

SPLIT MALCEV-POISSON-JORDAN ALGEBRAS

ELISABETE BARREIRO AND JOSÉ M. SÁNCHEZ

ABSTRACT. We introduce the class of split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras as the natural extension of the one of split Malcev Poisson algebras, and therefore split (non-commutative) Poisson algebras. We show that a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P can be written as a direct sum $P = \bigoplus_{j \in J} I_j$ with any I_j a non-zero ideal of P in such a way that satisfies $[I_{j_1}, I_{j_2}] = I_{j_1} \circ I_{j_2} = 0$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$. Under certain conditions, it is shown that the above decomposition of P is by means of the family of its simple ideals.

Keywords: Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras; structure theory; roots; root spaces.

2010 MSC: 17A60, 17B22.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PREVIOUS DEFINITIONS

Recently Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras were presented in [1] as a natural reformulation of Poisson algebras endowed with a Malcev structure and Jordan conditions (instead of the Lie structure and associativity, respectively) related by a Leibniz identity. Furthermore, in the referred paper the authors presented Malcev-Poisson-Jordan structures on some classes of Malcev algebras, also study the concept of pseudo-Euclidean Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras and nilpotent pseudo-Euclidean Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras are defined.

In the present paper we introduce the class of split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras as the natural extension of the one of split (non-commutative) Poisson algebras and study its structure. We define connections on the root system of a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P that becomes an equivalence relation. We prove that P can be expressed as $P = \bigoplus_{j \in J} I_j$ with any I_j a non-zero ideal of P in such a way that satisfies $[I_{j_1}, I_{j_2}] = I_{j_1} \circ I_{j_2} = 0$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$, and under additional hypothesis these components of P are simple.

The first author was supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra - UIDB/00324/2020, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES. The second author acknowledges the support by the PCI of the UCA ‘Teoría de Lie y Teoría de Espacios de Banach’ and by the PAI with project number FQM298.

The paper is structured as follows. In this section we present some basic tools needed in the sequel. Section 2 introduces the connection techniques in the framework of Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras and it applies these techniques to study the structure of split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras. Under certain conditions, in Section 3 it is shown that the previous result can be improved with a direct sum of simple components.

A *Malcev algebra* is an algebra $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over a field \mathbb{K} whose multiplication satisfies:

- $[x, x] = 0$,
- $[J(x, y, z), x] = J(x, y, [x, z])$,

for all $x, y, z \in M$, where as usual $J(x, y, z) := [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y] - [x, [y, z]]$ is the *Jacobian* of x, y, z .

A *Jordan algebra* is an algebra P over a field \mathbb{K} whose multiplication, defined by juxtaposition, satisfies the following axioms:

- $xy = yx$,
- $(x^2y)x = x^2(yx)$,

for all $x, y \in P$.

Definition 1.1. A *Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra* P (also called *MPJ-algebra* for short) is a \mathbb{K} -vector space equipped with two bilinear multiplications such that $(P, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Malcev algebra, P with multiplication defined by juxtaposition is a Jordan algebra and these two operations are related by the *Leibniz condition*:

$$(1) \quad [x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z],$$

for any $x, y, z \in P$.

Poisson algebras as well as Malcev algebras are MPJ-algebras, considering always the other product zero. Also, a MPJ-algebra is a Malcev Poisson algebra if the multiplication defined by juxtaposition is associative. So the present paper extends the works presented in [4, 5, 6].

A linear subspace S of a MPJ-algebra P is called a *subalgebra* of P if $[S, S] \subset S$ and $SS \subset S$. A subalgebra S of a MPJ-algebra P is *abelian* if S satisfies $[S, S] = SS = 0$. An *ideal* of a MPJ-algebra P is a subalgebra I of P satisfying $[I, P] \subset I$ and $IP \subset I$.

