^2 \nu(v_1) dv_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Namely, The case  $i = 1$  in (3.24) holds.

*Step 2. Control the quantity  $|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle|$ .*

By (3.21) and the fact  $\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) = \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle &= 2 \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [1 - \chi(|v_1 - v|)] \Theta_\gamma(v) f(v) f(v') \\
& \quad \times \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Since  $|v'_1 - v| \leq |v_1 - v| \leq 2r$  (see Figure 1), there holds that  $|v'_1 - v| \geq |v - \mathbf{u}| - |v'_1 - v| \geq |v - \mathbf{u}| - 2r$ , i.e.,  $|v - \mathbf{u}| \leq |v'_1 - \mathbf{u}| + 2r$ . Then, for some small undetermined  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ ,

$$|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq (|v'_1 - \mathbf{u}| + 2r)^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon_2) |v'_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 + 4(1 + \varepsilon_2^{-1}) r^2,$$

Namely,

$$|v'_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon_2} |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 - \frac{4}{\varepsilon_2} r^2. \tag{3.32}$$

It immediately infers that

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1) \leq \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{\varepsilon_2 T}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{4(1+\varepsilon_2)T}\right] \lesssim_{\rho, T} \exp\left(\frac{r^2}{\varepsilon_2 T}\right) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon_2)}}(v). \tag{3.33}$$

Similarly in (3.32), there holds

$$|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq (1 + \delta) |v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 + 4(1 + \delta^{-1}) r^2 \tag{3.34}$$

for some small  $\delta > 0$  to be determined. Let  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  be an any fixed small parameter. Then, by (3.34),

$$\begin{aligned}
q|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 &= (1 - \sigma)q|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \sigma q|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \sigma)q|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \sigma(1 + \delta)q|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|^2 + 4\sigma q(1 + \delta^{-1})r^2.
\end{aligned}$$

which immediately implies that

$$\mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) \lesssim_{\rho, T} \exp\left[\frac{2\sigma q(1+\delta^{-1})r^2}{T}\right] \mathfrak{M}^{-(1-\sigma)q}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\sigma(1+\delta)q}(v_1). \tag{3.35}$$

Then, (3.33) and (3.35) reduce to

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) \lesssim_{\rho,u,T,r} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon_2)}-(1-\sigma)q}(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma(1+\delta)q}(v_1). \quad (3.36)$$

For any fixed  $0 < q < 1$ , one then wants to find some small parameters  $\varepsilon_2, \sigma, \delta > 0$  such that

$$s_1 := \frac{1}{2(1+\varepsilon_2)} - (1-\sigma)q > 0, \quad s_2 := \frac{1}{2} - \sigma(1+\delta)q > 0. \quad (3.37)$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 < \varepsilon_2 < \frac{1}{2(1-\sigma)q} - 1, \quad q - \frac{1}{2} < \sigma q < \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}.$$

If  $\frac{1}{2} < q < 1$ , one first takes  $\delta \in (0, \frac{2(1-q)}{2q-1})$  such that  $q - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$ ; then takes  $\sigma \in (\frac{2q-1}{2q}, \frac{1}{2q(1+\delta)})$  such that  $q - \frac{1}{2} < \sigma q < \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$ , which implies  $\frac{1}{2(1-\sigma)q} - 1 > 0$ ; and finally takes  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2(1-\sigma)q} - 1)$ . If  $0 < q \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , one can first choose any  $\delta > 0$  and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2q(1+\delta)}$  such that  $q - \frac{1}{2} \leq 0 < \sigma q < \frac{1}{2(1+\delta)}$  and  $\frac{1}{2(1-\sigma)q} - 1 > 0$ ; then choose  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2(1-\sigma)q} - 1)$ . In summary, there is at least one group of  $(\varepsilon_2, \sigma, \delta)$  such that (3.37) holds.

Let  $s = \min\{s_1, s_2\} > 0$ . Based on (3.37), one derives from plugging (3.36) into (3.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} K_2^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f \rangle| &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T,r} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [1 - \chi(|v_1 - v|)] \Theta_\gamma(v) |f(v)f(v')| \\ &\quad \times \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 dv \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T,r} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\gamma(v) |f(v)f(v')| d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T,r} \left( \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |\Theta_\gamma(v)f(v)|^2 d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(v')|^2 d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where the nonnegative measure  $d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) = \mathfrak{M}^s(v)\mathfrak{M}^s(v_1)b(\omega, v_1 - v)d\omega dv_1 dv$ . Notice that  $d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) = d\mu(\omega, v'_1, v')$  under the change of variables  $(v, v_1) \mapsto (v', v'_1)$ , see Subsection 2.5 of [13] for instance. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(v')|^2 d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) &= \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |f(v)|^2 d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^s(v) \left[ \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathfrak{M}^s(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 \right] dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^s(v) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^s(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 \right] dv \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 \nu(v) dv = \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where the fact  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^s(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 \sim_{\rho,u,T} \nu(v)$  has been used, which is derived from (1.15) and Lemma 2.3 of [13]. Moreover, together with the fact  $\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} |\Theta_\gamma(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^s(v) < \infty$ , a similar argument yields that

$$\iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} |\Theta_\gamma(v)f(v)|^2 d\mu(\omega, v_1, v) \lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \quad (3.40)$$

Consequently, (3.38), (3.39) and (3.40) imply that

$$|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} K_2^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho,u,T,r} \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2.$$

Namely, the inequality (3.24) holds for  $i = 2$ . Therefore the proof of Lemma 3.2 is finished.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** (High velocities estimates). *Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$  and any fixed  $r > 0$ .*

(1) *There holds*

$$|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right] \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (3.41)$$

where  $\Theta_\gamma = \Theta_\gamma(v)$  is given in (1.42).

(2) *If  $\gamma = 1$ , then*

$$|\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \exp\left(\frac{qr^2}{2T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \quad (3.42)$$

*If  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then*

$$|\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.43)$$

*If  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ , then*

$$|\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \quad (3.44)$$

*Proof.* (1) One first focuses on the quantity  $|\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle|$ . By the definitions of  $\chi(s)$  in (3.18) and  $K_1^\chi f$  in (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \Theta_\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ & \leq \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \chi(|v_1 - v|) \Theta_\gamma(v) |f(v_1) f(v)| b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 dv \\ & \leq \iint_{|v_1 - v| \geq r} |f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)| |f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)| \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \left( \iint_{|v_1 - v| \geq r} |f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{3(1-q)}{8}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left( \iint_{|v_1 - v| \geq r} |f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{3(1-q)}{8}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where the cutoff condition (1.5) and the uniform bound  $\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q}{8}}(v) \leq C(\rho, u, T) < \infty$  have also been utilized. Since  $|v_1 - u|^2 + |v - u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|v_1 - v|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}r^2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{M}(v) \mathfrak{M}(v_1)]^{\frac{1-q}{4}} &= \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{\frac{1-q}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)(|v-u|^2 + |v_1-u|^2)}{8T}\right] \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right]^{\frac{1-q}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{16T}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

It thereby infers that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{|v_1 - v| \geq r} |f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{3(1-q)}{8}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{16T}\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q}{8}}(v) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+3q}{4}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma d_1 \right] dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{16T}\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q}{8}}(v) \nu(v) dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{16T}\right] \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where the last second inequality is implied by the fact  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+3q}{4}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma d_1 \sim_{\rho, u, T} \nu(v)$  derived from Lemma 2.3 of [13] and (1.15), and the last inequality is resulted from the uniform

bound  $\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q}{8}}(v) \nu(v) \leq C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) < \infty$ . Moreover, there similarly hold

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{|v_1-v| \geq r} |f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{3(1-q)}{8}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv_1 dv \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{3(1-q)}{8}}(v) |v_1 - v|^\gamma dv \right] dv_1 \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v_1)|^2 \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(v_1) \nu(v_1) dv_1 \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Therefore, the inequality (3.41) is concluded by plugging (3.47) and (3.48) into (3.45).

(2) Recalling the definition of  $K_2^\chi f$  in (3.21), one has

$$|K_2^\chi f(v)| \leq 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \chi(|v_1 - v|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}(v_1) |f(v')| b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1. \quad (3.49)$$

Let

$$V = v_1 - v, \quad V_\parallel = (V \cdot \omega) \omega, \quad V_\perp = V - V_\parallel, \quad \omega = \frac{v' - v}{|v' - v|},$$

whose intuitively geometric relations are illustrated in Figure 1 before. As shown in Section 2 of [11], one has

$$d\omega dv_1 = d\omega dV = \frac{2dV_\perp dV_\parallel}{|V_\parallel|^2}. \quad (3.50)$$

Then, (3.49) and (3.50) reduce to

$$|K_2^\chi f(v)| \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \chi(|V|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v + V_\parallel) \mathfrak{M}(v + V) |f(v + V_\parallel)| b(\omega, V) \frac{dV_\perp dV_\parallel}{|V_\parallel|^2}.$$

Denote by

$$\eta = v + V_\parallel, \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{2}(v + \eta),$$

which leads to  $\zeta - v = \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel$  and  $v + V = \eta + V_\perp$ . It is easy to see that  $V_\perp \cdot \zeta = V_\perp \cdot v = V_\perp \cdot \eta$ . Then, direct calculations imply

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\eta-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - |\eta + V_\perp - \mathbf{u}|^2 &= -\frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - \frac{|\eta-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - 2V_\perp \cdot (\eta - \mathbf{u}) - |V_\perp|^2 \\ &= -\frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - \frac{|\eta-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - 2V_\perp \cdot (\zeta - \mathbf{u}) - |V_\perp|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta - \mathbf{u}|^2 &= |2\zeta - v - \mathbf{u}|^2 = |2(\zeta - \mathbf{u}) - (v - \mathbf{u})|^2 \\ &= 4|\zeta - \mathbf{u}|^2 - 4(\zeta - \mathbf{u}) \cdot (v - \mathbf{u}) + |v - \mathbf{u}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It thereby infers that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\eta-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} - |\eta + V_\perp - \mathbf{u}|^2 &= -|\zeta - v|^2 - |V_\perp + \zeta - \mathbf{u}|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}|V_\parallel|^2 - |\zeta_\parallel|^2 - |V_\perp + \zeta_\perp|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_\parallel = [(\zeta - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \omega] \omega$  and  $\zeta_\perp = (\zeta - \mathbf{u}) - \zeta_\parallel$ . As a result,

$$|K_2^\chi f(v)| \leq \frac{4\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{\chi(|V|)}{|V_\parallel|^2} |f(v + V_\parallel)| b(\omega, V) \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T} - \frac{|V_\perp + \zeta_\perp|^2}{2T}\right] dV_\perp dV_\parallel.$$

