

Coartinianess of extension and torsion functors

Jingwen Shen, Xiaoyan Yang

Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, China

E-mails: shenjw0609@163.com, yangxy@nwnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative noetherian local ring with \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, I an ideal of R . We investigate coartinianess of Ext and Tor , show that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is I -coartinian if M is a linearly compact I -coartinian R -module and N is an I -cofinite R -module of dimension at most 1; the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -coartinian in the case M is semi-discrete linearly compact I -coartinian and N is finitely generated with dimension at most 2.

Key Words: coartinian module; linearly compact module

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13C15; 13H10

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let R denote a commutative noetherian ring and I an ideal of R . For an R -module M , the i th local cohomology module of M with respect to I is defined as

$$H_I^i(M) = \varinjlim \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^t, M).$$

An important conjecture of local cohomology is due to Grothendieck [10]: The R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^i(M))$ is finitely generated for every ideal I and finitely generated R -module M . In 1970, Hartshorne [11] provided a counterexample to show that this conjecture does not have an affirmative answer in general. He then defined an R -module M to be I -cofinite if $\text{Supp}_R M \subseteq V(I)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is finitely generated for all i , and asked:

Are the modules $H_I^i(M)$ I -cofinite for all finitely generated R -modules M and all i ?

Concerning this question, Hartshorne [11] showed that if R is a complete regular local ring and I a prime ideal with $\dim R/I = 1$ then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for any finitely generated R -module M and $i \geq 0$. Serval authors later extended and polished Hartshorne's work for the various case of $\dim R/I$ and the ring R of lower dimensions (see [2, 5, 7, 16, 25]). On the other hand, Huneke and Koh [12] proved that for each pair of finitely generated modules N and M over a local ring R , under some special conditions, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, H_I^i(M))$ is I -cofinite whenever $\dim R/I \leq 1$. It is well-known that if $\dim R/I \leq 1$ then $H_I^i(M)$ are I -cofinite and $\dim_R H_I^i(M) \leq 1$ for all finitely generated modules M and $i \geq 0$. More general, Abazari and Bahmanpour [1, 3] proved that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is I -cofinite for $i \geq 0$ if N is finitely generated and M is I -cofinite with $\dim_R M \leq 1$; the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -cofinite for $i \geq 0$ if N and M are both I -cofinite and $\dim_R N \leq 1$.

We denote $\Lambda_I(M) = \varprojlim M/I^t M$ the I -adic completion of M , and recall the i th *local homology module* of M is

$$H_i^I(M) = \varprojlim \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I^t, M).$$

Since torsion and completion are dual, one expects these functors to live up to behave in a manner dual to local cohomology. Local homology as duality of local cohomology was initiated by Matlis [14] in 1974. As duality of cofinite modules, Nam [19] defined an R -module M is *I -coartinian* if $\mathrm{Cosupp}_R M \subseteq V(I)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)$ is artinian for all $i \geq 0$. The authors [22] considered two questions on coartinianess, which is in some sense dual to Hartshorne's questions on cofiniteness in [11], studied the coartinianess of local homology modules for semi-discrete linearly compact modules.

In the sequel the symbol $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\mathrm{cof}}$ denotes the category of I -cofinite R -modules N with $\dim_R N \leq 1$, $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$ denotes the category of I -coartinian R -modules and $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$ denotes the category of R -modules $M \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$ with $\mathrm{mag}_R M \leq 1$. One attempt of the present paper is to study coartinianess of the R -modules $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$. More precisely, we prove the following results:

Theorem A. *Let M be a linearly compact R -module. If $N \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\mathrm{cof}}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$ for $i \geq 0$.*

Theorem B. *Let M be a semi-discrete linearly compact R -module and in $\mathcal{C}(R, I)_{\mathrm{coa}}$ and N a finitely generated R -module with $\dim_R N \leq 2$. Then the R -module $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$.*

Next we list some notions which will need later.

Throughout this paper, (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a commutative noetherian local ring with \mathfrak{m} -adic topology and I an ideal of R . Write $\mathrm{Spec}R$ for the set of prime ideals of R , and set

$$V(I) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}R \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Fix $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}R$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the localization of M at \mathfrak{p} . The duality functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is denoted by $D(-)$, where $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is the injective envelope of R/\mathfrak{m} .

