

FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS OF FINITE POSETS

M. AYADI

ABSTRACT. We first recall the construction of a twisted pre-Lie algebra structure on the species of finite connected topological spaces. Then, we construct a corresponding non-coassociative permutative (NAP) coproduct on the subspecies of finite connected T_0 topological spaces, i.e., finite connected posets, and we prove that the vector space generated by isomorphism classes of finite posets is a free pre-Lie algebra and also a cofree NAP coalgebra. Further, we give an explicit duality between the non-associative permutative product and the proposed NAP coproduct. Finally, we prove that the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basics of finite topologies	3
3. Free pre-Lie algebras and cofree coalgebras	4
3.1. Free pre-Lie algebras and rooted trees	4
3.2. Twisted NAP algebras of finite connected posets	5
3.3. Free twisted pre-Lie algebras of finite posets	7
3.4. Duality relation between $\circ\rightarrow$ and δ	9
3.5. Free Lie algebras of finite posets	13
4. Generalization to finite topological spaces	13
References	15
Bibliography	15

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we introduce a twisted non-associative permutative algebra structure on the species of finite connected posets. We recall the definition of a non-associative permutative algebra (in short NAP algebra), and the dual definition of a non-coassociative permutative coalgebra (in short NAP coalgebra). A (left) NAP algebra is a vector space V equipped with a bilinear product \cdot satisfying the relation:

$$(1.1) \quad a \cdot (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \cdot c), \text{ for all } a, b \text{ and } c \in V.$$

We note also that the notion of NAP algebra has emerged from the reference [15] by M. Livernet. It has also been previously defined in [11] by A. Dzhamdil'daev, and C. Löfwall under the name of "left commutative algebra". Dually a (left) NAP coalgebra is a vector space V equipped with

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05, 16T10, 16T15, 16T30, 06A11.

Key words and phrases. Bialgebras, bimonoids, finite topological spaces, Hopf algebras, species.

a bilinear coproduct $\delta: V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ satisfying the relation:

$$(1.2) \quad (Id \otimes \delta)\delta = \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta.$$

We recall the following rigidity theorem [15]: any pre-Lie algebra (V, \triangleright) , together with a non-associative permutative connected coproduct δ satisfying the distributive law

$$(1.3) \quad \delta(a \triangleright b) = a \otimes b + (a \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a) \triangleright \delta(b),$$

is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree NAP coalgebra.

We recall also in this paper the description of the free pre-Lie algebra in terms of rooted trees given in [10]. We define a new bilinear product $\circ \rightarrow$ in the species of finite connected posets \mathbb{U} by: for all $P \in \mathbb{U}_{X_1}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{U}_{X_2}$, where X_1 and X_2 are two finite sets

$$P \circ \rightarrow Q = \sum_{v \in \min(Q)} P \searrow_v Q,$$

where $P \searrow_v Q$ is obtained from the Hasse graphs G_1 and G_2 of P and Q by adding an (oriented) edge from v in G_2 to any minimal vertex of G_1 [3].

We show that the bilinear product $\circ \rightarrow$ verifies (1.1) in the monoidal category of species, and therefore endows the species \mathbb{U} with a NAP algebra structure.

For any finite set X , we define the coproduct δ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta: \mathbb{U}_X &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{U})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{U}_Y \otimes \mathbb{U}_Z \\ P &\longmapsto \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \odot P} I \otimes P \setminus I, \end{aligned}$$

where $I \odot P$ means that I is a subset of P such that:

- I_- is a singleton included in $\min(P)$,
- and I is a connected component of the set $\{x \in P, I_- <_P x\}$.

with the set I_- is equal to the space $\{x \notin I, \text{ there exists } y \in I \text{ such that } x \leq_P y\}$.

We prove that the coproduct δ is a twisted NAP coproduct, i.e., (1.2) is verified in the monoidal category of species.

M. Livernet in [15] proved the following rigidity theorem: for any pre-Lie algebra (V, \triangleright) together with a NAP connected coproduct δ satisfying relation (1.3), V is the free pre-Lie algebra generated by $\text{Prim}(V)$.

Later in this paper, we prove a compatibility relation between the pre-Lie \searrow structure and the coproduct δ by proving the twisted version of (1.3) namely:

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q),$$

for any pair (P, Q) of finite connected posets, where the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is identified to the empty poset.

Applying Aguiar-Mahajan's bosonic Fock functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ [2] and M. Livernet's rigidity theorem [15] leads to the main result of the paper: $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \searrow)$ endowed with the coproduct δ is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree NAP coalgebra.

We end up Section 3 by proving that the NAP product $\circ \rightarrow$ and the NAP coproduct δ are dual to each other, and we show that $(\overline{\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{P})}, \Delta_{\searrow})$ is a coassociative cofree coalgebra, where Δ_{\searrow} is the coassociative coproduct defined in [3].

In the last section, we prove that the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces, with a small change on the definition of the coproduct δ .

2. BASICS OF FINITE TOPOLOGIES

A partial order on a set X is a transitive, reflexive and antisymmetric relation on X . A finite poset is a finite set X endowed with a partial order \leq . Let $P = (X, \leq_P)$ and $Q = (X, \leq_Q)$ be two posets. We say that P is finer than Q if: $x \leq_P y \implies x \leq_Q y$ for any $x, y \in X$. The Hasse diagram of poset $P = (X, \leq_P)$ is obtained by representing any element of X by a vertex, and by drawing a directed edge from a to b if and only if $a <_P b$, and, for any $c \in X$ such that $a \leq_P c \leq_P b$, one has $a = c$ or $b = c$. We can say that I is an upper ideal of P ($I \subset P$) if, for all $x, y \in P$, $(x \in I, x \leq_P y) \implies y \in I$. We denote $J(P)$ the set of upper ideals of P .

