

Modular convergence in H -Orlicz spaces of Banach valued functions

Hemanta Kalita^{1,*} and Bipan Hazarika²

¹Department of Mathematics, Assam Don Bosco University, Sonapur, Guwahati 782402, Assam, India

² Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781014, Assam, India

Email:hemanta.kalita@dbuniversity.ac.in;hemanta30kalita@gmail.com; bh_rgu@yahoo.co.in;

bh_gu@gauhati.ac.in

ABSTRACT. In this article we develop the theory of H -Orlicz space generated by generalised Young function. Modular convergence of H -Orlicz space for the case of vector-valued functions and norm convergence in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ where X is any Banach space are discussed. Relationships of modular convergence and norm convergence of H -Orlicz spaces are discussed.

Keywords and phrases: H -Orlicz space, Modular convergence, Norm convergence

AMS subject classification (2020): 26A39, 46B03, 46B20, 46B25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Z.W. Birnbaum and W. Orlicz to proposed a generalized space of L^p , later on it was known as Orlicz space. This space was later developed by Orlicz himself. The fundamental properties of Orlicz space with Lebesgue measure found in [12] also (see [13]). H. Nakano [15] introduced the concept of modular Orlicz space also (see [14]) for modular space. M.S. Skaff [17] developed generalised N-function. Generalised N-function are generalization of the variable N-function (see [16]) and non decreasing N-function (see [19]). M.S. Skaff [18] discussed vector valued Orlicz spaces with generalised N-function. A. Kozek [11] studied Orlicz spaces of functions with values in Banach spaces. In his work, Kozek discussed Orlicz spaces from the modular spaces from

*The corresponding author.

point of view of generalised N-function. A. Kaminska and H. Hudzik [10] discussed the necessary condition of equality of the modular convergence and norm convergence in Orlicz spaces. In recent time, modular convergence theorem is a well known concept for many researcher in different areas of mathematics. Carlo Bardaro and Gianluca Vint [5] studied modular convergence theorem for certain nonlinear integral operators with homogeneous kernel, modular convergence in fractional Musielak-Orlicz Spaces (see [6]). Youssef Ahmida et.al., discuss density of smooth functions on Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces (see [1]). Hazarika and Kalita [9] introduced H-Orlicz spaces with non absolute integrable functions in particular Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions. The significance of H-Orlicz space is C_0^∞ is dense in H-Orlicz space which is not generally true in the case of Orlicz spaces. On the other side about Henstock-Kurzweil integral in 1957, Jaroslav Kurzweil discussed about a new integral in one of his publication, while unaware of the work of Kurzweil, Ralph Henstock published an article on integration theory in which he discussed the same integration as J. Kurzweil. This new integral can integrate a substantial type of functions compare to the Riemann or Lebesgue integral. In the honors of these mathematicians, nowadays this integral is called Henstock-Kurzweil integral in brief *HK*-integral. Measure theory is not essential in the definition of HK-integral. In quantum theory and nonlinear analysis, HK-integrals are aid for highly oscillatory functions to integrate. Moreover, HK integrability encloses improper integrals (see [3, 4, 7, 9]). Major drawback of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function space is not complete with Alexiewicz norm. In this article in Section 2, we introduce a new norm which is equivalent to Alexiewicz norm but Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function space become complete with this particular norm. In Section 3, we extend the theory of H-Orlicz spaces with vector functions from the point of view of generalised Young-function. Finally in Section 3, we discuss various relationship of modular convergence as well as norm convergence of Orlicz spaces and H-Orlicz spaces.

Let $(\mathcal{J}, \mathfrak{Q}, \bar{\mu})$ be a measure space, where \mathcal{J} is an abstract set, \mathfrak{Q} is a σ -algebra of subsets of the set \mathcal{J} , $\bar{\mu}$ is a σ -finite, positive, complete measure on \mathfrak{Q} . X is a Banach space. Let M_X be a set of all

$\bar{\mu}$ -measurable functions $\xi : \xi^i(t)$ ($t \in \mathcal{J}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$) where $f^i(t)$ are real valued function defined on \mathcal{J} with values in X .

