

# A Continuous-Time Perspective on Optimal Methods for Monotone Equation Problems

Tianyi Lin<sup>◊</sup>Michael I. Jordan<sup>◊,†</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences<sup>◊</sup>Department of Statistics<sup>†</sup>

University of California, Berkeley

December 20, 2022

## Abstract

We study *rescaled gradient dynamical systems* in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , where implicit discretization in a finite-dimensional Euclidean space leads to high-order methods for solving monotone equations (MEs). Our framework can be interpreted as a natural generalization of celebrated dual extrapolation method from first order to high order via appeal to the regularization toolbox of optimization theory. In particular, we establish the global existence and uniqueness and analyze the convergence properties of solution trajectories. We also present discrete-time counterparts of our high-order continuous-time methods, and we show that the  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order method achieves an ergodic rate of  $O(k^{-(p+1)/2})$  in terms of a restricted merit function and a pointwise rate of  $O(k^{-p/2})$  in terms of a residue function. Under regularity conditions, the restarted version of  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods achieves local convergence with the order  $p \geq 2$ . Notably, our methods are *optimal* since they have matched the lower bound established for solving the monotone equation problems.

## 1 Introduction

Monotone equations (MEs) are a large class of problems that include convex optimization problems, convex-concave saddle-point problems and various equilibrium computation problems. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space and let  $F(x) : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$  be a continuous operator that is *monotone*:  $\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . The ME problem is to find a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$F(x^*) = \mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (1.1)$$

Given the above assumptions, the solution to Eq. (1.1) can also be written as a solution to a variational inequality (VI) corresponding to  $F$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{H}$  is the solution to Eq. (1.1) if and only if we have

$$\langle F(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note also that the solution set of Eq. (1.1) is also equivalent to the solution set of an alternative VI,  $\langle F(x), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . This equivalence holds given the assumptions that  $F$  is continuous and monotone.

The ME problem is a fundamental problem in applied mathematics, optimization and scientific computing. Major applications can be found in a wide range of fields, including economics [Morgenstern and Von Neumann, 1953], interval arithmetic [Moore, 1979], kinematics [Morgan and Sommese, 1987], chemical engineering [Meintjes and Morgan, 1990], neurophysiology [Vershelde et al., 1994] and other engineering problems [Morgan, 2009]. For an overview of recent progress on solving nonlinear equations and many

more applications, we refer to [Heath \[2018\]](#). Recently, this line of research has been brought into contact with machine learning (ML), in the guise of optimality conditions for saddle-point problems, with applications including robust prediction and regression [[Xu et al., 2009](#), [Esfahani and Kuhn, 2018](#)], adversarial learning [[Goodfellow et al., 2014](#)], online learning in games [[Cesa-Bianchi and Lugosi, 2006](#)] and distributed computing [[Shamma, 2008](#)]. Emerging ML applications often involve multi-agent systems, multi-way markets, or social context, and this is driving increasing interest in equilibrium and dynamical formulations that can be cast as ME problems.

The state-of-the-art method for solving the ME problem is the Newton method:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - (\nabla F(x_k))^{-1} F(x_k).$$

The method is well defined only when  $\nabla F$  is invertible and it attains local quadratic convergence to a solution  $x^*$  when  $F$  is strongly monotone and  $\nabla F$  is Lipschitz continuous around  $x^*$ . Higher-order methods have also been proposed that improve upon Newton — they are guaranteed to achieve local superquadratic convergence under the high-order smoothness of  $F$  [[Homeier, 2004](#), [Darvishi and Barati, 2007](#), [Cordero and Torregrosa, 2007](#), [McDougall and Wotherspoon, 2014](#)]. As for the global convergence, while it is possible to give an asymptotic guarantee using a line search scheme, the *global convergence rate* for these methods remains unknown.

Since ME problems can be formulated as unconstrained VIs, the extragradient (EG) [[Korpelevich, 1976](#), [Nemirovski, 2004](#)] and optimistic gradient (OG) [[Popov, 1980](#)] methods can be applied with the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(EG)} \quad & v_{k+1} = x_k - \lambda F(x_k), \quad x_{k+1} = x_k - \lambda F(v_{k+1}). \\ \text{(OG)} \quad & x_{k+1} = x_k - \beta(F(x_k) + \lambda(F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1}))). \end{aligned}$$

An alternative to EG and OG is the dual extrapolation (DE) [[Nesterov, 2007](#)] method which can be written as follows:

$$\text{(DE)} \quad v_{k+1} = x_0 + \beta s_k, \quad x_{k+1} = v_{k+1} - \beta F(v_{k+1}), \quad s_{k+1} = s_k - \lambda F(x_{k+1}).$$

The DE method exhibits the same convergence properties as EG and OB under a proper choices of parameters; i.e., it has an ergodic convergence rate of  $O(1/k)$  in terms of a restricted merit function. However, this line of work has been restricted to first-order methods and does not show how to obtain global acceleration via appeal to high-order smoothness of  $F$ . As noted by [Monteiro and Svaiter \[2012\]](#), a gap exists in our understanding of global convergence rate of high-order methods. Later on, [Lin and Jordan \[2021\]](#) studied a closed-loop damping formulation, obtaining some new high-order VI methods. Their method can be used to solve the ME problems and achieved a global rate of  $O(k^{-(p+1)/2} \log(k))$ , but it requires a nontrivial binary search at each iteration. [Lin and Jordan \[2022b\]](#) proposed another new high-order method for solving smooth and monotone VIs without requiring any binary search procedure and also established the matching lower bound of  $\Omega(k^{-(p+1)/2})$ . However, the dynamics of their method is less understood and the analysis mostly depends on a heuristic Lyapunov function.

In this paper, we focus on the setting of monotone equation problems and present a continuous-time perspective that unifies first-order and higher-order dual extrapolation methods. In particular, we propose and analyze a class of rescaled gradient dynamical systems for ME problems that model the dual extrapolation step as an integral. Formally, our system can be written as follows:

$$\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}, \quad v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \quad x(t) - v(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\theta > 0$  and  $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and the initial condition is  $s(0) = 0$  and  $x(0) = x_0 \in \Omega = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ . Note that this condition is not restrictive since  $F(x) = \mathbf{0}$  implies that  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  is a weak solution. Throughout the paper, unless otherwise indicated, we assume that

$F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is continuous and monotone and the ME in Eq. (1.1) has at least one solution.

**Our contributions.** We summarize the main contributions of this paper as follows:

1. We proposed and study the rescaled gradient systems in Eq. (1.2); indeed, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a global solution (see Theorem 2.2) and analyze the convergence of solution trajectories. Our results include asymptotic weak and strong convergence (see Theorem 2.4) and we obtain a nonasymptotic convergence rate in terms of a restricted merit function (ergodic) and a residue function (nonergodic) (see Theorem 2.6).
2. We provide an algorithmic framework via implicit discretization of the system in Eq. (1.2). This together with an approximate tensor subroutine yields a new suite of simple  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods for solving the ME problem and the resulting methods include not only the classical method (for  $p = 1$ ) but yield high-order methods (for  $p \geq 2$ ). We present a discrete-time convergence analysis, including an ergodic rate of  $O(k^{-(p+1)/2})$  in terms of a restricted merit function and a pointwise rate of  $O(k^{-p/2})$  in terms of a residue function (see Theorem 4.1). Our upper bound has matched the lower bound established in Lin and Jordan [2022b]. The restarted version of  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order method also achieves local convergence with the order  $p \geq 2$ .

**Further related work.** A flurry of recent work in convex optimization has focused on uncovering a general principle underlying Nesterov’s accelerated gradient method (NAG) with a focus on interpreting the acceleration phenomenon using a temporal discretization of a continuous-time dynamical system with damping term [Su et al., 2016, Wibisono et al., 2016, Attouch et al., 2016b, 2018, Diakonikolas and Orecchia, 2019, Muehlebach and Jordan, 2019, 2021, 2022, Shi et al., 2022, Lin and Jordan, 2022a]. Extending the continuous-time dynamics and Lyapunov function analysis from convex optimization to monotone variational inequality and inclusion problems has been an active research area during the last two decades [Attouch and Svaiter, 2011, Maingé, 2013, Abbas et al., 2014, Attouch et al., 2013, 2016a, Attouch and László, 2020, 2021, Lin and Jordan, 2021]. Notably, Attouch et al. [2016a] has proposed a second-order method for solving monotone inclusion problems but obtained a convergence rate estimate only in optimization setting. Then, Lin and Jordan [2021] proposed a closed-loop damping approach that generalizes Attouch et al. [2016a] and gave high-order methods with convergence rate estimates for monotone inclusion problems.

