

The Turán number for the edge blow-up of trees: the missing case

Cheng Chi* Long-Tu Yuan†

Abstract

The edge blow-up of a graph is the graph obtained from replacing each edge of it by a clique of the same size where the new vertices of the cliques are all different. Wang, Hou, Liu and Ma determined the Turán number of the edge blow-up of trees except one particular case. Answering an problem posed by them, we determined the Turán number of this particular case.

1 Introduction

Given a family of graphs \mathcal{H} , a graph G is said to be \mathcal{H} -free (H -free if $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$) if G does not contain any copy of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ as a subgraph. A typical problem in extremal combinatorics is the following Turán-type problem: what is the maximum number of edges in an \mathcal{H} -free graph on n vertices? The aforementioned number is called the *extremal number* for \mathcal{H} and denoted by $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$. Denote by $\text{EX}(n, \mathcal{H})$ the set of \mathcal{H} -free graphs on n vertices with $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$ edges and call a graph in $\text{EX}(n, \mathcal{H})$ an *extremal graph* for \mathcal{H} . We use $\text{ex}(n, H)$ and $\text{EX}(n, H)$ instead of $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$ and $\text{EX}(n, \mathcal{H})$ respectively when $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$. Much interests has been attracted to this problem during the last few decades. In 1907, Mantal [7] determined the extremal number for triangle for all $n \geq 3$. Turán [11] extended Mantel's result to complete graph with any given order in 1941.

Our notations are standard, see [1]. Given a graph H and a set of vertices $A \subseteq V(H)$, we denote $\min\{\deg_H(x) ; x \in A\}$ by $\delta_H(A)$. Given a graph H and a positive integer $p \geq 2$, the *edge blow-up* of H , denoted by H^{p+1} , is the graph obtained from H by replacing each edge of H by a clique of size $p+1$ where the new vertices of the cliques are all distinct. In [6, 8] and [13], $\text{ex}(n, H^{p+1})$ has been investigated for a large family of graphs H . In [12], Wang, Hou, Liu and Ma determined the extremal number when H is tree satisfies some conditions and $p \geq 3$. Furthermore, the authors of [12] posed the following question.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, China. Email: 52215500038@stu.ecnu.edu.cn.

†School of Mathematical Sciences and Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, P.R. China. Email: ltyuan@math.ecnu.edu.cn. Supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 11901554 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 18dz2271000).

Question 1.1. Give $p \geq 3$ and a tree T such that its two coloring classes A and B satisfying $|A| \leq |B|$, determine $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1})$ when $\delta_T(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) > |B|$.

We solve this question. First we introduce some notations. Given two disjoint graphs G and H , the *disjoint union* of G and H , denoted by $G \cup H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. We use kG to denote the disjoint union of k copies of G . The *join* of G and H , denoted by $G + H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by adding all edges of the form gh , where $g \in V(G)$ and $h \in V(H)$. Denoted by P_n a path on n vertices, S_n a star on n vertices, C_n a cycle on n vertices, M_n a matching on n vertices and K_{n_1, \dots, n_p} the complete p -partite graph with the size of i -partite class n_i . A p -partite Turán graph on n vertices, denoted by $T(n, p)$, is a K_{n_1, \dots, n_p} with $\sum_{i=1}^p n_i = n$ and $|n_i - n_j| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$. Let $H'(n, p, q) = \overline{K}_{q-1} + T(n - q + 1, p)$ and $h'(n, p, q) = e(H'(n, p, q))$. Let $e(T(n, p)) = t(n, p)$.

Definition 1.2 (Simonovits [10]). Given a family of graphs \mathcal{L} with $p(\mathcal{L}) = p \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L})$ be a family of minimal graphs M up to subgraph senses such that there exist a large constant $t = t(\mathcal{L})$ depending on \mathcal{L} such that there exists a graph $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that L is a subgraph of $M \cup I_v + T$, where $T = T(t, p - 2)$ and I_v is an independent set on v vertices. We call $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L})$ the *decomposition family* of \mathcal{L} .

