

The Dual Index and Dual Core Generalized Inverse

Hongxing Wang^a, Ju Gao^a

^a*School of Mathematics and Physics, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Hybrid Computation and IC Design Analysis, Guangxi University for Nationalities, Nanning 530006, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the dual index and dual core generalized inverse (DCGI). By applying rank equation, generalized inverse and matrix decomposition, we give several characterizations of the dual index when it is equal to one. And we get that if DCGI exists, then it is unique. We derive a compact formula for DCGI and a series of equivalent characterizations of the existence of the inverse. It is worth nothing that the dual index of \hat{A} is equal to one if and only if its DCGI exists. When the dual index of \hat{A} is equal to one, we study dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverse (DMPGI) and dual group generalized inverse (DGGI), and consider the relationships among DCGI, DMPGI, DGGI, Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse (MPDGI) and other dual generalized inverses. In addition, we consider symmetric dual matrix and its dual generalized inverses. At last, two examples are given to illustrate the application of DCGI in linear dual equations.

Keywords: Dual core generalized inverse; Dual index; Dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverse; Dual group generalized inverse; Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse; Dual analog of least-squares solutions

2020 MSC: 15A09, 65F05

1. Introduction

The concept of dual number is introduced by Clifford in 1873 and the name of the number is given by Study in 1903 [1]. The dual number consists of a real unit 1 and a Clifford operator ε . The dual number contains two real elements, i.e. $\hat{a} = a + \varepsilon a'$, where the real elements a and a' are called the real part and dual part of \hat{a} , respectively. The rule is $\varepsilon \neq 0$, $0\varepsilon = \varepsilon 0 = 0$, $1\varepsilon = \varepsilon 1 = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. When we discuss the geometry of directed lines in space, we can take the angle “ θ ” as the real part and the vertical distance “ s ” as the dual part to form the dual angle, $\hat{\theta} = \theta + \varepsilon s$. As an extension of the concept of dual number, dual vector is to replace the real elements of a real vector with dual numbers and is often used as mathematical expressions for helices. A matrix with dual numbers as elements is called a dual matrix. Denote an $m \times n$ dual matrix as \hat{A} that is written as the form

$$\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B, \quad (1.1)$$

Email addresses: winghongxing0902@163.com (Hongxing Wang), 3160369785@qq.com (Ju Gao)

in which $A \in \mathbb{R}_{m,n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}_{m,n}$. The matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{m,n}$ ($B \in \mathbb{R}_{m,n}$) is called the real(dual) part of the dual matrix \hat{A} . The symbols $\mathbb{D}_{m,n}$ denotes the set of all $m \times n$ dual matrices; I_m is an m -order identity matrix; $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$ represents the range of \hat{A} . Furthermore, denote $\hat{A}^T = A^T + \varepsilon B^T$. When $\hat{A}^T = \hat{A}$, we say that \hat{A} is symmetric.

Dual matrices are used in many fields today. In Kinematics, for example, with the aid of the principle of transference [2], many problems can be initially stated under the condition of spherical motion and then extended to spiral motion after the dualization of the equation, which makes the dual matrix widely used in space agency kinematics analysis and synthesis [3, 4, 5, 6] and robotics [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Their presence is also felt in other areas of science and engineering, which has raised interest in various aspects of linear algebra and computational methods associated with their use [12, 13, 14, 15]. The pioneering work in the engineering applications of dual algebra is made by Keler[16], Beyer[17], etc.

In [11], Pennestrì and Valentini introduce the *Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse* (MPDGI): let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$, then the MPDGI of \hat{A} is denoted by \hat{A}^P and is displayed in the form $\hat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$. For a given dual matrix \hat{A} , if there exists a dual matrix \hat{X} satisfying

$$(1) \hat{A}\hat{X}\hat{A} = \hat{A}, (2) \hat{X}\hat{A}\hat{X} = \hat{X}, (3) (\hat{A}\hat{X})^T = \hat{A}\hat{X}, (4) (\hat{X}\hat{A})^T = \hat{X}\hat{A},$$

then we call \hat{X} the *dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverse* (DMPGI) of \hat{A} , and denote it by \hat{A}^+ [15]. It is worth noting that for any dual matrix, its MPDGI always exists, while its DMPGI may not exist. Furthermore, if \hat{X} satisfies $\hat{A}\hat{X}\hat{A} = \hat{A}$, we call \hat{X} a $\{1\}$ -dual generalized inverse of \hat{A} , and denote it $\hat{A}^{\{1\}}$; if \hat{X} satisfies $\hat{A}\hat{X}\hat{A} = \hat{A}$ and $(\hat{A}\hat{X})^T = \hat{A}\hat{X}$, we call \hat{X} a $\{1, 3\}$ -dual generalized inverse of \hat{A} , and denote it as $\hat{A}^{\{1,3\}}$. Recently, Wang has given some necessary and sufficient conditions for a dual matrix to have the DMPGI, and some equivalent relations between DMPGI and MPDGI in [19].

LEMMA 1.1 ([19]). *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{m,n}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i). *The DMPGI \hat{A}^+ of \hat{A} exists;*
- (ii). $(I_m - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$;
- (iii). $\text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2\text{rank}(A)$.

Furthermore, when the DMPGI \hat{A}^+ of \hat{A} exists,

$$\hat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon \left(A^+ B A^+ - (A^T A)^+ B^T (I_m - AA^+) - (I_n - A^+ A) B^T (AA^T)^+ \right). \quad (1.2)$$

LEMMA 1.2 ([19]). *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{m,n}$, then the DMPGI \hat{A}^+ of \hat{A} exists, and $\hat{A}^+ = \hat{A}^P$ if and only if $(I_m - AA^+)B = 0$ and $B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$.*

MPDGI and DMPGI are used in many aspects. For example, in [16], Udwardia and Pennestrì apply MPDGI to various motions such as rigid body translation and dual angular velocity acquisition. In [15], DMPGI is applied to kinematic synthesis of spatial mechanisms, and

a series of numerical examples about calculation and application of DMPGI to kinematic synthesis of linkage mechanisms are given. In addition, MPDGI are also used in many inverse problems of kinematics and analysis of machines and mechanisms in [3].

Next, Jin and Zhang[20] introduce the dual group generalized inverse (DGGI): let \widehat{A} be an n -square dual matrix. If there exists an n -square dual matrix \widehat{G} satisfying

$$(1) \widehat{A}\widehat{G}\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}, \quad (2) \widehat{G}\widehat{A}\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}, \quad (5) \widehat{A}\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}\widehat{A},$$

then \widehat{A} is called a dual group generalized invertible matrix, and \widehat{G} is the DGGI of \widehat{A} , which is recorded as $\widehat{A}^\#$. Jin and Zhang[20] give some necessary and sufficient conditions for a dual matrix to have DGGI and apply DGGI to study linear dual equations.

LEMMA 1.3 ([20]). *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ be a dual matrix with $A, B \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ and $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, then the DGGI of \widehat{A} exists if and only if $(I_n - AA^\#)B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$.*

Furthermore, if the dual group inverse of \widehat{A} exists, then

$$\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# + \varepsilon R, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$R = -A^\#BA^\# + (A^\#)^2 B(I_n - AA^\#) + (I_n - AA^\#)B(A^\#)^2. \tag{1.4}$$

Most application of dual algebra in Kinematics require numerical solutions to linear dual equations. As showed in [21], Udawadia introduces the norm of dual vector and uses some properties of dual generalized inverses in solving linear dual equations. Various dual generalized inverses are useful for solving consistent linear dual equations or inconsistent lineardual dual equations.

In particular, in [22], both a total order and an absolut value function for dual numbers are put forward by Qi, Ling and Yan. Then they give the definition of the magnitude of a dual quaternion as a dual number. Furthermore, 1-norm, ∞ -norm and 2-norm are extended to dual quaternion vectors in their article.

It is known that Moore-Penrose inverse and group inverse belong to generalized inverses in complex fields. Other well-known generalized inverses are Drazin inverse, core-EP inverse, and so on. The core inverse means that when the index of $A \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ is 1, there is a unique matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$, which satisfies $AXA = A$, $AX^2 = X$ and $(AX)^\text{T} = AX$. We call it the core inverse of matrix A , expressed by A^\oplus . In [23], Baksalary and Trenkler get $A^\oplus = A^\#AA^+$. The core inverse has good properties. It can be used to solve many problems, especially in constrained least squares problem.

