

Near Ascending HNN-Extensions and a Combination Result for Semistability at Infinity

Michael Mihalik

June 10, 2022

Abstract

Semistability at ∞ is an asymptotic property of finitely presented groups that is needed in order to effectively define the fundamental group at ∞ for a 1-ended group. It is an open problem whether or not all finitely presented groups have semistable fundamental group at ∞ . While many classes of groups are known to contain only semistable at ∞ groups, there are only a few combination results for such groups. Our main theorem is such a result.

Main Theorem. Suppose G is the fundamental group of a connected reduced graph of groups, where each edge group is infinite and finitely generated, and each vertex group is finitely presented and either 1-ended and semistable at ∞ or has an edge group of finite index. Then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ .

An important part of the proof of this result is the semistability part of the following:

Theorem. Suppose H_0 is an infinite finitely presented group, H_1 is a subgroup of finite index in H_0 , $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_0$ is a monomorphism and $G = H_0 *_\phi$ is the resulting HNN extension. Then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . If additionally, H_0 is 1-ended, then G is simply connected at ∞ .

1 Introduction

A connected locally finite complex K has *semistable fundamental group at ∞* if any two proper rays converging to the same end of K are properly homotopic. If for any compact $C \subset K$ there is a compact $D \subset K$ such that loops in $K - D$ are homotopically trivial in $K - C$, then K is *simply connected at ∞* . If G is a finitely presented group then G has semistable (respectively simply connected) fundamental group at ∞ if for some (equivalently any) finite complex X with $\pi_1(X) = G$, the universal cover of X has semistable (respectively simply connected) fundamental group at ∞ . Both semistability and simple connectivity at ∞ are quasi-isometry invariants of finitely presented groups ([Geo08] and [Bri93]). If a finitely presented group G has semistable fundamental group at ∞ (respectively is simply connected at ∞) then $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian (respectively trivial) (See Theorems 16.5.1 and 16.5.2, [Geo08]). A open question (apparently due to H. Hopf) ask if $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}G)$ is free abelian for all finitely presented groups G . There are many classes of groups, all of whose (finitely presented) members are know to have semistable fundamental group at ∞ . These include word hyperbolic groups (combining work of B. Bowditch [Bow99], G. Levitt [Lev98], G. Swarup [Swa96] and M. Bestvina and G. Mess [BM91]), CAT(0) cube groups (S. Shepherd [She]), Artin and Coxeter groups (Theorems 1.1 and 1.4, [Mih96]), many relatively hyperbolic groups ((Theorem 1.1, [MS21]) and (Theorem 1.5, [HM])) and groups containing a finitely generated infinite sub-commensurated subgroup of infinite index (Theorem 1.9, [Mih16]). There are only a few semistability combination results. Perhaps the most significant one states:

Theorem 1.1 ([MT92]) (**MTComb**) *If G is the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups where each vertex group is finitely presented with semistable fundamental group at ∞ , and each edge group is finitely generated, then G has semistable fundamental group at ∞ .*

Notice that finitely generated free groups trivially have semistable fundamental group at ∞ . Exotic 1-ended groups can be written as $G_1 *_{G_3} G_2$ for G_i a finitely generated free group. These groups have semistable fundamental group at ∞ by Theorem 1.1.

Example 1.2 (Examples3) *Let F_i be the free group of rank $i > 0$. Three groups Λ_1 , Λ_2 and Λ_3 are constructed in [Rat07]. Each group Λ_i can be*

decomposed in two ways as amalgamated products $F_9 *_{F_{81}} F_9$, such that F_{81} has index 10 in both F_9 factors. The group Λ_1 is a finitely presented, torsion free simple group. The groups Λ_2 and Λ_3 are not simple, but Λ_2 is virtually simple and Λ_3 has no non-trivial finite quotients.

Since the F_{81} edge group has finite index in each vertex group, it is commensurated in each Λ_i . This gives a second way of seeing the Λ_i have semistable fundamental group at ∞ (see Theorem 2.2). Our main theorem generalizes this phenomenon.

Theorem 1.3 (SSDecomp) *Suppose G is the fundamental group of a connected reduced graph of groups, where each edge group is infinite and finitely generated, and each vertex group is finitely presented and either 1-ended and semistable at ∞ or has an edge group of finite index. Then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ .*

First a clarification. If an edge e is a loop at a vertex v in our graph, then the group G_v has two subgroups G_1 and G_2 , both of which are isomorphic to G_e . There is an isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ determining a subgroup of G that is an HNN-extension of G_v . If either G_1 or G_2 has finite index in G_v , we say G_e has finite index in G_v .

In the setting of this theorem, even when the graph is an edge path loop (with at least 2 vertices) and each edge group has finite index in its initial vertex, it seems that one cannot use commensurability ideas in order to prove semistability. Instead, for such a loop, the intersection of the edge groups will have finite index in the first vertex group producing an important *near ascending HNN-extension* subgroup as considered in the following result.

Theorem 1.4 (MMFI) *Suppose H_0 is an infinite finitely presented group, H_1 is a subgroup of finite index in H_0 , $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_0$ is a monomorphism and $G = H_0 *_{\phi}$ is the resulting HNN extension. Then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . If additionally, H_0 is 1-ended, then G is simply connected at ∞ .*

If $H_0 = H_1$ then G is an *ascending HNN-extension* with base group H_0 . This case of Theorem 1.4 was proved as (Theorem 3.1, [Mih85]), but is insufficient for our purposes. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is fundamentally more difficult than that of (Theorem 3.1, [Mih85]). This theorem is a critical piece of our proof of the Main Theorem.

Semistability preliminaries are covered in §2. We prove that a near ascending HNN-extension of an infinite finitely generated group is 1-ended in §3. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is presented in §4 and the proof of the Main Theorem is concluded in §5.

2 Semistability and End Preliminaries (SS)

While semistability makes sense for multiple ended spaces, we are only interested in 1-ended spaces in this article. Suppose K is a locally finite connected CW complex. A *ray* in K is a continuous map $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow K$. A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *proper* if for each compact subset C of Y , $f^{-1}(C)$ is compact in X . Proper rays $r, s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow K$ *converge to the same end* if for any compact set C in K , there is an integer $k(C)$ such that $r([k, \infty))$ and $s([k, \infty))$ belong to the same component of $K - C$. The space K has *semistable fundamental group at ∞* if any two proper rays $r, s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow K$ that converge to the same end are properly homotopic (there is a proper map $H : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ such that $H(0, t) = r(t)$ and $H(1, t) = s(t)$). Note that when K is 1-ended, this means that K has semistable fundamental group at ∞ if any two proper rays in K are properly homotopic. Suppose C_0, C_1, \dots is a collection of compact subsets of a locally finite 1-ended complex K such that C_i is a subset of the interior of C_{i+1} and $\cup_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i = K$, and $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow K$ is proper, then $\pi_1^{\infty}(K, r)$ is the inverse limit of the inverse system of groups:

$$\pi_1(K - C_0, r) \leftarrow \pi_1(K - C_1, r) \leftarrow \dots$$

This inverse system is pro-isomorphic to an inverse system of groups with epimorphic bonding maps if and only if K has semistable fundamental group at ∞ (see Theorem 2.1 of [Mih83] or Theorem 16.1.2 of [Geo08]).

(Theorem 2.1, [Mih83]) and (Lemma 9, [Mih86a]) promote several equivalent notions of semistability. We will use the second formulation in the next result to prove Theorem 1.4 and the third formulation in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 2.1 (ssequiv) *Suppose K is a 1-ended, locally finite and connected CW-complex. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. K has semistable fundamental group at ∞ .

2. For some (equivalently any) proper ray $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow K$ and compact set C , there is a compact set D such that for any third compact set E and loop α based on r and with image in $K - D$, α is homotopic $\text{rel}\{r\}$ to a loop in $K - E$, by a homotopy with image in $K - C$.
3. For any compact set C there is a compact set D such that if r and s are rays based at v and with image in $K - D$, then r and s are properly homotopic $\text{rel}\{v\}$, by a proper homotopy in $K - C$.

If in addition K is simply connected, then:

4. If r and s are rays based at v then r and s are properly homotopic $\text{rel}\{v\}$.

In a connected locally finite CW-complex K , every proper ray is properly homotopic to a proper edge path ray and every loop at a vertex of K is homotopic (by a small homotopy) to an edge path loop.

A subgroup H of a group G is *commensurated* in G if for each $g \in G$, the subgroup $H \cap (g^{-1}Hg)$ has finite index in both H and $g^{-1}Hg$. The following two results will be used in our proof of Theorem 1.3. There is a notion of semistability at ∞ for finitely generated groups that agrees with our definitions when the group is finitely presented. We mention this fact since it comes up in the following two results.

Theorem 2.2 ([CM14]) (**MainCM**) *If a finitely generated group G has an infinite, finitely generated, commensurated subgroup Q , and Q has infinite index in G , then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ .*

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 3, [Mih86b]) (**MComb**) *Suppose A and B are finitely generated 1 or 2-ended subgroups of the finitely generated group G and both A and B are semistable at ∞ . If the set $A \cup B$ generates G and the group $A \cap B$ contains a finitely generated infinite subgroup then G has semistable fundamental group at ∞ .*

The conditions of the following result are the most general we know in order to define ends of a space. In this article we are only interested in locally finite CW-complexes.

