

GROWTH OF GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS OVER POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS AND LAURENT POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

DEDICATED TO PROF. YUQUN CHEN ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 65TH BIRTHDAY

XIANGUI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We mainly study the growth and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GK-dimension) of generalized Weyl algebra (GWA) $A = D(\sigma, a)$ where D is a polynomial algebra or a Laurent polynomial algebra. Several necessary and sufficient conditions for $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$ are given. In particular, we prove a dichotomy of the GK-dimension of GWAs over the polynomial algebra in two indeterminates, namely, $\text{GKdim}(A)$ is either 3 or ∞ in this case. Our results generalize several ones in the literature and can be applied to determine the growth, GK-dimension, simplicity, and cancellation properties of some GWAs.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Throughout let k be a field. All algebras in consideration are associative unital k -algebras and all automorphisms of algebras are k -automorphisms. Let D be an algebra, a be a central element of D and σ be an automorphism of D . The *generalized Weyl algebra* (GWA, for short) $A = D(\sigma, a)$ over D is defined as the algebra generated by D and two indeterminates x and y subject to the relations:

$$xd = \sigma(d)x, \quad yd = \sigma^{-1}(d)y, \quad yx = a, \quad xy = \sigma(a), \quad \text{for all } d \in D.$$

GWAs are natural generalizations of Weyl algebras and form an important class of noncommutative algebras. Many algebras of common interest fall into this class, for instance, Weyl algebras, certain quantum enveloping algebras, some iterated Ore extensions, noetherian (generalized) down-up algebras, Heisenberg algebras, etc. (see [6, 11, 55] for more examples). GWAs were introduced by Bavula [6] in early 1990s. Since then their structures and representations have been studied intensively, for example, their dimension and growth [8, 12, 10, 55]; their derivations, isomorphisms and automorphisms [9, 47, 1, 21, 25]; and their homological and geometric properties [16, 51, 36, 19, 37, 30].

The notion of growth, introduced by Gelfand and Kirillov [22] for algebras and by Milnor [41] for groups, is a fundamental object of study in theory of algebras (not necessarily associative) and groups. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GK-dimension, for short) is a main tool to quantify growth of algebras and groups. The GK-dimension of an algebra A is defined as

$$\text{GKdim}(A) := \sup_V \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_n \dim_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^n V^i \right)$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces V of A . GK-dimension basically measures the growth of an algebra (rather than the growth of a ring, see [52]). For a finitely generated commutative algebra, its Krull dimension and GK-dimension coincide. Thus

Date: August 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16P90, 16S36, 16P40, 16S32.

Key words and phrases. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, generalized Weyl algebra, polynomial automorphism.

GK-dimension can be viewed as a noncommutative analogue of Krull dimension. It turns out that the GK-dimension is a very useful and powerful tool for investigating noncommutative algebras, for example, in recent studies of classification problems (see e.g. [50, 23, 2]) and cancellation problems (see e.g. [13, 35, 48, 18]). For basic properties and applications of GK-dimension of algebras and groups we refer the reader to [31]. For GK-dimension of other algebraic structures, see e.g. [29, 4, 3, 43].

There have been in the literature a number of results concerning GK-dimension of skew polynomial extensions related to derivations and/or automorphisms, for example, the GK-dimensions of Ore extensions [38, 26, 53], of PBW-extensions [39], and of differential difference algebras/modules [54]. Recently, the author [55] investigated general properties of GK-dimensions of GWAs.

In this paper, we mainly study the growth and GK-dimension of GWAs over polynomial algebras $P_n := k[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$ (especially P_2) and that over Laurent polynomial algebras $L_n := k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. We have two motivations for this paper. The first motivation is the fact that lots of GWAs studied in literature are ones over P_n or L_n and thus this subclass of GWAs is of fundamental importance in practice. For instance, (quantum) Weyl algebras, quantum planes, and primitive quotients of the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of special linear Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 are GWAs over P_1 or L_1 ; noetherian generalized down-up algebras, quantum Heisenberg algebra, and the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are GWAs over P_2 ; the group algebra of the (discrete) Heisenberg group (Example 4.11) and the homogenized enveloping algebra of some Lie algebra ([27, Example 2.4 (ii)]) are GWAs over L_2 . Our second motivation is that, since the automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(P_2)$ and $\text{Aut}(L_n)$ are well-understood (see Jung and van der Kulk [28, 49] for $\text{Aut}(P_2)$ and Theorem 5.4 for $\text{Aut}(L_n)$), sharper theorems can be expected when one investigates the GK-dimension of GWAs over P_2 and L_n .

Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. It was proved in [55] that the difference $\text{GKdim}(A) - \text{GKdim}(D)$ can be any positive integer or infinity. If σ is *locally algebraic* (Definition 2.10) meaning that every finite dimensional subspace of D is contained in a finite dimensional σ -stable subspace of D , then $\text{GKdim}(A) - \text{GKdim}(D) = 1$. Although $\text{GKdim}(A) - \text{GKdim}(D) = 1$ does not imply in general that σ is locally algebraic (see Example 3.8), we prove that, for GWAs over P_n and L_n , this implication does hold. More generally, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Let $P_n = k[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in n indeterminates and Q_n be the fraction field of P_n .*

If $P_n \subseteq D \subseteq Q_n$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.
- (b) σ is locally algebraic.

If k is algebraically closed, D is a finitely generated field and $a \neq 0$, then (a) and (b) are equivalent to each of the following statements:

- (c) σ has a finite order.
- (d) A is not simple.

Theorem 1.1 is an analogue of [53, Theorem 1.1] but note that we do not assume that k is algebraically closed to get (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). See Proposition 3.7 for more results for the algebraically closed case.

When considering GWAs over P_2 , we have more elaborate results. Our main results on the GK-dimension of GWAs over P_2 can be summarized as

Theorem 1.2. *Let $A = k[z_1, z_2](\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{GKdim}(A) = 3$.
- (b) $\text{GKdim}(A) < \infty$.

- (c) A has polynomial growth.
- (d) A does not have exponential growth.
- (e) σ is locally algebraic.
- (f) σ is conjugate to a triangular automorphism.
- (g) σ^m is conjugate to a triangular automorphism for some $m \geq 1$.

The growth of algebras (Definition 2.6) can be prescribed within a rather wide range of functions. Recently, Bell and Zelmanov [14] give a complete characterization of the functions that can occur as the growth functions of algebras. Theorem 1.2 indicates that GWAs over P_2 have *alternative growth* (Definition 2.6). Note that not every algebra or group has alternative growth, for example, if a finitely generated Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has polynomial growth then its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ has intermediate growth [46]. It is still a major open question in growth theory whether there exist finitely presented groups with intermediate growth [24].

The dichotomy of the GK-dimension of GWAs over P_2 (i.e., $\text{GKdim}(P_2(\sigma, a))$ is either 3 or ∞) does not hold for that over L_2 (Example 4.11). Note that some items in Theorem 1.2 are not equivalent for GWAs over P_n with $n \geq 3$. For example, the Nagata automorphism of P_3 is locally algebraic but not conjugate to a triangular automorphism (Example 4.7). The reason for this is that the proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the famous theorem of Jung and van der Kulk [28, 49] asserting that all automorphisms of P_2 are tame, which is not true for P_n with $n \geq 3$ ([45]). See Remark 4.10 for more details.

We also study further the GK-dimension of GWAs over L_n . An automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$ sends a unit to a unit and thus has the form

$$\sigma(z_i) = \alpha_i z_1^{a_{1i}} z_2^{a_{2i}} \cdots z_n^{a_{ni}}, \quad \alpha_i \in k^*, a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The automorphism σ is determined by the matrix $M_\sigma = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and the scalars $\alpha_i \in k^*$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The matrix M_σ plays an important role in the GK-dimension of the GWA $L_n(\sigma, a)$. Our main results on the GK-dimension of GWAs over L_n are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $A = k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}](\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) $\text{GKdim}(A) = n + 1$.
- (b) σ is locally algebraic.
- (c) M has a finite order, i.e., M_σ^m equals the identity matrix for some $m \geq 1$.
- (d) M_σ is power-bounded, i.e., there exists $N > 0$ such that the absolute values of all entries of M_σ^m are less than N for all $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 1.3 enables us to determine the GK-dimension by computation of integer matrices under certain conditions. Given $n \geq 1$, Levitt [34] showed that the maximum order $G(n)$ of a matrix in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies a Landau-type estimate $\ln G(n) \sim \sqrt{n \ln n}$ and all possible orders of matrices in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ can be determined by factorization of natural numbers less than $G(n)$ (see Remark 5.7).

Our results can be applied to determine the GK-dimension, the simplicity (Corollary 3.9), and cancellation properties (Corollary 5.11) of some GWAs.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic definitions and list some results from the literature. In Section 3, we study the sensitive multiplicity condition and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we focus on the growth and GK-dimension of GWAs over polynomial algebras, where Theorem 1.2 is proved. In Section 5, we first investigate automorphisms of Laurent polynomial algebras, then study the GK-dimension of GWAs over Laurent polynomial algebras, and finally prove Theorem 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some terminology related to generalized Weyl algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension. Our main references for GK-dimension are [31] and [40, Chapter 8].

Let k be a field and $k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$. Unless otherwise stated, all spaces in this article are k -spaces, $\dim(V)$ denotes the dimension of a k -space V , all algebras are associative unital k -algebras, and all automorphisms are k -algebra automorphisms. Denote the space spanned by a set S by kS , particularly by ka if $S = \{a\}$. Given subspaces V and W of an algebra D , denote $VW = \sum_{v \in V, w \in W} k(vw)$, $V^0 = k$, $V^n = V^{n-1}V$ for $n \geq 1$, and write $VW = Va$ if $W = ka$. We use $Z(D)$ (respectively, $\text{Aut}(D)$, $\text{id} = \text{id}_D$) to denote the center (respectively, automorphism group, identity automorphism) of D .