Recall [1, 12] that a finite topological space is a finite quasi-poset and vice versa. Any topology \mathcal{T} (hence any quasi-order on X) gives rise to an equivalence relation:

$$(2.1) \quad x \sim_{\mathcal{T}} y \iff (x \leq_{\mathcal{T}} y \text{ and } y \leq_{\mathcal{T}} x).$$

Equivalence classes will be called bags here. This equivalence relation is trivial if and only if the quasi-order is a (partial) order, or equivalently, if the corresponding topology is T_0 . Any topology \mathcal{T} on X defines a poset structure on the quotient $X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}$, corresponding to the partial order induced by the quasi-order $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$. More on finite topological spaces can be found in [4, 9, 12, 18].

Recall [2, 14] that a linear (tensor) species is a contravariant functor from the category of finite sets **Fin** with bijections into the category **Vect** of vector spaces (on some field \mathbf{k}). Let \mathbb{E} be a linear species. We note $\overline{\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{E})} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_n / S_n$, where \mathbb{E}_n / S_n denotes the space of S_n -coinvariants of

\mathbb{E}_n . The functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ from linear species to graded vector spaces is intensively studied in [2, chapter 15] under the name "bosonic Fock functor".

The species \mathbb{T} of finite topological spaces is defined as follows: for any finite set X , \mathbb{T}_X is the vector space freely generated by the topologies on X . For any bijection $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$, the isomorphism $\mathbb{T}_{\varphi} : \mathbb{T}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_X$ is defined for any topology \mathcal{T} on X' , by the following relabelling:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\varphi}(\mathcal{T}) = \{\varphi^{-1}(Y), Y \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

It is well-known that the species \mathbb{T} of finite topological spaces is a twisted commutative Hopf algebra [12]: the product m is given by disjoint union, and the coproduct Δ is a natural generalization of the Connes-Kremer coproduct on rooted forests. We defined another twisted Hopf

algebra structure in [3] by replacing Δ with the coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\searrow} : \mathbb{T}_X &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{T})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{T}_Y \otimes \mathbb{T}_Z \\ \mathcal{T} &\longmapsto \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_{|Y} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y}. \end{aligned}$$

Where $Y \in \mathcal{T}$, stands for

- $Y \in \mathcal{T}$,
- $\mathcal{T}_{|Y} = \mathcal{T}_1 \dots \mathcal{T}_n$, such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, \mathcal{T}_i is connected and $(\min \mathcal{T}_i = (\min \mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{T}_i$, or there is a single common ancestor $x_i \in \overline{X \setminus Y}$ to $\min \mathcal{T}_i$), where $\overline{X \setminus Y} = (X \setminus Y) / \sim_{\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y}}$.

If we apply the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$, we therefore two commutative graded connected Hopf algebras $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{T}, m, \Delta)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{T}, m, \Delta_{\searrow})$.

Definition 2.1. [8, 16] *A left pre-Lie algebra over a field \mathbf{k} is a \mathbf{k} -vector space A with a binary composition \triangleright that satisfies the left pre-Lie identity:*

$$(2.2) \quad (x \triangleright y) \triangleright z - x \triangleright (y \triangleright z) = (y \triangleright x) \triangleright z - y \triangleright (x \triangleright z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. The left pre-Lie identity rewrites as:

$$(2.3) \quad L_{[x,y]} = [L_x, L_y],$$

where $L_x : A \longrightarrow A$ is defined by $L_x y = x \triangleright y$, and where the bracket on the left-hand side is defined by $[x, y] = x \triangleright y - y \triangleright x$. As a consequence this bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity.

In fact, as mentioned in [3], the structure \searrow on the species of connected finite topological spaces \mathbb{V} defined by: for all $\mathcal{T}_1 = (X_1, \leq_{\mathcal{T}_1})$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = (X_2, \leq_{\mathcal{T}_2})$ two finite connected topological spaces as:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 \searrow \mathcal{T}_2 = \sum_{v \in X_2} \mathcal{T}_1 \searrow_v \mathcal{T}_2,$$

is a pre-Lie structure, where $\mathcal{T}_1 \searrow_v \mathcal{T}_2$ is obtained from the Hasse graphs G_1 and G_2 of \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 by adding an (oriented) edge from v in G_2 to any minimal vertex of G_1 .

We denote by \mathbb{P} the sub-species of \mathbb{T} consisting in partial order (i.e., T_0 -topologies). The binary product m (resp. \searrow) restricts to finite posets (resp. finite connected posets), as well as both coproducts Δ (resp. Δ_{\searrow}). Hence the triple (\mathbb{P}, m, Δ) is a twisted Hopf subalgebra of (\mathbb{T}, m, Δ) , the triple $(\mathbb{P}, m, \Delta_{\searrow})$ is a twisted Hopf subalgebra of $(\mathbb{T}, m, \Delta_{\searrow})$ and (\mathbb{P}, \searrow) is a twisted pre-Lie subalgebra of (\mathbb{T}, \searrow) .