Theorem 2.4 (**ES**) *Suppose X is connected, locally compact, locally connected and Hausdorff and C is compact in X , then C union all bounded components of $(X - C)$ is compact, and $X - C$ has only finitely many unbounded components. Here bounded means compact closure.*

If X satisfies hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, then the *number of ends* of X is the largest number of unbounded components one can obtain in the compliment of a compact subset of X . If this number is unbounded, then X has infinitely many ends. If K is a locally finite, connected CW complex, then K has 1-end if and only if any two proper rays in K converge to the same end. Note that if two proper rays are properly homotopic, then they converge to the same end.

3 Near Ascending HNN-Extensions are 1-ended (NHH1E)

If A is a group with finite generating set \mathcal{A} then let $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{A})$ be the Cayley graph of A with respect to \mathcal{A} . This means that the vertex set of $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{A})$ is A and there is an edge (labeled a) between the vertex v and w if $va = w$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The following result is somewhat standard. We include it for completeness.

Theorem 3.1 (MMF11) *Suppose H_0 is an infinite finitely generated group, H_1 is a subgroup of finite index in H_0 , $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_0$ is a monomorphism and $G = H_0 *_\phi$ is the resulting HNN extension. Then G is 1-ended.*

Proof: Choose a finite generating set \mathcal{H}_0 for H_0 where $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_0$ generates H_1 . Then \mathcal{H}_0 along with t (the stable letter) generates G . Consider:

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(H_1, \mathcal{H}_1) \subset \Gamma_0 = \Gamma(H_0, \mathcal{H}_0) \subset \Gamma = \Gamma(G, \{t\} \cup \mathcal{H}_0).$$

If v (an element of G) is a vertex of Γ , then $v\Gamma_0$ and $v\Gamma_1$ are translates of Γ_0 and Γ_1 respectively. Note that if w is a vertex of $v\Gamma_i$ ($i \in \{0, 1\}$) then $v\Gamma_i = w\Gamma_i$. Our metric on Γ is the edge path metric. If v is a vertex of Γ and $M > 0$ an integer, then $B(v, M)$ is the ball of radius M about v . Let $*$ be the identity vertex of Γ . There is a continuous map $P : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(*) = 0$, each edge labeled t is mapped to an interval $[n, n + 1]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each edge labeled by an element of \mathcal{H}_0 is mapped to an integer. This map extends the homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that kills the normal closure of H_0 .

We show that for any integer N there is an integer $M > N$ such that any two vertices of $\Gamma - B(*, M)$ can be joined in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$. There are only finitely many $v\Gamma_0$ that intersect $B(*, N)$ non-trivially. Choose M such

that for each such $v\Gamma_0$, all bounded components of $v\Gamma_0 - B(*, N)$ belong to $B(*, M)$ (see Theorem 2.4). The next lemma follow easily from the fact that A_1 has finite index in A_0 .

Lemma 3.2 (Fclose) *Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ are finite generating sets for the groups $A_1 \subset A_0$ and that A_1 has finite index in A_0 . Then there is an integer $N_{3.2}(A_0, \mathcal{A}_0, A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ such that if v and w are vertices of $\Gamma(A_0, \mathcal{A}_0)$ then there is an edge path in $\Gamma(A_0, \mathcal{A}_0)$ of length $\leq N_{3.2}(G_0, \mathcal{A}_0, G_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ from v to a vertex of $w\Gamma_1(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$.*

From this point on we let $N_{3.2} = N_{3.2}(H_0, \mathcal{H}_0, H_1, \mathcal{H}_1)$. Suppose $e = (v, w)$ is an edge labeled t and either v or w is in $B(*, M)$. Let α_v be an edge path at v such that each edge of α is labeled by an element of \mathcal{H}_1 and such that the end point a of α_v is in $\Gamma - B(*, M + 1)$. Let $e_1 = (a, b)$ be the edge at a labeled t and note that e_1 has image in $\Gamma - B(*, M)$. For each $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$, we have $t^{-1}ht = \phi(h) \in H_0$ and so there is an edge path β_w in $w\Gamma_0$ from w to b . Now suppose x and y are vertices of $\Gamma - B(*, M)$. We want to find a path in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$ connecting x and y . Let τ be any edge path from x to y in Γ . If $e = (v, w)$ is an edge of τ labeled t , such that either v or w belongs to $B(*, M)$ then replace e by the path $(\alpha_v, e_1, \beta_w^{-1})$ (above). In this way we produce an edge path τ_1 from x to y such that each t labeled edge of τ_1 belongs to $\Gamma - B(*, M)$. Each maximal subpath of τ_1 (none of whose edges is labeled t) has both end points in $\Gamma - B(*, M)$. Hence it suffices to show the end points of such paths can be joined by an edge path in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$.

Recall that $P : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $P(v\Gamma_0) = P(v)$. We have:

$$P(B(*, N)) = [-N, N].$$

Suppose γ is an edge path with end points in $\Gamma - B(*, M)$, each edge of γ is labeled by an element of \mathcal{H}_0 and γ intersects $B(*, N)$, then $-N \leq P(\gamma) \leq N$. The strategy is to “slide” γ upward to a path γ_k in level $N + 1$ (which automatically avoids $B(*, N)$). Assume the initial point of γ is v and the terminal point is w (see Figure 1). By the definition of M , the vertex v is in an unbounded component of $v\Gamma_0 - B(*, N)$. Hence there is an edge path τ_1 in $v\Gamma_0 - B(*, N)$ from v to $v_1 \in v\Gamma_0 - B(*, M + N_{3.2} + 1)$. Similarly choose τ'_1 in $v\Gamma_0 - B(*, N)$ from w to $w_1 \in v\Gamma_0 - B(*, M + 1)$. Note that:

$$v\Gamma_0 = v_1\Gamma_0 = w\Gamma_0 = w_1\Gamma_0.$$

By the definition of $N_{3.2}$ we choose an edge path τ_2 of length $\leq N_{3.2}$ in $w_1\Gamma_0 - B(*, M + 1)$ from v_1 to $v_2 \in w_1\Gamma_1 - B(*, M + 1)$. Let $e = (v_2, v_3)$




Figure 1: One Endedness

and $e' = (w_1, w_2)$ be edges labeled t . Let γ' be an edge path in $w_1\Gamma_1$ from v_2 to w_1 . The conjugation relations $t^{-1}ht = \phi(h)$ for $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$ (applied to each edge of γ') give an edge path γ_1 in $v_3\Gamma_0$ from v_3 to w_2 . Then:

$$\{v_3, w_2\} \subset v_3\Gamma_0 - B(*, M).$$

Note that the paths (τ_1, τ_2, e) (from v to v_3) and (τ_1', e') (from w to w_2) have image in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$. Hence we need only show there is an edge path in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$ from v_3 to w_2 . Note that $P(\gamma_1) = P(\gamma) + 1 \geq -N + 1$. Repeating this process at most $2N + 1$ times produce a path from v to w in $\Gamma - B(*, N)$, and so G is 1-ended. \square

4 The Proof of Theorem 1.4 (PFMMFI)

This theorem generalizes both the Main Theorem and Theorem 3.1 of [Mih85] (where $H_0 = H_1$ and G is an *ascending HNN-extension* of H_0). Our proof of Theorem 1.4 has similarities to the one in [Mih85], but requires several new ideas.

Proof: Theorem 3.1 implies that G is 1-ended (even when H_0 is finitely generated and not finitely presented). Again we consider the following finite

presentation of G :

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle t, \mathcal{H}_0 : \mathcal{R}, t^{-1}ht = \hat{\phi}(h) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_1 \rangle$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0 = \langle \mathcal{H}_0 : \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is a finite presentation for H_0 . We assume $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle \mathcal{H}_1 : \mathcal{R}_1 \rangle$ (for some $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset \mathcal{R}_0$) is a finite presentation for H_1 . Here $\hat{\phi} : F(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{H}_0)$ is a homomorphism of free groups realizing ϕ and the relations $t^{-1}ht = \hat{\phi}(h)$ are called *conjugation relations*. Let

$$q : F(\mathcal{H}_0 \cup \{t\}) \rightarrow G$$

be a quotient homomorphism with kernel equal to the normal closure of \mathcal{R} union the set of conjugation relations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{H}_1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} & F(\mathcal{H}_0) \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q \\ H_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H_0 \end{array}$$

Let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma = \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ be the *Cayley 2-complexes* for \mathcal{P}_1 , \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{P} respectively. The 1-skeleton of Γ is the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set $\mathcal{H}_0 \cup \{t\}$. At each vertex there is a 2-cell attached according to each relation of \mathcal{P} . If the edges of an edge path in Γ are labeled by $\mathcal{S}^{\pm 1}$ for $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}_0$, then we call it an \mathcal{S} path. If $v \in G$ is a vertex of Γ then $v\Gamma_1 \subset v\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. Note that any edge path in $v\Gamma_0$ is an \mathcal{H}_0 path. There is a continuous map $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that each vertex is mapped to an integer, a (directed) edge e labeled t is mapped linearly by p to an interval $[n, n+1]$ (so that $p(e(0)) = n$ and $p(e(1)) = n+1$) and $p(v\Gamma_0) = \{p(v)\}$. A conjugation 2-cell is mapped to an interval $[n, n+1]$. We say a vertex, edge or 2-cell is in *level* n if p maps it to n . If X is a 2-complex, let $X^{(1)}$ be the 1-skeleton of X , endowed with the edge path metric. Suppose A is a subcomplex of X and $A^{(1)}$ is the 1-skeleton of A . Let $B(A^{(1)}, n)$ be the ball of radius n in $X^{(1)}$ around $A^{(1)}$. For $n \geq 1$, let $St^n(A)$ be $B(A^{(1)}, n)$ union all 2-cells whose boundaries belong to $B(A^{(1)}, n)$.