Associated prime and attached prime. Let M be an R -module. The set of *associated prime* of M , denoted by $\mathrm{Ass}_R M$, is the set of prime ideals \mathfrak{p} such that there exists a cyclic submodule N of M with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}_R N$, the annihilator of N .

A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is said to be an *attached prime* of M if $\mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{Ann}_R(M/L)$ for some submodule L of M . The set of attached primes of M is denoted by $\mathrm{Att}_R M$. If M is artinian, then M admits a minimal secondary representation $M = M_1 + \cdots + M_r$ so that M_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary for $i = 1, \dots, r$. In this case, $\mathrm{Att}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$.

Dimension and Magnitude. The *support* of an R -module M , denoted by $\mathrm{Supp}_R M$, is defined as the set of prime ideals of \mathfrak{p} such that there is a cyclic submodule N of M with $\mathrm{Ann}_R N \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The (*Krull*) *dimension* of M is

$$\dim_R M = \sup\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}_R M\}.$$

If $M = 0$, then write $\dim_R M = -\infty$. If $\dim_R M$ is finite, then denote $\mathrm{Assh}_R M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R M \mid \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim_R M\}$.

Yassemi [23] defined the cocyclic modules. An R -module L is *cocyclic* if L is a submodule of $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$. The *cosupport* of M is defined as the set of prime ideals \mathfrak{p} such that there is a cocyclic homomorphic image L of M with $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{Ann}_R L$, and denoted this set by $\text{Cosupp}_R M$. He [24] then introduced a dual concept of dimension for modules, called it *magnitude* of modules, and is defined as

$$\text{mag}_R M = \sup\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Cosupp}_R M\}.$$

If $M = 0$, then write $\text{mag}_R M = -\infty$.

Following [13], a topological R -module M is said to be *linearly topologized* if it has a base of neighborhoods of the zero element \mathcal{M} consisting of submodules; M is called *Hausdorff* if the intersection of all the neighborhoods of the zero element is 0. A Hausdorff linearly topologized R -module M is said to be *linearly compact* if \mathcal{F} is a family of closed cosets (i.e., cosets of closed submodules) in M which has the finite intersection property, then the cosets in \mathcal{F} have a non-empty intersection. It should be noted that an artinian R -module is linearly compact. A Hausdorff linearly topologized R -module M is called *semi-discrete* if every submodule of M is closed. The class of semi-discrete linearly compact modules is very large, it contains many important classes of modules such as the class of artinian modules, the class of finitely generated modules over a complete ring.

2. Main results

The task of this section is to give the proof of Theorems A and B in introduction. We begin with the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Suppose that x is an element in R such that $V(xR) \cap \text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then $(0 :_M x)$ has finite length.*

Proof. Since $(0 :_M x) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/xR, M)$, $\text{Ass}_R \text{Hom}_R(R/xR, M) = V(xR) \cap \text{Ass}_R M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and $(0 :_M x)$ is finitely generated, we yield $(0 :_M x)$ is artinian. Hence it has finite length. \square

Following [27], an R -module N is *minimax* if there is a finitely generated submodule L of N , such that N/L is artinian. By [4, Lemma 2.1], the class of minimax R -modules is a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules, i.e. closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. Let \mathcal{S} denote the subclass of linearly compact R -modules which consists of all finitely generated I -coartinian R -modules, and \mathcal{S}' denote the subclass of linearly compact R -modules which consists of all minimax I -coartinian R -modules. We have

Lemma 2.2. *\mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are both Serre subcategories of the category of R -modules.*

Proof. Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules. Then $\text{Cosupp}_R M = \text{Cosupp}_R M_1 \cup \text{Cosupp}_R M_2$. If M_1 and M_2 are finitely generated I -coartinian, then M is finitely generated I -coartinian. Now assume that M is finitely generated I -coartinian. It follows from the artinianess of M/IM and the following exact sequence

$$M_1/IM_1 \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow M_2/IM_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

that M_2/IM_2 is artinian. Also M_2 is finitely generated, it follows from [20, Lemma 3.5] that M_2 is I -coartinian, and so M_1 is I -coartinian. Similarly, one can show that \mathcal{S}' is a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules. \square