3. FREE PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS AND COFREE COALGEBRAS

3.1. Free pre-Lie algebras and rooted trees. Let T be the vector space spanned by the set of isomorphism classes of rooted trees and $H = S(T)$. Grafting pre-Lie algebras of rooted trees were studied for the first time by F. Chapoton and M. Livernet [10], although the use of rooted trees can be traced back to A. Cayley [5]. The grafting product is given, for all $t, s \in T$, by:

$$(3.1) \quad t \rightarrow s = \sum_{s' \text{ vertex of } s} t \rightarrow_{s'} s,$$

where $t \rightarrow_{s'} s$ is the tree obtained by grafting the root of t on the vertex s' of s . In other words, the operation $t \rightarrow s$ consists of grafting the root of t on every vertex of s and summing up.

We note $T(n)$ the linear span of rooted trees of degree n . For a vector space V , we denote by $T(V)$ the space $\bigoplus_n T(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}/S_n$. This is the linear span of rooted trees decorated by a basis of V . Here S_n is the symmetric group on n elements. Following the notation of Connes and Kreimer [6], any tree t writes $t := B(v, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ that is decorated by v , and t_1, \dots, t_n are trees.

We notice that, if using the pre-Lie product \rightarrow in $T(V)$, one has:

$$B(v, t_1, \dots, t_n) = t_n \rightarrow B(v, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) - \sum_{0 < k < n} B(v, t_1, \dots, t_n \rightarrow t_k, \dots, t_{n-1})$$

Proposition 3.1. [10] *Equipped by \rightarrow , the space T is the free pre-Lie algebra with one generator.*

Proposition 3.2. [15] *Let V be a vector space. Then, $T(V)$ together with the coproduct*

$$\delta(B(v, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) = \sum_{0 < k < n+1} t_k \otimes B(v, t_1, \dots, \hat{t}_k, \dots, t_n),$$

is the cofree NAP connected coalgebra generated by V .

3.2. Twisted NAP algebras of finite connected posets. Let P be a finite connected poset, and I be a subset of P . We denote by I_- the set $\{x \notin I, \text{ there exists } y \in I \text{ such as } x \leq_P y\}$.

We note $I \odot P$ whenever:

- I_- is a singleton included in $\min(P)$,
- and I is a connected component of the set $\{x \in P, I_- <_P x\}$.

Lemma 3.1. *For any finite connected poset P , and any subsets I, J of P , we have:*

- 1- *if $I \odot P$ and $J \odot P$, then $I = J$ or $I \cap J = \emptyset$.*
- 2- *If $I \odot P$, then $\min(P \setminus I) = \min(P)$.*
- 3- *If $I \odot P$, then $P \setminus I$ is a connected poset.*

Proof. 1- Let P be a finite connected poset and I, J two subsets of P , such that $I, J \odot P$. So, we have two possible cases: either $I_- \neq J_-$ or $I_- = J_-$.

- If $I_- \neq J_-$, with $I_- = \{y\}$, and $J_- = \{z\}$, then I is a connected component of the set $E_1 = \{x \in P, y <_P x\}$ and J is a connected component of the set $E_2 = \{x \in P, z <_P x\}$. So I and J is a connected component of the set $E_1 \sqcup E_2$, then $I = J$ or $I \cap J = \emptyset$. Gold $I_- \neq J_-$, then $I \neq J$. Hence $I \cap J = \emptyset$.

- If $I_- = J_- = \{y\}$, then I and J is two connected components of the set $\{x \in P, y <_P x\}$, then: $I = J$ or $I \cap J = \emptyset$.

2- If there exists $x \in \min(P) \cap I$, then $I_- <_P x$, which is absurd. Thus, $\min(P) \cap I = \emptyset$. Then, $\min(P \setminus I) = \min(P)$.

3- If $I \odot P$, then I is a connected component of the set $E = \{x \in P, I_- <_P x\}$. We have $P \setminus I$ is a connected poset if and only if, for all $x, y \in P \setminus I$ there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in P \setminus I$ such that $x \mathcal{R} a_1 \dots \mathcal{R} a_n \mathcal{R} y$, where \mathcal{R} is defined by: $t_1 \mathcal{R} t_2 \iff (t_1 <_P t_2 \text{ or } t_2 <_P t_1)$. We assume that x, a_1, \dots, a_n, y is the smallest chain that connects x to y .

If $P \setminus I$ is not connected, there is $k \in [n]$ such that $a_k \in I$. By choosing k appropriately we can also assume that a_{k-1} or a_{k+1} are not in I , then we have four possible cases:

- First case; $a_{k-1} \leq_P a_k \leq_P a_{k+1}$. Then this chain is not the smallest chain that connects x to y , which is absurd.
- Second case; $a_{k-1} \geq_P a_k \geq_P a_{k+1}$, the proof is similar.
- Third case; $a_{k-1} \geq_P a_k \leq_P a_{k+1}$. Since $a_k \in I$, then $I_- <_P a_k$. Moreover $<_P$ is transitive, then $I_- <_P a_{k-1}$, so $a_{k-1} \in E$. Then we obtained: $(a_{k-1}, a_k) \in E \times I$, I is a connected component of E and $a_k <_P a_{k-1}$. Hence $a_{k-1} \in I$, and similarly $a_{k+1} \in I$. Then $a_{k-1} \in I$ and $a_{k+1} \in I$, which is in contradiction with the assumptions.
- Fourth case; $a_{k-1} \leq_P a_k \geq_P a_{k+1}$. In this case we have $a_{k-1} \in I_-$ and $a_{k+1} \in I_-$, so $a_{k-1} = a_{k+1}$. Then this chain is not the smallest chain that connects x to y , which is absurd.