The closest work to ours is Wilson et al. [2019] who derived first-order methods via the discretization of *accelerated rescaled gradient dynamical systems* and proved that their methods achieve the same convergence rate as accelerated high-order methods. However, Wilson et al. [2019] did not establish global existence or uniqueness for their systems and the strong smoothness assumptions that they have invoked limits the range of possible applications. In contrast, the high-order methods developed in this paper are applicable to general monotone and highly smooth equation problems.

**Organization.** The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a global solution of the rescaled gradient dynamical systems in Eq. (1.2) and analyze the convergence properties of solution trajectories. In Section 3, we propose an algorithmic

framework by implicit discretization of the systems in Eq. (1.2). This together with an approximate tensor subroutine leads to a suite of  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods. In Section 4, we conduct the discrete-time convergence analysis, obtaining a global convergence rate in terms of a restricted merit function (ergodic) and a residue function (nonergodic) when  $F$  is  $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -order smooth. In Section 5, we conclude our work with a brief discussion of future directions.

**Notation.** We use bold lower-case letters such as  $x$  to denote vectors, and upper-case letters such as  $X$  to denote tensors. We let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a real Hilbert space which is endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we let  $\|x\|$  denote its norm induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^d$  is a real Euclidean space,  $\|x\|$  reduces to the  $\ell_2$ -norm of  $x$ . Then, for  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_2 \times \dots \times d_p}$ , we define

$$X[z^1, \dots, z^p] = \sum_{1 \leq i_j \leq d_j, 1 \leq j \leq p} (X_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) z_{i_1}^1 \cdots z_{i_p}^p,$$

and let  $\|X\|_{\text{op}} = \max_{\|z^i\|=1, 1 \leq j \leq p} X[z^1, \dots, z^p]$ . Fixing  $p \geq 1$ , we define  $\mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as a class of monotone and  $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -order smooth operators  $F: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying that

$$\|\nabla^{(p-1)} F(x') - \nabla^{(p-1)} F(x)\| \leq L \|x' - x\|, \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

where  $\nabla^{(k)} F(x)$  stands for the  $k^{\text{th}}$ -order derivative of  $F$  at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nabla^{(0)} F = F$ . In other words, for  $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\nabla^{(k)} F(x)[z^1, \dots, z^k] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq d} \left[ \frac{\partial F_{i_1}}{\partial x_{i_2} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}}(x) \right] z_{i_1}^1 \cdots z_{i_k}^k.$$

Lastly, the notation  $a = O(b(k))$  stands for an upper bound  $a \leq C \cdot b(k)$ , where  $C > 0$  is independent of the iteration count  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $a = \tilde{O}(b(k))$  indicates the same inequality where  $C > 0$  depends on logarithmic factors of  $k$ .

## 2 Rescaled Gradient Dynamical System

In this section, we reformulate the rescaled gradient system in Eq. (1.2) as a closed-loop control system. We use dynamical systems concepts to establish the existence and uniqueness of a global solution of the system in Eq. (1.2) and to prove asymptotic weak and strong convergence of solution trajectories. We propose a novel Lyapunov function, which we employ to derive nonasymptotic convergence rates in terms of a restricted merit function (ergodic) and a residue function (nonergodic).

### 2.1 Reformulation via a closed-loop control

We rewrite the rescaled gradient system in Eq. (1.2) as follows:

$$\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}, \quad v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \quad x(t) - v(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Introducing the function  $\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}$ , we have

$$\dot{s}(t) = -\lambda(t)F(x(t)), \quad v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \quad x(t) - v(t) + \lambda(t)F(x(t)) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $s(t) = v(t) - x_0$  and  $s(0) = \mathbf{0}$ , we have  $v(0) = x_0$  and

$$\dot{v}(t) = \dot{s}(t) = -\lambda(t)F(x(t)) = x(t) - v(t).$$

In addition, we have  $x(t) = (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t)$ . Thus, we can rewrite Eq. (2.1) as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v}(t) = (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t) - v(t), \\ s(t) = v(t) - x_0, \\ x(t) = (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t). \end{cases}$$

This implies that  $(x, s)$  depend on the variables  $(v, \lambda)$  explicitly and can be eliminated from the system. By the definition of  $\lambda(\cdot)$ , we have

$$1 = (\lambda(t))^p \|F(x(t))\|^{p-1} = \lambda(t) \|\lambda(t)F(x(t))\|^{p-1} = \lambda(t) \|\dot{v}(t)\|^{p-1}.$$

Summarizing, the rescaled gradient system in Eq. (1.2) can be equivalently reformulated as a closed-loop control system [cf. Attouch et al., 2016a, Lin and Jordan, 2021] as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{v}(t) = (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t) - v(t), \\ \lambda(t) \|\dot{v}(t)\|^{p-1} = 1, \\ v(0) = x_0 \in \Omega = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) \neq \mathbf{0}\}. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

**Remark 2.1** *The aforementioned relationship between the rescaled gradient systems in Eq. (1.2) and the systems in Eq. (2.2) pave the way for the existence and uniqueness of a global solution and the asymptotic weak and strong convergence of solution trajectories via appeal to results for a more general closed-loop control system studied by Lin and Jordan [2021, Theorem 2.7, 3.1 and 3.6]. The closed-loop formulation provides a pathway to establishing convergence results that is distinct from those employed in other rescaled gradient dynamical systems associated with convex optimization methods [Cortés, 2006, Wibisono et al., 2016, Wilson et al., 2019, Romero and Benosman, 2020]. For further discussion of dynamical systems approaches to optimization we refer to Romero and Benosman [2020].*

## 2.2 Main results

We state our main theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a global solution.

**Theorem 2.2** *The rescaled gradient dynamical system in Eq. (1.2) has a unique global solution,  $(x, v, s) : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ . In addition,  $x(\cdot)$  is continuous,  $v(\cdot)$  and  $s(\cdot)$  are continuously differentiable, and  $\|F(x(t))\|^{1/p-1}$  is nondecreasing as a function of  $t$ . For the case of  $p \geq 2$ , we have*

$$\|F(x(t))\| \geq \|F(x_0)\| e^{-\frac{pt}{p-1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lin and Jordan [2021, Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 2.11], the closed-loop control system in Eq. (2.2) has a unique global solution,  $(v, \lambda) : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathcal{H} \times (0, +\infty)$ . In addition,  $v(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable and  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous and nondecreasing. If  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$\|v(t) - (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t)\| \geq \|v(0) - (I + \lambda(0)F)^{-1}v(0)\| e^{-\frac{t}{p-1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Recall that the rescaled gradient system in Eq. (1.2) can be reformulated as the system in Eq. (2.2) with the following transformations:

$$s(t) = v(t) - x_0, \quad x(t) = (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t), \quad \lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}.$$

Since  $v(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable, we have  $s(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable. Since  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous and nondecreasing, we obtain from the definition of  $\lambda(t)$  that  $\|F(x(t))\|^{1/p-1}$  is nondecreasing as a function of  $t$ . As for the relationship between  $x(\cdot)$  and  $v(\cdot)$ , we have

$$v(t) = x(t) + \lambda(t)F(x(t)) = x(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}},$$

which implies that  $\|x(t) - v(t)\| = \|F(x(t))\|^{1/p}$  and further we have

$$F(x(t)) + \|x(t) - v(t)\|^{p-1}(x(t) - v(t)) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that  $x(\cdot)$  is uniquely determined by  $v(\cdot)$  and that  $x(\cdot)$  is continuous. Indeed, let  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  both satisfy Eq. (2.4) and let  $x_1(t_0) \neq x_2(t_0)$  for some  $t_0 > 0$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $x_1 = x_1(t_0)$ ,  $x_2 = x_2(t_0)$ ,  $v = v(t_0)$  and  $\tilde{F}(\cdot) = F(\cdot) + \|\cdot - v\|^{p-1}(\cdot - v)$ . Then, we have

$$\tilde{F}(x_1) = \tilde{F}(x_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Define  $f(\cdot) = \frac{1}{p+1}\|\cdot - v\|^{p+1}$ , we have  $\tilde{F}(\cdot) = F(\cdot) + \nabla f(\cdot)$ . Since  $f$  is strictly convex and  $F$  is monotone, we have that  $\tilde{F}$  is strictly monotone. Putting these pieces together yields that  $x_1 = x_2$ . By the definition of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , this contradicts  $x_1(t_0) \neq x_2(t_0)$ . Thus we conclude that  $x(\cdot)$  is uniquely determined by  $v(\cdot)$ .