Although the above literatures have discussed a series of related problems of dual generalized inverses, the dual core generalized inverse of a dual matrix has not been systematically studied, and related problems of the dual index have not been discussed. On the basis of the above researches, the concepts of the dual index and dual core generalized inverse (DCGI) are introduced in this paper. Furthermore, it is proved that when the dual index of dual matrix is 1, there must be the DCGI of the dual matrix. The sufficient and necessary condition, namely

the index is 1, is used to identify the existence of DCGI, which makes the problem more concise and clear. At the same time, we also give other equivalent conditions for the existence of DCGI and the compact formula for DCGI, as well as the relations among DCGI, DGGI, DMPGI and MPDGI of the dual matrix, and discuss some special dual matrices. At last, we solve two linear dual equations by applying DCGI.

2. Dual Index One

In complex(real) field, the index is necessary for studying generalized inverse and its related problems. For example, it is known that if and only if the group (core) inverse of a matrix exist, the index of the matrix is equal to one. Moreover, Wei et al [24, 25] consider singular linear structured system with index one. In this section, we introduce the dual index of a dual matrix. When the dual index is equal to one, we give its some characterizations. Furthermore, by using the dual index, we study the dual group generalized invertible matrix.

DEFINITION 2.1. *Let \widehat{A} be an n -square dual matrix. If $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2) = \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A})$, then the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to one, and it is recorded as $\text{Ind}(\widehat{A}) = 1$.*

Suppose that $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2) = \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A})$, where $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$, A and B are $n \times n$ real matrices. To obtain $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2) = \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A})$, we need to prove $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A})$. It is obvious that the latter is true. Next, we need to prove $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\widehat{A}^2)$, that is, there exists $\widehat{X} = X_1 + \varepsilon X_2$, which makes

$$\widehat{A}^2 \widehat{X} = \widehat{A}.$$

Put $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ and $\widehat{X} = X_1 + \varepsilon X_2$ into the above equation to get

$$A + \varepsilon B = (A + \varepsilon B)^2 (X_1 + \varepsilon X_2) = A^2 X_1 + \varepsilon (A^2 X_2 + (AB + BA) X_1),$$

that is,

$$\begin{cases} A^2 X_1 = A, & (2.1a) \\ A^2 X_2 + (AB + BA) X_1 = B. & (2.1b) \end{cases}$$

From (2.1a), we see that $A^2 X_1 = A$ is consistent if and only if

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2), \quad (2.2)$$

that is, the index of real matrix A is equal to 1. And we can obtain the general solution to (2.1a)

$$X_1 = A^\# + (I_n - A^\# A) Y, \quad (2.3)$$

where Y is arbitrary.

By substituting (2.3) into (2.1b), we get $B = A^2 X_2 + (AB + BA)(A^\# + (I_n - A^\# A)Y)$. From $A(A^\# + (I_n - A^\# A)Y) = AA^\#$, it follows that $B = A^2 X_2 + AB(A^\# + (I_n - A^\# A)Y) + BAA^\# = A^2 X_2 + ABA^\# + AB(I_n - A^\# A)Y + BAA^\#$. Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB(I_n - A^\# A) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_2 \\ Y \end{bmatrix} = B - ABA^\# - BAA^\#. \quad (2.4)$$

If the above equation is consistent, which is equivalent to

$$\text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB(I_n - A^\# A) & B - ABA^\# - BAA^\# \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB(I_n - A^\# A) \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB(I_n - A^\# A) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & -A^\# B(I_n - A^\# A) \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

by applying (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(A^2) &= \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A^2 & AB(I_n - A^\# A) & B - (AB + BA)A^\# \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B - (AB + BA)A^\# \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the consistency of (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

According to (2.2) and (2.6), the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to 1, which is equivalent to

$$\text{rank}(A^2) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ and $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to one, which is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, and*

$$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Next, we present one well-known matrix decomposition [26, Corollary 6] and several corresponding decompositions of generalized inverses, which will be used in the following part of the paper. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ with $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then

$$A = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \quad (2.9)$$

where $U \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ is unitary, nonsingular $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is the diagonal matrix of singular values of A , $\sigma_1 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$, and $K \in \mathbb{R}_{r,r}$, $L \in \mathbb{R}_{r,n-r}$ satisfy

$$KK^T + LL^T = I_r. \quad (2.10)$$

By applying the decomposition, Baksalary and Trenkler[23] get

$$A^+ = U \begin{bmatrix} K^T \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ L^T \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (2.11)$$

Especially, when the index of A is one, the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of A^\oplus is that K is nonsingular. In [23], by applying (2.9) Baksalary and Trenkler also give characterizations of core inverse and group inverse:

$$A^\oplus = A^\# AA^+ \quad (2.12)$$

$$= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (2.13)$$

$$A^\# = U \begin{bmatrix} K^{-1} \Sigma^{-1} & K^{-1} \Sigma^{-1} K^{-1} L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (2.14)$$

Based on the premise that the index of $A \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ is 1, we analyze (2.8). Let the decomposition of A be of the form in (2.9). Write

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (2.15)$$

where B_1 is an r -square matrix and $r = \text{rank}(A)$. By substituting (2.9), (2.14) and (2.15) to $B(I_n - AA^\#)$, we get

$$B(I_n - AA^\#) = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B_1 K^{-1} L + B_2 \\ 0 & -B_3 K^{-1} L + B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T.$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L & 0 & -B_1 K^{-1} L + B_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -B_3 K^{-1} L + B_4 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

which implies that $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$.

In summary, we get that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}([A \ B(I_n - AA^\#)])$ if and only if $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$. Therefore, we have the following Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, $\text{rank}(A) = r$, A and B have the forms as in (2.9) and (2.15), respectively. Then the dual index of \hat{A} is equal to one, which is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$.*

Furthermore, since $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, applying (2.9) and (2.15), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ B_3 & B_4 & 0 & 0 \\ \Sigma K & \Sigma L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2\text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B_4 - B_3 K^{-1} L). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Theorem 2.2, we have the following Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ and $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to one, which is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, and

$$\text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2\text{rank}(A).$$

In the following theorems, we give some equivalent characterizations with dual index one.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, where $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then the dual index of \widehat{A} is one if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I_n - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$.

Proof. By applying equations (2.9), (2.11) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_n - AA^+ &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T, \\ I_n - A^+A &= U \begin{bmatrix} I_r - K^T K & -K^T L \\ -L^T K & I_{n-r} - L^T L \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_4 L^T K & -B_3 K^T L + B_4 - B_4 L^T L \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Let the dual index of \widehat{A} be equal to one. According to Theorem 2.2, the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$. Substituting $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$ into $B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_4 L^T K$ and $-B_3 K^T L + B_4 - B_4 L^T L$, applying (2.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_4 L^T K &= B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_3 K^{-1} L L^T K \\ &= B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_3 K^{-1} K + B_3 K^{-1} K K^T K \\ &= B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_3 + B_3 K^T K = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -B_3 K^T L + B_4 - B_4 L^T L &= -B_3 K^T L + B_3 K^{-1} L - B_3 K^{-1} L L^T L \\ &= -B_3 K^T L + B_3 K^{-1} L - B_3 K^{-1} L + B_3 K^{-1} K K^T L \\ &= -B_3 K^T L + B_3 K^{-1} L - B_3 K^{-1} L + B_3 K^T L = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.16), it follows that $(I_n - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$.

