

w -FP-PROJECTIVE MODULES AND DIMENSION

REFAT ABDELMAWLA KHALED ASSAAD, EL MEHDI BOUBA,
AND MOHAMMED TAMEKKANTE

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring. An R -module M is said to be an absolutely w -pure module if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M)$ is a GV -torsion module for any finitely presented module F . In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of w -FP-projective module which is in some way a generalization of the notion of FP-projective module. An R -module M is said to be w -FP-projective if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure module N . This new class of modules will be used to characterize (Noetherian) DW rings. Hence, we introduce the w -FP-projective dimensions of modules and rings. The relations between the introduced dimensions and other (classical) homological dimensions are discussed. Illustrative examples are given.

1. Introduction

Throughout, all rings considered are commutative with unity and all modules are unital. Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. As usual, we use $\text{pd}_R(M)$, $\text{id}_R(M)$, and $\text{fd}_R(M)$ to denote, respectively, the classical projective dimension, injective dimension, and flat dimension of M , and $\text{wdim}(R)$ and $\text{gldim}(R)$ to denote, respectively, the weak and global homological dimensions of R .

Now, we review some definitions and notation. Let J be an ideal of R . Following [8], J is called a *Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal* (a GV -ideal for short) if J is finitely generated and the natural homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow J^* = \text{Hom}_R(J, R)$ is an isomorphism. Let M be an R -module and define

$$\text{tor}_{GV}(M) = \{x \in M \mid Jx = 0 \text{ for some } J \in GV(R)\},$$

where $GV(R)$ is the set of GV -ideals of R . It is clear that $\text{tor}_{GV}(M)$ is a submodule of M . Now M is said to be GV -torsion (resp., GV -torsion-free) if $\text{tor}_{GV}(M) = M$ (resp., $\text{tor}_{GV}(M) = 0$). A GV -torsion-free module M is called a w -module if $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, M) = 0$ for any $J \in GV(R)$. Projective modules and reflexive modules are w -modules. In the recent paper [16], it was shown that flat modules are w -modules. The notion of w -modules was introduced firstly over a domain [15] in the study of Strong Mori domains and was extended to commutative rings with zero divisors in [8]. Let $w\text{-Max}(R)$ denote the set of maximal w -ideals of R , i.e., w -ideals of R maximal among proper integral w -ideals of R . Following [8, Proposition 3.8], every maximal w -ideal is prime. For any GV -torsion-free module M ,

$$M_w := \{x \in E(M) \mid Jx \subseteq M \text{ for some } J \in GV(R)\}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 13D07, 13H05.

Key words and phrases. absolutely pure, absolutely w -pure, w -flat, w -injective, DW rings and domains, $PvMD$ s, Krull domainsm.

is a w -submodule of $E(M)$ containing M and is called the w -envelope of M , where $E(M)$ denotes the injective hull of M . It is clear that a GV -torsion-free module M is a w -module if and only if $M_w = M$. Let M and N be R -modules and let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism. Following [17], f is called a w -monomorphism (resp., w -epimorphism, w -isomorphism) if $f_{\mathfrak{p}} : M \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a monomorphism (resp., an epimorphism, an isomorphism) for all $\mathfrak{p} \in w\text{-Max}(R)$. A sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules is said to be w -exact if $0 \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for any $\mathfrak{p} \in w\text{-Max}(R)$. An R -module M is called a w -flat module if the induced map $1 \otimes f : M \otimes A \rightarrow M \otimes B$ is a w -monomorphism for any w -monomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$. Certainly flat modules are w -flat, but the converse implication is not true in general.