We next prove the continuity of  $x(\cdot)$  by contradiction. Assume that there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $x(t_n) \rightarrow \tilde{x} \neq x(t_0)$  for some sequence  $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ . Note that Eq. (2.4) implies that

$$F(x(t_n)) + \|x(t_n) - v(t_n)\|^{p-1}(x(t_n) - v(t_n)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Taking the limit of this equation with respect to the sequence  $t_n$  and using the continuity of  $F$  and  $v(\cdot)$ , we have

$$F(\tilde{x}) + \|\tilde{x} - v(t_0)\|^{p-1}(\tilde{x} - v(t_0)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

In addition, we have

$$F(x(t_0)) + \|x(t_0) - v(t_0)\|^{p-1}(x(t_0) - v(t_0)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Combining these two equations with the previous argument implies that  $\tilde{x} = x(t_0)$  which is a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that  $x(\cdot)$  is continuous.

Finally, we have

$$v(t) - (I + \lambda(t)F)^{-1}v(t) = \lambda(t)F(x(t)) = \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining Eq. (2.3) and Eq. (2.5) implies that

$$\|F(x(t))\|^{1/p} \geq \|F(x_0)\|^{1/p} e^{-\frac{t}{p-1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|F(x(t))\| \geq \|F(x_0)\| e^{-\frac{pt}{p-1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Remark 2.3** *Theorem 2.2 demonstrates that  $F(x(t)) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  which is equivalent to the assertion that the orbit  $x(\cdot)$  stays in  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$ . In other words, if  $x(0) = x_0 \in \Omega$  and  $s(0) = \mathbf{0}$  hold, the rescaled gradient dynamical system in Eq. (1.2) can not be stabilized in finite time, which helps clarify the asymptotic convergence behavior of the discrete-time dual extrapolation methods to a weak solution of variational inequality problems; see [Nesterov \[2007\]](#) for an example. In contrast, many other rescaled gradient dynamical systems associated with convex optimization methods [[Cortés, 2006](#), [Romero and Benosman, 2020](#)] can exhibit finite-time convergence to an optimal solution when the objective function satisfies the generalized Polyak-Lojasiewicz (PL) inequality.*

We present our results on the asymptotic weak convergence of solution trajectories and further establish asymptotic strong convergence results under additional conditions.

**Theorem 2.4** *Suppose that  $(x, v, s) : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  is a global solution of the rescaled gradient dynamical system in Eq. (1.2). Then, there exists some  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  such that the trajectories  $x(t)$  and  $v(t)$  both weakly converge to  $\bar{x}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . In addition, strong convergence results can be established if either of the following conditions holds true:*

1.  $F = \nabla f$  where  $f : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is convex, differentiable and inf-compact.
2.  $\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  has a nonempty interior.

*Proof.* By [Lin and Jordan \[2021, Theorem 3.1 and 3.6\]](#), the unique global solution  $(v, \lambda)$  of the closed-loop control system in Eq. (2.2) satisfies the condition that there exists some  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  such that the trajectory  $v(t)$  weakly converges to  $\bar{x}$  and  $\|\dot{v}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Since the rescaled gradient system in Eq. (1.2) can be reformulated as the system in Eq. (2.2) with the same  $v(t)$ , there exists  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  such that the trajectory  $v(t)$  weakly converges to  $\bar{x}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and the strong convergence results hold under the additional conditions stated in the theorem. Further, we see from Eq. (2.2) that  $\|x(t) - v(t)\| = \|\dot{v}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ . This implies that the trajectory  $x(t)$  weakly converges to the same  $\bar{x}$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  with strong convergence under the same additional conditions.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5** *In an infinite-dimensional setting, the weak convergence of  $x(\cdot)$  and  $v(\cdot)$  to some  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  in Theorem 2.4 is the best possible result we can expect without any additional conditions. Nonetheless, the strong convergence is more desirable since it guarantees that the distance between the trajectories and  $\bar{x}$  converges to zero in terms of a norm [[Bauschke and Combettes, 2001](#)]. Indeed, [Güler \[1991\]](#) provided an example showing the importance of strong convergence where the sequence  $\{f(x_k)\}_{k \geq 0}$  converges faster if  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  achieves strong convergence. In addition, the conditions imposed by Theorem 2.4 are verifiable and satisfied by many application problems.*

Before stating the main theorems on the convergence rate, we define the *restricted merit function* and the *residue function* for the ME problems. The restricted merit function was introduced by [Nesterov \[2007\]](#) and plays a pivotal role in the VI literature [[Facchinei and Pang, 2007](#)]. Recall that  $x_0 \in \Omega = \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) \neq \mathbf{0}\}$  is an initial point and we define  $D > 0$  such that there exists  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid F(x) = \mathbf{0}\}$  satisfying  $\|x_0 - \bar{x}\| \leq D$ . Then, the restricted merit function is defined by

$$\text{MERIT}(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \{\langle F(z), x - z \rangle \mid \|z - x_0\| \leq D\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Clearly,  $\text{MERIT}(\cdot)$  is well defined, closed and convex on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We also know from [Nesterov \[2007, Lemma 1\]](#) that  $\text{MERIT}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with equality if and only if  $F(x) = \mathbf{0}$  holds. In addition, the residue function is directly derived from the ME problem as follows,

$$\text{RES}(x) = \|F(x)\|. \quad (2.7)$$

We are now ready to present our main results on the global convergence rate estimation in terms of the restricted merit function in [Eq. \(2.6\)](#) and the residue function in [Eq. \(2.7\)](#).

**Theorem 2.6** *Suppose that  $(x, v, s) : [0, +\infty) \mapsto \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$  is a global solution of the rescaled gradient dynamical system in [Eq. \(1.2\)](#). Then we have*

$$\text{MERIT}(\tilde{x}(t)) = O(t^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}),$$

and

$$\text{RES}(x(t)) = O(t^{-\frac{p}{2}}),$$

where  $\tilde{x}(\cdot)$  is uniquely determined by  $x(\cdot)$  as follows,

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \frac{1}{\int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} ds} \left( \int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x(s) ds \right).$$

**Remark 2.7** *Theorem 2.6 is new and extends known results for discrete-time dual extrapolation; indeed, the discrete-time version of our results have been obtained by the dual extrapolation method for  $p = 1$ . The advantage of averaging was recently justified by proving that lower bounds for averaged iterate and last iterate are different [[Ouyang and Xu, 2021](#)]. Our results are in the same vein and demonstrate the importance of averaging in continuous-time dynamics of dual extrapolation methods by showing that the ergodic convergence rate can be faster for all  $p \geq 1$ .*

We define a Lyapunov function for the system in [Eq. \(1.2\)](#) as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \|s(t)\|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that the function in [Eq. \(2.8\)](#) is the squared norm of  $s(t)$  which can be seen as a continuous-time version of a dual variable in the dual extrapolation methods. This function is simpler than that used for analyzing the convergence of Newton-like inertial systems [[Attouch and Svaiter, 2011](#), [Attouch et al., 2013](#), [Abbas et al., 2014](#), [Attouch and László, 2020, 2021](#)] and closed-loop control systems [[Attouch et al., 2016a](#), [Lin and Jordan, 2021](#)]. We also note that our discrete-time analysis for high-order methods largely depends on the discrete-time version of the Lyapunov function in [Eq. \(2.8\)](#) with a small modification to handle the constraint sets (see [Section 4](#)).

**Proof of Theorem 2.6:** Using the definition of  $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$  in [Eq. \(2.8\)](#), we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} = 2\langle \dot{s}(t), s(t) \rangle = -\frac{2\langle F(x(t)), v(t) - x_0 \rangle}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Expanding this equality with any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} = \frac{2(\langle F(x(t)), x_0 - z \rangle + \langle F(x(t)), z - x(t) \rangle + \langle F(x(t)), x(t) - v(t) \rangle)}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}$$



Since  $\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}$ , we have

$$\frac{\langle F(x(t)), x_0 - z \rangle}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = \langle \dot{s}(t), x_0 - z \rangle.$$

Since  $F$  is monotone, we have

$$\langle F(x(t)), z - x(t) \rangle \leq \langle F(z), z - x(t) \rangle.$$

Since  $x(t) - v(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\frac{\langle F(x(t)), x(t) - v(t) \rangle}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = -\|F(x(t))\|^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Plugging these pieces together in Eq. (2.9) yields that, for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} \leq 2\langle \dot{s}(t), x_0 - z \rangle - 2\|F(x(t))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \langle F(z), x(t) - z \rangle - 2\|F(x(t))\|^{\frac{2}{p}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Integrating this inequality over  $[0, t]$  and using the fact that  $\|F(x(t))\| \geq 0$  yields:

$$\int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \langle F(z), x(s) - z \rangle ds \leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{E}(0) - \mathcal{E}(t) + \langle s(t), x_0 - z \rangle), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{E}(0) = \|s_0\|^2 = 0$ . Then, we have

$$\langle F(z), \tilde{x}(t) - z \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2 \left( \int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} ds \right)} (\langle s(t), x_0 - z \rangle - \|s(t)\|^2), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Young's inequality implies that  $\langle s(t), x_0 - z \rangle - \|s(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|x_0 - z\|^2$ . By the definition of  $\text{MERIT}(\cdot)$ , we have

$$\text{MERIT}(\tilde{x}(t)) \leq \frac{D^2}{8 \left( \int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} ds \right)} = O \left( \frac{1}{\int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} ds} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

Letting  $z = \bar{x}$  be a solution satisfying  $F(\bar{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\|x_0 - \bar{x}\| \leq D$  in Eq. (2.10), we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}(t)}{dt} \leq 2\langle \dot{s}(t), x_0 - \bar{x} \rangle - 2\|F(x(t))\|^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Integrating this inequality over  $[0, t]$  and using  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \leq \frac{1}{2} (\langle s(t), x_0 - \bar{x} \rangle - \|s(t)\|^2) \leq \frac{D^2}{8}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

We claim that  $t \mapsto \|F(x(t))\|$  is nonincreasing. Indeed, for the case of  $p = 1$ , we have

$$\dot{s}(t) = -F(x(t)), \quad v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \quad x(t) - v(t) + F(x(t)) = \mathbf{0}.$$

In this case, we can write  $x(t) = (I + F)^{-1}v(t)$ . Since  $v(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable, we have  $x(\cdot)$  is also continuously differentiable. Define the function  $g(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|v(t) - x(t)\|^2$ . Then, we have

$$\dot{g}(t) = \langle \dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t), v(t) - x(t) \rangle = -\langle \dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t), \dot{v}(t) \rangle = -\|\dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \langle \dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t), \dot{x}(t) \rangle.$$

Since  $\dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t) = F(\dot{x}(t))$  and  $F$  is monotone, we have  $\langle \dot{v}(t) - \dot{x}(t), \dot{x}(t) \rangle \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\dot{g}(t) \leq 0$  and hence  $g(t)$  is nonincreasing. For the case of  $p \geq 2$ , Theorem 2.2 implies that  $\|F(x(t))\|^{1/p-1}$  is nondecreasing. Since  $1/p - 1 < 0$ , we have  $t \mapsto \|F(x(t))\|$  is nonincreasing as desired. Putting these pieces together yields that

$$\text{RES}(x(t)) = \|F(x(t))\| \leq \left(\frac{D^2}{8t}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} = O(t^{-\frac{p}{2}}).$$

Further, we have

$$\int_0^t \|F(x(s))\|^{\frac{1-p}{p}} ds \geq \int_0^t \left(\frac{D^2}{8s}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} ds = \Omega(t^{\frac{p+1}{2}}).$$

Plugging the above inequality into Eq. (2.11) yields the desired result.

### 2.3 Discussion

In continuous-time optimization, a dynamical system is designed to be computable under the oracles of a convex and differentiable function  $f : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  such that the solution trajectory  $x(t)$  converges to a minimizer of  $f$ . A classical example is the gradient flow in the form of  $\dot{x}(t) + \nabla f(x(t)) = \mathbf{0}$  which has been long studied due to its ability to yield that  $x(t)$  converges to a minimizer of  $f$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  [Hadamard, 1908, Courant, 1943, Ambrosio et al., 2005]. Subsequently, Schropp [1995] and Schropp and Singer [2000] explored links between nonlinear dynamical systems and gradient-based optimization, including nonlinear constraints.

In his seminal work, Cortés [2006] proposed two *discontinuous* normalized modifications of gradient flows to attain *finite-time convergence*. Specifically, his dynamical systems are

$$\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\nabla f(x(t))}{\|\nabla f(x(t))\|} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\dot{x}(t) + \text{sign}(\nabla f(x(t))) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.13)$$

If  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and strongly convex in an open neighborhood  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $x^*$ , he proved that the maximal solutions to the dynamical systems in Eq. (2.12) and (2.13) (in the sense of Filippov [Filippov, 1964, Paden and Sastry, 1987, Arscott and Filippov, 1988]) exist and converge in finite time to  $x^*$ , given that  $x_0$  is in some positively invariant compact subset  $S \subseteq D$ . The convergence times are upper bounded by

$$t^* \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\|\nabla f(x_0)\|}{\min_{x \in S} \lambda_{\min}[\nabla^2 f(x)]}, & \text{for Eq. (2.12),} \\ \frac{\|\nabla f(x_0)\|_1}{\min_{x \in S} \lambda_{\min}[\nabla^2 f(x)]}, & \text{for Eq. (2.13).} \end{cases}$$

Recently, Romero and Benosman [2020] have extended the finite-time convergence results to the rescaled gradient flow proposed by Wibisono et al. [2016] as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) + \frac{\nabla f(x(t))}{\|\nabla f(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.14)$$

and considered a new rescaled gradient flow as follows,

$$\dot{x}(t) + \|\nabla f(x(t))\|_1^{1/p} \text{sign}(\nabla f(x(t))) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.15)$$

In particular, if  $f$  is continuously differentiable and  $\mu$ -gradient-dominated of order  $q \in (1, p + 1)$  near a strict local minimizer  $x^*$ , the maximal solutions to the dynamical systems in Eq. (2.14) and (2.15) (in the sense of Filippov) exist and converge in finite time to  $x^*$ , given that  $\|x_0 - x^*\| > 0$  is sufficiently small. The upper bounds for convergence times are summarized in [Romero and Benosman \[2020, Theorem 1\]](#).

However, the above works are restricted to studying the dynamical systems associated with convex optimization methods. It has been unknown whether or not these methodologies can be extended to variational inequality and inclusion problems and further lead to accelerated first-order and high-order methods with convergence guarantees.

### 3 Temporal Discretization and High-Order Methods

We propose an algorithmic framework that arises via temporal discretization of the system in Eq. (1.2). Our approach highlights the importance of the dual extrapolation step, interpreting it as the temporal discretization of a continuous-time integral. With an approximate tensor subroutine, we obtain a class of simple and optimal  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods for solving monotone equation (ME) problems.