By (1.5), one has

$$b(\omega, V) \leq \beta_0 |\cos \theta| |V|^\gamma = \beta_0 \frac{|\omega \cdot V|}{|V|} |V|^\gamma = \frac{\beta_0 |V_\parallel|}{|V|^{1-\gamma}}.$$

It thereby implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2^\chi f(v)| &\leq \frac{4\beta_0 \rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_\parallel|^{-1} |f(v + V_\parallel)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_{V_\perp \perp V_\parallel} \frac{\chi(|V|)}{|V|^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_\perp + \zeta_\perp|^2}{2T}\right) dV_\perp \right] dV_\parallel. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$



**Case 1.  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  for the hard potential.**

Since  $1 - \gamma \geq 0$ , the definition of  $\chi(s)$  in (3.18) implies

$$\int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \frac{\chi(|V|)}{|V|^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_{\perp} + \zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV_{\perp} \leq \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_{\perp} + \zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV_{\perp} = \frac{2\pi T}{r^{1-\gamma}}.$$

Therefore, one has

$$|K_2^{\chi} f(v)| \leq \frac{4\beta_0 \rho}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right] dV_{\parallel},$$

which reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^{\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle \right| \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|} |f(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v)| |\mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right] dV_{\parallel} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)| \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{q|v+V_{\parallel}-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right) dV_{\parallel} dv. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

By  $\zeta = v + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}$  and  $V_{\parallel} \cdot (\zeta - u) = V_{\parallel} \cdot \zeta_{\parallel}$ , a simple calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{q|v+V_{\parallel}-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \\ & = -(1-q)\left(\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} + \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right) + \frac{q}{2T} \left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{2} - V_{\parallel} \cdot (\zeta - u - \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}) - \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{4} - |\zeta_{\parallel}|^2\right] \\ & = -(1-q)\left(\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} + \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right) + \frac{q}{2T} \left(-V_{\parallel} \cdot \zeta_{\parallel} - \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{4} - |\zeta_{\parallel}|^2\right) \\ & = -(1-q)\left(\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} + \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right) - \frac{q|\zeta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \leq -\frac{(1-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^{\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle \right| & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)| \\ & \quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel} \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|V_{\parallel}|} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel} \leq C(T) < \infty$  has been utilized.

If  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , the inequality (3.43) holds. If  $\gamma = 1$ , it infers from  $\nu(v) \sim_{\rho, u, T} 1 + |v|$  in (1.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 & \lesssim_{\rho, T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)|^2 \frac{\nu(v)}{1+|v|} dv \\ & = \left\{ \int_{|v| \leq r} + \int_{|v| > r} \right\} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)|^2 \frac{\nu(v)}{1+|v|} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \exp\left(\frac{qr^2}{2T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \frac{1}{r+1} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the inequality (3.42).

**Case 2.  $-3 < \gamma < 0$  for the soft potential.**

By changing variables  $V_{\perp} \rightarrow V'_{\perp} = V_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}$ , one has

$$\int_{V_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \frac{\chi(|V|)}{|V|^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_{\perp} + \zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV_{\perp} = \int_{V'_{\perp} \perp V_{\parallel}} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp}. \quad (3.54)$$

Then the bound (3.51) of  $K_2^\chi f(v)$  can be split into two parts:

$$|K_2^\chi f(v)| \leq W_1(v) + W_2(v), \quad (3.55)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(v) &= \frac{4\beta_0\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_{|V'_{\perp}| > \tau|\zeta_{\perp}|} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp} \right] dV_{\parallel}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(v) &= \frac{4\beta_0\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{|\zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right] \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_{|V'_{\perp}| \leq \tau|\zeta_{\perp}|} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp} \right] dV_{\parallel}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Here  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  is an any fixed constant.

*Case 2(a). Estimates of  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_1, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .*

Notice that, by (3.18),

$$\frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}}.$$

Moreover, under  $|V'_{\perp}| > \tau|\zeta_{\perp}|$  and for any fixed  $0 < q' < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) \leq \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{q'|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T} - \frac{(1-q')\tau^2|\zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left[\frac{(1-q')\tau^2|\zeta_{\perp}|^2}{2T} - \frac{q'|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T} - \frac{(1-q')\tau^2(|\zeta_{\perp}|^2 + |\zeta_{\parallel}|^2)}{2T}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $|\zeta_{\perp}|^2 + |\zeta_{\parallel}|^2 = |\zeta_{\perp} + \zeta_{\parallel}|^2 = |\zeta - \mathbf{u}|^2 = |v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2$ . It thereby infers that

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(v) &\lesssim_{\rho, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{[1-(1-q')\tau^2]|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right] \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_{|V'_{\perp}| > \tau|\zeta_{\perp}|} \exp\left(-\frac{q'|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T} - \frac{(1-q')\tau^2|v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp} \right\} dV_{\parallel}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

One expands

$$\begin{aligned} |v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2 &= |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|V_{\parallel}|^2 + (v - \mathbf{u}) \cdot V_{\parallel} \\ &= \frac{3}{4}|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|v - \mathbf{u} + V_{\parallel}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(v - \mathbf{u}) \cdot V_{\parallel} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4}|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|v - \mathbf{u} + V_{\parallel}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|V_{\parallel}|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|v - \mathbf{u} + V_{\parallel}|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|V_{\parallel}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

Denote by  $\tau_* := (1 - q')\tau^2 \in (0, 1)$ . The arbitrariness of  $q', \tau \in (0, 1)$  guarantees that  $\tau_*$  can be arbitrary in  $(0, 1)$ . Observing that  $\int_{|V'_{\perp}| > \tau|\zeta_{\perp}|} \exp\left(-\frac{q'|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_{\perp} \leq \frac{2\pi T}{q'} < \infty$ , there hold

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(v) &\lesssim_{\rho, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{\tau_*|v - \mathbf{u}|^2}{4T}\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |f(v + V_{\parallel})| \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[-\frac{(1-\tau_*)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} - \frac{\tau_*|v - \mathbf{u} + V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.60)$$

Then, by (1.15) and  $\sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} \exp(-\frac{\tau_* |v-u|^2}{4T}) \leq C(\mathbf{u}, T) < \infty$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_1, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} \exp(-\frac{\tau_* |v-u|^2}{4T}) |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \\
& \quad \times |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)| \exp\left[\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} - \frac{(q+\tau_*/2)|v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T}\right] dV_{\parallel} dv \quad (3.61) \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \\
& \quad \times \exp\left[\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{q|v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right] dV_{\parallel} dv.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments in (3.53), it is implied that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{q|v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \\
& = -(1 - \tau_* - q) \left( \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} + \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \right) - \frac{q|\zeta_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \\
& \leq -(1 - \tau_* - q) \left( \frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} + \frac{|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \right). \quad (3.62)
\end{aligned}$$

By taking a  $\tau_*$  such that  $0 < \tau_* < 1 - q$ , i.e.,  $1 - \tau_* - q > 0$ , one has

$$\frac{q|v-u|^2}{4T} - \frac{q|v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-\tau_*)|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T} \leq -\frac{(1-\tau_*-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}.$$

Then, by the inequality  $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |g(v)g(v + V_{\parallel})w(V_{\parallel})| dV_{\parallel} dv \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(v)|^2 dv \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w(V_{\parallel})| dV_{\parallel}$  and the convergence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp[-\frac{(1-\tau_*-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}] dV_{\parallel} \leq 4\pi + (\frac{8\pi T}{1-\tau_*-q})^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty$ , one easily obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_1, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \\
& \quad \times |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-\tau_*-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel} dv \quad (3.63) \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)| dv \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-\tau_*-q)|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right] dV_{\parallel} \\
& \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

*Case 2(b). Estimates of  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .*

Without loss of generality, we assume  $r > 1$  in (3.18), because our later arguments will require the positive constant  $r$  large enough. Then

$$\frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{2^{1-\gamma}}{(1 + |V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}. \quad (3.64)$$

As a result, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|V'_{\perp}| \leq \tau |\zeta_{\perp}|} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2})}{(|V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}) dV'_{\perp} \\
& \leq 2^{1-\gamma} \int_{|V'_{\perp}| \leq \tau |\zeta_{\perp}|} \frac{\exp(-\frac{|V'_{\perp}|^2}{2T}) dV'_{\perp}}{(1 + |V_{\parallel}|^2 + |V'_{\perp} - \zeta_{\perp}|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}. \quad (3.65)
\end{aligned}$$