Definition 2.1 ([6]). *Let D be an algebra, $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$, and $a \in Z(D)$. The generalized Weyl algebra (GWA, for short) $A = D(\sigma, a) = D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ with the base algebra D is defined as the algebra generated by D and indeterminates x and y , subject to the defining relations:*

$$xd = \sigma(d)x, \quad yd = \sigma^{-1}(d)y, \quad yx = a, \quad xy = \sigma(a), \quad \text{for all } d \in D.$$

The automorphism σ and element a are called defining automorphism and defining element of the GWA A respectively.

The following example indicates why the algebra $D(\sigma, a)$ is called a GWA.

Example 2.2. The first Weyl algebra A_1 over k is the ring of polynomials in indeterminates x and y with coefficients in k , subject to the relations $yx - xy = 1$. Then

$$A_1 \cong D(\sigma, a), \quad x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto y,$$

where $D = k[h]$, $a = h = yx$ and $\sigma(h) = h - 1$. The n -th Weyl algebra can be presented as an iterated GWA. See [55] for more detailed examples of GWAs.

A \mathbb{Z} -filtration of algebra A is a sequence of subspaces

$$\cdots \subseteq F_{i-1} \subseteq F_i \subseteq F_{i+1} \subseteq \cdots, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

such that $1 \in F_0$, $F_i F_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $A = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i$. An algebra with a \mathbb{Z} -filtration is called a \mathbb{Z} -filtered algebra. The vector space $\text{gr}(A) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} F_i / F_{i-1}$ equipped with the linear multiplication defined by the rule

$$(a + F_{i-1})(b + F_{j-1}) = ab + F_{i+j-1}$$

is called the *the associated graded algebra* of A . The linear mapping $\text{gr} : A \rightarrow \text{gr}(A)$ is defined by $\text{gr}(a) = a + F_{i-1}$ for all $a \in F_i / F_{i-1}$. For a subspace $V \subseteq A$, denote $\text{gr}(V) = \sum_{v \in V} k \text{gr}(v)$. The following lemma lists some basic properties of the map gr . For more properties related to filtered algebras and graded algebras, see [31, Chapter 6].

Lemma 2.3 ([53], Lemma 2.1). *Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -filtered algebra, W be a finite dimensional subspace of A , and $a \in A$. Then*

- (i) $\text{gr}(Wa) \supseteq \text{gr}(W) \text{gr}(a)$.
- (ii) $\dim(W) = \dim(\text{gr}(W))$.
- (iii) $\dim(W^m) \geq \dim((\text{gr}(W))^m)$.

The GWA $A = D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ is a free left D -module with a basis $\{x^i, y^j : i \geq 0, j > 0\}$. There is a natural \mathbb{Z} -graded structure for a GWA, i.e., $D(\sigma, a) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$, where $A_i = Dx^i$ if $i > 0$, $A_i = Dy^{-i}$ if $i < 0$, and $A_i = D$ if $i = 0$. Let $F_i = \bigcup_{j \leq i} A_j$. Then

$$\cdots \subseteq F_{i-1} \subseteq F_i \subseteq F_{i+1} \subseteq \cdots, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is a \mathbb{Z} -filtration for A . Recall that, given $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$, the Ore extension $D[x; \sigma]$ over an algebra D is the algebra generated by D and x subject to the relation $xd = \sigma(d)x$ for all $d \in D$. The following lemma follows immediately from the \mathbb{Z} -graded structure of GWAs.

Lemma 2.4. *The Ore extension $D[x; \sigma]$ is a subalgebra of the GWA $D(\sigma, a)$.*

It is routine to check that GWAs have the following universal property (cf. [40, Chapter 1.2.5]).

Proposition 2.5. *Let $D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ be a GWA and B be an algebra. Suppose $\phi : D \rightarrow B$ is an algebra homomorphism, and $x', y' \in B$ satisfy*

$$x'\phi(d) = \phi(\sigma(d))x', y'\phi(d) = \phi(\sigma^{-1}(d))y', y'x' = \phi(a), x'y' = \phi(\sigma(a)), \text{ for all } d \in D.$$

Then there exists a unique algebra homomorphism $\psi : D(x, y; \sigma, a) \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi(x) = x'$, $\psi(y) = y'$ and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D(x, y; \sigma, a) \\ & \searrow \phi & \swarrow \psi \\ & & B \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let Φ denote the set of all eventually monotone increasing functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. For $f, g \in \Phi$ set $f \leq^* g$ if and only if there exist $c, m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(n) \leq cg(mn) \text{ for all } n > N$$

and set

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f \leq^* g \text{ and } g \leq^* f.$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we often write $f(n) \sim g(n)$ to mean that $f \sim g$. One can check that \sim is an equivalent relation on Φ . For $f \in \Phi$ the equivalence class $\mathcal{G}(f) \in \Phi / \sim$ is called the *growth of function f* . At the risk of abusing the notation, we also write the growth of f as $\mathcal{G}(f(n))$. The partial order on Φ / \sim induced by \leq^* is denoted by \leq .

If an algebra A is generated (as an algebra) by a subspace V , then V is called a *generating subspace* of A . If V and W are two finite dimensional generating subspaces of A , then $\mathcal{G}(d_V) = \mathcal{G}(d_W)$ ([31, Lemma 1.1]), where $d_V(n) = \dim \left(\sum_{i=0}^n V^i \right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can define the notion of growth of algebras, which is independent from the choice of generating subspaces.

Definition 2.6. *Let A be a finitely generated algebra.*

(i) *Suppose A is generated by a finite dimensional subspace V . Then*

$$d_V(n) := \dim \left(\sum_{i=0}^n V^i \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is called the growth function of A with respect to V and $\mathcal{G}(A) := \mathcal{G}(d_V)$ is called the growth of A .

(ii) *A finitely generated algebra A is said to have*

- polynomial growth if $\mathcal{G}(A) = \mathcal{G}(n^d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$;
- exponential growth if $\mathcal{G}(A) = \mathcal{G}(e^n)$;
- intermediate growth if $\mathcal{G}(A) < \mathcal{G}(e^n)$ yet $\mathcal{G}(A) \not\leq \mathcal{G}(n^m)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- alternative growth if A has either polynomial growth or exponential growth.

Functions within a wide range can be realized as growth functions of finitely generated algebras. Particularly, exponential growth is the largest one that an algebra can have. Recently, Bell and Zelmanov [14] give a complete characterization of the functions that can occur as the growth functions of algebras.

Gelfand-Kirillov dimension is a numerical characteristic of growth, which works for not only finitely generated algebras but also infinitely generated algebras.

Definition 2.7. *Let A be an algebra. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension (GK-dimension, for short) of A is defined as*

$$\text{GKdim}(A) := \sup_V \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_n d_V(n)$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces V of A .

A finite dimensional subspace $V \subseteq A$ is called a *subframe* of A if $1 \in V$. If A is generated by a subframe V (and thus A is finitely generated), then

$$\text{GKdim}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_n \dim(V^n).$$

The following well known fact is useful in the computation with growth functions and GK-dimension.

Lemma 2.8. *If $f(n) \in \Phi$ is a polynomial of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then $g(n) := f(0) + f(1) + \cdots + f(n)$ is a polynomial of degree $d + 1$.*

The following lemma provides useful properties of GWAs, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.9 (Lemma 2.7 of [9]). *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA and let $\tau \in \text{Aut}(D)$. Then*

- (i) $A \cong D(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau, \tau^{-1}(a))$.
- (ii) $A \cong D(\sigma^{-1}, \sigma(a))$.
- (iii) *If λ is a central unit in D , then $A \cong D(\sigma; a\lambda)$. In particular, if a is a unit then $A \cong D(x^{\pm 1}; \sigma, 1) = D[x^{\pm 1}; \sigma]$, the twisted Laurent polynomial algebra over D .*

Proof. The isomorphisms are given respectively by (i) $x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y$ and $d \mapsto \tau^{-1}(d)$ for all $d \in D$; (ii) $x \mapsto y, y \mapsto x$ and $d \mapsto d$ for all $d \in D$; (iii) $x \mapsto x\lambda^{-1}, y \mapsto y$ and $d \mapsto d$ for all $d \in D$. \square

Definition 2.10. Suppose D is an algebra and $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}(D)$.

- (i) τ is *conjugate* to σ if $\tau = \eta^{-1}\sigma\eta$ for some $\eta \in \text{Aut}(D)$.
- (ii) A subspace V of D is said to be σ -*stable* if $\sigma(V) = V$.
- (iii) σ has a *finite order* if $\sigma^m = \text{id}$ for some $m \geq 1$.
- (iv) σ is *inner* if there exists a unit $u \in D$ such that $\sigma(d) = udu^{-1}$ for all $d \in D$.
- (v) σ is *locally algebraic* if every finite dimensional subspace of D is contained in a finite dimensional σ -stable subspace of D .

Lemma 2.11. *An automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$ is locally algebraic if and only if for every $a \in D$ the set $\{\sigma^i(a) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of D .*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose σ is locally algebraic. Then, for every $a \in D$, the subspace $k\{a\}$ is finite dimensional and thus contained in a finite dimensional σ -stable subspace U . As a result $\{\sigma^i(a) : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq U$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $V = k\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of D . By the assumption, each space $k\{a_i\}$ is contained in a finite dimensional σ -stable subspace $U_i \subseteq D, 1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $V \subseteq U := U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_m$. Clearly U is finite dimensional and σ -stable. \square

Locally algebraicity has a close relation with the GK-dimension of algebras extended by automorphisms.