Recall from [11] that an R -module A is called absolutely pure if A is a pure submodule in every R -module which contains A as a submodule. C. Megibben showed in [19], that an R -module A is absolutely pure if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A) = 0$ for every finitely presented R -module N . Hence, an absolutely pure module is precisely an FP -injective module in [20]. For more details about absolutely pure (or FP -injective) modules, see [11, 19, 20, 18, 3]. In a very recent paper [4], the authors introduced the notion of absolutely w -pure modules as generalization of absolutely pure (FP -injective) modules in the sense of the w -operation theory. As in [5], a w -exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is said to be w -pure exact if, for any R -module M , the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow A \otimes M \rightarrow B \otimes M \rightarrow C \otimes M \rightarrow 0$ is w -exact. In particular, if A is a submodule of B and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$ is a w -pure exact sequence of R -modules, then A is said to be a w -pure submodule of B . If A is a w -pure submodule in every R -module which contains A as a submodule, then A is said to be an absolutely w -pure module. Following [4, Theorem 2.6], an R -module A is absolutely w -pure if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A)$ is a GV -torsion R -module for every finitely presented R -module N . In [1], Ding and Mao introduced and studied the notion of FP -projective dimension of modules and rings; the FP -projective dimension of an R -module M , denoted by $\text{fpd}_R(M)$, is the smallest positive integer n for which $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) = 0$ for all absolutely pure (FP -injective) R -modules A , and FP -projective dimension of R , denoted by $\text{fpD}(R)$, is defined as the supremum of the FP -projective dimensions of finitely generated R -modules. These dimensions measures how far away a finitely generated module is from being finitely presented, and how far away a ring is from being Noetherian.

In Section 2, we introduce the concept of w - FP -projective modules. Hence, we prove that a ring R is DW ([13]) if and only if every FP -projective R -module is w - FP -projective if and only if every finitely presented R -module is w - FP -projective, and R is a coherent DW ring if and only if every finitely generated ideal is w - FP -projective.

Section 3 deals with the w - FP projective dimension of modules and rings. After a routine study of these dimensions, we prove that R is a Noetherian DW ring if and only if every R -module is w - FP -projective and R is FP -hereditary DW -ring if and only if every submodule of projective R -module is w - FP -projective.

2. w - FP -projective modules

We start with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An R -module M is said to be w -FP-projective if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure R -module A .

Since every absolutely pure module is absolutely w -pure ([4, Corollary 2.7]), we have the following inclusions:

$$\{\text{Projective modules}\} \subseteq \{w\text{-FP-projective modules}\} \subseteq \{\text{FP-projective modules}\}$$

Recall that a ring R is called a DW -ring if every ideal of R is a w -ideal, or equivalently every maximal ideal of R is w -ideal [13]. Examples of DW -rings are Prüfer domains, domains with Krull dimension one, and rings with Krull dimension zero. Hence, it is clear that if R is a DW -ring, then w -FP-projective R -modules are just the FP-projective R -modules. Moreover, using [4, Corollary 2.9], it is easy to see that over a von Neumann regular ring, the three classes of modules above coincide.

Remark 2.2. It is proved in [14] that a finitely generated R -module M is finitely presented if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely pure (FP-injective) R -module A . Thus, every finitely generated w -FP-projective R -module is finitely presented.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Every GV -torsion R -module is absolutely w -pure.*

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary R -module and N be a finitely presented R -module. For any maximal w -ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the natural homomorphism

$$\theta : \text{Hom}_R(N, A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\theta_1 : \text{Ext}_R^1(N, A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

Following [6, Proposition 1.10], θ_1 is a monomorphism. Suppose that A is a GV -torsion R -module. Then, we get $(\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ since $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ (by [6, Lemma 0.1]). Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A)$ is GV -torsion (by [6, Lemma 0.1]). Consequently, A is an absolutely w -pure R -module (by [4, Theorem 2.6]). \square

The first main result of this paper characterizes DW -rings in terms of w -FP-projective R -modules.

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every finitely presented R -module is w -FP-projective.*
- (2) *Every FP-projective R -module is w -FP-projective.*
- (3) *R is a DW -ring.*

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2). It is obvious and (2) \Rightarrow (1) follows from the fact that finitely presented R -modules are always FP-projective.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that R is not a DW -ring. Then, by [7, Theorem 6.3.12], there exist maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R which is not w -ideal, and so by [7, Theorem 6.2.9], $\mathfrak{m}_w = R$. Hence, by [7, Proposition 6.2.5], R/\mathfrak{m} is a GV -torsion R -module (since \mathfrak{m} is a GV -torsion-free R -module), and so R/\mathfrak{m} is an absolutely w -pure R -module (by Lemma 2.3). Hence, by hypothesis, for any I finitely generated ideal I of R , we get $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. Using [9, Lemma 3.1], we obtain that $\text{Tor}_R^1(R/I, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$, which means that R/\mathfrak{m} is flat. Accordingly, \mathfrak{m} is a w -ideal, and then $\mathfrak{m}_w = \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction with $\mathfrak{m}_w = R$. Consequently, R is a DW -ring. \square

Remark 2.5. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a regular local ring with $\text{gldim}(R) = n$ ($n \geq 2$). By [2, Example 2.6], R is not DW ring. Hence, there exists an FP-projective R -module M which is not w -FP-projective.