Under the restriction  $|V'_\perp| \leq \tau|\zeta_\perp|$ ,  $|V'_\perp - \zeta_\perp| \geq |\zeta_\perp| - |V'_\perp| \geq (1-\tau)|\zeta_\perp|$  ( $0 < \tau < 1$ ). Moreover,  $|\zeta_\perp|^2 + |\zeta_\parallel|^2 = |\zeta_\perp + \zeta_\parallel|^2 = |\zeta - \mathbf{u}|^2 = |v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2$ . Thus, for any fixed  $0 < q'' < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|V'_\perp| \leq \tau|\zeta_\perp|} \frac{\chi(\sqrt{|V_\parallel|^2 + |V'_\perp - \zeta_\perp|^2})}{(|V_\parallel|^2 + |V'_\perp - \zeta_\perp|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_\perp|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_\perp \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \int_{|V'_\perp| \leq \tau|\zeta_\perp|} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{|V'_\perp|^2}{2T} + \frac{q''|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_\perp}{(1 + |V_\parallel|^2 + |\zeta_\perp|^2 + |\zeta_\parallel|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{q''|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right)}{(1 + |V_\parallel|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.66)$$

where the bound  $\int_{|V'_\perp| \leq \tau|\zeta_\perp|} \exp\left(-\frac{|V'_\perp|^2}{2T}\right) dV'_\perp \leq 2\pi T < \infty$  has been used. Together with the definition of  $W_2(v)$  in (3.57) and the inequality (3.59), one has

$$\begin{aligned} W_2(v) & \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f(v+V_\parallel)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right]}{|V_\parallel|(1+|v-\mathbf{u}|^2+|v-\mathbf{u}+V_\parallel|^2+|V_\parallel|^2)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}} dV_\parallel \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f(v+V_\parallel)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right]}{|V_\parallel|(1+|v-\mathbf{u}|+|v-\mathbf{u}+V_\parallel|)^{1-\gamma}} dV_\parallel \\ & = W_2^\phi(v) + W_2^{1-\phi}(v) \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

for any fixed  $0 < q'' < 1$ , where

$$W_2^\phi(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\phi(v, V_\parallel) |f(v+V_\parallel)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right]}{|V_\parallel|(1+|v-\mathbf{u}|+|v-\mathbf{u}+V_\parallel|)^{1-\gamma}} dV_\parallel, \quad (3.68)$$

and

$$W_2^{1-\phi}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{[1-\phi(v, V_\parallel)] |f(v+V_\parallel)| \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right]}{|V_\parallel|(1+|v-\mathbf{u}|+|v-\mathbf{u}+V_\parallel|)^{1-\gamma}} dV_\parallel. \quad (3.69)$$

Here the smooth cutoff function is defined by

$$\phi(v, V_\parallel) = \chi(|v - \mathbf{u}| + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|),$$

where  $\chi$  is introduced in (3.18).

Case 2(b-1). Estimates of  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^\phi, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .

By (1.15) and  $(1 + |v|)^{-\gamma} \leq (1 + |\mathbf{u}|)^{-\gamma} (1 + |v - \mathbf{u}|)^{-\gamma}$  for  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ,

$$\nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) \exp\left[-\frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right] \lesssim_{\rho, \mathbf{u}, T} (1 + |v - \mathbf{u}|)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{q|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{4T} - \frac{|V_\parallel|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_\parallel|^2}{2T}\right). \quad (3.70)$$

Recalling the relations  $V_\parallel \cdot \zeta_\perp = 0$  and  $\zeta - \mathbf{u} = \zeta_\parallel + \zeta_\perp = v - \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel$ , a direct calculation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel - \zeta_\perp|^2 \\ & = |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 + |\zeta_\perp|^2 - 2(v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel) \cdot \zeta_\perp \\ & = |v - \mathbf{u}|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 + |\zeta_\perp|^2 - 2(v - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \zeta_\perp \\ & = |v - \mathbf{u} - \zeta_\perp|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 \\ & = |\zeta - \mathbf{u} - \zeta_\perp - \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 \\ & = |\zeta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2 + |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$|v - \mathbf{u}|^2 \leq |v - \mathbf{u} + V_\parallel|^2 + |\zeta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2. \quad (3.71)$$

Moreover,  $|\zeta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2 \leq 2|\zeta_\parallel|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|V_\parallel|^2$ , namely,

$$|\zeta_\parallel|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\zeta_\parallel - \frac{1}{2}V_\parallel|^2 - \frac{1}{4}|V_\parallel|^2. \quad (3.72)$$

Then, it is deduced from (3.70), (3.71) and (3.72) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) \exp\left[-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_{\parallel}|^2}{2T}\right] \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} (1 + |v - u|)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{q|v-u+V_{\parallel}|^2 + q|\zeta_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T} - \frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q'')|\zeta_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T}\right) \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} (1 + |v - u|)^{-\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) \exp\left(-\frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q-q'')|\zeta_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

We then take  $0 < q'' < 1 - q < 1$  for any fixed  $q \in (0, 1)$ , i.e.,  $1 - q - q'' > 0$ . From the definition of  $W_2^\phi(v)$  in (3.68), the bounds (3.73) and  $\frac{\phi(v, V_{\parallel})(1+|v-u|)^{-\gamma}}{(1+|v-u|+|v-u+V_{\parallel}|)^{1-\gamma}} \leq \frac{1}{1+r}$ , it thereby infers that, for any fixed  $0 < q < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^\phi, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\phi(v, V_{\parallel})(1+|v-u|)^{-\gamma}}{|V_{\parallel}|(1+|v-u|+|v-u+V_{\parallel}|)^{1-\gamma}} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v) \cdot \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-q-q'')|\zeta_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\parallel}|^2}{4T}\right) dV_{\parallel} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v) \cdot \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left(-\frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right) dV_{\parallel} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) f(v)|^2 dv \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right) dV_{\parallel} \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

where the inequalities  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{q''|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right) dV_{\parallel} \leq 4\pi + \left(\frac{8\pi T}{q''}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} < \infty$  and  $\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |g(v)g(v + V_{\parallel})w(V_{\parallel})| dV_{\parallel} dv \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(v)|^2 dv \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w(V_{\parallel})| dV_{\parallel}$  have also been employed. This completes the estimate for the quantity  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^\phi, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .

Case 2(b-2). Estimates of  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^{1-\phi}, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .

Observing that

$$\Psi(v, V_{\parallel}) := \frac{[1-\phi(v, V_{\parallel})]\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v+V_{\parallel})\mathfrak{M}^{-q}(v)}{(1+|v-u|+|v-u+V_{\parallel}|)^{1-\gamma}} \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right),$$

one therefore derives from the definition of  $W_2^{1-\phi}(v)$  in (3.69) that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^{1-\phi}, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ & \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \Psi(v, V_{\parallel}) |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} |\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) f(v) \cdot \nu^{\frac{1}{2}}(v + V_{\parallel}) f(v + V_{\parallel})| \exp\left(-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right) dV_{\parallel} dv \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(v)|^2 \nu(v) dv \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |V_{\parallel}|^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{|V_{\parallel}|^2}{8T}\right) dV_{\parallel} \\ & \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

This finishes the estimate for the quantity  $\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2^{1-\phi}, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle$ .

Consequently, collecting the estimates (3.67), (3.74) and (3.75) reduces to

$$|\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} W_2, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \quad (3.76)$$

for any fixed  $0 < q < 1$  and  $r > 1$ . Furthermore, the bounds (3.55), (3.63) and (3.76) imply that, if  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ,

$$|\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \lesssim_{\rho, u, T} \frac{1}{1+r} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+r)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{2qr^2}{T}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2$$

holds for any fixed  $0 < q < 1$  and  $r > 1$ . The proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed.  $\square$

Based on Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, the following hypocoercivity of the weighted linearized Boltzmann collision operator can be derived.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $0 < q < 1$  and  $-3 < \gamma \leq 1$ . Then there is a constant  $C = C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$  such that*

(1) *if  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,*

$$\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 - C \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2; \quad (3.77)$$

(2) *if  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ ,*

$$\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 - C \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \quad (3.78)$$

*Proof.* (1) The hard potential cases for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}f = \nu f + K^{1-\chi} f + K_1^\chi f - K_2^\chi f$  by (1.7) and (3.19), one derives from Lemma 3.2-3.3 and  $\nu(v) \geq \nu_0 > 0$  for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle &\geq \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 - |\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ &\quad - |\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| - |\langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ &\geq \left\{ 1 - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right) + p_\gamma(r) \right] \right\} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \\ &\quad - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T, r) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

where

$$p_\gamma(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r^{1-\gamma}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \\ \frac{1}{r+1}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, \end{cases}$$

satisfying  $p_\gamma(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then, one can take a sufficiently large  $r = r(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$  such that

$$1 - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right) + p_\gamma(r) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It thereby concludes the inequality (3.77) in Lemma 3.4 from (3.79).

(2) The soft potential cases for  $-3 < \gamma < 0$ .

Note that  $\nu^{-1} \mathcal{L}f = f + \nu^{-1} K^{1-\chi} f + \nu^{-1} K_1^\chi f - \nu^{-1} K_2^\chi f$ . Then the inequalities (3.22) in Lemma 3.2, (3.41) and (3.44) in Lemma 3.3 tell us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} \mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle &\geq \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 - |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K^{1-\chi} f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ &\quad - |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_1^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| - |\langle \nu^{-1} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} K_2^\chi f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f \rangle| \\ &\geq \left\{ 1 - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right) + \frac{1}{1+r} \right] \right\} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}} f\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T, r) \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.80)$$

Because  $\exp\left(-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right) + \frac{1}{1+r} \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , there is a large enough  $r = r(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) > 0$  such that

$$1 - C(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{(1-q)r^2}{32T}\right) + \frac{1}{1+r} \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consequently, the inequality (3.78) holds, and the proof of Lemma 3.4 is finished.  $\square$

**3.3. Decay of the pseudo-inverse  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ : Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In this subsection, one aims at justifying the decay estimates of the pseudo-inverse operator  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  by using the results obtained in the previous subsection, i.e., proving Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We will separately proof the results in Theorem 1.1 for the hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and the part of soft potential cases  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ .

(1) *The hard potential cases  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ .*

Let  $f = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}f = g$ . Recalling the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.7), namely,  $\mathcal{L}f = \nu f + Kf$ , one has

$$f = \nu^{-1}g - \nu^{-1}Kf.$$

Because  $\nu^{-1} \leq \nu_0^{-1}$  for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|f(v)| &\leq \nu^{-1}(v)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| + \nu^{-1}(v)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|Kf(v)| \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| + \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|Kf(v)| \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| + \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| + \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

where the last second inequality is derived from Lemma 3.1, and the last one is implied by the facts  $\nu(v) \geq \nu_0 > 0$  for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and  $\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v) \geq C(\rho, T) > 0$  for  $0 < q < 1$ . Moreover, Lemma 3.4 and the hypocoercivity of  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.13) yield that for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  and  $0 < q < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}\mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f \rangle + \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \langle \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}\mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f \rangle + \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| \cdot |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)f(v)| dv \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2(\nu)} \|(1+|v|)^k \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{(1+|v|)^{2k+\gamma}} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

for any fixed  $k > \frac{3-\gamma}{2}$ , where  $\nu(v) \sim_{\rho,u,T} (1+|v|)^\gamma$  in (1.15) has been used. Noticing that the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{(1+|v|)^{2k+\gamma}} dv$  converges under  $k > \frac{3-\gamma}{2}$ , there holds

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2(\nu)} \lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \|(1+|v|)^k \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (3.83)$$

Consequently, the inequalities (3.81) and (3.82) conclude the results in Part (1) of Theorem 1.1.

(2) *The part of soft potential cases  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 0$ .*

Noticing that  $\nu f = \mathcal{L}f - Kf = g - Kf$ , where  $f = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$ , one derives from the similar arguments in (3.81) that

$$\nu(v)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|f(v)| \lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| + \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.84)$$

Moreover, the inequality (3.78) in Lemma 3.4 and the hypocoercivity of  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.13) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2}^2 &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \langle \nu^{-1}\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}\mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f \rangle + \|f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \langle \nu^{-1}\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}\mathcal{L}f, \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f \rangle + \langle \mathcal{L}f, f \rangle \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu^{-1}(v)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)|g(v)| |\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}(v)f(v)| dv \\ &\lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \|(1+|v|)^k \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dv}{(1+|v|)^{2k+2\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\|\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}f\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{\rho,u,T} \|(1+|v|)^k \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q}{2}}g\|_{L^\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dv}{(1+|v|)^{2k+2\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.85)$$

Since the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{dv}{(1+|v|)^{2k+2\gamma}}$  converges provided that  $k > \frac{3-2\gamma}{2}$ . As a consequence, the bounds (3.84) and (3.85) imply the results in Part (2) of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS TO HILBERT EXPANSION: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2-1.3

In this section, one mainly aims at proving Theorem 1.2-1.3, namely, justifying the decay estimates of  $(t, x)$ -derivatives of  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  in Theorem 1.2, and deriving the bounds of the expanded terms  $F_n$  in Theorem 1.3.



**4.1. Decay estimates for  $\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ : Proof of Theorem 1.2.** In this subsection, one devotes to prove the bounds (1.41) by employing the induction arguments for the integer  $m \geq 1$ . For notational simplicity, we still denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(v) = \mathfrak{M}(t, x, v)$ .

**Step 1.**  $m = 1$ .

For  $|\beta| = 1$ ,  $\partial_{t,x}^\beta(\mathcal{L}f) = \partial_{t,x}^\beta g$ . Then one has

$$\mathcal{L}\{(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})\partial_{t,x}^\beta f\} = \mathcal{L}\{\partial_{t,x}^\beta f\} = \partial_{t,x}^\beta g - [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f := g_1,$$

where  $[A, B]$  represents the commutator operator via meaning  $AB - BA$ . By Theorem 1.1, there holds

$$|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})\partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)| \leq C\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $0 < q_1 < q_0 = q < 1$ , provided that  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} g_1\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . Noticing that

$$\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} \partial_{t,x}^\beta g\|_{L^\infty} + \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (4.2)$$

it suffices to show  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{L}$  in (1.7)-(1.11) and the expressions of  $K_1 f, K_2 f$  in (2.1), one has

$$[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f = (\partial_{t,x}^\beta \nu)f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1 \quad (4.3)$$

for  $|\beta| = 1$ , where the integral kernels  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  and  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  are given in Lemma 2.1.

A straightforward calculation implies

$$\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathfrak{M} = \left\{ \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta \rho}{\rho} + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{3\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \mathfrak{M} \quad (4.4)$$

for  $|\beta| = 1$ . Then, by (1.8),

$$\partial_{t,x}^\beta \nu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta \rho}{\rho} + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v_1-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{3\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{6T} \left( \frac{|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}(v_1) dv_1. \quad (4.5)$$

Since  $\left| \left\{ \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta \rho}{\rho} + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v_1-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{3\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{6T} \left( \frac{|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \right| \leq C(\epsilon_1) < \infty$  uniformly in  $t, x, v$ , where the constant  $C(\epsilon_1) > 0$  depends on the quantity  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  defined in (1.36), one has

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta \nu| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) dv_1 \leq C(\epsilon_1) \nu,$$

where the last inequality is derived from Lemma 2.3 of [13] and (1.15). Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 shows us that

$$|f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_0) \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(v) \quad (4.6)$$

for  $-\frac{3}{2} < \gamma \leq 1$  and any fixed  $0 < q_0 < 1$ . Here  $\Theta_\gamma(v)$  is given in (1.42). Then, one sees

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} (\partial_{t,x}^\beta \nu) f\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma + |\gamma|} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0 - q_1}{2}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for any  $0 < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ .

Then we estimate the quantity  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty}$ . Recalling the expression of  $\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)$  in (2.2) of Lemma 2.1, it infers from a direct calculation that, for  $|\beta| = 1$ ,

$$\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) = \left\{ \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta \rho}{\rho} + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{(v_1-\mathbf{u})+(v-\mathbf{u})}{T} + \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{2T} \left( \frac{|v_1-\mathbf{u}|^2 + |v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2T} - 3 \right) \right\} \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1). \quad (4.8)$$

Then, by introducing a polynomial

$$p_n(\varsigma) = 1 + \varsigma + \cdots + \varsigma^n, \quad \varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \quad (4.9)$$

for any fixed integer  $n \geq 0$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)| &\leq C(\epsilon_1)[p_2(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) + p_2(|v - \mathbf{u}|)]\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1+3q_0}{4}}(v_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1-q_0}{4}}(v)\mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where the last inequality is derived from the bounds

$$[p_2(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) + p_2(|v - \mathbf{u}|)]\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1+3q_0}{4}}(v_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1-q_0}{4}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0) < \infty$$

uniformly in  $t, x, v$ . The bounds (4.6) and (4.10) thereby imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma}\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1 \right| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1)\mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g)(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0 - q_1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1-q_0}{4}}(v_1)\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1-q_0}{4}}(v) dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1)\mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g)(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \Theta_\gamma(v)\nu(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_0-2q_1}{4}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_1)\mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g), \end{aligned}$$

where the last second inequality is derived from Lemma 2.3 of [13] and (1.15). This infers that

$$\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma}\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\epsilon_1)\mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g). \quad (4.11)$$

Then, one focuses on the quantity  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma}\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty}$ . Recalling the expression of  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)$  in (2.3) and (2.4), it infers from a direct calculation that

$$\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) = \partial_{t,x}^\beta \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)\widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) + \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)\partial_{t,x}^\beta \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1), \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) = \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)\widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) = \frac{4\rho}{(2\pi T)^{\frac{3}{2}}} |v_1 - v|^{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1 - v|^2} + \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2T|v_1 - v|^2} \mathbf{u} \cdot (v_1 - v)\right], \quad (4.13)$$

$$\widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) = \int_{y \perp (v_1 - v)} \exp\left(-\frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}\right) (|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|v_1 - v|}{\sqrt{|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2}}\right) dy, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) &= \left[ \frac{T^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{\rho}{T^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) - \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \left(\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8} + \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8|v_1 - v|^2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{T}\right) \cdot (v_1 - v) \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2|v_1 - v|^2} \right] \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) &= \int_{y \perp (v_1 - v)} \left[ \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{2T} \frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{T} \right] \exp\left(-\frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}\right) \\ &\quad \times (|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \beta\left(\arccos \frac{|v_1 - v|}{\sqrt{|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2}}\right) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Observe that

$$\left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\partial_{t,x}^\beta T}{2T} \frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{T} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|^2}{4T}\right) \leq C(\epsilon_1)$$

uniformly in  $y, t, x$ . Then the same arguments in (2.14) imply that

$$|\widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| + |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_0) |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma}, \quad (4.17)$$

where  $b_0$  is given in Lemma 2.2. By the relation (2.18), one has

$$\tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) \leq C(\epsilon_0) |v_1 - v|^{-2} \exp\left[-\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1 - \epsilon)(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1 - v|^2}\right] \quad (4.18)$$

for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{T^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{\rho}{T^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) - \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \left(\frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8} + \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8|v_1 - v|^2}\right) + \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}}{T}\right) \cdot (v_1 - v) \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{2|v_1 - v|^2} \right| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_1)(1 + |v_1 - v|^2) \left(1 + \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{|v_1 - v|^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 + |v_1 - v|^2) \left(1 + \frac{(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{|v_1 - v|^2}\right) \exp \left[ -\frac{\epsilon' |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{\epsilon (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right] \leq C(\epsilon_0) < \infty$$

for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . Consequently, together with (4.18),

$$|\tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| + |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_1) |v_1 - v|^{-2} \exp \left( -\frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right). \quad (4.19)$$

From the relations (4.12), (4.17) and (4.19), it infers that, for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_1) |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \exp \left( -\frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right). \quad (4.20)$$

Together with (4.6) and (4.20), one sees that, for any fixed  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 < q_1 < q'_0 < q_0 < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1 \right| \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} (1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \exp \left( -\frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right) dv_1 \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) (1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q'_0 - q_1}{2}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0 - q'_0}{2}}(v_1) |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left( \frac{q'_0 (|v - u|^2 - |v_1 - u|^2)}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right) dv_1 \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left( \frac{q'_0 (|v - u|^2 - |v_1 - u|^2)}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \right) dv_1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where the last inequality is derived from the uniform bounds  $(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q'_0 - q_1}{2}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$  and  $\Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0 - q'_0}{2}}(v_1) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$ .