Lemma 2.12 ([55], Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4). *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Then*

- (i) $\text{GKdim}(A) \geq \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.
- (ii) $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$ if moreover σ is locally algebraic.

Lemma 2.13 ([53], Theorem 1.1). *Let D be a commutative domain over an algebraically closed field k such that the fraction field of D is finitely generated as a field, and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{GKdim}(D[x, \sigma]) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.
- (ii) σ is locally algebraic.

If moreover D is a field, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the following statement:

- (iii) σ has a finite order.

We conclude this section by two lemmas of GWAs due to Bavula.

Lemma 2.14 ([6]). *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Suppose $a \neq 0$. If D is Noetherian (respectively, without zero divisors), then A is Noetherian (respectively, without zero divisors).*

Lemma 2.15 ([7], Theorem 4.2). *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Then A is simple if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) D has no proper ideals that are σ -stable;
- (ii) σ^m is not inner for all $m \geq 1$;
- (iii) $Da + D\sigma^i(a) = D$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iv) a is regular in D , i.e., $ad \neq 0$ and $da \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq d \in D$.

3. SENSITIVE MULTIPLICITY CONDITION

Let $P_n = k[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$ and Q_n be the fraction field of P_n . In this section, we first prove that all algebras D such that $P_n \subseteq D \subseteq Q_n$ satisfy the sensitive multiplicity condition (Definition 3.1) without assuming that k is algebraically closed and then we prove Theorem 1.1.

The notion of sensitive multiplicity condition was introduced by Zhang [53], and it plays an important role in GK-dimension of skew polynomial extensions. Recall that $a \in A$ is called a *regular element* in algebra A if $ab \neq 0$ and $ba \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq b \in A$.

Definition 3.1. *Let A be an algebra of $\text{GKdim}(A) = d$. We say A satisfies the sensitive multiplicity condition (SMC for short) $\text{SM}(V_0, c, d)$ if there exist a finite dimensional subspace V_0 of A and a constant $c > 0$ such that if W is a finite dimensional subspace of A containing V_0 for some regular element $a \in A$, then $\dim(W^m) \geq c \dim(W)m^d$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Lemma 3.2 ([53], Lemma 3.1(2)). *Let A be a commutative domain and $Q(A)$ be the fraction field of A . Then $Q(A)$ satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0, c, d)$ if and only if A satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0a, c, d)$ for some $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $V_0a \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Suppose $Q(A)$ satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0, c, d)$. Since V_0 is finite dimensional, we can write $V_0 = Va^{-1}$ for some finite dimensional subspace $V \subseteq A$ and $0 \neq a \in A$. Suppose W is a finite dimensional subspace of A such that $W \supseteq Vb$ for some regular element $b \in A$. Then $W \supseteq V_0(ab)$ and $ab \in Q(A)$ is regular. By SMC for $Q(A)$,

$$\dim(W^m) \geq c \dim(W)m^d, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus A satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0a, c, d)$ for $a \in A$ regular and $V_0a = V \subseteq A$.

Suppose A satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0a, c, d)$ for some $V_0 \subseteq Q(A)$ and $0 \neq a \in A$ such that $V_0a \subseteq A$. Let W be a finite dimensional subspace of $Q(A)$ and $V_0q \subseteq W$ for some $0 \neq q \in Q(A)$. We can write

$W = W'b^{-1}$ for some finite dimensional subspace $W' \subseteq A$ and $0 \neq b \in A$ such that $a^{-1}qb \in A$. Then $(V_0a)(a^{-1}qb) = V_0qb \subseteq Wb = W'$ and thus, by SMC for A ,

$$\dim(W^m) = \dim(W'^m) \geq c \dim(W')m^d = c \dim(W)m^d, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus $Q(A)$ satisfies $\text{SM}(V_0, c, d)$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *If D is a commutative algebra satisfying SMC and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D)$ is not locally algebraic, then $\text{GKdim}(D(\sigma, a)) \geq \text{GKdim}(D) + 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $D[x, \sigma]$ is a subalgebra of $D(\sigma, a)$. Thus, by [31, Lemma 3.1] and [53, Proposition 3.3 (1)], we have

$$\text{GKdim}(D(\sigma, a)) \geq \text{GKdim}(D[x, \sigma]) \geq \text{GKdim}(D) + 2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Following the proof of [53, Theorem 3.2], we have the following lemma without the assumption that k is algebraically closed.

Lemma 3.4. *For $n \geq 0$, the polynomial algebra P_n satisfies $\text{SM}(V_n, c_n, n)$ where*

$$V_n = k + kz_1 + \cdots + kz_n, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}^{n(n+1)} n!}.$$

Proof. The proof of [53, Theorem 3.2] with small modification still works for our lemma. For the reader's convenience, we include the slightly modified proof in the Appendix. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose D is an algebra such that $P_n \subseteq D \subseteq Q_n$. Then D satisfies SMC.*

Proof. Let $V = k + kz_1 + \cdots + kz_n \subseteq D$. Suppose that W is a finite dimensional subspace of D such that $Va \subseteq W$ for some $0 \neq a \in D$. Note that $\text{GKdim}(D) = n$ since $\text{GKdim}(P_n) = \text{GKdim}(Q_n) = n$. By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.2, Q_n satisfies $\text{SM}(V_n, c_n, n)$, where V_n, c_n are as in Lemma 3.4. Hence by the definition of SMC

$$\dim(W^m) \geq c \dim(W)m^n \text{ for some } c > 0 \text{ and all } m \in \mathbb{N},$$

which means that D satisfies SMC. \square

Now we have the following result without the assumption that k is algebraically closed.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA, where $P_n \subseteq D \subseteq Q_n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.
- (ii) σ is locally algebraic.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5, D satisfies SMC. Then the statement follows from Lemmas 2.12 and 3.3. \square

Proposition 3.6 is a partial converse of Lemma 2.12 (ii). If k is algebraically closed, we have the following proposition, which is an analogue of Lemma 2.13.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $A = D(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA, where D is a commutative domain over an algebraically closed field k such that the fraction field of D is finitely generated as a field. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.
- (ii) σ is locally algebraic.

If moreover D is a field, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the following statement:

- (iii) σ has a finite order.

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Lemma 2.12. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Lemma 3.3.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). The proof of Lemma 2.13 (see [53, p.369]) still works for our statements. \square

Note that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Proposition 3.7 fails if D is not commutative, see Example 3.8.

Example 3.8. Let $D = k[z^{\pm 1}, s][d; \sigma]$ be an Ore extension over polynomial ring $k[z^{\pm 1}, s] := k[z^{\pm 1}][s]$, where the automorphism σ is defined by $\sigma(z) = z$, $\sigma(s) = zs$. Let $A = D(x, y; \tau, 1)$ be a GWA, where $\tau \in \text{Aut}(D)$ satisfies $\tau(z) = z$, $\tau(s) = s$, $\tau(d) = z^{-1}d$. Then τ is not locally algebraic but $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1 = 5$.

Proof. First note that D is the differential difference algebra defined in [54, Example 3.12] and $\text{GKdim}(D) = 4$ (see [54, p.494]). By Lemma 2.12, $\text{GKdim}(A) \geq \text{GKdim}(D) + 1 = 5$. It remains to show that $\text{GKdim}(A) \leq 5$. Rewrite A as an Ore extension over $C := k[x^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}, s]$, that is, $A = C[d; \delta]$, where $\delta \in \text{Aut}(C)$ is defined by $\delta(z) = z$, $\delta(x) = zx$, $\delta(s) = zs$. Let $V = k\{x^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}, s, 1\}$. Then $W = V + kd$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of A . By induction, we can prove that, for $m \geq 1$,

$$W^m = \sum_{i=0}^m W_i^{m-i} d^i, \quad W_i := V + \delta(V) + \cdots + \delta^i(V)$$

(see also [53, Eq. (3.3.1) and (3.3.2)]) and that, for $0 \leq i \leq m$,

$$W_i = k\{1, z^{\pm 1}, z^j x, z^{-j} x^{-1}, z^j s : 0 \leq j \leq i\}.$$

Thus

$$W_i^{m-i} \subseteq k\{z^{p_1} x^{p_2} s^{p_3} : -i(m-i) \leq p_1 \leq i(m-i), -(m-i) \leq p_2 \leq m-i, 0 \leq p_3 \leq m-i\}.$$

As a result,

$$\dim(W^m) = \sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_i^{m-i}) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m (2i(m-i) + 1)(2(m-i) + 1)(m-i + 1) \sim m^5,$$

where \sim , meaning the equivalence of two functions in Φ (see Section 2), follows from Lemma 2.8. Therefore, $\text{GKdim}(A) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \log_m \dim(W^m) \leq 5$ as desired. \square

Proposition 3.7 together with Lemma 2.15 give a criterion of the simplicity for certain GWAs.

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and $A = D(\sigma, a)$ is a GWA, where D is a finitely generated field and $a \neq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is simple.
- (ii) $\text{GKdim}(A) \neq \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.