In order to study the structure of MPJ-algebras of arbitrary dimension and over an arbitrary base field, we introduce the concept of split MPJ-algebra as a MPJ-algebra in which the underlying Malcev algebra structure is split. So, let us recall the concept of a split Malcev algebra (see [5]). Let H be a maximal abelian subalgebra (MASA) of a Malcev algebra $(M, [\cdot, \cdot])$. As usual H^* denotes the dual space of H . For a linear functional $\alpha : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we define the root space of M (with respect to H) associated to α as the

subspace

$$M_\alpha = \left\{ v_\alpha \in M : [h, v_\alpha] = \alpha(h)v_\alpha \text{ for any } h \in H \right\}.$$

The elements $\alpha \in H^*$ satisfying $M_\alpha \neq 0$ are called roots of M (with respect to H). We denote $\Lambda := \{\alpha \in H^* \setminus \{0\} : M_\alpha \neq 0\}$ and say that Λ is the root system of M . We say that M is a *split Malcev algebra* (with respect to H) if

$$M = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha \right).$$

Definition 1.2. A *split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra* is a Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra in which the Malcev algebra $(P, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is split with respect to a MASA H of $(P, [\cdot, \cdot])$, and H is also an abelian subalgebra of the MPJ-algebra P .

This means that we can decompose P as the direct sum

$$P = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha \right)$$

where

$$P_\alpha := \left\{ v_\alpha \in P : [h, v_\alpha] = \alpha(h)v_\alpha \text{ for any } h \in H \right\},$$

for a linear functional $\alpha \in H^*$ and $\Lambda := \{\alpha \in H^* \setminus \{0\} : P_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is the corresponding root system. The elements $\alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ are called roots of P with respect to H , the subspaces P_α are called root spaces of P (with respect to H).

It is clear that the root space P_0 of the zero root contains H . Conversely, given any $v_0 \in P_0$ we can write $v_0 = h + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\alpha_i}$ with $h \in H$ and $v_{\alpha_i} \in P_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, being $\alpha_i \in \Lambda$ with $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $i \neq j$. Hence $0 = [h', h + \sum_{i=1}^n v_{\alpha_i}] = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(h')v_{\alpha_i}$ for any $h' \in H$. So, taking into account the direct character of the sum and that $\alpha_i \neq 0$, we have that any $v_{\alpha_i} = 0$ and then $v_0 \in H$. Consequently

$$(2) \quad H = P_0.$$

It is worth to mention that throughout this paper Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras P are considered of arbitrary dimension and over an arbitrary base field \mathbb{K} of characteristic different from 2. We also note that, unless otherwise stated, there is no restrictions on $\dim P_\alpha$, the products $[P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}]$, $P_\alpha P_{-\alpha}$ and $\{k \in \mathbb{K} : k\alpha \in \Lambda\}$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let P be a split MPJ-algebra and $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- i) *If $[P_\alpha, P_\beta] \neq 0$ with $\beta \neq \alpha$ then $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $[P_\alpha, P_\beta] \subset P_{\alpha+\beta}$.*

- ii) If $[P_\alpha, P_\alpha] \neq 0$ then $2\alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ or $-\alpha \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $[P_\alpha, P_\alpha] \subset P_{2\alpha} + P_{-\alpha}$, where $P_{2\alpha} + P_{-\alpha}$ means the semidirect sum of $P_{2\alpha}$ and $P_{-\alpha}$.
- iii) If $P_\alpha P_\beta \neq 0$ then $\alpha + \beta \in \Lambda \cup \{0\}$ and $P_\alpha P_\beta \subset P_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Proof. First two items can be proved as in the finite dimensional case (see [5] and references therein). The third can be verified by taking into account (1). In fact, for any $h \in H$, $v_\alpha \in P_\alpha$, and $v_\beta \in P_\beta$ we have,

$$[h, v_\alpha v_\beta] = [h, v_\alpha]v_\beta + v_\alpha[h, v_\beta] = \alpha(h)v_\alpha v_\beta + \beta(h)v_\alpha v_\beta = (\alpha + \beta)(h)v_\alpha v_\beta,$$

therefore $v_\alpha v_\beta \in P_{\alpha+\beta}$. \square

Observe that Equation (2) and Lemma 1.3-iii) imply

$$HP_\alpha + P_\alpha H \subset P_\alpha, \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Lambda.$$