(*) Let N_0 be such that $|\hat{\phi}(h)| + 3 \leq N_0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Then any (closed) 2-cell arising from a conjugation relation belongs to $St^{N_0}(v)$ for any vertex of that cell.

All homotopies of paths will be relative to end points and we simply say the paths are homotopic. Note that (*) implies:

Lemma 4.1 (oldslide) *Suppose α is an \mathcal{H}_1 path from v to w in Γ . Let e and d be the edges labeled t at v and w respectively. Then the edge path (e^{-1}, α, d) is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path β by a homotopy H with image in $St^{N_0}(im(\alpha))$. Furthermore $p(H) \subset [p(v), p(v+1)]$.*

We apply the next lemma in both this section and in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 4.2 (SSL1) *Suppose A_0 and A_1 are finitely presented groups, and A_1 has finite index in A_0 . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \mathcal{A}_0 : R \rangle$ be a finite presentation of A_0 such that $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ generates A_1 (so that the Cayley graph $\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ is a subset of the Cayley 2-complex $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$). There is an integer $N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})$ such that if α is an edge path in $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$ with $\alpha(0) = v$ and end point in $v\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ then α is homotopic to an edge path in $v\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ by a homotopy H in $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$ with image in $St^{N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})}(im(\alpha))$.*

Proof: Say $\alpha = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ with vertices $v = v_0, \dots, v_n$. If $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ then there is an edge path ϕ_i of length $\leq \bar{N}_{3.2} = N_{3.2}(A_0, \mathcal{A}_0, A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ from v_i to $w_i \in v\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$. Define ϕ_0 and ϕ_n to be trivial paths and define $w_0 = v_0$ and $w_n = v_n$. Choose an integer M such that if two vertices of $\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ are within $2\bar{N}_{3.2} + 1$ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$ then there is an edge path in $\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ of length $\leq M$ connecting these vertices. Choose $N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})$ so that any edge path loop in $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$ of length $\leq 2\bar{N}_{3.2} + M + 1$ is homotopically trivial in $St^{N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})}(a) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$ for any vertex a of the loop. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let τ_i be an \mathcal{H}_1 edge path (in $v\Gamma(A_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$) of length $\leq M$ from w_{i-1} to w_i . Each loop $(\phi_i, \tau_{i+1}, \phi_{i+1}^{-1}, e_{i+1}^{-1})$ is homotopically trivial in $St^{N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})}(v_i) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$. This implies that α is homotopic to (τ_1, \dots, τ_n) , by a homotopy in $St^{N_{4.2}(\mathcal{Q})}(im(\alpha)) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{Q})$. \square

Next we recall some basic group theory for HNN-extensions. Suppose $w \in F(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and $q(w) = 1 \in G$. Then the number of letters of w labeled t is the same as the number of letters of w labeled t^{-1} . If some letter of w is labeled t , then (cyclically), there is a subword $t^{-1}w't$ of w such that $q(w') \in H_1$. Suppose α is an edge path in Γ with consecutive vertices v_0, \dots, v_n . If the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) is labeled a_i and is directed from v_i to v_{i+1} then let $b_i = a_i$. If this edge is directed (v_i, v_{i-1}) then let $b_i = a_i^{-1}$. We say the word $b_1 \cdots b_n$ ($\in F(\mathcal{H}_0 \cup \{t\})$) corresponds to α and we write $\bar{\alpha} = b_1 \cdots b_n$.

An edge path has *backtracking* if there are adjacent edges e and e^{-1} . The next lemma effectively allows us to move lowest level maximal subpaths of




Figure 2: Sliding α upward to τ

certain loops upward. Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 are used in the simply connected at ∞ part of our result. The no backtracking hypothesis is not an issue for simply connected at ∞ arguments, but when a base ray must be respected in the semistability part of our theorem, we cannot assume there is (cyclically) no backtracking in certain loops.

Lemma 4.3 (smallmove) *Suppose γ is an edge path loop in Γ such that: at least one edge of γ is labeled t ; $p(\gamma) = [A, B]$; and (cyclically) γ has no backtracking. Assume α is (cyclically) a maximal subpath of γ such that $p(\alpha) = A$. Let e and d be the edges of γ that (cyclically) immediately precede and follow α , so that $\beta = (e, \alpha, d)$ is (cyclically) a subpath of γ . Then $q\bar{\alpha} \in H_1$, $\bar{\beta} = t^{-1}\bar{\alpha}t$ and β is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ by a homotopy H with image in $St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(im(\alpha))$ and with $p(H) \subset [A, A+1]$.*

Proof: The maximality of α implies $\bar{\beta} = t^{-1}\bar{\alpha}t$. We next argue that $q(\bar{\alpha}) \in H_1$. By the remarks preceding this lemma, there is (cyclically) a subword of $\bar{\gamma}$ of the form $t^{-1}\bar{\beta}t$ where $q(\bar{\beta}) \in H_1$. If $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\alpha}$ then indeed $q(\bar{\alpha}) \in H_1$. Otherwise, $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ do not overlap. Furthermore (since γ has no backtracking) e and d are not adjacent to β (see Figure 2). If $\bar{\beta} \neq \bar{\alpha}$ then replace $t^{-1}\bar{\beta}t$ in $\bar{\gamma}$ by an \mathcal{H}_0 -word. This produces a word with two fewer t letters, but the t letters corresponding to d and e remain. Continuing, we eventually conclude that $q(\bar{\alpha}) \in H_1$. Let v be the initial vertex of α , then the end point of α is in $v\Gamma_1$.

By Lemma 4.2, α is homotopic to an edge path α_1 in $v\Gamma_1$ by a homotopy \tilde{H} (in $v\Gamma_0$) with image in $St^{N_{4.2}}(im(\alpha))$. In particular, the image of $p\tilde{H}$ is $p(v) = A$. Now we can slide α_1 up one level (between t labeled edges) to a path τ , by a homotopy \hat{H} which only uses 2-cells arising from conjugation relations on the letters of α_1 (see Figure 2). Note that the image of this

homotopy lies between levels A and $A + 1$ and \hat{H} has image in $St^{N_0}(im(\alpha_1))$. Combine \tilde{H} and \hat{H} to form the homotopy H and finish the proof. \square

Lemma 4.4 (slideupward) *Suppose C is a compact subcomplex of Γ , $p(C) \subset [A, B]$ and γ is an edge path loop (without backtracking) in $\Gamma - C$. Then either γ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$ or there is a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ of γ to an edge path loop γ_1 such that $p(\gamma_1)$ has image in $[A - 1, \infty)$, the homotopy fixes the edges of γ in levels $A - 1$ and above and the only edges of γ_1 above level $A - 1$ (including t -labeled edges connecting levels $A - 1$ and A) are edges of γ .*

Proof: Let $\bar{\gamma}$ ($\in F(\mathcal{H}_0 \cup \{t\})$) be the (reduced) word labeling γ . If γ has image in a single level, then either γ lies in a level at or above level $A - 1$ (and we are finished) or γ lies in a level below level $A - 1$. In the later case, γ is homotopically trivial in that level (by a homotopy avoiding C). Instead, we assume some letter of $\bar{\gamma}$ is t .

Suppose α is (cyclically) a maximal subpath of γ in the lowest level of Γ traversed by γ . If $p(\alpha) \geq A - 1$ we are finished. If e and d are the edges preceding and following α , then Lemma 4.3 implies that (e, α, d) is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ (with $p(\tau) = p(\alpha) + 1$) by a homotopy H with $p(H) \subset (-\infty, A - 1]$. In particular, H avoids C . Replace (e, α, d) in γ by τ , eliminate backtracking and continue applying Lemma 4.3 to (cyclically) maximal subpaths in a lowest level until all edges of the resulting path are either in a single level below level $A - 1$ (in which case γ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$) or in levels $A - 1$ and above. \square

Now assume that H_0 is 1-ended. In this case, our goal is to show that G is simply connected at ∞ . Let C be a finite subcomplex of Γ and assume that $p(C) \subset [A, B]$. Let D be a finite subcomplex of Γ containing $St^{(B-A+2)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. Also assume that if $g \in G$ then $g\Gamma_0 - D$ is a single unbounded component (since H_0 is one ended). Let γ be an edge path loop in $\Gamma - D$. It suffices to show that γ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$. The idea is to move γ to a loop in a single level above level B by a homotopy that avoids C . Once there, it is homotopically trivial in that level and hence that homotopy avoids C . Suppose $p(\gamma) = [A_1, B_1]$.