Proof. Note that if D is a field and $a \neq 0$ then conditions (i)–(iii) in Lemma 2.15 are satisfied automatically. As a result, under the assumption, A is simple if and only if σ^m is not inner for all $m \geq 1$, if and only if $\sigma^m \neq \text{id}$ for all $m \geq 1$, if and only if $\text{GKdim}(A) \neq \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$ (by Proposition 3.7). \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from Propositions 3.6 and 3.7, and Corollary 3.9. \square

4. GK-DIMENSION OF GWAS OVER POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study GK-dimension of GWAs over $P_n := k[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]$, in particular over P_2 . We first prove a lemma, which will be used later.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A = D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ be a GWA, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and B be the subalgebra of A generated by $D \cup \{x^m, y^m\}$. Then $B = D(x^m, y^m; \sigma^m, b)$ is a GWA and $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(B)$, where*

$$b = b_m = \sigma^{-(m-1)}(a)\sigma^{-(m-2)}(a) \cdots \sigma^{-1}(a)a.$$

Proof. First note that $x^m d = \sigma^m(d)x^m$ and $y^m d = \sigma^{-m}(d)y^m$ for all $d \in D$. Let

$$b = \sigma^{-(m-1)}(a)\sigma^{-(m-2)}(a) \cdots \sigma^{-1}(a)a \in D.$$

By using the defining relations of A , it is straightforward to check that $b = y^m x^m$. We claim that b is central in D . For each $d \in D$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sigma^i(a)d = \sigma^i(a\sigma^{-i}(d)) = \sigma^i(\sigma^{-i}(d)a) = d\sigma^i(a),$$

which implies $bd = db$ and the claim holds.

Similarly, $\sigma^i(b)$ is central in D for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\sigma^m(b) = \sigma(a)\sigma^2(a) \cdots \sigma^m(a) = \sigma^m(a)\sigma^{m-1}(a) \cdots \sigma(a) = x^m y^m.$$

Therefore, $B = D(x^m, y^m; \sigma^m, b)$ is a GWA.

Since A is finitely generated as a right B -module, we obtain by [31, Proposition 5.5] that $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(B)$. \square

Now we can prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $A = D(\sigma, a)$ is a GWA.*

- (i) *If σ^m is an inner automorphism for some $m \geq 1$, then $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.*
- (ii) *If σ has a finite order then $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.*

Proof. (i) Let $A = D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ and B be the subalgebra of A generated by $D \cup \{x^m, y^m\}$. Suppose $u \in D$ is an invertible element such that $\sigma^m(d) = udu^{-1}$ for all $d \in D$. By Lemma 4.1, $B = D(x^m, y^m; \sigma^m, b)$ where b is a central element in D . We claim further that $B = D(u^{-1}x^m, uy^m; \text{id}, b)$. For each $d \in D$,

$$u^{-1}x^m d = u^{-1}\sigma^m(d)x^m = u^{-1}udu^{-1}x^m = du^{-1}x^m$$

and $uy^m d = d uy^m$ similarly. Note that $\sigma^{\pm m}(u) = u$ and $\sigma^{\pm m}(u^{-1}) = u^{-1}$. Thus

$$uy^m u^{-1}x^m = u\sigma^{-m}(u^{-1})y^m x^m = y^m x^m = b$$

and

$$u^{-1}x^m uy^m = x^m y^m = \sigma^m(b) = ubu^{-1} = b,$$

where the last equality holds since b is central in D . Thus, $B = D(u^{-1}x^m, uy^m; \text{id}, b)$.

Now it follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 2.12 that $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(B) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.

(ii) follows from (i). \square

Proposition 3.7 implies that the converse of Proposition 4.2 (ii) also holds under certain conditions, while it does not hold in general, see Example 5.8.

Corollary 4.3. *Let D be an algebra and $D[x, y]$ be the polynomial algebra in two variables over D . Suppose that I is the ideal of $D[x, y]$ generated by $xy - a$ for some $a \in Z(D)$. Then $\text{GKdim}(D[x, y]/I) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.5, there exists a homomorphism $\psi : D(x, y; \text{id}, a) \rightarrow D[x, y]/I$ such that $\psi(x) = x$, $\psi(y) = y$ and $\psi(d) = d$ for all $d \in D$. Note that the homomorphism

$$\psi' : D[x, y] \rightarrow D(x, y; \text{id}, a), x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y, d \mapsto d, \text{ for all } d \in D$$

maps I to 0 since $\psi'(xy - a) = xy - a = 0$. Hence ψ' induces a homomorphism $\psi'' : D[x, y]/I \rightarrow D(x, y; \text{id}, a)$. It is easy to check that $\psi''\psi = \text{id}_{D(\text{id}, a)}$ and $\psi\psi'' = \text{id}_{D[x, y]}$. Thus $D[x, y]/I \cong D(x, y; \text{id}, a)$. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that $\text{GKdim}(D[x, y]) = \text{GKdim}(D(x, y; \text{id}, a)) = \text{GKdim}(D) + 1$. \square

Now we consider GWAs $P_n(\sigma, a)$ over P_n . Denote by $\deg(f)$ the total degree of $f \in P_n$. If $n = 0$, then $\sigma = \text{id}_k$ and $\text{GKdim}(k(\sigma, a)) = 1$. If $n = 1$, then $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k[z])$ is determined by $\sigma(z) = bz + c$ for some $b \in k^*$ and $c \in k$. Hence every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k[z])$ is locally algebraic and thus $\text{GKdim}(k[z](\sigma, a)) = \text{GKdim}(k[z]) + 1 = 2$. For $n \geq 2$, we have the following

Lemma 4.4. *Let $D = P_n$, $n \geq 2$, and $A = D(x, y; \sigma, a)$ be a GWA over D . Suppose that there exist $z \in D$ and real number $r \geq 2$ such that $\deg(\sigma^{m+1}(z)) \geq r \deg(\sigma^m(z))$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then A has exponential growth. In particular, $\text{GKdim}(A) = \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose there exist $z \in D$ and $r \geq 2$ such that $\deg(\sigma^{m+1}(z)) \geq r \deg(\sigma^m(z))$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider elements of A of the form

$$z^{\epsilon_0} x z^{\epsilon_1} x \cdots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} x z^{\epsilon_p},$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and each ϵ_i is either 0 or 1. There are 2^{p+1} such elements (denoted by $a_1, \dots, a_{2^{p+1}}$) for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that $a_i \in V^{2^{p+1}}$ where $V = k + kz_1 + \cdots + kz_n + kx$.

Claim. $a_1, \dots, a_{2^{p+1}}$ are linearly independent for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of the claim. Suppose there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_1, \dots, a_{2^{q+1}}$ are linearly dependent. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\epsilon_0} x z^{\epsilon_1} x \cdots z^{\epsilon_{p-1}} x z^{\epsilon_p} &= z^{\epsilon_0} \sigma(z^{\epsilon_1}) \sigma^2(z^{\epsilon_2}) \cdots \sigma^q(z^{\epsilon_q}) x^q \\ &= z^{\epsilon_0} (\sigma(z))^{\epsilon_1} (\sigma^2(z))^{\epsilon_2} \cdots (\sigma^q(z))^{\epsilon_q} x^q \end{aligned}$$

and thus the degree with respect to x of each a_i is q . Write $a_i = t_i x^q$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{q+1}$, $t_i \in D$. Since $a_1, \dots, a_{2^{q+1}}$ are linearly dependent, so are $t_1, \dots, t_{2^{q+1}}$. Thus there exist t_i and t_j such that $\deg(t_i) = \deg(t_j)$. Namely, there are two sequences $(\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_q) \neq (\epsilon'_0, \dots, \epsilon'_q)$, each $\epsilon_i, \epsilon'_i \in \{0, 1\}$, such that

$$(1) \quad \epsilon_0 d_0 + \cdots + \epsilon_q d_q = \epsilon'_0 d_0 + \cdots + \epsilon'_q d_q$$

where each $d_i := \deg(\sigma^i(z))$. Let l be the maximal index such that $\epsilon_l \neq \epsilon'_l$. Clearly $l \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, assume $\epsilon_l = 1$ and $\epsilon'_l = 0$. Then Eq. (1) becomes

$$\epsilon_0 d_0 + \cdots + \epsilon_{l-1} d_{l-1} + d_l = \epsilon'_0 d_0 + \cdots + \epsilon'_{l-1} d_{l-1}.$$

By hypothesis, $d_{m+1} \geq r d_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $d_i \leq (1/r)^{l-i} d_l$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_l &= (\epsilon'_0 - \epsilon_0) d_0 + \cdots + (\epsilon'_{l-1} - \epsilon_{l-1}) d_{l-1} \\ &\leq d_0 + \cdots + d_{l-1} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{l-i} d_l = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^l}{r - 1} d_l < d_l, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence the claim holds.

It follows from the claim that $\dim(V^{2^{p+1}}) \geq 2^{p+1}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus A has exponential growth. \square

Convention 4.5. For an automorphism $\sigma : P_n \rightarrow P_n$ defined by $\sigma(z_i) = f_i \in P_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote $\sigma = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$.

Recall that an automorphism $\sigma = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \in \text{Aut}(P_n)$ is said to be *triangular* if $f_i = \lambda_i z_i + g_i$, where $\lambda_i \in k^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $g_i \in k[z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n]$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $g_n \in k$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(P_n)$ is conjugate to a triangular automorphism. Then σ is locally algebraic.*

Proof. Let $\delta, \tau \in \text{Aut}(P_n)$ and $\tau = \delta^{-1}\sigma\delta$ be triangular. Note that for every finite dimensional subspace $U \subseteq P_n$, the subset $\delta^{-1}(U) \subseteq P_n$ is also finite dimensional. Since τ is triangular and a triangular automorphism of P_n is locally algebraic ([33, Proposition 2]), we have that τ is locally algebraic. Hence, there exists a finite dimensional subspace $V_1 \subseteq P_n$ such that $\delta^{-1}(U) \subseteq V_1$ and $\tau(V_1) = V_1$. Thus $\delta(V_1)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of P_n and $U \subseteq \delta(V_1)$. Note that $\sigma(\delta(V_1)) = \delta\tau\delta^{-1}(\delta(V_1)) = \delta(V_1)$, i.e., $\delta(V_1)$ is σ -stable. Therefore σ is locally algebraic. \square

The converse of Lemma 4.6 is true in the case $n = 2$ (see Theorem 4.9). However it does not hold in general, see the following example.

