

**CONSTRAINTS ON INTERSECTION FORMS OF SPIN
4-MANIFOLDS BOUNDED BY SEIFERT RATIONAL
HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES IN TERMS OF $\bar{\mu}$ AND κ
INVARIANTS.**

MASAAKI UE

ABSTRACT. We give some constraints on intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds bounded by Seifert rational homology 3-spheres in terms of $\bar{\mu}$ -invariant, and compare them with those in terms of κ -invariant given by Manolescu. Furthermore in case of a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere, we show that the difference between κ and $-\bar{\mu}$ is at most 2 (and there is an example where the difference is 2), and they coincide with each other under some extra conditions, including Floer K_G split cases.

Let Y be a closed 3-manifold with spin structure \mathfrak{s} . Then (Y, \mathfrak{s}) bounds a compact smooth spin 4-manifold (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) , where s_W is a spin structure of W which coincides with \mathfrak{s} on Y . In the case where Y is a Seifert (or more generally a plumbed) rational homology 3-sphere, there is a lift $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of the Rokhlin invariant $\mu(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, which is called the $\bar{\mu}$ -invariant defined by Neumann ([15]) and Siebenmann ([22]).

In this paper we give the following constraints on the intersection forms of compact spin 4-manifolds bounded by Seifert rational homology 3-spheres in terms of the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant. In this paper we only consider Seifert fibrations over orientable base 2-orbifolds.

Theorem 1. *Let (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) be a compact spin 4-manifold bounded by a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) . Then $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \sigma(W)/8 \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ and*

$$-b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$$

where $b_2^+(W)$ (resp. $b_2^-(W)$) is the dimension of the maximal positive definite (resp. negative definite) subspace of the intersection form of W , and $\sigma(W)$ is the signature of W .

There are similar constraints on the intersection form of W in terms of the κ -invariant $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of (Y, \mathfrak{s}) proved by Manolescu (which are valid for general rational homology 3-spheres). In a certain case $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ coincides with $-\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, but they are not necessarily the same even in the case of Brieskorn homology 3-spheres ([14]). However by comparing the constraints in terms of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ with the estimates given by $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$, we obtain the following result. If Y is an integral homology 3-sphere, we denote these invariants simply by $\kappa(Y)$ and $\bar{\mu}(Y)$ since Y has a unique spin structure.

Theorem 2. *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere over a base 2-orbifold of genus 0 with spin structure.*

(1) *We have the following inequality.*

$$0 \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq 2.$$

(2) *If (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is Floer K_G split, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = 0$.*

(3) *We have $0 \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq 4$ (although $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is not necessarily 0).*

(4) *If one of the multiplicities of the singular fibers for the Seifert fibration of Y is even and $\deg Y > 0$, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$.*

(5) *If Y is a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere (with the unique spin structure), $\kappa(Y) + \bar{\mu}(Y) = 0$ or 2. The same claim holds for $-Y$, and hence $\kappa(Y) + \kappa(-Y) = 0, 2$, or 4.*

Theorem 2 (4) can be extended to the case when all multiplicities are odd under certain extra conditions on (Y, \mathfrak{s}) (Remark 5).

1. THE FUKUMOTO-FURUTA INVARIANT AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the estimates of the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant ([4]) and its relation to the $\bar{\mu}$ -invariant of a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere, which we recall in this section.

Definition 1. Let (X, \mathfrak{s}_X) be a compact spin 4-orbifold with spin structure \mathfrak{s}_X bounded by a rational homology 3-sphere (Y, \mathfrak{s}) with spin structure. Choose a compact spin 4-manifold $(X', \mathfrak{s}_{X'})$ with $\partial(X', \mathfrak{s}_{X'}) =$

(Y, \mathfrak{s}) and put $(Z, \mathfrak{s}_Z) = (X \cup (-X'), \mathfrak{s}_X \cup \mathfrak{s}_{X'})$. Then the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant $w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ is defined to be

$$w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X) = -\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z) + \frac{\sigma(X')}{8}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z)$ is the Dirac operator of (Z, \mathfrak{s}_Z) . The value of $w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ does not depend on the choice of X' .

We note that our sign convention of $w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ is opposite to the original definition by Fukumoto-Furuta [4] and [5]. Let $\text{Sing}X$ be the set of all singularities of X . Hereafter we only consider 4-orbifolds with isolated singularities. In such cases the link of every $x \in \text{Sing}X$ is a spherical 3-manifold with spin structure induced from \mathfrak{s}_X . Then the regular neighborhood of $x_i \in \text{Sing}X$ in X is a cone cS_i over a spherical 3-manifold S_i , and $X_0 = X \setminus \cup_{x_i \in \text{Sing}X} \text{Int } cS_i$ is a 4-manifold with spin structure \mathfrak{s}_{X_0} induced from \mathfrak{s}_X satisfying $\partial X_0 = Y \cup \cup_i (-S_i)$.

Proposition 1. [5] *The orbifold index theorem shows that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind } \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z) &= -\frac{1}{24} \int_Z p_1(Z) + \sum_i \delta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}), \\ \sigma(Z) &= \frac{1}{3} \int_Z p_1(Z) + \sum_i \delta^{\text{sign}}(S_i) \end{aligned}$$

where $p_1(Z)$ is the first Pontrjagin class of Z , \mathfrak{s}_{S_i} is the spin structure of S_i induced from \mathfrak{s}_X , $\delta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i})$ and $\delta^{\text{sign}}(S_i)$ are the contributions of $x_i \in \text{Sing}X$ to the corresponding indices. Using these formulae $w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ is represented as follows.

$$w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X) = \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(Z) + \sum_{x_i \in \text{Sing}X} \delta_{x_i}) + \frac{\sigma(X')}{8} = \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(X) + \sum_{x_i \in \text{Sing}X} \delta_{x_i}).$$

Here we put $\delta_{x_i} = -(8\delta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}) + \delta^{\text{sign}}(S_i))$.

For later use, we reformulate the contributions of $\text{Sing}X$ to the above indices in terms of the η invariants ([1]).