Definition 1.1. [10, Def 2.1] *A function $\theta : X \times \mathcal{J} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called an N -function if it satisfies the following conditions:*

There exists a set $\mathcal{J}_1 \in \mathfrak{Q}$, $\bar{\mu}(\mathfrak{Q} \setminus \mathcal{J}_1) = 0$, such that

- a) $\theta(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $B \times \mathfrak{Q}$ measurable, where B denotes the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of X ;
- b) $\theta(\cdot, t)$ is lower semi continuous on X for every $t \in \mathcal{J}_1$;
- c) $\theta(\cdot, t)$ is convex for every $t \in \mathcal{J}_1$
- d) $\theta(0, t) = 0$ and $\theta(\xi(t), t) = \theta(-\xi(t), t)$ for every $\xi \in M_X$, $t \in \mathcal{J}_1$;
- e) there exist $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable functions $\alpha : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\|\xi(t)\| \geq \lambda(t)$ implies $\theta(\xi(t), t) \geq \alpha(t)$ for every $t \in \mathcal{J}_1$
- f) there exist $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable functions $\rho : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\rho_0 : \mathcal{J}_1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\|\xi(t)\| \leq \rho(t)$ implies $\theta(\xi(t), t) \leq \rho_0(t)$ for every $t \in \mathcal{J}_1$.

If θ fulfills conditions (a) – (d), then θ is called N'' -function; if it satisfies condition (a) – (e), it is called N' -function. Recall that an N' -function θ is called an N -function if X is separable, reflexive Banach space if for almost $t \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$\theta(x, t) \leq \xi(t) < \infty \text{ for } \|x\| \leq \rho(t), \xi(t), \rho(t) \in (0, \infty) \text{ holds.}$$

A function $\xi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ is M -measurable if there is a sequence of simple functions from $S_X(\mathfrak{Q})$ converges to ξ (M -a.e), where $S_X(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a set of all X -valued simple functions.

M denotes a σ -bounded family of positive measures defined on \mathfrak{Q} . This means for each $E \in \mathfrak{Q}$, there exists a pairwise disjoint collections $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $E_i \in \mathfrak{Q}$ such that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ and $S_X(E)$ is a set of all X valued simple functions.

Definition 1.2. [14] *Given a linear space X , a functional $\rho(\cdot)$ defined on X with values $-\infty < \rho(x) \leq \infty$ is called a modular if the following conditions hold:*

- (a) $\rho(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$
- (b) $\rho(-x) = \rho(x)$,
- (c) $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Definition 1.3. [14] A sequence $(x_n) \subset X$ is said to be modular convergent to $x \in X$ if there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ (depending on the sequence (x_n)) such that $\rho(\alpha(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 1.4. [18, Definition 2.2] Let $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ be a generalised Young function and let $L^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ be its associated Orlicz class. The closure $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ of $L^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ under positive scalar multiplication is a vector valued Orlicz space.

The space $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is a Banach space with Luxemburg type norm

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^\theta} = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{\xi(t)}{\alpha} \right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Recalling convergence of a sequence of function ξ_n in the normed vector space $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ to ξ in following way:

$$\xi_n \rightarrow \xi \text{ if } \|\xi_n - \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^\theta} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL INTEGRABLE FUNCTION SPACES $H(X, \bar{\mu})$

In this section of the paper we discuss about the Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function space. We have leave off the basic results of the space. We discuss only those results that are closely connected for next section.

A finite collection $D = \{D_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of mutually disjoint elements of \mathfrak{Q} is called sub-partition of \mathcal{J} if $\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$. In this case $D = \{D_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called partitions of \mathcal{J} .

Let $\widehat{D} = \{(D_i, d_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $d_i \in D_i$. Clearly the norm of the sub-partition $D = \{D_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is $\|D\| = \sup\{\bar{\mu}(D_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

We assume the collection of all tagged sub-partitions of \mathcal{J} will be \mathfrak{Y} .

If $\widehat{D}_1, \widehat{D}_2 \in \mathfrak{Y}$ then the sub partition

$$D_1 \vee D_2 = (D \setminus \cup D_2) \cup \{A \cap B : A \in D_1, B \in D_2\}.$$

Clearly (\mathfrak{Y}, \gg) is a directed set. Let $\xi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ and $\widehat{D} = \{(\widehat{D}_1, d_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \in \mathfrak{Y}$ then we construct the Riemann sum

of ξ corresponding to \widehat{D} as

$$S(\xi, \widehat{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi(d_i) \bar{\mu}(D_i).$$

As (\mathfrak{D}, \gg) is directed set, the function $\widehat{D} = \{(D_i, d_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow S(\xi, \widehat{D})$ defines a net $S : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow X$.

Definition 2.1. A function $\xi : \mathcal{P} \subset \mathfrak{Q} \rightarrow X$ is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on the set $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{Q}$ if there is an element $I_{\mathcal{P}} = \int_{\mathcal{P}} f d\bar{\mu}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\widehat{D}_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $\widehat{D} \gg \widehat{D}_0$ in \mathfrak{D} , then

$$\|S(\xi, \widehat{D}) - \int_{\mathfrak{Q}} \xi d\bar{\mu}\| \leq \epsilon.$$

We call net limits of the integral $\xi : \mathfrak{Q} \rightarrow X$ as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{Q}} \xi d\bar{\mu} = \lim (S(\xi, \widehat{D})_{\widehat{D} \in (\mathfrak{D}, \gg)}).$$

This integrals are unique, linear also for all X -valued Henstock integrable. Let $HK(\mathfrak{Q}, \bar{\mu}, X)$ or in brief $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ the set of all X -valued $\bar{\mu}$ -Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions.

Definition 2.2. For each $\xi : \mathfrak{Q} \rightarrow X$

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = \sup\{\|S(\xi, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D}\} \quad (1)$$

Theorem 2.3. The expression (1) is a norm on $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$.

Proof. For any vector valued function $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in HK(X, \bar{\mu})$, we have the non negativity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{D}} &= \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_1(d_i) \bar{\mu}(D_i) \right\| : \widehat{D} = (D_i, d_i), i = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} \geq 0$.

(ii) Now, $\|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} = 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} &= \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_1(d_i) \overline{\mu}(D_i) \right\| : \widehat{D} = (D_i, d_i), i = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_1(d_i) \overline{\mu}(D_i) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} = 0$ if and only if $\xi_1 = 0$.

(iii) for a scalar α , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha \xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} &= \sup \left\{ \|S(\alpha \xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|\alpha S(\xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} [2, \text{page 199}] \\ &= |\alpha| \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &= |\alpha| \|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

(iv) for triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_1 + \xi_2\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} &= \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1 + \xi_2, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1, \widehat{D}) + S(\xi_2, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} [2, \text{page 199}] \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_1, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} + \sup \left\{ \|S(\xi_2, \widehat{D})\| : \widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{D} \right\} \\ &\leq \|\xi_1\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} + \|\xi_2\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Then clearly $\|\xi\|_{\mathfrak{Y}} < \infty$, and the norm (1) is equivalent to the Alexiecz norm for the Henstock integrable function spaces.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow X$. If $\xi = 0$ $\overline{\mu}$ -a.e. on Ω , then $\xi \in HK(X, \overline{\mu})$ and $\int_{\Omega} \xi d\overline{\mu} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{z \in \Omega : \xi(z) \neq 0\}$. For each positive n , assume

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{z \in \mathcal{B} : n - 1 \leq \|\xi(z)\| < n\}$$

Choosing an open set \mathcal{O}_n for each n such that $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ and $\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{O}_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{n \cdot 2^n}$. For a positive function

$$\xi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega - \mathcal{B} \\ e & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{B}_n \end{cases}$$

where $e(z, \mathcal{B}_n) = \inf\{|y - z| : y \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$ then $e(z, \mathcal{B}_n) > 0$ if $x \notin \mathcal{B}_n$ and \mathcal{B}_n is closed. If D_n be the subset of tagged partition D of Ω that is sub-ordinate to ξ in \mathcal{B}_n .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(\xi, \widehat{D})\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|S(\xi, \widehat{D})\| \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\nu(\mathcal{O}_n) \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon \cdot 2^{-n} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