Inductively we show that for $0 \leq k \leq B - A + 2$, the loop γ is either homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$ (as desired) or homotopic to an edge path loop μ_k by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ such that μ_k has image in $\Gamma - St^{(B-A+2-k)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$




Figure 3: Sliding γ Up

and $p(\mu_k) \subset [A - 1 + k, \infty)$. Note that for $k = B - A + 2$, we have $p(\mu_k) \subset [B + 1, \infty)$ (so μ_k is above C). If $A_1 \geq A - 1$, then let $\mu_0 = \gamma$. If $A_1 < A - 1$ then Lemma 4.4 implies that either γ is homotopically trivial in $\Gamma - C$ (and we are finished) or there is a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ of γ to an edge path loop γ'_1 such that $p(\gamma'_1) \subset [A - 1, \infty)$, the homotopy fixes the edges of γ in levels $A - 1$ and above, and the only edges of γ'_1 above level $A - 1$ (including t -labeled edges connecting levels $A - 1$ and A) are edges of γ . If γ'_1 has image in level $A - 1$ then it is homotopically trivial in that level (hence missing C) and again we are finished. Let γ_1 be obtained from γ'_1 by eliminating backtracking (which can only occur in level $A - 1$). If α_1 is a maximal subpath of γ_1 in level $A - 1$ and e and d are the edges of γ_1 that (cyclically) immediately precede and follow α_1 , then e and d are edges of γ labeled t . In particular if v and w are the initial and end points of α_1 then v and w are in the single unbounded component of $v\Gamma_0 - D$. Hence there is an \mathcal{H}_0 path α_2 (without backtracking) in $v\Gamma_0 - D$ from v to w . Now α_1 and α_2 are homotopic by a homotopy in $v\Gamma_0$ (in level $A - 1$) and hence in $\Gamma - C$ (see Figure 3). Let μ_0 be obtained from γ_1 by replacing each maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpath α_1 of γ_1 with a corresponding α_2 . Note that there is no backtracking in μ_0 . We have that γ is homotopic to μ_0 by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$, the image of μ_0 is in $\Gamma - D$ and $p(\mu_0) \subset [A - 1, \infty)$.

If $A_1 > A - 1$, let $\mu_1 = \gamma$. Otherwise let α_2 be a maximal subpath of μ_0 in level $A - 1$. Let e and d be the edges of μ_0 that (cyclically) immediately precede and follow α_2 (see Figure 3). The path $\beta = (e, \alpha_2, d)$ is such that $\bar{\beta} = t^{-1}\bar{\alpha}_2 t$. Lemma 4.3 implies that β is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ by a homotopy H with image in $St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(im(\alpha_2))$ and with $p(H) \subset [A - 1, A]$. In particular H (and τ) avoids $St^{(B-A+1)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. For all such maximal subpaths α_2 of μ_0 in level $A - 1$ replace (e, α_2, d) by the corresponding τ . Eliminate backtracking (all in level A) and call the resulting path μ_1 . The result is that μ_0 (and γ) is homotopic to an edge path loop μ_1 with image in $\Gamma - St^{(B-A+1)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ and $p(\mu_1) \subset [A, \infty)$. If $A_1 > A$, let $\mu_2 = \gamma$. Otherwise, we can obtain μ_2 by apply Lemma 4.3 to each maximal subpath of μ_1 in level A , as long as μ_1 does not have image in level A . If μ_1 has image in level A , then μ_1 is an \mathcal{H}_0 loop at say the vertex v . Lemma 4.2 implies that μ_1 is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_1 edge path loop μ'_1 at v by a homotopy in $St^{N_{4.2}}(im(\mu_1))$. If e is the edge labeled t at v then Lemma 4.1 implies that (e^{-1}, μ'_1, e) is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path by a homotopy H with image in $St^{N_0}(im(\mu'_1))$ and such that $p(H) \subset [p(v), p(v) + 1]$. This means that (e^{-1}, μ_1, e) is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_0 path in level $A + 1$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - St^{(B-A)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. If μ_1 is not in level A we apply Lemma 4.3 to maximal subpaths of μ_1 in level A , so that in either case we have that μ_1 is homotopic to an edge path loop μ_2 (without backtracking) with image avoiding $St^{(B-A)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$, by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ and $p(\mu_2) \subset [A + 1, \infty)$.

Repeating this procedure $k = B - A + 2$ times (starting with μ_0) we obtain an edge path loop μ_k (without backtracking) with $p(\mu_k)$ either equal to $B + 1$ (if $B_1 \leq B + 1$) or a subset of $[B + 1, B_1]$ (if $B_1 > B + 1$). We have γ is homotopic to μ_k by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$. In the latter case, use Lemma 4.3 to move lowest level maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpaths of μ_k (and of the resulting loops) up one level by homotopies with image above level B and (hence avoiding C) until a loop in a single level is obtained. This loop is homotopically trivial in that level. **This completes the proof that if H_0 is 1-ended then G is simply connected at ∞ .**

Now we turn to the semistability part of our theorem. The next lemma allows us to replace a path with labeling $(t^{-1}, \bar{\alpha}, t)$ (where $\bar{\alpha}$ is an \mathcal{H}_0 word) with a path comprised of subpaths either in the level above the level of α or in the compliment of an arbitrary compact set E . In Figure 4 the path (e^{-1}, α, d) is homotopic to $(\gamma'_1, c^{-1}, \tau_2, b, \gamma'_2)$ where $p(\gamma'_i) = p(\alpha) + 1$ and the path (c^{-1}, τ_2, b) has image in $\Gamma - E$.

Lemma 4.5 (UpOut) *Suppose:*

- A. D is a compact subcomplex of Γ such that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma$ the space $v\Gamma_0 - D$ is a union of unbounded path components, and
- B. $\beta = (e^{-1}, \alpha, d)$ is an edge path of Γ such that $\bar{\beta} = t^{-1}\bar{\alpha}t$, e and d are edges of $\Gamma - D$, α is an \mathcal{H}_0 path and the end points of α can be joined by a path α' in $\Gamma - D$ such that $p(\alpha'(t)) \leq p(\alpha)$ for all t .

Then, for any compact set $E \subset \Gamma$ such that $D \subset E$, there is a homotopy M of β to a path $(\gamma'_1, c^{-1}, \tau_2, b, \gamma'_2)$ such that:

- 1. τ_2 , γ'_1 and γ'_2 are \mathcal{H}_0 paths,
- 2. b and c are t edges,
- 3. (c^{-1}, τ_2, b) has image in $\Gamma - E$,
- 4. for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the end points of γ'_i can be connected by an edge path γ''_i with image in $\Gamma - D$ such that $p(\gamma''_i(t)) \leq p(\gamma'_i)$ for all t , and
- 5. $p(M)$ has image in $[p(\alpha), p(\alpha) + 1]$.

Proof: Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 are paths with the same end points. We use the notation $\rho_1 \stackrel{K}{\sim} \rho_2$ when K is a homotopy of ρ_1 to ρ_2 relative to end points. Say the initial (terminal) vertex of α is v (w). Since $v\Gamma_1 \subset v\Gamma_0$ and $w \in v\Gamma_0 - D$, hypothesis (A.) implies that there is an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ_1 at w with image in $v\Gamma_0 - D$ such that the end point z of τ_1 belongs to $v\Gamma_1 - St^{N_{3.2}+1}(E)$. (See Figure 4.) Let γ_1 be an \mathcal{H}_1 path from v to z and K_1 a homotopy in $v\Gamma_0$ realizing:

$$(\alpha, \tau_1) \stackrel{K_1}{\sim} \gamma_1.$$

Lemma 3.2 implies there is an \mathcal{H}_0 edge path τ_2 of length $\leq N_{3.2}$ from z to $y \in w\Gamma_1$. Let γ_2 be an \mathcal{H}_1 path from w to y and K_2 a homotopy in $w\Gamma_0$ realizing:

$$(\tau_1, \tau_2) \stackrel{K_2}{\sim} \gamma_2.$$

Let c and b be the t edges at z and y respectively. Since $z \in \Gamma - St^{N_{3.2}+1}(E)$ we have that (c^{-1}, τ_2, b) has image in $\Gamma - E$. At this point conclusions (2.) and (3.) are satisfied. By Lemma 4.1, there are \mathcal{H}_0 paths γ'_1 and γ'_2 such that:

$$(e^{-1}, \gamma_1, c) \stackrel{L_1}{\sim} \gamma'_1 \text{ and } (d^{-1}, \gamma_2, b) \stackrel{L_2}{\sim} \gamma'_2$$




Figure 4: Sliding $\beta = (e^{-1}, \alpha, d)$ up and out

with $p(L_i) \subset [p(\alpha), p(\alpha) + 1]$. Conclusion (1.) is satisfied. Hypothesis (B.) and the definitions of τ_1 and τ_2 imply that $\gamma'_1 = (e^{-1}, \alpha', \tau_1, c)$ is a path in $\Gamma - D$ connecting the end points of γ'_1 , and $\gamma'_2 = (d^{-1}, \tau_1, \tau_2, b)$ is a path in $\Gamma - D$ connecting the end points of γ'_2 . Conclusion (4.) is satisfied. Combining K_i and L_i to form the homotopy M_i we have:

$$(e^{-1}, \alpha, \tau_1, c) \stackrel{M_1}{\sim} \gamma'_1 \text{ and } (d^{-1}, \tau_1, \tau_2, b) \stackrel{M_2}{\sim} \gamma'_2$$

with $p(M_i) = [p(\alpha), p(\alpha) + 1]$. The homotopy M_2 implies that:

$$\tau_1 \stackrel{M'_2}{\sim} (d, \gamma'_2, b^{-1}, \tau_2^{-1}).$$

Substituting for τ_1 in M_1 we have:

$$(e^{-1}, \alpha, d, \gamma'_2, b^{-1}, \tau_2^{-1}, c) \sim \gamma'_1$$

and so:

$$(e^{-1}, \alpha, d) \stackrel{M}{\sim} (\gamma'_1, c^{-1}, \tau_2, b, \gamma'^{-1}_2)$$

where $p(M) = [p(\alpha), p(\alpha) + 1]$, so that (5.) is satisfied. \square

Use of the next lemma is one of the primary difference between the proof of (Theorem 3.1, [Mih85]) and the current argument. It is one of the main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.4. If one thinks of t -labeled edges of Γ as vertical and \mathcal{H}_0 labeled edges as horizontal, then Lemma 4.6 explains how to push a vertical edge e that is “far enough” from a compact set $C \subset \Gamma$, to a vertical edge e_1 that is “arbitrarily far” from C , by a homotopy that misses C .

