

BOUNDARY h^* -POLYNOMIALS OF RATIONAL POLYTOPES

ESME BAJO AND MATTHIAS BECK

ABSTRACT. If P is a *lattice polytope* (i.e., P is the convex hull of finitely many integer points in \mathbb{R}^d) of dimension d , Ehrhart's famous theorem (1962) asserts that the integer-point counting function $|nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$ is a degree- d polynomial in the integer variable n . Equivalently, the generating function $1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} |nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| z^n$ is a rational function of the form $\frac{h^*(z)}{(1-z)^{d+1}}$; we call $h^*(z)$ the h^* -*polynomial* of P . There are several known necessary conditions for h^* -polynomials, including results by Hibi (1990), Stanley (1991), and Stapledon (2009), who used an interplay of arithmetic (integer-point structure) and topological (local h -vectors of triangulations) data of a given polytope. We introduce an alternative *ansatz* to understand Ehrhart theory through the h^* -polynomial of the *boundary* of a polytope, recovering all of the above results and their extensions for rational polytopes in a unifying manner. We include applications for (rational) Gorenstein polytopes and rational Ehrhart dilations.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a d -dimensional *rational polytope* $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e., the convex hull of finitely many points in \mathbb{Q}^d) and a positive integer n , let $\text{ehr}_P(n)$ denote the number of lattice points in nP . Ehrhart's famous theorem [9] says that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ehr}_P(n) = \text{vol}(P) n^d + k_{d-1}(n) n^{d-1} + \cdots + k_1(n) n + k_0(n),$$

where $k_0(n), k_1(n), \dots, k_{d-1}(n)$ are periodic functions in n . We call $\text{ehr}_P(n)$ the *Ehrhart quasipolynomial* of P . Ehrhart proved that each period of $k_0(n), k_1(n), \dots, k_{d-1}(n)$ divides the *denominator* of P , which is the smallest integer q such that qP is a *lattice polytope* (i.e., the convex hull of points in \mathbb{Z}^d). The *Ehrhart series* is the rational generating function

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) := 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_P(n) z^n = \frac{h_P^*(z)}{(1-z^q)^{d+1}},$$

where $h_P^*(z)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $q(d+1)$, the h^* -*polynomial* of P (see, e.g., [5, Section 3.8]). The best known instance is when $q = 1$, i.e., P is a lattice polytope, in which case $\text{ehr}_P(n)$ is a polynomial.

Note that the h^* -polynomial depends not only on q (though that is implicitly determined by P), but also on our choice of representing the rational function $\text{Ehr}_P(z)$, which in our form will not be in lowest terms. For example, the Ehrhart series of the line segment $P = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{1 + z + z^2 + z^3}{(1-z^2)^2} = \frac{1 + z^2}{(1-z)(1-z^2)},$$

but we typically insist that $h_P^*(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3$. Occasionally (e.g., in Corollary 4.4 below) we use different representations.

Date: 20 January 2023.

We are indebted to three anonymous referees for numerous helpful comments.

Stanley proved that $h_P^*(z)$ has nonnegative integer coefficients. While his original proof used commutative algebra [19] based on work of Hochster [16], and a second proof of Stanley proceeded via shelling arguments [20], there is an “easy” conceptual proof using half-open triangulations (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 5]). Stanley’s theorem was refined by Stapledon [26] (for lattice polytopes, i.e., $q = 1$) and Beck–Braun–Vindas–Meléndez [3] (for rational polytopes), who showed that the h^* -polynomial can be decomposed using palindromic polynomials with nonnegative coefficients. A *palindromic polynomial* $f(z) = \sum f_i z^i$ has symmetric coefficients, that is, $f_i = f_{\deg(f)-i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, \deg(f)$; equivalently, $z^{\deg(f)} f(\frac{1}{z}) = f(z)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let P be a full-dimensional rational polytope with denominator q and let ℓ be the smallest positive integer such that ℓP contains an interior lattice point. Then*

$$\frac{1 + z + \dots + z^{\ell-1}}{1 + z + \dots + z^{q-1}} h_P^*(z) = a(z) + z^\ell b(z),$$

where $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are palindromic polynomials with nonnegative integer coefficients.

We remark that our assumption that P is full dimensional and our convention for $h_P^*(z)$ imply that $h_P^*(z)$ is divisible by $1 + z + \dots + z^{q-1}$, because the leading coefficient of $\text{ehr}_P(n)$ is constant (namely, the volume of P) and thus $z = 1$ is the unique pole with maximal order of the rational function $\text{Ehr}_P(z)$; see, e.g., [24, Theorem 4.1.1]. Thus the decomposition of the polynomial $\frac{1+z+\dots+z^{\ell-1}}{1+z+\dots+z^{q-1}} h_P^*(z)$ into two palindromic polynomials as in Theorem 1.2 is unique and an easy exercise. The point of Theorem 1.2 is that $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ have nonnegative coefficients.

As was pointed out in [3], Theorem 1.2 immediately implies the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} h_0^* + \dots + h_{j+1}^* &\geq h_{q(d+1)-1}^* + \dots + h_{q(d+1)-1-j}^*, & j = 0, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{q(d+1)-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \\ h_s^* + \dots + h_{s-j}^* &\geq h_0^* + \dots + h_j^*, & j = 0, \dots, s, \end{aligned}$$

where $s := \deg h_P^*(z)$. For the case $q = 1$ (i.e., lattice polytopes), they go back to Hibi [11, 14] and Stanley [21].

The case $q = \ell = 1$ of Theorem 1.2 was proved much earlier by Betke–McMullen [7]. Writing a (combinatorially defined) polynomial as a sum of two palindromic polynomials as in Theorem 1.2 is often referred to as a *symmetric decomposition* and has applications beyond a refinement of nonnegativity; we mention one representative (much more can be found, e.g., in [8]): $p(z) = a(z) + z b(z)$, with $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ palindromic, is alternatingly increasing (i.e., the coefficients of $p(z)$ satisfy $0 \leq p_0 \leq p_d \leq p_1 \leq p_{d-1} \leq \dots$) if and only if the coefficients of both $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are nonnegative and unimodal (i.e., the coefficients increase up to some index and then decrease).