Example 4.7. The Nagata automorphism ([42])

$$(x - 2y(xz + y^2) - z(xz + y^2)^2, y + z(xz + y^2), z) \in \text{Aut}(k[x, y, z])$$

is locally algebraic but not conjugate to a triangular automorphism (see [5, 20]).

Corollary 4.8. *Let $A = P_n(\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. If σ^m is conjugate to a triangular automorphism for some $m \geq 1$, then $\text{GKdim}(A) = n + 1$.*

Proof. Let $D = P_n$, and B and b be as in Lemma 4.1. Then $B = D(x^m, y^m; \sigma^m, b)$ and $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(B)$ by Lemma 4.1. By the assumption and Lemma 4.6, σ^m is locally algebraic and thus $\text{GKdim}(B) = n + 1$ by Lemma 2.12. Hence $\text{GKdim}(A) = n + 1$. \square

Now we consider the case $n = 2$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $A = k[z_1, z_2](\sigma, a)$ be a GWA. Then $\text{GKdim}(A) = 3$ if σ is conjugate to a triangular automorphism, and $\text{GKdim}(A) = \infty$ otherwise.*

Proof. Let $D = k[z_1, z_2]$. Suppose that σ is conjugate to a triangular automorphism. By Corollary 4.8, $\text{GKdim}(A) = 3$.

Suppose that σ is not conjugate to a triangular automorphism. Let $\pi = (z_2, z_1) \in \text{Aut}(D)$ (see Convention 4.5). By [32, Lemma 3], σ is conjugate to an automorphism σ' of the form

$$\sigma' = \tau_1 \pi \tau_2 \cdots \pi \tau_s \pi, \quad s \geq 1,$$

where, for each positive integer $i \leq s$,

$$\tau_i = (\alpha_i z_1 + \beta_i(z_2), u_i z_2 + v_i), \quad \alpha_i, u_i \in k^*, v_i \in k, \beta_i(z_2) \in k[z_2], d_i := \deg(\beta_i) > 1.$$

We claim that

$$\sigma'(z_2) = \lambda z_2^{d_1 \cdots d_s} + (\text{lower total degree terms}), \quad \lambda \in k^*.$$

We prove the claim by induction on s . If $s = 1$, then $\sigma'(z_2) = \tau_1(z_2) = \alpha_1 z_1 + \beta_1(z_2) = \lambda z_2^{d_1} + \alpha_1 z_1$. Thus the claim holds for $s = 1$. Suppose the claim holds for automorphisms with $s \geq 1$. For $\sigma' = \tau_1 \pi \tau_2 \cdots \pi \tau_{s+1} \pi$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'(z_2) &= \tau_1 \pi \left(\lambda z_2^{d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{s+1}} + T \right) \\ &= \tau_1 \left(\lambda z_1^{d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{s+1}} \right) + \tau_1 \pi(T) \\ &= \lambda (\alpha_1 z_1 + \beta_1(z_2))^{d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{s+1}} + \tau_1 \pi(T) \\ &= \lambda' z_2^{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{s+1}} + T' + \tau_1 \pi(T) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda, \lambda' \in k^*$, $\deg(T) < d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{s+1}$ and $\deg(T') < d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{s+1}$. Since $\deg(\tau_1 \pi(T)) = \deg(\tau_1(T)) < d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{s+1}$, we have that

$$\sigma'(z_2) = \lambda' z_2^{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{s+1}} + (\text{lower total degree terms})$$

as desired.

It follows from the claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\sigma'^{m+1}(z_2)) &= \deg((\tau_1 \pi \tau_2 \cdots \pi \tau_s \pi)^{m+1}(z_2)) \\ &= (d_1 \cdots d_s)^{m+1} \\ &\geq (d_1 \cdots d_s)^m = 2 \deg(\sigma'^m(z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 4.4, $D(\sigma', b)$ has exponential growth and $\text{GKdim}(D(\sigma', b)) = \infty$ for all $b \in k[z_1, z_2]$. Since σ is conjugate to σ' , we may suppose $\sigma = \delta^{-1} \sigma' \delta$ for some $\delta \in \text{Aut}(k[z_1, z_2])$. Then, by Lemma 2.9, we have $k[z_1, z_2](\sigma, a) \cong k[z_1, z_2](\sigma', \delta^{-1}(a))$. Hence $\text{GKdim}(D(\sigma, a)) = \text{GKdim}(D(\sigma', \delta^{-1}(a))) = \infty$. \square

Remark 4.10. Note that a key element in the proof of Theorem 4.9 is [32, Lemma 3], which is based on the famous theorem of Jung and van der Kulk [28, 49] that asserts that $\text{Aut}(P_2)$ is generated by triangular automorphisms and the permutation $\pi = (z_2, z_1)$, that is, all $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(P_2)$ can be written as a product of triangular automorphisms (and their inverses) and π . Shestakov and Umirbaev [45] proved that there exist automorphisms $\tau \in \text{Aut}(P_3)$ that are not tame. As a result, such τ cannot be presented as a product of triangular automorphisms (and their inverses) and affine automorphisms (i.e., automorphisms $\delta = (f_1, f_2, f_3) \in \text{Aut}(P_3)$ with $\deg(f_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$) of P_3 .

We can now put everything together to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (c) \Rightarrow (d) and (f) \Rightarrow (g) are trivial. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (e) follow from Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 3.6 respectively. (d) \Rightarrow (f) follows from the proof of Theorem 4.9. (g) \Rightarrow (a) follows from Corollary 4.8.

(e) \Rightarrow (c). Suppose σ is locally algebraic. Let V be a σ -stable generating subframe of P_2 such that $a \in V$ (and thus $\sigma(a) \in V$). Then $W := V + kx + ky$ is a generating subframe of A . Since

$$W^{2m} = (V + kx + ky)^{2m} \supseteq V^m + V^m x + \cdots + V^m x^m, m \geq 1,$$

we have that

$$(2) \quad \dim(W^{2m}) > (m+1) \dim(V^m), m \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, we claim that

$$(3) \quad W^m \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^i + \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^i + V^m, m \geq 1.$$

The claim is obvious when $m = 1$. Suppose that the inclusion (3) holds for some $m \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W^{m+1} &\subseteq W \left(\sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^i + \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^i + V^m \right) \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\ &= kx \sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^i + kx \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^i + kx V^m \\ &\quad + ky \sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^i + ky \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^i + ky V^m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + V \sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^i + V \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^i + VV^m \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m V^m x^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m V^m \sigma(a) y^{i-1} + V^m x \quad [\text{since } xV^m = V^m x] \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m V^m a x^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m V^m y^{i+1} + V^m y \quad [\text{since } yV^m = V^m y] \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m V^{m+1} x^i + \sum_{i=1}^m V^{m+1} y^i + V^{m+1} \\
& \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} V^{m+1} x^i + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} V^{m+1} y^i + V^{m+1} \quad [\text{since } a, \sigma(a) \in V].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the inclusion (3) holds for $m+1$ and thus for all $m \geq 1$.

By the \mathbb{Z} -graded structure of GWAs, the sum in Eq. (3) is direct and thus

$$(4) \quad \dim(W^m) \leq (2m+1) \dim(V^m), m \geq 1.$$

Combining Eq. (2) and (4) gives

$$(m+1) \dim(V^m) \leq \dim(W^{2m}) \leq (4m+1) \dim(V^{2m}), m \geq 1.$$

Since the growth of P_2 is independent from the choice of generating subspaces, $\dim(V^m) \sim \dim(kz_1 + kz_2)^m \sim m^2$. We obtain that $\dim(W^m) \sim m^3$ and thus A has polynomial growth. Figure 1 summarizes the relations we have proved among the items in Theorem 1.2, which

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(a) & \xleftrightarrow{4.9} & (b) & \xleftrightarrow{3.6} & (e) \implies (c) \\
\uparrow 4.8 & & & & \Downarrow \\
(g) & \longleftarrow & (f) & \xleftarrow{\text{Proof of 4.9}} & (d)
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Implication relations among the items in Theorem 1.2

completes the proof. \square

We conclude this section with an example, which shows that the dichotomy of GK-dimension appears in Theorem 4.9 (i.e., $\text{GKdim}(P_2(\sigma, a))$ is either 3 or ∞) cannot be extended to that of GWAs over a general base algebra of GK-dimension two. Note that it is proved by Rogalski [44] that if k is algebraically closed and K/k is a finitely generated field extension with $\text{GKdim}(K) = 2$, then every “big subalgebra” [44, Definition 6.1] of the GWA $K(x, y; \sigma, 1) = K[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]$ has the same GK-dimension $d \in \{3, 4, 5, \infty\}$.