Hence, $P \setminus I$ is a connected poset. □

Definition 3.1. The bilinear product $\circ \rightarrow$ is defined in the species of finite connected posets \mathbb{U} as follows: for all $P \in \mathbb{U}_{X_1}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{U}_{X_2}$, where X_1 and X_2 are two finite sets:

$$P \circ \rightarrow Q = \sum_{v \in \min(Q)} P \searrow_v Q.$$

Proposition 3.3. The bilinear product $\circ \rightarrow$ endows the species \mathbb{U} with a twisted NAP algebra structure i.e., the following identity is verified:

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = Q \circ \rightarrow (P \circ \rightarrow R)$$

for any three finite connected posets P, Q and R .

Proof. Let P, Q and R be three finite connected posets, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) &= \sum_{v \in \min(R)} P \circ \rightarrow (Q \searrow_v R) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \min(Q \searrow_v R)} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} P \searrow_u (Q \searrow_v R), \end{aligned}$$

and since $\min(Q \searrow_v R) = \min(R)$, for all $v \in \min(R)$ then:

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = \sum_{v, u \in \min(R)} P \searrow_u (Q \searrow_v R),$$

Which is symmetric on P and Q . Correspondingly, we obtain:

$$P \circ \rightarrow (Q \circ \rightarrow R) = Q \circ \rightarrow (P \circ \rightarrow R).$$

□

Corollary 3.1. [2, Chapter 17] Applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ gives that $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \circ \rightarrow)$ is a NAP algebra.

Definition 3.2. [15] Let (V, δ) be a coalgebra, i.e. be a vector space V together with linear map $\delta : V \longrightarrow V \otimes V$. The following defines a filtration on V :

- $\text{Prim}(V) = V_1 = \{x \in V, \delta(x) = 0\}$,
- $V_n = \{x \in V, \delta(x) \in \bigoplus_{0 < k < n} V_k \otimes V_{n-k}\}$.

The coalgebra (V, δ) is said to be connected if $V = \bigcup_{k > 0} V_k$.

Proposition 3.4. *The coproduct $\delta : \mathbb{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{U}$ defined for any finite set X by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathbb{U}_X &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{U})_X = \bigoplus_{Y \sqcup Z = X} \mathbb{U}_Y \otimes \mathbb{U}_Z \\ P &\longmapsto \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in P} I \otimes P \setminus I, \end{aligned}$$

is a twisted connected NAP coproduct, i.e. the following identity is verified

$$(Id \otimes \delta)\delta = \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta,$$

where $\tau^{12} = \tau \otimes Id$, τ is the flip .

Proof. Let P be a finite connected poset. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (Id \otimes \delta)\delta(P) &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in P} I \otimes \delta(P \setminus I) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{\substack{I \in P \\ J \in P \setminus I}} \frac{1}{|\min(P \setminus I)|} I \otimes J \otimes ((P \setminus I) \setminus J) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|^2} \sum_{\substack{I, J \in P \\ I \cap J = \emptyset}} I \otimes J \otimes (P \setminus (I \sqcup J)) \\ &= \tau^{12}(Id \otimes \delta)\delta(P). \end{aligned}$$

By the Definition 2.6 in [15] and the definition of the coproduct δ , it is clear that the coalgebra (\mathbb{U}, δ) is connected. Hence, (\mathbb{U}, δ) is a twisted connected NAP coalgebra. \square

Example 3.1. $\delta(\wedge) = 0$

$\delta(\vee) = 2 \cdot \otimes \dagger$

$\delta(\bowtie) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \otimes \wedge$

$\delta(\diamond) = \wedge \otimes \cdot$

Corollary 3.2. [2, Chapter 17] *Applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ gives that $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \delta)$ is a NAP coalgebra.*

3.3. Free twisted pre-Lie algebras of finite posets. In this subsection, we prove the compatibility relation [15, Theorem] between the pre-Lie product \searrow and the NAP coproduct δ .

Theorem 3.1. *Let P, Q be two finite connected posets. We have the following identity*

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q),$$

where the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is identified to the empty poset.

Proof. Let $P = (X_1, \leq_P)$, $Q = (X_2, \leq_Q)$ be two finite connected posets, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(P \searrow Q) &= \sum_{v \in X_2} \delta(P \searrow_v Q) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2 \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} \frac{1}{|\min(P \searrow_v Q)|} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2 \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left(\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)}} I \otimes ((P \searrow_v Q) \setminus I) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we notice that

- if $v \in \min(Q)$, then $\{I, I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)\} = \{P\} \cup \{I, I \otimes Q\}$,

and

- if $v \notin \min(Q)$, then $\{I, I \otimes (P \searrow_v Q)\} = \{P \searrow_v J, J \otimes Q, v \in J\} \cup \{J, J \otimes Q, v \notin J\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(P \searrow Q) &= \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left(\sum_{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q)} P \otimes Q + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes Q}} I \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus I)) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left(\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} (P \searrow_v J) \otimes Q \setminus J + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \notin J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we notice that