Betke–McMullen’s, Stapledon’s, as well as Beck–Braun–Vindas–Meléndez’s proofs use local h -vectors of a triangulation, their nonnegativity, and the Dehn–Sommerville relations. Our main goal is to give an “easy” conceptual proof of Theorem 1.2—in particular, one that is independent of local h -vectors (though they are hiding under the surface). Our *ansatz* is to study the h^* -polynomial of the *boundary* of a rational polytope, and our second goal is to exhibit that such a study is worthwhile, with the hope for further applications. The connection to Theorem 1.2 is that the h^* -polynomial of the boundary of P , defined via

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z) := 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_{\partial P}(n) z^n = \frac{h_{\partial P}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d},$$

equals $a(z)$, for any q and ℓ ;¹ as we will see below, this equality follows from the uniqueness of the symmetric decomposition and the palindromicity (2.1) of $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. We remark that, a priori, it is not clear that we can always represent the generating function of $\text{ehr}_{\partial P}(n)$ in the form (1.3); in particular, we will see below (where we will show that this form always exists) that $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ has degree qd , contrary to the degree of $h_P^*(z)$, and so the quasipolynomial $\text{ehr}_{\partial P}(n)$ does not have constant term 1.

To illustrate the philosophy behind our approach, here is a do-it-yourself proof setup for Theorem 1.2 in the case $q = \ell = 1$:

- fix a (half-open) triangulation T of the boundary ∂P and extend T to a (half-open) triangulation of P by coning over an interior lattice point \mathbf{x} ;
- convince yourself that $a(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ is palindromic with nonnegative (in fact, as we will show in Theorem 2.5, positive) coefficients;
- realize that the h^* -polynomial of each half-open simplex $\Delta \in T$ is coefficient-wise less than or equal to the h^* -polynomial of $\text{conv}(\Delta, \mathbf{x})$, and thus $h_P^*(z) - a(z)$ has nonnegative coefficients.

It turns out that this philosophy works for general q and ℓ , with slight modifications (for example, for $\ell > 1$, the interior point \mathbf{x} cannot be a lattice point). To state our *ansatz* from a different angle, we approach Theorem 1.2 by giving (1) a (positive, symmetric) interpretation of $a(z)$ and (2) an explicit construction which shows that $a(z) \leq \frac{1+z+\dots+z^{\ell-1}}{1+z+\dots+z^{q-1}} h_P^*(z)$.

This point of view has consequences beyond a (somewhat short) proof of Theorem 1.2. One of these consequences is an inequality among the two leading coefficients of an Ehrhart polynomial which seems to be novel.

Corollary 1.4. *Let P be a full-dimensional lattice d -polytope and let ℓ be the smallest positive integer such that ℓP contains an interior lattice point. Then the two leading coefficients of $\text{ehr}_P(n) = k_d n^d + k_{d-1} n^{d-1} + \dots + k_0$ satisfy*

$$\frac{\ell d}{2} k_d \geq k_{d-1}.$$

Betke–McMullen [7, Theorem 6] gave upper bounds for each k_j in terms of k_d and Stirling numbers of the first kind. For $j = d - 1$ they yield $\binom{d+1}{2} k_d \geq k_{d-1}$, which Corollary 1.4 improves upon.²

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 serves as a point of departure for our study of $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. We prove several inequalities for the coefficients of $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$, among them a lower bound result (Theorem 2.5), which in particular shows that $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ has *positive* coefficients.

Section 3 gives a construction for certain half-open triangulations we will need for our proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 4; this section also contains a proof of Corollary 1.4.

In Section 5 we discuss reflexive and Gorenstein polytopes, as well as their rational analogues, and implications for these polytopes from the viewpoint of $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. Our main result in this section (Theorem 5.6) says that if P is a rational polytope with denominator q such

¹We suspect that this fact is well known to the experts, but we could not find it in the literature. We thank Katharina Jochemko for pointing it out to us.

²We thank Martin Henk for reminding us about the Betke–McMullen inequalities and asking whether they could be improved using our setup.

that gP is reflexive, then

$$h_P^*(z) = \frac{1 + z + \cdots + z^{q-1}}{1 + z + \cdots + z^{g-1}} h_{\partial P}^*(z).$$

In Section 6 we prove an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for rational (or, equivalently, real) Ehrhart dilations.

2. THE h^* -POLYNOMIAL OF THE BOUNDARY OF A POLYTOPE

We start by addressing some of the subtleties in defining the Ehrhart series (and thus the h^* -polynomial) of ∂P , especially when we ultimately compute the Ehrhart series using a half-open triangulation of the boundary. The reason for the convention (1.3) that $\text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z)$ (and therefore also $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$) has constant term 1 is *Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity* (see, e.g., [6, Corollary 5.4.5]): it says that the rational generating functions $\text{Ehr}_P(z)$ and

$$\text{Ehr}_{P^\circ}(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_{P^\circ}(n) z^n = \frac{h_{P^\circ}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^{d+1}}$$

are related via

$$\text{Ehr}_{P^\circ}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = (-1)^{d+1} \text{Ehr}_P(z)$$

or, equivalently,

$$z^{q(d+1)} h_{P^\circ}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = h_P^*(z).$$

As $\text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z) = \text{Ehr}_P(z) - \text{Ehr}_{P^\circ}(z)$, we obtain

$$h_{\partial P}^*(z) = \frac{h_P^*(z) - h_{P^\circ}^*(z)}{1 - z^q}$$

and thus $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ is palindromic, i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad z^{qd} h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = h_{\partial P}^*(z).$$

(See [6, Proposition 5.6.3] for connections to more general self-reciprocal complexes and the Dehn–Sommerville relations.)

So h^* -polynomials of boundaries of polytopes are in a sense more restricted than h^* -polynomials of polytopes. Even further, as we will show in Theorem 2.5 below, $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ has no internal zero coefficients, which is far from true for $h_P^*(z)$ (see, e.g., [15]).

On the other hand, there is the following alternative extension of nonnegativity of $h_P^*(z)$ due to Stanley [22] (we state only the version for lattice polytopes):

Theorem 2.2. *Let P and Q be lattice polytopes with $P \subseteq Q$. Then $h_P^*(z) \leq h_Q^*(z)$ coefficient-wise.*

Monotonicity does not hold for $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$, as the following example exhibits.

Example 2.3. Let $P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0), (2, 2)\}$ and $Q = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0), (3, 3)\}$. Then

$$h_{\partial P}^*(z) = 1 + 6z + z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\partial Q}^*(z) = 1 + 4z + z^2.$$

Thus $P \subseteq Q$ but $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \not\geq h_{\partial Q}^*(z)$ coefficient-wise.