Noticing that  $|v_1|^2 - |v|^2 = |v_1 - v|^2 + 2v \cdot (v_1 - v)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} |v - u|^2 - |v_1 - u|^2 &= -(v_1 - v) \cdot (v_1 + v - 2u) \\ &= -|v_1 - v|^2 - 2(v - u) \cdot (v_1 - v) \\ &= -(|v_1|^2 - |v|^2) + 2u \cdot (v_1 - v). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 < q_1 < q'_0 < q_0 < 1$ , a direct calculation implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q'_0 (|v - u|^2 - |v_1 - u|^2)}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon) (|v_1|^2 - |v|^2)^2}{8T |v_1 - v|^2} \\ &= -\frac{1-2\epsilon}{8T} \left[ \left( \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{|v_1 - v|} \right)^2 + 2 \cdot \frac{q'_0 |v_1 - v|}{1-2\epsilon} \cdot \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{|v_1 - v|} \right] - \frac{(1-\epsilon') |v_1 - v|^2}{8T} + \frac{q'_0 u \cdot (v_1 - v)}{2T} \\ &= -\frac{1-2\epsilon}{8T} \left( \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{|v_1 - v|} + \frac{q'_0 |v_1 - v|}{1-2\epsilon} \right)^2 - \left( 1 - \epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon} \right) \frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} + \frac{q'_0 u \cdot (v_1 - v)}{2T} \\ &= -\frac{1-2\epsilon}{8T} \left( \frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{|v_1 - v|} + \frac{q'_0 |v_1 - v|}{1-2\epsilon} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon} \right) \frac{|v_1 - v|^2}{8T} \\ & \quad - \frac{1-\epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon}}{16T} |v_1 - v - 4q'_0 \left( 1 - \epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon} \right)^{-1} u|^2 + q_0'^2 \left( 1 - \epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon} \right)^{-1} \frac{|u|^2}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $\epsilon = \frac{q - q_0'^2}{4} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' = \frac{1 - q_0'^2}{2(1 + q_0'^2)} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$1 - \epsilon' - \frac{q_0'^2}{1-2\epsilon} = \frac{1 - q_0'^2}{2(1 + q_0'^2)} > 0,$$

it infers that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{g'_0(|v-u|^2-|v_1-u|^2)}{4T} - \frac{(1-\epsilon')|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2} \\ & \leq -\frac{1-q_0'^2}{4(1+q_0'^2)} \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T} + \frac{2q_0'^2(1+q_0'^2)}{1-q_0'^2} \frac{|u|^2}{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Collecting the estimates (4.21) and (4.22), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+|v|)^{k\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1 \right| \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \exp\left[-\frac{1-q_0'^2}{4(1+q_0'^2)} \frac{|v_1-v|^2}{8T}\right] dv_1 \\ & = C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1|^{b_0 + \gamma - 2} \exp\left[-\frac{1-q_0'^2}{4(1+q_0'^2)} \frac{|v_1|^2}{8T}\right] dv_1 \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g), \end{aligned}$$

where the fact  $\exp\left[\frac{2q_0'^2(1+q_0'^2)}{1-q_0'^2} \frac{|u|^2}{T}\right] \leq C(\epsilon_0)$  has been used in the first inequality, and the last inequality is followed from the same arguments of proving  $\mathbf{k}_2(v) \in L^1$  in Lemma 2.2. Namely, for any fixed  $0 < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ ,

$$\|(1+|v|)^{k\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathbf{k}_2(v, v_1) f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g). \quad (4.23)$$

Then, (4.3), (4.7), (4.11) and (4.23) conclude that

$$\|(1+|v|)^{k\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}] f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g). \quad (4.24)$$

Consequently, the relations (4.1), (4.2) and (4.24) imply that, for  $|\beta| = 1$  and any  $0 < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ ,

$$|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) \partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1}{2}}(v). \quad (4.25)$$

Next we control  $\mathcal{P} \partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)$ . Recalling the definition of  $\mathcal{P}$  in (1.12), we have

$$\mathcal{P} \partial_{t,x}^\beta f = \left\{ \frac{\rho_\beta}{\rho} + u_\beta \cdot \frac{v-u}{T} + \frac{\theta_\beta}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-u|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, \quad (4.26)$$

where

$$\rho_\beta = \langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle, \quad u_\beta = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \frac{v-u}{T} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle, \quad \theta_\beta = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, (|v-u|^2 - 3T) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle. \quad (4.27)$$

It suffices to control the fluid variables  $(\rho_\beta, u_\beta, \theta_\beta)$ . For convenience of notations, we denote by

$$\phi(v) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, \quad \frac{v-u}{\rho T} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, \quad \frac{1}{\rho} (|v-u|^2 - 3T) \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}. \quad (4.28)$$

Then the quantity  $\langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \phi \rangle$  can be transformed to

$$\langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \phi \rangle = \partial_{t,x}^\beta \langle f, \phi \rangle - \langle f, \partial_{t,x}^\beta \phi \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_{t,x}^\beta \phi \rangle, \quad (4.29)$$

since  $f \in \text{Null}^\perp(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\phi \in \text{Null}(\mathcal{L})$ . It is easy to see that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta \phi| \leq C(\epsilon_1) p_4(|v-u|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v),$$

where the polynomial  $p_4$  is given in (4.9). Together with (4.6), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \phi \rangle| & = |\langle f, \partial_{t,x}^\beta \phi \rangle| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t, x, v)| |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \phi(v)| dv \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \|(1+|v|)^{k\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_0}{2}} g\|_{L^\infty} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(v) \cdot p_4(|v-u|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) dv \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_0}{2}}(v) \cdot p_4(|v-u|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) dv \leq C(\epsilon_0)$  has been used. As a result,

$$|(\rho_\beta, u_\beta, \theta_\beta)| \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g).$$

It thereby infers that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}\partial_{t,x}^\beta f| &= \left| \left\{ \frac{\rho_\beta}{\rho} + \mathbf{u}_\beta \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\theta_\beta}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \right| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_0) |(\rho_\beta, u_\beta, \theta_\beta)| p_2(|v-\mathbf{u}|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_1) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, q_1}^{1, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1}{2}}(v) \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

for any  $0 < q_1 < 1$ , where  $p_2(|v-\mathbf{u}|) \Theta_\gamma^{-1}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q_1}{2}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$  is utilized. Therefore, the bounds (4.25) and (4.30) conclude the results in Theorem 1.2 with  $m = 1$ .

**Step 2. Induction assumptions for  $m \leq j$  with any fixed  $j \geq 1$ .**

Assume that the results in Theorem 1.2 hold for all  $m = 1, \dots, j$ . That is, for any  $|\beta| = m \in \{1, \dots, j\}$  and  $0 < q_m < q_{m-1} < \dots < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_m) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_m}^{m, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_m}{2}}(v). \quad (4.31)$$

**Step 3.  $m = j + 1$ .**

For  $|\beta| = j + 1$ , one has

$$\mathcal{L}\{(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})\partial_{t,x}^\beta f\} = \mathcal{L}\{\partial_{t,x}^\beta f\} = \partial_{t,x}^\beta g - [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f := g_{j+1},$$

where

$$[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f = [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \nu]f + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)]f(v_1)dv_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)]f(v_1)dv_1. \quad (4.32)$$

Theorem 1.1 tells us that

$$|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})\partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)| \leq C \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} g_{j+1}\|_{L^\infty} \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}}(v) \quad (4.33)$$

for any  $0 < q_{j+1} < q_j < \dots < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ , where  $\Theta_\gamma(v)$  is given in (1.42). Observe that

$$\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} g_{j+1}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) + \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}]f\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.34)$$

We first consider the quantity  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \nu]f\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $|\beta| = j + 1$ . Notice that

$$[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \nu]f = \sum_{0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta} C_\beta^{\beta'} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \nu \partial_{t,x}^{\beta-\beta'} f,$$

where  $C_\beta^{\beta'}$  is the constant depending only on  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$ . Observe that, by (4.4) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \nu| &\leq C(\epsilon_{|\beta'|}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_{2|\beta'|}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) dv_1 \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \nu, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_{2|\beta'|}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$  is utilized in the last second inequality. For  $|\beta| = j + 1$  and  $\beta' \neq 0$ , one has  $0 \leq |\beta - \beta'| \leq j$ . Together with (4.6) and (4.31), we have

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta-\beta'} f| \leq C(\epsilon_{|\beta-\beta'|}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{|\beta-\beta'|}}^{|\beta-\beta'|, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{|\beta-\beta'|}}{2}}(v).$$

Due to  $q_{j+1} < q_j \leq q_{|\beta-\beta'|}$  for  $0 \leq |\beta - \beta'| \leq j$ , there holds

$$(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}}(v) \nu(v) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{|\beta-\beta'|}}{2}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$$

uniformly in  $t, x, v$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \nu]f\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \sum_{0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta} C(\epsilon_{|\beta-\beta'|}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{|\beta-\beta'|}}^{|\beta-\beta'|, \infty}(g) \\ &\quad \times \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}}(v) \nu(v) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{|\beta-\beta'|}}{2}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g). \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

We then consider the quantity  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)] f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $|\beta| = j + 1$ . Observe that

$$[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)] f(v_1) = \sum_{0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta} C_\beta^{\beta'} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta - \beta'} f(v_1).$$

Repeating the arguments of (4.8), one easily knows that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta'|}) [p_{2|\beta'|}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) + p_{2|\beta'|}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|)] \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1),$$

where  $p_{2|\beta'|}$  is given in (4.9). Due to  $0 \leq |\beta - \beta'| \leq j$ ,  $1 \leq |\beta'| \leq j + 1$  and  $0 < q_{j+1} < q_j \leq q_{|\beta - \beta'|}$ , one has  $\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{|\beta - \beta'|}}{2}}(v_1) \leq \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_j}{2}}(v_1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2|\beta'|}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) + p_{2|\beta'|}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) &\leq p_{2j+2}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) + p_{2j+2}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|) \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_0) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1+3q_j}{4}}(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1-q_j}{4}}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Then, combining with (4.6) and (4.31),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)] f(v_1)| dv_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta} C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta'|}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [p_{2|\beta'|}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) + p_{2|\beta'|}(|v_1 - \mathbf{u}|)] \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1) \\ &\quad \times C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta - \beta'|}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{|\beta - \beta'|}}^{|\beta - \beta'|, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{|\beta - \beta'|}}{2}}(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_j}{4}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Theta_\gamma(v_1) |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q_j}{4}}(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_j}{4}}(v) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_1 - v|^\gamma \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q_j}{8}}(v_1) dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \nu(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_j}{4}}(v), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_1(v, v_1)] f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \nu(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_j - 2q_{j+1}}{4}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

for all  $0 < q_{j+1} < q_j < 1$ .

