

SUBINNER-FREE OUTER FACTORIZATIONS ON AN ANNULUS

GEORGIOS TSIKALAS

ABSTRACT. Recent work of Aleman, Hartz, McCarthy and Richter generalizes the classical inner-outer factorization of Hardy space functions to the complete Pick space setting, establishing an essentially unique “subinner-free outer” factorization. In this note, we investigate certain special examples of such factorizations in the setting of the function space induced on the annulus $A_r = \{r < |z| < 1\}$ by the complete Pick kernel

$$k_r(\lambda, \mu) := \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - \lambda\bar{\mu})(1 - r^2/\lambda\bar{\mu})}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert function space induced by a *complete Pick* kernel k . Well-known examples of such spaces on the unit disk \mathbb{D} are the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, where $k_w(z) = \frac{1}{1-z\bar{w}}$, and the Dirichlet space D , where $k_w(z) = \frac{1}{z\bar{w}} \log \frac{1}{1-z\bar{w}}$. Another example is the Drury-Arveson space H_d^2 of analytic functions on the d -dimensional unit ball \mathbb{B}_d , where $k_w(z) = \frac{1}{1-\langle z, w \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. In [4], Aleman, Hartz, McCarthy and Richter prove a unique factorization result for functions in \mathcal{H} , involving the two classes of *subinner* and *free outer* functions. In particular, they show that for every $f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique (up to unimodular constants) *subinner-free outer pair* (ϕ, h) such that

$$f = \phi h.$$

Here, the subinner factor ϕ is a contractive multiplier of \mathcal{H} such that $\|\phi h\| = \|h\|$, while the free outer factor h belongs to a special subclass of the cyclic vectors of \mathcal{H} (to be defined below). Note that this factorization is the same (without the uniqueness assertion) as the one considered by Jury and Martin in [8], [9]. In these papers, the authors worked in the setting of the free Fock space \mathcal{F}_d^2 in d variables, where a free inner-outer factorization is known to hold (see [6], [7]). Since there exists a natural isometric embedding of \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{F}_d^2 for some $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ (see Section 2), this free inner-outer factorization then also applies to functions in the embedded space \mathcal{H} .

Now, in the setting of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, subinner functions coincide with the classical inner functions. In the general case, subinner functions form a “large” class of contractive multipliers of \mathcal{H} ; Theorem 1.9 in [4] asserts

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47A63; Secondary: 47A60, 47A25 .

Key words and phrases. subinner, free outer, complete Pick space, annulus.

I thank Professor John E. McCarthy, my thesis advisor, for his invaluable help during the preparation of this work. I also thank the Onassis Foundation for providing financial support for my PhD studies.

Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 2054199.

that every ϕ in the unit ball of the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{H} is a pointwise limit of subinner functions. We note that it may even happen that every function in the space is, up to renormalization, a subinner multiplier! For instance, consider the Sobolev space \mathcal{W}_1^2 of those functions on $[0, 1]$ that are absolutely continuous and whose derivatives are square-summable. Agler [1] showed that \mathcal{W}_1^2 is a complete Pick space. Theorem 1.5 of the same paper implies that every $f \in \mathcal{W}_1^2$ is a norm-attaining multiplier of \mathcal{W}_1^2 , hence a constant multiple of a subinner function.

On the other hand, free outer functions are much harder to come by. While they coincide with the usual outer functions in the setting of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, in general they form a strict subset of the cyclic vectors of \mathcal{H} . Also, the product of two free outer functions isn't necessarily free outer (see e.g. [4, Example 11.1]). Another perhaps surprising fact is the existence of non-constant functions that are simultaneously subinner and free outer. Simple examples of such functions can be found even in the Dirichlet space D ; see the remark after the proof of Theorem 14.9 in [4].

It is fair to say that free outer functions are not well-understood yet. The situation is exacerbated by a striking lack of examples; indeed, besides the familiar Hardy space setting, it seems that only the free outer factors of low-degree polynomials have been worked out explicitly so far. In this note, we enlarge our pool of available examples by investigating subinner-free outer factorizations in the setting of a certain complete Pick space on an annulus, which we now define.