2. CONNECTIONS OF ROOTS. DECOMPOSITIONS

In the following P denotes a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra with root system Λ , and $P = H \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha)$ its corresponding root decomposition. Given a linear functional $\alpha : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, we denote by $-\alpha : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ the form in H^* defined by $(-\alpha)(h) := -\alpha(h)$, for any $h \in H$. We also represent $-\Lambda := \{-\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ and $\pm\Lambda := \Lambda \cup -\Lambda$. Let us denote by

$$\Omega := \left\{ \alpha \in \Lambda : [P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] \neq 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \alpha \in \Lambda : [[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}], P_\alpha] \neq 0 \text{ for some } \beta \in \Lambda \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ \alpha \in \Lambda : P_\alpha P_{-\alpha} \neq 0 \right\}$$

Since $[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}] \subset H$, the skew-symmetry of the Malcev product joint with $\alpha([P_\beta, P_{-\beta}]) \neq 0$ implies $-\alpha([P_\beta, P_{-\beta}]) \neq 0$, we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega \text{ and } -\alpha \in \Lambda \text{ then } -\alpha \in \Omega.$$

We associate to any $\alpha \in \Omega$ the symbol θ_α and denote $\Theta_\Omega := \{\theta_\alpha : \alpha \in \Omega\}$.

Let us define the mapping

$$\star : (\pm\Lambda \cup \Theta_\Omega) \times \pm\Lambda \rightarrow H^* \cup \Theta_\Omega,$$

as follows:

- For $\alpha \in \pm\Lambda$, $\alpha \star (-\alpha) := \begin{cases} \theta_\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha \notin \Omega \end{cases}$
- For $\alpha, \beta \in \pm\Lambda$ with $\beta \neq -\alpha$, we define $\alpha \star \beta \in H^*$ as the usual sum of linear functionals, that is $(\alpha \star \beta)(h) := (\alpha + \beta)(h) = \alpha(h) + \beta(h)$ for any $h \in H$.
- For $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $\beta \in \pm\Lambda$,

$$\theta_\alpha \star \beta := \begin{cases} \beta, & \text{if either } [[P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}], P_\beta] \neq 0 \text{ or } (P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha})P_\beta \neq 0 \\ & \text{or } [P_\alpha P_{-\alpha}, P_\beta] \neq 0 \text{ or } [P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}]P_\beta \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $0 : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ denotes the zero root.

Note that Equation (3) implies that

$$\text{if } \alpha \star (-\alpha) = \theta_\alpha \text{ then } (-\alpha) \star \alpha = \theta_{-\alpha}.$$

Definition 2.1. Let α, β be two non-zero roots. We say that α is *connected* to β if there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \pm\Lambda$ such that

- i) $\alpha_1 = \alpha$
- ii) $\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2 \in \pm\Lambda \cup \Theta_\Omega,$
 $(\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2) \star \alpha_3 \in \pm\Lambda \cup \Theta_\Omega,$
 \vdots
 $(\dots((\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2) \star \alpha_3) \star \dots) \star \alpha_{n-1} \in \pm\Lambda \cup \Theta_\Omega.$
- iii) $((\dots((\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2) \star \alpha_3) \star \dots) \star \alpha_{n-1}) \star \alpha_n \in \{\beta, -\beta\}.$

We also say that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is a *connection* from α to β .

Observe that $\{\alpha\}$ is a connection from α to itself and to $-\alpha$. The proof of the next result is analogous to the proof of [5, Proposition 3.1] taking into account Λ is symmetric in the sense defined in that work.

Proposition 2.2. *The relation \sim in Λ , defined by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if α is connected to β , is of equivalence.*

Applying Proposition 2.2, we may consider the quotient set

$$\Lambda / \sim := \{[\alpha] : \alpha \in \Lambda\},$$

where $[\alpha] := \{\beta \in \Lambda : \beta \sim \alpha\}$. Our next goal is to associate an (adequate) ideal $I_{[\alpha]}$ of the split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P to any $[\alpha]$ of Λ / \sim . For each $[\alpha]$, with $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we define

$$I_{H, [\alpha]} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \left\{ [P_\beta, P_{-\beta}] + P_\beta P_{-\beta} : \beta \in [\alpha] \right\} \subset H$$

and

$$V_{[\alpha]} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} P_\beta.$$

Finally, we denote by $I_{[\alpha]}$ the following linear subspace of P ,

$$I_{[\alpha]} := I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}.$$

The first item of next proposition says that for any $[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim$, the linear subspace $I_{[\alpha]}$ is a subalgebra of P .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\alpha, \gamma \in \Lambda$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- i) $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\alpha]}] \subset I_{[\alpha]}$ and $I_{[\alpha]} I_{[\alpha]} \subset I_{[\alpha]}$.
- ii) If $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$ then $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} = 0$.

Proof. i) We have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right] \subset \\ & \left[I_{H, [\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \right] + \left[I_{H, [\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]} \right] + \left[V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \right] + \left[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right) \left(I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right) \subset \\ & I_{H, [\alpha]} I_{H, [\alpha]} + I_{H, [\alpha]} V_{[\alpha]} + V_{[\alpha]} I_{H, [\alpha]} + V_{[\alpha]} V_{[\alpha]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $I_{H, [\alpha]} \subset H$ we get

$$(6) \quad \left[I_{H, [\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \right] = 0 \text{ and } \left[I_{H, [\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]} \right] + \left[V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \right] \subset V_{[\alpha]},$$

so we only have to consider the summand $\left[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]} \right]$ in Equation (4). Given $\beta, \delta \in [\alpha]$ such that $\left[P_\beta, P_\delta \right] \neq 0$. Assume that $\beta \neq \delta$. If $\delta = -\beta$, then clearly $\left[P_\beta, P_\delta \right] = \left[P_\beta, P_{-\beta} \right] \subset I_{H, [\alpha]}$. Suppose $\delta \neq -\beta$. Taking into account that $\left[P_\beta, P_\delta \right] \neq 0$ joint with Lemma 1.3-i) ensures $\beta + \delta \in \Lambda$, we have that $\{\beta, \delta\}$ is a connection from β to $\beta + \delta$. The transitivity of \sim gives now that $\beta + \delta \in [\alpha]$ and so $\left[P_\beta, P_\delta \right] \subset P_{\beta+\delta} \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Finally, in case $\delta = \beta$ we proceed as above with Lemma 1.3-ii) to get either $2\beta \in \Lambda$ or $-\beta \in \Lambda$, and considering the connections $\{\beta, \beta\}$ or $\{\beta, -\beta\}$, respectively, we have by transitivity that $2\beta \sim \alpha$ or $-\beta \sim \alpha$, respectively, and again $\left[P_\beta, P_\beta \right] \subset P_{2\beta} + P_{-\beta} \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Consequently

$$(7) \quad \left[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\alpha]} \right] \subset I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}.$$

From Equations (4), (6) and (7) we get

$$\left[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\alpha]} \right] = \left[I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right] \subset I_{[\alpha]}.$$

Let us consider Equation (5). Since $I_{H, [\alpha]} \subset H$ we get $I_{H, [\alpha]} I_{H, [\alpha]} = 0$ (because H is an abelian subalgebra of the MPJ-algebra P) and taking into account Lemma 1.3-iii),

$$(8) \quad I_{H, [\alpha]} V_{[\alpha]} + V_{[\alpha]} I_{H, [\alpha]} \subset V_{[\alpha]},$$

so we only have to consider the product $V_{[\alpha]} V_{[\alpha]}$ in Equation (5). Given $\beta, \delta \in [\alpha]$ such that $P_\beta P_\delta \neq 0$. If $\delta = -\beta$, then clearly $P_\beta P_\delta = P_\beta P_{-\beta} \subset I_{H, [\alpha]}$. Suppose $\delta \neq -\beta$. Taking into account that $P_\beta P_\delta \neq 0$ joint with Lemma 1.3-iii) ensures $\beta + \delta \in \Lambda$, we have that $\{\beta, \delta\}$ is a connection from β to $\beta + \delta$. The transitivity of \sim gives now that $\beta + \delta \in [\alpha]$ and so $P_\beta P_\delta \subset P_{\beta+\delta} \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Consequently

$$(9) \quad V_{[\alpha]} V_{[\alpha]} \subset I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}.$$

From here, Equations (5), (8) and (9) give us

$$I_{[\alpha]} I_{[\alpha]} = \left(I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right) \left(I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]} \right) \subset I_{[\alpha]}.$$

ii) Let $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$. Since $[I_{H,[\alpha]}, I_{H,[\gamma]}] \subset [H, H] = 0$ and $I_{H,[\alpha]}I_{H,[\gamma]} \subset HH = 0$, we have

$$(10) \quad [I_{H,[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H,[\gamma]} \oplus V_{[\gamma]}] \subset [I_{H,[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] + [V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H,[\gamma]}] + [V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}].$$

as well

$$(11) \quad (I_{H,[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]})(I_{H,[\gamma]} \oplus V_{[\gamma]}) \subset I_{H,[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]} + V_{[\alpha]}I_{H,[\gamma]} + V_{[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]}.$$

Consider the third summand $[V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}]$ in Equation (10), and suppose there exist $\beta \in [\alpha]$ and $\eta \in [\gamma]$ such that $[P_\beta, P_\eta] \neq 0$. As necessarily $\beta \neq -\eta$, by Lemma 1.3-i) then $\beta + \eta \in \Lambda$. So $\{\beta, \eta, -\beta\}$ is a connection from β to η . By transitivity of the connection relation $\alpha \in [\gamma]$, a contradiction. Hence $[P_\beta, P_\eta] = 0$ and so

$$(12) \quad [V_{[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] = 0.$$

A similar argument, using now Lemma 1.3-iii), can be applied to the third summand $V_{[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]}$ in Equation (11) and we also conclude

$$(13) \quad V_{[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]} = 0.$$

Consider now the first summand $[I_{H,[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}]$ in Equation (10) and the first one $I_{H,[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]}$ in Equation (11), and suppose there exist $\beta \in [\alpha]$ and $\eta \in [\gamma]$ such that

$$[[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}], P_\eta] + [P_\beta P_{-\beta}, P_\eta] + [P_\beta, P_{-\beta}]P_\eta + (P_\beta P_{-\beta})P_\eta \neq 0.$$

Suppose the first summand $[[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}], P_\eta]$ is non-zero, so $\beta \in \Omega$ and $\theta_\beta \star \eta = \eta$. Then $\{\beta, -\beta, \eta\}$ is a connection from β to η and $\eta \in [\alpha]$, a contradiction. Hence, $[[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}], P_\eta] = 0$. Similarly to the summands $[P_\beta P_{-\beta}, P_\eta]$, $[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}]P_\eta$ and $(P_\beta P_{-\beta})P_\eta$. From here,

$$(14) \quad [I_{H,[\alpha]}, V_{[\gamma]}] = I_{H,[\alpha]}V_{[\gamma]} = 0.$$

In a similar way we get

$$(15) \quad [V_{[\alpha]}, I_{H,[\gamma]}] = V_{[\alpha]}I_{H,[\gamma]} = 0.$$

Equations (10)-(15) show $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]}I_{[\gamma]} = 0$. \square

Let us show that any $I_{[\alpha]}$ is actually an ideal of the MPJ-algebra P . Also, we recall that a MPJ-algebra P is *simple* if $[P, P] \neq 0$, $PP \neq 0$ and its only ideals are $\{0\}, P$.

Theorem 2.4. *The following assertions hold.*

i) *For any $[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim$, the linear subspace*

$$I_{[\alpha]} = I_{H,[\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]}$$

of P associated to $[\alpha]$ is an ideal of P .

ii) If P is simple, then there exists a connection from α to β for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$.

Proof. i) Since $[I_{H, [\alpha]}, H] \subset [H, H] = 0$ and $[V_{[\alpha]}, H] \subset V_{[\alpha]}$ we get $[I_{[\alpha]}, H] \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Using Proposition 2.3 we obtain

$$[I_{[\alpha]}, P] = [I_{[\alpha]}, H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} P_\beta \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\delta \notin [\alpha]} P_\delta \right)] \subset I_{[\alpha]}.$$