Example 4.11. Let

$$H_m = H_m(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) := k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}](x, y; \sigma_m, a)$$

be a GWA, where

$$\sigma_m(z_1) = \alpha_1 z_1, \sigma_m(z_2) = \alpha_2 z_1^m z_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in k^*, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\text{GKdim}(H_m) = 4$ for all $m \neq 0$ and $\text{GKdim}(H_0) = 3$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$. In fact, since $V = k\{z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, x, y\}$ is a generating subspace of both $H_m(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ and $H_m(1, 1)$, we have that $\text{GKdim}(H_m(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)) = \text{GKdim}(H_m(1, 1))$. We may also suppose $m \geq 0$, since $H_m \cong H_{-m}$ via the isomorphism determined by $z_1 \mapsto z_1^{-1}, z_2 \mapsto z_2, x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y$.

It is clear that σ_0 is locally algebraic and thus $\text{GKdim}(H_0) = 3$. Now assume $m \geq 1$. Note that H_1 is the group algebra of the *first (discrete) Heisenberg group* (also known as the *generic quantum torus* [27, Example 2.5(ii)]). It is well-known that $\text{GKdim}(H_1) = 4$ (see e.g. [31, Example 11.10]). By Lemma 4.1, the subalgebra $B = k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}](x^m, y^m; \sigma_1^m, 1) \subseteq H_1$ is a GWA and $\text{GKdim}(B) = \text{GKdim}(H_1) = 4$. By the universal property of GWAs (Proposition 2.5), the mappings

$$\psi : H_m \rightarrow B, z_1 \mapsto z_1, z_2 \mapsto z_2, x \mapsto x^m, y \mapsto y^m$$

and

$$\psi' : B \rightarrow H_m, z_1 \mapsto z_1, z_2 \mapsto z_2, x^m \mapsto x, y^m \mapsto y$$

determine two algebra homomorphisms such that $\psi\psi' = \text{id}_B$ and $\psi'\psi = \text{id}_{H_m}$. Hence $H_m \cong B$ and thus $\text{GKdim}(H_m) = \text{GKdim}(B) = 4$. \square

5. GK-DIMENSION OF GWAS OVER LAURENT POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

In this section, we first characterize the automorphisms of Laurent polynomial algebra

$$L_n = k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$$

and then investigate the GK-dimension of GWAs over L_n . Finally we prove Theorem 1.3 and give an application on cancellation problem.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ (respectively $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$) the set of all $m \times n$ integer matrices (respectively the general linear group of degree n over \mathbb{Z}). For $M = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$, let $M[i, j] := a_{ij}$ be the (i, j) -th element of M , $M[i, -] := (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{in})$ be the i -th row of M , and $M[-, j] := (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj})^T$ be the j -th column of M , where T stands for the transpose operation.

It is well-known that the unit group of L_n is

$$U(L_n) = \{\alpha z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n} : \alpha \in k^*, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$ sends a unit to a unit, we have

$$(5) \quad \sigma(z_i) = \alpha_i z_1^{a_{1i}} z_2^{a_{2i}} \dots z_n^{a_{ni}}, \quad \alpha_i \in k^*, a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The matrix $M = M_\sigma = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ (respectively, the scalar $\alpha = \alpha_\sigma := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in (k^*)^n$) is uniquely determined by the automorphism σ and we write $\sigma = (M, \alpha)$. We introduce the following convention.

Convention 5.1. Denote $z := (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$. Given rows $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in L_n^n$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in L_n^n$, a column $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times 1}$, and a matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$, we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^b &:= \alpha_1^{b_1} \alpha_2^{b_2} \dots \alpha_n^{b_n} \in L_n, \\ \alpha^M &:= (\alpha^{M[-,1]}, \alpha^{M[-,2]}, \dots, \alpha^{M[-,n]}) \in L_n^n, \\ \alpha\beta &:= (\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n\beta_n) \in L_n^n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the element-wise multiplication

$$\alpha\beta = (\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n\beta_n), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in (k^*)^n$$

gives a group structure on $(k^*)^n$.

With the Convention 5.1, we can write the automorphism $\sigma = (M, \alpha)$ given in Eq. (5) as

$$(6) \quad \sigma(z_i) = \alpha_i z_1^{M[1,i]} z_2^{M[2,i]} \dots z_n^{M[n,i]} = \alpha_i z^{M[-,i]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

or

$$(7) \quad \sigma(z) := (\sigma(z_1), \sigma(z_2), \dots, \sigma(z_n)) = (\alpha_1 z^{M[-,1]}, \alpha_2 z^{M[-,2]}, \dots, \alpha_n z^{M[-,n]}) = \alpha z^M.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L_n^n$ and $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$. Then*

- (i) $(\alpha\beta)^M = \alpha^M \beta^M$.
- (ii) $(\alpha^M)^N = \alpha^{MN}$.
- (iii) $\alpha^M \alpha^N = \alpha^{M+N}$.

Proof. (i) and (iii) are obvious. (ii) can be checked directly. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose $\sigma = (M, \alpha), \tau = (N, \beta) \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$. Then*

- (i) $\tau\sigma = (N, \beta)(M, \alpha) = (NM, \beta^M \alpha)$.
- (ii) M is invertible and $\sigma^{-1} = (M^{-1}, \alpha^{-M^{-1}})$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $C = NM$. By Eq. (6), it is routine to check that, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $(\tau\sigma)(z_i) = \beta^{M[-,i]} \alpha_i z^{C[-,i]}$. Thus $\tau\sigma(z) = \beta^M \alpha z^{NM}$ and $\tau\sigma = (NM, \beta^M \alpha)$.

(ii) follows from (i) and Lemma 5.2. \square

The following theorem is well known, see for instance [17, Lemma 3.6(3)] or [15, Exercise 5.19 (b)].

Theorem 5.4. *Let $L_n = k[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$. Then $\text{Aut}(L_n) \cong \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \times (k^*)^n$ as groups, where the group operation of the semiproduct is given by Lemma 5.3 (i).*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.3 (ii) that the mapping

$$f : \text{Aut}(L_n) \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \times (k^*)^n, \quad \sigma \mapsto (M_\sigma, \alpha_\sigma)$$

is well-defined and bijective. It is routine to check that $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \times (k^*)^n$ has a semidirect structure with the product given by Lemma 5.3 (i). \square

Definition 5.5. *Suppose $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and*

$$\|M\| := \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |M[i, j]|.$$

- (i) M is called power-bounded (by N) if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\|M^m\| < N$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) M has a finite order if $M^m = I$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and the smallest such m is called the order of M , denoted by $\text{o}(M)$.

Note that if $\sigma = (\alpha, M) \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$ has a finite order, then so does M . But, as demonstrated in Example 5.8, the other way around is not true in general.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\sigma = (\alpha, M) \in \text{Aut}(L_n)$, $a \in L_n$ and $A = L_n(x, y; \sigma, a)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is power-bounded.
- (ii) M has a finite order.
- (iii) σ is locally algebraic.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $\|M^m\| < N$ for some $N \geq 1$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the entries of matrix M^m must be integers between $-N$ and N for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{M^m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus M is of finite order.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose M has a finite order. Then $\{M^i : i \geq 0\}$ is a finite set. Let N be the maximal number that appears as an entry of the matrices $\{M^i : i \geq 0\}$.

Claim. $\sigma^m(z) = \beta_m z^{M^m}$ for $m \geq 1$, where

$$\beta_m = \alpha^{I+M+M^2+\dots+M^{m-1}}, m \geq 1.$$

Proof of the claim. The claim holds for $m = 1$ by Eq. (7). Assume that the claim holds for some $m \geq 1$. Then, by Eq. (7) and Lemma 5.2,

$$\sigma^{m+1}(z) = \sigma(\beta_m z^{M^m}) = \beta_m \sigma(z)^{M^m} = \beta_m (\alpha z^M)^{M^m} = \beta_m \alpha^{M^m} z^{M^{m+1}} = \beta_{m+1} z^{M^{m+1}}.$$

Thus the claim holds for all $m \geq 1$.

By the claim, we have that

$$\sigma^m(z_i) \in V := k\{z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n} : -N \leq i_j \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq n\}, m \geq 1,$$

where V is a finite dimensional subspace with $\dim(V) \leq (2N+1)^n$. Suppose $T = z_1^{a_1} z_2^{a_2} \dots z_n^{a_n} \in L_n$, each $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sigma^m(T) = (\sigma(z_1))^{a_1} (\sigma(z_2))^{a_2} \dots (\sigma(z_n))^{a_n}$, which is contained in the finite dimensional subspace

$$V_T := k\{z_1^{i_1} z_2^{i_2} \dots z_n^{i_n} : -N' \leq i_j \leq N', 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

for all $m \geq 1$, where $N' = N(|a_1| + |a_2| + \dots + |a_n|)$. As a result, for each Laurent polynomial $p \in L_n$, say

$$p = c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2 + \dots + c_s T_s, c_i \in k^*, T_i \text{ Laurent monomial}, i = 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

we have that $\sigma^m(p)$ is contained in the finite dimensional space $V_{T_1} + V_{T_2} + \dots + V_{T_s}$ for all $m \geq 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.11, σ is locally algebraic.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that M is not power-bounded, i.e., for every $l > 1$, there exists $m = m(l) > 1$ such that $\|M^m\| > l$. Without loss of generality, assume $\|M^m\| = |M^m[1, 1]|$ for infinitely many $m \geq 1$. Let $V = kz_1 + kz_2 + \dots + kz_n + kz_1^{-1} + kz_2^{-1} + \dots + kz_n^{-1}$. It follows from the above claim that

$$\sigma^m(z_1) = cz_1^{M^m[1,1]} z_2^{M^m[2,1]} \dots z_n^{M^m[n,1]} \notin V^l.$$

Thus the subspace $k\{\sigma^m(z_1) : m \geq 1\}$ is not finite dimensional. Therefore σ is not locally algebraic. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It follows from Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 5.6. □

Remark 5.7. It follows from Lemma 5.6 that the elements of finite order in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ play an important role. Given $n \geq 1$, there are only finitely many integers that can be the orders of matrices in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Levitt [34] shows that the maximum order $G(n)$ of elements of finite order in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies a Landau-type estimate $\ln G(n) \sim \sqrt{n \ln n}$ and there is a method of dynamical programming to compute $G(n)$. Following [34], Table 1 gives the values of $G(n)$ for $n \leq 20$ where $G(n)$ is omitted for odd $n = 2p + 1$ since $G(2p + 1) = G(2p)$. By using [34,

TABLE 1. Maximum orders of matrices in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$

n	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
$G(n)$	2	6	12	30	60	120	210	420	840	1260	2520

Proposition 1.1], we can determine whether an integer is the order of an element of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that for a given order o there may be many matrices of order o (see Example 5.8).