One now focuses on the quantity  $\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)] f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty}$  for  $|\beta| = j + 1$ . Observe that

$$[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)] f(v_1) = \sum_{0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta} C_\beta^{\beta'} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta - \beta'} f(v_1). \tag{4.37}$$

Recall that  $\mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) = \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)$  are given in (4.13) and (4.14), respectively. Then

$$\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1) = \sum_{\beta'' \leq \beta'} C_{\beta'}^{\beta''} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta' - \beta''} \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1).$$

Repeating the processes of taking derivatives as in (4.15) and (4.16), it infers that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta''|}) \{p_{2|\beta''|}(|v_1 - v|) + p_{2|\beta''|}(|\frac{|v_1|^2 - |v|^2}{|v_1 - v|}|)\} \tilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1),$$

and

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'-\beta''} \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_{|\beta'-\beta''|}) \int_{y \perp (v_1-v)} p_{2|\beta'-\beta''|}(|y + \zeta_2 - \mathbf{u}|) \exp(-\frac{|y+\zeta_2-\mathbf{u}|^2}{2T}) \\ \times (|v_1 - v|^2 + |y|^2)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \beta(\arccos \frac{|v_1-v|}{\sqrt{|v_1-v|^2+|y|^2}}) dy.$$

Following the similar derivations of (4.19), there holds

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \widetilde{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) |v_1 - v|^{-2} \exp(-\frac{(1-\epsilon')|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2})$$

for any  $\beta'' \leq \beta'$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . By the similar arguments of (4.17), it is implied that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'-\beta''} \widehat{\mathbb{k}}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) |v_1 - v|^{b_0+\gamma}$$

for all  $\beta'' \leq \beta'$ , where  $b_0$  is given in Lemma 2.2. Therefore,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) |v_1 - v|^{b_0+\gamma-2} \exp(-\frac{(1-\epsilon')|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2})$$

for any  $0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover, from (4.6) and (4.31), it is derived that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta-\beta'} f(v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_j) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1 \beta - \beta'}{2}}(v_1)$$

for all  $0 \neq \beta' \leq \beta$ . Together with (4.37), it follows that

$$|[\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)] f(v_1)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g) |v_1 - v|^{b_0+\gamma-2} \Theta_\gamma(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1}{2}}(v_1) \\ \times \exp(-\frac{(1-\epsilon')|v_1-v|^2}{8T} - \frac{(1-2\epsilon)(|v_1|^2-|v|^2)^2}{8T|v_1-v|^2})$$

for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon' \in (0, 1)$ .

Following the same arguments from (4.20) to (4.23), it is derived that

$$\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1+1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathbb{k}_2(v, v_1)] f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g). \quad (4.38)$$

Collecting the relations (4.32), (4.35), (4.36) and (4.38), one has

$$\|(1 + |v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_1+1}{2}} [\partial_{t,x}^\beta, \mathcal{L}] f(v_1) dv_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g). \quad (4.39)$$

Therefore, the relations (4.33), (4.34) and (4.39) imply that, for  $|\beta| = j+1$  and  $0 < q_{j+1} < q_j < \dots < q_1 < q_0 < 1$ ,

$$|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) \partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1+1}{2}}(v). \quad (4.40)$$

At the end,  $\mathcal{P} \partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)$  should be estimated. The expression of  $\mathcal{P} \partial_{t,x}^\beta f(t, x, v)$  is given in (4.26)-(4.27) for  $|\beta| = j+1$ . The main goal is to control the quantity  $\langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \phi \rangle$ , where  $\phi = \phi(t, x, v)$  is given in (4.28). Splitting  $\beta = \tilde{\beta} + \bar{\beta}$  with  $|\tilde{\beta}| = j$  and  $|\bar{\beta}| = 1$ , one has  $\partial_{t,x}^\beta = \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \partial_{t,x}^{\bar{\beta}}$ . Moreover, the equality (4.29) reads  $\langle \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}} f, \phi \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_{t,x}^{\bar{\beta}} \phi \rangle$ , which implies that

$$\partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \langle \partial_{t,x}^{\bar{\beta}} f, \phi \rangle = -\partial_{t,x}^{\bar{\beta}} \langle f, \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}} \phi \rangle.$$

Then, by  $\beta = \tilde{\beta} + \bar{\beta}$ , one has

$$\langle \partial_{t,x}^\beta f, \phi \rangle = - \sum_{0 \neq \tilde{\beta}' \leq \tilde{\beta}} C_{\tilde{\beta}'}^{\tilde{\beta}'} \langle \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta} + \bar{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}'} f, \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}'} \phi \rangle - \sum_{\tilde{\beta}'' \leq \tilde{\beta}} C_{\tilde{\beta}''}^{\tilde{\beta}''} \langle \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}''} f, \partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta}'' + \bar{\beta}} \phi \rangle. \quad (4.41)$$

Noticing that  $0 \neq \tilde{\beta}' \leq \tilde{\beta}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}'' \leq \tilde{\beta}$ ,  $|\tilde{\beta}| = j$  and  $|\bar{\beta}| = 1$ , one knows that

$$1 \leq |\tilde{\beta} + \bar{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}'| \leq j, 0 \leq |\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}''| \leq j, 1 \leq |\tilde{\beta}'| \leq j, 1 \leq |\tilde{\beta}'' + \bar{\beta}| \leq j+1.$$

As a result, the bounds (4.6) and (4.31) reduce to

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta} + \bar{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}'} f(t, x, v)| + |\partial_{t,x}^{\tilde{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}''} f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_j) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_1}{2}}(v). \quad (4.42)$$

By the expressions of  $\phi$  in (4.28), it is easy to know that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \phi(t, x, v)| + |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'' + \bar{\beta}} \phi(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) p_{2j+4}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v), \quad (4.43)$$

where the polynomial  $p_{2j+4}(|v - \mathbf{u}|)$  is given in (4.9). Collecting the relations (4.41), (4.42) and (4.43), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \partial_{t,x}^{\beta} f, \phi \rangle| &\leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_{2j+4}(|v - \mathbf{u}|) \Theta_{\gamma}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q_j}{2}}(v) dv \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$|(\rho_{\beta}, u_{\beta}, \theta_{\beta})| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_j}^{j, \infty}(g).$$

Then, as similar as in (4.30), one has

$$|\mathcal{P} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta} f(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_{j+1}) \mathcal{W}_{q_0, \dots, q_{j+1}}^{j+1, \infty}(g) \Theta_{\gamma}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{j+1}}{2}}(v) \quad (4.44)$$

for any  $0 < q_{j+1} < \dots < q_0 < 1$  and  $|\beta| = j + 1$ . The bounds (4.40) and (4.44) thereby imply the bound (1.41) in Theorem 1.2 for the case  $m = j + 1$ . Therefore, the Induction Principle finally concludes the results in Theorem 1.2. Then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished.  $\square$

**4.2. Bounds for  $F_n(t, x, v)$ : Proof of Theorem 1.3.** For any  $0 \leq q < 1$  and any fixed integer  $m \geq 0$ , we first define a set  $\mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1)$  as

$$\mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1) = \left\{ (q_{ij})_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq m}} \mid q < q_{ij} < 1, q_{ij} < q_{i, j-1} (\forall i \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq m), q_{i+1, 0} < q_{im} (\forall i \geq 1) \right\}. \quad (4.45)$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $0 \leq q < 1$  and integer  $m \geq 0$ .

Before estimating the bounds of  $F_n$ , one first derive the following assertion on the functions  $f_n = F_n / \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ):

For any  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(q_{ij})_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq m}} \in \mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1)$ , and any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta} f_n(t, x, v)| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i; 1 \leq i \leq n) \Theta_{\gamma}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qnm}{2}}(t, x, v), \quad (4.46)$$

where  $\epsilon_{m+n}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i$  are given in (1.36) and (1.37), respectively.

Once the assertion (4.46) holds, one can conclude the results in Theorem 1.3. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta} F_n| &= |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta} (f_n \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}})| \leq \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} C_{\beta}^{\beta'} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} f_n \partial_{t,x}^{\beta - \beta'} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i; 1 \leq i \leq n) \Theta_{\gamma}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qnm}{2}}(t, x, v) \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta - \beta'} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}| \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i; 1 \leq i \leq n) (1 + |v|)^{2m + |\gamma|} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+qnm}{2}}(t, x, v), \end{aligned}$$

where one has utilized the bounds  $\Theta_{\gamma}(v) \leq C(1 + |v|)^{|\gamma|}$  and

$$\sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta - \beta'} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}| \leq \sum_{\beta' \leq \beta} C(\epsilon_{|\beta - \beta'|}) (1 + |v|)^{2|\beta - \beta'|} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(\epsilon_m) (1 + |v|)^{2m}.$$

From the definition of  $\mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1)$  in (4.45), one knows that  $0 < q < q_{nm} < 1$ . Then, by the bound  $(1 + |v|)^{2m + |\gamma|} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qnm - q}{2}}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0)$ , there holds

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta} F_n| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-i}^i; 1 \leq i \leq n) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1+q}{2}}(t, x, v).$$

Namely, the bound (1.43) in Theorem 1.3 holds.

It remains to prove the assertion (4.46). As in (1.29), the functions  $f_n = F_n / \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) are all split into kinetic part  $(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n$  and fluid part  $\mathcal{P}f_n$ . Based on Figure 2 associated with the orders to solve  $f_n$ , the assertion (4.46) can be justified by the induction arguments for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Step 1.**  $n = 1$ .