Next, we give some characterizations of w -FP-projective modules.

Proposition 2.6. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) M is w -FP-projective.
- (2) M is projective with respect to every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, where A is absolutely w -pure.
- (3) $P \otimes M$ is w -FP-projective for any projective R -module P .
- (4) $\text{Hom}(P, M)$ is w -FP-projective for any finitely generated projective R -module P .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). It is straightforward.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Let A be any absolutely w -pure R -module and P be a projective R -module. Following [7, Theorem 3.3.10], we have the isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(P \otimes M, A) \cong \text{Hom}(P, \text{Ext}_R^1(M, A)).$$

Since M is w -FP-projective, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^1(P \otimes M, A) = 0$, and so $P \otimes M$ is w -FP-projective.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). Let A be any absolutely w -pure R -module and P be a finitely generated projective R -module. Using [7, Theorem 3.3.12], we have the isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Hom}(P, M), A) \cong P \otimes \text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0.$$

Hence, $\text{Hom}(P, M)$ is a w -FP-projective R -module.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) \Rightarrow (1). Follow by letting $P = R$. □

Recall that a fractional ideal I of a domain R is said to be w -invertible if $(II^{-1})_w = R$. A domain R is said to be a Prüfer v -multiplication domain ($PvMD$) when any nonzero finitely generated ideal of R is w -invertible. Equivalently, R is a $PvMD$ if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation domain for any maximal w -ideal \mathfrak{p} of R ([22, Theorem 2.1]). The class of $PvMD$ s strictly contains the classes of Prüfer domains, Krull domains, and integrally closed coherent domains.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a $PvMD$. Then $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq 1$ for any w -FP-projective R -module M .*

Proof. Let M be a w -FP-projective R -module. Following [4, Theorem 2.10], every h -divisible R -module is absolutely w -pure. Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, D) = 0$ for any h -divisible R -module D . Hence, by [21, vii, Proposition 2.5], $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq 1$, as desired. □

Proposition 2.8. *If M is a w -FP-projective R -module and $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, G) = 0$ for any GV -torsion-free R -module G , then M is projective.*

Proof. Let A be an arbitrary R -module. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{tor}_{GV}(A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\text{tor}_{GV}(A) \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(M, \text{tor}_{GV}(A)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, A/\text{tor}_{GV}(A)) = 0$$

Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0$, and so M is projective. □

Proposition 2.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring which not DW-ring (for example, regular local rings R with $\text{gldim}(R) = n$ ($n \geq 2$)). Then every finitely generated w -FP-projective R -module M is free.*

Proof. Let M be a finitely generated w -FP-projective R -module. As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we obtain that $\text{Tor}_R^1(M, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. But M is finitely generated, and so finitely presented (by Remark 2.2). Hence, by [10, Lemma 2.5.8], M is projective. Consequently, M is free since R is local. \square

Proposition 2.10. *The class of all w -FP-projective modules is closed under arbitrary direct sums and under direct summands.*

Proof. Follows from [7, Theorem 3.3.9(2)]. \square

Recall that a ring R is called coherent if every finitely generated ideal of R is finitely presented.

Lemma 2.11. *Let R be a coherent ring and A be an R -module. Then A is absolutely w -pure if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, A)$ is a GV -torsion R -module for any finitely presented module N and any integer $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that A is absolutely w -pure R -module and let N be a finitely presented R -module. The case $n = 0$ is obvious. Hence, assume that $n > 0$. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where F_0, \dots, F_{n-1} are finitely generated free R -module and N' is finitely presented. Such sequence exists since R is coherent. Thus, $(\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, A))_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (\text{Ext}_R^1(N', A))_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for any w -maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . So, $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(N, A)$ is a GV -torsion R -module. (\Leftarrow) Clear. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let R be a coherent ring and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules, where A is absolutely w -pure. Then, B is absolutely w -pure if and only if C is absolutely w -pure.*