Example 5.8. Suppose that $c \in k^*$ and $\sigma = \sigma_q \in \text{Aut}(L_2)$ is defined as

$$\sigma(z_1) = cz_1^{1-q} z_2^{2-q}, \sigma(z_2) = z_1^q z_2^{q-1}, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\sigma^m \neq \text{id}$ for all $m \geq 1$. But $M_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1-q & q \\ 2-q & q-1 \end{pmatrix}$ has a finite order $o(M) = 2$ for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $\text{GKdim}(L_2(\sigma, a)) = \text{GKdim}(L_2) + 1 = 3$ by Theorem 1.3.

We conclude this section by an application of Theorem 1.3 to cancellation problem.

Definition 5.9 (Definitions 0.1 and 0.8 of [48]). *Let A be an algebra.*

(i) *We say A is cancellative (respectively, strongly cancellative) if the isomorphism*

$$A[x] \cong B[x] \quad (\text{respectively } A[x_1, \dots, x_m] \cong B[x_1, \dots, x_m])$$

implies $A \cong B$ for all algebra B (respectively for all algebra B and all integer $m \geq 1$).

(ii) *We say A is σ -algebraically cancellative (respectively, strongly σ -algebraically cancellative) if the isomorphism between Ore extensions (respectively iterated Ore extensions)*

$$A[x; \sigma'] \cong B[x; \sigma''] \quad (\text{respectively } A[x_1; \sigma'_1] \cdots [x_m; \sigma'_m] \cong B[x_1; \sigma''_1] \cdots [x_m; \sigma''_m])$$

implies $A \cong B$ for all algebra B and locally algebraic automorphisms σ' and σ'' (respectively for all algebra B , locally algebraic automorphisms σ'_i and σ''_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, and all integer $m \geq 1$).

The following lemma follows directly from the definitions and its proof is omitted.

Lemma 5.10. *Let A be an algebra.*

(i) *If A is strongly cancellative (respectively strongly σ -algebraically cancellative), then A is cancellative (respectively σ -algebraically cancellative).*

(ii) *If A is σ -algebraically cancellative (respectively strongly σ -algebraically cancellative), then A is cancellative (respectively strongly cancellative).*

Theorem 1.3 together with results from [48] can be applied to get some cancellation properties of GWAs.

Corollary 5.11. *Let $A = L_n(\tau, a)$ be a GWA with $a \neq 0$ such that one of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 1.3 is satisfied. Then A is strongly σ -algebraically cancellative. As a consequence, A is strongly cancellative and cancellative.*

Proof. Since L_n is a finitely generated domain and $a \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.14 that A is a finitely generated domain. It is easy to check that the identity element 1 is a *controlling element* (see [48, Definition 4.2]) of A , i.e., $\mathbb{D}(1) = A$. By the assumption and Theorem 1.3, $\text{GKdim}(A) = n + 1 < \infty$ and thus A is strongly σ -algebraically cancellative by [48, Theorem 0.9]. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading, valuable suggestions and useful references. Part of this work was done during a visit to James J. Zhang at the University of Washington. The author would like to express deep gratitude to James J. Zhang for many stimulating conversations on the subject, and to the department of Mathematics at the University of Washington for the hospitality during his visit. The author wishes to thank Yu Li, Wenchao Zhang and Xiaotong Sun for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by Huizhou University (hzu202001, 2021JB022) and the Guangdong Provincial Department of Education (2020KTSCX145, 2021ZDJS080).

REFERENCES

- [1] Almulhem M, Brzeziński T. Skew derivations on generalized Weyl algebras. J Algebra, 2018, 493: 194–235. 1
- [2] Andruskiewitsch N, Angiono I, Heckenberger I. On nichols algebras of infinite rank with finite gelfand–kirillov dimension. Rend Lincei Mat Appl, 2020, 31: 81–101. 2
- [3] Bai Y X, Chen Y Q, Zhang Z R. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of bicommutative algebras. Linear Multilinear Algebra, to appear. 2

- [4] Bao Y H, Ye Y, Zhang J J. Truncation of unitary operads. *Adv Math*, 2020, 372, 107290. 2
- [5] Bass H. A non-triangular action of G_a on A^3 . *J. Pure Appl Algebra*, 1984, 33: 1–5. 12
- [6] Bavula V V. Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1992, 4: 75–97. Translation in *St Petersburg Math J*, 1993, 4: 71–92. 1, 4, 7
- [7] Bavula V V. Filter dimension of algebras and modules, a simplicity criterion of generalized Weyl algebras. *Comm Algebra*, (1996), 24: 1971–1992. 7
- [8] Bavula V V. Global dimension of generalized Weyl algebras. *Representation theory of algebras*. Providence: Amer Math Soc, 1996, 18: 81–107. 1
- [9] Bavula V V, Jordan D. Isomorphism problems and groups of automorphisms for generalized Weyl algebras. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 2001, 353: 769–794. 1, 6
- [10] Bavula V V, Lenagan T H. Krull dimension of generalized Weyl algebras with noncommutative coefficients. *J. Algebra*, 2001, 235: 315–358. 1
- [11] Bavula V V, Lu T. The quantum Euclidean algebra and its prime spectrum. *Israel J Math*, 2017, 219: 929–958. 1
- [12] Bavula V V, van Oystaeyen F. Krull dimension of generalized Weyl algebras and iterated skew polynomial rings: Commutative coefficients. *J. Algebra*, 1998, 208: 1–34. 1
- [13] Bell J P, Zhang J J. Zariski cancellation problem for noncommutative algebras. *Selecta Math (N.S.)*, 2017, 23: 1709–1737. 2
- [14] Bell J P, Zelmanov E. On the growth of algebras, semigroups, and hereditary languages. *Invent Math*, 2021, 224: 683–697. 3, 6
- [15] Bruns W, Gubeladze J. *Polytopes, rings, and K-theory*. New York: Springer, 2009. 16
- [16] Brzeziński T. Noncommutative differential geometry of generalized Weyl algebras. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom Methods Appl*, 2016, 12: 1–18. 1
- [17] Ceken S, Palmieri J H, Wang Y H, Zhang J J. The discriminant controls automorphism groups of noncommutative algebras. *Adv Math*, 2015, 269: 551–584. 16
- [18] Chan K, Gaddis J, Won R, Zhang J J. Reflexive hull discriminants and applications. *Selecta Math (N.S.)*, 2022, 28: 1–35. 2
- [19] Ferraro L, Gaddis J, Won R. Simple \mathbb{Z} -graded domains of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two. *J Algebra*, 2020, 562: 433–465. 1
- [20] Furter J-P. Quasi-locally finite polynomial endomorphisms. *Math Z*, 2009, 263: 473–479. 12
- [21] Gaddis J, Won R. Fixed rings of generalized Weyl algebras. *J Algebra*, 2019, 536: 149–169. 1
- [22] Gelfand I M, Kirillov A A. Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie. *Inst Hautes Études Sci Publ Math*, 1966: 5–19. 1
- [23] Goodearl K R, Zhang J J. Non-affine Hopf algebra domains of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two. *Glasgow Math J*, 2017, 59: 563–593. 2
- [24] Grigorchuk R, Pak I. Groups of intermediate growth : an introduction. *L'Enseignement Mathématique, Revue Internationale, IIe Série*, 2008, 54: 251–272. 3
- [25] Gutiérrez J, Valqui C. Bivariant k-theory of generalized Weyl algebras. *J Noncommut Geom*, 2020, 14: 639–666. 1
- [26] Huh C, Kim C O. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of skew polynomial rings of automorphism type. *Comm Algebra*, 1996, 24: 2317–2323. 2
- [27] Jordan D A, Sasom N. Reversible skew Laurent polynomial rings and deformations of Poisson automorphisms. *J Algebra Appl*, 2009, 8: 733–757. 2, 15
- [28] Jung H W E. Über ganze birationale transformationen der Ebene. *J Reine Angew Math*, 1942, 184: 161–174. 2, 3, 13
- [29] Khoroshkin A, Piontkovski D. On generating series of finitely presented operads. *J Algebra*, 2015, 426: 377–429. 2
- [30] Klyuev D. Twisted traces and positive forms on generalized q -Weyl algebras. *SIGMA*, 2022, 18. 1
- [31] Krause G, Lenagan T. *Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*. Providence: Amer Math Soc, 2000. 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 15
- [32] Lane D R. Fixed points of affine Cremona transformations of the plane over an algebraically closed field. *Amer J Math*, 1975, 97: 707–732. 12, 13
- [33] Leroy A, Matczuk J, Okninski J. On the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of normal localizations and twisted polynomial rings. *Perspectives in Ring Theory (Oystaeyen F V, Bruyn L L eds)*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988: 205–214. 12
- [34] Levitt G, Nicolas J-L. On the maximum order of torsion elements in $GL(n, \mathbf{Z})$ and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. *J Algebra*, 1998, 208: 630–642. 3, 17
- [35] Lezama O, Wang Y H, Zhang J J. Zariski cancellation problem for non-domain noncommutative algebras. *Math Z*, 2018, 292: 1269–1290. 2