So, $\xi \in HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ and $\int_{\Omega} \xi = 0$. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\xi, g \in HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ be $\bar{\mu}$ -essentially equal then $\int_{\Omega} \xi d\bar{\mu} = \int_{\Omega} g d\bar{\mu}$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{J}, \bar{\mu})$ be a finite measure, X is a Banach space then $(HK(X, \bar{\mu}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{H}})$ is complete.*

Proof. Let us assume (ξ_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a natural number N such that $m, n > N$ such that

$$\sup_{\widehat{D} \in \mathfrak{H}} \|S(\xi_m - \xi_n, \widehat{D})\| \leq \epsilon.$$

If $\bar{w} \in \Omega$, under the assumption of sub-partition $\widehat{D} = \{(\Omega, \bar{w})\}$, we get $\|\xi_n(\bar{w}) - \xi_m(\bar{w})\| \leq \bar{\mu}(\Omega)\epsilon$. From our hypothesis $\epsilon > 0$, $\bar{\mu}(\Omega) < \infty$, we find $(\xi_n(\bar{w}))$ is Cauchy sequence of X . Again, since X is a Banach

space, it is easy to define $\bar{w} \rightarrow \xi(\bar{w}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(\bar{w})$.

Using the concept of the Riemann sum over sub-partitions \widehat{D}_1 and \widehat{D}_2 in \mathfrak{D} with $\widehat{D} = \widehat{D}_1 \cup \widehat{D}_2$ we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\Omega} \xi_n d\bar{\mu} - \int_{\Omega} \xi_m d\bar{\mu} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} \xi_n d\bar{\mu} - S(\xi_n, \widehat{D}) \right\| + \left\| S(\xi_n, \widehat{D}) - S(\xi_m, \widehat{D}) \right\| + \left\| \int_{\Omega} \xi_m d\bar{\mu} - S(\xi_m, \widehat{D}) \right\| \\ & < 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This gives, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left\| \int_{\Omega} \xi_n d\bar{\mu} - \int_{\Omega} \xi_m d\bar{\mu} \right\| < \epsilon$ for $m, n \geq N$. So, we can conclude $\int_{\Omega} \xi_n d\bar{\mu}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . Say $\int_{\Omega} \xi_n d\bar{\mu}$ converges to $x \in X$.

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(\xi, \widehat{D}) - x\| \\ & \leq \|S(\xi, \widehat{D}) - S(\xi_n, \widehat{D})\| + \|S(\xi_n, \widehat{D}) - S(\xi_m, \widehat{D})\| \\ & \quad + \|S(\xi_m, \widehat{D}) - \int_{\Omega} \xi_m d\bar{\mu}\| + \left\| \int_{\Omega} \xi_m d\bar{\mu} - x \right\| \\ & < 4\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\xi \in HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ and $x = \int_{\Omega} \xi d\bar{\mu}$. □

Theorem 2.7. *If X is weakly sequentially complete, then $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ is also sequentially complete.*

Proof. The proof is similar as [8, Theorem 2.2]. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\xi : \mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ be in $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$, then $\theta(t, \xi(t)) \in HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$.*

Proof. Let $\xi : \mathbb{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$ be measurable (integrable) function, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta : \mathbb{J} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{(\mathbb{J}, t) \in \pi} \sum_{(\mathbb{J}', t') \in \pi'} [\xi(t) - \xi(t')] \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J} \cap \mathbb{I}') \right\|_X < \epsilon$$

for all partitions π and π' of \mathbb{J} finer than δ .

As θ is Young function. So, $\theta(t, \xi(t)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Our claim is $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable.