Lemma 4.6 (push) *Given a compact subcomplex C of Γ there is a compact subcomplex $D_{4.6}(C)$ of Γ containing $St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$ such that for any third*

compact set E and any edge e labeled t in $\Gamma - D_{4.6}(C)$, there is an edge path (α, e_1, β) from $e(0)$ to $e(1)$ such that α and β are \mathcal{H}_0 paths, the edge e_1 has image in $\Gamma - E$ and label t , and e is homotopic to (α, e_1, β) by a homotopy with image in $\Gamma - C$.

Proof: Note that if w and v are vertices of Γ and $w \in v\Gamma_0$ then $w\Gamma_0 = v\Gamma_0$. For K a subcomplex of Γ , we take $St(K)$ in Γ . (See $(*)$ for the definition of N_0 .) There are only finitely many $v\Gamma_0$ that intersect $St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(C)$. Choose D a finite subcomplex of Γ such that if $v\Gamma_0 \cap St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C) \neq \emptyset$, then $St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$ union all bounded components of $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$ belong to D (see Theorem 2.4). Let $v = e(0)$. If $v \in \Gamma - D$ then by the definition of D , there are edge paths in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$ from v to vertices arbitrarily far from C . In particular there is an edge path α_1 in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$ from v to $w_1 \in v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_{3.2}+1}(E \cup D)$. By Lemma 3.2 there is an edge path α_2 (of length $\leq N_{3.2}$) from w_1 to $w \in v\Gamma_1 - St(E \cup D)$. In particular, α_2 avoids D . Then $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ has image in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_0+N_{4.2}}(C)$. By Lemma 4.2, α is homotopic to an edge path α' (with image in $v\Gamma_1$) by a homotopy in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_0}(C)$. Let e_1 be the edge labeled t at w . Since $w \in v\Gamma_0 - St(E)$, e_1 avoids E . Combining conjugation 2-cells (one for each edge of α'), the path α' is homotopic to an edge path $(e, \hat{\beta}, e_1^{-1})$ by a homotopy in $St^{N_0}(im(\alpha')) \subset \Gamma - C$. Let $\beta = \hat{\beta}^{-1}$. \square

Lemma 4.6 allows us to focus on edge paths in $v\Gamma_0$ ($v \in G$) with end points outside of a compact set E . Theorem 2.4 implies that for any compact set $E \subset \Gamma$ and $v \in G$, E union all bounded components of $v\Gamma_0 - E$ is compact. Since E only intersects finitely many $v\Gamma_0$ non-trivially, E is contained in a compact set E' such that for any $v \in G$ the set $v\Gamma_0 - E$ is a union of unbounded components.

The point of the next lemma is to show the path γ is homotopic to a path comprised of several H_0 subpaths in $\Gamma - E$ and a path γ_1 “one level higher” than the path γ . It will be inductively applied in Lemma 4.9.

Remark 4.7 (Rslideup) Lemma 4.8 is valid when $F = \emptyset$. In this case, $St^k(F) = \emptyset$ for all $k \geq 0$. We will apply this special case to paths γ in a level above the highest level of a certain compact set $C \subset \Gamma$. In this case the homotopy H of Lemma 4.8 avoids C since $p(H)$ has image above the highest level of C .




Figure 5: Sliding γ upward to γ_1

Lemma 4.8 (slideup) *Suppose E and F are finite subcomplexes of Γ , such that E contains $St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(F)$, and $g\Gamma_0 - E$ is a union of unbounded components for any $g \in G$. If γ is an edge path in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(F)$ with end points in $\Gamma - E$, then there is a homotopy H with image in $\Gamma - F$, of γ to an edge path $(\tau, e, \gamma_1, e'^{-1}, \tau'^{-1})$ where e and e' are edges labeled t ; τ and τ' are \mathcal{H}_0 paths in $\Gamma - E$; and γ_1 is an \mathcal{H}_0 path in $\Gamma - F$ (so that $p(\gamma) + 1 = p(\gamma_1)$) with end points in $\Gamma - E$. Furthermore $p(H)$ has image in $[p(v), p(v) + 1]$.*

Proof: In Figure 5, the path (τ_1, τ_2) will be our path τ and combining the two homotopies K_1 and K_2 will give the homotopy H . Let v be the initial and w the terminal vertex of γ . Since $w\Gamma_0 - E$ is a union of unbounded components, there is an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ' in $w\Gamma_0 - E$ from w to a vertex $w_1 \in w\Gamma_0 - St(E)$. See Figure 5. There is an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ_1 in $v\Gamma_0 - E$ from v to $v_1 \in v\Gamma_0 - St^{N_{3.2}+1}(E)$. Note that:

$$v\Gamma_0 = w\Gamma_0 = v_1\Gamma_0 = w_1\Gamma_0.$$

By Lemma 3.2 there is an \mathcal{H}_0 path τ_2 of length $\leq N_{3.2}$ from v_1 to a vertex v_2 of $w_1\Gamma_1$. Note that $im(\tau_2) \subset v_1\Gamma - St(E)$ (and so $v_2 \in w_1\Gamma_1 - St(E)$). By Lemma 4.2 the path $\nu = (\tau_2^{-1}, \tau_1^{-1}, \gamma, \tau_1')$ is homotopic to an \mathcal{H}_1 path γ' , by

a homotopy K_1 with image in $St^{N_{4.2}}(im(\nu))$. Since E contains $St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(F)$ and γ avoids $St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(F)$, the path ν avoids $St^{N_{4.2}+N_0}(F)$. Hence K_1 avoids $St^{N_0}(F)$. In particular, γ' avoids $St^{N_0}(F)$.

Let e be the edge labeled t at v_2 (with end point v_3) and let e' be the edge labeled t at w_1 (with end point w_2). By Lemma 4.1, the path γ' is homotopic to (e, γ_1, e'^{-1}) (where γ_1 is an \mathcal{H}_0 path) by a homotopy K_2 with image in $St^{N_0}(im(\gamma'))$. Since γ' avoids $St^{N_0}(F)$, K_2 (and γ_1) avoids F . Since $v_2, w_1 \in \Gamma - St(E)$, we have $v_3, w_2 \in \Gamma - E$. Now combine K_1 and K_2 to produce H and let $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ to finish the proof. \square

Lemma 4.9 (slideC) *Suppose C and E are finite subcomplexes of Γ such that $p(C) \subset [A, B]$ and E contains $St^{(B-A+2)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. Also assume that if $g \in G$ then $g\Gamma_0 - E$ is a union of unbounded components. If γ is an edge path in $v\Gamma_0 - St^{(B-A+2)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$, with end points in $\Gamma - E$ and $p(v) \in [A-1, B]$ then there is a homotopy of γ (with image in $\Gamma - C$) to a path in $\Gamma - E$.*

Proof: Apply Lemma 4.8 to γ with $F = St^{(B-A+1)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. This gives a homotopy H'_1 with image in $\Gamma - F$ of γ to $(\beta_1, \gamma_1, \beta'_1)$ (here $\beta_1 = (\tau, e)$ and $\beta'_1 = (e'^{-1}, \tau'^{-1})$) where β_1 and β'_1 have image in $\Gamma - E$ and $p(\gamma) + 1 = p(\gamma_1)$. Next, apply Lemma 4.8 to γ_1 with $F = St^{(B-A)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$ to produce $(\beta_2, \gamma_2, \beta'_2)$. So after applying Lemma 4.8 at most $B - A + 2$ times, we have a homotopy H' with image in $\Gamma - C$ of γ to $(\beta, \tilde{\gamma}, \beta')$ (the paths β and β' are the concatenations of the β_i and β'_i respectively) where β and β' have image in $\Gamma - E$ and $p(\tilde{\gamma}) = B + 1$. Of course the image of $\tilde{\gamma}$ may intersect E , but $\tilde{\gamma}$ has image in level $B + 1$ (above C) and we may apply Lemma 4.8 to $\tilde{\gamma}$ with $F = \emptyset$ (see Remark 4.7), to obtain a homotopy \tilde{H} of $\tilde{\gamma}$ to $(\alpha_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1, \alpha'_1)$ where α_1 and α'_1 are \mathcal{H}_0 paths in $\Gamma - E$, $p(\tilde{\gamma}_1) = B + 2$ and \tilde{H} has image in $[B + 1, B + 2]$ (and hence \tilde{H} avoids C). Continue applying Lemma 4.8 (with $F = \emptyset$) to obtain $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n$ all with image in $\Gamma - E$ and path $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ with $p(\tilde{\gamma}_n) = B + n + 1$ larger than the largest integer in $p(E)$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n)$. We have γ is homotopic by a homotopy with image in $\Gamma - C$ to $(\beta, \alpha, \tilde{\gamma}_n, \alpha', \beta')$, a path with image in $\Gamma - E$. \square

Let C_1, C_2, \dots be a collection of compact subcomplexes of Γ such that C_i is a subset of the interior of C_{i+1} and $\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i = \Gamma$. If a and b are vertices of $v\Gamma_0$ and $p(v) = n$, then define the *degree* of (a, b) (written $deg(a, b)$) to be the largest integer m such that there is an edge path α in $\Gamma - C_m$ from a to b such that $p(\alpha) \subset (-\infty, n]$.