Now we need to prove that $I_{[\alpha]}P \subset I_{[\alpha]}$. First, we observe that for any $\beta \in [\alpha]$, using Lemma 1.3-iii) and since H is abelian, we have

$$(16) \quad (P_\beta P_{-\beta})H \subset P_0 H = 0.$$

By Equation (1) we also have

$$(17) \quad [P_\beta, P_{-\beta}]H \subset [P_\beta, P_{-\beta}H] + P_{-\beta}[P_\beta, H] \subset [P_\beta, P_{-\beta}] + P_\beta P_{-\beta}.$$

From Equations (16) and (17) we get $I_{H, [\alpha]}H \subset I_{H, [\alpha]}$ and, taking into account $V_{[\alpha]}H \subset V_{[\alpha]}$, we conclude

$$I_{[\alpha]}H \subset I_{[\alpha]}.$$

Similarly, we get $I_{[\alpha]}H \subset V_{[\alpha]}$ since $I_{H, [\alpha]}H \subset HH = 0$ and $V_{[\alpha]}H \subset V_{[\alpha]}$. Using again Proposition 2.3 we obtain

$$I_{[\alpha]}P = I_{[\alpha]} \left(H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\beta \in [\alpha]} P_\beta \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\gamma \notin [\alpha]} P_\gamma \right) \right) \subset I_{[\alpha]}.$$

Therefore $I_{[\alpha]}$ is an ideal of P .

ii) The simplicity of P implies $I_{[\alpha]} = P$. From here, it is clear that $[\alpha] = \Lambda$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$. \square

Theorem 2.5. For a linear complement U of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \left\{ [P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda \right\}$ in H , we have

$$P = U + \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} I_{[\alpha]},$$

where any $I_{[\alpha]}$ is one of the ideal of P described in Theorem 2.4-i) satisfying $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]}I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$.

Proof. Clearly $I_{[\alpha]}$ is well-defined and, by Theorem 2.4-i), an ideal of P . Now, by considering a linear complement U of $\text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \left\{ [P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha} : \right.$

$\alpha \in \Lambda \}$ in H , we have

$$P = H \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha \right) = U + \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]}.$$

Finally from Proposition 2.3-ii), it follows that $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]}I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$. \square

Definition 2.6. We call the *center* of a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P to the set

$$\mathcal{Z}(P) := \left\{ v \in P : [v, P] = vP = 0 \right\}.$$

Corollary 2.7. If $\mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$, then P is the direct sum of the ideals given in Theorem 2.4-i),

$$P = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]},$$

being $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]}I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$.

Proof. From $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$ it is clear that $P = \sum_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]}$. In order to verify the direct character of the sum, consider

$$v \in I_{[\alpha]} \cap \left(\sum_{[\gamma] \in \Lambda/\sim, [\gamma] \neq [\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} \right).$$

Since $v \in I_{[\alpha]}$, the fact $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]}I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ in case $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$ gives us

$$(18) \quad \left[v, \sum_{[\gamma] \in \Lambda/\sim, [\gamma] \neq [\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} \right] = v \left(\sum_{[\gamma] \in \Lambda/\sim, [\gamma] \neq [\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} \right) = 0.$$

Taking now into account $v \in \sum_{[\gamma] \in \Lambda/\sim, [\gamma] \neq [\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]}$, the same above fact implies

$$(19) \quad [v, I_{[\alpha]}] = vI_{[\alpha]} = 0.$$

From Equations (18) and (19) we get $v \in \mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$. From here, $P = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]}$. \square

3. THE SIMPLE COMPONENTS

In this section we are interested in studying under which conditions a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra decomposes as the direct sum of the family of its simple ideals. From now on, we assume Λ is symmetric (in the sense $\alpha \in \Lambda$ implies $-\alpha \in \Lambda$) and $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $P = H \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha)$ be a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra. If I is an ideal of P then $I = (I \cap H) \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (I \cap P_\alpha))$.*

Proof. Consider the set $Ad := \{\text{ad}(h) : h \in H\}$, being $\text{ad}(h)(x) := [h, x]$ for any $x \in P$. The result follows from the fact that Ad is a commuting set of diagonalizable endomorphisms, and I is invariant under this set. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P = H \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha)$ be a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra with $\mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$. If I is an ideal of P such that $I \subset H$ then $I = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that I is an ideal of P such that $I \subset H$. Since H is abelian we have $[I, H] \subset [H, H] = 0$ and $IH \subset HH = 0$. The fact

$$[I, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha] + I(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha) \subset I \subset H$$

joint with Lemma 1.3 implies

$$[I, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha] + I(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha) \subset H \cap (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} P_\alpha) = 0,$$

thus $[I, P] = 0$ and $IP = 0$. Therefore, we have showed $I \subset \mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$. \square

Let us introduce the concepts of root-multiplicativity and maximal length in the framework of split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras, in a similar way to the ones for split Lie algebras, split Malcev algebras, split Poisson algebras and split Lie-Rinehart algebras (see [2, 3, 4, 5] for these notions and examples).