Proof. Let N be a finitely presented R -module. We have

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(N, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(N, A).$$

By Lemma 2.11, for any maximal w -ideal \mathfrak{p} , we get

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(N, A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, B)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(N, A)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0.$$

Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, B)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(N, C)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So, $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, B)$ is a GV -torsion R -module if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, C)$ is a GV -torsion R -module. Thus, B is absolutely w -pure if and only if C is absolutely w -pure. \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let R be a coherent ring and M be an R -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) M is w -FP-projective.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure module A and any integer $n \geq 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A be an absolutely w -pure R -module. The case $n = 0$ is obvious. So, we may assume $n > 0$. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{n-1} \rightarrow A' \rightarrow 0$$

where E^0, \dots, E^{n-1} are injective R -modules. By Lemma 2.12, A' is absolutely w -pure. Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(M, A') = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Obvious. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let R be a coherent ring and $0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules, where M is w -FP-projective. Then, M' is w -FP-projective if and only if M'' is w -FP-projective.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.13. \square

We end this section with the following characterizations of a coherent DW -rings.

Proposition 2.15. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is a coherent DW -ring.
- (2) Every finitely generated submodule of a projective R -module is w -FP-projective.
- (3) Every finitely generated ideal of R is w -FP-projective.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Follows immediately from [12, Theorem 3.7] since, over a DW -ring, the classes of w -FP-projective modules and FP-projective modules coincide.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). R is coherent by Remark 2.2. Assume that R is not a DW -ring. As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, there exist a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R such that R/\mathfrak{m} is absolutely w -pure and $\mathfrak{m}_w = R$. So, for any finitely generated ideal I of R , we have

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(I, R/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, R/\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0,$$

and then $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$. By [9, Lemma 3.1], $\text{Tor}_R^2(R/I, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$, which means that $\text{fd}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) \leq 1$. Then, \mathfrak{m} is flat, and so a w -ideal, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.16. *Let R be a domain. Then R is a coherent DW -domain if and only if every finitely generated torsion-free R -module is w -FP-projective.*

Proof. Following [7, Theorem 1.6.15], every finitely generated torsion-free R -module can be embedded in a finitely generated free module (since R is a domain). Hence, (\Rightarrow) follows immediately from Proposition 2.15. For (\Leftarrow), it suffices to see that since R is a domain, every ideal is torsion-free, and then use Proposition 2.15. \square

3. The w -FP-projective dimension of modules and rings

In this section, we introduce and investigate the w -FP-projective dimension of modules and rings.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a ring. For any R -module M , the w -FP-projective dimension of M , denoted by $w\text{-fpd}_R(M)$, is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure R -module A . If no such integer exists, set $w\text{-fpd}_R(M) = \infty$.

The w -FP-projective dimension of R is defined by:

$$w\text{-fpD}(R) = \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(M) : M \text{ is finitely generated } R\text{-module}\}$$

Clearly, an R -module M is w -FP-projective if and only if $w\text{-fpd}_R(M) = 0$, and $\text{fpd}_R(M) \leq w\text{-fpd}_R(M)$, with equality when R is a DW -ring. However, this inequality may be strict (Remark 2.5). Also, $\text{fpD}(R) \leq w\text{-fpD}(R)$ with equality when R is a DW -ring, and this inequality may be strict. To see that, consider a regular local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) with $\text{gldim}(R) = n$ ($n \geq 2$). Since R is Noetherian, we get $\text{fpD}(R) = 0$ (by [1, Proposition 2.6]). Moreover, by Remark 2.5, there exists an (FP-projective) R -module M which is not w -FP-projective. Thus, $w\text{-fpD}(R) > 0$. First, we give a description of the w -FP-Projective dimension of modules over coherent ring.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a coherent ring. The following statements are equivalent for an R -module M .*

- (1) $w\text{-fpd}(M) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure R -module A .
- (3) $\text{Ext}_R^{n+j}(M, A) = 0$ for any absolutely w -pure R -module A and any $j \geq 1$.
- (4) If the sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact with P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} are w -FP-projective R -modules, then P_n is w -FP-projective.
- (5) If the sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact with P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} are projective R -modules, then P_n is w -FP-projective.
- (6) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where each P_i is w -FP-projective.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6). Trivial.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). Let $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules with P_0, \dots, P_{n-1} are w -FP-projective, and set $K_0 = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow M)$ and $K_i = \text{Ker}(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1})$, where $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Using Proposition 2.13, we get

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(K_0, A) \cong \cdots \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(P_n, A)$$

for all absolutely w -pure R -module A . Thus, P_n is w -FP-projective.