Moreover, even though $\partial P \subseteq P$, it is not always true that $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \leq h_P^*(z)$: while $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ always has degree qd , there are many polytopes for which $h_P^*(z)$ has lower degree. On the other hand, the $\ell = q = 1$ case of Theorem 1.2 implies

$$h_P^*(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z) + z b(z),$$

thus when P is a lattice polytope containing an interior lattice point, it is true that $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \leq h_P^*(z)$. The rational version gives us a more general necessary condition in terms of ℓ and q for $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \leq h_P^*(z)$ to hold (again, assuming Theorem 1.2):

Corollary 2.4. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope with denominator q , and let $\ell \geq 1$ be the smallest dilate of P that contains an interior lattice point. If $\ell \leq q$, then $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \leq h_P^*(z)$. (In particular, when P is a lattice polytope, $\ell = 1$ suffices.)*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 (with the interpretation $a(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z)$) and the assumption $\ell \leq q$,

$$h_{\partial P}^*(z) \leq (1 + z + \cdots + z^{\ell-1}) \frac{h_P^*(z)}{1 + z + \cdots + z^{q-1}} \leq (1 + z + \cdots + z^{q-1}) \frac{h_P^*(z)}{1 + z + \cdots + z^{q-1}}$$

coefficient-wise. \square

The following lower-bound result is a restatement of a theorem of Stapledon [26, Theorem 2.14] (and a simplified proof) in our language:

Theorem 2.5. *If P is a lattice d -polytope with boundary h^* -polynomial $h_{\partial P}^*(z) = h_{\partial P, d}^* z^d + \cdots + h_{\partial P, 1}^* z + h_{\partial P, 0}^*$ then*

$$1 = h_{\partial P, 0}^* \leq h_{\partial P, 1}^* \leq h_{\partial P, j}^* \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, d-1.$$

In particular, $h_{\partial P}^(z)$ has positive coefficients.*

This result parallels a lower-bound theorem of Hibi [14], who proved that if P is a d -dimensional lattice polytope with h^* -polynomial $h_P^*(z) = h_{P, d}^* z^d + \cdots + h_{P, 1}^* z + h_{P, 0}^*$ of degree d (i.e., P contains an interior lattice point), then $1 = h_{P, 0}^* \leq h_{P, 1}^* \leq h_{P, j}^*$ for $j = 2, \dots, d-1$. Our proof mirrors that of Hibi; it turns out that adapting it for $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ simplifies the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let T be a triangulation of ∂P that uses every lattice point in ∂P (i.e., every lattice point in ∂P is a vertex of a simplex in T), with h -vector (h_0, \dots, h_d) defined, as usual, via

$$h_d x^d + h_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \cdots + h_0 = \sum_{k=-1}^{d-1} f_k x^{k+1} (1-x)^{d-1-k}$$

where f_k denotes the number of k -simplices in T and $f_{-1} = 1$. The definitions of h and h^* imply

$$(2.6) \quad h_1 = f_0 - d = |\partial P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| - d = h_{\partial P, 1}^*.$$

(Stanley [23] proved much more about relations between h and h^* .) Barnette's famous lower bound theorem [2] (see also [12, Theorem 13.1]) says

$$(2.7) \quad h_1 \leq h_j \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, d-1.$$

Finally, we apply a theorem of Betke and McMullen [7, Theorem 2] to our situation: it yields

$$(2.8) \quad h_j \leq h_{\partial P, j}^* \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, d.$$

(Betke–McMullen [7] prove much more, giving a formula for h^* in terms of local h -vectors.) The inequalities (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) line up to complete our proof. \square

We finish this section by recalling, for the record, another set of inequalities for $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ in the special (and important) case that P admits a regular unimodular boundary triangulation, once more due to Stapledon [26, Theorem 2.20]:

$$1 = h_{\partial P, 0}^* \leq h_{\partial P, 1}^* \leq \cdots \leq h_{\partial P, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}^* \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\partial P, j}^* \leq \binom{h_{\partial P, 1}^* + j - 1}{j}.$$

3. HALF-OPEN TRIANGULATIONS

Our approach is to triangulate ∂P into disjoint half-open simplices of dimension $d - 1$, in order to avoid inclusion–exclusion arguments. There is a subtlety stemming from our convention that the h^* -polynomial of ∂P has constant term 1, which we need to address here.

Before introducing the half-open boundary triangulations we will use in the later proofs, we recall the Ehrhart series of a *half-open* simplex. Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be the simplex with vertices $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{d+1} \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d$, where the facets opposite $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ are missing. That is, let

$$\Delta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r > 0 \\ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_{d+1} \mathbf{v}_{d+1} : \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_{d+1} \geq 0 \\ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{d+1} = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Its Ehrhart series is

$$\text{Ehr}_\Delta(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{ehr}_\Delta(n) z^n,$$

with constant term 1 if and only if Δ is closed, therefore it is possible for its expression as a rational function to be improper. That is, as seen in the construction below, the Ehrhart series can still be expressed in the form

$$\text{Ehr}_\Delta(z) = \frac{h_\Delta^*(z)}{(1 - zq)^{d+1}},$$

but it is possible for the h^* -polynomial to have degree equal to $q(d + 1)$. The following tiling argument of the homogenization of a rational simplex will also be crucial to the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the next section.

Construction 3.1. We follow [6, Section 4.6] and define the *homogenization* of Δ to be the half-open cone

$$\text{hom}(\Delta) := \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{>0} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^{d+1} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}.$$

Observe that the intersection of $\text{hom}(\Delta)$ with the hyperplane $x_{d+1} = n$ gives precisely a copy of the n th dilate of Δ , whence

$$\text{Ehr}_\Delta(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \text{hom}(\Delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{x_{d+1}}.$$

Define the *fundamental parallelepiped* of Δ to be

$$\mathcal{F}_\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^{d+1} [0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because the generators of $\text{hom}(\Delta)$ are linearly independent, $\text{hom}(\Delta)$ can be tiled with translates of \mathcal{F}_Δ . More precisely, every point in $\text{hom}(\Delta)$ can be uniquely expressed as the sum of a nonnegative integral combination of the $\begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix}$ and an integral point in \mathcal{F}_Δ . This yields

$$\text{Ehr}_\Delta(z) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{qk_1 + \dots + qk_{d+1} + m_{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - zq)^{d+1}}.$$