We first estimate the kinetic part  $(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1$  in (1.30), and then control the fluid part  $\mathcal{P}f_1$  associated with the fluid variables  $(\rho_1, u_1, \theta_1)$  in (1.31).

Observe that, from (1.30),

$$(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1 = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g_1, \quad g_1 = -(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})[\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)\mathfrak{M}]. \quad (4.47)$$

By (4.4), one has

$$\partial\mathfrak{M} = \left\{ \frac{\partial\rho}{\rho} + \partial\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{3\partial T}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \mathfrak{M}$$

for  $\partial = \partial_t, \nabla_x$ . Then, together with the definition  $\mathcal{P}$  in (1.12),  $g_1$  can be simplified as

$$g_1 = -\nabla_x \mathbf{u} : \mathcal{A}(v) + \frac{\nabla_x T}{\sqrt{T}} \cdot \mathcal{B}(v), \quad (4.48)$$

where the Burnett functions  $\mathcal{A}(v)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(v)$  are given in (1.34).

We first claim that

$$\mathcal{W}_{q_{10}, \dots, q_{1m}}^{m, \infty}(g_1) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=i} \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{1i}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+1}) \quad (4.49)$$

for any  $m \geq 0$  and  $0 < q_{1m} < \dots < q_{10} < 1$ . Indeed, for  $\beta' \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta'| = i$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g_1| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) p_{2i+3}(|v-\mathbf{u}|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v),$$

where  $p_{2i+3}$  is given in (4.9). Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{1i}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g_1\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} p_{2i+3}(|v-\mathbf{u}|) \mathfrak{M}^{1-\frac{q_{1i}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\mathcal{W}_{q_{10}, \dots, q_{1m}}^{m, \infty}(g_1) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=i} C(\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+1}) < \infty.$$

Namely, the claim (4.49) holds. Based on the claim (4.49), Theorem 1.1-1.2 imply that, for  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$  and  $0 < q_{1m} < \dots < q_{10} < 1$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_m) \mathcal{W}_{q_{10}, \dots, q_{1m}}^{m, \infty}(g_1) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{1m}}{2}}(v) \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+1}) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{1m}}{2}}(v). \quad (4.50)$$

We now estimate the fluid part  $\mathcal{P}f_1$ , which, by (1.29), can be expressed by

$$\mathcal{P}f_1 = \left\{ \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} + u_1 \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\theta_1}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Here  $(\rho_1, u_1, \theta_1)$  are solved by (1.31) with source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1)$  defined in (1.33). For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1) \right| &= \left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \left\{ -\operatorname{div}_x \langle T \mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1 \rangle \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+1}) \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} \sum_{|\beta'|=i} \langle p_{2i+2}(|v-\mathbf{u}|), |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1| \rangle \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+1}) \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} C(\mathbf{e}_{i+1}) \langle p_{2i+2}(|v-\mathbf{u}|), \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{1i}}{2}}(v) \rangle \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+2}) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Similarly, for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ ,

$$\left| \partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1) \right| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+2}) < \infty. \quad (4.52)$$

The bounds (4.51) and (4.52) yield that the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1)$  are both smooth and bounded provided that  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, T)$  is sufficiently smooth and bounded.

Then, by the hypothesis (H2), we can assume  $\mathfrak{h}_m^1 < \infty$  for sufficiently large  $m \geq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_m^1$  is defined in (1.37). Consequently,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{P}f_1| \leq C(\mathfrak{h}_m^1)C(\mathfrak{e}_m)p_{2m+2}(|v-u|)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \leq C(\mathfrak{h}_m^1)C(\mathfrak{e}_m)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{1m}}{2}}(v) \quad (4.53)$$

for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ , where the uniform bound  $p_{2m+2}(|v-u|)\Theta_\gamma^{-1}(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1-q_{1m}}{2}}(v) \leq C(\mathfrak{e}_0)$  has been used. Then the bounds (4.50) and (4.53) reduce to

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta f_1| \leq |\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_1| + |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{P}f_1| \leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+1})C(\mathfrak{h}_m^1)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{1m}}{2}}(v) \quad (4.54)$$

for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$  and  $0 < q_{1m} < \dots < q_{10} < 1$ .

**Step 2. Induction hypotheses for  $1 \leq \mathfrak{k} \leq n-1$ .**

Assume that the assertion (4.46) holds for all  $\mathfrak{k} = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Namely, for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{k} \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$   $(q_{ij})_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq m}} \in \mathbf{S}_{\infty m}(q, 1)$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta f_{\mathfrak{k}}(t, x, v)| \leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+\mathfrak{k}})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+\mathfrak{k}-i}^i; 1 \leq i \leq \mathfrak{k})\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{\mathfrak{k}m}}{2}}(t, x, v). \quad (4.55)$$

**Step 3. General  $n \geq 2$ .**

From (1.30), one has

$$(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n = \mathcal{L}^{-1}g_n, \quad g_n = -(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) \underbrace{[\mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)(f_{n-1}\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}})]}_{G_n} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 1}} \Gamma(f_i, f_j). \quad (4.56)$$

It is thereby derived from Theorem 1.1-1.2 that, for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$  and  $0 < q < q_{2m} < \dots < q_{20} < q_{1m}$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n| \leq C(\mathfrak{e}_m)\mathcal{W}_{q_{n0}, \dots, q_{nm}}^{m, \infty}(g_n)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{nm}}{2}}(v) \quad (4.57)$$

It remains to show that  $\mathcal{W}_{q_{n0}, \dots, q_{nm}}^{m, \infty}(g_n) = \sum_{\mathfrak{r}=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=\mathfrak{r}} \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{nr}}{2}}(v)\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g_n\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ . A straightforward calculation implies that

$$G_n = (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)f_{n-1} - \left[ \frac{(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)\rho}{\rho} + (\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)\mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{(\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x)T}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right] f_{n-1}. \quad (4.58)$$

Note that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})G_n| \leq |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} G_n| + |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathcal{P}G_n|. \quad (4.59)$$

By (4.58), it is easy to know that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} G_n| &\leq (1+|v|) \sum_{|\beta''|=m+1} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} f_{n-1}| + C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+1})p_3(|v|) \sum_{\beta'' \leq \beta'} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} f_{n-1}| \\ &\leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+1})p_3(|v|) \sum_{|\beta''| \leq m+1} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} f_{n-1}| \\ &\leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)p_3(|v|) \sum_{\mathfrak{r}=0}^{m+1} \Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,\mathfrak{r}}}{2}}(v) \\ &\leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-\mathfrak{p}}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

where  $q_{n-1,m+1} \in (q_{n0}, q_{n-1,m})$ , the last second inequality is derived from (4.55), and the last one is implied by the relation  $0 < q < q_{1,m+1} < q_{1m} < \dots < q_{10} < 1$ .

By the definition of  $\mathcal{P}f$  in (1.12), one has

$$\mathcal{P}G_n = \left\{ \frac{\rho_G}{\rho} + u_G \cdot \frac{v-\mathbf{u}}{T} + \frac{\theta_G}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-\mathbf{u}|^2}{T} - 3 \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}},$$

where  $\rho_G = \langle G_n, \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle$ ,  $u_G = \langle G_n, \frac{1}{\rho}(v-\mathbf{u})\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle$  and  $\theta_G = \langle G_n, \frac{1}{\rho}(|v-\mathbf{u}|^2 - 3T)\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle$ . Then there holds

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathcal{P}G_n| \leq C(\mathfrak{e}_{|\beta'|})p_{2|\beta'|+2}(|v|) \sum_{\beta'' \leq \beta'} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} (\rho_G, u_G, \theta_G)| \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v).$$

Consider the quantities  $\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \langle G_n, \phi \rangle$ , where  $\phi$  is given in (4.28). To be precise, one has

$$\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \langle G_n, \phi \rangle = \sum_{\beta^* \leq \beta''} C_{\beta''}^{\beta^*} \langle \partial_{t,x}^{\beta^*} G_n, \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'' - \beta^*} \phi \rangle.$$

Noting that  $|\beta^*| \leq |\beta''| \leq |\beta'| \leq m$  and  $|\beta'' - \beta^*| \leq |\beta''| \leq m$ , one has

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'' - \beta^*} \phi| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta'' - \beta^*|}) p_{2|\beta'' - \beta^*| + 3}(|v|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \leq C(\mathbf{e}_m) p_{2m+3}(|v|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v).$$

Together with (4.60), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} \langle G_n, \phi \rangle| &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1) \\ &\quad \times \langle \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v), p_{2m+3}(|v|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \rangle \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\sum_{\beta'' \leq \beta'} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''} (\rho_G, u_G, \theta_G)| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1) < \infty.$$

Consequently, it infers that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \mathcal{P} G_n| &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{|\beta'|}) p_{2|\beta'|+2}(|v|) C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

Therefore, the bounds (4.59), (4.60) and (4.61) imply that, for any  $0 \leq i \leq m$ ,

$$\sum_{|\beta'| = \mathfrak{r}} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}) G_n| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_{m+n}) C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathbf{p}}; 1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq n-1) \Theta_\gamma(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v). \quad (4.62)$$