(6) \Rightarrow (3). We proceed by induction on $n \geq 0$. For the $n = 0$, M is w -FP-projective module and so (3) holds by proposition 2.13. If $n \geq 1$, then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where each P_i is w -FP-projective. Set $K_0 = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow M)$. Then, we have the following exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Hence, by induction $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1+j}(K_0, A) = 0$ for all absolutely w -pure R -module A and all $j \geq 1$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^{n+j}(M, A) = 0$, and so we have the desired result. \square

The proof of the next proposition is standard homological algebra. Thus we omit its proof.

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be a coherent ring and $0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If two of $w\text{-fpd}_R(M'')$, $w\text{-fpd}_R(M')$ and $w\text{-fpd}_R(M)$ are finite, so is the third. Moreover*

- (1) $w\text{-fpd}_R(M'') \leq \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(M'), w\text{-fpd}_R(M) - 1\}$.
- (2) $w\text{-fpd}_R(M') \leq \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(M''), w\text{-fpd}_R(M)\}$.
- (3) $w\text{-fpd}_R(M) \leq \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(M'), w\text{-fpd}_R(M'') + 1\}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a coherent ring and $0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of R -modules. If M' is w -FP-projective and $w\text{-fpd}_R(M) > 0$, then $w\text{-fpd}_R(M) = w\text{-fpd}_R(M'') + 1$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a coherent ring and $\{M_i\}$ be a family of R -modules. Then $w\text{-fpd}_R(\oplus_i M_i) = \sup_i \{w\text{-fpd}_R(M_i)\}$.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let R be a ring and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $w\text{-fpD}(R) \leq n$.
- (2) $w\text{-fpd}(M) \leq n$ for all R -modules M .
- (3) $w\text{-fpd}(R/I) \leq n$ for all ideals I of R .
- (4) $\text{id}_R(A) \leq n$ for all absolutely w -pure R -modules A .

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w\text{-fpD}(R) &= \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\} \\ &= \sup\{w\text{-fpd}_R(R/I) \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } R\} \\ &= \sup\{\text{id}_R(A) \mid A \text{ is an absolutely } w\text{-pure } R\text{-module}\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (3). Trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let A be an absolutely w -pure R -module. For any ideal I of R , we have $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, A) = 0$. Thus, $\text{id}_R(A) \leq n$.

(4) \Rightarrow (2). Let M be an R -module. For any absolutely w -pure R -module A , we have $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, A) = 0$. Hence, $w\text{-fpd}(M) \leq n$. \square

Note that Noetherian rings need not to be DW (for example, a regular ring with global dimension 2), and DW -rings need not to be Noetherian (for example, a non Noetherian von Neumann regular ring). Next, we show that rings R with $w\text{-fpD}(R) = 0$ are exactly Noetherian DW -rings.

Proposition 3.7. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $w\text{-fpD}(R) = 0$.
- (2) Every R -module is w -FP-projective.
- (3) R/I is w -FP-projective for every ideal I of R .
- (4) Every absolutely w -pure R -module is injective.
- (5) R is Noetherian DW -ring.

Proof. The equivalence of (1), (2), (3), and (4) follows from Proposition 3.6.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (5). Follows from Proposition 2.4 and [1, Proposition 2.6]. \square

Recall from [12], that a ring R is said FP -hereditary if every ideal of R is FP -projective. Note that FP -hereditary rings need not to be DW (for example, a non DW Noetherian ring), and DW -rings need not to be FP -hereditary (for example, a non coherent DW -ring). Next, we show that rings R with $w\text{-fpD}(R) \leq 1$ are exactly FP -hereditary DW -rings.

Proposition 3.8. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $w\text{-fpD}(R) \leq 1$.
- (2) Every submodule of w -FP-projective R -module is w -FP-projective.
- (3) Every submodule of projective R -module is w -FP-projective.

- (4) I is w -FP-projective for every ideal I of R .
- (5) $\text{id}_R(A) \leq 1$ for all absolutely w -pure R -module A .
- (6) R is a (coherent) FP-hereditary DW-ring.