Observe that we chose the fundamental parallelepiped to have generators each with last coordinate q , however it is not necessary for this to be the case. For example, suppose q_1, \dots, q_{d+1} are such that $\mathbf{v}_j \in \frac{1}{q_j}\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. Then we can tile $\text{hom}(\Delta)$ with translates of the

fundamental parallelepiped

$$\mathcal{F}'_{\Delta} := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \begin{pmatrix} q_j \mathbf{v}_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^{d+1} [0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} q_j \mathbf{v}_j \\ q_j \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain the expression for the Ehrhart series

$$\text{Ehr}_{\Delta}(z) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}'_{\Delta} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{q_1 k_1 + \dots + q_{d+1} k_{d+1} + m_{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}'_{\Delta} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - z^{q_1}) \cdots (1 - z^{q_{d+1}})}.$$

Therefore, the denominator in our resulting expression of the Ehrhart series depends on our choice of fundamental parallelepiped, a subtlety that will be important in the following section.

Naturally, we would like to construct a triangulation of ∂P such that

$$(3.2) \quad h_{\partial P}^*(z) = \sum_{\Delta \in T} h_{\Delta}^*(z)$$

when T is a disjoint half-open triangulation of ∂P . We achieve this as follows:

- For a d -dimensional rational polytope P with denominator q , choose a rational triangulation T of ∂P into $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplices with denominators dividing q . This can be accomplished, for example, by picking a triangulation that uses only the vertices of P .
- Use the convention to express the Ehrhart series as if each simplex $\Delta \in T$ has denominator q , i.e., define

$$h_{\Delta}^*(z) = (1 - z^q)^d \text{Ehr}_{\Delta}(z).$$

This guarantees that we will already have a common denominator when adding up the Ehrhart series of the simplices in the boundary triangulation.

- Apply Construction 3.3 below to turn T into a disjoint triangulation with exactly one closed simplex. This guarantees that the constant term of $\text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z)$ will match the constant term of the sum of the Ehrhart series of the simplices in T .

Once we are able to construct such a disjoint boundary triangulation T with exactly one closed simplex, we will obtain

$$\sum_{\Delta \in T} \frac{h_{\Delta}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\Delta \in T} \text{ehr}_{\Delta}(n) z^n = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_{\partial P}(n) = \frac{h_{\partial P}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d},$$

as desired.

Fortunately, the following construction gives a disjoint boundary triangulation T into half-open $(d-1)$ -simplices, exactly one of which is closed; for such a T , we will have (3.2).

Construction 3.3. Fix a rational polytope P and a rational triangulation T of ∂P into $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplices. We will construct, from this given T , a *disjoint* boundary triangulation into half-open simplices. Choose any point $\mathbf{x} \in P^{\circ}$ and let T' be the triangulation of P given by coning over T , i.e.,

$$T' := \{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) : \Delta \in T\}$$

where for

$$\Delta = \left\{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \mathbf{v}_d : \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \geq 0 \\ \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

we define

$$\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) := \left\{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d \mathbf{v}_d + \lambda_{d+1} \mathbf{x} : \begin{array}{l} \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d+1} \geq 0 \\ \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{d+1} = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Choose a point $\mathbf{y} \in P$ that is *generic* with respect to T' , i.e., \mathbf{y} is not contained in any facet-defining hyperplane of any simplex in T' . For each simplex $\Delta' \in T'$, remove all facets of Δ' that are *visible* from \mathbf{y} , i.e., remove all facets F of Δ' such that \mathbf{y} is not in the halfspace corresponding to F that defines Δ' (see, e.g., [6, Chapter 5] for more details about half-open triangulations using a visibility construction). This makes T' into a disjoint triangulation of P , which restricts to a disjoint triangulation of ∂P . Moreover, the only closed simplex in the disjoint triangulation of ∂P is the one corresponding to Δ' that contains \mathbf{y} . An example is shown in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Constructing a half-open boundary triangulation.

Remark 3.4. We note that if T is a disjoint lattice triangulation with exactly one closed simplex, then $h_{\partial P, k}^*$ is at least the number of simplices in T with k missing faces (since the fundamental parallelepiped of such a simplex has a lattice point equal to the sum of the k generators opposite those missing faces). In the example in Figure 1, if T is a lattice triangulation, we learn that $h_{\partial P}^*(z) \geq 1 + 3z + z^2$, coefficient-wise.

4. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2 VIA HALF-OPEN PYRAMIDS

We will now prove Theorem 1.2 using a half-open triangulation of the boundary of the polytope. The geometric interpretation of the coefficients of the h^* -polynomial of a half-open simplex in Construction 3.1 allows us to compare h^* -polynomials of simplices via their fundamental parallelepipeds, which will ultimately allow us to compare $h_P^*(z)$ and $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. In the lattice case, we will see the finite geometric series $1 + z + \cdots + z^{\ell-1}$ arise naturally as the correction between the denominator of the Ehrhart series of a lattice polytope and the denominator of the Ehrhart series of a rational polytope with all integral vertices except for one vertex with denominator ℓ . In the rational case, we will see the term $\frac{1+z+\cdots+z^{\ell-1}}{1+z+\cdots+z^{q-1}}$ arise as the correction between the denominator of the Ehrhart series of a rational polytope with denominator q and the denominator of the Ehrhart series of a rational polytope with all denominator- q vertices except for one vertex with denominator ℓ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let T be a disjoint rational triangulation of ∂P into $(d-1)$ -dimensional rational half-open simplices with denominator q . By Construction 3.3, we may assume that exactly one simplex in T is closed. Let $\mathbf{x} \in P^\circ$ be such that $\ell \mathbf{x} \in (\ell P)^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$; by the minimality of ℓ , we know that \mathbf{x} has denominator ℓ . Given $\Delta \in T$, let $\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)$ be the

corresponding half-open d -simplex. These simplices give rise to the disjoint rational triangulation $T' := \{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) : \Delta \in T\}$ of P .

We first claim that for any $\Delta \in T$,

$$(4.1) \quad h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}^*(z) = h_{\Delta}^*(z) + z^\ell b_{\Delta}(z)$$

for some polynomial $b_{\Delta}(z)$ with nonnegative coefficients. To see this, let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ be the vertices of Δ (so $q\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, q\mathbf{v}_d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$). Relabel the vertices such that the facets of Δ opposite $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ are missing and the facets of Δ opposite $\mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ are present in Δ .