Definition 3.3. We say that a split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P is *root-multiplicative* if given $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ such that either $\alpha \star \beta \in \Lambda$ or $\theta_\alpha \star \beta \in \Lambda$, then either $[P_\alpha, P_\beta] \neq 0$ and $P_\alpha P_\beta \neq 0$, or $[[P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}], P_\beta] \neq 0$, respectively.

Definition 3.4. A split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra P is of *maximal length* if $\dim P_\alpha = 1$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

We would like to note that the above concepts appear in a natural way in the study of split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras. For instance, any Poisson structure associate to a semisimple finite dimensional Lie algebra gives rise to a root-multiplicative and of maximal length split Poisson algebra. We also have, in the infinite-dimensional setting, that any Poisson structure \mathcal{P} defined either on a semisimple separable L^* -algebra [7, 8] or on a semisimple locally finite split Lie algebra [9] necessarily makes \mathcal{P} a root-multiplicative and of maximal length split Poisson algebra.

Theorem 3.5. *Let P be a root-multiplicative split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra of maximal length. It holds that P is simple if and only if it has all its non-zero roots connected and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$.*

Proof. If P is simple, the necessary condition was proved in Theorem 2.4-ii). To prove the converse, consider I a non-zero ideal of P . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we can write

$$I = (I \cap H) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_I} (I \cap P_\alpha) \right)$$

with $\Lambda_I := \{\alpha \in \Lambda : I \cap P_\alpha \neq 0\}$ and $\Lambda_I \neq \emptyset$. By maximal length we also can write $\Lambda_I = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : P_\alpha \subset I\}$.

Let us show that $H \subset I$. We take $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda_I$, so $P_{\alpha_0} \subset I$. Now, for any $\beta \in \Lambda \setminus \{2\alpha_0, -2\alpha_0\}$, the fact that α_0 and β are connected gives us a connection $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ from α_0 to β such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \alpha_0, \gamma_1 \star \gamma_2, (\gamma_1 \star \gamma_2) \star \gamma_3, \dots, (\dots ((\gamma_1 \star \gamma_2) \star \gamma_3) \star \dots) \star \gamma_{r-1} \in \Lambda \cup \Theta_\Omega \\ &(\dots ((\gamma_1 \star \gamma_2) \star \gamma_3) \star \dots) \star \gamma_r \in \{\beta, -\beta\}, \end{aligned}$$

and by maximal length and the root-multiplicativity of P we get

$$[[\dots [[P_{\alpha_0}, P_{\gamma_2}], P_{\gamma_3}], \dots], P_{\gamma_r}] = P_{\epsilon\beta}, \text{ with } \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}.$$

From here, we deduce that either $P_\beta \subset I$ or $P_{-\beta} \subset I$. In both cases $[P_\beta, P_{-\beta}] \subset I$ and $P_\beta P_{-\beta} \subset I$. From here, the fact $H = \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} ([P_\beta, P_{-\beta}] + P_\beta P_{-\beta})$ implies $H \setminus \{[P_{2\alpha_0}, P_{-2\alpha_0}] + P_{2\alpha_0} P_{-2\alpha_0}\} \subset I$. Since $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda_I$, by maximal length and Lemma 1.3-iii) we get $P_{2\alpha_0} = P_{\alpha_0} P_{\alpha_0} \subset I$, and consequently we can assert