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} (P \searrow_v J) \otimes Q \setminus J = \sum_{J \otimes Q} (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J),$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \notin J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) = \sum_{J \otimes Q} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)),$$

then

$$\sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q) \\ I \otimes Q}} I \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus I)) + \sum_{\substack{v \in X_2, v \notin \min(Q) \\ J \otimes Q, v \in J}} J \otimes (P \searrow_v (Q \setminus J)) = \sum_{J \otimes Q} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J),$$

accordingly

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = \frac{1}{|\min(Q)|} \left(\sum_{v \in X_2, v \in \min(Q)} P \otimes Q + \sum_{J \otimes Q} (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J) + \sum_{J \otimes Q} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow (J \otimes Q \setminus J) \right).$$

Hence,

$$\delta(P \searrow Q) = P \otimes Q + (P \otimes \mathbf{1}) \searrow \delta(Q) + (\mathbf{1} \otimes P) \searrow \delta(Q).$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Applying the functor $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ gives that, $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \searrow)$ endowed with the coproduct δ is a free pre-Lie algebra and a cofree NAP coalgebra.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and M. Livernet's rigidity theorem [15, Theorem]. \square

Corollary 3.4. *$\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})$ is generated as a pre-Lie algebra by $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}))$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})_1 = \text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})) = \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \delta(P) = 0\}$, and let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})_n = \{P \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \delta(P) \in \sum_{0 < k < n} \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})_k \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})_{n-k}\}$, we notice that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}) = \bigcup_{k > 0} \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})_k$, i.e. the vector space $(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \delta)$ is connected. By applying Corollary 3.9 in [15], we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U})$ is generated as a pre-Lie algebra by $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}))$. \square

Example 3.2. *Here are the posets in $\text{Prim}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}))$ up to four vertices:*



3.4. Duality relation between $\circ \rightarrow$ and δ . In this subsection, we prove that the NAP product $\circ \rightarrow$ and the NAP coproduct δ are dual to each other modulo a symmetry factor.

Definition 3.3. *Let G be a group acting on X . For every $x \in X$:*

- we denote by $G \cdot x := \{g \cdot x, g \in G\}$ the orbit of x ,
- we denote by $G_x = \{g \in G, g \cdot x = x\}$ the stabilizer subgroup of G with respect to x .

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a group acting on X , if G and X is finite, then the orbit-stabilizer theorem, together with Lagrange's theorem [19, Theorem 3.9], gives:*

$$|G \cdot x| = [G_x : G] = \frac{|G|}{|G_x|}.$$

In particular, the cardinal of the orbit is a divisor of the group order.

Definition 3.4. *For any poset P on a finite set X , we denote by $\text{Aut}(P)$ the subgroup of permutations of X which are homeomorphisms with respect to P . The symmetry factor is defined by $\sigma(P) = |\text{Aut}(P)|$. Let X_1, X_2 two finite sets, we define the linear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{U}_{X_1} \otimes \mathbb{U}_{X_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by:*

$$\langle Q, R \rangle = \begin{cases} \sigma(Q) & \text{if } Q \approx R, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other terms, $\langle Q, R \rangle$ is the number of isomorphisms between Q and R .

Theorem 3.2. *Let P, Q and R three finite connected posets. We have the following identity*

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\text{min}(P)|} \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle.$$

Proof. Let P, Q and R three finite connected posets.

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\text{min}(P)|} \sum_{\substack{I \otimes P \\ I \approx Q \\ P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) = \frac{1}{|\text{min}(P)|} |A|$$

with

$$A = \{(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid I \otimes P, \sigma_1 : I \xrightarrow{\sim} Q, \sigma_2 : P \setminus I \xrightarrow{\sim} R\}$$

and:

$$\langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle = \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ Q \setminus_{\sim v} R \approx P}} \sigma(P) = |B|$$

with

$$B = \{(v, \sigma) \mid v \in \min(R), \sigma : P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \setminus_{\sim v} R\}.$$

Let us define now a map $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$. Let $(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$. We put $w = I_-$. As $I \odot P$, $w \in \min(P \setminus I)$, so $v = \sigma_2(w) \in \min(R)$. As $I \odot P$, $P = I \setminus_{\sim w} (P \setminus I)$, so we obtain an isomorphism $\sigma : P \longrightarrow Q \setminus_{\sim v} R$ by taking

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in I, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then put $\phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (v, \sigma)$.

Now, we define a map $\psi : B \longrightarrow A$. If $(v, \sigma) \in B$, we put $I = \sigma^{-1}(Q)$. As $Q \odot Q \setminus_{\sim v} R$, $I \odot P$. Moreover, $\sigma_1 = \sigma|_I$ is a graph isomorphism from I to Q and $\sigma_2 = \sigma|_{P \setminus I}$ is a graph isomorphism from $P \setminus I$ to R . We put $\psi(v, \sigma) = (I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

Let $(v, \sigma) \in B$. We put $\psi(v, \sigma) = (I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $\phi \circ \psi(v, \sigma) = (v', \sigma')$. Then, $I = \sigma^{-1}(Q)$ and $w' = I_- = w$, so $v' = \sigma(w) = v$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_I &= \sigma_1 = \sigma|_I, \\ \sigma'_{P \setminus I} &= \sigma_2 = \sigma|_{P \setminus I}, \end{aligned}$$

so $\sigma' = \sigma$. Hence, $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_B$.

Let $(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$. We put $\phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (v, \sigma)$ and $\psi \circ \phi(I, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (I', \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$. Then $I' = \sigma^{-1}(Q) = I$, by construction of σ . Even more,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_1 &= \sigma|_I = \sigma_1, \\ \sigma'_2 &= \sigma|_{P \setminus I} = \sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

So $\psi \circ \phi = \text{id}_A$. Finally, A and B are in bijection and we obtain:

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle.$$

□

Remark 3.1. As mentioned in the paper [3], the binary product \nearrow on the species \mathbb{U} of finite connected posets, defined by $P \nearrow Q := j(j(P) \searrow j(Q))$ for any pair (P, Q) of finite connected posets, is a pre-Lie product, where j is the involution which transforms \leq into \geq . Similarly,

$$P \circlearrowright Q := j(j(P) \circlearrowright j(Q)), \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{\delta} = (j \otimes j)\delta \circ j)$$

endows the species \mathbb{U} with a twisted NAP algebra (resp. twisted connected NAP coalgebra) structure.

Notation. Let E be any finite set, and let π, ρ be two partitions of E . We denote by $j(\pi, \rho)$ the rational number

$$j(\pi, \rho) := \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a block of } \pi \\ \beta \text{ is a block of } \rho}} |\alpha \cap \beta| \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *Let P, Q and R three finite connected posets. We denote by $E = \{v, v \in \min(R) \mid P \approx Q \searrow_v R\}$. Let π be the partition of E into $\text{Aut}(P)$ -orbits, and let ρ be the partition of E into $\text{Aut}(R)$ -orbits. We have the following identity*

$$j(\pi, \rho) = 1.$$

Proof. Let P, Q and R be three finite connected posets. In fact: firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, Q \circ \rightarrow R \rangle &= \sum_{v \in \min(R)} \langle P, Q \searrow_v R \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \searrow_v R}} \sigma(P) \\ &= \sigma(P)|E|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{I \in P} \langle I, Q \rangle \langle P \setminus I, R \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \sum_{\substack{I \in P \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{I \in P \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} \sum_{\substack{I \in P, L = \{v\} \\ I \approx Q, P \setminus I \approx R}} \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in \min(R)} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R), \end{aligned}$$

where $N_v(P, Q, R) :=$ the number of branches I of P above v isomorphic to Q such that $P \setminus I$ isomorphic to R .

We notice that, for all $v \in \min(R)$:

- if $P \not\approx Q \searrow_v R$, then $N_v(P, Q, R) = 0$,
- if $P \approx Q \searrow_v R$, then $N_v(P, Q, R) = N_v(Q, R) :=$ the number of branches of $Q \searrow_v R$ above v isomorphic to Q .

Accordingly

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \left(\sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \searrow_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) + \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \not\approx Q \searrow_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \searrow_v R}} N_v(P, Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(R) \\ P \approx Q \searrow_v R}} N_v(Q, R) \sigma(Q) \sigma(R). \end{aligned}$$

By using the orbit-stabilizer theorem, as well as Lagrange's theorem for the group $(Aut(R), \circ)$ endowed with the law of composition, we therefore have:

$$|Aut(R) \cdot v| = \frac{|Aut(R)|}{|Aut(R)_v|}, \quad i.e. \quad |Aut(R) \cdot v| = \frac{\sigma(R)}{\sigma_v(R)}.$$

We notice that, for all $v \in \min(R)$: $\sigma_v(Q \searrow_v R) = \sigma(Q)\sigma_v(R)N_v(Q, R)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma(Q)\sigma_v(R)|Aut(R) \cdot v|N_v(Q, R) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma_v(P)|Aut(R) \cdot v| \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sum_{v \in E} \sigma(P) \frac{|Aut(R) \cdot v|}{|Aut(P) \cdot v|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sigma(P)S, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = \sum_{v \in E} \frac{|Aut(R) \cdot v|}{|Aut(P) \cdot v|}.$$

Let π be the partition of E into $Aut(P)$ -orbits, and let ρ be the partition of E into $Aut(R)$ -orbits. We denote by $\alpha \in \pi$ (respectively, $\beta \in \rho$), if α is a block of π (respectively, if β is a block of ρ). So

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{v \in E} \frac{|Aut(R) \cdot v|}{|Aut(P) \cdot v|} \\ &= \sum_{v \in E} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a } Aut(P)\text{-orbit of } v \\ \beta \text{ is a } Aut(R)\text{-orbit of } v}} \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \text{ is a } Aut(P)\text{-orbit} \\ \beta \text{ is a } Aut(R)\text{-orbit}}} |\alpha \cap \beta| \frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|} \\ &= j(\pi, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

then $\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(R)|} \sigma(P)j(\pi, \rho)$. Correspondingly,

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle \frac{j_{P,R}(\pi, \rho)}{|\min(P)|}.$$

By applying Theorem 3.2, we obtain:

$$\langle \delta(P), Q \otimes R \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(P)|} \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle = \langle P, Q \circlearrowright R \rangle \frac{j(\pi, \rho)}{|\min(P)|}.$$

Conclusion: for P, Q and R three finite connected posets, if we denote by $E = \{v, v \in \min(R) \mid P \approx Q \searrow_v R\}$, and for π (resp. ρ) the partition of E into $Aut(P)$ -orbits (resp. the partition of E into $Aut(R)$ -orbits). Then we obtain

$$j(\pi, \rho) = 1.$$

□

Remark 3.2. We do not have $j(\pi, \rho) = 1$ in general for two partitions of a finite set E . Indeed: let E be the finite set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $\pi = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ and $\rho = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4\}\}$. Then we obtain $j(\pi, \rho) = \frac{5}{4}$ and $j(\rho, \pi) = 1$. This interesting index does not seem to be present in the literature.