Now we apply Construction 3.1 with the half-open parallelepiped

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Delta} := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^d [0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix}$$

yielding

$$\text{Ehr}_{\Delta}(z) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - z^q)^d}.$$

Meanwhile, $\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)$ is a d -dimensional simplex with vertices $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d, \mathbf{x}$, with missing facets opposite $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ and included facets opposite $\mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d, \mathbf{x}$. Then we again apply Construction 3.1 (noting the choice of fundamental parallelepiped) to obtain a tiling of

$$\text{hom}(\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{>0} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^d \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with translates of the half-open parallelepiped

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)} := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=r+1}^d [0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_j \\ q \end{pmatrix} + [0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} \ell \mathbf{x} \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}$$

giving

$$\text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}(z) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{qk_1 + \dots + qk_d + \ell k_{d+1} + m_{d+1}} = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - z^q)^d (1 - z^\ell)}.$$

Now let \mathbf{m} be a lattice point in $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}$, say

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{m} = \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_1 \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \alpha_d \begin{pmatrix} q\mathbf{v}_d \\ q \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} \ell \mathbf{x} \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}$$

with $0 < \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta < 1$. If $\beta = 0$, then $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta}$. If $\beta > 0$, then the first d coordinates of \mathbf{m} are given by

$$\alpha_1 q\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_d q\mathbf{v}_d + \beta \ell \mathbf{x},$$

and since $\beta > 0$, this is a lattice point in $m_{d+1}(\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) \setminus \Delta)$, where

$$m_{d+1} = \alpha_1 q + \dots + \alpha_d q + \beta \ell.$$

But by the minimality of ℓ , we know that $j(\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta) \setminus \Delta)$ contains no lattice points for $j = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$; thus, $m_{d+1} \geq \ell$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}^*(z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}} + z^\ell b_{\Delta}(z) \\ &= h_{\Delta}^*(z) + z^\ell b_{\Delta}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial $b_{\Delta}(z)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients, and this proves our claim (4.1).

Summing over all $\Delta \in T$ now yields

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) = \sum_{\Delta \in T} \text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}(z) = \frac{\sum_{\Delta \in T} h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, \Delta)}^*(z)}{(1-z^q)^d(1-z^\ell)} = \frac{\sum_{\Delta \in T} (h_\Delta^*(z) + z^\ell b_\Delta(z))}{(1-z^q)^d(1-z^\ell)}.$$

Define $b_P(z) := \sum_{\Delta \in T} b_\Delta(z)$, which we know to be a polynomial with nonnegative integral coefficients. Thus

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{h_P^*(z)}{(1-z^q)^{d+1}} = \frac{h_{\partial P}^*(z) + z^\ell b_P(z)}{(1-z^q)^d(1-z^\ell)}$$

and so

$$\frac{1+z+\dots+z^{\ell-1}}{1+z+\dots+z^{q-1}} h_P^*(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z) + z^\ell b_P(z).$$

By (2.1), $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ is palindromic with $z^{qd} h_{\partial P}^*(\frac{1}{z}) = h_{\partial P}^*(z)$, and the palindromicity of

$$b_P(z) = \frac{\frac{1-z^\ell}{1-z^q} h_P^*(z) - h_{\partial P}^*(z)}{z^\ell}$$

follows from this, $h_P^*(z) = h_{P^\circ}^*(z) + (1-z^q)h_{\partial P}^*(z)$, and Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity:

$$\begin{aligned} z^{qd-\ell} b_P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) &= z^{qd-\ell} \frac{\frac{1-\frac{1}{z^\ell}}{1-\frac{1}{z^q}} h_P^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)}{\frac{1}{z^\ell}} \\ &= z^{qd} \left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{z^\ell}}{1-\frac{1}{z^q}} \left(h_{P^\circ}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + \left(1-\frac{1}{z^q}\right) h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) - h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \\ &= z^{qd} \left(\frac{1-\frac{1}{z^\ell}}{1-\frac{1}{z^q}} h_{P^\circ}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) + \left(1-\frac{1}{z^\ell}\right) h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^\ell} \left(\frac{z^\ell-1}{z^q-1} z^{q(d+1)} h_{P^\circ}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - z^{qd} h_{\partial P}^*\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^\ell} \left(\frac{1-z^\ell}{1-z^q} h_P^*(z) - h_{\partial P}^*(z) \right) \\ &= b_P(z). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 4.3. If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is a lattice polytope, it is well known that $h_P^*(z)$ equals the h^* -polynomial of $\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{e}_d, P) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Our above proof implies for more general pyramids

$$h_P^*(z) \leq h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, P)}^*(z)$$

coefficient-wise, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ not in the affine span of P . Moreover, the proof reveals a rational analogue:

Corollary 4.4. *Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a rational polytope with denominator q . Then*

$$h_P^*(z) = h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{e}_d, P)}^*(z),$$

where $h_P^*(z) = (1-z^q)^d \text{Ehr}_P(z)$ and $h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{e}_d, P)}^*(z) = (1-z^q)^d(1-z) \text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{e}_d, P)}(z)$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^d$, then

$$h_P^*(z) \leq h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, P)}^*(z),$$

where $h_P^*(z) = (1-z^q)^d \text{Ehr}_P(z)$ and $h_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, P)}^*(z) = (1-z^q)^d(1-z^r) \text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{x}, P)}(z)$.

We finish this section with proving Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. It is well known that $\frac{h_P^*(1)}{d!}$ equals the volume of P , which in turn equals k_d ; this follows, e.g., by writing $\text{ehr}_P(n)$ in terms of the binomial-coefficient basis $\binom{n}{d}, \binom{n+1}{d}, \dots, \binom{n+d}{d}$ (and then the coefficients are precisely the coefficients of $h_P^*(z)$; see, e.g., [5, Section 3.5]). By the same reasoning, $\frac{h_{\partial P}^*(1)}{(d-1)!}$ equals the sum of the volumes of the facets of P , each measured with respect to the sublattice in the affine span of the facet. This sum, in turn, is well known to equal $2k_{d-1}$. Putting it all together,

$$\ell d! k_d = \ell h_P^*(1) \geq h_{\partial P}^*(1) = 2(d-1)! k_{d-1},$$

where the inequality follows from specializing Theorem 1.2 (with the interpretation $a(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z)$) at $z = 1$. \square

5. RATIONAL REFLEXIVE AND GORENSTEIN POLYTOPES

A d -dimensional polytope P is *reflexive* if it is a lattice polytope that contains the origin in its interior and one of the following (equivalent) statements holds:

- (1) the dual polytope of P , i.e.,

$$P^* := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{y} \in P\},$$

is a lattice polytope;

- (2) $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}\}$, where \mathbf{A} is an integral matrix and $\mathbf{1}$ is a vector of all 1s.