Proof. The implications (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4). are obvious.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (5). By Proposition 3.6.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Let A be an absolutely w -pure R -module and I be an ideal of R . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(I, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R, A) = 0.$$

Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, A) = 0$, and so $\text{id}_R(A) \leq 1$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Let I be an ideal of R . For any absolutely w -pure R -module A , we have

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, A) = \text{Ext}_R^1(I, A).$$

Thus, I is w -FP-projective.

(4) \Rightarrow (6). By hypothesis, R is FP-hereditary. Now, by Proposition 2.15 R is a coherent DW-ring.

(6) \Rightarrow (2). By [12, Theorem 3.16], since the w -FP-projective R -modules are just the FP-projective R -modules over a DW-ring.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Mao and N. Ding, FP-projective dimension, *Comm. in Algebra*. **33** (2005) 1153–1170. 2, 7, 8
- [2] M. Tamekkante, R. A. K. Assaad and E. Bouba, Note On The DW Rings, *Inter. Elec. J. of Algebra*. VO. **25** (2019). 4
- [3] K. R. Pinzon, Absolutely Pure Modules. Thesis, University of Kentucky, (2005). 2
- [4] S. Xing and F. Wang, Purity over Prüfer v -multiplication domains, II, *J. of Algebra Appl.* 1850223 (2018). 2, 3, 4
- [5] S. Xing and F. Wang, Purity over Prüfer v -multiplication domains, *J. of Algebra Appl.* Vol. 16, No. 5 1850100 (2018) 2
- [6] F. Wang and H. Kim, Two generalizations of projective modules and their applications, *J. Pure Applied Algebra* 219 (2015) 3
- [7] F. Wang and H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules*, (Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., Singapore, 2016). 3, 4, 5, 6
- [8] H. Yin, F. Wang, X. Zhu and Y. Chen, w -Modules over commutative rings, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 48 (2011) 207-222. 1
- [9] N. Q. Ding, J. L. Chen, The homological dimensions of simple modules, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 48, no. 2, (1993), 265–274. 3, 6
- [10] S. Glaz, *Commutative Coherent Rings*, *Lect. Notes Maths.* Springer-Verlag, (1989). 5
- [11] B. H. Maddox, Absolutely pure modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967) 155–158. 2
- [12] A. Moradzadeh-Dehkordi S. H. Shojaee, Rings in which every ideal is pure-projective or FP-projective, *J. Algebra*, 478, (2017), 419–436. 6, 8, 9
- [13] A. Mimouni, Integral domains in which each ideal is a w -ideal, *Commun. Algebra* **33** (2005), 1345–1355. 2, 3
- [14] E. E. Enochs, A note on absolutely pure modules. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 19 (3) 1976, 361–362. 3
- [15] F.G. Wang and R.L. McCasland, On w -modules over strong Mori domains, *Comm. Algebra*, **25** (1997), 1285–1306. 1
- [16] S.Q. Zhao, F.G. Wang, and H.L. Chen, Flat modules over a commutative ring are w -modules, *J. Sichuan Normal Univ.*, **35**, (2012), 364–366. (in Chinese). 1
- [17] F.G. Wang, Finitely presented type modules and w -coherent rings, *J. Sichuan Normal Univ.*, **33**, (2010), 1–9. 2
- [18] S. Jain, Flat and FP-injectivity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 41 (1973) 437–442. 2

- [19] C. Megibben, Absolutely pure modules, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 26 (1970) 561-566. 2
- [20] B. Stenström, Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2(2) (1970) 323-329. 2
- [21] L. Fuchs and L. Salce, Modules over Non-Noetherian Domains, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 84, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 2001. 4
- [22] F. G. Wang and R. L. McCasland, On strong Mori domains, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 135 (1999) 155-165.

4

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY MOULAY ISMAIL MEKNES,
BOX 11201, ZITOUNE, MOROCCO

Email address: `refat90@hotmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER, FACULTY MULTIDISCIPLINARY OF NADOR, UNIVERSITY
MOHAMMED FIRST, MOROCCO

Email address: `mehdi8bouba@hotmail.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY MOULAY ISMAIL MEKNES,
BOX 11201, ZITOUNE, MOROCCO

Email address: `tamekkante@yahoo.fr`