Next, we will control the quantities  $\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma(f_i, f_j)$  for  $|\beta'| = \mathfrak{r}$  with  $0 \leq \mathfrak{r} \leq m$  and  $i + j = n$  with  $i, j \geq 1$ . Recalling the definition of  $\Gamma(f_i, f_j)$  as in (1.32), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(f_i, f_j) &= \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathcal{B}(f_i \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}, f_j \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}) \\ &= \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(v) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [f_i(v') \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') f_j(v'_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1) - f_i(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) f_j(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)] \\ &\quad \times b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1 \\ &= \underbrace{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} f_i(v') f_j(v'_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1}_{\Gamma_{gain}(f_i, f_j)} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} f_i(v) f_j(v_1) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1}_{\Gamma_{loss}(f_i, f_j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.63)$$

where the equality  $\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v') \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v'_1) = \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)$  has been used. Then, for any  $|\beta'| = \mathfrak{r}$  with  $0 \leq \mathfrak{r} \leq m$ , and any  $i + j = n$  with  $i, j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma_{gain}(f_i, f_j) &= \sum_{\beta'' \leq \beta'} \sum_{\beta^* \leq \beta''} C_{\beta'}^{\beta''} C_{\beta''}^{\beta^*} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \partial_{t,x}^{\beta^*} f_i(v') \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'' - \beta^*} f_j(v'_1) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta' - \beta''} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \\ &\quad \times b(\omega, v_1 - v) d\omega dv_1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta' - \beta''} \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)| \leq C(\mathbf{e}_m) p_{2|\beta' - \beta''|}(|v_1|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1) \leq C(\mathbf{e}_m) p_{2m}(|v_1|) \mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1),$$

where  $p_{2m}$  is given in (4.9). Together with (4.54) and (4.55), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta^*} f_i(v')| &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+i})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+i-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq i)\Theta_\gamma(v')\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{im}}{2}}(v'), \\ |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta''-\beta^*} f_j(v'_1)| &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+j})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+j-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq j)\Theta_\gamma(v'_1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{jm}}{2}}(v'_1) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $i+j=n$  with  $i, j \geq 1$ . Noticing that  $q_{im} \geq q_{n-1,m} > q > 0$  and  $q_{jm} \geq q_{n-1,m} > q > 0$ , one therefore obtains that, for any fixed  $\varpi \in (0, \frac{q_{n-1,m}-q_{n-1,m+1}}{2})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma_{gain}(f_i, f_j)| &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\gamma(v')\Theta_\gamma(v'_1) \\ &\quad \times [\mathfrak{M}(v')\mathfrak{M}(v'_1)]^{\frac{q_{n-1,m}}{2}} p_{2m}(|v_1|)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)b(\omega, v_1-v)d\omega dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1) \\ &\quad \times \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mathfrak{M}(v)\mathfrak{M}(v_1)]^{\frac{q_{n-1,m}}{2}-\varpi} b(\omega, v_1-v)d\omega dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\nu(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m}}{2}-\varpi}(v) \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v), \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

where the last second inequality is derived from the relations  $\mathfrak{M}(v')\mathfrak{M}(v'_1) = \mathfrak{M}(v)\mathfrak{M}(v_1)$ , Lemma 2.3 of [13] and (1.15), the last inequality is implied by the bound

$$\nu(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m}-q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}-\varpi}(v) \leq C(\epsilon_0) < \infty.$$

Moreover, by similar arguments of (4.64),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma_{loss}(f_i, f_j)| &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1) \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\gamma(v)\Theta_\gamma(v_1) \\ &\quad \times [\mathfrak{M}(v)\mathfrak{M}(v_1)]^{\frac{q_{n-1,m}}{2}} p_{2m}(|v_1|)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(v_1)b(\omega, v_1-v)d\omega dv_1 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v). \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

Consequently, it follow from (4.64) and (4.65) that, for any  $q_{n-1,m+1} \in (q_{n0}, q_{n-1,m})$ , any  $\beta' \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $0 \leq |\beta'| = \mathfrak{r} \leq m$  and any  $i+j=n$  with  $i, j \geq 1$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma(f_i, f_j)| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n-1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-1-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}}{2}}(v). \tag{4.66}$$

It is thereby derived from (4.56), (4.62) and (4.66) that, for any  $0 < q < q_{nm} < \dots < q_{n0} < q_{n-1,m+1} < q_{n-1,m}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{q_{n0}, \dots, q_{nm}}^{m, \infty}(g_n) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{r}=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=\mathfrak{r}} \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{n\mathfrak{r}}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} g_n\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathfrak{r}=0}^m \sum_{|\beta'|=\mathfrak{r}} \left\{ \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{n\mathfrak{r}}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})G_n\|_{L^\infty} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i,j \geq 1}} \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \mathfrak{M}^{-\frac{q_{n\mathfrak{r}}}{2}}(v) \partial_{t,x}^{\beta'} \Gamma(f_i, f_j)\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1) \\ &\quad \times \|(1+|v|)^{k_\gamma} \Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{n-1,m+1}-q_{n0}}{2}}(v)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(\epsilon_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1) < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

Therefore, (4.57) and (4.67) show us that, for any  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ ,

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^{\mathfrak{p}}; 1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq n-1)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{q_{nm}}{2}}(v). \tag{4.68}$$

We now estimate the fluid part  $\mathcal{P}f_n$ , which, by the expression of  $\mathcal{P}f$  in (1.12), satisfies

$$\mathcal{P}f_n = \left\{ \frac{\rho_n}{\rho} + u_n \cdot \frac{v-u}{T} + \frac{\theta_n}{6T} \left( \frac{|v-u|^2}{T} \right) \right\} \sqrt{\mathfrak{M}}.$$

Here  $(\rho_n, u_n, \theta_n)$  is determined by the linearized compressible Euler system with the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  defined in (1.33). Following the same arguments in (4.51) and (4.52), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)| + |\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)| \\ \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n+1})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n+1-p}^p; 1 \leq p \leq n-1) < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (4.69)$$

for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ . The bound (4.69) yields that the source terms  $\mathcal{F}_u^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta^\perp((\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P})f_n)$  are both smooth and bounded provided that  $(\rho, u, T)$  is sufficiently smooth and bounded. Then, by the hypothesis (H2), one can assume  $\mathfrak{h}_m^n < \infty$  for large  $m \geq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_m^2$  is given in (1.37). Then, it follows from the same arguments in (4.53) that

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta \mathcal{P}f_n| \leq C(\epsilon_m)C(\mathfrak{h}_m^n)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qnm}{2}}(v) \quad (4.70)$$

for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$ . Collecting the bounds (4.68) and (4.70), one has

$$|\partial_{t,x}^\beta f_n| \leq C(\epsilon_{m+n})C(\mathfrak{h}_{m+n-p}^p; 1 \leq p \leq n)\Theta_\gamma(v)\mathfrak{M}^{\frac{qnm}{2}}(v) \quad (4.71)$$

for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^4$  with  $|\beta| = m \geq 0$  and  $0 < q < q_{nm} < \dots < q_{n0} < q_{n-1,m}$ .

By the Induction Principle, (4.71) concludes the assertion (4.46). Then the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author N. Jiang thanks for the valuable comments from Y. Guo after the first draft. The author N. Jiang is supported by the grants from the National Natural Foundation of China under contract Nos. 11971360 and 11731008, and also supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDA25010404. The author Y.-L. Luo is supported by grants from GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation under contract No. 2021A1515110210.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bardos, F. Golse, and C. D. Levermore. Fluid dynamic limits of kinetic equations I: Formal derivations. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **63** (1991), 323-344.
- [2] F. Bouchut, F. Golse and M. Pulvirenti. *Kinetic equations and asymptotic theory*. Edited and with a foreword by Benoît Perthame and Laurent Desvillettes. Series in Applied Mathematics (Paris), 4. Gauthier-Villars, Éditions Scientifiques et Médicales Elsevier, Paris, 2000.
- [3] R. E. Caflisch, The fluid dynamic limit of the nonlinear Boltzmann equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (1980), no. 5, 651-666.
- [4] L. Desvillettes and F. Golse. A remark concerning the Chapman-Enskog asymptotics. *Advances in kinetic theory and computing*, 191-203, *Ser. Adv. Math. Appl. Sci.*, **22**, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [5] R.-J. Duan and H. J. Yu, The Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system near a local Maxwellian. *Adv. Math.* **362** (2020), 106956, 83 pp.
- [6] F. Golse and L. Saint-Raymond, Hydrodynamic limits for the Boltzmann equation. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (7) **4\*\*** (2005), 1-144.
- [7] Y. Guo, Boltzmann diffusive limit beyond the Navier-Stokes approximation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **59** (2006), no. 5, 626-687.
- [8] Y. Guo, J. Jang and N. Jiang, Local Hilbert expansion for the Boltzmann equation. *Kinet. Relat. Models*, **2** (2009), no. 1, 205-214.
- [9] Y. Guo, J. Jang and N. Jiang, Acoustic limit for the Boltzmann equation in optimal scaling. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **63** (2010), no. 3, 337-361.
- [10] Y. Guo, F. Huang and Y. Wang, Hilbert expansion of the Boltzmann equation with specular boundary condition in half-space. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **241** (2021), no. 1, 231-309.
- [11] H. Grad, Asymptotic theory of the Boltzmann equation. II. *Rarefied Gas Dynamics* (Proc. 3rd Internat. Sympos., Palais de l'UNESCO, Paris, 1962), Vol. I (1963) pp. 26-59, Academic Press, New York.

- [12] N. Jiang, Y.-L. Luo, S. Tang, Compressible Euler limit from Boltzmann equation with Maxwell reflection boundary condition in half-space. *arXiv: 2101.11199 [math.AP]*
- [13] C. D. Levermore and W. Sun, Compactness of the gain parts of the linearized Boltzmann operator with weakly cutoff kernels. *Kinet. Relat. Models* **3** (2010), no. 2, 335-351.
- [14] S.Q. Liu and H.J. Zhao. Diffusive expansion for solutions of the Boltzmann equation in the whole space. *J. Differential Equations*, **250** (2011), no. 2, 623-674.
- [15] T.-P, Liu, T. Yang, S.-H, Yu and H.-J, Zhao, Nonlinear stability of rarefaction waves for the Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **181** (2006), no. 2, 333-371.
- [16] S. Schochet, The compressible Euler equations in a bounded domain: Existence of solutions and the incompressible limit. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **104** (1986), 49-75.
- [17] S. Ukai, T. Yang. *Mathematical Theory of the Boltzmann Equation*, Lecture Notes Ser., vol. **8**, Liu Bie Ju Center for Mathematical Science, City University of Hong Kong, Mach 2006.

(Ning Jiang)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* `njiang@whu.edu.cn`

(Yi-Long Luo)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510641, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* `luoylmath@scut.edu.cn`

(Shaojun Tang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN, 430070, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* `shaojun.tang@whut.edu.cn`