Conversely, let the index of A is one and $(I_n - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$. Applying (2.16) gives

$$\begin{cases} B_3 - B_3 K^T K - B_4 L^T K = 0, \\ -B_3 K^T L + B_4 - B_4 L^T L = 0, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$\begin{cases} B_3 - B_3 K^T K = B_4 L^T K, & (2.18a) \\ B_3 K^T L = B_4 - B_4 L^T L. & (2.18b) \end{cases}$$

Since the index of A is 1, it is known that K is a singular matrix. Post-multiplying both sides of (2.18a) by $K^{-1}L$, we get

$$B_3 K^{-1}L - B_3 K^T L = B_4 L^T L. \quad (2.19)$$

By substituting equation (2.18b) into (2.19), we get $B_3 K^{-1}L - B_4 + B_4 L^T L = B_4 L^T L$, that is, $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1}L$. In summary, the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1}L$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that the dual index of \hat{A} is one. \square

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, where $\text{rank}(A) = r$, then the dual index of \hat{A} is one if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I - AA^\#)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$. By applying equations (2.9), (2.14) and (2.15), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n - AA^\#) &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1}L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T, \\ (I_n - AA^\#)B(I_n - AA^\#) &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K^{-1}LB_3K^{-1}L - K^{-1}LB_4 \\ 0 & -B_3K^{-1}L + B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

If the dual index of \hat{A} is one, from Theorem 2.2, we can get the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1}L$. Therefore, $K^{-1}LB_3K^{-1}L - K^{-1}LB_4 = 0$ and $-B_3K^{-1}L + B_4 = 0$. It follows from (2.20) that $(I - AA^\#)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$.

Conversely, let the index of A is one and $(I - AA^\#)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$. Applying (2.20) gives $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1}L$. To sum up, the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1}L$. Furthermore, according to Theorem 2.2, we get that the dual index of \hat{A} is one. \square

By applying Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 2.5, we get the following Theorem 2.6 that discusses the relationship between DGGI and dual index one.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the dual index of \hat{A} is one if and only if $\hat{A}^\#$ exists.*

Proof. From Lemma 1.3, we see that DGGI of \hat{A} exists if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I_n - AA^\#)B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$. From Theorem 2.5, we see that the dual index of \hat{A} is one if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I - AA^\#)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$. Therefore, we get that the dual index of \hat{A} is one if and only if $\hat{A}^\#$ exists. \square

By applying Theorem 2.4, we see that the dual index of \hat{A} is one if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I_n - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$. By applying Lemma 1.1, we see that the DMPGI \hat{A}^+ of \hat{A} exists if and only if $(I_m - AA^+)B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$. Therefore, we get the relationship between dual index one and DMPGI in the following Theorem 2.7.

THEOREM 2.7. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the dual index of \widehat{A} is one if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and \widehat{A}^+ exists.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ and $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, then \widehat{A}^+ exists if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix}\right)$.

Proof. “ \implies ” If \widehat{A}^+ exists, then $\text{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 2\text{rank}(A)$ is known by the Lemma 1.1.

Therefore, when the index of A is one, the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to one from Theorem 2.3. It is also known $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix}\right)$ from Theorem 2.1.

“ \impliedby ” Let $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix}\right)$. When the index of A is one, the dual index of \widehat{A} is equal to one from Theorem 2.1. So $\text{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 2\text{rank}(A)$ from Theorem 2.3. And by (i), (iii) of Lemma 1.1, we know that \widehat{A}^+ exists. \square

3. Dual Core Generalized Inverse

It is well known that one matrix is group invertible if and only if its index is one if and only if it is core invertible in $\mathbb{R}_{n,n}$. In Section 2, we get that the dual index of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ is one if and only if $\widehat{A}^\#$ exists. In this section, we introduce DCGI, give some properties and characterizations of the inverse, and consider relationships among DCGI, DGGI and dual index one. Meanwhile, we also give characterizations of some other interesting dual generalized inverses.

3.1. Definition and uniqueness of DCGI

DEFINITION 3.1. Let \widehat{A} be an n -square dual matrix. If there exists an n -square dual matrix \widehat{G} satisfying

$$(1) \widehat{A}\widehat{G}\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}, \quad (2') \widehat{A}\widehat{G}^2 = \widehat{G}, \quad (3) (\widehat{A}\widehat{G})^\text{T} = \widehat{A}\widehat{G}, \quad (3.1)$$

then \widehat{A} is called a dual core generalized invertible matrix, and \widehat{G} is the dual core generalized inverse (DCGI) of \widehat{A} , which is recorded as \widehat{A}^\oplus .

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the existence of the DCGI of \widehat{A} is equivalent to the existence of G and R , which meets the following requirements: $G = A^\oplus$ and

$$\begin{cases} BGA + ARA + AGB = B, & (3.2a) \\ AGR + ARG + BG^2 = R, & (3.2b) \\ (AR + BG)^\text{T} = AR + BG. & (3.2c) \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $\widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon R$ is the DCGI of \widehat{A} .

Proof. From $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$, $\widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon R$ and

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{A}\widehat{G}\widehat{A} = (A + \varepsilon B)(G + \varepsilon R)(A + \varepsilon B) = AGA + \varepsilon(BGA + ARA + AGB), \\ \widehat{A}\widehat{G}^2 = (A + \varepsilon B)(G + \varepsilon R)^2 = AG^2 + \varepsilon(AGR + ARG + BG^2), \\ (\widehat{A}\widehat{G})^T = ((A + \varepsilon B)(G + \varepsilon R))^T = (AG)^T + \varepsilon(AR + BG)^T, \end{cases}$$

we get that $\widehat{A}\widehat{G}\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}$, $\widehat{A}\widehat{G}^2 = \widehat{G}$ and $(\widehat{A}\widehat{G})^T = \widehat{A}\widehat{G}$ are respectively equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} AGA = A, & BGA + ARA + AGB = B, \\ AG^2 = G, & AGR + ARG + BG^2 = R, \\ (AG)^T = AG, & (AR + BG)^T = AR + BG. \end{cases}$$

Since $AGA = A$, $AG^2 = G$ and $(AG)^T = AG$, we have $G = A^\oplus$. Therefore, if the DCGI of \widehat{A} exists, and $\widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon R$ is the DCGI of \widehat{A} , then $G = A^\oplus$ and (3.2a), (3.2b) and (3.2c) are established.

Conversely, let $\widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon R$ satisfy (3.2a), (3.2b), (3.2c) and $G = A^\oplus$. By applying Definition 3.1, it is easy to check that \widehat{G} is the DCGI of \widehat{A} . So, the DCGI of \widehat{A} exists. \square

According to Theorem 3.1, we can see that the existence of the core inverse of A is only a necessary condition for the dual core generalized invertibility of \widehat{A} , that is to say, even though the real part of a dual matrix is core invertible, it may be also a dual matrix without DCGI.

EXAMPLE 3.1. *Let*

$$\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

where a, b and b_{33} are not 0, b_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$), b_{13} and b_{23} are arbitrary real numbers. It is obvious that the real part A is core invertible and

$$A^\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\widehat{G} = A^\oplus + \varepsilon R$, where

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\ r_4 & r_5 & r_6 \\ r_7 & r_8 & r_9 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

According to Theorem 3.1, if \widehat{G} is the DCGI of \widehat{A} , then R is a suitable matrix of order n and satisfies (3.2a), (3.2b) and (3.2c). The equation (3.2a) requires:

$$\Delta = \underbrace{\underbrace{BA^\oplus A}_{S_1} + \underbrace{ARA}_{S_2} + \underbrace{AA^\oplus B}_{S_3}}_{S_{123}} - B = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now we will prove that for any \widehat{A} constructed by A and B in (3.3), the equation (3.6) does not satisfy any 3-order matrix R . Therefore, we need to prove $S_{123} - B \neq 0$. As shown below, from the above (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= BA^{\oplus}A = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_2 &= AA^{\oplus}B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_3 &= ARA = \begin{bmatrix} a^2r_1 & abr_2 & 0 \\ abr_4 & b^2r_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ S_{123} &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2r_1 + 2b_{11} & 2b_{12} + abr_2 & b_{13} \\ 2b_{21} + abr_4 & 2b_{22} + b^2r_5 & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Delta &= S_{123} - B = \begin{bmatrix} a^2r_1 + b_{11} & b_{12} + abr_2 & 0 \\ b_{21} + abr_4 & b_{22} + b^2r_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -b_{33} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If b_{33} is not 0, so Δ is not 0 no matter what the matrix R is. Therefore, the core inverse condition (3.2a) is not satisfied, and \widehat{A} of the set has no DCGI.

In the following Theorem 3.2, we consider the uniqueness of DCGI.

