

COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE OF ORDERS, GENERATORS AND IDEAL CLASSES

STEFANO MARSEGLIA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the (Cohen-Macaulay) type of orders over Dedekind domains in étale algebras. We provide a bound for the type, and give formulas to compute it. We relate the type of the overorders of a given order to the size of minimal generating sets of its fractional ideals, generalizing known results for Gorenstein and Bass orders. Finally, we give a classification of the ideal classes with multiplier ring of type 2, with applications to the computations of the conjugacy classes of integral matrices and the isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cohen-Macaulay rings play a very important role in algebraic geometry. The associated invariants, such as the (Cohen-Macaulay) type, have been widely studied in the literature. On the other hand, it seems that a large class of Cohen-Macaulay rings coming from number theory, namely orders in number fields, has been so far widely neglected. In this paper we work on filling this gap, that is, we study orders in terms of their type.

The typical example of an order is the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of a number field K . The order \mathcal{O}_K is a central object of study for number theorists since the work of Dedekind and Noether. For example, we know that all the completions of \mathcal{O}_K at its maximal ideals have type 1. In fact, having (local) type 1 characterizes a larger class of Cohen-Macaulay rings, the so-called Gorenstein rings. The study of Gorenstein rings started with the seminal ‘ubiquity’ paper by Bass [Bas63]. Gorenstein orders in K share several properties with \mathcal{O}_K . For example, every fractional ideal with Gorenstein multiplier ring S is invertible, or, equivalently, locally principal. On the other hand, much less is known about non-Gorenstein orders, that is, when there are maximal ideals for which the local type is strictly greater than 1.

We will now overview the structure of the paper and highlight the main results. We will work in a more general context than orders in number fields. We refer the reader to Section 2 for details and missing definitions. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let K be an étale algebra over Q . Let S be an order in K . In analogy with the ring of rational

Date: June 9, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16H10, 11Y40, 13H10, 15B36, 14K15.

Key words and phrases. orders, Cohen-Macaulay type, ideal classes, generators.

integers \mathbb{Z} , the maximal ideals of S will be called primes. Let \mathfrak{p} be such a prime. In Section 3, we will study the type of S at \mathfrak{p} , which is defined as the type of the completion $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of S at \mathfrak{p} , that is,

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = \dim_k \text{Ext}_{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(k, \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where k is the residue field of $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We will also use a global notion of type by setting

$$\text{type}(S) = \max_{\mathfrak{p}} \{ \text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) \},$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs over the primes of S . This is finite quantity because the local types are almost always 1. See Proposition 3.3. We will produce formulas to compute these types in terms of the trace dual S^t of S . In fact, the presence of such a non-degenerate trace will be crucial for our investigations in the rest of the paper.

The first main results of the paper about the type are summarized here in Main Theorem 1. See Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 4.9 for the complete statements.

Main Theorem 1. *Let S be an order in K . Then*

- *We have*

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t}.$$

- *If $S \neq \mathcal{O}_K$ then*

$$\text{type}(S) + 1 = \max \left\{ \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime of } S \right\}.$$

- *Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) > 1$. Then the type of an order in K is bounded by $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) - 1$. Moreover, this bound is attained: if p is a prime of \mathbb{Z} then the order $T = \mathbb{Z} + p\mathcal{O}_K$ satisfies $\text{type}(T) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(K) - 1$.*

In Section 4, we study the type of an order S together with the type of all of its overorders T , that is, orders such that $S \subseteq T \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. We relate these numbers with the quantity $g(S)$, which is defined as the maximum, over the fractional S -ideals I , of the size $g_S(I)$ of a minimal generating set of I as an S -module. The main result of the section is Theorem 4.7 and it is summarized here as Main Theorem 2. We invite the reader to compare it to the case when S is a non-maximal Bass order, that is, such that all the overorders are Gorenstein. See Proposition 4.6.

Main Theorem 2. *Let S be a non-maximal order, \mathcal{S} be the set of overorders T of S and d a positive integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $1 + \max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{ \text{type}(T) \} = d$.
- *The S -module \mathcal{O}_K/S is minimally generated by $d - 1$ elements.*
- $g(S) = d$.

The motivation that originally started the investigation in this paper was to improve our understanding of the isomorphism classes of fractional ideals, and consequently, to improve the algorithms to compute them, which are described in [Mar20a]. In Section 5 we recall such results, that were originally stated for \mathbb{Z} -orders in étale \mathbb{Q} -algebras, but that also apply to our more general setting. The Magma [BCP97] implementation of such algorithms is available at <https://github.com/stmar89/AlgEt>. As anticipated above, we completely understand the isomorphism classes of fractional ideals with Gorenstein multiplier ring. See Corollary 5.3. Also, as mentioned above, and stated precisely in Proposition 3.4, the type can be seen as a measure of how far an order is from being Gorenstein. In Section 6, we focus our attention to the orders that, from this perspective, are close-to-being Gorenstein, that is, have type 2.

For an order S and a prime \mathfrak{p} with $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 2$, we give locally at \mathfrak{p} a classification of the isomorphism classes of fractional S -ideals with multiplier ring S . If we globally have $\text{type}(S) = 2$, then we can patch the local information to effectively produce representatives of the isomorphism classes of fractional S -ideals with multiplier ring S . The set of such classes is denoted $\text{ICM}_S(S)$ in the following Main Theorem 3. We refer the reader to Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 6.5 for the complete statements.

Main Theorem 3. *Let S be an order in K . Then*

- *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S and I a fractional S -ideal with $(I : I) = S$. If $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 2$ then either $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (S^t)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*
- *Assume that $\text{type}(S) = 2$. Let N be the number of primes \mathfrak{p} of S such that $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 2$. Then $|\text{ICM}_S(S)| = 2^N \cdot |\text{Pic}(S)|$.*

In Example 6.6, we show how to deduce information about \mathbb{Z} -conjugacy classes of integral matrices and abelian varieties over finite fields from a computation of isomorphism classes of fractional ideals.

Finally, in Section 7 we make comparisons with other notions of close-to-being Gorenstein occurring in the recent literature.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Jonas Bergström and Hendrik W. Lenstra Jr. for useful discussions and comments on a preliminary version of the paper. The author thanks Carel Faber for useful comments. The author was supported by NWO grant VI.Veni.202.107.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Conventions and notation. In the rest of the paper the word ring will mean a non-zero commutative ring with unity. We will not consider fields as Dedekind Domains. In particular, Dedekind domains have Krull dimension 1 and are infinite.

We denote by $\mathcal{Q}(R)$ total ring of quotients of a ring R and by R^\times the units of R . Given sub- R -modules I and J of $\mathcal{Q}(R)$ we define $I + J$ and IJ

in the natural way, and the *colon* as

$$(I :_{\mathcal{Q}(R)} J) = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}(R) \mid xJ \subseteq I\}.$$

If the total ring of quotient is clear from the context, we will omit it from the notation and write $(I : J)$ for $(I :_{\mathcal{Q}(R)} J)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let I and J be sub- R -modules of $\mathcal{Q}(R)$ such that $J \cap \mathcal{Q}(R)^\times$ is non-empty. Then $J\mathcal{Q}(R) = \mathcal{Q}(R)$ and the natural R -linear morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : (I : J) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(J, I) \\ x &\longmapsto (\varphi_x : j \mapsto xj) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $z \in J \cap \mathcal{Q}(R)^\times$. Then $\mathcal{Q}(R) = z\mathcal{Q}(R) \subseteq J\mathcal{Q}(R)$ and hence we have the equality $\mathcal{Q}(R) = J\mathcal{Q}(R)$. Assume that for $x \in (I : J)$ we have $\varphi_x = 0$. Then $xz = 0$ implies that $x = 0$ and so φ is injective. To prove the surjectivity we proceed as follows. For an R -linear morphism $\psi : J \rightarrow I$ we get an induced $\mathcal{Q}(R)$ -linear morphism $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{Q}(R) \rightarrow I\mathcal{Q}(R)$ by setting $\tilde{\psi}(jq) = \psi(j)q$ for every $j \in J$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}(R)$. Set $x = \tilde{\psi}(1)$. For every $j \in J$ we have

$$jx = j\tilde{\psi}(1) = \tilde{\psi}(j) = \psi(j) \in I$$

which shows that $x \in (I : J)$ and that $\varphi_x = \psi$, completing the proof. \square

We say that I is *invertible* if $I(R : I) = R$, and that I is *principal* if there exists an element $x \in \mathcal{Q}(R)^\times$ such that $I = xR$. Note that if I is principal, then it is invertible. The ring $(I : I)$ is called the *multiplicator ring* of I . Observe that $R \subseteq (I : I)$.