Since h^* -polynomials are invariant under integral translation, we allow translates of reflexive polytopes. Now we need not require that $\mathbf{0} \in P^\circ$, and the following statements are all equivalent to being an integral translate of a reflexive polytope:

- (1) $|P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d| = 1$ and $(t+1)P^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = tP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$;
- (2) P contains a unique interior lattice point that is lattice distance 1 away from each facet of P ;
- (3) $z^d h_P^*(\frac{1}{z}) = h_P^*(z)$.

If P is a lattice polytope and there exists an integer $g \geq 1$ such that gP is reflexive, we say that P is *g -Gorenstein*.

By retracing the steps in the proof of Theorem 1.2 but observing that there is no $\beta > 0$ case if P is reflexive, we can obtain a relationship between $h_P^*(z)$ and $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. Similarly, we can do this for Gorenstein polytopes. Both relationships quickly imply that $h_P^*(z)$ is palindromic since $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ is. In this section we will see these results as corollaries of more general theorems for *rational* polytopes.

Fiset and Kasprzyk generalized the notion of being reflexive to rational polytopes in [10]. They define a polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ to be *rational reflexive* if it is the convex hull of finitely many rational points in \mathbb{Q}^d , contains the origin in its interior, and has a lattice dual polytope. Equivalently, a rational polytope P is *rational reflexive* if it has a hyperplane description $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}\}$, where \mathbf{A} is an integral matrix.

Fiset and Kasprzyk prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. *If P is a rational reflexive polytope, then $h_P^*(z)$ is palindromic.*

In their proof, they define the “ h^* -polynomials” corresponding to each of the components of the Ehrhart quasipolynomial of the rational polytope, show (using reciprocity) that the i th such polynomial is equal to the $(q-1-i)$ th polynomial with coefficients read backwards, and finally show that the h^* -polynomial of the rational polytope can be written in terms of these smaller polynomials. This is a generalized version of Hibi’s proof [13] that lattice

polytopes with lattice duals have symmetric h^* -polynomials. Our proof in the previous section shows the lattice version in an alternative way, and in this section, we will show the rational version. By (2.1), Fiset–Kasprzyk’s theorem is an immediate corollary of the following:

Theorem 5.2. *If P is a rational reflexive polytope with denominator q , then*

$$h_P^*(z) = (1 + z + \cdots + z^{q-1}) h_{\partial P}^*(z).$$

Proof. Fix a disjoint half-open triangulation T of ∂P into $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplices using the vertices of P . Fix $\Delta \in T$, say $\Delta = \text{conv}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d)$ with $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d$, where the facets of Δ opposite $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ are missing and the facets opposite $\mathbf{v}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$ are included. We now follow the steps in our proof of Theorem 1.2 using the fundamental parallelepiped

$$\mathcal{F}_\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \binom{q\mathbf{v}_j}{q} + \sum_{j=r+1}^d [0, 1) \binom{q\mathbf{v}_j}{q}$$

yielding

$$\text{Ehr}_\Delta(z) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - z^q)^d}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)} := \sum_{j=1}^r (0, 1] \binom{q\mathbf{v}_j}{q} + \sum_{j=r+1}^d [0, 1) \binom{q\mathbf{v}_j}{q} + [0, 1) \binom{\mathbf{0}}{1}$$

giving

$$\text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)}(z) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}} z^{m_{d+1}}}{(1 - z^q)^d (1 - z)}.$$

Fix a point $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)}$, say

$$\mathbf{p} = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \binom{q\mathbf{v}_j}{q} + \beta \binom{\mathbf{0}}{1},$$

with $0 < \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha_d, \beta < 1$. The simplex Δ is contained in some facet-defining hyperplane of P , say

$$H = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_d x_d = 1\},$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the value of $a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_d p_d$ (where p_1, \dots, p_{d+1} are the coordinates of \mathbf{p}). If $v_{i,j}$ denotes the j th coordinate of \mathbf{v}_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_d p_d &= a_1(\alpha_1 q v_{1,1} + \cdots + \alpha_d q v_{d,1}) + \cdots + a_d(\alpha_1 q v_{d,1} + \cdots + \alpha_d q v_{d,d}) \\ &= q\alpha_1(a_1 v_{1,1} + \cdots + a_d v_{1,d}) + \cdots + q\alpha_d(a_1 v_{d,1} + \cdots + v_{d,d}). \end{aligned}$$

Since each of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d \in H$, this is equal to

$$q\alpha_1 + \cdots + q\alpha_d = p_{d+1} - \beta.$$

If \mathbf{p} is a lattice point in $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)}$, then both $a_1 p_1 + \cdots + a_d p_d$ and p_{d+1} are integers, so β must also be an integer. This forces $\beta = 0$ and thus

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} = \mathcal{F}_\Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Ehr}_{\text{Pyr}(\mathbf{0}, \Delta)}(z) = \frac{h_\Delta^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d (1 - z)}.$$

Summing over all Δ , we obtain

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{h_{\partial P}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d(1 - z)}$$

and so $h_P^*(z) = (1 + z + \dots + z^{q-1})h_{\partial P}^*(z)$. \square

Observe that a (lattice) reflexive polytope is a rational reflexive polytope that happens to be a lattice polytope, so letting $q = 1$ yields the following result:

Corollary 5.4. *If P is a (lattice) reflexive polytope, then $h_P^*(z) = h_{\partial P}^*(z)$.*

Remark 5.5. We note that for rational reflexive polytopes (as opposed to lattice reflexive polytopes) it is not necessarily the case that $P = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{1}\}$ implies that the origin is lattice distance 1 away from each facet-defining hyperplane of P . It is possible for a given facet-defining hyperplane to not contain any lattice points and thus be lattice distance less than 1 from the origin. However, the equality (5.3) between the sets of lattice points in the two fundamental parallelepipeds still holds.