THEOREM 3.2. *The DCGI of any dual matrix is unique if it exists.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, $\text{rank}(A) = r$, and $\widehat{A}^{\oplus} = A^{\oplus} + \varepsilon R$. Suppose that \widehat{T} is any DCGI of \widehat{A} , from Theorem 3.1 and uniqueness of the core inverse of real matrix, we can denote \widehat{T} as $\widehat{T} = A^{\oplus} + \varepsilon \widetilde{R}$. Furthermore, write

$$X = R - \widetilde{R}.$$

Next, we prove $X = 0$.

From (3.2a), it can be seen that

$$\begin{cases} B = BA^{\oplus}A + ARA + AA^{\oplus}B, \\ B = BA^{\oplus}A + A\widetilde{R}A + AA^{\oplus}B. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Through the first equation minus the second equation in (3.7), we get

$$0 = A(R - \widetilde{R})A = AXA. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.2b), we have

$$\begin{cases} R = AA^{\oplus}R + ARA^{\oplus} + B(A^{\oplus})^2, \\ \widetilde{R} = AA^{\oplus}\widetilde{R} + A\widetilde{R}A^{\oplus} + B(A^{\oplus})^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Through the first equation minus the second equation in (3.9), we have

$$X = R - \tilde{R} = AA^{\oplus}X + AXA^{\oplus}. \quad (3.10)$$

Similarly, from the (3.2c), it can be seen that R and \tilde{R} satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (AR + BA^{\oplus})^T = AR + BA^{\oplus}, \\ (A\tilde{R} + BA^{\oplus})^T = A\tilde{R} + BA^{\oplus}. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

Through the first equation minus the second equation in (3.11), we have $(A(R - \tilde{R}))^T = A(R - \tilde{R})$, that is,

$$(AX)^T = AX. \quad (3.12)$$

By post-multiplying both sides of (3.8) by A^{\oplus} , and by applying (3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= AXA = AXAA^{\oplus} = (AX)^T (AA^{\oplus})^T = X^T A^T (A^{\oplus})^T A^T \\ &= X^T (AA^{\oplus}A)^T = X^T A^T = (AX)^T = AX, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $AX = 0$. Thus, the equation (3.10) is simplified to

$$X = R - \tilde{R} = AA^{\oplus}X. \quad (3.13)$$

Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9). Write

$$X = U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.14)$$

where $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{r,r}$. Put (2.9), (2.13) and (3.14) into (3.13), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} AA^{\oplus}X &= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X_3 = X_4 = 0$, that is,

$$X = U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.15)$$

And to make $X = 0$ hold, we only need to prove $X_1 = 0$ and $X_2 = 0$.

By substituting (2.9) and (3.15) into (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
AXA &= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K X_1 & \Sigma K X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma K & \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma K = 0, & (3.16a) \\ \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma L = 0. & (3.16b) \end{cases}$$

Since A is core invertible, from Theorem 3.1, we see that K is nonsingular. Thus, from (3.15) and (3.16a), we get $X_1 = 0$. So

$$X = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.17)$$

Similarly, by substituting (2.9) and (3.17) into (3.12), we get

$$\left(U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \right)^T = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T,$$

that is,

$$\left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma K X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \right)^T = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma K X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T.$$

Continue to simplify the above equation and get

$$U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (\Sigma K X_2)^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma K X_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T.$$

Thus, $\Sigma K X_2 = 0$. Considering that both Σ and K are nonsingular matrices, we get $X_2 = 0$.

To sum up, we obtain $X_1 = 0$, $X_2 = 0$, $X_3 = 0$ and $X_4 = 0$. From (3.14), we get $X = 0$, which can also be understood as

$$R = \tilde{R}.$$

Therefore, R satisfying (3.2a), (3.2b) and (3.2c) is unique, that is, if the DCGI of \hat{A} exists, then the inverse is unique. \square

3.2. Characterizations and properties of DCGI

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then its DCGI exists if and only if its dual index is one.*

Proof. Suppose that A is core invertible. Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9), and the form of B be as in (2.15).

“ \implies ” Assuming that the dual core inverse $\widehat{A}^\oplus = G + \varepsilon R$ of the dual matrix $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ exists, it can be seen from Theorem 3.1 that the real part matrix A is core invertible and $G = A^\oplus$, so the index of A is one.

Since the DCGI exists, then the equation (3.2a) holds. Put (2.9), (2.13) and (2.15) into $BGA + ARA + AGB = B$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 + (\Sigma K R_1 + \Sigma L R_3) \Sigma K + B_1 & B_1 K^{-1} L + (\Sigma K R_1 + \Sigma L R_3) \Sigma L + B_2 \\ B_3 & B_3 K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$.

To sum up, from Theorem 2.2, when the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$, the dual index of \widehat{A} is one.

“ \impliedby ” Let the dual index of \widehat{A} be one. According to Theorem 2.2, the index of A is one and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$. It follows from (2.15) that

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_3 K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.18)$$

From the above equation (3.18) and equation (2.9), we get

$$\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T + \varepsilon U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_3 K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T.$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G} = G + \varepsilon R &= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &+ \varepsilon U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} - (\Sigma K)^{-1} B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} & K^{-1} \Sigma^{-2} (B_3 K^{-1})^T \\ B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A} \widehat{G} \widehat{A} &= A G A + \varepsilon (B G A + A R A + A G B) \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &+ \varepsilon \left(U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} \Sigma L \\ B_3 & B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-1} \Sigma L \end{bmatrix} U^T + U \begin{bmatrix} -B_1 & -B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T + U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \right) \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T + \varepsilon U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_3 K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= A + \varepsilon B = \widehat{A}, \\
\widehat{A}\widehat{G}^2 &= AG^2 + \varepsilon (AGR + ARG + BG^2) \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T + \varepsilon U \left(\begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1}LB_3(\Sigma K)^{-2} - (\Sigma K)^{-1}B_1(\Sigma K)^{-1} & K^{-1}\Sigma^{-2}(B_3K^{-1})^T \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U^T \\
&+ \varepsilon U \left(\begin{bmatrix} -B_1(\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1(\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \\ B_3(\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T + \varepsilon U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1}LB_3(\Sigma K)^{-2} - (\Sigma K)^{-1}B_1(\Sigma K)^{-1} & K^{-1}\Sigma^{-2}(B_3K^{-1})^T \\ B_3(\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= G + \varepsilon R = \widehat{G}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{A}\widehat{G})^T &= (AG + \varepsilon(AR + BG))^T \\
&= \left(U \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \right)^T + \varepsilon \left(U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma^{-1}(B_3K^{-1})^T \\ B_3(\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \right)^T \\
&= AG + \varepsilon(AR + BG) = \widehat{A}\widehat{G}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, \widehat{G} is the DCGI of \widehat{A} , that is, $\widehat{G} = \widehat{A}^\oplus$ from Theorem 3.1. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exists if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is core invertible. Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9), and the form of B be as in (2.15).

“ \implies ” Let the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exist, then we have the index of A is one, and $B_4 = B_3K^{-1}L$ from Theorem 3.3. Thus, we get equation (3.18). Put equations (2.9), (2.11), (2.14) and (3.18) into $(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#) &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_3K^{-1}L \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1}L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T \quad (3.20) \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & B_3K^{-1}L \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1}L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_3K^{-1}L + B_3K^{-1}L \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0
\end{aligned}$$

“ \impliedby ” Let $(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$. Since $A^\#$ exists, the index of A is one. Put

equations (2.9), (2.11), (2.14) and (2.15) into $(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#) &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1}L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1}L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_3K^{-1}L + B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

It follows from $(I - AA^+)B(I - AA^\#) = 0$ that $B_4 = B_3K^{-1}L$. Then there is equation (3.19) from Theorem 3.3. According to Definition 3.1, DCGI exists. \square

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exists if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I - AA^\oplus)B(I - A^\oplus A) = 0$.*

Proof. In real field, it is known that $A^\#A = AA^\# = A^\oplus A$, and $AA^+ = AA^\oplus$. According to Theorem 3.4, the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exists if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I - AA^\oplus)B(I - A^\oplus A) = 0$. \square

Next, we further discuss characterizations of the existence of DCGI.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The DCGI of \widehat{A} exists;*
- (2) *The index of A is equal to 1, and $BA^\#A + AXA + AA^\#B = B$ is consistent;*
- (3) *The index of A is equal to 1, and $BA^+A + AXA + AA^+B = B$ is consistent;*
- (4) *The index of A is equal to 1, and $BA^\oplus A + AXA + AA^\oplus B = B$ is consistent.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.3, we know that the existence of DCGI is equivalent to the existence of DGGI; the existence of DGGI is equivalent to the existence of DMPGI with $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$. Therefore, condition (1) indicates that DCGI exists or DGGI exists or DMPGI exists with $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$.