A version of the following lemma can be found in [Mar20a, Lemma 2.5] with more restrictive hypothesis, but the same proof.

Lemma 2.2. *Let I be an invertible sub- R -module of $\mathcal{Q}(R)$. Then the multiplicator ring $(I : I)$ of I equals R .*

Proof. Using the equality $R = I(R : I)$ we obtain

$$R(I : I) = (R : I)I(I : I) = (R : I)I = R.$$

Therefore $(I : I) \subseteq R$, and hence $R = (I : I)$. \square

Definition 2.3. For an finitely generated R -module M set

$$g_R(M) = \min \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : M \text{ can be generated by } d \text{ elements over } R\}.$$

2.2. Orders and Trace form. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . A Q -algebra K is called *étale* if there exist an integer $n \geq 0$ and an extension $Q \subseteq Q'$ such that $K \otimes_Q Q' \simeq Q'^n$. Note that an étale Q -algebra is commutative, unitary and finite dimensional. In fact, a commutative finite dimensional Q -algebra K is étale if and only if K is isomorphic to a finite product of separable algebraic extensions of Q .

Let K be a non-zero étale Q -algebra. By a Z -lattice in K we mean a finitely generated sub- Z -module L of K that contains a Q -basis of K , or

equivalently, such that $\dim_Q(L \otimes_Z Q) = \dim_Q(K)$. A Z -order in K is a subring of K which is also a Z -lattice in K . When the Dedekind domain Z is clear from the context, we will omit it from the terminology and talk simply about lattices and orders. An order S is reduced, Noetherian, integral over Z , and has Krull dimension one. Hence orders are Cohen-Macaulay rings. Note that K is the total ring of quotients of S . By an *overorder* of S , we mean an order S' such that $S \subseteq S' \subset K$.

Let \mathcal{O}_K be the integral closure of Z in K . Since Z is a Dedekind domain and K is étale, one shows that \mathcal{O}_K is an order in K and contains all orders in K . Therefore we will call \mathcal{O}_K the *maximal order* of K .

Pick an element x in K . After fixing a basis of K over Q , we can represent the multiplication by x on K by a matrix m_x with coefficients in Q , whose *trace* $\text{Tr}(x)$ does not depend on the choice of the basis. Since K is étale, the induced bilinear form

$$K \times K \rightarrow Q \quad (x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy)$$

is non-degenerate.

Let L be a lattice in K . The trace dual lattice L^t of L is defined as

$$L^t = \{x \in K : \text{Tr}(xL) \subseteq Z\}.$$

We have a canonical isomorphism of Z -modules

$$(1) \quad L^t \simeq \text{Hom}_Z(L, Z),$$

induced by $x \mapsto (y \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy))$. Observe that $(L^t)^t = L$.

Given two lattices L and L' in K we define the *colon* $(L : L')$ by

$$(L : L') = \{x \in K : xL' \subseteq L\}.$$

Note that there $(L : L')$ is a lattice and contains a non-zero element of Z . Similarly, the *multiplicator ring* of L is the order $(L : L)$. Observe that the isomorphism in (1) is S -linear for every subring S of $(L : L)$.

2.3. Fractional ideals. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let S be an order in a non-zero étale Q -algebra K . A *fractional S -ideal* I is a finitely generated sub- S -module of K which is also a lattice. Note that a finitely generated sub- S -module I of K , for example an ideal of S , is a fractional S -ideal if and only if I contains a non-zero divisor of K . Given fractional S -ideals I and J , by Lemma 2.1, we have canonical identifications

$$(2) \quad \text{Hom}_S(J, I) \simeq (I : J) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{End}_S(I) \simeq (I : I).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let I and J be fractional S -ideals.*

- (i) $IJ, I + J, I \cap J, (I : J)$ and I^t are fractional S -ideals.
- (ii) I contains a non-zero element of Z .
- (iii) $IJ^t = (J : I)^t$.
- (iv) If $J \subseteq I$ then the Z -modules I/J and J^t/I^t are isomorphic.

Proof. Part (i) is clear. For Part (ii), since S and I are lattices, then the colon $(I : S) = I$ contains a non-zero element of Z . Part (iii) is a direct verification.

We now prove Part (iv). Put $M = I/J$. Observe that M is a torsion module over the Dedekind domain Z . Hence M has finite length as a Z -module. Note that $E = Q/Z$ is a minimal injective cogenerator of Z , by for example [Lam99, Examples 19.11.(1)]. It is known that every module of finite length over a Dedekind domain is isomorphic to its Matlis dual. See for example [Ooi76, Theorem 1.7]. Hence we have an isomorphism of Z -modules

$$M \simeq \text{Hom}_Z(M, E).$$

To conclude the proof, it is enough to observe that we have an S -linear isomorphism

$$(3) \quad J^t/I^t \simeq \text{Hom}_Z(M, E)$$

induced by

$$x + I^t \mapsto (y + J \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy) + Z).$$

See for example [BF65, page 59]. \square

The next lemma, which will be used later in the paper, shows that we can always find generators of a fractional ideal which are non-zero divisors.

Lemma 2.5. *Let I be fractional S -ideal in K , say $I = y_1S + \dots + y_rS$. Then there are elements x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r in $I \cap K^\times$ which generate I as an S -module.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4.(ii), the set $I \cap K^\times$ is non-empty, say containing x_0 . Write $K = K_1 \times \dots \times K_n$, with K_i fields extension of Q , and denote by π_i the projection $K \rightarrow K_i$. Observe that $\pi_i(x_0) \neq 0$ for every i . Now, for each generator y_j of I , set $x_j = y_j - z_jx_0$ for $z_j \in Z$ such that

$$\pi_i(y_j - z_jx_0) \neq 0$$

for every i . Observe that such a z_j exists since we have finitely many constraints while Z is infinite. Moreover $x_jS + x_0S = y_jS + x_0S$ which shows that the elements x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r generate I over S . \square

2.4. Primes. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let S be an order in a non-zero étale Q -algebra K . A *prime*¹ of S is a fractional S -ideal which is also a prime ideal of S . We now state some lemmas that are well known.

Lemma 2.6 ([Rei03, Theorem 22.3]). *The primes of S are precisely the maximal ideals of S . If \mathfrak{p} is a prime of S , then the contraction p of \mathfrak{p} in Z is a prime of Z , and the field S/\mathfrak{p} is a finite extension of Z/p .*

¹In [Rei03] prime ideals of orders are required to be fractional ideals, that is, they coincide with what we call primes.

Lemma 2.7 ([Rei03, Theorem 23.1]). *Let $I \subsetneq S$ be a fractional S -ideal. Then I contains a product of primes of S .*

Lemma 2.8. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S . Then $S \subsetneq (S : \mathfrak{p})$. If \mathfrak{p} is invertible then $(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) = S$, while if \mathfrak{p} is not invertible then $(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) = (S : \mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. Let x be a non-zero divisor in \mathfrak{p} , which exists by Lemma 2.4.(ii). By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq xS \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$$

for primes \mathfrak{p}_i of S . We assume that r is minimal. If $r = 1$ then $\mathfrak{p} = xS$. Hence $(S : \mathfrak{p}) = (1/x)S$ which strictly contains S since x is not a unit of S . So assume that $r > 1$. Then there exists i such that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}$. By minimality of r , there exists $y \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r \setminus xS$. In particular $(y/x) \notin S$. Also, observe that $(y/x) \in (S : \mathfrak{p})$ by construction. Therefore we have concluded the proof of the first part.