Proposition 2. [26] *Let g_i be a standard metric of S_i (which is induced from the metric of the constant curvature on the 3-sphere of radius 1 as the universal covering of S_i). Then we have*

$$\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}, g_i) = 2\delta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}), \quad \eta^{\text{sign}}(S_i, g_i) = \delta^{\text{sign}}(S_i).$$

Here $\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}, g_i)$ and $\eta^{\text{sign}}(S_i, g_i)$ are the η invariants of the Dirac operator of $(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}, g_i)$ and the signature operator of (S_i, g_i) respectively. We note that the kernel of the Dirac operator of $(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_{S_i}, g_i)$ is 0 since g_i is a metric of positive scalar curvature.

The value of $w(Y, X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ depends on both Y and X in general, but if Y is a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere, it is related to the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant of Y by some particular choice of X .

Definition 2. Let $P(\Gamma)$ be a plumbed 4-manifold associated with a weighted graph Γ . We assume that a plumbed 3-manifold $Y = \partial P(\Gamma)$ is a rational homology 3-sphere. Thus Γ is a tree such that each vertex v with weight $e(v)$ of Γ corresponds to a D^2 -bundle over S^2 with Euler class $e(v)$. Let $\{e_v\}$ be a basis of $H_2(P(\Gamma), \mathbf{Z})$ each of which is represented by a zero section of the D^2 -bundle over S^2 corresponding to v . If we fix a spin structure \mathfrak{s}_Y of $Y = \partial P(\Gamma)$, there exists a unique characteristic element $w_\Gamma = \sum_v \epsilon_v e_v$ satisfying the following conditions.

- ϵ_v is either 0 or 1.
- The Poincaré dual $PDw_\Gamma \pmod{2} \in H^2(P(\Gamma), \partial P(\Gamma), \mathbf{Z}_2)$ of w_Γ is a lift of $w_2(P(\Gamma))$, which is an obstruction to extending \mathfrak{s}_Y to a spin structure of $P(\Gamma)$.

We denote by $\text{supp}(\Gamma)$ the set $\{v \in \Gamma \mid \epsilon_v = 1\}$. Then the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant of (Y, \mathfrak{s}_Y) is defined to be

$$\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}_Y) = \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(P(\Gamma)) - w_\Gamma \cdot w_\Gamma).$$

The value of $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}_Y)$ does not depend on Γ , although Γ satisfying $Y = \partial P(\Gamma)$ is not unique.

Remark 1. The $\bar{\mu}$ invariant has the following properties.

- (1) $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}_Y) \equiv \mu(Y, \mathfrak{s}_Y) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$. If Y is a plumbed integral homology 3-sphere with the unique spin structure, $\bar{\mu}(Y)$ is an integral lift of $\mu(Y) \in \mathbf{Z}_2$.
- (2) If Y is a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere (with base 2-orbifold of genus 0), then Y is a plumbed 3-manifold corresponding to a star-shaped graph.

- (3) In some cases the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant is related to other spin cobordism invariants. For example, the relations between $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ and the correction term $d(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of the Heegaard Floer homology ([18]) are discussed in [26], [21]. If Y is a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere, $-\bar{\mu}(Y)$ coincides with the β -invariant $\beta(Y)$ defined by Manolescu [13] (Stoffregen [23]), and the same equality holds if Y is a plumbed rational homology 3-sphere corresponding to a graph with at most one bad vertex (Dai [2]). In case of a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere, the relations between $\bar{\mu}(Y)$ and the invariants $\alpha(Y)$, $\gamma(Y)$ and $d(Y)$ are also determined in [23]. More generally, $\underline{d}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -2\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ for every almost rational plumbed rational homology 3-sphere Y (Dai-Manolescu [3]), where $\underline{d}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is the correction term of the involutive Heegaard Floer homology ([8]). In all above cases, the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant is a spin rational homology cobordism invariant.

The relation between the $\bar{\mu}$ invariant and the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant for a Seifert fibration is discussed in [4], [5], [19], [24], [25].

If a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere Y has a fibration over a 2-orbifold $S^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of genus 0 with singular points of multiplicity a_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), then Y is represented by Seifert invariants of the form $\{b, (a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$ satisfying $0 < b_i < a_i$, $\gcd(a_i, b_i) = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), and the degree of Y is defined to be $\deg Y = b + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i/a_i$ (we follow the definition of [10], whose sign convention is opposite to that in [25], where we follow the definition of [16]). In case of a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere, we have the following result.

Proposition 3. [25]¹ *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure. Then there exist compact spin 4-orbifolds $(X^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm})$ with $\partial(X^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm}) = (Y, \mathfrak{s})$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) $b_1(X^\pm) = 0$, $b_2^+(X^+) \leq 1$, and $b_2^-(X^-) \leq 1$.
- (2) $w(Y, X^+, \mathfrak{s}_{X^+}) = w(Y, X^-, \mathfrak{s}_{X^-}) = \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$.

If Y is spherical, then we can choose X^\pm so that both of them are the cone over Y . Suppose that one of the multiplicities of the singular fibers

¹The definitions of w and $\bar{\mu}$ invariants in [25] and [26] are both 8 times those in this paper.

for the Seifert fibration of Y is even. Then we can choose X^\pm so that $X^+ = X^-$, satisfying $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 1$ and $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 0$ if $\deg Y > 0$, and $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 0$ and $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 1$ if $\deg Y < 0$.

To construct X^\pm , we choose appropriate weighted graphs Γ^\pm satisfying $\partial P(\Gamma^\pm) = Y$ and subgraphs Γ_0^\pm of Γ^\pm such that Γ_0^\pm contain $\text{supp}(\Gamma^\pm)$ and each of $S^\pm := \partial P(\Gamma_0^\pm)$ is a union of spherical 3-manifolds (in fact lens spaces). Then embed $P(\Gamma_0^\pm)$ in $\text{Int } P(\Gamma^\pm)$ and replace their images with the cones over S^\pm to obtain X^\pm . Such X^\pm are constructed by Saveliev [19] for Seifert integral homology 3-spheres, and the result is extended to the case of Seifert rational homology 3-spheres in [25].

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on Proposition 3 and the following orbifold 10/8-theorem.