$$(20) \quad H \subset I.$$

Given now any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, the fact $\alpha \neq 0$ and Equation (20) show $P_\alpha = [H, P_\alpha] \subset I$. We conclude $I = P$ and therefore P is simple as required. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let P be a root-multiplicative split Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebra of maximal length satisfying $\mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$ and $H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([P_\alpha, P_{-\alpha}] + P_\alpha P_{-\alpha})$. Then*

$$P = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]},$$

where any $I_{[\alpha]}$ is a simple ideal having its root system $\Lambda_{I_{[\alpha]}}$ with all of its roots connected and satisfying $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.7,

$$P = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda/\sim} I_{[\alpha]}$$

is the direct sum of the ideals

$$I_{[\alpha]} = I_{H, [\alpha]} \oplus V_{[\alpha]},$$

where

$$I_{H, [\alpha]} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}} \left\{ [P_{\beta}, P_{-\beta}] + P_{\beta} P_{-\beta} : \beta \in [\alpha] \right\},$$

having any $I_{[\alpha]}$ as root system $\Lambda_{I_{[\alpha]}} = [\alpha]$. In order to apply Theorem 3.5 to each $I_{[\alpha]}$, observe that the fact $\Lambda_{I_{[\alpha]}} = [\alpha]$ gives us easily that $\Lambda_{I_{[\alpha]}}$ has all of its elements connected through connections contained in $\Lambda_{I_{[\alpha]}}$. We also have that any $I_{[\alpha]}$ is root-multiplicative as consequence of the root-multiplicativity of P . Clearly $I_{[\alpha]}$ is of maximal length because P is itself of maximal length, and finally $\mathcal{Z}_{I_{[\alpha]}}(I_{[\alpha]}) = 0$ (where $\mathcal{Z}_{I_{[\alpha]}}(I_{[\alpha]})$ denotes the center of $I_{[\alpha]}$ in itself) as consequence of $\mathcal{Z}(P) = 0$ and $[I_{[\alpha]}, I_{[\gamma]}] = I_{[\alpha]} I_{[\gamma]} = 0$ if $[\alpha] \neq [\gamma]$ (Corollary 2.7). We can apply Theorem 3.5 to any $I_{[\alpha]}$ which allows us to conclude $I_{[\alpha]}$ is simple. It is clear that the decomposition $P = \bigoplus_{[\alpha] \in \Lambda / \sim} I_{[\alpha]}$ satisfies the assertions of the theorem, completing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ait Ben Haddou, M., Benayadi, S., Boulmane, S. (2016). Malcev-Poisson-Jordan algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **15**(9), 1650159, 26 pp. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498816501590
- [2] Albuquerque, H., Barreiro, E., Calderón, A.J., Sánchez, J.M. (2021). Split Lie-Rinehart algebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* **20**(9), 2150164. DOI: 10.1142/S0219498821501644
- [3] Calderón, A.J. (2008). On split Lie algebras with symmetric root systems. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **118**(3), 351-356. DOI: 10.1007/s12044-008-0027-3
- [4] Calderón, A.J. (2012). On the structure of split non-commutative Poisson algebras. *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **60**(7), 775-785. DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2012.661428
- [5] Calderón, A.J., Forero, M., Sánchez, J.M. (2012). Split Malcev algebras. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **122**(2), 181-187. DOI: 10.1007/s12044-012-0070-y
- [6] Sánchez, J.M. (2021). On split Malcev Poisson algebras. *Siberian Math.* **62**, 511-520. DOI: 10.1134/S0037446621030149
- [7] Schue, J.R. (1960). Hilbert Space methods in the theory of Lie algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95**, 69-80. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1960-0117575-1
- [8] Schue, J.R. (1961). Cartan decompositions for L^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98**, 334-349. DOI: 10.2307/1993500
- [9] Stumme, N. (1999). The structure of Locally Finite Split Lie Algebras. *J. Algebra* **220**, 664-693. DOI: 10.1006/jabr.1999.7978

ELISABETE BARREIRO, UNIVERSITY OF COIMBRA, CMUC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, APARTADO 3008 EC SANTA CRUZ 3001-501 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL. *E-mail address*: mefb@mat.uc.pt

JOSÉ M. SÁNCHEZ, DEPARTAMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CADIZ, PUERTO REAL (CADIZ). ESPAÑA. *E-mail address*: txema.sanchez@uca.es