We now prove the second. The inclusion $(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq (S : \mathfrak{p})$ holds by definition. If \mathfrak{p} is invertible then we conclude by Lemma 2.2. Assume that \mathfrak{p} is not invertible, that is, $\mathfrak{p}(S : \mathfrak{p}) \subsetneq S$. Since \mathfrak{p} is maximal by Lemma 2.6, one obtains that $\mathfrak{p}(S : \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore we also have $(S : \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq (\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Every fractional S -ideal $I \subset S$ is contained in finitely many primes of S .*

Proof. Observe that the prime ideals of S containing I are necessarily fractional ideals, hence they are primes by Lemma 2.6. In other words, the ring S/I has Krull dimension 0, and since it is Noetherian, it is also Artinian. Therefore it has only finitely many maximal ideals, which correspond to the primes of S containing I . \square

2.5. Localization. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let S be a Z -order in a non-zero étale Q -algebra K . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of S . We will denote by $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization of S at \mathfrak{p} and by $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization of Z at the contraction p of \mathfrak{p} in Z . Observe that $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an overring of Z and has field of fractions Q . On the other hand, in general, the total ring of quotients of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not K .

Lemma 2.10. *The total ring of quotients $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a quotient Q -algebra of K . The restriction to S of quotient morphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : K \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$ induces the localization morphism $S \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. Since S is a Noetherian reduced ring, the set of zero-divisors of S is the union of the finitely many minimal prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_n of S . Hence the total ring of quotients K of S satisfies

$$K \simeq \mathcal{Q}(S/P_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{Q}(S/P_n).$$

After possibly reindexing, assume that P_1, \dots, P_s are the minimal prime ideals of S which are contained in \mathfrak{p} . We obtain that

$$\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/P_1 S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/P_s S_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Now observe that for each $i = 1, \dots, s$ we have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/P_i S_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(S/P_i)$. Hence we get a natural quotient structure

$$\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} : K \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

The fact that $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ restricted to S induces the localization morphism is a straightforward verification. \square

In what follows we will denote by $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the localization of a fractional S -ideal I at the prime \mathfrak{p} of S . Observe that $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be naturally considered a sub- $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. In fact, by Lemma 2.10, we get

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)S_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

In particular, given fractional S -ideals I and J we have that $J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains a non-zero divisor of $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 we have a natural isomorphism of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules

$$(I_{\mathfrak{p}} :_{\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})} J_{\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(J_{\mathfrak{p}}, I_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Lemma 2.11. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S . For fractional S -ideals I and J , we have the following equalities:*

$$(I + J)_{\mathfrak{p}} = I_{\mathfrak{p}} + J_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (IJ)_{\mathfrak{p}} = I_{\mathfrak{p}}J_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (I \cap J)_{\mathfrak{p}} = I_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap J_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \text{and} \\ (I :_K J)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (I_{\mathfrak{p}} :_{\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})} J_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Proof. The statement for addition, product and intersection follows immediately. For the colon, we proceed as follows. Let x_1, \dots, x_r be generators of J over S . By Lemma 2.5 we can assume that $x_i \in K^{\times}$ for each i . Then

$$(I : J)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^r (I : x_i S) \right)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)} I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r (I_{\mathfrak{p}} : \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i) S_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r (I_{\mathfrak{p}} : J_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

\square

Lemma 2.12. *Let I be a fractional S -ideal. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) I is invertible.
- (ii) for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S the localization $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is principal.
- (iii) for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S the S/\mathfrak{p} -vector space $I/\mathfrak{p}I$ has dimension 1.

Proof. Assume (i), that is, $I(S : I) = S$. Then by Lemma 2.11, for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S we have

$$1 = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$$

for elements $x_i \in I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $y_i \in (S_{\mathfrak{p}} : I_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring, there exists an index j such that $x_j y_j$ is a unit in $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence

$$I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq x_j y_j I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq x_j S_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq I_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

that is, $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = x_j S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that x_j is a non-zero divisor.

Conversely, assume (ii). Then $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S . If I were not invertible, then there would be a prime \mathfrak{p} of S such that $I(S : I) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then localization at \mathfrak{p} together with Lemma 2.11 yields a contradiction.

The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is a consequence of Nakayama's Lemma. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let \mathfrak{p} be an invertible prime of S . Then for every fractional S -ideal I we have that $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is principal. If moreover $I \subseteq S$ then $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ equals a power of $\mathfrak{p}S_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. First assume that $I \subseteq S$. If $I = S$ then the statement is true. So assume that $I \subset S$. Put $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = (S_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{m})$. By Lemma 2.12 we have that \mathfrak{m} is principal. Also, I contains a product of primes of S by Lemma 2.7. Hence the localization $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ contains a power of \mathfrak{m} , say $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ with n minimal. We will now show that $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}^n$ by induction. If $n = 1$ then $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}$. On the other hand, if $n > 1$, since \mathfrak{m} is invertible in $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $I_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$. By induction we obtain $I_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$, and hence $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}^n$. Since $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a power of a principal ideal, then it is principal as well.

Now consider the general case. Let x be a non-zero divisor in $(S : I)$ which exists by Lemma 2.4.(ii). The previous argument shows that xI is principal, say $xI = yS$. Then $I = (y/x)S$ and we conclude. \square

Lemma 2.14. *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S and $\mathfrak{f} = (S : \mathcal{O}_K)$ be the conductor of S . Then the following statements are equivalent:*
 - (a) *\mathfrak{p} is coprime to \mathfrak{f} , that is, $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{f} = S$.*
 - (b) *$S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$.*
 - (c) *the S/\mathfrak{p} -vector space $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ has dimension one.*
 - (d) *\mathfrak{p} is invertible.*
- (ii) *There are finitely many primes of S which are not invertible.*

Proof. We first prove Part (i). Observe that \mathfrak{p} is coprime to \mathfrak{f} if and only if $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is equivalent to $S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ by Lemma 2.11. Hence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). Also, it is an immediate consequence of Nakayama's Lemma that (b) \Leftrightarrow (c). Now, assume (b). Then $\mathfrak{p}S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible. Since all the localizations of \mathfrak{p} at the other primes of S are trivial, by Lemma 2.12 we get that \mathfrak{p} is invertible, that is (d) holds. Conversely, assume (d). By Lemma 2.13 $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is invertible. Hence its multiplier ring equals $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by Lemma 2.2. But it is easy to see that the multiplier ring of \mathfrak{f} contains, and hence equals, \mathcal{O}_K . Therefore we conclude that $S_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$, that is, (b) holds.

Finally, by Part (i) we have that the non-invertible primes of S are precisely the ones containing the conductor \mathfrak{f} of S , and by Lemma 2.9 there is only finitely many of them, which gives us Part (ii). \square

Noticeably, in Lemma 2.11 we have not mentioned the trace duals. The reason is that in general the localization $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of a fractional S -ideal I is not a $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in $\mathcal{Q}(S_{\mathfrak{p}})$, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 2.15. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S and p its contraction in Z . The ring $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a $Z_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice if and only if \mathfrak{p} is the unique prime of S above p .*

Proof. Assume that there exists another prime \mathfrak{p}' containing p . Pick $x \in \mathfrak{p}' \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and consider $1/x \in S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then the minimal polynomial of $1/x$ over Q has denominators in pZ and hence $1/x$ is not integral over Z_p . Hence $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not finitely generated over Z_p .

Conversely, if \mathfrak{p} is the unique prime above p , then the natural map

$$S \otimes_Z Z_p \rightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, since $S \otimes_Z Z_p$ is a finitely generated Z_p -module, then $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the same. \square

2.6. Completions. Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let S be a Z -order in a non-zero étale Q -algebra K . For a prime \mathfrak{p} of S we denote by $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the completion of S at \mathfrak{p} . Given a fractional S -ideal I we put $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = I \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Lemma 2.16. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S and p its contraction to Z . The total ring of quotients $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is an étale $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{Z}_p)$ -algebra. The completion $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a \hat{Z}_p -order in $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Given a fractional S -ideal I , we have that $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a fractional $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -ideal.*

Proof. By [Bou89, Cor. Ch.III §.13 p.195], since $S \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p$ is a complete semilocal ring, we have an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad S \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p \simeq \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_s},$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$ are the primes of S above p . Applying $- \otimes_Z Q$ to this isomorphism shows that $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$ is a $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{Z}_p)$ -algebra for each i , such that the induced trace map gives a non-degenerate bilinear form. On the other hand, applying $- \otimes_S I$ shows that $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a fractional $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ -ideal for each i . \square

Lemma 2.17. *Let I be fractional S -ideal. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) I is invertible.
- (ii) $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal fractional $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -ideal for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S .