We extend the definition of a rational reflexive to a rational Gorenstein polytope in a natural way. Let P be a rational polytope and let $g \geq 1$ be an integer. We say that P is *rational g -Gorenstein* if gP is an integral translate of a (lattice) reflexive polytope. We allow gP to be a translate of a reflexive polytope so that we do not force P to have an interior point. Also note that, if we have a rational g -Gorenstein polytope with denominator q , we must have $q|g$.

Theorem 5.6. *If P is a rational g -Gorenstein polytope with denominator q , then*

$$h_P^*(z) = \frac{1 + z + \dots + z^{q-1}}{1 + z + \dots + z^{g-1}} h_{\partial P}^*(z).$$

Sketch of Proof. The unique interior lattice point of gP is lattice distance 1 away from the facet-defining hyperplanes of gP , so there is some polynomial $f(z)$ such that

$$\text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(1 - z^g)^d} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{f(z)}{(1 - z^g)^{d+1}}.$$

On the other hand, $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$ and $h_P^*(z)$ are such that

$$\text{Ehr}_{\partial P}(z) = \frac{h_{\partial P}^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^d} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ehr}_P(z) = \frac{h_P^*(z)}{(1 - z^q)^{d+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\partial P}^*(z) &= \frac{(1 - z^q)^d}{(1 - z^g)^d} f(z) = \frac{(1 - z^q)^d}{(1 - z^g)^d} \frac{(1 - z^g)^{d+1}}{(1 - z^q)^{d+1}} h_P^*(z) \\ &= \frac{1 - z^g}{1 - z^q} h_P^*(z) = \frac{1 + z + \dots + z^{g-1}}{1 + z + \dots + z^{q-1}} h_P^*(z). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Observe that a (lattice) g -Gorenstein polytope is a rational g -Gorenstein polytope that happens to be lattice, so letting $q = 1$ yields the following generalization of Corollary 5.4.

Corollary 5.7. *If P is a (lattice) g -Gorenstein polytope, then*

$$h_{\partial P}^*(z) = (1 + z + \dots + z^{g-1})h_P^*(z).$$

Due to the palindromicity of $h_{\partial P}^*(z)$, these relations immediately imply that reflexive, Gorenstein, rational reflexive, and rational Gorenstein polytopes all have palindromic h^* -polynomials.

6. RATIONAL EHRHART THEORY

In the last decade, several works have been devoted to an Ehrhart theory of rational polytopes where we now allow *rational* (or, equivalently, real) dilation factors. The fundamental structure of the Ehrhart counting function in the rational parameter $\lambda > 0$ is that

$$\text{ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; \lambda) := |\lambda P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d|$$

is a quasipolynomial in λ (i.e., has the same structure as (1.1) except that now λ is a rational variable); this was first shown by Linke [17], who proved several other results about $\text{ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; \lambda)$, including an analogue of Ehrhart–Macdonald reciprocity; see also [1, 18, 25, 27]. Our goal in this section is to prove an analogue of Theorem 1.2 in this setting.

To this end, we first recall *rational Ehrhart series*, which were introduced only recently [4]. Suppose the full-dimensional rational polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is given by the irredundant halfspace description

$$P = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \},$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times d}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that the greatest common divisor of b_j and the entries in the j th row of \mathbf{A} equals 1, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We define the *codenominator* r of P to be the least common multiple of the nonzero entries of \mathbf{b} . It turns out that $\text{ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; \lambda)$ is fully determined by evaluations at rational numbers λ with denominator $2r$; if $\mathbf{0} \in P$ then we actually need to know only evaluations at rational numbers λ with denominator r [4, Corollary 5]. This motivates a study of the two generating functions

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; z) := 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; \frac{n}{r}) z^{\frac{n}{r}}$$

and

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ref}}(P; z) := 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; \frac{n}{2r}) z^{\frac{n}{2r}},$$

which have the following rational form, as shown in [4, Theorem 12].

Theorem 6.1. *Let P be a rational d -polytope with codenominator r .*

(a) *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Then*

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P; z) = \frac{h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m)}{(1 - z^{\frac{1}{r}})^{d+1}}$$

where $h_{\mathbb{Q}}^(P; z; m)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[z^{\frac{1}{r}}]$ of degree $< m(d+1)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients.*

(b) *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\frac{m}{2r}P$ is a lattice polytope. Then*

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ref}}(P; z) = \frac{h_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ref}}(P; z; m)}{(1 - z^{\frac{1}{2r}})^{d+1}}$$

where $h_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{ref}}(P; z; m)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[z^{\frac{1}{2r}}]$ of degree $< m(d+1)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients.

Possibly more important than this theorem are the consequences one can derive from it, and [4] (re-)proved several previously-known and novel results in rational Ehrhart theory. The latter include the facts that $h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m)$ is palindromic if $\mathbf{0} \in P^\circ$ and that, if $r|m$, extracting the terms with integer exponents from $h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m)$ returns $h_P^*(z)$, which results in yet another proof of the Betke–McMullen version of Theorem 1.2 (the $\ell = 1$ case).

Theorem 6.1 is based on the observation that

$$h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m) = h_{\frac{1}{r}P}^*(z^{\frac{1}{r}}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\mathbb{Q}}^{*\text{ref}}(P; z; m) = h_{\frac{1}{2r}P}^*(z^{\frac{1}{2r}});$$

note that m is implicitly included in the h^* -polynomial, as we really mean the numerator of the Ehrhart series of $\frac{1}{r}P$ (respectively, $\frac{1}{2r}P$) when the denominator is $(1 - z^m)^{d+1}$. The same observation yields the following variant of Theorem 1.2 for rational Ehrhart theory.

Corollary 6.2. *Let P be a rational polytope with codenominator r .*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{0} \in P^\circ$, then $h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m)$ is palindromic.*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{0} \in \partial P$, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\frac{m}{r}P$ is a lattice polytope and let $\ell \geq 1$ be the smallest dilate of $\frac{1}{r}P$ that contains an interior point. Then*

$$\frac{1 - z^{\frac{\ell}{r}}}{1 - z^{\frac{m}{r}}} h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; m) = a(z) + z^{\frac{\ell}{r}} b(z)$$

where $a(z) = h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(\partial P; z; m)$ and $b(z)$ are palindromic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z^{\frac{1}{r}}]$ with nonnegative integer coefficients.