The next result is in analogy with Lemma 4.4 of [Mih85]. The proof is elementary, but it is one of the main ideas of the overall argument. The other lemmas used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 explain how to carefully slide H_0 paths upward and t -edges horizontally. Lemma 4.10 will allow us to slide certain H_0 paths downward. It is in the proof of Lemma 4.10 where we need H_0 to be finitely presented (as opposed to only finitely generated).

Lemma 4.10 (Like4.4) *Suppose D is a compact subcomplex of Γ and W and L are unbounded path components of $v\Gamma_0 - D$, (we allow $W = L$ as a possibility) then one of the following two statements holds:*

(i) *The set $W \times L$ contains a collection of pairs of vertices $(w_1, l_1), (w_2, l_2), \dots$ such that all w_j are distinct, all l_j are distinct and $\deg(w_j, l_j) \geq j$, or*

(ii) *There are finite sets of vertices $S \subset W$ and $T \subset L$ such that all pairs of vertices of $(W - S) \times (L - T)$ have degree less than some fixed positive integer $N_1(W, L)$.*

Proof: Begin selecting pairs of vertices (w_j, l_j) satisfying the hypothesis of (i). If for some $j \geq 1$, (w_j, l_j) cannot be selected to satisfy hypothesis (i), then all pairs of vertices of $(W - \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{j-1}\}) \times (L - \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{j-1}\})$ have degree less than j (so that (ii) is satisfied). \square

We are ready to combine our lemmas to prove the semistability part of Theorem 1.4. Let C be a finite subcomplex of Γ and r be the proper ray at $*$, each of whose edges is labeled t . Choose integers A and B such that

$$p(C) \subset [A, B].$$

Let D_1 be a finite subcomplex of Γ containing $St^{(B-A+2)(N_{4.2}+N_0)}(C)$. Furthermore, if $v\Gamma_0$ intersects D_1 non-trivially and $p(v) = A - 1$ (there are only finitely many of these), then we require that all components of $v\Gamma_0 - D_1$ are unbounded. Next we define a finite subcomplex D_2 of Γ . Our first requirement is that D_2 contains $D_{4.6}(D_1)$ (see Figure 6). Suppose $v\Gamma_0$ intersects D_1 non-trivially, $p(v) = A - 1$ and that W and L are unbounded components of $v\Gamma_0 - D_1$ satisfying Lemma 4.10 (ii) (where D_1 plays the role of D). Then we require that D_2 contains the finite sets $S \subset W$ and $T \subset L$ and the set $C_{N_1(W,L)}$ given by Lemma 4.10 (recall that the C_i are a cofinal sequence of finite subcomplexes of Γ). We also require that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma$ the




Figure 6: The General Setup

space $v\Gamma_0 - D_2$ is a union of unbounded components. An important fact follows immediately from Lemma 4.10:

(*) Suppose $v\Gamma_0$ intersects D_1 non-trivially, $p(v) = A - 1$, and α is an edge path in $\Gamma - D_2$ that begins and ends in $v\Gamma_0$ such that $p(\alpha) \subset (-\infty, A - 1]$. If the initial vertex of α belongs to the (unbounded) component W of $v\Gamma_0 - D_1$ and the terminal vertex of α belongs to the (unbounded) component L of $v\Gamma_0 - D_1$, then $W \times L$ satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 4.10.

Assume

$$p(D_2) \subset [A', B'] \text{ and } r([0, B']) \subset D_2.$$

Let E be an arbitrary finite subcomplex of Γ (containing D_2). Enlarge E so that for any vertex $v \in \Gamma$, $v\Gamma_0 - E$ is a union of unbounded path components. If γ is an edge path loop in $\Gamma - D_2$ and based on r , our goal is to show that γ is homotopic $rel\{r\}$ to an edge path loop in $\Gamma - E$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ (see Theorem 2.1(2)). Say $\gamma(0) = r(K)$ for some $K > B'$. If τ is an edge path ending at $r(K)$, each of whose edges is labeled t^{-1} , then γ is homotopic $rel\{r\}$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - D_2$ to $(\tau, \gamma, \tau^{-1})$ (see Figure 6). In particular, we may assume:

$$p(E) \subset [A'', B''] \text{ and } \gamma(0) = r(K) \text{ for } K > B''.$$

We cannot assume that γ is cyclically without backtracking. It may be that γ has the form (τ, γ', τ') where cyclically τ and τ' backtrack. If we eliminate τ and τ' our path γ' may not be based on r . Unfortunately, we cannot apply Lemmas 4.3 or 4.4. Instead, we will apply Lemma 4.5 to γ , D_2 and E . Repeated applications of this lemma allow us to move the maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpaths of γ that lie below level $A - 1$ to level $A - 1$ (modulo some paths in $\Gamma - E$). Notice that if α is a maximal H_0 subpath of γ in a lowest level $L < A - 1$ of γ , then α has image in $\Gamma - D_2$ so that hypotheses (A.) and (B.) of Lemma 4.5 are satisfied. Apply Lemma 4.5 to all maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpaths of γ in level L . If M is one of these homotopies, then $p(M) \subset [L, L + 1]$ and so avoids C . Say $\hat{\gamma}$ is the resulting path. Then γ is homotopic to $\hat{\gamma}$ by a homotopy that avoids C . The only subpaths of $\hat{\gamma}$ in level L have image in $\Gamma - St(E)$. If α is a maximal H_0 subpath of $\hat{\gamma}$ in level $L + 1$ then α is a concatenation of H_0 subpaths of γ (which have image in $\Gamma - D_2$) and paths of the form γ'_i arising from Lemma 4.5. As the end points of these γ'_i can be connected by edge paths in $\Gamma - D_2$ in levels $\leq L + 1$, we conclude that if $\hat{\alpha}$ is a maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpath of $\hat{\gamma}$ in level $L + 1$, then the end points of $\hat{\alpha}$ can be joined by a path β in $\Gamma - D_2$ such that $p(\beta(t)) \leq L + 1$ for all t . If e and d are the t edges at the initial and terminal vertex of $\hat{\alpha}$ then e (d) is either an edge of γ or is in $\Gamma - E$. In either case, e and d avoid D_2 . Hence Lemma 4.5 can be applied to $(e^{-1}, \hat{\alpha}, d)$. Repeated applications of Lemma 4.5 shows:

Fact 1. *There is a homotopy $\gamma \stackrel{M_1}{\sim} \gamma_1$ with $p(M_1) \subset \Gamma - C$ such that for any vertex v of γ_1 either $p(v) \in [A - 1, \infty)$ or $v \in \Gamma - E$, the homotopy M_1 fixes the edges of γ in levels $A - 1$ and above, and the only edges of γ_1 in levels A and above are edges of γ (and hence these edges avoid D_2). Any t -edge of γ_1 not in $\Gamma - E$ is also a t -edge of γ and so is in $\Gamma - D_2$. Furthermore:*

(**) *Suppose τ is a maximal \mathcal{H}_0 subpath of γ_1 with $p(\tau) = A - 1$. Let v_0 and v_1 be the end points τ . Then Lemma 4.5 implies there is an edge path β with $im(\beta) \subset \Gamma - D_2$ connecting v_0 to v_1 with $p(\beta) \subset (-\infty, A - 1]$. If $W(L)$ is the component of $v\Gamma_0 - D_1$ containing v_0 (v_1) then (*) implies $W \times L$ satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 4.10.*

The next step is to move all t edges of γ_1 outside of E . Apply Lemma 4.6 (with $C = D_1$ and $D = D_2$) to each t -edge of γ_1 not already in $\Gamma - E$, to obtain a homotopy $\gamma_1 \stackrel{M_2}{\sim} \gamma_2$ in $\Gamma - D_1$ where each t -edge of γ_2 has image in $\Gamma - E$. It is important to observe that if e is a t edge of γ_1 connecting levels $A - 1$ and A , then the homotopy M_2 uses Lemma 4.6 to replace e by a path (α, e_1, β) in $\Gamma - D_1$ connecting the end points of e such that $p(\alpha) = A - 1$,




Figure 7: Moving t -Edges Horizontally




Figure 8: Moving τ Down and Out

$p(\beta) = A$ and e_1 (in $\Gamma - E$) has label t . Since α avoids D_1 , there is an analogue of (**) for γ_2 :