Proof. Observe that we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\frac{I}{\mathfrak{p}I} \simeq \frac{\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

of $S/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -vector spaces. The result follows from Lemma 2.12. \square

The next lemma, together with Equation (1), shows that taking trace duals commutes with completion. In particular, for a fractional S -ideal I and a prime \mathfrak{p} of S , the notation $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\dagger}$ is not ambiguous.

Lemma 2.18. *Given a fractional S -ideal I and a prime \mathfrak{p} of S with contraction p in Z , we have a natural $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -linear isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_Z(I, Z) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \hat{Z}_p).$$

Proof. Since \hat{Z}_p is a flat Z -algebra we get a natural isomorphism

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_Z(I, Z) \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(I \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p, \hat{Z}_p).$$

By Equation (4) we get the following decompositions of S -modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_Z(I, Z) \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \left(\mathrm{Hom}_Z(I, Z) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \right)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(I \otimes_Z \hat{Z}_p, \hat{Z}_p) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \hat{Z}_p),$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s$ are the primes of S above p . Since they are both naturally induced by same isomorphism from Equation (4), it is not hard to see that the isomorphism in Equation (5) decomposes into a direct sum of isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_Z(I, Z) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}, \hat{Z}_p),$$

as required. \square

3. COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE OF AN ORDER

We start this section by recalling some definitions. We refer the reader to [BH93] for more details. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with residue field k . A finitely generated R -module C is called a *canonical module* if $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(k, C)$ is 1 for $i = \dim R$ and 0 otherwise. All canonical modules of R , if any, are isomorphic. The *type* of R is defined as $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}_R^{\dim R}(k, R)$. In this section we will see that it is easy to compute the type for the completion of an order at a prime. Furthermore, we will define a global notion of type for an order and we will start studying its properties.

Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q . Let K be a non-zero Q -étale algebra and let S be an order in K .

Proposition 3.1. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S . Then*

- (i) $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$ is a canonical module of $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- (ii) The type of $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ equals

$$\dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t}.$$

Proof. Let p be the contraction of \mathfrak{p} in Z . Since \hat{Z}_p is a DVR, it is easy to see from the definition that $\hat{Z}_p \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(\hat{Z}_p, \hat{Z}_p)$ is a canonical module of \hat{Z}_p . Since Z and S have both Krull dimension 1, from [BH93, Thm. 3.3.7.(b)] we get that $C = \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{Z}_p}(\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \hat{Z}_p)$ is a canonical module of $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. To conclude, it is enough to observe that C is canonically isomorphic to $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$ by Equation (1). See also [JT15, 3.4]. For the second part, observe that by Nakayama's lemma a minimal generating set of $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$ over $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has size equal to the dimension of

$\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t/\mathfrak{p}\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t \simeq S^t/\mathfrak{p}S^t$ as a vector space over $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq S/\mathfrak{p}$. The statement then follows from [BH93, Prop. 3.3.11.(c).(i)]. \square

Proposition 3.1 tells us that the terminology used in the following definition is compatible with the usual notion of type of a local ring.

Definition 3.2. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of S . We say that:

(i) the (Cohen-Macaulay) type of S at \mathfrak{p} is

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) := \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t}.$$

(ii) the (Cohen-Macaulay) type of S is

$$\text{type}(S) := \max \{ \text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime of } S \}.$$

Note that $\text{type}(S)$ is a finite quantity since $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 1$ for almost all primes \mathfrak{p} of S , as we show in the next Proposition.

Proposition 3.3. Let \mathfrak{p} be an invertible prime of S . Then

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 1.$$

In particular $\text{type}(S)$ is a finite positive integer.

Proof. By Lemma 2.13, $(S^t)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. This means that the S/\mathfrak{p} -vector space $S^t/\mathfrak{p}S^t$ has dimension 1. Hence we conclude by Proposition 3.1. Since there are only finitely many non-invertible primes by Lemma 2.14.(ii), we get that $\text{type}(S)$ is finite. \square

In Proposition 4.9, once more machinery will be available, we will exhibit an upper bound for the type of the orders in K .

The type is a way to encode how far an order is from being Gorenstein. Recall that a Noetherian ring is *Gorenstein* if all its localizations have finite injective dimension. There are several well known equivalent characterizations of Gorenstein orders. We now recall some of them, which play a role later in the paper.

Proposition 3.4. The following statements are equivalent:

- S is Gorenstein.
- $\text{type}(S) = 1$.
- S^t is invertible.
- Every fractional S -ideal I with $S = (I : I)$ is invertible.

Proof. By [BH93, Proposition 3.1.19(c)] we have that $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is so. Hence, by [BH93, Theorem 3.3.7(a)], S is Gorenstein if and only if, for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S , the localization $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$ is a principal fractional $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -ideal, which by Proposition 3.1 is equivalent to have $\text{type}(S) = 1$. The equivalence of the second and third statements follows from Lemmas 2.17 and 2.12. Finally, the last two statements are equivalent because $S = (I : I)$ if and only if $II^t = S^t$, which is an application of Lemma 2.4.(iii). See also [BL94, Proposition 2.7]. \square

We conclude this section with formulas to compute the type of an order which will be used later in the paper.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-invertible prime of S . Then*

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) + 1 = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemmas 2.4.(iii) and 2.8, we see that $(\mathfrak{p}S^t)^t = (S : \mathfrak{p}) = (\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})$. Therefore, the quotient

$$\frac{(\mathfrak{p}S^t)^t}{S} = \frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{S}$$

is isomorphic (as a Z -module) to $S^t/\mathfrak{p}S^t$ by Lemma 2.4.(iv). Recall that S/\mathfrak{p} is a finite field extension of Z/p , where p is the contraction of \mathfrak{p} in Z , by Lemma 2.6. Hence, comparing the dimensions over Z/p , we conclude that

$$\dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{S} \oplus \frac{S}{\mathfrak{p}} \right) = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t} + 1.$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *Let S be a non-maximal order. Then*

$$\text{type}(S) + 1 = \max \left\{ \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})}{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a prime of } S \right\}.$$

Proof. If \mathfrak{p} is invertible then $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 1$ by Proposition 3.3. Hence in the definition of the global type for a non-maximal order it is enough to consider the non invertible primes. Also, by Lemma 2.2, if \mathfrak{p} is invertible then $S/\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}$. Hence also in the right hand side of the equality in the statement, we can restrict ourselves to the non-invertible primes of S . Therefore the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.5. □

4. TYPE, OVERORDERS AND MINIMAL NUMBER OF GENERATORS.

In this section we exhibit connections between the invertibility and the minimal number of generators of a fractional ideal, and the type of its multiplier ring.

Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q and let K be a non-zero Q -étale algebra. All orders in this section are orders in K .

Definition 4.1. For an order S , put

$$g(S) = \max \{g_S(I) : I \text{ is a fractional } S\text{-ideal}\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be an order and I be a fractional S -ideal. Then*

$$g_S(I) \leq \max \{2, \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I/\mathfrak{p}I) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime of } S\},$$

with equality if and only if $g_S(I) \neq 1$, that is, I is not principal.

Proof. By Nakayama's Lemma, for a prime \mathfrak{p} of S , we have the equality $g_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I/\mathfrak{p}I)$. Put $m = \max \{g_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime of } S\}$.

First we consider the case $m > 1$. Construct b_1 in the following way. Put

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathfrak{p} : g_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = m\}$$

and consider the natural surjection

$$\pi : I \rightarrow \frac{I}{I \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{p}} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{I}{\mathfrak{p}I}.$$

Observe that \mathcal{A} is a finite set since $g_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ for almost all \mathfrak{p} by Lemmas 2.14(ii) and 2.13. Pick $b_1 \in I$ such that $\pi(b_1)$ is non-zero in each coordinate. Possibly by replacing b_1 with $b_1 + y$ with y a non-zero divisor in $I \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{p}$ chosen with a procedure similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5, we can ensure that b_1 is in $I \cap K^\times$. Now consider the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathfrak{p} : I \neq b_1S + \mathfrak{p}I\}.$$

Observe that \mathcal{B} is finite since it coincides with the support of the finite length S -module I/b_1S . For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$ define vectors $b_{1,\mathfrak{p}}, \dots, b_{m,\mathfrak{p}}$ of $I/\mathfrak{p}I$ in the following way: let $b_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ the image of b_1 via the surjection $I \rightarrow I/\mathfrak{p}I$ and then take vectors $b_{2,\mathfrak{p}}, \dots, b_{m,\mathfrak{p}}$, possibly with repetitions, such that $b_{1,\mathfrak{p}}, \dots, b_{m,\mathfrak{p}}$ generate $I/\mathfrak{p}I$ over S/\mathfrak{p} . Note that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, so $b_{1,\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ for all \mathfrak{p} such that the dimension of $I/\mathfrak{p}I$ is maximal, that is, equal to m . Using the isomorphism

$$\frac{I}{I \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}} \mathfrak{p}} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{I}{\mathfrak{p}I}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$ pick b_i in I such that it reduces to $b_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}I$ for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$. One checks using localization that b_1, \dots, b_m is a set of generators of I over S : if \mathfrak{p} is in \mathcal{B} then this is the case by construction, while if \mathfrak{p} is not in \mathcal{B} then b_1 is a local generator at \mathfrak{p} .