- [36] Liu L Y. On homological smoothness of generalized Weyl algebras over polynomial algebras in two variables. *J Algebra*, 2018, 498: 228–253. 1
- [37] Liu L Y, Ma W. Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structures on Hochschild cohomology of generalized Weyl algebras. *Front Math China*, 2021: 1–27. 1
- [38] Lorenz M. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of skew polynomial rings. *J. Algebra*, 1982, 77: 186–188. 2
- [39] Matczuk J. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt extensions. *Perspectives in Ring Theory* (Oystaeyen F V, Bruyn L L eds), Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988: 221–226. 2
- [40] McConnell J C, Robson J C, Small L W. *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*. Providence: Amer Math Soc, 2001. 4, 5
- [41] Milnor J. A note on curvature and fundamental group. *J Differential Geom*, 1968, 2: 1–7. 1
- [42] Nagata M. *On automorphism group of $k[x, y]$* . Tokyo: Kinokuniya, 1972. 12
- [43] Qi Z H, Xu Y J, Zhang J J, Zhao X G. Growth of nonsymmetric operads, *Indiana Univ Math J* (to appear), arXiv:2010.12172. 2
- [44] Rogalski, D. GK-dimension of birationally commutative surfaces. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 2009, 361: 5921–5945. 14
- [45] Shestakov I, Umirbaev U. Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials. *J Amer Math Soc*, 2003, 17: 181–196. 3, 13
- [46] Smith M K. Universal enveloping algebras with subexponential but not polynomially bounded growth. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1976, 60: 22–22. 3
- [47] Suárez-Alvarez M, Vivas Q. Automorphisms and isomorphisms of quantum generalized Weyl algebras. *J Algebra*, 2015, 424: 540–552. 1
- [48] Tang X, Zhang J J, Zhao X G. Cancellation of Morita and skew types. *Israel J Math*, 2021, 244: 467–500. 2, 18
- [49] van der Kulk W. On polynomial rings in two variables. *Nieuw Arch Wisk*, 1953, 1: 33–41. 2, 3, 13
- [50] Wang D G, Zhang J J, Zhuang G. Connected Hopf algebras of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension four. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 2015, 367: 5597–5632. 2
- [51] Won R. The noncommutative schemes of generalized Weyl algebras. *J Algebra*, 2018, 506: 322–349. 1
- [52] Wu Q S. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension under base field extension. *Israel J Math*, 1991, 73: 289–296. 1
- [53] Zhang J J. A note on GK dimension of skew polynomial extensions. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 1997, 125: 363–374. 2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 20
- [54] Zhang Y, Zhao X G. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of differential difference algebras. *LMS J Comput Math*, 2014, 17: 485–495. 2, 9
- [55] Zhao X G, Mo Q H, Zhang Y. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of generalized Weyl algebras. *Comm Algebra*, 2018, 46: 4403–4413. 1, 2, 4, 7

APPENDIX: PROOF OF LEMMA 3.4

Proof. For the reader’s convenience, we reproduce a proof by copying that of [53, Theorem 3.2] with small modification. We use induction on n . When $n = 0$, $P_0 = k$, it is easy to check that k satisfies $\text{SM}(k, 1, 0)$. Suppose P_n satisfies $\text{SM}(V_n, c_n, n)$. We need to prove that P_{n+1} satisfies $\text{SM}(V, c, n + 1)$ for $V = V_{n+1}$ and $c = c_{n+1} > 0$. Denote $z = z_{n+1}$. Suppose $W \subseteq P_{n+1}$ is finite dimensional and $Va \subseteq W$ for some $0 \neq a \in P_{n+1}$. It suffices to show that

$$(8) \quad \dim(W^m) \geq c \dim(W)m^{n+1}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Case 1. Suppose W has the form

$$W = W_1 z^{p_1} + W_2 z^{p_2} + \cdots + W_l z^{p_l}, \quad l \geq 2, p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_l$$

such that $V_n a_i \subseteq W_i \subseteq P_n$ for $0 \neq a_i \in P_n$. In this case, we prove by induction on l that W satisfies the following inequality and thus satisfies Eq. (8):

$$(9) \quad \dim(W^m) \geq c' \dim(W)m^{n+1}, \quad c' = \frac{c_n}{2(n+1)}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $l = 2$, i.e., $W = W_1 z^{p_1} + W_2 z^{p_2}$ with $p_1 < p_2$, then $\dim(W) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$ and

$$\dim(W^m) \geq \dim \left(\sum_{i=0}^m W_1^i W_2^{m-i} z^{ip_1 + (m-i)p_2} \right) = \dim \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^m W_1^i W_2^{m-i} z^{ip_1 + (m-i)p_2} \right)$$

where the “=” holds since $ip_1 + (m-i)p_2$ is a strictly decreasing function in variable i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W^m) &\geq \sum_{i=0}^m \dim \left(W_1^i W_2^{m-i} z^{ip_1 + (m-i)p_2} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_1^i W_2^{m-i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_1^i W_2^{m-i}) + \sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_1^i W_2^{m-i}) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_1^i) + \sum_{i=0}^m \dim(W_2^{m-i}) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^m c_n \dim(W_1) i^n + \sum_{i=0}^m c_n \dim(W_2) (m-i)^n \right) \quad (\text{use SMC}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} c_n (\dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m i^n \right) \\ &\geq c' \dim(W) m^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the inequality $\sum_{i=0}^m i^n > \frac{1}{n+1} m^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$.

Now suppose that $W = W_1 z^{p_1} + W_2 z^{p_2} + \dots + W_{l+1} z^{p_{l+1}}$ for some $l \geq 2$, and that

$$(10) \quad \dim(U_l^m) \geq c' \dim(U_l) m^{n+1}, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $U_l = W_1 z^{p_1} + W_2 z^{p_2} + \dots + W_l z^{p_l}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W^m) &\geq \dim \left(\sum_{i=0}^m U_l^{m-i} (W_{l+1} z^{p_{l+1}})^i \right) \\ (11) \quad &\geq \dim \left(U_l^m + \sum_{i=1}^m (W_l z^{p_l})^{m-i} (W_{l+1} z^{p_{l+1}})^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that P_{n+1} has a natural \mathbb{N} -filtration

$$(12) \quad F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_{m-1} \subseteq F_m \subseteq F_{m+1} \subseteq \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $F_m = \bigcup_{i \leq m} P_n z^i$. We have that $U_l^m \subseteq F_{mp_l}$, $\sum_{i=1}^m (W_l z^{p_l})^{m-i} (W_{l+1} z^{p_{l+1}})^i \subseteq F_{mp_{l+1}} - F_{(m-1)p_l + p_{l+1} - 1}$, and $mp_l \leq (m-1)p_l + p_{l+1} - 1$. Thus the “+” of subspaces in Eq. (11) is direct. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W^m) &\geq \dim(U_l^m) + \dim \left(\sum_{i=1}^m W_l^{m-i} W_{l+1}^i z^{(m-i)p_l + ip_{l+1}} \right) \\ &\geq c' \dim(U_l) m^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \dim(W_{l+1}^i) \quad (\text{use hypothesis Eq. (10)}) \\ &\geq c' \dim(U_l) m^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_n \dim(W_{l+1}) i^n \quad (\text{use SMC for } P_n) \\ &\geq c' \dim(U_l) m^{n+1} + \frac{c_n}{n+1} \dim(W_{l+1}) m^{n+1} \\ &\geq c' \dim(U_l) m^{n+1} + c' \dim(W_{l+1}) m^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= c' \dim(W)m^{n+1}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus Eq. (9) holds as desired.

Case 2. Return to the general form of W . By Lemma 2.3 (using the natural filtration described as in Eq. (12)), $\text{gr}(W) \supseteq \text{gr}(V) \text{gr}(a) = (V_n + kz) \text{gr}(a) \supseteq V_n \alpha z^p + k \alpha z^{p+1}$ where we assume $\text{gr}(a) = \alpha z^p$ for some $\alpha \in P_n$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $W' = \text{gr}(W) V_n \alpha z^p$. Then W' has the form in Case 1 and $W' \subseteq (\text{gr}(W))^2$. By Case 1 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\dim(W'^m) \geq c' \dim(W')m^{n+1} \geq c' \dim(\text{gr}(W))m^{n+1} = c' \dim(W)m^{n+1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(W^m) &\geq \dim((\text{gr}(W))^m) \quad (\text{use Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\geq \dim\left((\text{gr}(W))^{2 \cdot \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}\right) \geq \dim\left((W')^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}\right) \\ &\geq c' \dim(W) \left[\frac{m}{2}\right]^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ stands for the greatest integer that is not greater than $\frac{m}{2}$. It is easy to check that $\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]^{n+1} \geq \left(\frac{m}{5}\right)^{n+1}$ for $m \geq 2$. Thus

$$\dim(W^m) \geq c' \dim(W) \left(\frac{m}{5}\right)^{n+1} = \frac{c'}{5^{n+1}} \dim(W)m^{n+1} = c_{n+1} \dim(W)m^{n+1}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

It is easy to check that $\dim(W^m) \geq c_{n+1} \dim(W)m^{n+1}$ for $m = 0, 1$. That is, Eq. (8) holds for all cases. Thus we have finished the proof. \square