3.5. Free Lie algebras of finite posets. F. Chapoton in [7] showed that free pre-Lie algebras are free as Lie algebras i.e., if (V, \triangleright) is a free pre-Lie algebra. Then it is a free Lie algebra for the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by $[a, b] = a \triangleright b - b \triangleright a$. This result has been obtained before with different methods by L. Foissy [13, Theorem 8.4].

Proposition 3.7. [7, 13] For any vector space V , the free pre-Lie algebra on V is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to the free Lie algebra on some set $X(V)$ of generators.

Application [17] Let H be a Hopf algebra and H^0 the graded dual of H . The primitive element algebra of the graded dual H^0 with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie algebra. We denote by \star the Grossman-Larson product on the dual of H [3]. This product restricted to H^0 is the graded dual of the coproduct Δ_{\searrow} [3]. Applying the general setting above to the case $H = \overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{P})$ we are studying, we obtain:

$(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{U}), \searrow) = (Prim(H^0), \searrow)$ is a free pre-Lie algebra, then it is also a free Lie algebra, therefore $U(PrimH^0) = (S(PrimH^0), \star)$ is a free associative algebra. Then, $(S(PrimH^0), \star)^0 = (H, \Delta_{\searrow})$ is a coassociative cofree coalgebra.

4. GENERALIZATION TO FINITE TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

All the results in this paper remain true for the finite connected topological spaces, with a small change on the definition of the coproduct δ . Indeed: let $\mathcal{T} = (X, \leq_{\mathcal{T}})$ be a finite connected topological space. We define

$$\delta(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}_Y \otimes \mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y},$$

where $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ means that $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ such that:

- \overline{Y}_- is a singleton included in $\min(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$,
- and Y is a branch of the set $\{x \in X, \text{ such that for all } y \in Y_-, \text{ we have } y <_{\mathcal{T}} x \text{ and } y \not\leq x\}$.

Here, the set Y_- equal to the space $\{x \notin Y, \text{ there exists } y \in Y \text{ such that } x \leq y\}$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = (X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}, \leq)$ is the poset defined on $X / \sim_{\mathcal{T}}$ corresponding to the partial order \leq induced by the quasi-order $\leq_{\mathcal{T}}$, and $\overline{Y}_- = Y_- / \sim_{\mathcal{T}_{Y_-}}$. Let $Aut(\mathcal{T})$ be the subgroup of permutations of X which are homeomorphisms with respect to \mathcal{T} , and let $G = \{g \in Aut(\mathcal{T}), g \cdot \overline{v} = \overline{v} \text{ for all } v \in X\}$. We notice that $G \subseteq Aut(\mathcal{T})$, G is a normal subgroup and $Aut(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = Aut(\mathcal{T})/G$.

We notice that the results obtained in Lemma 3.1 also work for $Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}$. From $Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}$ and Lemma 3.1, we get that both $\overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}$, then $(\overline{Y} = \overline{Z} \text{ or } \overline{Y} \cap \overline{Z} = \emptyset)$, and $\min(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{|X \setminus Y}) = \min(\overline{\mathcal{T}})$. Then $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$ and $\min(\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y}) = \min(\mathcal{T})$.

Hence to show that the proposition 3.4 also works in topological cases, it suffices to change $I, J \in P$ by $Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}$ in the proof of proposition 3.6. To show that the result of Theorem 3.1 also works in connected finite topological spaces, we change $I \in P$ by $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ in the Proof 3.3.

Finally we prove the existence of a relation between δ and \circlearrowright in the topological case. Exactly: for $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ and \mathcal{T}'' three finite connected topological spaces, we have

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{n|\min(\mathcal{T})|} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circlearrowright \mathcal{T}'' \rangle,$$

where n is the number of items in any bag $\bar{v} \in \min(\overline{\mathcal{T}''})$, such as $\mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_{\bar{v}} \mathcal{T}''$. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Indeed:

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} \sum_{\substack{Y \otimes \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{T}|_Y \approx \mathcal{T}', \\ \mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y} \approx \mathcal{T}''}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}') \sigma(\mathcal{T}'') = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |A|$$

with

$$A = \{(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid Y \otimes \mathcal{T}, \sigma_1 : \mathcal{T}|_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}', \sigma_2 : \mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circlearrowright \mathcal{T}'' \rangle &= \sum_{v \in \min(\mathcal{T}'')} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{v \in \min(\mathcal{T}'') \\ \mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}) = |B|, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$B = \{(v, \sigma) \mid v \in \min(\mathcal{T}''), \sigma : \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

Let

$$C = \{(u, \sigma) \mid u = \bar{v}, v \in \min(\mathcal{T}''), \sigma : \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''\}.$$

We notice that for all $u, u' \in C$, we have $|u| = |u'|$. Hence $\frac{|B|}{|C|}$ is the number of items in any bag $\bar{v} \in \min(\overline{\mathcal{T}''})$, such as $\mathcal{T} \approx \mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} f : A &\longrightarrow C \\ (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) &\longmapsto (u, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \bar{v} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$ and σ is a graph isomorphism from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_v \mathcal{T}''$ defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we define a map

$$\begin{aligned} g : C &\longrightarrow A \\ (u, \sigma) &\longmapsto (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}|_Y}$ is a graph isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}|_Y$ to \mathcal{T}' and $\sigma_2 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y}}$ is a graph isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}|_{X \setminus Y}$ to \mathcal{T}'' .