Now we consider the case when $m = 1$. Pick an element b_1 in $I \cap K^\times$, which is not empty by Lemma 2.4. Define the set \mathcal{B} as above. In this case, note that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $b_1 \in \mathfrak{p}I$. Pick $b_2 \in I$ so that its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}I$ is non-zero for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, again by localization, one checks that $I = b_1S + b_2S$. \square

It is known that, among all fractional S -ideals, the one that requires the biggest number of generators is \mathcal{O}_K .

Lemma 4.3 ([Gre82, Corollary 2.2]). *Let S be an order. Then*

$$g(S) \leq \max \{2, g_S(\mathcal{O}_K)\}.$$

The inequality is strict if and only if $S = \mathcal{O}_K$ and \mathcal{O}_K is a product of PID, that is, K has class number one.

Corollary 4.4. *Let S be a non-maximal order. Then*

$$g(S) = g_S(\mathcal{O}_K) = \max \{\dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime of } S\}.$$

Proof. Since $S \neq \mathcal{O}_K$ then $g_S(\mathcal{O}_K) \geq 2$. The first equality follows from Lemma 4.3 and the second follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

The following proposition allows us to compare the size of minimal generating sets of the fractional ideals of an order with the type of some specific overorders.

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of an order S . Then*

$$\dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p} \text{ is invertible,} \\ 1 + \text{type}(S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if S is not maximal then

$$g(S) = 1 + \max \{ \text{type}(S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime of } S \}.$$

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}$ and consider the overorder $T = S + \mathfrak{P}$ of S . Observe that we have inclusions $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq S \cap \mathfrak{P} \subseteq S$. Since, 1 is not in \mathfrak{P} , we have the equality $\mathfrak{p} = S \cap \mathfrak{P}$. Hence

$$(6) \quad \frac{T}{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{S + \mathfrak{P}}{\mathfrak{P}} \simeq \frac{S}{\mathfrak{P} \cap S} = \frac{S}{\mathfrak{p}},$$

which, in particular, shows that \mathfrak{P} is a prime of T . Note that $(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}) = \mathcal{O}_K$ and so

$$(7) \quad \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{P}} = \frac{(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})}{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

We already know by Lemma 2.14.(i) that \mathfrak{p} is invertible if and only if $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ has dimension 1 over S/\mathfrak{p} . So we assume that \mathfrak{p} is not invertible. If \mathfrak{P} were invertible then by Lemma 2.2 we would have $(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}) = T$. Hence by Equations (6) and (7) we would have that $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ has dimension 1 as a vector space over S/\mathfrak{p} . Hence \mathfrak{P} is not invertible. So we can apply Proposition 3.5 which gives us the first equality in

$$\text{type}_{\mathfrak{P}}(T) + 1 = \dim_{T/\mathfrak{P}} \frac{(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})}{\mathfrak{P}} = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K},$$

while the last equality is given by Equations (6) and (7). To conclude the proof we need to show that $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{P}}(T) = \text{type}(T)$. Note that \mathfrak{P} is a fractional \mathcal{O}_K -ideal inside T . So \mathfrak{P} is contained in the conductor $(T : \mathcal{O}_K)$, which is a proper ideal since \mathfrak{P} is not invertible. Hence $\mathfrak{P} = (T : \mathcal{O}_K)$ by maximality of \mathfrak{P} . By Lemma 2.14.(i) we have that \mathfrak{P} is the only non invertible ideal of T . Proposition 3.3 shows then that the only contribution to the global type of T comes from \mathfrak{P} , that is, $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{P}}(T) = \text{type}(T)$.

The last statement is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.4. \square

In Section 3, we discussed Gorenstein orders. A generalization, which takes into account all the overorders, is the following. An order which is either maximal or satisfies the equivalent conditions of Proposition 4.6 is called a *Bass order*.

Proposition 4.6. *Let S be a non-maximal order. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For every overorder T of S we have $\text{type}(T) = 1$.*
- (ii) *Every overorder of S is Gorenstein.*
- (iii) *Every fractional S -ideal I is invertible as a fractional $(I : I)$ -ideal.*
- (iv) *The S -module \mathcal{O}_K/S is cyclic.*
- (v) *$g(S) = 2$.*

Proof. The equivalence of the first three statements is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4. The equivalence of the last four statements can be found in [LW85, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Proposition 3.4 tells us that the type measures the deviation of an order from being Gorenstein. Following this philosophy, a measure of how an order fails to be Bass can be given in terms of the types of all the overorders. More precisely, we have the following generalization of Proposition 4.6.

Theorem 4.7. *Let S be a non-maximal order, \mathcal{S} be the set of overorders T of S , and d be a positive integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $1 + \max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{\text{type}(T)\} = d$.
- (ii) $\max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \left\{ \dim_{T/\mathfrak{P}} \left(\frac{(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})}{\mathfrak{P}} : \mathfrak{P} \text{ a prime of } T \right) \right\} = d$.
- (iii) $g_S(\mathcal{O}_K/S) = d - 1$.
- (iv) $\max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \left\{ \dim_{T/\mathfrak{P}} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}\mathcal{O}_K) : \mathfrak{P} \text{ a prime of } T \right\} = d$.
- (v) $\max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{g(T)\} = d$.
- (vi) $g(S) = d$.

Proof. Let T be a non-maximal overorder in \mathcal{S} . Then

$$\text{type}(T) + 1 = \max \left\{ \dim_{T/\mathfrak{P}} \frac{(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})}{\mathfrak{P}} : \mathfrak{P} \text{ a prime of } T \right\},$$

by Corollary 3.6. This implies the equivalence of (i) and (ii). Corollary 4.4 gives the equivalence of (iv) and (v). Note that for every $T \in \mathcal{S}$, every fractional T -ideal I is also a fractional S -ideal. So we have $g_T(I) \leq g_S(I)$. Hence $g(S) = \max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{g(T)\}$. In particular, (v) and (vi) are equivalent.

We now show that (iii) is equivalent to (vi). Denote by M the S -module \mathcal{O}_K/S . By Lemma 2.14 we have that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ only for finitely many primes \mathfrak{p} of S . By Nakayama's Lemma and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have

$$g_S(M) = \max \left\{ \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M} \right) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime of } S \right\}.$$

Observe that for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K}.$$

Moreover, we have a short exact sequence of S/\mathfrak{p} -vector spaces

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} \rightarrow 0.$$

As shown in Equation (6), we have that $(S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K)/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$ has dimension 1 over S/\mathfrak{p} . Hence by Equations (8) and (9), we get

$$\dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K} \right) = \dim_{S/\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M} \right) + 1.$$

By Corollary 4.4, taking the maximum over the primes of S we get

$$g(S) = g_S(M) + 1,$$

as required.