- (3) *If $\mathbf{0} \notin P$, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\frac{m}{2r}P$ is a lattice polytope and let $\ell \geq 1$ be the smallest dilate of $\frac{1}{2r}P$ that contains an interior point. Then*

$$\frac{1 - z^{\frac{\ell}{2r}}}{1 - z^{\frac{m}{2r}}} h_{\mathbb{Q}}^{*\text{ref}}(P; z; m) = a(z) + z^{\frac{\ell}{2r}} b(z)$$

where $a(z) = h_{\mathbb{Q}}^{*\text{ref}}(\partial P; z; m)$ and $b(z)$ are palindromic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z^{\frac{1}{2r}}]$ with nonnegative integer coefficients.

Example 6.3. Let $P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 2), (5, 2)\}$, alternatively the intersection of the half-spaces

$$\{x_1 \geq 0\} \cap \{x_2 \leq 2\} \cap \{5x_2 - 2x_1 \geq 0\}.$$

From this, we can see that P has codenominator $r = 2$. Since $\mathbf{0} \in \partial P$, we look at the rational dilate

$$\frac{1}{2}P = \text{conv}\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (\frac{5}{2}, 1)\}.$$

The first dilate of $\frac{1}{2}P$ containing an interior lattice point is the $\ell = 2$ nd dilate, which contains the points $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 1)$. We choose $m = r = 2$ minimal, and we compute the associated h^* -polynomial of $\frac{1}{2}P$:

$$h_{\frac{1}{2}P}^*(z) = (1 - z^2)^3 \text{Ehr}_{\frac{1}{2}P}(z) = 1 + 4z + 7z^2 + 6z^3 + 2z^4$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; 2) &= 1 + 4z^{\frac{1}{2}} + 7z + 6z^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2z^2 \\ &= (1 + 4z^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6z + 4z^{\frac{3}{2}} + z^2) + z(1 + 2z^{\frac{1}{2}} + z). \end{aligned}$$

We can check that the first polynomial in the decomposition is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(P; z; 2) &= h^*(\partial \frac{1}{2}P; z^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{h^*(\frac{1}{2}P; z^{\frac{1}{2}}) - h^*(\frac{1}{2}P^\circ; z^{\frac{1}{2}})}{1 - (z^{\frac{1}{2}})^2} \\ &= 1 + 4z^{\frac{1}{2}} + 6z + 4z^{\frac{3}{2}} + z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the power in front of the second polynomial is $z = z^{\frac{2}{2}} = z^{\frac{\ell}{r}}$ and both polynomials are palindromic.³

³We thank Sophie Rehberg for suggesting this example and helping with computing it.

REFERENCES

- [1] Velleda Baldoni, Nicole Berline, Matthias Köppe, and Michèle Vergne. Intermediate sums on polyhedra: computation and real Ehrhart theory. *Mathematika*, 59(1):1–22, 2013. [arXiv:math/1011.6002](#).
- [2] David Barnette. A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes. *Pacific J. Math.*, 46:349–354, 1973.
- [3] Matthias Beck, Benjamin Braun, and Andrés R. Vindas-Meléndez. Decompositions of Ehrhart h^* -Polynomials for Rational Polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 68(1):50–71, 2022.
- [4] Matthias Beck, Sophia Elia, and Sophie Rehberg. Rational Ehrhart theory, 2021. Preprint ([arXiv:2110.10204v2](#)).
- [5] Matthias Beck and Sinai Robins. *Computing the Continuous Discretely: Integer-point Enumeration in Polyhedra*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2015. electronically available at <http://math.sfsu.edu/beck/ccd.html>.
- [6] Matthias Beck and Raman Sanyal. *Combinatorial Reciprocity Theorems: An Invitation to Enumerative Geometric Combinatorics*, volume 195 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [7] Ulrich Betke and Peter McMullen. Lattice points in lattice polytopes. *Monatsh. Math.*, 99(4):253–265, 1985.
- [8] Petter Brändén and Liam Solus. Symmetric decompositions and real-rootedness. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):7764–7798, 2021.
- [9] Eugène Ehrhart. Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 254:616–618, 1962.
- [10] Matthew H. J. Fiset and Alexander M. Kasprzyk. A note on palindromic δ -vectors for certain rational polytopes. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15(1):Note 18, 4 pp., 2008.
- [11] Takayuki Hibi. Some results on Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes. *Discrete Math.*, 83(1):119–121, 1990.
- [12] Takayuki Hibi. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes*. Carslaw, 1992.
- [13] Takayuki Hibi. Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes. *Combinatorica*, 12(2):237–240, 1992.
- [14] Takayuki Hibi. A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes. *Adv. Math.*, 105(2):162–165, 1994.
- [15] Akihiro Higashitani. Counterexamples of the conjecture on roots of Ehrhart polynomials. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 47(3):618–623, 2012. [arXiv:1106.4633](#).
- [16] Melvin Hochster. Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen–Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:318–337, 1972.
- [17] Eva Linke. Rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomials. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 118(7):1966–1978, 2011. [arXiv:1006.5612](#).
- [18] Tiago Royer. Reconstruction of rational polytopes from the real-parameter Ehrhart function of its translates, 2017. Preprint ([arXiv:1712.01973](#)).
- [19] Richard P. Stanley. Magic labelings of graphs, symmetric magic squares, systems of parameters, and Cohen–Macaulay rings. *Duke Math. J.*, 43(3):511–531, 1976.
- [20] Richard P. Stanley. Decompositions of rational convex polytopes. *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 6:333–342, 1980.
- [21] Richard P. Stanley. On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen–Macaulay domain. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 73(3):307–314, 1991.
- [22] Richard P. Stanley. A monotonicity property of h -vectors and h^* -vectors. *European J. Combin.*, 14(3):251–258, 1993.
- [23] Richard P. Stanley. *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, volume 41 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 1996.
- [24] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Volume 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2012.
- [25] Alan Stapledon. Weighted Ehrhart theory and orbifold cohomology. *Adv. Math.*, 219(1):63–88, 2008. [arXiv:math/0711.4382](#).
- [26] Alan Stapledon. Inequalities and Ehrhart δ -vectors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(10):5615–5626, 2009. [arXiv:math/0801.0873](#).

- [27] Alan Stapledon. Counting lattice points in free sums of polytopes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 151:51–60, 2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UC BERKELEY
Email address: `esme@berkeley.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY
Email address: `becksfsu@gmail.com`