($\overline{**}$) *If τ is a maximal subpath of γ_2 in level $A - 1$, then $\tau = (\alpha_0^{-1}, \tau', \alpha_1)$ where τ' is a maximal subpath of γ_1 in level $A - 1$ and each of the paths α_0 and α_1 is either trivial (if the corresponding end point of τ' is already in $\Gamma - E$) or comes from our replacement of edges labeled t at the end points of τ' (see Figure 7). So α_0 and α_1 are in level $A - 1$, avoid D_1 and both end in $\Gamma - E$. By (**) the end points of τ' are in unbounded components W and L of $v_0\Gamma_0 - D_1$ and $W \times L$ satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 4.10. As α_0 and α_1 avoid D_1 , the end points of τ are in W and L as well.*

Again let τ (with end points v_0 and v_1) be a maximal subpath of γ_2 in level $A - 1$. Then $v_0, v_1 \in v_0\Gamma_0 - E$. If W_i is the component of $v_0\Gamma_0 - D_1$ containing v_i (for $i \in \{0, 1\}$) then ($\overline{**}$) implies that $W_0 \times W_1$ satisfies condition (i) of Lemma 4.10. Choose $N > 1$ such that $E \subset C_N$ and vertex pairs $(w_0, w_1) \in W_0 \times W_1$ such that $\deg(w_0, w_1) \geq N$. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$ let ψ_i be

an edge path in W_i from v_i to w_i . Let μ be an edge path from w_0 to w_1 in $\Gamma - C_M$ ($\subset \Gamma - E$) such that $p(\mu) \subset (-\infty, A - 1]$ (see Figure 8). Consider the edge path loop $\rho = (\tau, \psi_1, \mu^{-1}, \psi_0^{-1})$ (in levels $\leq A - 1$). The loop ρ is homotopic (in the image of ρ) to a loop ρ' without backtracking. Lemma 4.3 can then be applied to ρ' . After a sequence of backtracking elimination and applications of Lemma 4.3 the resulting loop has image in $v_0\Gamma_0$ and so is homotopically trivial in level $A - 1$. In particular τ is homotopic to $(\psi_0, \mu, \psi_1^{-1})$ by a homotopy in levels $\leq A - 1$ and hence in $\Gamma - C$. Since μ has image in $\Gamma - E$ and (for $i \in \{1, 2\}$) ψ_i is an edge path in level $A - 1$ with end points in $\Gamma - E$ and image in $\Gamma - D_1$, we have shown:

(\dagger) *The path γ is homotopic $rel\{r\}$ to an edge path loop γ_3 in $\Gamma - D_1$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$ such that each t -edge of γ_3 has image in $\Gamma - E$ and each edge of γ_3 in any level $< A - 1$ is in $\Gamma - E$.*

Next suppose α is a maximal subpath of γ_3 in level $L \in [A - 1, B]$. The end points of α are in $\Gamma - E$ and α has image in $\Gamma - D_1$. By Lemma 4.9, the path α is homotopic $rel\{0, 1\}$ to an edge path in $\Gamma - E$ by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$. Apply Lemma 4.9 to all such α . The resulting path, call it γ_4 , is homotopic $rel\{0, 1\}$ to γ_3 by a homotopy in $\Gamma - C$. Notice that each edge of γ_4 in a level $\leq B$ is in $\Gamma - E$. At this point we need only be concerned about maximal subpaths of γ_4 which are in a level above level B (and hence above the compact set C). Repeated applications of Lemma 4.8 to such paths with $F = \emptyset$ (see Remark 4.7) move such paths out of E by homotopies in $\Gamma - C$. This finishes the proof that G has semistable fundamental group at ∞ . \square

5 The Proof of the Main Theorem

Before proving Theorem 1.3 we prove Lemma 5.1 (by an argument that parallels the proof of Theorem 3 of [Mih86b]).

Lemma 5.1 (SS2) *Suppose $G = A *_C B$ or $G = A *_C$, where A and B are finitely presented, C is infinite, finitely generated and in the first case, of finite index in B . If A is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ , then G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ .*

Proof: We consider the case $G = A *_C B$ first. If $B = C$ or $A = C$, then A has finite index in G and so G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ (since semistability and the number of ends of a group are quasi-isometry invariants). We assume




Figure 9: Stacking homotopies

$A \neq C \neq B$. Let $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ be a finite generating set for G , where \mathcal{A} generates A , \mathcal{B} generates B and $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$ generates C . Let \mathcal{P} be a finite presentation for G with generators $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Let Γ be the Cayley 2-complex for \mathcal{P} . We show Γ has semistable fundamental group at ∞ . Let $\Gamma_H \subset \Gamma$ be the corresponding Cayley graph of $H = A, B$ or C with respect to \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{C} respectively. Choose a geodesic edge path line $\ell = (\dots, c_{-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots)$ in Γ_C (so that each edge c_i has label $\bar{c}_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\pm 1}$). Assume the initial vertex of c_0 is $*$, the identity vertex of Γ_C . Let $r^+ = (c_0, c_1, \dots)$ and $r^- = (c_{-1}^{-1}, c_{-2}^{-1}, \dots)$ be the two (opposite direction) geodesic rays (beginning at $*$) of ℓ . Recall that the vertices of Γ are the elements of G . Given any compact set $D \subset \Gamma$ there are only finitely many vertices $v \in \Gamma$ such that both vr^+ and vr^- intersect D .

Let $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$ be a proper edge path ray in Γ with initial point $*$. Then the label of s_i is $\bar{s}_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\pm 1}$. Let $* = w_0, w_1, \dots$ be the consecutive vertices of s . For any vertex $v \in \Gamma$ let $B(v, N)$ be the ball of radius N about v in the 1-skeleton of Γ . Select $r_0 = r^+$, and for $i > 0$, set r_i equal to $w_i r^+$ or $w_i r^-$ so that for any integer N only finitely many r_i intersect $B(*, N)$. (See Figure 9.)

If $\bar{s}_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$ then the rays r_i and (s_i, r_{i+1}) are both \mathcal{A} -rays and so are properly homotopic $rel\{w_i\}$ by a homotopy K_i in $w_i \Gamma_A$ (see Theorem 2.1(4)). There may be infinitely many j such that $w_j \Gamma_A = w_i \Gamma_A$. Let $J_i = \{j \geq 0 : w_j \Gamma_A = w_i \Gamma_A \text{ and } \bar{s}_j \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}\}$. Theorem 2.1(3) implies that the set of all K_j such that $j \in J_i$, can be selected so that only finitely many have image which intersects any given compact subset of Γ . Since only finitely many translates of Γ_A intersect a given compact set, we may assume that: If $\bar{s}_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$ then K_i is defined and for any compact set D , only finitely many such K_i have image that intersects D .

If $\bar{s}_k \in \mathcal{B}^{\pm 1}$ then the ray (s_k^{-1}, r_k) is a \mathcal{B} ray. Since C has finite index in B , there is a proper \mathcal{C} ray q_{k+1} at w_{k+1} that is properly homotopic $rel\{w_{k+1}\}$

to (s_k^{-1}, r_k) by a homotopy K'_k whose image is within $N_{4.2} + N_{3.2}$ of the image of r_k . (Let β_0 be the trivial path at w_{k+1} . If e_i is the i^{th} edge of (s_k^{-1}, r_k) then let β_i be an edge path of length $\leq N_{3.2}$ from the terminal point of e_i to a vertex of $w_{k+1}\Gamma_C$. Apply Lemma 4.2 to the paths $(\beta_{i-1}^{-1}, e_i, \beta_i)$ and combine the resulting homotopies.)

Now q_{k+1} and r_{k+1} are both \mathcal{A} (in fact, \mathcal{C}) rays at w_{k+1} and so are properly homotopic by a homotopy K''_k in $w_{k+1}\Gamma_A$. Again, Theorem 2.1(3) allows us to choose the K''_k such that for any compact set D , only finitely many such K''_k have image that intersect D .

Combine K'_k and K''_k to form K_k , a proper homotopy of r_k to (s_k, r_{k+1}) . Combining the K_i for $i \geq 0$, provides a proper homotopy from r^+ to s (see Figure 9). Hence every proper edge path ray at $*$ is properly homotopic to r^+ and G is semistable at ∞ (see Theorem 2.1(4)). As any two proper rays in Γ are properly homotopic, the space Γ (and hence the group G) is 1-ended.