Recall the *arithmetic rank of an ideal I in R* , denoted by $\text{ara}(I)$, is the least number of elements of R required to generate an ideal which has the same radical as I , i.e.

$$\text{ara}(I) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in R \text{ with } \text{Rad}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{Rad}(I)\}.$$

Let M be an R -module. The *arithmetic rank of an ideal I in R with respect to M* , denoted by $\text{ara}_M(I)$, is defined as the arithmetic rank of the ideal $(I + \text{Ann}_R M)/\text{Ann}_R M$ in the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a linearly compact R -module and $n \geq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For each non-zero artinian I -cofinite R -module N and $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \in \mathcal{S}$.*
- (2) *$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$.*
- (3) *For each R -module N of finite length and $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is artinian.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) The assertion is clear as $R/I \otimes_R \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since N has finite length, there is a finite filtration

$$0 = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_k = N,$$

such that $N_i/N_{i-1} \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Now, using induction on k and with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{i-1} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow N_i/N_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

for $0 \leq i \leq k$, the result is easy to prove.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) In view of [19, Proposition 4.6], we can assume that R is complete. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is linearly compact by [8, Lemma 2.5], we only need to show $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is finitely generated I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that N is artinian I -cofinite. Then $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. In order to prove the assertion, we use induction on

$$t = \text{ara}_N(I) = \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N)/\text{Ann}_R N.$$

If $t = 0$, then $I^u N = 0$ for some $u > 0$. As $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated, it follows that $N = \text{Hom}_R(R/I^u, N)$ is finitely generated. Therefore, N has finite length and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Also by [19, corollary 3.6] and [23, Lemma 2.5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp}_R D(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) &= \text{Cosupp}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \\ &\subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \cap \text{Cosupp}_R M \\ &\subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M))$ is finitely generated, $D(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M))$ is artinian I -cofinite by [17, Lemma 2.1]. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is finitely generated I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose, inductively, that $t > 0$ and the result has been proved for smaller values of t . We can conclude that N is not of finite length and so $\text{Att}_R N \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. By hypothesis N is artinian

I -cofinite, one has $\text{Att}_R N \cap V(I) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ by [3, Lemma 2.3]. On the other hand, there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_t \in I$ such that

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((y_1, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

By Prime Avoidance Theorem,

$$I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R N \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q},$$

which implies that

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R N \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q}.$$

But $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R N \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q}$, consequently,

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R N \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By [15, Theorem 16.8] there is $a \in (y_2, \dots, y_t)$ such that $y_1 + a \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R N \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q}$. Let $x = y_1 + a$. Then $x \in I$, $\text{Att}_R N \cap V(xR) \subseteq \text{Att}_R N \cap V(I) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((x, y_2, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

As N is artinian, it can be seen that $x^k N = x^{k+1} N$ for some $k > 0$. Put $B = x^k N$ and $C = (0 :_B x)$. Then

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R C / \text{Ann}_R C) = \text{Rad}((y_2, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R C / \text{Ann}_R C),$$

and hence $\text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R C) / \text{Ann}_R C \leq t - 1$. Since

$$\text{Att}_R N \cap V(x^k R) = \text{Att}_R N \cap V(xR) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

it follows from [5, Lemma 2.4] that $N/B = N/x^k N$ has finite length. In view of condition, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N/B, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Note that B and C are both artinian I -cofinite and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{x} B \longrightarrow 0.$$

By [8, Lemma 2.5], we have the long exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(C, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) \longrightarrow \\ \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(B, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(B, M) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

By inductive hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(0 :_{\text{Ext}_R^i(B, M)} x) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) / x \text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(B, M)$ is I -coartinian by [22, Proposition 2.6], and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Also the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/B \rightarrow 0$$

yields the long exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N/B, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(B, M) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

which implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. This completes the inductive step. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let M be a linearly compact R -module and $n \geq 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *For each minimax I -cofinite R -module N and $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is minimax I -coartinian.*

(2) *For $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is artinian.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Using the fact that $I\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let N be a minimax I -cofinite R -module. There exists a finitely generated submodule L of N , such that N/L is artinian. By [16, Corollary 4.4], N/L is I -cofinite. By hypothesis and [22, Lemma 2.2], $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence Lemma 2.3 implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N/L, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Also by [22, Lemma 2.2], $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$, and note that

$$\text{Cosupp}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R L \cap \text{Cosupp}_R M \subseteq V(I).$$

Now, the induced long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N/L, M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is minimax I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. \square

We now give the proof of the first theorem.

Proof of Theorem A. Since \widehat{R} is a faithful flat R -module and $N \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$, we have $N \otimes_R \widehat{R} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\widehat{R}, I\widehat{R})_{\text{cof}}$. In view of $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is a linearly compact \widehat{R} -module, $\text{Ext}_{\widehat{R}}^i(N \otimes_R \widehat{R}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ and [19, Proposition 4.6], we can assume that R is complete. Since $\text{Cosupp}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \cap \text{Cosupp}_R M \subseteq \text{Supp}_R N$ by [19, Corollary 3.6], $\text{mag}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \leq 1$. In order to prove the assertion, we use induction on

$$t = \text{ara}_N(I) = \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N) / \text{Ann}_R N.$$

If $t = 0$, then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I^l, N) = N$ is finitely generated for some integer l , it follows from [2, Proposition 3.3] and [22, Lemma 2.2] that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is artinian for $i \geq 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{coa}}$ for $i \geq 0$. Suppose, inductively, that $t > 0$ and the result has been proved for smaller values of t . Since N/IN is finitely generated, there is a finitely generated submodule N_1 of N such that $N/IN = (N_1 + IN)/IN$, one has $N = N_1 + IN$. Since N_1 is an I -torsion finitely generated R -module, it follows that $I^k N_1 = 0$ for some $k > 0$. Therefore, $I^k N = I^k N_1 + I^{k+1} N = I^{k+1} N$. Set $L = I^k N$. Then $IL = L \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$, $T = N/L$ is finitely generated and

$$\text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R L) / \text{Ann}_R L \leq \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R N) / \text{Ann}_R N.$$

Also the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

yields the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(T, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(T, M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since T is finitely generated and $\text{Supp}_R T \subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \subseteq V(I)$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, M)$ is artinian by [22, Lemma 2.2] for $i \geq 0$. Note that $\text{Cosupp}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(T, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R T \cap \text{Cosupp}_R M \subseteq V(I)$ by [19, Corollary 3.6], thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, M) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{coa}$ for $i \geq 0$. If the assertion is true for L , then $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{coa}$ for $i \geq 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{coa}$ is an abelian category by [22, Corollary 3.10]. By using the last exact sequence we can see that the assertion also is true for N . Thus replacing N by L , without loss of generality, we may assume that $IN = N$. If N is minimax, then the assertion follows from Corollary 2.4. So we may assume that N is not minimax. As $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, N)$ is finitely generated and $\text{Supp}_R N \subseteq V(I)$, it follows from [17, Lemma 2.1] that $\text{Supp}_R N \not\subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and hence $\dim_R N = 1$. Let

$$\text{Assh}_R N = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_u\}.$$

By [17, Lemma 2.1], it can be seen that for $1 \leq j \leq u$, $N_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ is an artinian and $IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ -cofinite $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ -module with $N_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = (IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j})N_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$. Thus $IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} N_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_1 := \bigcup_{j=1}^u \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} N_{\mathfrak{p}_j}\}.$$

By Prime Avoidance Theorem,

$$I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U}_1} \mathfrak{q}.$$

For each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U}_1$, one has $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} N_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq u$, so

$$(\text{Ann}_R N)R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} N_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j},$$

which implies that $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_1 \subseteq V(\text{Ann}_R N)$. Next, let

$$\mathcal{T} := \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Cosupp}_R \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \mid \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1\}.$$

As $N \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{cof}$, the set $\text{Ass}_R N$ is finite, and hence $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \text{Assh}_R N$ is finite. Because $\text{Cosupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{T}$, $\text{mag}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) = 0$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is linearly compact, it follows from [13, 5.5] that

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \cong \varprojlim_{M' \in \mathcal{M}} \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M',$$

where $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M'$ is an artinian R -module and \mathcal{M} a base consisting of neighborhood of the zero element of $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M')$ is a semi-discrete linearly compact R -module, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where K is finitely generated and A is artinian. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, K) = 0$, and therefore $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') \cong \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$. By [18, Theorem 3.2], $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$ is annihilated by $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$ is artinian by [21, Theorem 7.30]. Hence $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M')$ is artinian. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}, s \leq u.$$

By [22, Lemma 3.7], we have

$$V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s$. If $V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) = \{\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}\}$, then

$$V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Otherwise, for every $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}R$ with $IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) &= \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \varprojlim_{M' \in \mathcal{M}} \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') \\ &= \varprojlim_{M' \in \mathcal{M}} \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/M') = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j})$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Next, let

$$\mathcal{U}_2 := \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} \bigcup_{j=1}^s \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}R \mid \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M))\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{U}_2 \cap V(I) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Also for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U}_2$, we have

$$\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M))$$

for some $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. Hence

$$(\text{Ann}_R N)R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M))R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \subseteq \text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}_j},$$

and so $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_2 \subseteq V(\text{Ann}_R N)$. Set $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$. Since $t = \text{ara}_N(I) \geq 1$, there exists elements $y_1, \dots, y_t \in I$ such that

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((y_1, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

By Prime Avoidance Theorem,

$$I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q},$$

which implies that

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus V(I)} \mathfrak{q}.$$

Note that $\text{Ann}_R N \subseteq \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}(I)} \mathfrak{q}$, so

$$(y_1, \dots, y_t) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}(I)} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By [15, Theorem 16.8] there is $a \in (y_2, \dots, y_t)$ such that $y_1 + a \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}(I)} \mathfrak{q}$. Let $x = y_1 + a$. Then $x \in I$ and

$$\text{Rad}(I + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N) = \text{Rad}((x, y_2, \dots, y_t) + \text{Ann}_R N / \text{Ann}_R N).$$

Next, let $U = (0 :_N x)$, $V = N/xN$. Then

$$\text{ara}_U(I) = \text{ara}(I + \text{Ann}_R U) / \text{Ann}_R U \leq t - 1.$$

As $N \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$ is an abelian category, it follows that $U, V \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{cof}}$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^i(U, M) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{coa}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Also $V_{\mathfrak{p}_j} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq u$, so $\text{Supp}_R V \subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_u\} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, and hence V is artinian I -cofinite by [17, Lemma 2.1]. By the hypothesis and [22, Lemma 2.2], one sees that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(V, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Also the short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow U \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} xN \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow xN \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

induce the exact sequences

$$\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(U, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(xN, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)} \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(U, M), \quad (2.5.1)$$

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(V, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) \xrightarrow{\text{Ext}_R^i(g, M)} \text{Ext}_R^i(xN, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(V, M). \quad (2.5.2)$$

From (2.5.2) and Lemma 2.2 we can deduce that $\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M)$ and $\text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M)$ are in \mathcal{S} for $0 \leq i \leq n$. From (2.5.1) one has $R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$. Hence the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M) \longrightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^i} \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M) \longrightarrow W^i \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the monomorphism $W^i \rightarrow \text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M)$ imply that $W^i \in \mathcal{S}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(x, M)$, we have $\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \cong (0 :_{\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)} x)$. At this point, we show that $Y^i := (0 :_{\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)} x)$ is minimax for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} V(xR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i) &\subseteq V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i) \\ &\subseteq V(IR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}) \cap \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}} \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)) \\ &\subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_j R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the $R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ -module

$$\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}/xR_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j} \otimes_R R/xR, Y^i) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i)$$

is of finite length for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, so there exists an artinian quotient module $\tilde{Y}^{i,j}$ of Y^i such that $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \tilde{Y}^{i,j}) = \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i)$. Set $\tilde{Y}^i = \tilde{Y}^{i,1} + \cdots + \tilde{Y}^{i,s}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then \tilde{Y}^i is an artinian quotient module of Y^i so that $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, \tilde{Y}^i) = \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, Y^i)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K^i \rightarrow Y^i \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^i \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.5.3)$$

implies that $\text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}_j}, K^i) = 0$, and hence $\text{Supp}_R D(K^i) = \text{Cosupp}_R K^i \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Also the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{im}\delta^i \rightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M) \rightarrow W^i \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M) \rightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \rightarrow \text{im}\delta^i \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

induce the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, W^i) \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R \text{im}\delta^i \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M), \\ R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M) \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \rightarrow R/I \otimes_R \text{im}\delta^i \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) = Y^i/IY^i$ is artinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. The induced exact sequence

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, \tilde{Y}^i) \rightarrow K^i/IK^i \rightarrow Y^i/IY^i$$

shows that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, D(K^i)) \cong D(K^i/IK^i)$ is finitely generated. Hence $D(K^i)$ is artinian by [17, Lemma 2.1], and so K^i is finitely generated. Therefore, the R -module Y^i is minimax for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Furthermore, Y^i is I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$ by [20, Lemma 3.5]. At the next step we show that $\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)$ is minimax I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp}_R D(\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)) &= \text{Cosupp}_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M) \\ &= \text{Cosupp}_R \text{im}\delta^i \cup \text{Cosupp}_R W^i \\ &\subseteq \text{Cosupp}_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \cup (\text{V}(I) \cap \{\mathfrak{m}\}) \\ &\subseteq \text{V}(I) \cup (\text{V}(I) \cap \{\mathfrak{m}\}) = \text{V}(I) \cap \{\mathfrak{m}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, D(\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M))) \cong D(R/I \otimes_R \ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M))$ is finitely generated, we have the R -module $D(\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M))$ is artinian by [17, Lemma 2.1]. This means that $\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)$ is minimax, and hence $\ker \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)$ is I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ by [20, Lemma 3.5]. Furthermore, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(U, M) \rightarrow \ker \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(f, M) \rightarrow 0,$$

one has $\text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M)$ is I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Consequently, the exact sequence

$$\text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(g, M) \rightarrow \text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) \rightarrow \text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(f, M) \rightarrow 0$$

induces that $\text{coker} \text{Ext}_R^i(gf, M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)/x\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence [22, Proposition 2.6] implies that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $0 \leq i \leq n$. This completes the inductive step. \square

By Theorem A, [5, Corollary 2.7] and [22, Theorem 3.6], we have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let N be a finitely generated R -module with $\dim_R N/IN \leq 1$ and M a semi-discrete linearly compact R -module with $\text{mag}_R M \leq 1$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathbf{H}_I^j(N), \mathbf{H}_k^I(M)) \in \mathcal{C}^1(R, I)_{\text{coa}}$ for all $i, j, k \geq 0$.*

We next study the coartinianess of the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let M be an I -coartinian R -module. Then for any finite length R -module N , the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ has finite length for $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. The assertion is clear since $\text{Cosupp}_R \text{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R N \cap \text{Cosupp}_R M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is artinian by [19, Corollary 3.6] and [9, Theorem 2.2.10]. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let M be a semi-discrete linearly compact I -coartinian R -module and N a finitely generated R -module with $\dim_R N = 1$. Then $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \in \mathcal{S}'$ for $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow N$ be a free resolution by finitely generated free R -modules. As submodules and homomorphic images of a semi-discrete linearly compact module are also semi-discrete linearly compact, one has $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M) = \mathbf{H}_i(P_\bullet \otimes_R M)$ is semi-discrete linearly compact, and so $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is minimax for $i \geq 0$ by [26]. We only need to show that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\Gamma_I(N) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(\Gamma_I(N), M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N/\Gamma_I(N), M) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $\Gamma_I(N)$ is finitely generated, $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Gamma_I(N), M)$ is artinian for $i \geq 0$ by [9, Theorem 2.2.10]. Therefore, $\text{Tor}_i^R(\Gamma_I(N), M)$ is minimax I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$. If $\text{Tor}_i^R(N/\Gamma_I(N), M)$ is also minimax I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$, then the assertion is true for N . Consequently, without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\Gamma_I(N) = 0$. Then $I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p}$, and there exists an element $x \in I$ is a non-zero divisor of N . Now, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)/x\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(N/xN, M).$$

Since N/xN is finitely generated and $\dim_R N/xN = 0$, it has finite length. Hence Lemma 2.6 implies that $\text{Tor}_i^R(N/xN, M)$ has finite length for $i \geq 0$, and hence the R -module $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)/I\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is of finite length for $i \geq 0$. Consequently, $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$ by [20, Lemma 3.5]. \square

Proof of Theorem B. As in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we may assume that $\Gamma_I(N) = 0$ and $\dim_R N = 2$. Then $I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p}$, and there exists an element $x \in I$ such that $x \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R N} \mathfrak{p}$. Then the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \longrightarrow N/xN \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of linearly compact R -modules

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(N/xN, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \xrightarrow{x} \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N/xN, M).$$

Since N/xN is finitely generated and $\dim_R N/xN = 1$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N/xN, M) \in \mathcal{S}'$ for $i \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.7, and so $(0 :_{\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)} x)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)/x\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ are also in \mathcal{S}' for $i \geq 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ is I -coartinian for $i \geq 0$ by [22, Proposition 2.6]. \square

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11761060, 11901463).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abazari, K. Bahmanpour, Cofiniteness of extension functors of cofinite modules, *J. Algebra* **330** (2011) 507–516.
- [2] K. Bahmanpour, Cohomological dimension, cofiniteness and abelian categories of cofinite modules, *J. Algebra* **484** (2017) 168–197.
- [3] K. Bahmanpour, Cofiniteness of torsion functors of a pair of cofinite modules, *Comm. Algebra* **49** (2021) 2837–2850.
- [4] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008) 2359–2363.
- [5] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of small dimension, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009) 1997–2011.
- [6] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour and M. Sedghi, On the category of cofinite modules which is Abelian, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014) 1101–1107.
- [7] G. Chiriacescu, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules over regular local rings, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **32** (2000) 1–7.
- [8] N.T. Cuong, T.T. Nam, A local homology theory for linearly compact modules, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008) 4712–4737.
- [9] H. Faridian, Gorenstein homology and finiteness properties of local (co)homology, Ph. D. thesis, Shahid Beheshti University (2020), arXiv:2010.03013v1.
- [10] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*, (SGA 2), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [11] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970) 145–164.
- [12] C. Huneke, J. Koh, Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **110** (1991) 421–429.
- [13] I.G. Macdonald, Duality over complete local rings, *Topology* **1** (1962) 213–235.
- [14] E. Matlis, The higher properties of R -sequences, *J. Algebra* **50** (1978) 77–112.
- [15] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [16] L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, *J. Algebra* **285** (2005) 649–668.
- [17] L. Melkersson, Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one, *J. Algebra* **372** (2012) 459–462.
- [18] L. Melkersson, P. Schenzel, The co-localization of an artinian module, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **38** (1995) 121–131.
- [19] T.T. Nam, Co-support and coartinian Modules, *Algebra Colloq.* **1** (2008) 83–96.
- [20] T.T. Nam, Minimax modules, local homology and local cohomology, *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015) 16pp.

- [21] R.Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [22] J.W. Shen, P.E. Zhang and X.Y. Yang, Coartinianess of local homology modules for ideals of small dimension, *J. Algebra Appl.* to appear.
- [23] S. Yassemi, Coassociated primes, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995) 1473–1498.
- [24] S. Yassemi, Magnitude of modules, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995) 3993–4008.
- [25] K.I. Yoshida, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one, *Nagoya Math.* **147** (1997) 179–191.
- [26] H. Zöschinger, Linear-Kompakte moduln über noetherschen ringen, *Arch. Math.* **41** (1983) 121–130.
- [27] H. Zöschinger, Minimax modules, *J. Algebra* **102** (1986) 1–32.