To conclude we show that (i) and (vi) are equivalent, that is, that we have an equality

$$g(S) = 1 + \max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{\text{type}(T)\}.$$

By Proposition 4.5, we obtain

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} g(S) &= 1 + \max \{\text{type}(S + \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K) : \mathfrak{p} \text{ a prime of } S\} \\ &\leq 1 + \max_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \{\text{type}(T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the other direction, pick any non-maximal $T \in \mathcal{S}$ and let \mathfrak{P} be prime of T . We claim that

$$(11) \quad \dim_{T/\mathfrak{P}} \frac{(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})}{\mathfrak{P}} = g_T((\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})).$$

Indeed, if \mathfrak{P} is invertible then by Lemma 2.8 we have that $T = (\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})$. On the other hand, if \mathfrak{P} is not invertible then $(\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})$ is a non-principal fractional T -ideal. Hence Equation (11) follows from Lemma 4.2. Now, combining Equation (11) and Corollary 3.6, we see

$$\text{type}(T) + 1 = \max \{g_T((\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P})) : \mathfrak{P} \text{ a prime of } T\}$$

which is bounded from above by $g(T)$. As we already observed before, we have $g(T) \leq g(S)$, which gives us

$$\text{type}(T) + 1 \leq g(S),$$

as required to complete the proof. \square

Remark 4.8. In [Mar20b], we showed that an order S in a number field satisfies $g(S) \leq 3$ if and only if every overorder T of S is *super-multiplicative*, that is, for every pair of fractional T -ideals I and J contained in T we have

$$N(IJ) \geq N(I)N(J),$$

where the ideal norm $N(I)$ (resp. $N(J)$ and $N(IJ)$) is defined as the size of the finite abelian group T/I (resp. T/J and T/IJ). In view of Theorem 4.7, we can state that every overorder T of S is supermultiplicative if and only if for every overorder T of S we have $\text{type}(T) \leq 2$.

We conclude Section 4 by showing that the type of the orders in a non-zero étale Q -algebra K is bounded. Note that the only order in Q is Z , which has type 1. To avoid this trivial case, we will assume in the next proposition that $\dim_Q(K) > 1$.

Proposition 4.9. *Assume that $\dim_Q(K) > 1$. Then the type of an order in K is bounded by $\dim_Q(K) - 1$. Moreover, this bound is attained: for any prime p of Z the order $T = Z + p\mathcal{O}_K$ satisfies $\text{type}(T) = \dim_Q(K) - 1$.*

Proof. Pick S any order in K . If S is Gorenstein then $\text{type}(S) = 1$ by Proposition 3.4. So we assume that S is not Gorenstein. By definition, there exists a prime \mathfrak{p} of S such that $\text{type}(S) = \text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S)$. By Proposition 3.3, the prime \mathfrak{p} is not invertible. Combining Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 4.2 we obtain $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = g_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}) - 1$. Also we have the equality

$$g_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}) = g_{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Let p be the contraction in Z of \mathfrak{p} . Applying $(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) \otimes_S -$ to the isomorphism in Equation (4), we see that $(\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a free \hat{Z}_p -module of rank $\leq \dim_Q(K)$. In particular $g_{\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}}((\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p}) \otimes_S \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \dim_Q(K)$. We conclude that $\text{type}(S) \leq \dim_Q(K) - 1$, as required.

Now we prove the second part. Let p be any prime of Z and define $T = Z + p\mathcal{O}_K$ as in the statement. Put $F_p = Z/p$. We have a ring isomorphism

$$\frac{T}{p\mathcal{O}_K} \simeq \frac{Z}{Z \cap p\mathcal{O}_K} = F_p,$$

where the last equality holds because $Z \cap p\mathcal{O}_K = p$ by maximality of p . In particular, we get that $p\mathcal{O}_K$ is a prime of T with residue field isomorphic to F_p . Since $\dim_Q(K) = \dim_{F_p}(\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K) > 1$ we see that $T \neq \mathcal{O}_K$. Thus the inclusion $p\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq (T : \mathcal{O}_K)$ is an equality. Hence Lemma 2.14.(i) tells us that $p\mathcal{O}_K$ is the unique non-invertible prime of T . Then Proposition 3.3 gives us that $\text{type}(T) = \text{type}_{p\mathcal{O}_K}(T)$. Note that the multiplier ring of $p\mathcal{O}_K$ is \mathcal{O}_K . Using Proposition 3.5, we get

$$\text{type}(T) = \dim_{F_p} \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{p\mathcal{O}_K} - 1 = \dim_Q(K) - 1.$$

□

5. IDEAL CLASSES

Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q and let K be a non-zero Q -étale algebra. Pick an order S in K . Recall that two fractional S -ideals I and J are *isomorphic* as S -modules if and only if there exists $\alpha \in K^\times$ such that $\alpha I = J$. The set of isomorphism classes of invertible fractional S -ideal, the *Picard group* of S , which we denote $\text{Pic}(S)$, has a natural group structure induced by fractional ideal multiplication. Similarly, the set of isomorphism classes of all fractional S -ideals forms a commutative monoid that we denote by $\text{ICM}(S)$ and call the *ideal class monoid* of S . The ideal class monoid has a natural partitioning

$$\text{ICM}(S) = \bigsqcup_T \text{ICM}_T(S),$$

where the disjoint union is taken over the overorders T of S , and $\text{ICM}_T(S)$ consists of the classes with multiplier ring T .

We say that two fractional S -ideals I and J are *weakly equivalent* if $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S . This notion was introduced in [DTZ62] for orders in number fields. Denote by the $\mathcal{W}(S)$ the monoid of weak equivalence classes of fractional S -ideals. As we will see in the next proposition, the multiplier ring of a fractional S -ideal is an invariant of its weak equivalence class. Hence we have a partitioning

$$\mathcal{W}(S) = \bigsqcup_T \mathcal{W}_T(S),$$

where the disjoint union is taken over the over-orders T of S , and $\mathcal{W}_T(S)$ is the subset of $\mathcal{W}(S)$ consisting of the classes with multiplier ring equal to T .

In the next proposition, we summarize some useful facts about isomorphism and weak equivalence classes, and how invertible ideals connect the two notions. More details can be found in [Mar20a].

Proposition 5.1. *Let S be an order.*

- (i) *Let I and J be two fractional S -ideals. Then the following are equivalent*
 - *I and J are weakly equivalent.*
 - *$1 \in (I : J)(J : I)$.*
 - *I and J have the same multiplier ring, say T , and there exists an invertible fractional T -ideal L such that $I = LJ$.*
- (ii) *Let T be an overorder of S . Let T_0 be the smallest overorder of T such that the extension to T_0 of every fractional T -ideal with multiplier ring T is invertible. Put $\mathfrak{f}_0 = (T : T_0)$. Then every class in $\mathcal{W}_T(S)$ admits a representative I such that*

$$\mathfrak{f}_0 \subseteq I \subseteq T_0.$$

- (iii) *Fix an overorder T of S . Then there is a free action of $\text{Pic}(T)$ on $\text{ICM}_T(S)$ with quotient $\mathcal{W}_T(S)$.*

Proof. The statements for orders over \mathbb{Z} in a non-zero étale \mathbb{Q} -algebras can be found in [Mar20a, Prop 4.1, Prop. 5.1, Thm. 4.6]. The same proofs are valid also for the more general case under consideration in this paper. Possibly, the only fact used in proving (i) that is not obvious in our more general setting is that the extension map induces a surjective group homomorphism from $\text{Pic}(S)$ onto $\text{Pic}(T)$. The proof of this for the case $T = \mathcal{O}_K$ can be found in [Wie84, Sec. 2], and it works in the same way in the general case, as stated in [LW85, Eq. (4.3.1)]. \square

Remark 5.2. Part (i) gives a method to verify if two fractional ideals are weakly equivalent as long as we have a method to compute products and colons of fractional ideals, and check inclusion of elements.

From Part (i) and Part (ii), we obtain a method to compute $\mathcal{W}_T(S)$ if the quotient T_0/\mathfrak{f}_0 has finitely many sub- S -modules and we have a procedure to loop over all of them. This is the case for example if the quotient of every two Z -lattices is a finite set. Indeed, in that case we can use algorithms to enumerate subgroups in order to obtain all the submodules. See for example [FHS19, Sec. 5.2].

Then we can use Part (iii) to compute $\text{ICM}_T(S)$ if we have an algorithm to compute $\text{Pic}(T)$. Such an algorithm for orders over \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ is given in [KP05].

Finally, these steps allow us to compute the whole $\text{ICM}(S)$ if we can compute the overorders T of S . We refer to [HS20] for an effective method.

Thanks to Proposition 5.1 we can reformulate the properties of being Gorenstein and Bass for an order in terms of ideal classes.

Corollary 5.3. *Let T be an overorder of an order S . Then T is Gorenstein if and only if $|\mathcal{W}_T(S)| = 1$ if and only if $\text{Pic}(T) = \text{ICM}_T(S)$. The order S is Bass if and only if*

$$\text{ICM}(S) = \bigsqcup_T \text{Pic}(T),$$

where the disjoint union is taken over the overorders T of S .