In the second case semistability follows directly from Theorem 1.1. It remains to show that $A*_C$ is 1-ended. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be finite generating sets for C and A respectively. Let t be the stable letter and for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, let w_c be an \mathcal{A} word such that $t^{-1}ct = w_c$ is a conjugation relation for G . Let Γ be the Cayley graph of G with respect to $\mathcal{A} \cup \{t\}$ and let $\Gamma_C \subset \Gamma$ be the Cayley graph of C with respect to \mathcal{C} . Again choose a geodesic edge path line $\ell = (\dots, c_{-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots)$ in Γ_C , so that each edge c_i has label $\bar{c}_i \in \mathcal{C}^{\pm 1}$. Assume the initial vertex of c_0 is $*$, the identity vertex of Γ_C . Let $r^+ = (c_0, c_1, \dots)$ and $r^- = (c_{-1}^{-1}, c_{-2}^{-1}, \dots)$ be the two (opposite direction) geodesic rays (beginning at $*$) of ℓ . Given any compact set $D \subset \Gamma$ there are only finitely many vertices $v \in \Gamma$ such that both vr^+ and vr^- intersect D . Choose $E(D)$ a finite subcomplex of Γ such that if v is a vertex of $\Gamma - E$ then either vr^+ or vr^- avoids D . It is enough to show that any two vertices v and w in $\Gamma - E$ can be joined by an edge path in $\Gamma - D$. Let r_v be vr^+ or vr^- avoiding D . Similarly select r_w avoiding D . It is enough to show that r_v and r_w converge to the same end of Γ . Let $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be an edge path from v to w . Assume a_i has label $\bar{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1} \cup \{t^{\pm 1}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let v_i be the initial vertex of a_i and let r_i be $v_i r^+$. Let $v_0 = v$, $v_{n+1} = w$, $r_0 = r_v$ and $r_{n+1} = r_w$. It is enough to show that r_i and r_{i+1} converges to the same end of Γ for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Choose $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. If $\bar{a}_i \in \mathcal{A}^{\pm 1}$ then the proper rays r_i and (a_i, r_{i+1}) form a proper line in the 1-ended space $v_i\Gamma_1$. Hence r_i and (a_i, r_{i+1}) converge to the same end of $v_i\Gamma_A$. In particular, r_i and r_{i+1} converge to the same end of Γ . If $\bar{a}_i = t$ then write $r_i = (e_1, e_2, \dots)$. Let t_k be the edge labeled t at the initial vertex of e_k . There is an \mathcal{A} path z_j

(labeled by one of the conjugation words $w_k^{\pm 1}$), connecting the end points of (t_k^{-1}, e_k, t_{k+1}) . As (z_1, z_2, \dots) tracks r_i , it is proper and converge to the same end of Γ as does r_i . Also (z_1, z_2, \dots) and r_{i+1} are in the 1-ended space $v_{i+1}\Gamma_A$, so they converge to the same end of Γ . Hence r_i and r_{i+1} converge to the same end of Γ . If $\bar{a}_i = t^{-1}$ then consider the t edges at the vertices of r_{i+1} and proceed as before. \square

Proof: (of Theorem 1.3) Suppose \mathcal{G} is our graph of groups decomposition of G . First a reduction. Suppose there is a vertex v of \mathcal{G} such that G_v is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . If there is an edge (loop) $e(v, v)$ of \mathcal{G} , then the HNN-extension of G_v determined by $e(v, v)$ is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ by Lemma 5.1. In this situation, replace v and $e(v, v)$ by a single vertex v' with vertex group the HNN-extension of G_v . The resulting graph of groups is still a decomposition of G and satisfies the hypothesis of our theorem. We may assume:

(0) *If v is a vertex of \mathcal{G} and G_v is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ , then no edge at v is a loop.*

Next, suppose there is a vertex v of \mathcal{G} such that G_v is not both 1-ended and semistable at ∞ and an edge (loop) $e(v, v)$ (giving an HNN-extension). Then there are subgroups G_1 and G_2 of G_v (both isomorphic to G_e) and an isomorphism $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ determining a subgroup of G that is an HNN-extension of G_v . If either G_1 or G_2 has finite index in G_v , then Theorem 1.4 implies that the resulting HNN-extension (call it $G_{v'}$) of G_v is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . In this case v and the loop $e(v, v)$ are replaced by v' . If \mathcal{G} has only 1-vertex v , then our hypotheses and reductions imply that \mathcal{G} cannot have an edge so that $G = G_v$. Our hypotheses imply that G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . Assume the result is true for \mathcal{G} with $N \geq 1$ vertices. Now assume \mathcal{G} has $N + 1$ vertices. Suppose $e(v, w)$ is an edge of Γ , and the vertex group G_v (of \mathcal{G}) is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . Our reduction (0) implies that $v \neq w$. If G_e has finite index in G_w , then Lemma 5.1 implies that the subgroup of G generated by G_v and G_w is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . If G_w is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ then Theorem 2.3 implies that the subgroup of G generated by G_v and G_w is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . In either case, reducing \mathcal{G} by combining e into a single vertex (with group generated by $G_v \cup G_w$) gives a graph of groups decomposition of G with fewer vertices and satisfying the hypothesis of our theorem. Inductively, G is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . So we may assume:

(1) If v is a vertex of \mathcal{G} and G_v is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ , then each edge $e(v, w)$ of \mathcal{G} is such that $v \neq w$, G_e has infinite index in G_w , and G_w is not 1-ended and semistable at ∞ .

Similarly, Theorems 1.4 and 2.2 imply that we may assume:

(2) No edge (loop) $e(v, v)$ of \mathcal{G} is such that G_e has finite index in G_v and no edge $e(v, w)$ with $v \neq w$ is such that G_e has finite index in both G_v and G_w .

By (1), there is a vertex $v_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that G_{v_0} is not 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . By our hypotheses there is an edge $e_0 = e(v_0, v_1)$ of \mathcal{G} such that G_{e_0} has finite index in G_{v_0} . By (2), $v_0 \neq v_1$ and G_{e_0} has infinite index in G_{v_1} . By (1), G_{v_1} is not 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . By our hypothesis there is an edge $e_1 = e(v_1, v_2)$ of \mathcal{G} such that G_{e_1} has finite index in G_{v_1} (so $e_1 \neq e_0$) and by (2), $v_1 \neq v_2$ and G_{e_1} has infinite index in G_{v_2} . By (1), G_{v_2} is not 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . Continuing, we arrive at a simple (non-crossing) edge path loop \mathcal{L} in \mathcal{G} (relabeling if necessary) e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n where $e_i = e(v_i, v_{i+1})$, $v_i \neq v_{i+1}$, $v_{n+1} = v_0$, no G_{v_i} is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ , and G_{e_i} has finite index in G_{v_i} and infinite index in $G_{v_{i+1}}$. It is enough to show the fundamental group L of this loop of groups is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ (since then we could collapse the loop to a single vertex and the resulting graph of groups decomposition of G would have fewer vertices and still satisfy the hypothesis of our theorem).

Let A be the fundamental group of the graph of groups obtained by removing e_n from \mathcal{L} . We consider L to be an HNN-extension of A , with stable letter t and $t^{-1}ct \in G_{v_0}$ for $c \in G_{e_n} < G_{v_n}$. The group $C = G_{e_0} \cap G_{e_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{e_n}$ has finite index in G_{v_0} . The subgroup of L generated by G_{v_0} and t is an HNN extension with base G_0 and associated subgroup C (of finite index in G_0). Theorem 1.4 implies this subgroup is finitely presented, 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . Similarly each of the vertex groups of \mathcal{L} belong to a subgroup of L that is finitely presented, 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . Repeated applications of Theorem 2.3 imply that L is 1-ended and semistable at ∞ . \square

References

- [BM91] Mladen Bestvina and Geoffrey Mess, *The boundary of negatively curved groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 469–481. MR 1096169

- [Bow99] B. H. Bowditch, *Connectedness properties of limit sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 9, 3673–3686. MR 1624089
- [Bri93] Stephen G. Brick, *Quasi-isometries and ends of groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **86** (1993), no. 1, 23–33. MR 1213151
- [CM14] Gregory R. Conner and Michael L. Mihalik, *Commensurated subgroups, semistability and simple connectivity at infinity*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 6, 3509–3532. MR 3302969
- [Geo08] Ross Geoghegan, *Topological methods in group theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 243, Springer, New York, 2008. MR 2365352
- [HM] Matthew Haulmark and Michael L. Mihalik, *Relatively hyperbolic groups with semistable peripheral subgroups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **32** (2022) no. 4, 753–783. ArXiv 2101.05923.
- [Lev98] Gilbert Levitt, *Non-nesting actions on real trees*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **30** (1998), no. 1, 46–54. MR 1479035
- [Mih83] Michael L. Mihalik, *Semistability at the end of a group extension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), no. 1, 307–321. MR 690054
- [Mih85] ———, *Ends of groups with the integers as quotient*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **35** (1985), no. 3, 305–320. MR 777262
- [Mih86a] ———, *Ends of double extension groups*, Topology **25** (1986), no. 1, 45–53. MR 836723
- [Mih86b] ———, *Semistability at ∞ of finitely generated groups, and solvable groups*, Topology Appl. **24** (1986), no. 1-3, 259–269, Special volume in honor of R. H. Bing (1914–1986). MR 872498
- [Mih96] ———, *Semistability of Artin and Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **111** (1996), no. 1-3, 205–211. MR 1394352
- [Mih16] ———, *Semistability and simple connectivity at ∞ of finitely generated groups with a finite series of commensurated subgroups*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **16** (2016), no. 6, 3615–3640. MR 3584269

- [MS21] M. Mihalik and E. Swenson, *Relatively hyperbolic groups with semistable fundamental group at infinity*, *J. Topol.* **14** (2021), no. 1, 39–61. MR 4186133
- [MT92] Michael L. Mihalik and Steven T. Tschantz, *Semistability of amalgamated products and HNN-extensions*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1992), no. 471, vi+86. MR 1110521
- [Rat07] Diego Rattaggi, *Three amalgams with remarkable normal subgroup structures*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), no. 2, 537–541. MR 2320016
- [She] Sam Shepherd, *Semistability of cubulated groups*, arXiv:2203.11244 [math.GR].
- [Swa96] G. A. Swarup, *On the cut point conjecture*, *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1996), no. 2, 98–100. MR 1412948