Let $(u, \sigma) \in C$, we put $g(u, \sigma) = (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, where $Y = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}'}$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}''}$. Then $f \circ g(u, \sigma) = (u', \sigma')$, with $u' = \bar{w} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$ and σ' is a graph isomorphism from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_w \mathcal{T}''$ defined by

$$\sigma'(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $u' = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)} = u$ and $\sigma' = \sigma$. Hence $f \circ g = Id_C$.

Let $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in A$, we put $f(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (u, \sigma)$, with $u = \bar{v} = \overline{\sigma_2(Y_-)}$ and σ is a graph isomorphism from \mathcal{T} to $\mathcal{T}' \searrow_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{T}''$ defined by

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ \sigma_2(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $g \circ f(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (Y', \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2)$, with $Y' = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')}$, $\sigma'_1 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}_{|Y'}}$ is a graph isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_{|Y'}$ to \mathcal{T}' and $\sigma'_2 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y'}}$ is a graph isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y'}$ to \mathcal{T}'' .

Then, $Y' = X_{\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')} = Y$ and

$$\begin{cases} \sigma'_1 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}_{|Y'}} = \sigma_1, \\ \sigma'_2 = \sigma|_{\mathcal{T}_{|X \setminus Y'}} = \sigma_2. \end{cases}$$

So $g \circ f = Id_A$.

Finally, A and C are in bijection. Hence

$$\langle \delta(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}' \otimes \mathcal{T}'' \rangle = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |A| = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |C| = \frac{1}{|\min(\mathcal{T})|} |C| \frac{|B|}{|B|} = \frac{|C|}{|B| |\min(\mathcal{T})|} \langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \circ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'' \rangle.$$

Remark. All the results in this paper remain true for the posets (resp. topological spaces) decorated by F , that is to say pairs (\mathcal{A}, f) , where $\mathcal{A} = (A, \leq_A)$ is a poset (resp. topology) and $f : A \rightarrow F$ is a map.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thanks Loïc Foissy and my Ph.D. thesis supervisors: Professor Dominique Manchon and Professor Ali Baklouti for their interest in this work and useful suggestions.

Funding: This work was supported by the University of Sfax, Tunisia.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alexandroff, *Diskrete Räume*, Rec. Math. Moscou, n. Ser. 2 (1937), no. 3, p. 501-519.
3
- [2] M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan, *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*, CRM Monographs Series, vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. (2010), 784 pages.
2, 3, 6, 7
- [3] M. Ayadi, *Twisted pre-Lie algebras of finite topological spaces*, Communications in Algebra, doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2021.1999461. 2021.
2, 3, 4, 10, 13
- [4] M. Ayadi, D. Manchon, *Doubling bialgebras of finite topologies*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, vol. 111, p. 1-23, 2021.
3
- [5] A. Cayley, *XXVIII. On the theory of the analytical forms called trees*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science. vol.13, p. 172–176, 1857.
4
- [6] A. Connes, D. Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. vol.199, p. 203–242, 1998.
5

- [7] F. Chapoton, *Free pre-Lie algebras are free as Lie algebras*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, Vol. 53, p. 425-437, 2010.
13
- [8] F. Chapoton, *Algèbres pré-Lie et algèbres de Hopf liées à la renormalisation*, Comptes-Rendus Acad. Sci., 332 Série I (2001), 681-684.
4
- [9] J. A. Barmak, *Algebraic topology of finite topological spaces and applications*, PhD Thesis, Universidad de Buenos Aires (2009).
3
- [10] F. Chapoton, M. Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2001), 395-408.
2, 4, 5
- [11] A. Dzhumadil'daev, and C. Löfwall, *Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities*, Homology, Homotopy and applications, vol. 4, p. 165-190, 2002 .
1
- [12] F. Fauvet, L. Foissy, D. Manchon, *The Hopf algebra of finite topologies and mould composition*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Tome 67, No. 3 (2017), 911–945.
3
- [13] L. Foissy, *Finite dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees*, Journal of Algebra, Elsevier, vol. 255, p. 89-120, 2002 .
13
- [14] A. Joyal, *Foncteurs analytiques et espèces de structures*, Combinatoire énumérative, Proc. Colloq, Montréal/Can. 1985, Lect. Notes Math. 1234, 126-159 (1986).
3
- [15] M. Livernet, *A rigidity theorem for pre-Lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol. 207, p. 1-18, 2006.
1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9
- [16] D. Manchon, *A short survey on pre-Lie algebras*, E. Schrodinger Institut Lectures in Math. Phys. Eur. Math. Soc, A. Carey Ed. (2011).
4
- [17] D. Manchon, A. Saidi, *Lois pré-Lie en interaction*, Comm. Alg. vol 39, 3662-3680 (2011).
13
- [18] R. E. Stong, *Finite topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1966), 325-340.
3
- [19] S. Teerapong, *Gyrogroup actions: A generalization of group actions*, Journal of Algebra, 454, (2016), p 70-91.
9

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES BLAISE PASCAL, CNRS–UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT-AUVERGNE, 3 PLACE VASARÉLY, CS 60026, F63178 AUBIÈRE, FRANCE, AND UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX, LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND HARMONIC ANALYSIS, ROUTE DE SOUKRA, 3038 SFAX, TUNISIA.

Email address: mohamed.ayadi@etu.uca.fr