Theorem 3. [4] *Let (Z, \mathfrak{s}_Z) be a closed spin 4-orbifold with spin structure \mathfrak{s}_Z . Then*

- (1) $\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$,
- (2) $\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z) = 0$ or otherwise

$$1 - b_2^-(Z) \leq \text{ind } \mathcal{D}_Z(\mathfrak{s}_Z) \leq b_2^+(Z) - 1.$$

For a compact spin manifold (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) with $\partial(W, \mathfrak{s}_W) = (Y, \mathfrak{s})$, let $(Z^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{Z^\pm}) = (X^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm}) \cup (-W, \mathfrak{s}_W)$ be closed spin 4-orbifolds where X^\pm are the 4-orbifolds chosen in Proposition 3. Then applying Theorem 3 to $(Z^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{Z^\pm})$ we have $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) &= w(Y, X^-, \mathfrak{s}_{X^-}) = -\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{Z^-}(\mathfrak{s}_{Z^-}) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq b_2^-(Z^-) - 1 + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \\ &= b_2^-(X^-) + b_2^+(W) - 1 + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) &= w(Y, X^+, \mathfrak{s}_{X^+}) = -\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{Z^+}(\mathfrak{s}_{Z^+}) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \geq 1 - b_2^+(Z^+) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \\ &= 1 - b_2^-(W) - b_2^+(X^+) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \geq -b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequalities hold even if $\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_{Z^\pm}(\mathfrak{s}_{Z^\pm}) = 0$. The second inequality is also deduced from the first one with respect to X^\pm chosen for $-Y$ and $-W$, since $\bar{\mu}(-Y) = -\bar{\mu}(Y)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. We will use Proposition 3 to prove Theorem 2 in §3.

Remark 2. In some cases we obtain slightly better estimates than those in Theorem 1 by the above inequalities. If Y is a spherical 3-manifold S , we can choose X^\pm so that $X^+ = X^- = cS$ and hence applying Theorem 3 to $Z = cS \cup (-W)$ we have either

$$1 - b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq \bar{\mu}(S, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(W) - 1 + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$$

and $\bar{\mu}(S, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$, or $\bar{\mu}(S, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$.

If Y has a fibration over $S^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and one of a_i is even, then we can choose X^\pm so that $X^+ = X^-$ as in Proposition 3. If $\deg Y > 0$, X^\pm satisfies $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 1$, $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 0$, and hence we have either

$$-b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(W) - 1 + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$$

or $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$. If $\deg Y < 0$, then since X^\pm satisfies $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 0$, $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 1$, we have either

$$1 - b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \leq \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$$

or $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$. In either case we have $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$. If Y is a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere, then Y is uniquely determined by a_i 's up to orientation, and is denoted by $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ if $\deg Y < 0$. Then $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ bounds an associated orbifold disk bundle $D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ over $S^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. If one of a_i is even, then $D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is spin and $b_2^-(D(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = 1$, $b_2^+(D(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = 0$ ([4]). Hence putting $X^\pm = D^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we also obtain the same inequality. But in other cases $D(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is not necessarily spin, so we cannot use it.

2. THE κ INVARIANT FOR A RATIONAL HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERE.

For a rational homology 3-sphere, K -theoretic invariants are defined via the theory of the $\text{Pin}(2)$ Seiberg-Witten-Floer stable homotopy type ([11], [12]) in [6], [14]. In this section we recall the definition of Manolescu's κ invariant for a rational homology 3-sphere

with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) and its properties [14] ² Hereafter we put $G = \text{Pin}(2) = S^1 \cup jS^1$.

Definition 3. [14]

- (1) Let $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}$) be a real (resp. complex) 1-dimensional G -representation space where G acts as multiplication by ± 1 via the natural projection $G \rightarrow G/S^1 \cong \{\pm 1\}$, and let \mathbf{H} be the set of quaternions on which G acts as left multiplication. Then the representation ring $R(G)$ is generated by $z = 2 - \mathbf{H}$ and $w = 1 - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}$ with relations $w^2 = wz = 2w$.
- (2) A compact G -space X is called a space of type SWF at level s if the fixed point set X^{S^1} of the action of $S^1 \subset G$ on X is G homotopy equivalent to $(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^s)^+$ and G acts freely on $X \setminus X^{S^1}$.

Definition 4. [14] Let X be a space of type SWF at level $2t$ (in which case X^{S^1} is G homotopy equivalent to $(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^t)^+$). Then the inclusion $\iota : X^{S^1} \rightarrow X$ induces the map $\iota^* : \tilde{K}_G(X) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_G(X^{S^1})$ whose image is represented as $\mathcal{J}(X) \cdot \beta_{(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^t)^+}$, where $\mathcal{J}(X)$ is an ideal of $R(G) \cong \tilde{K}_G(S^0)$ and $\beta_{(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^t)^+}$ is the Bott class of $\tilde{K}_G((\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^t)^+)$.

- (1) There exists $k \geq 0$ such that both w^k and z^k belong to $\mathcal{J}(X)$, so we can define

$$k(X) = \min\{k \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists } x \in \mathcal{J}(X) \text{ such that } wx = z^k w\}$$

- (2) X is called K_G split if $\mathcal{J}(X) = (z^k)$ for some $k \geq 0$.

Lemma 1. [14]

- (1) Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is a G equivariant map between two spaces of type SWF at level $2t$, and assume that $f^{S^1} : X^{S^1} \rightarrow X'^{S^1}$ is a G homotopy equivalence, where f^{S^1} is the restriction of f to X^{S^1} . Then $k(X) \leq k(X')$.
- (2) Let X and X' be spaces of type SWF at level $2t$ and $2t'$ respectively and $t < t'$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a G equivariant map such that $f^G : X^G \rightarrow X'^G$ is a homotopy equivalence, where f^G is

²Theorems 4, 5, and Corollary 1 below are described for integral homology 3-spheres in [14]. But as is pointed out in [14], these results are easily generalized to the case of rational homology 3-spheres. We give the outline of their proofs below.

the restriction of f to the fixed point set of the G action on X .
Then $k(X) + t \leq k(X') + t'$. Furthermore, if X is K_G split,
then $k(X) + t + 1 \leq k(X') + t'$.