In the next Section we prove an analogous result for orders of type 2. More precisely, in Corollary 6.5 we will show that also in this case we completely understand $\text{ICM}_T(S)$ for an overorder of S of $\text{type}(T) = 2$.

6. ORDERS WITH CM TYPE 2

Let Z be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions Q and let K be a non-zero Q -étale algebra. Let S be an order in K . We start by recalling a lemma from linear algebra.

Lemma 6.1 ([Mar20c, Lemma 4.9]). *Let U, V and W be vector spaces over a field k , with W of dimension ≥ 2 . Let $\varphi : U \otimes V \rightarrow W$ be a surjective linear map. Then there exists an element $u \in U$ such that $\dim_k \varphi(u \otimes V) \geq 2$, or there exists an element $v \in V$ such that $\dim_k \varphi(U \otimes v) \geq 2$.*

In the next Theorem, given an order S with local type 2, we give a complete classification of the local isomorphism classes of fractional S -ideals with multiplier ring S .

Theorem 6.2. *Let S be an order, \mathfrak{p} a prime of S and I a fractional S -ideal with $(I : I) = S$. Assume that $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S) = 2$. Then either $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ or $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (S^t)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. Put $k = S/\mathfrak{p}$ and consider the following finite dimensional k -vector spaces:

$$U = \frac{I}{\mathfrak{p}I}, \quad V = \frac{I^t}{\mathfrak{p}I^t}, \quad W = \frac{S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t}.$$

By Lemma 2.4.(iii) we have that $S^t = (I : I)^t = II^t$. It follows that the multiplication map $I \otimes_S I^t \rightarrow S^t$ is a surjective S -linear morphism. In particular the induced k -linear morphism

$$\pi : U \otimes_k V \rightarrow W$$

is a surjective map onto a 2-dimensional vector space. By Lemma 6.1 there exists

- (i) $u \in I$ such that $\pi(u + \mathfrak{p}I \otimes V) = W$, or
- (ii) $v \in I^t$ such that $\pi(U \otimes v + \mathfrak{p}I^t) = W$.

Assume that (i) holds, that is,

$$\pi(u + \mathfrak{p}I \otimes V) = \frac{uI^t + \mathfrak{p}S^t}{\mathfrak{p}S^t} = W.$$

If we write $I^t = \sum_{k=1}^r \beta_k S$ then $\{u\beta_k + \mathfrak{p}S^t\}$ is a finite set of generators over k for W . By Nakayama's Lemma we obtain that $\{u\beta_k\}$ gives a set of generators over $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$. By identifying u with its image in $\mathcal{Q}(\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ we see that $u\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t = \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$, which is equivalent to $\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = u\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By [Eis95, Ex. 7.5, p. 203] we get that $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as an $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. If (ii) holds, then by a similar argument we get that $v\hat{I}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}^t$ and hence $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq (S^t)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let S be a non-Gorenstein order. Then the following are equivalent:*

- $\text{type}(S) = 2$.
- $\max\{g_S(I) : \text{fractional } S\text{-ideal } I \text{ with } S = (I : I)\} = 2$

Proof. Recall that for every prime \mathfrak{p} of S , we have $g_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I/\mathfrak{p}I)$ for every fractional S -ideal I by Nakayama's Lemma. Define

$$m = \max\{g_S(I) : \text{fractional } S\text{-ideal } I \text{ with } (I : I) = S\}.$$

Assume that $\text{type}(S) = 2$. By Lemma 4.2 this is equivalent to $g_S(S^t) = 2$. Since $(S^t : S^t) = S$ we then have $m \geq 2$. Now, let I be any fractional S -ideal with $(I : I) = S$. By Theorem 6.2 we have $g_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq 2$, and hence by Lemma 4.2, we also have $g_S(I) \leq 2$. Since I was arbitrary we get also $m \leq 2$. Therefore $m = 2$, as required.

For the converse, assume that $m = 2$. Since S is not Gorenstein, we have that $g_S(S^t) > 1$ and hence $g_S(S^t) = 2$. Hence we get that $\text{type}(S) = 2$. \square

After a technical lemma, we conclude Section 6 with an application of the machinery built so far to the computations of isomorphism classes, followed by Example 6.6.

Lemma 6.4. *Let S be an order and let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ be distinct primes of S . Let I_1, \dots, I_r be fractional S -ideals contained in S , possibly with repetitions. Let m_1, \dots, m_r be non-negative integers such that*

$$\mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i} \subseteq I_{i, \mathfrak{p}_i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Put

$$J := \sum_{i=1}^r \left((I_i + \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i}) \prod_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j^{m_j} \right).$$

Then for each $1 \leq k \leq r$ we have

$$J_{\mathfrak{p}_k} = I_{k, \mathfrak{p}_k}.$$

Proof. Fix an index k . For $1 \leq i \leq r$, by Lemma 2.11, we have

$$(I_i + \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i})_{\mathfrak{p}_k} = \begin{cases} I_{k, \mathfrak{p}_k}, & \text{if } i = k \\ S_{\mathfrak{p}_k}, & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left(\prod_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j^{m_j} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}_k} = \begin{cases} S_{\mathfrak{p}_k}, & \text{if } i = k \\ \mathfrak{p}_k^{m_k}, & \text{if } i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathfrak{p}_k} &= \left((I_k + \mathfrak{p}_k^{m_k}) \prod_{j \neq k} \mathfrak{p}_j^{m_j} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}_k} + \sum_{i \neq k} \left((I_i + \mathfrak{p}_i^{m_i}) \prod_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j^{m_j} \right)_{\mathfrak{p}_k} \\ &= I_{k, \mathfrak{p}_k} S_{\mathfrak{p}_k} + S_{\mathfrak{p}_k} \mathfrak{p}_k^{m_k} \\ &= I_{k, \mathfrak{p}_k} + \mathfrak{p}_k^{m_k} \\ &= I_{k, \mathfrak{p}_k}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Corollary 6.5. *Let $S \subseteq T$ be orders such that $\text{type}(T) = 2$. Let N be the number of primes \mathfrak{p} of T such that $\text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = 2$. Then $|\mathcal{W}_T(S)| = 2^N$ and $|\text{ICM}_T(S)| = 2^N \cdot |\text{Pic}(T)|$.*

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 6.4. \square

Example 6.6. Consider the polynomial

$$f = x^6 - 6x^5 + 6x^4 + 43x^3 + 96x^2 - 1536x + 4096$$

Consider the étale \mathbb{Q} -algebra $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f)$. Denote by π the class of the variable x in K . Put $S = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ in K . There are 3 non-invertible primes of S : the unique primes $\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_3$ and \mathfrak{p}_5 above 2, 3 and 5, respectively. The dimension of $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i \mathcal{O}_K$ over S/\mathfrak{p}_i , for $i = 2, 3$ and 5, are 3, 3 and 2, respectively. By Theorem 4.7, all the overorders of S are either Gorenstein or have type 2, and there is at least one overorder with type 2.

One computes that S has 1728 overorders, 416 of which are Gorenstein. Using Corollary 6.5, we can compute that there are 3920 weak equivalences classes, and, after computing all the Picard group, we see that there are 17638816 isomorphism classes.

We now exhibit two applications of this computation. As described in [Mar20a, Corollary 8.2], using a generalization of the Latimer-MacDuffee Theorem [LM33], we have a bijection between the conjugacy classes of \mathbb{Z} -matrices with characteristic polynomial f and $\text{ICM}(S)$. Hence we deduce that there are 17638816 conjugacy classes of \mathbb{Z} -matrices with characteristic polynomial f .

The second application is about abelian varieties over finite fields. The polynomial f above defines by Honda-Tate theory an isogeny class of ordinary abelian varieties over the finite field \mathbb{F}_{16} . See the LMFDB [LMF22] label 3.16.ag_g.br. Using [Mar21, Corollary 4.4], we see that this isogeny class contains as many isomorphism classes of abelian varieties as the size of $\text{ICM}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi, 16/\pi])$, which is a subset of $\text{ICM}(S)$. In particular, we check that there are 15112 such classes.

7. COMPARISON WITH OTHER NOTIONS OF CLOSE-TO-BEING GORENSTEIN

In the literature there are several conditions that a ring can satisfy that make it close-to-being Gorenstein. In this section, when applicable, we will study them in terms of their type. As usual, we will denote by Q the field of fractions of a Dedekind domain, and by K a non-zero étale Q -algebra.