Since, Young function by definition, is an extended real Borel function. So, $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ is measurable. If π and π' are both partitions of the same interval of \mathbb{J} , then for any subinterval \mathbb{J}_0 of \mathbb{J}_1 we can write

$$\bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J}) = \sum_{(\mathbb{J}', t') \in \pi'} \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J} \cap \mathbb{J}').$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(\mathbb{J}, t) \in \pi} \theta(t, \xi(t)) \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J}) &= \sum_{(\mathbb{J}, t) \in \pi} \sum_{(\mathbb{J}', t') \in \pi'} \theta(t, \xi(t)) \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J} \cap \mathbb{J}') \\ \text{i.e., } \left\| \sum_{(\mathbb{J}, t) \in \pi} \theta(t, \xi(t)) \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J}) - \sum_{(\mathbb{J}', t') \in \pi'} \theta(t, \xi(t)) \bar{\mu}(\mathbb{J}) \right\|_X &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ is in $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *For all functions $\xi \in M_X$ for which there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that*

$$\rho(k\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, k\xi(t)) d\bar{\mu} \in HK(X, \bar{\mu}).$$

3. H-ORLICZ SPACES $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$

In this section, we initiate to study of H-Orlicz spaces associate with modular of functions. Let M_X be the set of all real-valued (or complex-valued), \mathfrak{Q} -measurable and finite $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e. functions on \mathcal{J} , with equality $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e. Clearly $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ is \mathfrak{Q} -measurable function of $t \in \mathcal{J}$ for every $f \in M_X$ we define

$$\rho(\xi) = (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, \xi(t)) d\bar{\mu}. \quad (2)$$

Clearly, $\rho(x)$ of the equation (2) is a modular in M_X .

We define the H -Orlicz class as follows:

$$H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) = \{\xi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, k\xi(t)) d\bar{\mu} \in H(X, \bar{\mu}), \text{ for some } k > 0\}$$

It is very straight forward that:

$$H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) = \{\xi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X \text{ measurable} : \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, k\xi(t)) d\bar{\mu} \in H(X, \bar{\mu}) \} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow 0+.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The H -Orlicz class $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is a convex set of functions. That is for given $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$, $\rho(\alpha\xi + \beta g) \leq \alpha\rho(\xi) + \beta\rho(g)$.*

Proof. The proof is similar as [9, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Theorem 3.2. *The H -Orlicz class $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is linear if and only if $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is closed under positive scalar multiplication.*

Proof. Let $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ be a linear space then, clearly it is closed under positive scalar multiplication.

Conversely, assume if $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is closed under positive scalar multiplication. We will prove $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is linear space. From the definition of $\theta(t, \xi(t))$, we find $\theta(t, \xi(t)) = \theta(t, -\xi(t))$, this means $-\xi \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. Assume $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are real numbers and $\xi, g \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.

Case I: Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ then for each $t \in \mathcal{J}$ and convexity of $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ we have

$$\theta\left(t, \frac{\alpha\xi(t) + \beta g(t)}{\alpha + \beta}\right) = \theta\left(t, \frac{|\alpha|\xi(t)}{|\alpha| + |\beta|}\right) + \theta\left(t, \frac{|\beta|g(t)}{|\alpha| + |\beta|}\right) \quad (3)$$

By the assumption $\alpha + \beta > 0$. The right sides of the equation (3) is in $H(X, \bar{\mu})$ so we can conclude $\alpha\xi + \beta g \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.

Case II: If $\alpha\beta < 0$ with $\alpha < 0 < \beta$ then

$$\theta\left(t, \frac{|\alpha|(-\xi(t)) + \beta g(t)}{|\alpha| + \beta}\right) = \theta\left(t, \frac{|\alpha|(-\xi(t))}{|\alpha| + \beta}\right) + \theta\left(t, \frac{\beta g(t)}{|\alpha| + \beta}\right) \quad (4)$$

By the assumption $|\alpha| + \beta > 0$. The right sides of the equation (4) is in $H(X, \bar{\mu})$ so we have $\alpha\xi + \beta g \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. \square

We define vector valued H -Orlicz space as below

Definition 3.3. *The closure of H -Orlicz class $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ under positive scalar multiplication of a vector valued generalised Young function $\theta(t, \xi(t))$ will be called vector valued H -Orlicz space, denoted as $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. That is:*

$$\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) = \{\xi \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) : c\xi \in H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})\}$$

We define the norm of $H^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ as follows:

$$\|\xi\|_{(X, \bar{\mu})} = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta\left(t, \frac{\xi(t)}{k}\right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\} \quad (5)$$

It is very clear that $\left(\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}), \|\cdot\|_{(X, \bar{\mu})}\right)$ is a Banach spaces with the norm (5).

Theorem 3.4. *The classical Orlicz space $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ as continuous dense embeddings. That is, $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is continuous dense embeddings.*

Proof. Let $h \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. Then $h \in L^1(X, \bar{\mu})$ with $\|f\|_{L^\theta} < \infty$. Then for some $k > 0$, we have

$$\inf \left\{ (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{h(t)}{k} \right) d\bar{\mu} \right\} \leq \inf \left\{ (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{h(t)}{k} \right) d\bar{\mu} \right\} \leq 1.$$

So, for some $k > 0$, $\inf \left\{ k > 0 : (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{h(t)}{k} \right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\}$, we get the following

$$\inf \left\{ k > 0 : (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{h(t)}{k} \right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Hence $h \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ with $\|h\|_{(X, \bar{\mu})} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{L}}$. Hence the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $\bar{\mu}(X) < \infty$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is bounded, then $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) \hookrightarrow L^1(X, \bar{\mu})$ is continuous.*

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose $\bar{\mu}(X) < \infty$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is bounded, then $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu}) \hookrightarrow HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ is continuous.*

4. MODULAR AND NORM CONVERGENCE OF $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$

It is well known that norm convergence implies modular convergence in classical Orlicz spaces (see [13, Page 9]). In this section, we discuss the relationship of modular and norm convergent of $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ and $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$

Definition 4.1. *We say that a sequence $(\xi_n) \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is modular convergent to $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $\rho(k(\xi_n - \xi)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Modular convergent in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ implies modular convergent in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$*

Proof. Let $\xi_n(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ be modular convergent to $\xi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. Then there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho[k(\xi_n - \xi)] = 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is subset of $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ as continuous dense embedding so, $\xi_n(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. Using the definition 4.1, $\xi_n(\cdot)$ is modular convergent to $\xi(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. \square

Remark 4.3. *The known fact norm convergence is modular convergence in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ along with the (Theorem 4.2), norm convergence in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is modular convergence in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.*

Now we will check the relationship of norm convergence

Theorem 4.4. *Norm convergent in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ are norm convergent in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.*

Proof. Let $\xi_n \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ such that $\xi_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ in the way that $\|\xi_n - \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^\theta} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This means,

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ k > 0 : (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, (\xi_n - \xi)(t) \right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\} \rightarrow 0 \\ & \implies (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, (\xi_n - \xi)(t)) \rightarrow 0 \\ & \text{i.e., } \theta(t, (\xi_n - \xi)(t)) = 0 \bar{\mu} - \text{a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ & \xi_n - \xi = 0 \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

As, $\xi_n \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$, this implies $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ and $\xi_n - \xi = 0$ as $\bar{\mu}$ -a.e.. Now using the Theorem 2.4,

$$(H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} (\xi_n - \xi) d\bar{\mu} = 0.$$

Lastly, from Definition 1.1(d)

$$(H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, (\xi_n - \xi)(t)) = 0.$$

So,

$$\inf \left\{ k > 0 : (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, (\xi_n - \xi)(t)) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\|\xi_n - \xi\|_{(X, \bar{\mu})} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Modular convergence in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is norm convergence in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.*

Proof. Let $\xi_n \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ be modular convergent to $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. Then for $k > 0$ (depending on the sequence of function (ξ_n)) such that $\rho(k(\xi_n - \xi)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is,

$$(L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, k(\xi_n - \xi)) d\bar{\mu} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta(t, k(\xi_n - \xi)) d\bar{\mu} = 0$$

$$\text{i.e., } \theta(t, k(\xi_n - \xi)) = 0 \text{ } \bar{\mu} \text{ a.e. when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $k > 0$,

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} > 0 : (H) \int_{\mathcal{J}} \theta \left(t, \frac{\xi_n - \xi}{\frac{1}{k}} \right) d\bar{\mu} \leq 1 \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ } \bar{\mu} \text{ - a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

So, $\|\xi_n - \xi\|_{(X, \bar{\mu})} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This complete the proof. \square

CONCLUSION

In this article we have discussed Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function space with a new norm equivalent to Alexiecz norm. $HK(X, \bar{\mu})$ is Banach space with the new norm (1). H-Orlicz space has been discussed with Banach valued Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function. We have established the relationship of modular convergence and norm convergence of functions with values in Banach spaces in H-Orlicz space. We find modular convergence in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ is norm convergence in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$. We conclude this article with an open problem as follows:

Problem: Modular convergent in $\mathcal{H}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$ does not implies modular convergent in $\mathcal{L}^\theta(X, \bar{\mu})$.

5. DECLARATION

Funding: Not Applicable, the research is not supported by any funding agency.

Conflict of Interest/Competing interests: The authors declare

that there is no conflicts of interest.

Availability of data and material: The article does not contain any data for analysis.

Code Availability: Not Applicable.

Author's Contributions: All the authors have equal contribution for the preparation of the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Ahmida, I. Chlebicka, P. Gwiazda, A. Youssfi, Gossez's approximation theorems in the Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 2018.
- [2] R.G. Bartle, D.R. Sherbert, *Introduction to real analysis 3rd edition*, Wiley-India, 2010.
- [3] A. Boccuto, A.M. Minotti, A.R.Sambucini, Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock integral in Riesz spaces, *Panamerican Math. J.* 23(1)(2013), p. 57-74 .
- [4] A. Boccuto, B. Hazarika, H. Kalita, Kuelbs-Steadman spaces for Banach space-valued measures, *Mathematics* 8, 1005, (2020)p. 1-12.
- [5] C. Bardaro and G. Vint, A modular convergence theorem for certain nonlinear integral operators with homogeneous kernel, *Collect. Math.* 48, 4-6 (1997), p. 393-407
- [6] C. Bardaro and G. Vinti , Modular Convergence Theorems in Fractional Musielak-Orlicz Spaces , *eitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen Journal for Analysis and its Applications Volume 13 (1994), No. 1, p. 155-170*
- [7] D. Candeloro, L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał,, A.R. Sambucini, Some new results on integration for multifunctions, *Ric. Math.* 67, (2018) p. 361-372.
- [8] A. Fernandez, F. Mayoral, F. Naranjo and P.J. Paual, Weakly sequentially complete Freáchet spaces of integrable functions, *Arch. Math.* 71 (1998)
- [9] B. Hazarika and H. kalita, Henstock-Orlicz space and its dense space, *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics*, 14 (2021) p.1-17.
- [10] A. Kaminska and H. Hudzik, some remarks on convergence in Orlicz space, *Annales Societatis Mathematicae Polonae, Series I: Commentationes Mathematicae*, XXI (1979).
- [11] A. Kozek, Orlicz spaces of functions with values in Banach spaces, *Comm. Math.* 19 (1976), p. 259-288.
- [12] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, Ja. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Gosud. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Literat., Moskva 1958 (in Russian).
- [13] Lech Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and Interpolation*, Seminarios De Matematica, Departamento de Matematica, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 1989.

- [14] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz , On modular spaces, *Studia Math.* 18 (1959), p. 49-65.
- [15] H. Nakano , Generalized modular spaces, *ibidem* 31 (1968), p. 439-449.
- [16] V. R. Portnov, A contribution to the theory of Orlicz spaces generated by variable N-functions, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 8 (1967), p. 857-860.
- [17] M.S. Skaff, Vector valued Orlicz spaces generalized N-function, I, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 28(1) (1969).
- [18],Vector valued Orlicz spaces, II, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 28 (2) (1969), p. 1-18.
- [19] Wang Sheng-Wang, Convex functions of several variables and vectorvalued Orlicz spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. Astr. et Phys.* 11 (1963), p. 279-284.