A space of type SWF associated with a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is constructed as follows. We fix a Riemann metric g of Y . Let $V = i \ker d^* \oplus \Gamma(S)$ where $i \ker d^* \subset i\Omega^1(Y)$ and $\Gamma(S)$ is the space of sections of the Spinor bundle S over Y associated with \mathfrak{s} . Then the action of G on V is defined as

$$e^{i\theta}(a, \phi) = (a, e^{i\theta}\phi), \quad j(a, \phi) = (-a, j\phi),$$

and the gradient of the Chern-Simons-Dirac functional ∇CSD over V is represented as a sum of a G equivariant linear operator ℓ and a compact operator c . Let V_τ^μ be the finite dimensional subspace of V spanned by eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues of ℓ belonging to $(\tau, \mu]$. Then V_τ^μ is a direct sum of $V_\tau^\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^s$ and $V_\tau^\mu(\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{H}^t$ for some s, t . Manolescu defined a finite dimensional approximation of ∇CSD over $V_{-\nu}^\nu$ and corresponding flows (approximated Seiberg-Witten flows) for a large $\nu > 0$ and showed that a set S_ν of critical points and flows between them in a large ball centered at the origin in $V_{-\nu}^\nu$ forms an isolated invariant set. Thus a G equivariant Conley index I_ν of S_ν is defined up to G homotopy equivalence ([11], [13], [14]).

Proposition 4. [13], [14]

- (1) *There exists a unique critical point Θ of the above flow corresponding to the unique isolated and nondegenerate reducible critical point of ∇CSD (which is perturbed if necessary). The Conley index $I(\Theta)$ of Θ is $(V_{-\nu}^0)^+$.*
- (2) *I_ν is a space of type SWF at level $\dim V_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}})$.*

Definition 5. [13] For (Y, \mathfrak{s}) choose a compact 4-manifold with spin structure (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) bounded by (Y, \mathfrak{s}) and a metric g_W of W extending the given metric g of Y . Then define $n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$ to be

$$n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) = \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \in \frac{1}{8}\mathbf{Z}$$

where $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$ is the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index of the Dirac operator of (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) associated with g_W . The value of $n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$ is

independent of the choice of (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) . If Y is an integral homology 3-sphere, $n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) \in \mathbf{Z}$ since $\sigma(W)$ is divisible by 8.

Definition 6. [11] [13] [14] A Seiberg-Witten Floer stable homotopy type $SWF(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ of (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is a formal desuspension of I_ν (up to stable equivalence) defined as follows: If $\dim V_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}})$ is even, then $V_{-\nu}^0 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{2k} \oplus \mathbf{H}^\ell$ for some k, ℓ and

$$SWF(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = \Sigma^{-(k\tilde{\mathbf{C}}+(\ell+\frac{1}{2}n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g))\mathbf{H})} I_\nu,$$

while if $\dim V_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}})$ is odd, then $V_{-\nu}^0 = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{2k-1} \oplus \mathbf{H}^\ell$ for some k, ℓ and

$$SWF(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = \Sigma^{-(k\tilde{\mathbf{C}}+(\ell+\frac{1}{2}n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g))\mathbf{H})} (\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}} I_\nu).$$

More precisely, $SWF(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is an object of the G equivariant graded suspension category \mathfrak{C} .

Definition 7. [14] The κ invariant of (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is defined to be

$$\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = 2k(SWF(Y, \mathfrak{s}))$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2k(I_\nu) - 2 \dim_{\mathbf{H}} V_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H}) - n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) & \text{if } I_\nu \text{ is at even level,} \\ 2k(\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}} I_\nu) - 2 \dim_{\mathbf{H}} V_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H}) - n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) & \text{if } I_\nu \text{ is at odd level.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5. [14]

- (1) $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$. If (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is an integral homology 3-sphere with the unique spin structure, then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is an integral lift of $\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \in \mathbf{Z}_2$.
- (2) $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is a spin rational homology cobordism invariant.

For a spin 4-manifold (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) bounded by (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , $\ker \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$ and $\text{coker } \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$ are vector spaces over \mathbf{H} , and $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) = 2 \text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$. It follows that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) \equiv \sigma(W)/8 \equiv \mu(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \pmod{2\mathbf{Z}}$. The second claim in Proposition 5 is proved by applying Theorem 4 below to a spin rational homology cobordism between rational homology 3-spheres.

Theorem 4. [14]

Let (Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i) ($i = 0, 1$) be rational homology 3-spheres with spin structures and (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) be a spin cobordism with $b_1(W) = 0$ from (Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) to

(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) . Then

$$-b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1 \leq \kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) - \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} + 1.$$

The first term of the above inequality can be replaced by $-b_2^-(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ if $b_2^-(W)$ is even, and the last term can be replaced by $b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ if $b_2^+(W)$ is even.

Definition 8. [14] (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is called Floer K_G split if I_ν (resp. $\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}}I_\nu$) is K_G split when I_ν is at even level (resp. at odd level), where I_ν is the Conley index that appears in the definition of $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$.

If one of (Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i) is Floer K_G split, the inequalities in Theorem 4 are slightly improved.

Theorem 5. [14] Let (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) be a spin cobordism as in Theorem 4.

(1) If (Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) is Floer K_G split, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) - \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) \\ & \leq \begin{cases} b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1 & \text{if } b_2^+(W) \text{ is odd,} \\ b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2 & \text{if } b_2^+(W) \text{ is even and } b_2^+(W) > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(2) If (Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) is Floer K_G split, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) - \kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) \\ & \leq \begin{cases} b_2^-(W) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1 & \text{if } b_2^-(W) \text{ is odd,} \\ b_2^-(W) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2 & \text{if } b_2^-(W) \text{ is even and } b_2^-(W) > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since S^3 with the unique spin structure is Floer K_G split and $\kappa(S^3) = 0$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. [14]

Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure and (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) be a compact spin 4-manifold with $b_1(W) = 0$ and $\partial(W, \mathfrak{s}_W) = (Y, \mathfrak{s})$.

(1)

$$-\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \begin{cases} b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1 & \text{if } b_2^+(W) \text{ is odd,} \\ b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2 & \text{if } b_2^+(W) \text{ is even and } b_2^+(W) > 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) Furthermore if (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is Floer K_G split, then we have

$$\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \begin{cases} b_2^-(W) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1 & \text{if } b_2^-(W) \text{ is odd,} \\ b_2^-(W) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2 & \text{if } b_2^-(W) \text{ is even and } b_2^-(W) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3. Theorem 5 does not hold for a cobordism W with $b_2^+(W) = 0$ or $b_2^-(W) = 0$. Likewise for a spin 4-manifold (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) bounded by (Y, \mathfrak{s}) with $b_2^+(W) = 0$ (resp. $b_2^-(W) = 0$), we only have the inequality $-\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ (resp. $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^-(W) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$) (as in the case where $W = S^3 \times [0, 1]$ or $W = D^4$).

The proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 are based on the finite dimensional approximation of the Seiberg-Witten map for a cobordism W with certain boundary conditions developed in [11]. Let $(V_i)_\mu^r$ be the finite dimensional vector spaces used in the definitions of the approximated Seiberg-Witten flows for (Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i) , and I_i be the Conley indices I_ν used in the definitions of $SWF(Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$ ($i = 0, 1$). Then we obtain a G equivariant map $f : Z_0 \rightarrow Z_1$ where Z_0 and Z_1 are defined (up to stable equivalence) as follows ([14]).

$$Z_0 = (\mathbf{H}^{N + \text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) - \dim(V_0)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H})})^+ \wedge (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{M - \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V_0)_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}})})^+ \wedge I_0$$

$$Z_1 = (\mathbf{H}^{N - \dim_{\mathbf{H}}(V_1)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H})})^+ \wedge (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{M - \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V_1)_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}) + b_2^+(W)})^+ \wedge I_1$$

for some M, N (we may assume that M is even). Here Z_0 and Z_1 are spaces of type SWF at level M and $M + b_2^+(W)$ respectively, and the restriction of f to the S^1 fixed point sets induces the map

$$f^{S^1} : Z_0^{S^1} \cong S^M \rightarrow Z_1^{S^1} \cong (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{b_2^+(W)})^+ \wedge S^M$$

which is a G homotopy equivalence if $b_2^+(W) = 0$. Furthermore the restriction of f to the G fixed point sets induces a G homotopy equivalence $f^G : Z_0^G \rightarrow Z_1^G$. Hence if $b_2^+(W)$ is even, by Lemma 1 we obtain

$$k(Z_0) \leq k(Z_1) + \frac{1}{2}b_2^+(W).$$

If I_i is at odd level, then I_i and M in the description of Z_i are replaced with $\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}}I_i$ and $M - 1$ respectively. Then we have

$$k(Z_0) = \text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) - \dim_{\mathbf{H}}(V_0)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H}) + k(I'_0) + N$$

$$k(Z_1) = -\dim_{\mathbf{H}}(V_1)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H}) + k(I'_1) + N$$

where $I'_i = I_i$ (resp. $\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}}I_i$) if I_i is at even level (resp. odd level), since $k(\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}}X) = k(X)$ and $k(\Sigma^{\mathbf{H}}X) = k(X) + 1$ for any space X of type SWF at even level ([14]). It follows from the above inequality and the definition of $\kappa(Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) - \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) &\leq b_2^+(W) - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) + n(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1, g_1) - n(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) \\ &= b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} \end{aligned}$$

since $n(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1, g_1) - n(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) = \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$ (Here we assume that $\ker \mathcal{D}_{Y_i}(\mathfrak{s}_i) = 0$ by using the perturbed Chern-Simons-Dirac functional if necessary, which ensures that $n(-Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i) = -n(Y_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i)$.) If $b_2^+(W)$ is odd, by using $W \# S^2 \times S^2$ instead of W we deduce

$$\kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) - \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} + 1.$$

We obtain the other inequalities in Theorem 4 by applying the above argument to the cobordism $(-W, \mathfrak{s}_W)$ from (Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) to (Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) . If (Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) is Floer K_G split and $b_2^+(W)$ is even and nonzero, then Lemma 1 shows that $k(Z_0) + 1 \leq k(Z_1) + \frac{b_2^+(W)}{2}$, from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) - \kappa(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1) &\leq b_2^+(W) - 2 - \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) \\ &\quad + n(Y_1, \mathfrak{s}_1, g_1) - n(Y_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) = b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the other inequalities in Theorem 5 by replacing W with $W \# S^2 \times S^2$ or $-W$ as before.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2 we first consider the relation between the κ and the $\bar{\mu}$ invariants of a spherical 3-manifold.

Proposition 6. [14] *Let (Y, \mathfrak{s}) be a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure that admits a metric g of positive scalar curvature. Then $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$ and (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is Floer K_G split.*

In the above case, the critical point set of the approximated Seiberg-Witten flow on V only consists of the unique reducible element. Hence we have $I_\nu = (V_{-\nu}^0)^+$ and $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = k(S^0) - n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) = -n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$.

Furthermore the ideal $\mathfrak{J}(I_\nu)$ (or $\mathfrak{J}(\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}}I_\nu)$ if I_ν is at odd level) is generated by z^k for some k , since $\mathfrak{J}(\Sigma^{\tilde{\mathbf{C}}}X) = \mathfrak{J}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(\Sigma^{\mathbf{H}}X) = z\mathfrak{J}(X)$ for a space X of type SWF at even level ([14]). Hence (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is Floer K_G split.

For a rational homology 3-sphere (Y, \mathfrak{s}) with metric g , let (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) be a compact spin 4-manifold bounded by (Y, \mathfrak{s}) and g_W be a metric of W extending g . Then the index of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$ of (W, \mathfrak{s}_W) associated with g_W and the signature of W are described as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) &= -\frac{1}{24} \int_W p_1 - \frac{1}{2} (\dim \ker \mathcal{D}_Y(s) + \eta^{\text{Dir}}(Y, s, g)), \\ \sigma(W) &= \frac{1}{3} \int_W p_1 - \eta^{\text{sign}}(Y, g). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(1) \quad n(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) = -\frac{1}{8} (4 \dim \ker \mathcal{D}_Y(\mathfrak{s}) + 4\eta^{\text{Dir}}(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g) + \eta^{\text{sign}}(Y, g)).$$

If g is a metric of positive scalar curvature, $\dim \ker \mathcal{D}_Y(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$. For a spherical 3-manifold with spin structure (S, \mathfrak{s}) equipped with the standard metric g , we have the following equation as a special case of Proposition 3, which is deduced from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2.

$$(2) \quad \bar{\mu}(S, \mathfrak{s}) = w(S, cS, \mathfrak{s}_{cS}) = -\frac{1}{8} (4\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S, \mathfrak{s}, g) + \eta^{\text{sign}}(S, g))$$

where \mathfrak{s}_{cS} is a spin structure on the cone cS over S extending \mathfrak{s} . It follows from (1), (2) and Proposition 6 that $\kappa(S, \mathfrak{s}) = -\bar{\mu}(S, \mathfrak{s})$.