7.1. Nearly Gorenstein. Let R be a ring and M an R -module. The *trace ideal* of M is defined as

$$\text{tr } M = \sum_{f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, R)} f(M).$$

See for example [DKT21a, Def. 2.1]. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with canonical module C . Then R is called *nearly Gorenstein* if $\text{tr } C$ contains \mathfrak{m} . Such a notion was introduced and studied in [HHS19].

If S is an order in K then by Lemma 2.1 we have an identification

$$\text{tr } S^t = \sum_{f \in (S : S^t)} f \cdot S^t = S^t(S : S^t).$$

Since we are going to work locally, in order to lighten the notation, we set $R = \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime of S . In particular, R^t is the canonical module of R and $\text{type}(R) = \text{type}_{\mathfrak{p}}(S)$ by Proposition 3.1. Using this notation, R is nearly Gorenstein if and only if

$$\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R^t(R : R^t).$$

Observe that the inclusion is strict if and only if $R^t(R : R^t) = R$, that is, $\text{type}(R) = 1$, or equivalently R is Gorenstein by Proposition 3.4.

If R is nearly Gorenstein, but not Gorenstein, then the type of R is

$$\text{type}(R) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \frac{R^t}{(R^t)^2(R : R^t)}.$$

By Lemma 2.4.(iii), we get the following equalities of R/\mathfrak{m} -vector spaces

$$\frac{R^t}{(R^t)^2(R : R^t)} = \frac{R^t}{(R : R^t)^t(R : R^t)} = \frac{R^t}{T^t},$$

where T is the multiplier ring of $(R : R^t)$. Similarly, by multiplying $m = R^t(R : R^t)$ by R^t , we get that $\mathfrak{m}R^t = T^t$. Hence $(R : \mathfrak{m}) = T$, which by Lemma 2.2 leads to $T = (\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$. We summarize these observations in the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *If R is nearly Gorenstein, but not Gorenstein, then*

$$\text{type}(R) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \frac{R^t}{(R^t)^2(R : R^t)}.$$

Moreover, the multiplier ring $(\mathfrak{m} : \mathfrak{m})$ of \mathfrak{m} equals the multiplier ring of $(R : R^t)$.

7.2. Almost Gorenstein and Weakly almost Gorenstein. Let R be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of Krull dimension 1 with normalization \overline{R} and conductor $\mathfrak{f} = (R : \overline{R})$. We say that R is *almost Gorenstein* if

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\overline{R}}{R} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{f}} \right) + \text{type}(R) - 1,$$

where $\ell_R(-)$ is the length as an R -module. The study of almost Gorenstein rings, where this definition was given, started with [BF97]. It was extended in [GMP13] and [GTT15]. It is known that almost Gorenstein rings are nearly Gorenstein, see [HHS19, Prop. 6.1].

Let S be an order in K and \mathfrak{p} a prime of S . We work locally, and again we simplify the notation by setting $R = \hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}\hat{S}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\overline{R} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{K,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{f} = (R : \overline{R})$.

We can rephrase the definition of the type of R using the length as

$$\text{type}(R) = \ell_R \left(\frac{R^t}{\mathfrak{m}R^t} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{(R : \mathfrak{m})}{R} \right),$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 2.4.(iii) and (iv). Using that the length is an additive function on short exact sequences, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 7.2. *The order R is almost Gorenstein if and only if*

$$\ell_R \left(\frac{\overline{R}}{R} \right) = \ell_R \left(\frac{(R : \mathfrak{m})}{\mathfrak{f}} \right) - 1.$$

Related to the definition of almost Gorenstein, there is the notion of *weakly almost Gorenstein rings* introduced in [DKT21b]. In Krull dimension one, the two notions coincide, see [DKT21b, Thm. 1.3].

REFERENCES

- [Bas63] Hyman Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), 8–28. MR 0153708 (27 #3669)
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265. MR MR1484478
- [BF65] Z. I. Borevič and D. K. Faddeev, *Representations of orders with cyclic index*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **80** (1965), 51–65. MR 0205980
- [BF97] Valentina Barucci and Ralf Fröberg, *One-dimensional almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **188** (1997), no. 2, 418–442. MR 1435367
- [BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR 1251956
- [BL94] J. Buchmann and H. W. Lenstra, Jr., *Approximating rings of integers in number fields*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **6** (1994), no. 2, 221–260. MR 1360644 (96m:11092)
- [Bou89] Nicolas Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–7*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1972 edition. MR 979760
- [DKT21a] Hailong Dao, Toshinori Kobayashi, and Ryo Takahashi, *Trace ideals of canonical modules, annihilators of Ext modules, and classes of rings close to being Gorenstein*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), no. 9, Paper No. 106655, 18. MR 4195890
- [DKT21b] ———, *Trace ideals of canonical modules, annihilators of Ext modules, and classes of rings close to being Gorenstein*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), no. 9, Paper No. 106655, 18. MR 4195890
- [DTZ62] E. C. Dade, O. Taussky, and H. Zassenhaus, *On the theory of orders, in particular on the semigroup of ideal classes and genera of an order in an algebraic number field*, Math. Ann. **148** (1962), 31–64. MR 140544
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry. MR 1322960
- [FHS19] Claus Fieker, Tommy Hofmann, and Carlo Sircana, *On the construction of class fields*, Proceedings of the Thirteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium, Open Book Ser., vol. 2, Math. Sci. Publ., Berkeley, CA, 2019, pp. 239–255. MR 3952015
- [GMP13] Shiro Goto, Naoyuki Matsuoka, and Tran Thi Phuong, *Almost Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **379** (2013), 355–381. MR 3019262
- [Gre82] Cornelius Greither, *On the two generator problem for the ideals of a one-dimensional ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **24** (1982), no. 3, 265–276. MR 656848
- [GTT15] Shiro Goto, Ryo Takahashi, and Naoki Taniguchi, *Almost Gorenstein rings—towards a theory of higher dimension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 7, 2666–2712. MR 3313502
- [HHS19] Jürgen Herzog, Takayuki Hibi, and Dumitru I. Stamate, *The trace of the canonical module*, Israel J. Math. **233** (2019), no. 1, 133–165. MR 4013970
- [HS20] Tommy Hofmann and Carlo Sircana, *On the computation of overorders*, Int. J. Number Theory **16** (2020), no. 4, 857–879. MR 4093387
- [JT15] Christian U. Jensen and Anders Thorup, *Gorenstein orders*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 3, 551–562. MR 3279374
- [KP05] Jürgen Klüners and Sebastian Pauli, *Computing residue class rings and Picard groups of orders*, J. Algebra **292** (2005), no. 1, 47–64. MR 2166795 (2006f:11142)

- [Lam99] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. MR 1653294
- [LM33] Claiborne G. Latimer and C. C. MacDuffee, *A correspondence between classes of ideals and classes of matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 2, 313–316. MR 1503108
- [LMF22] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2022, [Online; accessed 18 March 2022].
- [LW85] Lawrence S. Levy and Roger Wiegand, *Dedekind-like behavior of rings with 2-generated ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **37** (1985), no. 1, 41–58. MR 794792
- [Mar20a] Stefano Marseglia, *Computing the ideal class monoid of an order*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **101** (2020), no. 3, 984–1007. MR 4111932
- [Mar20b] ———, *Super-multiplicativity of ideal norms in number fields*, Acta Arith. **193** (2020), no. 1, 75–93. MR 4059936
- [Mar20c] ———, *Super-multiplicativity of ideal norms in number fields*, Acta Arith. **193** (2020), no. 1, 75–93. MR 4059936
- [Mar21] ———, *Computing square-free polarized abelian varieties over finite fields*, Math. Comp. **90** (2021), no. 328, 953–971. MR 4194169
- [Ooi76] Akira Ooishi, *Matlis duality and the width of a module*, Hiroshima Math. J. **6** (1976), no. 3, 573–587. MR 422243
- [Rei03] Irving Reiner, *Maximal orders*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 28, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003. MR 1972204
- [Wie84] Roger Wiegand, *Cancellation over commutative rings of dimension one and two*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), no. 2, 438–459. MR 747527

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UTRECHT UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 80010, 3508 TA,
UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS

Email address: `s.marseglia@uu.nl`