“(1) \implies (2)” Let DCGI exist, then $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# + \varepsilon P$ of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ exist, so the index of A is one and $\widehat{A}\widehat{A}^\#\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}$, that is, $BA^\#A + APA + AA^\#B = B$. Therefore, $BA^\#A + AXA + AA^\#B = B$ is consistent.

“(1) \impliedby (2)” Let the index of A be one and $BA^\#A + AXA + AA^\#B = B$ be consistent. By applying (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.15) to $BA^\#A + AXA + AA^\#B = B$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K^{-1}\Sigma^{-1} & K^{-1}\Sigma^{-1}K^{-1}L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&+ U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\
&+ U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma K & \Sigma L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K^{-1}\Sigma^{-1} & K^{-1}\Sigma^{-1}K^{-1}L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

where $X = U \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T$, B_1 is an r -square matrix, and $r = \text{rank}(A)$.

By simplifying equation (3.22), we get

$$U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 + \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma K + \Sigma L X_3 \Sigma K + B_1 + K^{-1} L B_3 & \\ & B_3 \\ B_1 K^{-1} L + \Sigma K X_1 \Sigma L + \Sigma L X_3 \Sigma L + B_2 + K^{-1} L B_4 & \\ & B_3 K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T,$$

Therefore, $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$.

To sum up, if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$, then the dual index of \hat{A} is one from Theorem 2.2. And because of Theorem 3.3, we know the DCGI of \hat{A} exists.

Similarly, (1) and (3) are equivalent; (1) and (4) are equivalent. \square

3.3. Compact formula for DCGI

The following is a compact formula for DCGI.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ and the DCGI of \hat{A} exist, then*

$$\hat{A}^{\oplus} = \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ \tag{3.23}$$

$$= A^{\oplus} + \varepsilon (-A^{\oplus} B A^+ + A^{\#} B A^+ - A^{\#} B A^{\oplus} + A^{\oplus} (B A^+)^T (I_n - A A^+) + (I_n - A A^{\#}) B A^{\#} A^{\oplus}). \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.3, if \hat{A}^{\oplus} exists, then $\hat{A}^{\#}$ and \hat{A}^+ exist. Write $\hat{X} = \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+$. It is easy to check that

$$\hat{A} \hat{X} \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ \hat{A} = \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ \hat{A} = \hat{A}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\hat{A} \hat{X}^2 = \hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ = \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ \hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A}^+ = \hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A}^+ = \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ = \hat{X}. \tag{3.26}$$

Since $(\hat{A} \hat{X})^T = (\hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+)^T = (\hat{A} \hat{A}^+)^T = \hat{A} \hat{A}^+$ and $\hat{A} \hat{X} = \hat{A} \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+ = \hat{A} \hat{A}^+$, we get

$$(\hat{A} \hat{X})^T = \hat{A} \hat{X}. \tag{3.27}$$

To sum up, we get $\hat{A}^{\oplus} = \hat{X} = \hat{A}^{\#} \hat{A} \hat{A}^+$.

Substituting (1.2) and (1.3) into (3.23) gives (3.24). \square

In addition, substituting (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.13), (2.14) and (3.18) into (3.24), we get the following Theorem 3.8.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let the DCGI of $\hat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist; A and B be as forms in (2.9) and (2.15), respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}^{\oplus} = & U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ & + \varepsilon U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} - (\Sigma K)^{-1} B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} & K^{-1} \Sigma^{-2} (B_3 K^{-1})^T \\ B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

3.4. Relationships among some dual generalized inverses

There is a very interesting inverse, the Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse (MPDGI):

$$\widehat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+ \quad (3.29)$$

The inverse is useful for solving different kinematic problems [3]. Obviously, when the real part of a dual matrix is a nonsingular matrix, its MPDGI is equal to its DMPGI. Similarly, we consider laws and properties of DCGI in the form of $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$ and DGGI in the form of $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, and relationships among those dual generalized inverses.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist, where $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Then $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$, which is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and*

$$(I_n - A A^+) B = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. Suppose that A is core invertible. Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9), and the form of B be as in (2.15). Then

$$-A^\oplus B A^\oplus = U \begin{bmatrix} -(\Sigma K)^{-1} B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.31)$$

$$-A^\oplus B A^+ + A^\# B A^+ = U \begin{bmatrix} (\Sigma K)^{-1} K^{-1} L (B_3 K^T + B_4 L^T) \Sigma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.32)$$

$$-A^\# B A^\oplus = U \begin{bmatrix} -(\Sigma K)^{-1} B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} - (\Sigma K)^{-1} K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.33)$$

$$A^\oplus (B A^+)^T (I_n - A A^+) = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\Sigma K)^{-1} (B_3 K^T \Sigma^{-1} + B_4 L^T \Sigma^{-1})^T \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.34)$$

$$(I_n - A A^\#) B A^\# A^\oplus = U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \\ B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T, \quad (3.35)$$

$$(I_n - A A^+) B = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.36)$$

“ \implies ” Let the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist, and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$. According to Theorem 3.3, we get $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$. To make $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$, from the compact formula for DCGI (3.24), we get

$$A^\oplus B A^\oplus = -A^\oplus B A^+ + A^\# B A^+ - A^\# B A^\oplus + A^\oplus (B A^+)^T (I_n - A A^+) + (I_n - A A^\#) B A^\# A^\oplus.$$

It follows from (3.31), (3.32), (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35) that $B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} = 0$, so $B_3 = 0$. Since $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$, then $B_4 = 0$. By applying (3.36), $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$, we derive $(I_n - A A^+) B = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I_n - A A^+) B = 0$ are proved.

“ \impliedby ” Let $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $(I_n - A A^+) B = 0$, then $(I_n - A A^+) B (I_n - A A^\#) = 0$. According to Theorem 2.4, the DCGI of \widehat{A} exists. We know that the real part of the DCGI

is A^\oplus , that is, $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus + \varepsilon R$. Put equations (2.9), (2.11) and (2.15) into (3.36) to get $(I_n - AA^+)B = 0$. So $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$. Put $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$ into (3.24) to get

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -A^\oplus BA^+ + A^\# BA^+ - A^\# BA^\oplus + A^\oplus (BA^+)^T (I_n - AA^+) + (I_n - AA^\#) BA^\# A^\oplus \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} -(\Sigma K)^{-1} B_1 (\Sigma K)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = -A^\oplus BA^\oplus. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Therefore, $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus BA^\oplus$. \square

Since it is well known that $(I_n - AA^+)B = 0$ if and only if $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A)$, we get the following Theorem 3.10.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist, where $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Then $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus BA^\oplus$ is equivalent to $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A)$.*

Next, we continue to analyze DGGI in the form of $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# BA^\#$.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let the DGGI $\widehat{A}^\#$ of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist, where $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Then $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# BA^\#$ is equivalent to*

$$B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0 \text{ and } (I_n - AA^\#)B = 0. \quad (3.38)$$

Proof. Suppose that A is core invertible. Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9), the decomposition of $A^\#$ be as in (2.14) and the form of B be as in (2.15). Then we have

$$(A^\#)^2 B(I_n - AA^\#) = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\Sigma K)^{-2} (-B_1 K^{-1} L + B_2 - K^{-1} L B_3 K^{-1} L + K^{-1} L B_4) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T$$

and

$$(I_n - AA^\#) B (A^\#)^2 = U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & -K^{-1} L B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} K^{-1} L \\ B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} & B_3 (\Sigma K)^{-2} K^{-1} L \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.39)$$

“ \implies ” Let the DGGI $\widehat{A}^\#$ of $\widehat{A} \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exist, then $\text{Ind}(\widehat{A}) = 1$ from Theorem 3.3. Therefore, $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ and $B_4 = B_3 K^{-1} L$ from Theorem 2.2. Thus,

$$(A^\#)^2 B(I_n - AA^\#) = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -(\Sigma K)^{-2} B_1 K^{-1} L + (\Sigma K)^{-2} B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.40)$$

If $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# BA^\#$, by (1.3), (3.39) and (3.40), we have $(A^\#)^2 B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - AA^\#) B (A^\#)^2 = 0$, so $B_2 = B_1 K^{-1} L$ and $B_3 = 0$. It follows that

$$B = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_1 K^{-1} L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T. \quad (3.41)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(I_n - AA^\#) &= U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_1 K^{-1} L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1} L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0; \\ (I_n - AA^\#) B &= U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1} L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_1 K^{-1} L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (3.38) is established.

“ \Leftarrow ” Assuming $B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - AA^\#) B = 0$, it is easy to check that $(I_n - AA^\#) B(I_n - A^\# A) = 0$, $(A^\#)^2 B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - AA^\#) B(A^\#)^2 = 0$. According to Lemma 1.3, the DGGI of \widehat{A} exists. Therefore, by using (1.3), we get $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$. \square

In the following Theorem 3.12, Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14, we consider the relationships among $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$ and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$.

THEOREM 3.12. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, then DGGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$ if and only if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$.*

Proof. Suppose that A is group invertible. Let the decomposition of A be as in (2.9), and the form of B be as in (2.15).

“ \Rightarrow ” Let DGGI exist and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, then $B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - AA^\#) B = 0$ from Theorem 3.11.

Put equations (2.9), (2.14) and (2.15) into $(I_n - AA^\#) B = 0$, then we get

$$(I_n - AA^\#) B = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1} L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} -K^{-1} L B_3 & -K^{-1} L B_4 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0.$$

Therefore, $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$. By substituting (2.9) and (2.14) into $B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$, It follows that

$$B(I_n - AA^\#) = U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -K^{-1} L \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -B_1 K^{-1} L + B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0,$$

that is, $B_2 = B_1 K^{-1} L$.

Since $B_3 = 0$, $B_4 = 0$ and $B_2 = B_1 K^{-1} L$, substituting (2.9) and (2.11) into $(I_n - AA^+) B$ and $B(I_n - A^+ A)$, we have $(I_n - AA^+) B = B(I_n - A^+ A) = 0$. By applying Lemma 1.2, we know that DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$.

And because the DGGI exists, then $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$. To sum up, $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exist and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$. By applying Lemma 1.2, we know $(I_n - AA^+) B = 0$ and $B(I_n - A^+ A) = 0$. Substituting (2.9), (2.11) and (2.15) into $(I_n - AA^+) B = 0$, we get

$$(I_n - AA^+) B = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0,$$

that is, $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$.

Put (2.9), (2.11) and (2.15) into $B(I_n - A^+A) = 0$, by applying $B_3 = 0$ and $B_4 = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(I_n - A^+A) &= U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r - K^T K & -K^T L \\ -L^T K & I_{n-r} - L^T L \end{bmatrix} U^T \\ &= U \begin{bmatrix} B_1 - B_1 K^T K - B_2 L^T K & -B_1 K^T L + B_2 - B_2 L^T L \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} B_1 = B_1 K^T K + B_2 L^T K = (B_1 K^T + B_2 L^T) K, & (3.42a) \\ B_2 = B_2 L^T L + B_1 K^T L = (B_2 L^T + B_1 K^T) L. & (3.42b) \end{cases}$$

Since $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, then K is nonsingular. Applying (3.42a) gives

$$B_1 K^T + B_2 L^T = B_1 K^{-1}. \quad (3.43)$$

Substituting equation (3.43) into (3.42b), we have $B_2 = B_1 K^{-1} L$.

Since $B_3 = 0$, $B_4 = 0$ and $B_2 = B_1 K^{-1} L$, substituting (2.9) and (2.11) into $B(I_n - AA^\#)$ and $(I_n - AA^\#)B$, we have $B(I_n - AA^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - AA^\#)B = 0$. According to Theorem 3.11, DGGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$. \square

THEOREM 3.13. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$. If $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$, then DCGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$, then $(I_n - AA^+)B = 0$ by applying Lemma 1.2.

According to Theorem 3.9, if $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, $(I_n - AA^+)B = 0$, then the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exists, and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$. \square

THEOREM 3.14. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$. If $\widehat{A}^\#$ exists and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, then DCGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$.*

Proof. If $\widehat{A}^\#$ exists and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, then $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$, DMPGI exists and $\widehat{A}^+ = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$ from Theorem 3.12. And then DCGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$ from Theorem 3.13. \square

EXAMPLE 3.2. *Let $\widehat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. By applying (1.2), (1.3) and (3.24), we have*

$$\widehat{A}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \widehat{A}^\# = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \widehat{A}^\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \widehat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\# = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$, $\widehat{A}^+ \neq \widehat{A}^P$ and $\widehat{A}^\# \neq A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$. This means that when DCGI exists and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$, there is not necessarily $\widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$ or $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$.

3.5. Symmetric dual matrix

We know that in real field, the index of a symmetric matrix must be equal to one, and its core inverse is equal to its Moore-Penrose inverse and its group inverse. But it is not true for some symmetric dual matrices. Even some symmetric dual matrices have not DCGIs and DGGIs. For example,

EXAMPLE 3.3. $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$, where a, b and c are not 0. Because

$\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2) = 2$, $\text{rank}([A \ B \ (I_3 - AA^\#)]) = 3$ and $2 \neq 3$, we can get that the dual index of \widehat{A} is not one, \widehat{A} does not satisfy Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.3. Therefore, \widehat{A} has no DGGI and DCGI. And \widehat{A} also has no DMPGI from Theorem 2.8.

THEOREM 3.15. If the dual matrix \widehat{A} is a symmetric dual matrix, and the dual index of \widehat{A} is one, then

$$\widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^\oplus. \quad (3.44)$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, $\widehat{A}^T = \widehat{A}$, $\text{rank}(A) = r$ and the dual index of \widehat{A} be one. According to Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.3, the DGGI, DMPGI and DCGI of \widehat{A} exist simultaneously. Since $\widehat{A} = \widehat{A}^T$, we get that A is symmetrical; B is symmetrical; A^2 is symmetrical and $(A^2)^\# = (A^2)^+ = (AA^T)^+ = (A^T A)^+$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^+ &= A^\#, \quad A^+ B A^+ = A^\# B A^\# \\ (A^T A)^+ B^T (I_n - A A^+) &= (A^\#)^2 B (I_n - A^\# A), \\ (I_n - A^+ A) B^T (A A^T)^+ &= (I_n - A A^\#) B (A^\#)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by applying (1.2) and (1.3), we derive that $\widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+$.

It follows from $\widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+$ and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# \widehat{A} \widehat{A}^+$ in (3.23) that $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# \widehat{A} \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^\# \widehat{A} \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+$. Therefore, $\widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^\oplus$. \square

THEOREM 3.16. If the DCGI $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus + \varepsilon R$ of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ exists, and \widehat{A} is a symmetric dual matrix, then

$$\widehat{A}^\oplus = (\widehat{A}^\oplus)^T = A^\oplus + \varepsilon \left((A^\oplus)^2 B (I - A A^\oplus) + (I - A A^\oplus) B (A^\oplus)^2 \right). \quad (3.45)$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.3, if the DCGI of \widehat{A} exists, then DGGI and DMPGI exist.

And then $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# \widehat{A} \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^+ \widehat{A} \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+$ by (3.44). From $(\widehat{A}^+)^T = \widehat{A}^+$ (see [21]), so $(\widehat{A}^\oplus)^T = \widehat{A}^\oplus$.

Since \widehat{A} is a symmetric dual matrix, then we have $A^T = A$ and $B^T = B$. Moreover, $A^\oplus = A^\# = A^+ = (A^\oplus)^T = (A^\#)^T = (A^+)^T$.

According to the formula (3.24), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A}^\oplus &= A^\oplus + \varepsilon (-A^\oplus B A^+ + A^\# B A^+ - A^\# B A^\oplus + A^\oplus (B A^+)^T (I - A A^+) + (I - A A^\#) B A^\# A^\oplus) \\
&= A^\oplus + \varepsilon (-A^\oplus B A^\oplus + A^\oplus (B A^+)^T (I - A A^+) + (I - A A^\#) B A^\# A^\oplus) \\
&= A^\oplus + \varepsilon (-A^\oplus B A^\oplus + A^\oplus (B A^\oplus)^T (I - A A^\oplus) + (I - A A^\oplus) B A^\oplus A^\oplus) \\
&= A^\oplus + \varepsilon (-A^\oplus B A^\oplus + A^\oplus (A^\oplus)^T B^T (I - A A^\oplus) + (I - A A^\oplus) B A^\oplus A^\oplus) \\
&= A^\oplus + \varepsilon (-A^\oplus B A^\oplus + (A^\oplus)^2 B (I - A A^\oplus) + (I - A A^\oplus) B (A^\oplus)^2),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, (4.1). □

Next, we consider some properties of DCGI, DGGI and DMPGI in special forms when the research object is a symmetric dual matrix.

LEMMA 3.17 ([27]). *Let $A \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}_{n,n}$, then $\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ if and only if $\text{rank}([A \ B]) = \text{rank}(A)$.*

THEOREM 3.18. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ be an n -order symmetric dual matrix. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus of \widehat{A} exists, and $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+$;*
- (2) *$(I_n - A A^+) B = 0$ or $(I_n - A A^\#) B = 0$ or $(I_n - A A^\oplus) B = 0$;*
- (3) *$\text{rank}([A \ B]) = \text{rank}(A)$;*
- (4) *$\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$;*
- (5) *$B(I_n - A A^+) = 0$ or $B(I_n - A A^\#) = 0$ or $B(I_n - A A^\oplus) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{A} \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$, $\text{rank}(A) = r$ and $\widehat{A}^T = \widehat{A}$. Since \widehat{A} is a symmetric dual matrix, then the real part A is symmetric, and $A^\oplus = A^+ = A^\#$. Therefore, (1) is $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^P = A^+ - \varepsilon A^+ B A^+ = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\# = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$. And (2), (5) are equivalent.

“(1) \implies (2)” Let $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^\# = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^P = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$, from Theorem 3.11, $B(I_n - A A^\#) = 0$ and $(I_n - A A^\#) B = 0$. Therefore, we get $(I_n - A A^\#) B = 0$. And because $A^\oplus = A^+ = A^\#$, (2) is established.

“(1) \impliedby (2)” Let $(I_n - A A^\#) B = 0$. Because \widehat{A} is symmetrical, $B(I_n - A A^\#) = 0$. Applying Theorem 3.11 gives that DGGI $\widehat{A}^\#$ of $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B$ exists and $\widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$.

According to Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.3, when $\widehat{A}^\#$ exists, \widehat{A}^\oplus and \widehat{A}^+ exist, and the dual index of \widehat{A} is 1. According to Theorem 3.15, $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^\#$. Then $\widehat{A}^\oplus = \widehat{A}^+ = \widehat{A}^\# = A^\# - \varepsilon A^\# B A^\#$. Considering that \widehat{A} is a symmetric dual matrix, then $A^\oplus = A^+ = A^\#$. Therefore, (1) is established.

According to Theorem 3.10, (1) and (3) are equivalent. According to Lemma 3.17, (3) and (4) are equivalent. \square

According to Theorem 3.15 and Theorem 3.16, it is easy to get the following Corollary 3.19.

COROLLARY 3.19. *Let $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B \in \mathbb{D}_{n,n}$ be a symmetric dual matrix. If the DCGI, DGGI, DMPGI of \widehat{A} exist, then they are symmetrical and equal.*

4. Applications of DCGI in Linear Dual Equations

In this section, we use two examples to illustrate some application of DCGI in solving linear dual equations.

First we consider solving a consistent linear dual equation by DCGI in Example 4.1. We give a general solution to the consistent dual equation.

EXAMPLE 4.1. *Let $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$ be a consistent equation, where*

$$\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \widehat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{x}_1 \\ \widehat{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \widehat{x}_i = x_i + \varepsilon x'_i, i = 1, 2.$$

It is easy to check that $\text{rank}(A^2) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}([A \ B(I_n - AA^\#)]) = 1$. By applying Theorem 2.1, we get that the dual index of \widehat{A} is one, that is, the DCGI \widehat{A}^\oplus exists. Applying (3.24) gives

$$\widehat{A}^\oplus = G + \varepsilon R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$\widehat{x} = \widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} + (I - \widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{A}) \widehat{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix} \widehat{w}, \quad (4.2)$$

where \widehat{w} is an arbitrary n -by-1 dual column vector.

By substituting (4.2) into $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}\widehat{x} - \widehat{b} &= \widehat{A}(\widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} + (I - \widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{A}) \widehat{w}) - \widehat{b} = \widehat{A}\widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} - \widehat{b} + (\widehat{A} - \widehat{A}\widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{A}) \widehat{w} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} - \widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} - \widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, (4.2) is the solution to $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$.

Meanwhile, let \widehat{x} be any solution to $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$. Pre-multiplying $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$ by \widehat{A}^\oplus , we get $\widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{b}$, then

$$\widehat{x} = \widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{b} + \widehat{x} - \widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{b} + (I - \widehat{A}^\oplus\widehat{A})\widehat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon & 1 \end{bmatrix}\widehat{x}.$$

Therefore, each solution to $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$ can be written as (4.2) in which $\widehat{w} = \widehat{x}$.

To sum up, (4.2) is the general solution to $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$.

In order to solve inconsistent dual linear equation, Udwardia [21] introduces the norm of the dual vector. Consider the m -by-1 dual vector $\widehat{u}_i = p_i + \varepsilon q_i$. Write

$$\|\widehat{u}_i\|^2 = (p_i + \varepsilon q_i)^\top (p_i + \varepsilon q_i) = \|p_i\|^2 + 2\varepsilon p_i^\top q_i, \quad (4.3)$$

where $p_i \neq 0$ and $\|p_i\|^2 = p_i^\top p_i$. By using the right-most expression in (4.3), one norm of the dual vector \widehat{u}_i is given as

$$\langle \widehat{u}_i \rangle := \|p_i\| + \|q_i\|. \quad (4.4)$$

In (4.3), the dual norm is used to determine the magnitude of the error. In [21], Udwardia introduce the analog of the least-squares solution of any inconsistent dual equation $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$ and gives the corresponding solution - analog of the least-squares solution $\widehat{x} = \widehat{A}^{(1,3)}\widehat{b} + (I - \widehat{A}^{(1,3)}\widehat{A})\widehat{h}$, where \widehat{h} is an arbitrary dual column vector and $\widehat{A}^{(1,3)}$ exists. It can be found that the real part x of the analog of the least-squares solution is the least-squares solution to equation $Ax = b$, where A , b and x are matched with the real parts of \widehat{A} , \widehat{b} and \widehat{x} respectively.

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let the inconsistent equation be $\widehat{A}\widehat{x} = \widehat{b}$, where $\widehat{A} = A + \varepsilon B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 10 \\ 9 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 + 10\varepsilon & 2 + 10\varepsilon \\ 2 + 9\varepsilon & 1 + 7\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$, $\widehat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\widehat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{x}_1 \\ \widehat{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\widehat{x}_i = x_i + \varepsilon x'_i$, $i = 1, 2$, that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 + 10\varepsilon & 2 + 10\varepsilon \\ 2 + 9\varepsilon & 1 + 7\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{x}_1 \\ \widehat{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

Then

$$\widehat{A}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1600 - 0.6880\varepsilon & 0.0800 - 0.1840\varepsilon \\ 0.0800 - 0.1440\varepsilon & 0.0400 + 0.0080\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

By applying the Result 12 of Udwardia in [21], the analogue of the least-squares of the inconsistent equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{x} &= \widehat{A}^+\widehat{b} + (I - \widehat{A}^+\widehat{A})\widehat{h} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0800 - 0.0240\varepsilon \\ 0.0400 + 0.0880\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.2000 + 0.8000\varepsilon & -0.4000 - 0.6000\varepsilon \\ -0.4000 - 0.8000\varepsilon & 0.8000 - 0.8000\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}\widehat{h}, \end{aligned}$$

where \widehat{h} is an arbitrary n -by-1 dual vector. The norm of the error

$$\langle \widehat{e}^* \rangle = \langle \widehat{A}\widehat{x} - \widehat{b} \rangle = \langle \widehat{A}\widehat{A}^+\widehat{b} - \widehat{b} \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0.4000 \\ -0.8000 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle + \varepsilon \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 0.2800 \\ 1.0400 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = 1.9715. \quad (4.6)$$

According to Theorem 2.1, we have $\text{rank}(A^2) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B(I_n - AA^\#) \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1$, that is, the dual index of \widehat{A} is one. And from Theorem 3.3, it can be seen that \widehat{A}^\oplus exists. Then

$$\widehat{A}^\oplus = G + \varepsilon R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1600 - 0.6720\varepsilon & 0.0800 - 0.1760\varepsilon \\ 0.0800 - 0.1760\varepsilon & 0.0400 - 0.0080\varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

by the compact formula (3.24). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{x} &= \widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} + \left(I - \widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{A} \right) \widehat{w} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0800 - 0.0160\varepsilon \\ 0.0400 + 0.0720\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.2000 + 0.7200\varepsilon & -0.4000 - 0.6400\varepsilon \\ -0.4000 - 0.4400\varepsilon & 0.8000 - 0.7200\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \widehat{w}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where \widehat{w} is an arbitrary n -by-1 dual vector. The norm of the error is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{e} \rangle &= \langle \widehat{A}\widehat{x} - \widehat{b} \rangle = \langle \widehat{A}\widehat{A}^\oplus \widehat{b} - \widehat{b} \rangle = \langle \widehat{u}_2 \rangle = \|m_2\| + \|n_2\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0.4000 \\ -0.8000 \end{bmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0.2800 \\ 1.0400 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = 1.9715. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, from (4.6) and (4.8), we see that $\langle \widehat{e}^* \rangle = \langle \widehat{e} \rangle = 1.9715$, that is, (4.7) is also the analog of the least-squares solution of (4.5).

The two examples in this section calculate the DCGIs of the two dual matrices through the compact formula (3.24). When the dual index of any dual matrix is one, its DCGI exists. On this basis, we can obtain DCGI directly through the compact formula (3.24). However, in order to reduce the amount of calculation, we can first consider equation (3.30) in Theorem 3.9. If the dual matrix satisfies equation (3.30), then $\widehat{A}^\oplus = A^\oplus - \varepsilon A^\oplus B A^\oplus$. Otherwise, we have to use the compact formula (3.24).

5. Conclusions

The first part of this paper provides some new findings about dual index one of dual matrices, including characterizations of the dual index one. We obtain that DGGI exists if and only if the dual index is one. Also, when the dual index is one, DMPGI exists and the real part index of the dual Moore-Penrose generalized invertible matrix is one, and vice versa. The second part of this paper explores DCGI systematically. Some results from the second part of the paper are as follows:

1. If a dual core generalized inverse (DCGI) of a dual matrix exists, it is unique.
2. If DCGI exists, a compact formula for DCGI is given.
3. We provide a series of equivalent characterizations of the existence of DCGI, for example, the dual index is one if and only if DCGI exists.
4. Relations among MPDGI, DMPGI, DCGI and DGGI are proved.

In the third part, DCGI is applied to linear dual equations through a consistent dual equation and an inconsistent dual equation.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- [1] I. S. Fischer. Dual-Number Methods in Kinematics, Statics and Dynamics[M]. Routledge, 2017.
- [2] J. M. R. Martínez, J. Duffy. The principle of transference: history, statement and proof[J]. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 1993, 28(1): 165-177.
- [3] D. de Falco, E. Pennestrì, F. E. Udwardia. On generalized inverses of dual matrices[J]. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2018, 123: 89-106.
- [4] E. Pennestrì, P. P. Valentini, G. Figliolini, J. Angeles. Dual cayley-klein parameters and mobius transform: theory and applications[J]. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2016, 106: 50-67.
- [5] A. Perez, J. M. Mccarthy. Bennett's linkage and the cylindroid[J]. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 2002, 37(11): 1245-1260.
- [6] J. Yang, X. Wang. The application of the dual number methods to scara kinematics[C]. 2010 International Conference on Mechanic Automation and Control Engineering IEEE, 2010: 3871-3874.
- [7] V. Brodsky, M. Shoham. Derivation of dual forces in robot manipulators[J]. Mechanism and Machine Theory, 1998, 33(8): 1241-1248.
- [8] Y-L. Gu, J. Luh. Dual-number transformation and its applications to robotics[J]. IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation, 1987, 3(6): 615-623.
- [9] H. Heiß. Homogeneous and dual matrices for treating the kinematic problem of robots[J]. IFAC Proceedings Volumes, 1986, 19(14): 51-55.
- [10] M. L. Keler. Dual vectors of the kinematic and the dynamic kind in matrices. In: Lenarčič J, Parenti-Castelli V. (eds) Recent Advances in Robot Kinematics[M]. Springer, Dordrecht, 1996: 245-254.
- [11] E. Pennestrì, P. P. Valentini. Linear dual algebra algorithms and their application to kinematics[M] Multibody Dynamics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009: 207-229.
- [12] B. Belzile, J. Angeles. Reflections over the dual ring-applications to kinematic synthesis[J]. Journal of Mechanical Design, 2019, 141(7): 072302.
- [13] A. Joubair, I. A. Bonev. Kinematic calibration of a six-axis serial robot using distance and sphere constraints[J]. International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 2015, 77(1-4): 515-523.

- [14] A. C. Majarena, J. Santolaria, D. Samper, J. J. Aguilar. An overview of kinematic and calibration models using internal/external sensors or constraints to improve the behavior of spatial parallel mechanisms[J]. *Sensors*, 2010, 10(11): 10256-10297.
- [15] E. Pennestrì, P. P. Valentini, D. de Falco. The Moore-Penrose dual generalized inverse matrix with application to kinematic synthesis of spatial linkages[J]. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 2018, 140(10): 1023031-1023037.
- [16] M. L. Keler. Analyse und synthese der raumkurbelgetriebe mittels raumliniengeometrie und dualer Größen[J]. *Forschung Auf Dem Gebiet Des Ingenieurwesens A*, 1959, 25(1): 26-32.
- [17] R. Beyer. *Technische Raumkinematik*[M]. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Gottingen, Heidelberg, 1963 .
- [18] F. E. Udwardia, E. Pennestrì, D. D. Falco. Do all dual matrices have dual Moore-Penrose generalized inverses?[J]. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 2020, 151: 103878.
- [19] H. Wang. Characterizations and properties of the MPDGI and DMPGI[J]. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 2021, 158(7): 104212.
- [20] J. Zhong, Y. Zhang. Dual group inverses of dual matrices and their applications in solving systems of linear dual equation[J]. *AIMS Mathematics*, 2022, 7(5): 7606-7624.
- [21] F. E. Udwardia. Dual generalized inverses and their use in solving systems of linear dual equations[J]. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 2021, 156: 104158.
- [22] L. Qi, C. Ling, H. Yan. Dual quaternions and dual quaternion vectors[J]. *Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2022: 1-15, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42967-022-00189-y>.
- [23] O. M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler. Core inverse of matrices[J]. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 2010, 58: 681-697.
- [24] H. Diao, Y. Wei. Structured perturbations of group inverse and singular linear system with index one[J]. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2005, 173(1): 93-113.
- [25] L. Lin, Y. Wei, N. Zhang. Convergence and quotient convergence of iterative methods for solving singular linear equations with index one[J]. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 2009, 430(5-6):1665-1674.
- [26] R. E. Hartwig, K. Spindelböck. Matrices for which A^* and A^+ commute[J]. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 1984, 14: 241-256.
- [27] G. Marsaglia, G. P. H. Styan. Equalities and inequities for ranks of matrices[J]. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 1974, 2(3): 269-292.