Remark 4. To describe the above equation we assume that the Clifford multiplication c of the volume form vol_Y of Y satisfies $c(\text{vol}_Y) = -1$ as in [17]. If we define c so that it satisfies $c(\text{vol}_Y) = 1$ as in [11], [13], [14], the sign of $\eta^{\text{Dir}}(Y, \mathfrak{s}, g)$ should be changed and the first equation in Proposition 2 should be replaced with $\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S, \mathfrak{s}, g) = -2\delta^{\text{Dir}}(S, \mathfrak{s})$.

Suppose that a rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) bounds a compact spin 4-orbifold (X, \mathfrak{s}_X) with $\mathfrak{s}_X|_Y = \mathfrak{s}$. Let (S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i) ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be spherical 3-manifolds, which are the links of all isolated singularities of X , where a spin structure \mathfrak{s}_i is induced from \mathfrak{s}_X . Putting $X_0 = X \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \text{Int } cS_i$, we have a compact spin 4-manifold with $\partial(X_0, \mathfrak{s}_{X_0}) = (Y, \mathfrak{s}) \cup \cup_{i=1}^n (-S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$ whose spin structure \mathfrak{s}_{X_0} is induced

from \mathfrak{s}_X . Furthermore $(X_0, \mathfrak{s}_{X_0})$ contains a spin submanifold W of codimension 0 with $\partial W = \sharp_{i=1}^n S_i \cup \cup_{i=1}^n (-S_i)$ which is constructed from the collars of S_i by attaching 1-handles in X_0 . Let $X'_0 = X_0 \setminus \text{Int } W$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{X'_0}$ be a spin structure induced from \mathfrak{s}_X . Then $(X'_0, \mathfrak{s}_{X'_0})$ is a spin cobordism from $(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) = (\sharp_{i=1}^n S_i, \sharp_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{s}_i)$ to (Y, \mathfrak{s}) . We note that S_0 admits a metric g_0 of positive scalar curvature ([7], [20]). Thus if we choose a metric g_W on W extending g_0 and the standard metrics g_i of S_i ($i \geq 1$), we obtain a G equivariant map $f : Z_0 \rightarrow Z_1$ for the cobordism W from $\cup_{i=1}^n S_i$ to S_0 of the following form (up to stabilization) by a procedure similar to those in [13], [14] since the Conley index for a disjoint union of S_i is the smash product of the Conley indices of S_i ([13]).

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= (\mathbf{H}^{N+\text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) - \sum_{i=1}^n \dim_{\mathbf{H}}(V_i)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H})})^+ \wedge (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{M - \sum_{i=1}^n \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V_i)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{R})})^+ \wedge (\wedge_{i=1}^n I_i) \\ Z_1 &= (\mathbf{H}^{N - \dim_{\mathbf{H}}(V_0)_{-\nu}^0(\mathbf{H})})^+ \wedge (\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{M - \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V_0)_{-\nu}^0(\tilde{\mathbf{R}}) + b_2^+(W)})^+ \wedge I_0 \end{aligned}$$

where $(V_i)_{-\nu}^0$ and I_i are the vector space and the Conley index for S_i defined as above ($0 \leq i \leq n$). Since $I_i = (V_i)_{-\nu}^0$ and $b_2^+(W) = 0$, Z_0 and Z_1 are of type SWF at level M (which can be assumed to be even), $f^{S^1} : Z_0^{S^1} \rightarrow Z_1^{S^1}$ is a G homotopy equivalence. Furthermore $k(Z_0) = N + \text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W)$ and $k(Z_1) = N$. Hence applying Lemma 1 to f we deduce that $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) \leq 0$. We note that since $\sigma(W) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) &= -\frac{1}{24} \int_W p_1 - \frac{1}{2} (\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^{\text{Dir}}(-S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} (4\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) + \eta^{\text{sign}}(S_0, g_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\eta^{\text{Dir}}(-S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i) + \eta^{\text{sign}}(-S_i, g_i))) \\ &= n(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) - \sum_{i=1}^n n(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i) \end{aligned}$$

By a similar map defined for a cobordism $-W$ from S_0 to $\cup_{i=1}^n S_i$, we have $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_{(-W)}(\mathfrak{s}_W) = -\text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) \leq 0$ since $b_2^+(-W) = b_2^-(W) = 0$. It follows that $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) = 2 \text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_W(\mathfrak{s}_W) = 0$ and

$$(3) \quad \kappa(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0) = -n(S_0, \mathfrak{s}_0, g_0) = -\sum_{i=1}^n n(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i, g_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\mu}(S_i, \mathfrak{s}_i).$$

Hereafter we assume that (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure and choose the spin 4-orbifolds $(X^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm})$ bounded by (Y, \mathfrak{s}) satisfying the conditions in Proposition 3. Let $(S_i^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n^\pm$) be the spherical 3-manifolds which are the links of the isolated singularities of X^\pm , where \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm is induced from \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm} . Subtracting all the cones cS_i^\pm and the spin 4-manifold of codimension 0, which is a spin cobordism from $\cup_{i=1}^{n^\pm} (S_i^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm)$ to $(S_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_0^\pm) = (\#_{i=1}^{n^\pm} S_i^\pm, \#_{i=1}^{n^\pm} \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm)$ constructed as above from X^\pm , we obtain compact spin cobordisms $(X_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X_0^\pm})$ from $(S_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_0^\pm)$ to (Y, \mathfrak{s}) satisfying

$$b_1(X_0^\pm) = b_1(X^\pm) = 0, \quad b_2^+(X_0^+) = b_2^+(X^+) \leq 1, \quad b_2^-(X_0^-) = b_2^-(X^-) \leq 1.$$

Since $(S_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_0^\pm)$ is Floer K_G split, we have the following inequalities by applying Theorem 4 and Theorem 5.