Let $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ denote the Hilbert function space induced on the annulus $A_r = \{r < |z| < 1\}$ by the kernel

$$k_r(\lambda, \mu) := \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - \lambda\bar{\mu})(1 - r^2/\lambda\bar{\mu})}.$$

$\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ is known to be a complete Pick space (see [5, p. 1137]) and can also be naturally associated with the class of operators $\mathcal{F}_r := \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : r^2 T^{-1}(T^{-1})^* + TT^* \leq r^2 + 1, \sigma(T) \subset A_r\}$, as noted by the author in [17]. Our main result, proved in Section 3, is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For every $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$, the classical inner-outer factorization in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ coincides (up to multiplication by unimodular constants) with the subinner-free outer factorization of f in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. An analogous result holds for functions in $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)^1 \subset \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$.*

Theorem 1.1 tells us that a function in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ that is also analytic on the unit disk is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer if and only if it is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer. We show that a similar result holds for $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner functions that are also analytic on \mathbb{D} .

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $\phi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) = H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then, ϕ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner if and only if ϕ is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner. An analogous result holds for $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$ -inner functions.*

Finally, Corollary 3.6 (see Section 3) allows us to obtain new examples of free outer functions in H_2^2 by using the embedding of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ into H_2^2 .

¹Here, $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$ is the Hardy space over the unbounded disk $\mathbb{D}_0 = \{|z| > r\}$ centered at infinity.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert function space on a non-empty set X with reproducing kernel k . Write $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}) := \{\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \phi f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}\}$ for the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{H} . Every multiplier ϕ defines a bounded linear operator $M_\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $M_\phi(f) = \phi f$. Putting $\|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})} = \|M_\phi\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}$ turns $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ into a Banach algebra. If $f \in \mathcal{H}$, write $[f]$ for the multiplier invariant subspace generated by f , i.e. the closure of $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})f$ in \mathcal{H} . f is called *cyclic*, if $[f] = \mathcal{H}$. Also, define $P_f : \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be the linear functional $P_f(\phi) := \langle \phi f, f \rangle$ and set

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{g \in \mathcal{H} : P_f = P_g\}.$$

We say that \mathcal{H} is a *complete Pick space* if for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and every finite collection of points $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in X$ and matrices $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$, positivity of the $nr \times nr$ -block matrix

$$[k(z_i, z_j)(I_{\mathbb{C}^r} - W_i W_j^*)]_{i,j=1}^n$$

implies that there exists $\Phi \in M_r(\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}))$ of norm at most 1 such that

$$\Phi(z_i) = W_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

In this setting, k is called a *complete Pick kernel*. By a theorem of Agler and McCarthy [2], a function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an (irreducible) complete Pick kernel if and only if it has the form

$$(2.1) \quad k_x(y) = k(y, x) = \frac{\delta(y)\overline{\delta(x)}}{1 - \langle u(y), u(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}},$$

where $\delta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, u is a function from X into the open unit ball \mathbb{B}_d of \mathbb{C}^d and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ (\mathbb{B}_∞ being the open unit ball of l^2). We have already mentioned examples of well-known function spaces with CP kernels. In particular, the Drury-Arveson space H_d^2 with kernel $k_w(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}}$ has a universal property among all CP spaces (see below for details). For further background on this topic, see [3].

We can now state the Aleman, Hartz, McCarthy, Richter factorization result. To be precise, their theorems are stated in the setting of a CP kernel k *normalized* at $z_0 \in X$ (meaning that in (2.1) we have $\delta \equiv 1$ and $u(z_0) = 0$). We summarize them below.

Definition 2.1. (a) A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is called *free outer*, if

$$|f(z_0)| = \sup\{|g(z_0)| : g \in \mathcal{E}_f\}.$$

(b) A multiplier $\phi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *subinner*, if $\|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H})} = 1$ and if there exists a nonzero $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that with $\|\phi h\| = \|h\|$.

(c) A pair (ϕ, f) is called a *subinner/free outer pair*, if ϕ is subinner, f is free outer with $f(z_0) > 0$, and $\|\phi f\| = \|f\|$.

Theorem 2.2. *For every $f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$ there is a unique subinner/free outer pair (ϕ, h) such that $f = \phi h$.*

Theorem 2.3. *If $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$, then $P_f = P_g$ if and only if f and g have the same free outer factors.*

Having a distinguished normalization point turns out not to be important.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) h is free outer,
- (b) there is $z \in X$ with $|h(z)| = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in \mathcal{E}_f\}$,
- (c) for all $z \in X$ we have $|h(z)| = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in \mathcal{E}_f\}$.

We now briefly discuss preliminaries in regards to the free Fock space. Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and write \mathbb{F}_d^+ for the free semigroup on d letters $\{1, 2, \dots\}$; that is, the set of all words $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ over all (finite) lengths k , where each $w_j \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We also include the empty word \emptyset in \mathbb{F}_d^+ , the length of which is defined to be zero. If $w \in \mathbb{F}_d^+$, then $\alpha(w) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ is the multi-index associated with w , defined by $\alpha(w) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$, where α_j equals the number of times the letter j occurs in w . Also, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a freely non-commuting indeterminate with d components. If $w \in \mathbb{F}_d^+$, then the free monomials are defined by $x^w = 1$, if $w = \emptyset$, and $x^w = x_{w_1} \cdots x_{w_k}$, if $w = w_1 \cdots w_k$.

The free Fock space \mathcal{F}_d^2 is the space of all power series in d non-commuting formal variables with square-summable coefficients, i.e. $F \in \mathcal{F}_d^2$ if and only if $F(x) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_d^+} \hat{F}(w) x^w$ and $\|F\|^2 = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{F}_d^+} |\hat{F}(w)|^2 < \infty$. A distinguished subspace of \mathcal{F}_d^2 is the symmetric Fock space $\mathcal{H}_d^2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_d^2$. An element $F \in \mathcal{F}_d^2$ is in \mathcal{H}_d^2 if and only if $\hat{F}(w) = \hat{F}(v)$, whenever $\alpha(w) = \alpha(v)$. Now, for every $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{B}_d$ and $\mathbf{n} := (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, set $z^{\mathbf{n}} := z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d}$. The map $T : H_d^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d^2$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad h(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \frac{h_{\mathbf{n}}}{\|z^{\mathbf{n}}\|_{H_d^2}^2} z^{\mathbf{n}} \mapsto H(x) := \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} h_{\mathbf{n}} \left(\sum_{|w| \lambda(w) = \mathbf{n}} x^w \right)$$

is an isometric embedding of H_d^2 into \mathcal{F}_d^2 (T identifies H_d^2 with \mathcal{H}_d^2 , see [15], Section 4). Also, if $k_z(\lambda) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle \lambda, z \rangle}$ denotes the kernel of H_d^2 , we set $K_z := T k_z = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \bar{z}^{\mathbf{n}} \sum_{|w| \lambda(w) = \mathbf{n}} x^w \in \mathcal{H}_d^2$, for every $z \in \mathbb{B}_d$. For further details about the free Fock space and related non-commutative function theory, see [9], [12], [13], [11], [16] and [14].

Now, consider an arbitrary normalized CP kernel $k_x(y) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle u(y), u(x) \rangle}$, where $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_d$ and $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We can identify (see [2]) the Hilbert function space \mathcal{H}_k associated with k with the subspace

$$\mathcal{H} = \text{closed linear span of } \{K_z : z \in \text{ran } u\} \subset \mathcal{H}_d^2 \subset \mathcal{F}_d^2.$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that \mathcal{H} is invariant under adjoints of multipliers of \mathcal{F}_d^2 and also the map $U k_x = K_{u(x)}$ extends to be a linear isometry $U : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d^2$ with range equal to \mathcal{H} . Thus, $U U^* = P_{\mathcal{H}}$ and we have $U^* = C_u$, where $C_u F(x) = F(u(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}_d^\infty$ the algebra of all elements $G \in \mathcal{F}_d^2$ such that the operator $F \mapsto GF$ (multiplication by G from the left) is bounded on \mathcal{F}_d^2 . The algebra $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}_d^\infty$ of bounded right multiplication operators is defined analogously. An element $F \in \mathcal{F}_d^2$ will be called *left-outer* if $\{GF : G \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}_d^\infty\}$ is dense in \mathcal{F}_d^2 . Similarly, F will be called *right-outer* if $\{FG : G \in \mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}_d^\infty\}$ is dense in \mathcal{F}_d^2 . The following is the content of Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 6.1 in [4].

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose \mathcal{H}_k is a CP space and let $U : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d^2$ be its natural embedding into \mathcal{F}_d^2 . If $f \in \mathcal{H}_k$, the following are equivalent:*

- a) f is free outer in \mathcal{H}_k ;
- b) Uf is left-outer in \mathcal{F}_d^2 ;
- c) Uf is right-outer in \mathcal{F}_d^2 ;
- d) $S^{-1}(Uf)$ is free outer in H_d^2 , where $S := P_{\mathcal{H}_d^2}T$ is the isometric identification (2.2) of H_d^2 with \mathcal{H}_d^2 .

Finally, we mention a few basic facts about the space $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ that will be needed. Let $A_r = \{r < |z| < 1\}$ denote an annulus. Recall that $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ is defined as the Hilbert function space on A_r induced by the kernel

$$k_r(\lambda, \mu) := \frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - \lambda\bar{\mu})(1 - r^2/\lambda\bar{\mu})}.$$

Letting $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}$ denote the corresponding norm, we obtain that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}^2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} r^{2n} |c_n|^2 + \sum_0^{\infty} |c_n|^2,$$

for every $f = \sum c_n z^n \in \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. Also, every $\phi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$ satisfies (see [17])

$$\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq \|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} \leq \sqrt{2} \|\phi\|_{\infty},$$

hence $\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$ is equal (but not isometric) to $H^{\infty}(A_r)$.

Now, putting

$$u : A_r \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_2 \\ z \mapsto \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}}, \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}} \frac{1}{z} \right),$$

and letting k_2 denote the kernel of H_2^2 , it can be easily checked that

$$(2.3) \quad k_r(\lambda, \mu) = \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{1 + r^2} \right) k_2(u(\lambda), u(\mu)), \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in A_r.$$

So, $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ is a CP space and it should be mentioned that, while k_r is not a normalized CP kernel, normalizing it only requires dividing by the constant $(1 - r^2)/(1 + r^2)$. Also, Definition 2.1 tells us that replacing the norm of the base space \mathcal{H}_k by any constant multiple of it does not affect the properties of being subinner or free outer. Hence, the fact that k_r is not normalized is not important for our results.

3. MAIN RESULTS

First, we record a helpful lemma; for multipliers of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ that are analytic either on \mathbb{D} or on $\mathbb{D}_0 = \{r < |z|\}$, the multiplier norm actually coincides with the supremum norm.

Lemma 3.1. i) If $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}.$$

Similarly, if $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$, then

$$\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}.$$

ii) If either $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ or $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D}_0)$, then

$$\|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} = \|\phi\|_{H^{\infty}(A_r)}.$$

Proof. Part i) is obvious so we only show part ii).

WLOG, suppose $\|\phi\|_{H^\infty(A_r)} \leq 1$. If $\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, then $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ as a function on \mathbb{D} (by the maximum modulus principle) and so ϕ is a contractive multiplier of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By [10, Theorem 5.21], this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \phi(\lambda)\overline{\phi(\mu)}) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\bar{\mu}} &\geq 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \\ \implies (1 - \phi(\lambda)\overline{\phi(\mu)}) \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\bar{\mu}} &\geq 0 \quad \text{on } A_r \times A_r \\ \implies (1 - \phi(\lambda)\overline{\phi(\mu)}) \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{r^2}{\lambda\bar{\mu}})(1 - \lambda\bar{\mu})} &\geq 0 \quad \text{on } A_r \times A_r, \end{aligned}$$

as the Schur product of two positive semi-definite kernels is positive semi-definite (notice that $1/(1 - r^2/(\lambda\bar{\mu}))$ is the kernel of the Hardy space on \mathbb{D}_0). Hence, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \phi(\lambda)\overline{\phi(\mu)})k_r(\lambda, \mu) &\geq 0 \\ \implies \|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $\|\phi\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} \leq \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(A)}$, which concludes our argument. For the case where $\phi \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}_0)$, the proof proceeds in an analogous manner. \square

We proceed to show that the classical inner functions of the Hardy space on \mathbb{D} become subinner functions when viewed as multipliers of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is an $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner function. Then, g is a subinner multiplier of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. An analogous result holds if $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}_0)$ and g is $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$ -inner².*

Proof. We only consider the case where g is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|g\|_{\text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} = \|g\|_{H^\infty(A_r)} = \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} = 1.$$

Now, let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be arbitrary. Then,

$$\|gh\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|gh\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}.$$

Thus, g is a norm-attaining multiplier of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ with multiplier norm equal to 1, i.e. a subinner multiplier. \square

Our next step will be to show that for functions in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (similarly, for functions in $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$), the property of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outerness coincides with outerness in the classical Hardy space sense. To do this, we will be needing the following two lemmata.

Let $\text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$ denote the set of functions $\phi : A_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that multiply $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (or, more precisely, the restrictions of $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ functions to A_r) boundedly into $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. These multipliers turn out to be equal precisely to all functions $\phi = \sum c_n z^n \in \text{Hol}(A_r)$ such that $\sum_{n>0} c_n z^n \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\sum_{n<0} c_n z^n \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$.

²i.e. there exists an $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner function h such that $g(z) = h(r/z)$.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)) = \{f + g : f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_0), g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

Also,

$$\|f + g\|_{\text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}}{(1-r^2)} + \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}.$$

An analogous result holds for $\text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}_0), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$.

Proof. (Note that there is a slight abuse of notation here, as we are identifying $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with the Hilbert function space consisting of the restrictions of all $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ functions to A_r . The kernel of that space is, of course, the restriction of the Szegő kernel for \mathbb{D} to $A_r \times A_r$.)

Put $M = \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$. Since $1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, we obtain $M \subset \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. Now, let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$. By [10, Theorem 3.11], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda)\overline{h(\mu)} &\leq \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)} k_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}(\lambda, \mu) \text{ in } \mathbb{D}_0 \times \mathbb{D}_0 \\ &\Rightarrow h(\lambda)\overline{h(\mu)} \leq \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)} k_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}(\lambda, \mu) \text{ in } A_r \times A_r \\ &\Rightarrow h(\lambda)\overline{h(\mu)} k_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}(\lambda, \mu) \leq \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)} k_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}(\lambda, \mu) k_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}(\lambda, \mu) \text{ in } A_r \times A_r \\ &\Rightarrow h(\lambda)\overline{h(\mu)} k_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}(\lambda, \mu) \leq \frac{\|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}}{(1-r^2)} k_r(\lambda, \mu) \text{ in } A_r \times A_r. \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 5.21], this last positivity condition implies that $h \in M$ and also that $\|h\|_M \leq \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}/(1-r^2)$. This gives us $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0) \subset M$.

Now, consider $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. For every $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\|gf\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|gf\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \leq \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}.$$

This shows that $g \in M$ and also that $\|g\|_M = \|g\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$. We conclude that $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0) + H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \subset M$.

For the converse, let $f \in M \subset \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. Choose any function $f_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with the property that $f - f_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$. By our previous observations, we obtain $f - f_1 \in M$. Also, since $f_1 = f - (f - f_1) \in M \cap H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is contained isometrically in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$, we have $f_1 \in \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D})) \subset M$ and also $\|f_1\|_M = \|f_1\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$. We can thus write:

$$\|f\|_M = \|f_1 + (f - f_1)\|_M \leq \|f_1\|_M + \|f - f_1\|_M \leq \|f_1\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} + \frac{\|f - f_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)}}{1-r^2}.$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and suppose that*

$$\langle \phi f, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \langle \phi g, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then,

$$\langle \phi f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \langle \phi g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}, \text{ for all } \phi \in \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)).$$

Proof. Suppose f, g satisfy the given assumptions and let $\phi \in H^\infty(A_r) = \text{Mult}(\mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$. Write $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, where $\phi_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\phi_2 = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=-1} a_n z^n \in$

$\frac{1}{z}H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$. By the maximum modulus principle, we obtain $\phi_1 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $\phi_2 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}_0)$. Now, notice that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n z^n f = \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{n=-1} a_n z^n \right) f$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}$ norm, as $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}_0), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$, due to Lemma 3.3. We can write

$$\langle \phi f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \langle \phi_1 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} + \langle \phi_2 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_1 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} &= \langle \phi_1 f, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= \langle \phi_1 g, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \text{ (by assumption)} \\ &= \langle \phi_1 g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_2 f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} &= \left\langle \left(\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n z^n \right) f, f \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n \langle z^n f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n \langle f, z^{-n} f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n \langle g, z^{-n} g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because of our initial assumptions. Working our way in the opposite direction, we can then show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sum_k^{n=-1} a_n \langle g, z^{-n} g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \langle \phi_2 g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}.$$

Hence,

$$\langle \phi f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \langle \phi_1 g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} + \langle \phi_2 g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \langle \phi g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)},$$

which concludes the proof. \square

We can now characterize those functions $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ that are $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then, f is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer if and only if f is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer. An analogous result holds for $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$ -outer functions.*

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $z_0 \in A_r$.

First, suppose that $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is not $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer and also let g denote the $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer factor of f . Since $|f| = |g|$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, we obtain

$$\langle \phi f, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \langle \phi g, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}),$$

and also $|g(z_0)| > |f(z_0)|$. But then, Lemma 3.4 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} &= \langle \phi g, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H^\infty(A_r) \\ &\Rightarrow P_f = P_g \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^2(A_r). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|g(z_0)| > |f(z_0)|$, Corollary 2.4 implies that f is not $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer.

Conversely, suppose that f is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer. Suppose also that f is not $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer. We will reach a contradiction.

Write $f = gh$ for the subinner-free outer factorization of f in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. We claim that $h \notin H^2(\mathbb{D})$.

Indeed, if $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_f &= P_h \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^2(A_r) \text{ (as } h \text{ is the free outer factor of } f) \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \phi f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \langle \phi h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H^\infty(A_r) \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \phi f, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \langle \phi h, h \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \\ &\Rightarrow P_f = P_g \text{ in } H^2(\mathbb{D}) \\ &\Rightarrow |f(z)| \geq |h(z)|, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

as f is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer. But h is the free outer factor of f and f is *not* $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer, so Corollary 2.4 implies that $|h(z_0)| > |f(z_0)|$, a contradiction.

Hence, $h \notin H^2(\mathbb{D})$. This implies the existence of $k < 0$ such that the term $a_k z^k$ in the Laurent expansion of h is nonzero. Thus $\|zh\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} < \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}$. But then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} &= \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|zf\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= \|zf\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|g(zh)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \leq \|zh\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \\ &< \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction again. Thus, f must be $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer and our proof is complete. \square

We now show Theorem 1.1 from the Introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and write $f = \phi h$ for the classical inner-outer factorization in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By Theorem 3.5, h is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer, while ϕ is subinner by Proposition 3.2. Notice also that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}$ and so $f = \phi h$ coincides (up to multiplication by unimodular constants) with the subinner-free outer factorization of f in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. \square

We have proved that a function in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ that is also analytic on the unit disk is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer if and only if it is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer. Theorem 1.2 says that an analogous result holds for $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner functions that are also analytic on \mathbb{D} . The key result used in the proof is a modified subinner-free outer factorization for functions in spaces whose reproducing kernel has a CP factor.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

If ϕ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner, then it must also be $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner, by Proposition 3.2.

Now, suppose that ϕ is $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner. Thus, $\|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} = 1$ (by Lemma 3.1) and also there exists a nonzero $f \in \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ such that

$$\|\phi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}.$$

Letting s denote the classical Szégo kernel on \mathbb{D} , it is easy to see that k_r/s is positive semi-definite on $A_r \times A_r$. Hence, by [4, Theorem 1.10], we can find a (unique, up to multiplication by unimodular constants) pair of nonzero functions $\psi \in \text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))$ and $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that

- i) $f = \psi h$,
- ii) $\|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)}$,
- iii) h is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -free outer,
- iv) $\|\psi\|_{\text{Mult}(H^2(\mathbb{D}), \mathcal{H}^2(A_r))} \leq 1$.

Since $\phi h \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} &= \|\phi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|\phi \psi h\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} = \|\psi(\phi h)\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \\ &\leq \|\phi h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \quad (\text{by item (iv)}) \\ &\leq \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \quad (\text{since } \|\phi\|_{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})} = 1) \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \quad (\text{by item (ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we must have $\|\phi h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} = \|h\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}$, which implies that ϕ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -inner. □

We now combine the embedding (2.3) of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ into H_2^2 with Theorem 1.1 to obtain new examples of free outer functions in H_2^2 .

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer function. Then, for every $r \in (0, 1)$, the function $F \in H_2^2$ uniquely defined by*

$$\langle F, z_1^i z_2^j \rangle_{H_2^2} = \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \frac{r^j}{(\sqrt{r^2+1})^{i+j}} a_{i-j}, \quad (\text{for all } i, j \geq 0)$$

is H_2^2 -free outer (put $a_k = 0$ for negative k). Hence, if $T : H_d^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_d^2$ denotes the natural isometric embedding of H_d^2 into \mathcal{F}_d^2 , $T(F)$ is both left- and right-outer in \mathcal{F}_d^2 . An analogous result holds for $H^2(\mathbb{D}_0)$ -outer functions.

Proof. We only show the $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ version. Suppose $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -outer. By our previous results, f will be $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer. Consider the rescaled kernel defined on $A_r \times A_r$ by

$$(3.1) \quad k'_r(\lambda, \mu) := \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} k_r(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1+r^2}{(1-\lambda\bar{\mu})(1-r^2/\lambda\bar{\mu})} = k_2(u(\lambda), u(\mu)),$$

where k_2 is the kernel of H_2^2 . k'_r is now a normalized CNP kernel. It induces the holomorphic function space $\mathcal{H}_{k'_r}$ on A_r , the norm of which is simply the norm of $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ multiplied by $\sqrt{(1-r^2)/(1+r^2)}$. We easily see that f will be $\mathcal{H}_{k'_r}$ -free outer as well.

Now, recall the isometric identification $S = P_{\mathcal{H}_d^2} T$ (see (2.2)) of H_d^2 with the symmetric Fock space \mathcal{H}_d^2 . By Theorem 2.5, we obtain that $F := S^{-1} U f$ is

H_2^2 -free outer, where $U : \mathcal{H}_{k'} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2^2$ denotes the embedding of $\mathcal{H}_{k'}$ into \mathcal{H}_2^2 induced by (3.1). This function satisfies (for all $i, j \geq 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, z_1^i z_2^j \rangle_{H_2^2} &= \langle F, S^{-1} S(z_1^i z_2^j) \rangle_{H_2^2} \\ &= \langle f, U^* S(z_1^i z_2^j) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{k'}} \\ &= \left\langle f, \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{r^2+1}} \right)^i \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2+1}} \frac{1}{z} \right)^j \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{k'}} \\ &= \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \left\langle f, \frac{r^j}{(\sqrt{r^2+1})^{i+j}} z^{i-j} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)} \\ &= \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2} \frac{r^j}{(\sqrt{r^2+1})^{i+j}} a_{i-j}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in (0, 1)$, as desired (for negative k , interpret a_k as being zero). The rest of the Corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2.5. \square

Example. Consider the function $f(z) = z - \lambda$. This function is

- $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -subinner if and only if $\lambda = 0$ (by Theorem 1.2);
- $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer, if $|\lambda| \geq 1$ (by Theorem 3.5);
- not $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -cyclic (and hence *not* $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer), if $r < |\lambda| < 1$;
- $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -cyclic but *not* $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$ -free outer, if $|\lambda| \leq r$ (by Theorem 3.5).

To conclude, we have a complete characterization of subinner and free outer functions in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r) \cap \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$. Of course, it would be even more interesting if we were able to describe generic subinner and/or free outer functions in $\mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. We pose this as a question.

Question. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}^2(A_r)$. What is the subinner-free outer factorization of f ?

REFERENCES

- [1] Jim Agler. “Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation on Sobolev space”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 108.2 (1990), pp. 341–351. ISSN: 0002-9939.
- [2] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. “Complete Nevanlinna-Pick kernels”. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 175.1 (2000), pp. 111–124. ISSN: 0022-1236.
- [3] Jim Agler and John E. McCarthy. *Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces*. Vol. 44. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. ISBN: 0-8218-2898-3.
- [4] Alexandru Aleman, Michael Hartz, John E. McCarthy, and Stefan Richter. “Free outer functions in complete Pick spaces”. In: *arXiv e-prints* (2022). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08179>.
- [5] N. Arcozzi, R. Rochberg, and E. Sawyer. “Carleson measures for the Drury-Arveson Hardy space and other Besov-Sobolev spaces on complex balls”. In: *Adv. Math.* 218.4 (2008), pp. 1107–1180. ISSN: 0001-8708.
- [6] Alvaro Arias and Gelu Popescu. “Factorization and reflexivity on Fock spaces”. In: *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 23.3 (1995), pp. 268–286. ISSN: 0378-620X.

- [7] Kenneth R. Davidson and David R. Pitts. “Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 78.2 (1999), pp. 401–430. ISSN: 0024-6115.
- [8] Michael T. Jury and Robert T. W. Martin. “Factorization in weak products of complete pick spaces”. In: *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 51.2 (2019), pp. 223–229. ISSN: 0024-6093.
- [9] Michael T. Jury and Robert T. W. Martin. “The Smirnov classes for the Fock space and complete Pick spaces”. In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 70.1 (2021), pp. 269–284. ISSN: 0022-2518.
- [10] Vern I. Paulsen and Mrinal Raghupathi. *An introduction to the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*. Vol. 152. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016, pp. x+182. ISBN: 978-1-107-10409-9.
- [11] Gelu Popescu. “Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. II”. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 258.5 (2010), pp. 1513–1578.
- [12] Gelu Popescu. “Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems”. In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 38.3 (1989).
- [13] Gelu Popescu. “Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces”. In: *Math. Ann.* 303.1 (1995). ISSN: 0025-5831.
- [14] Guy Salomon, Orr M. Shalit, and Eli Shamovich. “Algebras of bounded non-commutative analytic functions on subvarieties of the noncommutative unit ball”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370.12 (2018), pp. 8639–8690. ISSN: 0002-9947.
- [15] Orr Shalit. “Operator theory and function theory in Drury–Arveson space and its quotients”. In: *Handbook of Operator Theory* (2015), pp. 125–1180.
- [16] Orr Shalit. *Operator theory and function theory in Drury-Arveson space and its quotients*. 2014. arXiv: 1308.1081.
- [17] Georgios Tsikalas. “A von Neumann type inequality for an annulus”. In: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 506.2 (2022), Paper No. 125714, 12.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
IN ST. LOUIS, ST. LOUIS, MO, 63136

Email address: gtsikalas@wustl.edu