A *covering* of a graph is a set of vertices U such that every edge of this graph meets at least one vertices of U . An *independent covering* of a bipartite graph is a covering U such that no two vertices of U are adjacent. The *covering number* $\beta(T)$ of a graph T is the minimum order of a covering of T . The *independent covering number* $q(T)$ of a bipartite graph T is the minimum order of an independent covering of T . The *independent number* $\alpha(T)$ of a graph T is the maximum order of a set of vertices such that no two of which are adjacent. For a family of graphs \mathcal{F} which contains at least one bipartite graph, the *independent covering number* of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$q(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{q(F) ; F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } F \text{ is bipartite.}\}$$

Theorem 1.3 (Liu [6]). *Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer and T be a tree. Let coloring classes of T be A and B , where $|A| \leq |B|$. When n is sufficiently large, we have that*

- if $\delta_T(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) = |B|$, then $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = h(n, p, |A|)$;
- if $\delta_T(A) \geq 2$, then $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = h(n, p, |A|) + 1$.

Furthermore, extremal graphs are characterized.

Wang, Hou, Liu and Ma [12] extended Liu's result to a larger family of trees very recently. Before stating their results, we need follow definitions.

Define

$$g_1(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k & k \text{ is even;} \\ k^2 - \frac{3k-1}{2} & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \text{ and } g_2(k) = \begin{cases} k^2 - \frac{3}{2}k & k \text{ is even;} \\ k^2 - k & k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.4 (Wang, Hou, Liu and Ma [12]). *Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer and T be a tree. Let coloring classes of T be A and B , where $|A| \leq |B|$. Let $A_0 = \{x \in A ; \deg_T(x) = \delta_T(A)\}$ and $B_0 = \{y \in B ; |N(y) \cap A_0| \geq 2\}$. Denote by $q = |A|$, $k = \delta_T(A)$ and $b + 2 = \delta(B_0)$. If $k \geq 2$, then for sufficiently large n , we have $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) =$*

$$\begin{cases} h(n, p, q) + g_1(k) & k \text{ is even;} \\ h(n, p, q) + g_2(k) & k \text{ is odd and } B_0 = \emptyset; \\ h(n, p, q) + g_1(k) & k \text{ is odd and } 0 \leq b \leq q - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{q-1} \right\rfloor; \\ h'(n, p, q) + g_2(k) + \lfloor (q-1)(b-1)/2 \rfloor & k \text{ is odd and } b \geq \max \left\{ 1, q - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{q-1} \right\rfloor \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, all extremal graphs are characterized.

Now we set $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(T^{p+1})$ and $q = q(\mathcal{M})$. If there exists a graph $T' \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\beta(T') \leq q - 1$, then we let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(T)$ be the family of graph $T'[A_{T'}]$, where $T' \in \mathcal{M}$ and $A_{T'}$ is a covering set with size at most $q - 1$ of T' . If $\beta(T') \geq q$ for every $T' \in \mathcal{M}$, then we set $\mathcal{B} = \{K_q\}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer and T be a tree. Let coloring classes of T be A and B , where $|A| \leq |B|$. If $\delta_T(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) > |B|$, then for sufficiently large n , we have*

$$\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = h'(n, p, q) + \text{ex}(q - 1, \mathcal{B}) \quad (1)$$

where q and \mathcal{B} are defined as above. Furthermore, all extremal graphs are characterized.

Remark. Combining with the results in [6] and [8], the extremal number for T^{p+1} is determined, where T is an arbitrary tree and $p \geq 3$.

A double broom $B(\ell, s, t)$ is a tree obtained from a path P_ℓ by attaching s pendant edges to one end vertex of P_ℓ and t pendant edges to the other end vertex of P_ℓ , where $\ell, s, t \geq 2$. The double broom $B(7, 5, 3)$ is as in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Double broom $B(7, 5, 3)$

Corollary 1.6. *Let $p \geq 3$ be an integer and $T = B(2k, s, t)$ be a double broom satisfying $k, s, t \geq 2$. Then for sufficiently large n , we have*

$$\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) = h(n, p, k + 1)$$

Furthermore, $H(n, p, k + 1)$ is the unique extremal graph.

Proof. It can be easily checked that $q(\mathcal{M}(T^{p+1})) = k + 1$ and $\beta(T') \geq k + 1$ holds for every $T' \in \mathcal{M}(T^{p+1})$. Furthermore, we have $\alpha(T) = k - 1 + s + t > k + \min\{s, t\} = |B|$ and $\delta_T(A) = 1$. Therefore, the result holds by applying Theorem 1.5 with $q = k + 1$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{K_q\}$. \square

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Technical lemmas

Given a graph T , a *vertex split* on some vertex $v \in V(T)$ is defined by replacing v by an independent set of size $\deg_T(v)$ in which each vertex is adjacent to exactly one distinct vertex in $N_T(v)$. The family of graphs that can be obtained by applying vertex split on some $U \subseteq V(T)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(T)$. The following lemma can help us to determine the graphs in $\mathcal{M}(T^{p+1})$.

Lemma 2.1 (Liu [6]). *Given $p \geq 3$ and any graph with $\chi(H) \leq p-1$, we have $\mathcal{M}(H^{p+1}) = \mathcal{H}(H)$. In particular, a matching of size $e(H)$ is in $\mathcal{M}(H^{p+1})$.*

Theorem 2.2 (Erdős and Stone [3]). *For all integers $p \geq 1$, $N \geq 1$, and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer $n_0(\varepsilon, N, p+1)$ such that every graph with $n \geq n_0$ vertices and at least $t(n, p) + \varepsilon n^2$ edges contains $T(N, p+1)$ as a subgraph.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Given a tree T with coloring classes A and B satisfying $|A| \leq |B|$, $\delta_T(A) = 1$ and $\alpha(T) > |B|$. Now we set \mathcal{M} be the decomposition family of T^{p+1} . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}(T)$. Furthermore, \mathcal{M} contains a matching of size t , where $t = e(T)$.

Let \mathfrak{U}_n be the family of graphs obtained from $H'(n, p, q)$ by embedding a copy of $Q \in \text{EX}(q-1, \mathcal{B})$ in \overline{K}_{q-1} in $H'(n, p, q)$. The definition of \mathcal{B} implies every $H_n \in \mathfrak{U}_n$ is T^{p+1} -free, and hence we have

$$\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) \geq h'(n, p, q) + \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B}) \quad (2)$$

Let $\phi(n) := \text{ex}(n, T^{p+1}) - h'(n, p, q) - \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B})$ and $K = \max\{\phi(n) : n \leq n_0\}$, where n_0 is a large constant depending on p and T . Clearly, $\phi(n)$ is a non-negative integer. For the upper bound, we will show that if $n > n_0$ and $\phi(n) > 0$, then there exists an n_4 depending on p and T such that $\phi(n) < \phi(n - n_4 p)$. This would imply that if $n = n_0 + mn_4 p$, then $\phi(n) < K - m$, and hence the theorem holds for $n \geq n_0 + Kn_4 p$.

Let n_1 be a sufficiently large constant. Let $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon, n_1 p, p)$ be the constant from Theorem 2.2, where $\varepsilon = 1/(2p(p-1))$. Let L_n be a T^{p+1} -free graph with $\text{ex}(n, T^{p+1})$ edges, where $n \geq n_0$. Equation 2 and Theorem 2.2 imply that L_n contains a $T = T(n_1 p, p)$ with partite class $\tilde{B}_1^0, \dots, \tilde{B}_p^0$ as a subgraph. Note that $M_{2t} \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from the definition of decomposition family and the fact that L_n is T^{p+1} -free that $\nu(L_n[\tilde{B}_i^0]) \leq t$ for $i \in [p]$. Let the maximum matching in $L_n[\tilde{B}_i^0]$ be $\{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_{t_i} y_{t_i}\}$ with $t_i \leq t$. Let $B_i^0 = \tilde{B}_i^0 \setminus \{x_1 y_1, \dots, x_{t_i} y_{t_i}\}$. By the definition of B_i^0 , there is no edge in $L_n[B_i^0]$. Hence there is an induced subgraph $T_0 = T(n_2 p, p)$ of L_n with partite class B_1^0, \dots, B_p^0 obtained by deleting $2t$ vertices from each \tilde{B}_i , where $n_2 = n_1 - 2t$.

Let $c < 1/(1+t)$ be a sufficiently small constant. If there exists a vertex $x_1 \in L_n - T_0$ such that x_1 is adjacent to at least $c^2 n_2$ vertices of each partite class of T_0 , then T_0 contains a $T_1 = T(c^2 n_2 p, p)$ such that each vertex of which is joint to x_1 . Generally, if there exists a vertex $x_i \in L_n - T_{i-1} - \{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}\}$ such that u is adjacent to at least $c^{2i} n_2$ vertices of each partite class of T_{i-1} , then T_{i-1} contains $T_i = T(c^{2i} n_2 p, p)$ such that each vertex of which is joint to x_1, \dots, x_i . Thus we can define a sequence of graphs recursively. However, it follows from the definition of L_n and q that the above process stops at last after T_{q-1} . Suppose to the contrary, let $V(T_q) = B_1^q \cup \dots \cup B_p^q$. Note that the graph induced by $B_1^q \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_q\}$ contains some element of \mathcal{M} by the definition of q . Then L_n contains a copy of T^{p+1} by the definition of decomposition family, a contradiction.

Now suppose that the above process ends with T_s with $s \leq q-1$. Let $E = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and the partite class of T_s be B_1^s, \dots, B_p^s . Denote $|B_1^s|$ by n_3 for convenience. We can partition the remaining vertices into following set: Let $x \in V(L_n) \setminus (T_s \cup E)$. If there exists an $i \in [p]$ such that x is adjacent to less than $c^2 n_3$ vertices of B_i^s and is adjacent to at least $(1-c)n_3$ vertices of B_j^s for all $j \neq i$, then let $x \in C_i$. If there exists an $i \in [p]$ such that x is adjacent to less than $c^2 n_3$ vertices of B_i^s and is adjacent to less than $(1-c)n_3$ vertices of B_j^s for some $j \neq i$, then let $x \in D$. It follows from the definition of T_s that $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_p \cup D$ is a partition of $V(L_n) \setminus (T_s \cup E)$. Note that for a $S \subset B_i^s \cup C_i$ with $|S| \leq 2t$, the common neighbourhoods of S in B_j^s is at least $(1-2tc)n_3 \geq n_3/2$, where $j \neq i$. It follows from the definition of decomposition family and Lemma 2.1 that $\nu(L_n[B_i^s \cup C_i]) \leq t$. Now consider the edges joining B_i^s and C_i and select a maximum matching, say $y_1 z_1, \dots, y_{t_i} z_{t_i}$ with $y_{i'} \in B_i^s, z_{i'} \in C_i$ and $1 \leq i' \leq t_i \leq t$. Let $X_i = \cup_{i'=1}^{t_i} (N_{L_n}(z_{i'}) \cap B_i^s)$. Then $|X_i| \leq t c^2 n_3$ by the definition of C_i . Let $C'_i = C_i \cup X_i$ and $B'_i = B_i^s \setminus X_i$, then $L_n[B'_i \cup C'_i]$ contains no edge by the maximality of $y_1 z_1, \dots, y_{t_i} z_{t_i}$. Hence it is possible to move $t c^2 n_3$ vertices from B_i^s to C_i to obtain B'_i and C'_i such that $B'_i \subset B_i^s$ and $C_i \subset C'_i$. Let $n_4 = (1-tc^2)n_3 = |B'_i|$, $T'_s = T(n_4 p, p)$ and $\widehat{L} = L_n - T'_s$. Then T'_s is an induced subgraph of L_n and the vertices of \widehat{L} can be partitioned into $p+2$ sets C'_1, \dots, C'_p, D and E such that

- every $x \in E$ is adjacent to each vertex of T'_s and $|E| = s$,
- every $x \in C'_i$ is adjacent to no vertex of B'_i and is adjacent to at least $(1-c-tc^2)n_3$ vertices of B'_j for all $j \neq i$.
- every $x \in D$ is adjacent to at most $c^2 n_3$ vertices of B'_i and is adjacent to at most $(1-c)n_3$ vertices of B'_j for some $i, j \in [p]$ with $i \neq j$.

Let the number of edges joining T'_s and \widehat{L} in graph L_n denoted by e_L . Then we have

$$e(L_n) = e(\widehat{L}) + e_L + e(T'_s)$$

Let $H_n \in \mathfrak{U}_n$ and T''_s be an induced copy of $T(n_4 p, p)$ in H_n . Let $H_{n-n_4 p} = H_n - T''_s$ and e_H be the number of edges joining T''_s and $H_{n-n_4 p}$ in graph H_n . Then

$$e(H_n) = e(H_{n-n_4 p}) + e_H + e(T''_s)$$

Since \widehat{L} contains no copy of T^{p+1} , we have $e(\widehat{L}) \leq e(L_{n-n_4p})$, where $L_{n-n_4p} \in \text{EX}(n - n_4p, T^{p+1})$. Obviously we have $e(T'_s) = e(T''_s)$. Simple calculation show that

$$\begin{aligned} e_H &= (q-1)n_4p + (n - n_4p - q + 1)n_4(p-1) \\ &= (q-1)n_4 + (n - n_4p)n_4(p-1) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It follows from the definition of C'_i , D and E that

$$\begin{aligned} e_L &\leq sn_4p + (n - n_4p - s - |D|)n_4(p-1) + |D|((p-2)n_4 + (1-c+c^2)n_3) \\ &= sn_4 + (n - n_4p)n_4(p-1) - |D|(n_4 - (1-c+c^2)n_3) \\ &\leq (q-1)n_4 + (n - n_4p)n_4(p-1) - |D|n_3(c - (t+1)c^2) \\ &= e_H - |D|n_3(c - (t+1)c^2) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= e(L_n) - e(H_n) \\ &\leq e(L_{n-n_4p}) - e(H_{n-n_4p}) + e_L - e_H \\ &= \phi(n - n_4p) + e_L - e_H \end{aligned}$$

If $e_L - e_H < 0$, then we have $\phi(n) < \phi(n - n_4p)$, where $n_4 \leq n_2$. Hence we suppose that $e_L - e_H \geq 0$. Combined with Equation 3 and 4, we conclude that $e_L = e_H$. (Note that $c < 1/(1+t)$ is sufficiently small.) Note that $e_L = e_H$ holds if and only if $|D| = 0$, $s = q-1$ and C'_i is complete to B'_j for $i \in [p]$ and $j \neq i$.

If $e(L_n[E])$ contains some copy of $B' \in \mathcal{B}$, then L_n contains a copy of T^{p+1} by the definition of \mathcal{B} . Hence we conclude that $L_n[E]$ is \mathcal{B} -free and $e(L_n[E]) \leq \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B})$. The rest of the proof will be divided into two cases.

Case 1. $q = q(T)$.

In this case, note that T is a tree. Clearly, T admits a unique proper 2-coloring and hence $q(T) = |A|$ holds. Note that $\delta_T(A) = \min\{\deg_T(x) ; x \in A\} = 1$ by assumptions. Hence there exists a vertex $u \in A$ such that $N_T(u) = \{v\}$. Since $|A| = q(T) = q$, we can find a copy of $(T - \{u\})^{p+1}$ using vertices in $E \cup B'_1 \cup \dots \cup B'_p$ in L_n . Let ϕ be an embedding from $(T - \{u\})^{p+1}$ to L_n such that $\text{Im } \psi \subseteq E \cup B'_1 \cup \dots \cup B'_p$ and $\psi(A \setminus \{u\}) = E$.

Now we will show that $B'_i \cup C'_i$ is an independent set of L_n for each i . It suffices to show C'_i is an independent set for each i since there is no edge incident with B'_i . We assume that $\psi(v) \in B_\ell$, where $\ell \neq i$.¹ In fact, if there is an edge $u'u''$ in $L_n[C'_i]$, then we can choose u_j in B'_j such that $u_j \notin \text{Im } \psi$ for $j \in [p] \setminus \{i, \ell\}$. It can be seen immediately from the definition of B'_i , C'_i and E that u' , u'' and $\psi(v)$ together with all u_j forms a copy of K_{p+1} . Furthermore, it can be verified that the mapping constructed above is an embedding from T^{p+1} to L_n . This completes the proof for this case.

Case 2. $q < \sigma(T)$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q(F) = q$. Let A_F and B_F be coloring classes of F such that $q(F) = |A_F|$. Now we show that $\min\{\deg_F(x) ; x \in A_F\} = 1$. It follows from the definition of decomposition family that $\min\{\deg_F(x) ; x \in A_F\} \geq 1$.

¹This is possible since E is joint to every vertex in $B'_1 \cup \dots \cup B'_p$.

If A_F contains a vertex u which is obtained by splitting a vertex in T , then the result follows since $\deg_F(u) = 1$. Now we assume that every $u \in A_F$ is not a vertex obtained by splitting a vertex in T . Then we have $u \in V(T)$ for every $u \in A_F$. By lemma 2.1, we may assume F is obtained by splitting $X \subseteq V(T)$. It is easy to see that $X \cap A_F = \emptyset$. Otherwise, we can find a vertex obtained by splitting a vertex in T , a contradiction. Let the vertices obtained by splitting X in T be Y and $Z = B_F \setminus X$. It is clear that $V(T)$ is the disjoint union of A_F , X and Z . Furthermore, $V(F)$ is the disjoint union of A_F , Y and Z . Note that we have $E_T(A_F, Z) = E_F(A_F, Z)$ by the definition of Z . It follows from the definition that $Y \cup Z$ is an independent set of F . Note that $\delta(F) \geq 1$ since F is obtained by splitting $X \subseteq V(T)$. Hence every $y \in Y$ is adjacent to some $v \in A_F$ in graph F . Therefore, A_F is a independent covering of T . Then we have $q(T) \leq |A_F| = q < q(T)$, a contradiction.

Hence we have $\min\{\deg_F(x) ; x \in A_F\} = 1$. Then similar arguments in Case 1 show that $B'_i \cup C'_i$ is an independent set of L_n .

Note that $B'_i \cup C'_i$ is an independent set of L_n for each i in both cases, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(L_n) &\leq L_n[E] + e_{L_n}(E, V(L_n) \setminus E) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} e_{L_n}(B'_i \cup C'_i, B'_j \cup C'_j) \\
&\leq \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B}) + (q-1)(n-q+1) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |B'_i \cup C'_i| |B'_j \cup C'_j| \\
&\leq \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B}) + (q-1)(n-q+1) + t(n-q+1, p) \\
&= \text{ex}(q-1, \mathcal{B}) + h'(n, p, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

which contradicts the fact that $\phi(n) > 0$. The theorem follows.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 244. Springer, New York, 2008. xii+651 pp.
- [2] G. Chen, R. J. Gould, F. Pfender and B. Wei, Extremal graphs for intersecting cliques, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B.* **89** (2003) 159–171.
- [3] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 1087-1091.
- [4] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits, A limit theorem in graph theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **1** (1966), 51-57.
- [5] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R. J. Gould and D. S. Gunderson, Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B.* **64** (1995), 89-100.
- [6] H. Liu, Extremal graphs for blow-ups of cycles and trees, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics.* **20(1)** (2013), 65.

- [7] W. Mantel, Problem 28, *Wiskundige Opgaven*. **10** (1907), 60-61.
- [8] Z. Ni, L.Kang, E. Shan and H. Zhu, Extremal graphs for blow-ups of kxeyrings, *Graphs and Combinatorics*. **36** (2020), 1827-1853.
- [9] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany)* (1968), 279-319.
- [10] M. Simonovits, Extremal graph problems with symmetrical extremal graphs: additional chromatic conditions, *Discrete Mathematics*. **7** (1974), 349-376.
- [11] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*. **48** (1941), 436-452.
- [12] A. Wang, X. Hou, B. Liu and Y. Ma, The Turán number for the edge blow-up of trees, *Discrete Mathematics*. **344** (2021), 112627.
- [13] L. Yuan, Extremal graphs for edge blow-up of graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B*. **152** (2022), 379-398.
- [14] L. Yuan, Extremal graphs for odd wheels, *Journal of Graph Theory*. **98** (2021) 691-707.