$$(4) \quad -b_2^-(X_0^\pm) + \frac{\sigma(X_0^\pm)}{8} - 1 \leq \kappa(S_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_0^\pm) - \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \\ \leq b_2^+(X_0^\pm) + \frac{\sigma(X_0^\pm)}{8} - 1$$

unless $b_2^+(X_0^\pm) = 0$. If $b_2^+(X_0^+) = 0$, we replace X_0^+ with $X_0^+ \# S^2 \times S^2$ to obtain the inequality $\kappa(S^+, \mathfrak{s}_{S^+}) - \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq \sigma(X_0^+)/8$ by applying Theorem 5. If $b_2^-(X_0^-)$ is even (in fact 0), then the first inequality of (4) for S^- can be replaced with

$$(5) \quad -b_2^-(X_0^-) + \frac{\sigma(X_0^-)}{8} \leq \kappa(S^-, \mathfrak{s}_{S^-}) - \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}).$$

On the other hand it follows from Propositions 1, 2, 3 and the equations (1), (2), (3) that

$$(6) \quad \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = w(Y, X^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_{X^\pm}) \\ = \frac{1}{8}(\sigma(X_0^\pm) - \sum_{i=1}^n (4\eta^{\text{Dir}}(S_i^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm, g_i^\pm) + \eta^{\text{sign}}(S_i^\pm, g_i^\pm))) \\ = \frac{1}{8}\sigma(X_0^\pm) + \sum_{i=1}^n n(S_i^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_i^\pm, g_i^\pm) = \frac{1}{8}\sigma(X_0^\pm) - \kappa(S_0^\pm, \mathfrak{s}_0^\pm)$$

Therefore by subtracting (6) from (4), we obtain

$$(7) \quad -2 \leq -b_2^-(X_0^-) - 1 \leq -\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) - \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq b_2^+(X_0^+) - 1 \leq 0$$

if $b_2^+(X_0^+) = 1$. We replace X_0^+ with $X_0^+ \# S^2 \times S^2$ or $X_0^+ \# 2S^2 \times S^2$ if $b_2^+(X_0^+) = 0$ to obtain the last inequality by applying Theorem 5. If Y is a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere (with the unique spin structure), $\kappa(Y) + \bar{\mu}(Y) = 0$ or 2 since both $\kappa(Y)$ and $\bar{\mu}(Y)$ are integers and the integral lifts of the Rokhlin invariant.

Suppose that a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere (Y, \mathfrak{s}) is Floer K_G split. Then by Theorem 5 we obtain

$$0 \leq -b_2^-(X_0^-) + 1 \leq -\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) - \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$$

if $b_2^-(X_0^-) = 1$ in addition to (7). We obtain the same estimate by (5) or applying Theorem 5 to $X_0^- \# S^2 \times S^2$ if $b_2^-(X_0^-) = 0$. Thus we have $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = 0$.

For a general rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) , it is proved that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s}) \geq 0$ ([14]). Note that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ is not necessarily the same as $-\kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s})$, while we have $\bar{\mu}(-Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$. If Y is a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere, the above result shows that $0 \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq 2$, $0 \leq \kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s}) - \bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq 2$, and hence $0 \leq \kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) + \kappa(-Y, \mathfrak{s}) \leq 4$. If Y is a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere, we also have $\kappa(-Y) + \bar{\mu}(-Y) = \kappa(-Y) - \bar{\mu}(Y) = 0$ or 2 . It follows that $\kappa(Y) + \kappa(-Y) = 0, 2$, or 4 . If Y has a fibration such that one of the multiplicities is even and has a positive degree, then we apply the above inequalities for Y to $X^- = X^+$ satisfying $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 0$ and $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 1$, which is chosen in Proposition 3. It follows from (5), (6), (7) that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Example 1. We give a list of the values of κ , $\bar{\mu}$ together with β and d of some Brieskorn homology 3-spheres. The computations of the κ invariants below are due to Manolescu [14]. It is pointed out in [14] that $\pm\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 1)$ and $\pm\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 5)$ are Floer K_G split, while $\pm\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 1)$ and $\pm\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 5)$ are not.

	κ	$\bar{\mu}$	β	\underline{d}
$\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 1)$	2	0	0	0
$-\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 1)$	0	0	0	0
$\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 1)$	0	0	0	0
$-\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 1)$	0	0	0	0
$\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 5)$	1	1	-1	-2
$-\Sigma(2, 3, 12n - 5)$	1	-1	1	2
$\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 5)$	1	-1	1	2
$-\Sigma(2, 3, 12n + 5)$	-1	1	-1	-2

Remark 5. If Y is a Seifert fibration of the form $\{b, (a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)\}$, then Y is represented by a framed link $\cup_{i=0}^n K_i$, where K_0 is an unknot with framing b and K_i ($i \geq 1$) is a meridian of K_0 with framing $-b_i/a_i$. Let h be a meridian of K_0 (a general fiber of Y) and g_i be a meridian of K_i ($i \geq 1$). Then a spin structure \mathfrak{s} on Y corresponds to a homomorphism $c : H_1(S^3 \setminus \cup_{i=0}^n K_i, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$ satisfying

$$a_i c(g_i) + b_i c(h) \equiv a_i b_i \pmod{2},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c(g_i) + bc(h) \equiv b \pmod{2}$$

([25]. The description of the Seifert invariants in [25] is different from that of this paper, but the above condition is still valid.) Suppose that all a_i are odd and $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \equiv b \pmod{2}$ (such a case only occurs when $|H_1(Y, \mathbf{Z})|$ is even). Then we have a spin structure \mathfrak{s} on Y corresponding to c that satisfies $c(h) \equiv 0, c(g_i) \equiv b_i$ ($i \geq 1$). In this case X^\pm in Proposition 3 can be also chosen so that $X^+ = X^-$ satisfying $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 1$ and $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 0$ if $\deg Y > 0$, and $b_2^-(X^\pm) = 1$ and $b_2^+(X^\pm) = 0$ if $\deg Y < 0$ ([25]). Thus it follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that $\kappa(Y, \mathfrak{s}) = -\bar{\mu}(Y, \mathfrak{s})$ if $\deg Y > 0$.

Remark 6. If a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere with spin structure (Y, \mathfrak{s}) bounds a negative definite spin 4-manifold W , the inequalities in Theorem 1 coincide with those in [26]. Furthermore for a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere, the second inequality in Theorem 1 coincides with those in terms of the β invariant ([13]), and in terms of

the \underline{d} invariant ([8]) under the relations $\beta(Y) = -\bar{\mu}(Y)$ ([2], [23]) and $\underline{d}(Y) = -2\bar{\mu}(Y)$ ([3]).