**Conceptual algorithmic framework.** We begin by studying an algorithm which is derived by temporal discretization of the rescaled gradient dynamical system in Eq. (1.2) in a Euclidean setting. We have

$$\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}}, \quad v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \quad x(t) - v(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = 0.$$

By introducing  $\lambda(t) = \|F(x(t))\|^{1/p-1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \dot{s}(t) + \lambda(t)F(x(t)) = 0, \\ v(t) = x_0 + s(t), \\ x(t) - v(t) + \frac{F(x(t))}{\|F(x(t))\|^{1-1/p}} = 0, \\ (\lambda(t))^p \|F(x(t))\|^{p-1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\|x(t) - v(t)\| = \|F(x(t))\|^{1/p} = \|\lambda(t)F(x(t))\|$ . Thus, we can rewrite the system as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{s}(t) + \lambda(t)F(x(t)) = 0, \\ -s(t) + v(t) - x_0 = 0, \\ F(x(t)) + \|x(t) - v(t)\|^{p-1}(x(t) - v(t)) = 0, \\ \lambda(t)\|x(t) - v(t)\|^{p-1} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Let us define a discrete-time sequence,  $\{(x_k, v_{k+1}, s_k, \lambda_{k+1})\}_{k \geq 0}$ , that corresponds to the continuous-time trajectory  $\{(x(t), v(t), s(t), \lambda(t))\}_{t \geq 0}$ . By using a temporal discretization of the dynamical system in Eq. (3.1), we have

$$\begin{cases} s_{k+1} - s_k + \lambda_{k+1}F(x_{k+1}) = 0, \\ -s_k + v_{k+1} - x_0 = 0, \\ F(x_{k+1}) + \|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1}(x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}) = 0, \\ \lambda_{k+1}\|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1} = 1, \\ x_0 \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid F(x) \neq 0\} \text{ and } s_0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

---

**Algorithm 1** Conceptual algorithmic framework

---

- STEP 0:** Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $s_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\theta > 0$  and set  $k = 0$ .  
**STEP 1:** If  $x_k \in \mathcal{X}$  is a solution of the ME problem in Eq. (1.1), then **stop**.  
**STEP 2:** Compute  $v_{k+1} = x_0 + s_k$ .  
**STEP 3:** Compute  $x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $F(x_{k+1}) + \|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1}(x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}) = 0$ .  
**STEP 3:** Compute  $\lambda_{k+1} > 0$  such that  $\lambda_{k+1}\|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1} \geq \theta$ .  
**STEP 4:** Compute  $s_{k+1} = s_k - \lambda_{k+1}F(x_{k+1})$ .  
**STEP 5:** Set  $k \leftarrow k + 1$ , and go to **STEP 1**.
- 

We relax the equation  $\lambda_{k+1}\|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1} = 1$  using  $\lambda_{k+1}\|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1} \geq \theta$ , where  $\theta > 0$  is a scaling parameter which enhances the flexibility of our method. Putting these pieces together yields our conceptual algorithmic framework which is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Note that our framework is different from the framework of Monteiro and Svaiter [2012] and its high-order extension [Lin and Jordan, 2021] in that computing  $x_{k+1}$  in Algorithm 1 does not require the value of  $\lambda_{k+1}$ . In contrast, the existing methods need to compute a pair of  $x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda_{k+1} > 0$  jointly since the computation of  $x_{k+1}$  is based on  $\lambda_{k+1}$  and the computation of  $\lambda_{k+1}$  is based on  $x_{k+1}$ . This is the reason why the binary search is necessary in those methods.

**High-order method.** By instantiating Algorithm 1 with an approximate tensor subroutine, we obtain a new suite of simple and optimal  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods for ME problems where  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies that  $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -order derivative is Lipschitz continuous.

In Algorithm 1, the following step plays a pivotal role:

$$\text{Find } x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{X} \text{ such that } F(x_{k+1}) + \|x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}\|^{p-1}(x_{k+1} - v_{k+1}) = 0,$$

which involves solving a strictly monotone equation problem and which may be challenging from a computational viewpoint. Fortunately, we have  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and can instead solve another subproblem with the  $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -order Taylor expansion of  $F$  at a point  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We define

$$F_v(x) = F(v) + \langle \nabla F(v), x - v \rangle + \dots + \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \nabla^{(p-1)} F(v)[x - v]^{p-1} + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x - v\|^{p-1}(x - v), \quad (3.3)$$

and let the  $x$ -subproblem be given by

$$\text{Find } x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{X} \text{ such that } F_{v_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We summarize our  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods in Algorithm 2 which combines Algorithm 1 with an approximate tensor subroutine. For simplicity, we assume an access to an oracle which returns an exact solution of  $x$ -subproblem at each iteration.

**Restarted scheme.** We present the restarted version of our algorithm in Algorithm 3, which combines Algorithm 2 with the restart scheme. Suppose that  $F$  is strongly monotone around the optimal solution, it is natural to consider Algorithm 3 which achieved the linear convergence for  $p = 1$  (first-order methods) and local superlinear convergence for  $p \geq 2$  (high-order methods). Concerning Algorithm 3, we run one iteration of Algorithm 2 with  $x_k$  as an initial point at each iteration; indeed, we restart Algorithm 2 every iteration. It is also promising to combine our method with adaptive restart schemes [O’donoghue and Candes, 2015, Roulet and d’Aspremont, 2017], or prove the local convergence under other weaker conditions than the strong monotonicity of  $F$ .

---

**Algorithm 2** A class of  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods

---

**Input:** order  $p$ , initial point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , parameter  $L$  and iteration number  $T$ .

**Initialization:** set  $s_0 = 0_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**for**  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T$  **do**

**STEP 1:** If  $x_k \in \mathcal{X}$  is a solution of the ME problem in Eq. (1.1), then **stop**.

**STEP 2:** Compute  $v_{k+1} = x_0 + s_k$ .

**STEP 3:** Compute  $x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $F_{v_{k+1}}(x_{k+1}) = 0$  holds.

**STEP 4:** Compute  $\lambda_{k+1} > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{12p-6} \leq \frac{\lambda_{k+1}L\|x_{k+1}-v_{k+1}\|^{p-1}}{p!} \leq \frac{1}{4p+2}$ .

**STEP 5:** Compute  $s_{k+1} = s_k - \lambda_{k+1}F(x_{k+1})$ .

**end for**

**Output:**  $\tilde{x}_T = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k} \sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k x_k$ .

---

---

**Algorithm 3** The restart version of Algorithm 2

---

**Input:** order  $p$ , initial point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , parameter  $L$  and iteration number  $T$ .

**for**  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, T$  **do**

**STEP 1:** If  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a solution of the ME problem in Eq. (1.1), then **stop**.

**STEP 2:** Compute  $x_{k+1}$  as an output of Algorithm 2 with the input  $(p, x_k, L, 1)$ , i.e., one iteration of Algorithm 2 but with  $x_k$  as an initial point.

**end for**

**Output:**  $x_{T+1}$ .

---

**Discussion.** The interplay between continuous-time dynamics and discrete-time methods has been a milestone in optimization theory. One salient way that these connections have spurred research is via the Lyapunov functions which transfer asymptotic behavior and rates of convergence between continuous time and discrete time. Starting with [Su et al. \[2016\]](#), there has been a line of research on Lyapunov analysis for accelerated first-order optimization methods. In particular, [Wilson et al. \[2021\]](#) found an unified time-dependent Lyapunov function and showed that their Lyapunov analysis is equivalent to Nesterov's estimate sequence technique in a number of cases, including quasi-monotone subgradient, accelerated gradient descent and conditional gradient. Moreover, the Lyapunov analysis for variational inequality and inclusion problems become simple since the associated dynamical systems do not contain any damping terms [[Attouch and Svaiter, 2011](#), [Attouch et al., 2013](#), [Abbas et al., 2014](#), [Attouch et al., 2016a](#), [Lin and Jordan, 2021](#)] in the monotone setting. Notably, the Lyapunov function has an intuitive interpretation of being the distance between the solution trajectory and an optimal solution.

## 4 Discrete-Time Convergence Analysis

We provide the discrete-time convergence analysis for our new  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods from Algorithm 2. More specifically, we prove the global rate of  $O(k^{-(p+1)/2})$  in terms of a restricted merit function (ergodic) and  $O(k^{-p/2})$  in terms of a residue function (nonergodic).

## 4.1 Global convergence rate estimation

We present an ergodic and a pointwise estimate of the global convergence rate for Algorithm 2. Our analysis is motivated by the previous continuous-time analysis and our results are expressed in terms of discrete-time versions of the restricted merit function in Eq. (2.6) and the residue function in Eq. (2.7).

We start with the presentation of our main results for Algorithm 2, which generalizes the theoretical results in Nesterov [2007, Theorem 2] in terms of a restricted merit function. To facilitate the presentation, we define a restricted merit function as follows:

$$\text{MERIT}(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle F(z), x - z \rangle \mid \|z - x_0\| \leq D \},$$

where  $D > 0$  is defined such that there exists  $\bar{x} \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid F(x) = 0\}$ . This definition is commonly used for measuring an approximate weak solution of variational inequalities (VIs) in the literature [Nesterov, 2007, Facchinei and Pang, 2007] and is clearly the discrete-time counterpart of the function in Eq. (2.6).

We also present theoretical analysis in terms of a residue function. More specifically, we define a residue function as follows:

$$\text{RES}(x) = \|F(x)\|.$$

We summarize our results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfy that the  $(p-1)$ <sup>th</sup>-order derivative is Lipschitz continuous. For the iterates  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  generated by Algorithm 2, we have*

$$\text{MERIT}(\tilde{x}_k) = O(k^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}),$$

and

$$\inf_{0 \leq i \leq k} \text{RES}(x_i) = O(k^{-\frac{p}{2}}),$$

where the ergodic iterates are defined by  $\tilde{x}_k = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i} (\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

**Remark 4.2** *The same ergodic convergence result in Theorem 4.1 was established by Nesterov [2007, Theorem 2] for the case of  $p = 1$ . For  $p \geq 2$  in general, the convergence rates of  $O(k^{-(p+1)/2} \log(k))$  was obtained for high-order method [Lin and Jordan, 2021].*

We construct a discrete-time Lyapunov function for Algorithm 2 as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \frac{1}{2} \|s_k\|^2, \tag{4.1}$$

which will be used to prove technical results that pertain to Algorithm 2.

**Lemma 4.3** *For every integer  $T \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - x \rangle \leq \mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_T + \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{10} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{k+1} - \mathcal{E}_k = \langle s_{k+1} - s_k, s_{k+1} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|s_{k+1} - s_k\|^2.$$

Combining this equation with the definition of  $v_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{k+1} - \mathcal{E}_k = \lambda_{k+1} \langle F(x_{k+1}), x_0 - v_{k+2} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v_{k+2} - v_{k+1}\|^2.$$

Letting  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be any point, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{k+1} - \mathcal{E}_k \leq \lambda_{k+1} \langle F(x_{k+1}), x_0 - x \rangle + \lambda_{k+1} \langle F(x_{k+1}), x - x_{k+1} \rangle + \lambda_{k+1} \langle F(x_{k+1}), x_{k+1} - v_{k+2} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v_{k+2} - v_{k+1}\|^2.$$

Summing up this inequality over  $k = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$  and changing the counter  $k+1$  to  $k$  yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - x \rangle \leq \mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_T + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_0 - x \rangle}_{\mathbf{I}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|v_k - v_{k+1}\|^2}_{\mathbf{II}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Using the update formula  $s_{k+1} = s_k - \lambda_{k+1} F(x_{k+1})$  and  $s_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\mathbf{I} = \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k F(x_k), x_0 - x \right\rangle = \langle s_0 - s_T, x_0 - x \rangle = \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle. \quad (4.3)$$

We rewrite the update formula of  $x_k$  as follows:

$$F_{v_k}(x_k) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $F_v(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is defined with any fixed  $v \in \mathcal{X}$  as follows,

$$F_v(x) = F(v) + \langle \nabla F(v), x - v \rangle + \dots + \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \nabla^{(p-1)} F(v) [x - v]^{p-1} + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x - v\|^{p-1} (x - v).$$

Since  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\|F(x_k) - F_{v_k}(x_k) + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} (x_k - v_k)\| \leq \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p. \quad (4.5)$$

We perform a decomposition of  $\langle F(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle$  and derive from Eq. (4.4) and Eq. (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle F(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \\ &= \langle F(x_k) - F_{v_k}(x_k) + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} (x_k - v_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle + \langle F_{v_k}(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} \langle x_k - v_k, x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \|F(x_k) - F_{v_k}(x_k) + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} (x_k - v_k)\| \|x_k - v_{k+1}\| + \langle F_{v_k}(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} \langle x_k - v_k, x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p \|x_k - v_{k+1}\| - \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} \langle x_k - v_k, x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \quad (\text{cf. Eq. (4.4) and (4.5)}) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p+1} + \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p \|v_k - v_{k+1}\| - \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} \langle x_k - v_k, x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\langle x_k - v_k, x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle = \|x_k - v_k\|^2 + \langle x_k - v_k, v_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \geq \|x_k - v_k\|^2 - \|x_k - v_k\| \|v_k - v_{k+1}\|.$$

Putting these pieces together yields that

$$\langle F(x_k), x_k - v_{k+1} \rangle \leq \frac{(2p+1)L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p \|v_k - v_{k+1}\| - \frac{(2p-1)L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p+1}.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{12p-6} \leq \frac{\lambda_k L \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1}}{p!} \leq \frac{1}{4p+2}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^T \left( \frac{(2p+1)\lambda_k L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p \|v_k - v_{k+1}\| - \frac{1}{2} \|v_k - v_{k+1}\|^2 - \frac{(2p-1)\lambda_k L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p+1} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - v_k\| \|v_k - v_{k+1}\| - \frac{1}{2} \|v_k - v_{k+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{6} \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^T \left( \max_{\eta \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - v_k\| \eta - \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{6} \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{24} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Plugging Eq. (4.3) and Eq. (4.6) into Eq. (4.2) yields that

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - x \rangle \leq \mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_T + \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{24} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4** *For every integer  $T \geq 1$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - x \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2, \quad \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \leq 12 \|x^* - x_0\|^2,$$

where  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a solution of the ME in Eq. (1.1) satisfying that  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_T + \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle = \mathcal{E}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \|s_T\|^2 + \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle.$$

Since  $s_0 = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$ . By Young's inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_T + \langle s_T, x - x_0 \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|s_T\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|s_T\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2.$$

This together with Lemma 4.3 yields that

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \langle F(x_k), x_k - x \rangle + \frac{1}{24} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which implies the first inequality. Letting  $x = x^*$  be a solution of the ME in Eq. (1.1) satisfying that  $F(x^*) = 0$  in the above inequality yields the second inequality.  $\square$

We provide a technical lemma on a lower bound for  $\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k$ . The analysis is motivated by continuous-time analysis for the system in Eq. (1.2).



**Lemma 4.5** For  $p \geq 1$  and every integer  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \geq \frac{p!}{(12p-6)L} \left( \frac{1}{12\|x^* - x_0\|^2} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} T^{\frac{p+1}{2}},$$

where  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a solution of the ME in Eq. (1.1) satisfying that  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $p = 1$ , we have  $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{6L}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . For  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^T (\lambda_k)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \left( \frac{p!}{(12p-6)L} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^T (\lambda_k)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} (\lambda_k \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1})^{\frac{2}{p-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^T \|x_k - v_k\|^2 \stackrel{\text{Lemma 4.4}}{\leq} 12\|x^* - x_0\|^2.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^T 1 = \sum_{k=1}^T \left( (\lambda_k)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} (\lambda_k)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^T (\lambda_k)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

Putting these pieces together yields that

$$T \leq (12\|x^* - x_0\|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{p+1}} \left( \frac{(12p-6)L}{p!} \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}},$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^T \lambda_k \geq \frac{p!}{(12p-6)L} \left( \frac{1}{12\|x^* - x_0\|^2} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} T^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1:** For simplicity, we let  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a solution of the ME in Eq. (1.1) satisfying  $F(x^*) = 0$ . Then, we can derive from the first inequality in Lemma 4.4 and the monotonicity of  $F$  that

$$\langle F(z), \tilde{x}_k - z \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \langle F(x_i), x_i - z \rangle \right) = \frac{\|z - x_0\|^2}{2(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)}, \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By the definition of a restricted merit function, we have

$$\text{MERIT}(\tilde{x}_k) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \langle F(z), \tilde{x}_k - z \rangle \mid \|z - x_0\| \leq D \} \leq \frac{D^2}{2(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i)}.$$

By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\text{MERIT}(\tilde{x}_k) \leq \frac{(6p-3)L}{p!} (12\|x^* - x_0\|^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} D^2 k^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} = O(k^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}).$$

The second inequality in Lemma 4.4 implies that

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|x_i - v_i\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i - v_i\|^2 \leq \frac{12\|x^* - x_0\|^2}{k}. \quad (4.7)$$

In addition, we recall that the update formula of  $x_k$  is

$$F_{v_k}(x_k) = 0.$$

Recall that  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $F_v(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is defined by

$$F_v(x) = F(v) + \langle \nabla F(v), x - v \rangle + \dots + \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \nabla^{(p-1)} F(v) [x - v]^{p-1} + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x - v\|^{p-1} (x - v).$$

Then, we have

$$\|F(x_k) - F_{v_k}(x_k) + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^{p-1} (x_k - v_k)\| \leq \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p,$$

and

$$\|F(x_k)\| \leq \frac{L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p + \|F_{v_k}(x_k)\| + \frac{2L}{(p-1)!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p \leq \frac{(2p+1)L}{p!} \|x_k - v_k\|^p.$$

By the definition of  $\text{RES}(\cdot)$ , we have

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{RES}(x_i) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|F(x_i)\| \leq \frac{(2p+1)L}{p!} \left( \inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|x_i - v_i\|^p \right). \quad (4.8)$$

Plugging Eq. (4.7) into Eq. (4.8) yields that

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{RES}(x_i) = O(k^{-\frac{p}{2}}).$$

This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.6** *The discrete-time analysis in this subsection is based on the discrete-time Lyapunov function in Eq. (4.1), which is closely related to the continuous-time counterpart in Eq. (2.8). It is also worth mentioning that the proofs of these technical results follow the same path for the analysis in Theorem 2.6.*

## 4.2 Local convergence rate estimation

We present an estimate of the local convergence rate for Algorithm 3 when  $p \geq 2$ . Our analysis is based on the analysis in the last subsection and our results are expressed in terms of the distance function  $\|x_k - x^*\|$ , where  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a solution of the ME in Eq. (1.1) satisfying  $F(x^*) = 0$ .

We say that  $F$  is  $\mu$ -strongly monotone if we have

$$\langle F(x) - F(x'), x - x' \rangle \geq \mu \|x - x'\|^2.$$

We summarize our results in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.7** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $F \in \mathcal{G}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfy that  $(p-1)$ th-order derivative is Lipschitz continuous and be  $\mu$ -strongly monotone. For the iterates  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  generated by Algorithm 3, we have*

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right) \|x_k - x^*\|^p, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0,$$

where  $\kappa = L/\mu > 0$  and  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the solution of the ME. As a consequence, if  $p \geq 2$  and the following condition holds true,

$$\|x_0 - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p!}{4^p(2p+1)\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

the iterates  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  will converge to  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with a rate at least of order  $p \geq 2$ .

**Proof of Theorem 4.7:** We derive from Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (4.8) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that one iteration of Algorithm 2 with an input  $x_0$  satisfies that

$$\|F(x_1)\| \leq \frac{(2p+1)L}{p!} (12\|x_0 - x^*\|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \frac{4^p(2p+1)L}{p!} \|x_0 - x^*\|^p.$$

By abuse of notation, we let the iterates  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  be generated by Algorithm 3. Then,  $x_{k+1}$  is an output of Algorithm 2 with the input  $(p, x_k, L, 1)$ , i.e., one iteration of Algorithm 2 with an input  $x_k$ . This implies that

$$\|F(x_{k+1})\| \leq \frac{4^p(2p+1)L}{p!} \|x_k - x^*\|^p.$$

Since  $F$  is  $\mu$ -strongly monotone, we have

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F(x_{k+1}) - F(x^*), x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F(x_{k+1}), x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \|F(x_{k+1})\| \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|.$$

Putting these pieces together yields that

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq \frac{4^p(2p+1)L}{p!} \frac{L}{\mu} \|x_k - x^*\|^p,$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \leq \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right) \|x_k - x^*\|^p. \quad (4.9)$$

For the case of  $p \geq 2$ , we have  $p-1 \geq 1$ . If the following condition holds true,

$$\|x_0 - x^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p!}{4^p(2p+1)\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &\leq \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|x_k - x^*\|^p \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|x_k - x^*\| \right)^p \leq \left( \left( \frac{4^p(2p+1)\kappa}{p!} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|x_0 - x^*\| \right)^{p^{k+1}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

## 5 Conclusions

We have presented a new class of rescaled gradient dynamical systems for deriving high-order methods for solving monotone equation (ME) problems. Our analysis of these systems is based on continuous-time and discrete-time Lyapunov functions which yield convergence characterizations for both the solution trajectories and their temporal discretization. Our framework provides a systematic approach to derive and analyze  $p^{\text{th}}$ -order methods for solving ME problems. For future research, it is of interest to bring our continuous-time perspective for understanding ME methods into register with the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian frameworks that have proved productive [Wibisono et al., 2016, Diakonikolas and Jordan, 2021, Muehlebach and Jordan, 2021].

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Mathematical Data Science program of the Office of Naval Research under grant number N00014-18-1-2764 and by the Vannevar Bush Faculty Fellowship program under grant number N00014-21-1-2941.

## References

- B. Abbas, H. Attouch, and B. F. Svaiter. Newton-like dynamics and forward-backward methods for structured monotone inclusions in Hilbert spaces. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 161(2):331–360, 2014. (Cited on pages 3, 8, and 13.)
- L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient Flows: in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2005. (Cited on page 10.)
- F. M. Arscott and A. F. Filippov. *Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides: Control Systems*. Springer Netherlands, 1988. (Cited on page 10.)
- H. Attouch and S. C. László. Newton-like inertial dynamics and proximal algorithms governed by maximally monotone operators. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 30(4):3252–3283, 2020. (Cited on pages 3 and 8.)
- H. Attouch and S. C. László. Continuous Newton-like inertial dynamics for monotone inclusions. *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, 29(3):555–581, 2021. (Cited on pages 3 and 8.)
- H. Attouch and B. F. Svaiter. A continuous dynamical Newton-like approach to solving monotone inclusions. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 49(2):574–598, 2011. (Cited on pages 3, 8, and 13.)
- H. Attouch, P. Redont, and B. F. Svaiter. Global convergence of a closed-loop regularized Newton method for solving monotone inclusions in Hilbert spaces. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 157(3):624–650, 2013. (Cited on pages 3, 8, and 13.)
- H. Attouch, M. M. Alves, and B. F. Svaiter. A dynamic approach to a proximal-Newton method for monotone inclusions in Hilbert spaces, with complexity  $\mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$ . *Journal of Convex Analysis*, 23(1):139–180, 2016a. (Cited on pages 3, 5, 8, and 13.)
- H. Attouch, J. Peypouquet, and P. Redont. Fast convex optimization via inertial dynamics with Hessian driven damping. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 261(10):5734–5783, 2016b. (Cited on page 3.)
- H. Attouch, Z. Chbani, J. Peypouquet, and P. Redont. Fast convergence of inertial dynamics and algorithms with asymptotic vanishing viscosity. *Mathematical Programming*, 168(1-2):123–175, 2018. (Cited on page 3.)
- H. H. Bauschke and P. L. Combettes. A weak-to-strong convergence principle for Fejér-monotone methods in Hilbert spaces. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 26(2):248–264, 2001. (Cited on page 7.)
- N. Cesa-Bianchi and G. Lugosi. *Prediction, Learning, and Games*. Cambridge University Press, 2006. (Cited on page 2.)
- A. Cordero and J. R. Torregrosa. Variants of Newton’s method using fifth-order quadrature formulas. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 190(1):686–698, 2007. (Cited on page 2.)
- J. Cortés. Finite-time convergent gradient flows with applications to network consensus. *Automatica*, 42(11):1993–2000, 2006. (Cited on pages 5, 7, and 10.)

- R. Courant. Variational methods for the solution of problems of equilibrium and vibrations. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 49(1):1–23, 1943. (Cited on page 10.)
- M. T. Darvishi and A. Barati. A third-order Newton-type method to solve systems of nonlinear equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 187(2):630–635, 2007. (Cited on page 2.)
- J. Diakonikolas and M. I. Jordan. Generalized momentum-based methods: A Hamiltonian perspective. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 31(1):915–944, 2021. (Cited on page 19.)
- J. Diakonikolas and L. Orecchia. The approximate duality gap technique: A unified theory of first-order methods. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 29(1):660–689, 2019. (Cited on page 3.)
- P. M. Esfahani and D. Kuhn. Data-driven distributionally robust optimization using the Wasserstein metric: Performance guarantees and tractable reformulations. *Mathematical Programming*, 171(1):115–166, 2018. (Cited on page 2.)
- Francisco Facchinei and Jong-Shi Pang. *Finite-Dimensional Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007. (Cited on pages 7 and 14.)
- A. F. Filippov. Differential equations with discontinuous right-hand side. *American Mathematical Society Translations*, 42:199–231, 1964. (Cited on page 10.)
- I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In *NeurIPS*, pages 2672–2680, 2014. (Cited on page 2.)
- O. Güler. On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 29(2):403–419, 1991. (Cited on page 7.)
- J. Hadamard. *Mémoire sur le problème d’analyse relatif à l’équilibre des plaques élastiques encastrées*, volume 33. Imprimerie Nationale, 1908. (Cited on page 10.)
- M. T. Heath. *Scientific Computing: An Introductory Survey, Revised Second Edition*. SIAM, 2018. (Cited on page 2.)
- H. H. H. Homeier. A modified Newton method with cubic convergence: the multivariate case. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 169(1):161–169, 2004. (Cited on page 2.)
- G. M. Korpelevich. The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems. *Matecon*, 12:747–756, 1976. (Cited on page 2.)
- T. Lin and M. I. Jordan. On monotone inclusions, acceleration and closed-loop control. *ArXiv Preprint: 2111.08093*, 2021. (Cited on pages 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, and 14.)
- T. Lin and M. I. Jordan. A control-theoretic perspective on optimal high-order optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 195(1):929–975, 2022a. (Cited on page 3.)
- T. Lin and M. I. Jordan. Perseus: A simple and optimal high-order method for variational inequalities. *ArXiv Preprint: 2205.03202*, 2022b. (Cited on pages 2 and 3.)
- P-E. Maingé. First-order continuous Newton-like systems for monotone inclusions. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 51(2):1615–1638, 2013. (Cited on page 3.)

- T. J. McDougall and S. J. Wotherspoon. A simple modification of Newton’s method to achieve convergence of order  $1+\sqrt{2}$ . *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 29:20–25, 2014. (Cited on page 2.)
- K. Meintjes and A. P. Morgan. Chemical equilibrium systems as numerical test problems. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS)*, 16(2):143–151, 1990. (Cited on page 1.)
- R. D. C. Monteiro and B. F. Svaiter. Iteration-complexity of a Newton proximal extragradient method for monotone variational inequalities and inclusion problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 22(3): 914–935, 2012. (Cited on pages 2 and 12.)
- R. E. Moore. *Methods and Applications of Interval Analysis*. SIAM, 1979. (Cited on page 1.)
- A. Morgan. *Solving polynomial systems using continuation for engineering and scientific problems*. SIAM, 2009. (Cited on page 1.)
- A. Morgan and A. Sommese. Computing all solutions to polynomial systems using homotopy continuation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 24(2):115–138, 1987. (Cited on page 1.)
- O. Morgenstern and J. Von Neumann. *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Princeton University Press, 1953. (Cited on page 1.)
- M. Muehlebach and M. I. Jordan. A dynamical systems perspective on Nesterov acceleration. In *ICML*, pages 4656–4662, 2019. (Cited on page 3.)
- M. Muehlebach and M. I. Jordan. Optimization with momentum: Dynamical, control-theoretic, and symplectic perspectives. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(73):1–50, 2021. (Cited on pages 3 and 19.)
- M. Muehlebach and M. I. Jordan. On constraints in first-order optimization: A view from non-smooth dynamical systems. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(256):1–47, 2022. (Cited on page 3.)
- A. Nemirovski. Prox-method with rate of convergence  $o(1/t)$  for variational inequalities with Lipschitz continuous monotone operators and smooth convex-concave saddle point problems. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 15(1):229–251, 2004. (Cited on page 2.)
- Y. Nesterov. Dual extrapolation and its applications to solving variational inequalities and related problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 109(2):319–344, 2007. (Cited on pages 2, 7, 8, and 14.)
- Y. Ouyang and Y. Xu. Lower complexity bounds of first-order methods for convex-concave bilinear saddle-point problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 185(1):1–35, 2021. (Cited on page 8.)
- B. O’donoghue and E. Candes. Adaptive restart for accelerated gradient schemes. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 15(3):715–732, 2015. (Cited on page 12.)
- B. Paden and S. Sastry. A calculus for computing Filippov’s differential inclusion with application to the variable structure control of robot manipulators. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 34(1):73–82, 1987. (Cited on page 10.)
- L. D. Popov. A modification of the Arrow-Hurwicz method for search of saddle points. *Mathematical notes of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, 28(5):845–848, 1980. (Cited on page 2.)

- O. Romero and M. Benosman. Finite-time convergence in continuous-time optimization. In *ICML*, pages 8200–8209. PMLR, 2020. (Cited on pages 5, 7, 10, and 11.)
- V. Roulet and A. d’Aspremont. Sharpness, restart and acceleration. In *NeurIPS*, pages 1119–1129, 2017. (Cited on page 12.)
- J. Schropp. Using dynamical systems methods to solve minimization problems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 18(1-3):321–335, 1995. (Cited on page 10.)
- J. Schropp and I. Singer. A dynamical systems approach to constrained minimization. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, 21(3-4):537–551, 2000. (Cited on page 10.)
- J. Shamma. *Cooperative Control of Distributed Multi-agent Systems*. John Wiley & Sons, 2008. (Cited on page 2.)
- B. Shi, S. S. Du, M. I. Jordan, and W. J. Su. Understanding the acceleration phenomenon via high-resolution differential equations. *Mathematical Programming*, 195(1):79–148, 2022. (Cited on page 3.)
- W. Su, S. Boyd, and E. J. Candès. A differential equation for modeling Nesterov’s accelerated gradient method: theory and insights. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(1):5312–5354, 2016. (Cited on pages 3 and 13.)
- J. Verschelde, P. Verlinden, and R. Cools. Homotopies exploiting Newton polytopes for solving sparse polynomial systems. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 31(3):915–930, 1994. (Cited on page 1.)
- A. Wibisono, A. C. Wilson, and M. I. Jordan. A variational perspective on accelerated methods in optimization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(47):E7351–E7358, 2016. (Cited on pages 3, 5, 10, and 19.)
- A. C. Wilson, L. Mackey, and A. Wibisono. Accelerating rescaled gradient descent: Fast optimization of smooth functions. In *NeurIPS*, pages 13555–13565, 2019. (Cited on pages 3 and 5.)
- A. C. Wilson, B. Recht, and M. I. Jordan. A Lyapunov analysis of accelerated methods in optimization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(113):1–34, 2021. (Cited on page 13.)
- H. Xu, C. Caramanis, and S. Mannor. Robustness and regularization of support vector machines. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 10(Jul):1485–1510, 2009. (Cited on page 2.)