Remark 7. We have $\kappa(Y) + \bar{\mu}(Y) = 0$ or 2 for a Seifert integral homology 3-sphere Y by Theorem 2. If $\kappa(Y) = -\bar{\mu}(Y)$, then Corollary 1 shows that $\bar{\mu}(Y) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 1$ for a compact spin 4-manifold W bounded by Y , which give the better estimate than Theorem 1. If $\kappa(Y) = 2 - \bar{\mu}(Y)$, the estimate in Theorem 1 is slightly better than or the same as that of $\bar{\mu}(Y)$ given in Corollary 1.

J.Lin [9] proves other constraints on the intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds bounded by homology 3-spheres in terms of KO invariants, which give estimates better than those in Theorem 1 and Theorems 4, 5 in some cases. In [9] several estimates for spin 4-manifolds bounded by certain Brieskorn homology 3-spheres are also given by considering the closed spin 4-orbifolds as in [4]. We obtain similar estimates by using Proposition 3 as follows. Suppose that a Seifert rational homology 3-sphere Y (with spin structure) bounds a spin 4-manifold W . Let $Z = X^- \cup (-W)$ be a closed spin 4-orbifold, where X^- is a spin 4-orbifold bounded by Y chosen in Proposition 3. Then we have a G equivariant map

$$f : (\mathbf{H}^{\text{ind}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathcal{D}_{-Z}})^+ \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{b_2^+(-Z)})^+$$

whose restriction to the G -fixed point set is a homotopy equivalence (we choose Z according to our sign convention). It is proved in [9] that there is no such map of the form $f : (\mathbf{H}^{4\ell})^+ \rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{8\ell+2})^+$ if $\ell > 0$. Note that $b^-(X^-) \leq 1$ and $\text{ind}_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{D}_{-Z} = \bar{\mu}(Y) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8}$. It follows that if $\bar{\mu}(Y) - \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} > 0$ and divisible by 8, then

$$\bar{\mu}(Y) \leq b_2^+(W) + \frac{\sigma(W)}{8} - 2,$$

which gives a better estimate than those by Theorem 1 or Corollary 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Atiyah, V. Patodi, and I. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry, I, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **77** (1975), 43–69
- [2] I. Dai, On the Pin(2)-equivariant monopole Floer homology of plumbed 3-manifolds, *Mich. Math. J.* **67** (2018), 423–447.

- [3] I. Dai, C. Manolescu, Involutive Heegaard Floer homology and plumbed three-manifolds, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **18** (2019), 1115–1155.
- [4] Y. Fukumoto, M. Furuta, Homology 3-spheres bounding acyclic 4-manifolds, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000), 757–766
- [5] Y. Fukumoto, M. Furuta, M. Ue, W invariants and the Neumann-Siebenmann invariants for Seifert homology 3-spheres, *Topol. and its appl.* **116** (2001), 333–369
- [6] M. Furuta. T.J. Li, Intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds with boundary, Preprint, (2013).
- [7] M. Gromov, B. Lawson, The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature, *Ann. Math.* **111** (1980), 423–434.
- [8] K. Hendricks, C. Manolescu, Involutive Heegaard Floer Homology, *Duke Math. J.* **166** (7) (2017), 1211–1299.
- [9] J. Lin, $Pin(2)$ -equivariant KO -theory and intersection forms of spin four-manifolds, *Alg. Geom. Topol.* **15** (2015), 563–902
- [10] T. Mrowka, P. Ozsváth, and B. Yu, Seiberg-Witten monopoles on Seifert fibered spaces, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **5** (1997), No. 4, 685–791
- [11] C. Manolescu, Seiberg-Witten Floer stable homotopy type of three manifolds with $b_1 = 0$, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003), 889–932.
- [12] C. Manolescu, A gluing theorem for the relative Baure-Furuta invariants, *J. Diff. Geom.* **76** (2007), 117–153.
- [13] C. Manolescu, $Pin(2)$ -equivariant Seiberg-Witten Floer homology and the triangulation conjecture, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (2016), 147–176.
- [14] C. Manolescu, On the intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds with boundary, *Math. Ann.* **359** (2014), 695–728.
- [15] W. D. Neumann, An invariant of plumbed homology spheres, in *Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen)*, Springer, Berlin Vol. **788** *Lectures Notes in Math.*, pp.125–144, (1980)
- [16] W. D. Neumann, F. Raymond, Seifert manifolds, plumbing, μ -invariant and orientation reversing maps, in *Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos., Univ. California, Santa Barbara, 1977)*, Springer, Berlin, Vol. **664** *Lecture Notes in math.*, pp. 163–196, (1978)
- [17] L. I. Nicolaescu, *Notes on Seiberg-Witten Theory*, Graduate Studies in Math. Vol. 28, AMS, 2000.
- [18] P. Ozsváth, Z. Szabó, Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four manifolds, *Adv. in Math.*, **173** (2003), 179–261
- [19] N. Saveliev, Fukumoto-Furuta invariants of plumbed homology 3-spheres, *Pacific J. Math.* **205** (2002), 465–490
- [20] R. Shoen, S. T. Yau, On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature, *manuscripta math.* **28** (1979), 159–183.

- [21] A. I. Stipsicz, On the $\bar{\mu}$ -invariant of rational surface singularities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 3815–3823
- [22] L. Siebenmann, On vanishing of the Rohlin invariant and nonfinitely ampicheiral homology 3-spheres, in Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, 1979) , Springer, Berlin, Vol. **788** lecture Notes in Math., pp. 172–222, (1980)
- [23] M. Stoffregen, Pin(2)-equivariant Seiberg-Witten Floer homology of Seifert fibrations, Compos. Math. **156** (2) (2020), 199–250.
- [24] M. Ue, On the intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds bounded by spherical 3-manifolds, Alg. Geom. Topol. **1** (2001), 549–578
- [25] M. Ue, The Neumann-Siebenmann invariant and Seifert surgery, Math.Z. **250** (2005), 475–493
- [26] M. Ue, The Fukumoto-Furuta and the Ozsváth-Szabó invariants for spherical 3-manifolds, Algebraic Topology -old and new, Banach Center Publ. **85** (2009), 121–139

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: ue.masaaki.87m@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp