

TWISTS OF GRADED POISSON ALGEBRAS AND RELATED PROPERTIES

XIN TANG, XINGTING WANG AND JAMES J. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce a Poisson version of the graded twist of a graded associative algebra and prove that every graded Poisson structure on a connected graded polynomial ring $A := \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a graded twist of a unimodular Poisson structure on A , namely, if π is a graded Poisson structure on A , then π has a decomposition

$$\pi = \pi_{unim} + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i} E \wedge \mathbf{m}$$

where E is the Euler derivation, π_{unim} is the unimodular graded Poisson structure on A corresponding to π , and \mathbf{m} is the modular derivation of (A, π) . This result is a generalization of the same result in the quadratic setting. The rigidity of graded twisting, PH^1 -minimality, and H -ozoneness are studied. As an application, we compute the Poisson cohomologies of the quadratic Poisson structures on the polynomial ring of three variables when the potential is irreducible, but not necessarily having isolated singularities.

INTRODUCTION

Poisson algebras have recently been studied extensively by many researchers, see e.g., [Ba2, Ba3, Go1, Go2, GLa, GLe, JO, LS, LuWW1, LuWW2, LvWZ1], with topics related to (twisted) Poincaré duality and the modular derivation, Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalences, Poisson enveloping algebras and so on. Poisson algebras have been used in the representation theory of PI Sklyanin algebras [WWY1, WWY2]. Isomorphism problem and cancellation problem in the Poisson setting have been investigated in [GW1, GW2].

Let \mathbb{k} be a base field. Except for Sections 1 and 2 we further assume that \mathbb{k} is of characteristic zero. Quadratic Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ have been playing an important role in several other subjects, see papers [LX] by Liu-Xu, [Bo] by Bondal, and [Py] by Pym. Deformation quantizations of such Poisson structures are homogeneous coordinate rings of quantum \mathbb{P}^{n-1} s. In general, such a deformation quantization is skew-Calabi-Yau; while it is Calabi-Yau if and only if the Poisson structure on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is unimodular [Do].

In addition to the quadratic case, we are interested in weighted Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $\deg x_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that deformation quantizations of weighted Poisson structures are homogeneous coordinate rings of weighted quantum \mathbb{P}^{n-1} s. If π is a graded Poisson structure on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where $\sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i \neq 0$ in the base field \mathbb{k} , we prove that π has a decomposition

$$(E0.0.1) \quad \pi = \pi_{unim} + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i} E \wedge \mathbf{m}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B63, 17B40, 16S36, 16W20.

Key words and phrases. modular derivation, Poisson algebra, graded twist.

where E is the Euler derivation, π_{unim} is the unimodular graded Poisson structure on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ corresponding to π , and \mathbf{m} is the modular derivation of $(\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \pi)$. If $\deg x_i = 1$ for all i , (E0.0.1) was observed by Bondal [Bo], Liu-Xu [LX], and in the book [LPV, Theorem 8.26]. Similar to the ideas in [Py], to classify all graded Poisson structures on polynomial rings where $\deg x_i > 0$, it is a good idea to first classify unimodular ones.

To prove (E0.0.1), we will use a Poisson version of the graded twist [Zh]. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra such that both the commutative multiplication \cdot and the Poisson bracket $\pi := \{-, -\}$ are graded of degree 0. If $a \in A$ is homogeneous, we use $|a|$ to denote its degree. Define the Euler derivation E of A by

$$E(a) = |a|a$$

for all homogeneous element $a \in A$. Let δ be a graded Poisson derivation of A . We define a new Poisson structure, denoted by $\pi_{new} := \{-, -\}_{new}$, to be

$$(E0.0.2) \quad \{a, b\}_{new} := \{a, b\} + E(a)\delta(b) - \delta(a)E(b)$$

for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$, or equivalently

$$\pi_{new} := \pi + E \wedge \delta.$$

We will show that $(A, \cdot, \{-, -\}_{new})$ (or (A, π_{new})) is a graded Poisson algebra in Section 2 and it is denoted by A^δ .

Now we state some results. Let A be a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let δ be a derivation of A . By [LPV, (4.21)], the *divergence* of δ is defined to be

$$(E0.0.3) \quad \text{div}(\delta) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i},$$

which is independent of the choices of generators $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ [Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.2]. For a more general Poisson algebra, the definition of $\text{div}(\delta)$ will be given in Definition 1.1 which is dependent on the volume form. Recall a *Hamiltonian derivation* of a Poisson algebra A is given by $H_a := \{a, -\}$ for any $a \in A$. The *modular derivation* of A is defined by

$$(E0.0.4) \quad \mathbf{m}(a) := -\text{div}(H_a)$$

for all $a \in A$ [Definition 1.3]. We need the following lemma that concerns the divergence of the modular derivation.

Lemma 0.1. [Wa, Corollary 3.10] [LPV, Proposition 4.17] *Let A be a Poisson algebra with volume form ν and \mathbf{m} be the modular derivation of A corresponding to ν . Then $\text{div}(\mathbf{m}) = 0$.*

Proof. Following the notation of [Wa, Theorem 3.5], we denote \mathbf{m} by ϕ and ν by vol . By the proof of [Wa, Corollary 3.10], $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}}(\nu) = 0$. Then, by Definition 1.1, $\text{div}(\mathbf{m}) = 0$. \square

According to the ideas of Dolgushev [Do], the modular derivation of a Poisson algebra is corresponding to the Nakayama automorphism of a noetherian AS regular algebra. Hence the above lemma is a Poisson version of [RRZ2, Corollary 5.5] which says that the Nakayama automorphism of a noetherian AS regular algebra has the homological determinant 1.

When A is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with any Poisson structure, the definitions of the divergence div and the modular derivation \mathbf{m} are independent of choices of the volume form. Here is one of main results of this paper.

Theorem 0.2. *Let δ be a graded Poisson derivation of a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson polynomial algebra $A := \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let \mathbf{n} be the modular derivation of A^δ . Then*

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i \right) \delta - \text{div}(\delta)E.$$

Note that Theorem 0.2 holds even when $\text{char } \mathbb{k} > 0$, see Remark 3.7.

If we consider the analogy between the modular derivation of a Poisson algebra and the Nakayama automorphism of a graded skew Calabi-Yau algebra [Do], Theorem 0.2 is a Poisson version of [RRZ1, Theorem 0.3]. Combining Theorem 0.2 with Lemma 0.1, we obtain

Corollary 0.3. *Let A is a weighted graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg x_i > 0$ for all i . Let $\delta = -\frac{1}{\mathfrak{l}}\mathbf{m}$ where $\mathfrak{l} = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i)$. Then A^δ is unimodular. As a consequence, (E0.0.1) holds.*

Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra. Suppose δ is a derivation of A and a, b, c are homogeneous elements of A . Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(\{-, -\}, \delta; a, b, c) := & |a|a[\delta(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta(b), c\} - \{b, \delta(c)\}] \\ (E0.3.1) \quad & - |b|b[\delta(\{a, c\}) - \{\delta(a), c\} - \{a, \delta(c)\}] \\ & + |c|c[\delta(\{a, b\}) - \{\delta(a), b\} - \{a, \delta(b)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 0.4. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra. A derivation δ of A is called *semi-Poisson* if $p(\{-, -\}, \delta, a, b, c) = 0$ for all homogeneous elements a, b, c in A .

It is clear that

$$\text{Poisson derivation} \Rightarrow \text{semi-Poisson derivation} \Rightarrow \text{derivation}$$

and opposite implications are not true [Example 2.6]. Let $Gspd(A)$ (resp. $Gpd(A)$) be the set of graded semi-Poisson derivations (resp. graded Poisson derivations) of degree 0. We prove the following

Theorem 0.5. *Let A be a graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg x_i > 0$ for all i .*

- (1) *If A is unimodular, then $Gspd(A) = Gpd(A)$.*
- (2) *If B is a twist of A , then $Gspd(A) = Gspd(B)$.*
- (3) *$Gspd(A)$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra.*

Now we introduce the *rigidity of graded twisting* of A , denoted by $rgt(A)$ (see Definition 4.3), to measure the complexity/rigidity of a Poisson structure on A . We relate the rigidity with other properties. We say a Poisson derivation ϕ of A is *ozone* if $\phi(z) = 0$ for all z in the Poisson center of A . It is obvious that every Hamiltonian derivation is ozone, but the converse is not true in general. Recall that E denotes the Euler derivation.

Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{k} -vector space. The Hilbert series of M is defined to be

$$(E0.5.1) \quad h_M(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim M_i) t^i.$$

Let $\overline{PH^i(A)}$ denote the i th Poisson cohomology of A (E1.5.3). We have the following result.

Theorem 0.6. *Let \mathbb{k} be algebraically closed. Let A be the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ with $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let Z be the Poisson center of A . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$.
- (2) Any graded twist of A is isomorphic to A .
- (3) The Hilbert series of the graded vector space of Poisson derivations of A is $\frac{1}{(1-t)^3}$.
- (4) $h_{PH^1(A)}(t)$ is $\frac{1}{1-t^3}$.
- (5) $h_{PH^1(A)}(t)$ is equal to $h_Z(t)$.
- (6) Every Poisson derivation ϕ has a decomposition

$$\phi = zE + H_a$$

where $z \in Z$ and $a \in A$. Here z is unique and a is unique up to a central element.

- (7) Every ozone derivation is Hamiltonian.
- (8) A is unimodular and the potential is irreducible.
- (9) $h_{PH^3(A)}(t) - h_{PH^2(A)}(t) = t^{-3}$.

Some partial generalizations of the above theorem to the higher dimensional case are given in Section 7. As an application, we have the following result.

Corollary 0.7. *Let \mathbb{k} be algebraically closed. Let A be the unimodular quadratic Poisson structure on $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ with irreducible potential Ω . Then*

- (1) $h_{PH^0(A)}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^3}$.
- (2) $h_{PH^1(A)}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^3}$.
- (3) $h_{PH^2(A)}(t) = \frac{1}{t^3} \left(\frac{(1+t)^3}{1-t^3} - 1 \right)$.
- (4) $h_{PH^3(A)}(t) = \frac{(1+t)^3}{t^3(1-t^3)}$.

When the potential Ω has isolated singularities, the Poisson cohomologies have been computed by several authors, see [Pe1, Pe2, Pi1, Pi2, VdB] and the references therein. The above corollary is probably the first computation of the Poisson cohomologies when Ω is irreducible, but does not have isolated singularities.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 recalls some basic definitions such as divergence and modular derivation. In Section 2 we introduce the Poisson version of a graded twist. The proofs of Theorem 0.2 and Corollary 0.3 are given in Section 3. The rigidity of graded twisting is introduced in Section 4 and Theorem 0.5 is proven there. In Sections 5 and 6 we compute the rigidity of some Poisson structures on polynomial rings. Theorem 0.6 and Corollary 0.7 are proved in Section 7.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall several definitions such as *divergence*, *modular derivation*, and *Poisson cohomology*. Other basic definitions about Poisson algebras can be found in the book [LPV]. Everything in this section is well known.

In Sections 1 and 2, let \mathbb{k} be a base field of any characteristic. Let $\Omega^1(A)$ be the module of Kähler differentials over A [LPV, Sect. 3.2.1]. For each $k \geq 0$, let $\Omega^k(A)$ be $\wedge_A^k \Omega^1(A)$ [LPV, Sect. 3.2.2]. Let $d = \text{Kdim } A$ where Kdim denotes the Krull dimension. If A is smooth and $\Omega^d(A)$ is a free A -module with a generator ν , then ν is called a *volume form* of A . The differential $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1(A)$ extends to a well-defined differential of the complex $\Omega^\bullet(A)$ and the complex (Ω^\bullet, d) is called the algebraic *de Rham complex* of A .

For each $k \geq 0$, let $\mathfrak{X}^k(A)$ be the set of skew-symmetric k -derivations of A . It is also true that

$$(E1.0.1) \quad \mathfrak{X}^p(A) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^p(A), A)$$

for all $p \geq 0$ [LPV, (3.15)].

For every element $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)$, the *internal product* with respect to P , denoted by ι_P , is an A -module map

$$\iota_P : \Omega^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-p}(A)$$

which is determined by

$$(E1.0.2)$$

$$\iota_P(dF_1 \wedge dF_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < p, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{p, k-p}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P[F_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, F_{\sigma(p)}] \\ \quad dF_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{\sigma(k)} \in \Omega^{k-p}(A) & k \geq p \end{cases}$$

for all $dF_1 \wedge dF_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_k \in \Omega^k(A)$. Here $\mathbb{S}_{p,q} \subset \mathbb{S}_k$ is the set of (p, q) -shuffles with $p + q = k$.

For every $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)$, the *Lie derivative* with respect to P is defined to be

$$(E1.0.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_P = [\iota_P, d] : \Omega^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-p+1}(A),$$

see [LPV, (3.49)]. Below is the definition of the divergence of a derivation. In several definitions in this paper we assume that A is a smooth Poisson algebra with a fixed volume form ν .

Definition 1.1. [LPV, (4.20)] Let δ be a derivation of A , namely, $\delta \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A)$. The *divergence* of δ , denoted by $\text{div}(\delta)$, is an element in A defined by the equation

$$(E1.1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\delta(\nu) = \text{div}(\delta)\nu.$$

It is clear that the divergence of δ is dependent on the volume form ν , but independent of the Poisson structure of A . The definition of the divergence of a skew-symmetric k -derivation, for $k \geq 2$, can be found in [LPV, Sect. 4.3.3].

Part (1) of the following lemma justifies the definition of the divergence given in (E0.0.3). Let G be an abelian group (or semigroup). A G -graded algebra with a Poisson structure is called a G -graded Poisson structure if $\deg(\{a, b\}) = \deg a + \deg b$ for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a Poisson polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.*

(1)

$$(E1.2.1) \quad \text{div}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i}.$$

(2) *If A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson polynomial algebra with x_i homogeneous for all i and if δ is graded (of degree 0), then $\text{div}(\delta) \in A_0$.*

- (3) If A is a connected \mathbb{N} -graded Poisson polynomial algebra with $\deg x_i > 0$ for all i and if δ is graded (of degree 0), then $\operatorname{div}(\delta) \in \mathbb{k}$.
- (4) Suppose, in addition to (3), $\deg x_i = 1$ for all i . Let δ be a derivation of A (of degree 0). Write

$$\delta(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_j$$

where $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then

$$(E1.2.2) \quad \operatorname{div}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ii}.$$

- (5) Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra with $\deg(x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let E be the Euler derivation of A defined in the introduction. Then $\operatorname{div}(E) = \deg(\nu)$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\nu := dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ is a volume form. By the definition of the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\delta \nu &= d\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \delta(x_i) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_j} dx_j\right) \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i}\right) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i}\right) \nu. \end{aligned}$$

Then the assertion follows.

(2) Since $\deg \delta = 0$, $\deg \delta(x_i) = \deg x_i$. As a consequence, $\deg\left(\frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i}\right) = 0$. By part (1), $\deg(\operatorname{div} \delta) = 0$. The assertion follows.

(3) This follows from part (3) and the fact that $A_0 = \mathbb{k}$.

(4) This follows from part (1) and the fact that $\frac{\partial \delta(x_i)}{\partial x_i} = c_{ii}$ for all i .

(5) In this case, $\nu = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ and $E(x_i) = (\deg x_i) x_i$. The assertion follows from (E1.2.1). \square

We recall the following definition.

Definition 1.3. Let A be a Poisson algebra with volume form ν .

- (1) [LPV, Definition 4.10] The *modular derivation* (or *modular vector field*) of A associated to ν is defined to be

$$\mathbf{m}(a) := -\operatorname{div} H_a$$

for all $a \in A$, or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{L}_{H_a}(\nu) = -\mathbf{m}(a)\nu.$$

- (2) [LPV, Definition 4.12] If $\mathbf{m} = 0$ for some volume form ν , then A is called *unimodular*.

If $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then \mathbf{m} is independent of the choice of the volume forms ν . Let us give an easy example.

Example 1.4. Let A be the Poisson polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2]$ with $\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1^n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. It is easy to check that $\mathbf{m}(x_1) = 0$ and that $\mathbf{m}(x_2) = nx_1^{n-1}$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$ and $p \mid n$, then A is unimodular. This is an interesting fact. Now suppose $n = 2$. Since $\{x_1x_2, x_2\} = x_1^2x_2$, $\mathbf{m}(\{x_1x_2, x_2\}) = \mathbf{m}(x_1^2x_2) = 2x_1^3$. As a consequence, $\text{div}([\delta_1, \delta_2])$ is in general nonzero for any two derivations δ_1, δ_2 .

Next we review the Poisson cohomology. Let (A, π) be a Poisson algebra. For each k , $\mathfrak{X}^k(A)$ is the space of skew-symmetric k -derivations of A . The Poisson coboundary map $d_\pi : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(A)$ is defined as follows. For any $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^q(A)$, where $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

(E1.5.1)

$$d_\pi^q(Q)[F_0, \dots, F_q] := \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^i \{F_i, Q[F_0, \dots, \widehat{F}_i, \dots, F_q]\} \\ + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq q} (-1)^{i+j} Q[\{F_i, F_j\}, F_0, \dots, \widehat{F}_i, \dots, \widehat{F}_j, \dots, F_q],$$

for all $F_0, \dots, F_q \in A$. In particular,

$$d_\pi^0(Q)[F_0] = \{F_0, Q\}, \\ d_\pi^1(Q)[F_0, F_1] = \{F_0, Q[F_1]\} - \{F_1, Q[F_0]\} - Q[\{F_0, F_1\}], \\ d_\pi^2(Q)[F_0, F_1, F_2] = \{F_0, Q[F_1, F_2]\} - \{F_1, Q[F_0, F_2]\} + \{F_2, Q[F_0, F_1]\} \\ - Q[\{F_0, F_1\}, F_2] + Q[\{F_0, F_2\}, F_1] - Q[\{F_1, F_2\}, F_0]$$

For $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^q(A)$, the wedge product $P \wedge Q \in \mathfrak{X}^{p+q}(A)$ is the skew-symmetric $(p+q)$ -derivation of A , defined by

$$(P \wedge Q)[F_1, \dots, F_{p+q}] := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{p,q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) P[F_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, F_{\sigma(p)}] Q[F_{\sigma(p+1)}, \dots, F_{\sigma(p+q)}],$$

for all $F_1, \dots, F_{p+q} \in A$. In particular, if $P \in \mathfrak{X}^1(A)$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^2(A)$, then we have

$$(E1.5.2) \quad (P \wedge Q)[F_1, F_2, F_3] = P[F_1]Q[F_2, F_3] - P[F_2]Q[F_1, F_3] + P[F_3]Q[F_1, F_2].$$

Therefore $(\mathfrak{X}^*(A), \wedge, d)$ is a dga (differential graded algebra). For each $q \geq 0$, the q -th Poisson cohomology of A is defined to be

$$(E1.5.3) \quad PH^q(A) := \frac{\ker d_\pi^q}{\text{im } d_\pi^{q-1}}.$$

It is clear from the definition that the 1st Poisson cohomology of A is

$$(E1.5.4) \quad PH^1(A) := \frac{\text{the set of Poisson derivations}}{\text{the set of Hamiltonian derivations}}.$$

If A is a quadratic Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ (with $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \deg(z) = 1$), then the complex $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A), d_\pi)$ is [Pi1, (15)]

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow (A[1])^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow (A[2])^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow A[3] \rightarrow 0.$$

By the additivity of Hilbert series, we have

$$(E1.5.5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i h_{PH^i(A)}(t) = -t^{-3}.$$

It is easy to check that

- (a) the lowest degree of nonzero elements in $PH^0(A)$ is 0 and $PH^0(A)_0 = \mathbb{k}$.
- (b) the lowest degree of nonzero elements in $PH^1(A)$ is ≥ -1 .
- (c) the lowest degree of nonzero elements in $PH^2(A)$ is ≥ -2 .
- (d) the lowest degree of nonzero elements in $PH^3(A)$ is -3 and $PH^3(A)_{-3} = \mathbb{k}$.

If A is further unimodular, then

- (e) the lowest degree of nonzero elements in $PH^2(A)$ is -2 and $PH^2(A)_{-2} = \mathbb{k}^{\oplus 3}$.

A natural operation on $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A)$ is the Schouten bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_S : \mathfrak{X}^p(A) \times \mathfrak{X}^q(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{p+q-1}(A)$$

for all $p, q \geq 0$. We refer to [LPV, Section 3.3.2] for the precise definition. By [LPV, (4.5)],

$$d_\pi(\cdot) = -[\cdot, \pi]_S.$$

By [LPV, Proposition 3.7], $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A), \wedge, [\cdot, \cdot]_S)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra.

Let A or (A, π) be a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket π . Let ξ be any nonzero scalar. We define a new Poisson bracket $\pi_\xi := \xi\pi$ or $\{-, -\}_\xi := \xi\{-, -\}$. Then it is easy to see that $A' := (A, \pi_\xi)$ is indeed a Poisson algebra. In general, A' is not isomorphic to A , but they are closely related as follows.

Lemma 1.5. *Retain the notations as above. Let d_π^q (resp. $d_{\pi'}^q$) be the differential of $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A')$) as defined in (E1.5.1).*

- (1) $d_{\pi'}^q = \xi d_\pi^q$ for all q .
- (2) $\ker d_{\pi'}^q = \ker d_\pi^q$ for all q .
- (3) $\text{im} d_{\pi'}^q = \text{im} d_\pi^q$ for all q .
- (4) $PH^q(A) = PH^q(A')$ for all q .

2. TWISTS OF GRADED POISSON ALGEBRAS

Let G be an abelian group and A be a G -graded Poisson algebra (namely, both the multiplication \cdot and the Poisson bracket $\{-, -\}$ of A are graded of degree 0). We use g for elements in G . If a is a homogeneous element in A , we use $|a|$ to denote its degree in G .

The aim of this section is to define a Poisson version of the graded twist of graded associative algebras [Zh].

Definition 2.1. Let $\delta := \{\delta_g \mid g \in G\}$ be a set of graded derivations of A (of degree 0). We say δ is a *Poisson twisting system* if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1) For all $g, h \in G$,

$$(E2.1.1) \quad \delta_g \delta_h = \delta_h \delta_g.$$

- (2) For homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$,

$$(E2.1.2) \quad \delta_{|ab|} = \delta_{|a|} + \delta_{|b|}.$$

- (3) For homogeneous elements $a, b, c \in A$,

$$(E2.1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & a[\delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta_{|a|}(b), c\} - \{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\}] - b[\delta_{|b|}(\{a, c\}) - \{\delta_{|b|}(a), c\} - \{a, \delta_{|b|}(c)\}] \\ & + c[\delta_{|c|}(\{a, b\}) - \{\delta_{|c|}(a), b\} - \{a, \delta_{|c|}(b)\}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. (1) The definition of a Poisson twisting system is a “translation” of the twisting system in the setting of graded associative algebras given in [Zh, Definition 2.1].

(2) Let

$$(E2.2.1) \quad p(\{-, -\}, \delta a, b, c) := a[\delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta_{|a|}(b), c\} - \{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\}] \\ - b[\delta_{|b|}(\{a, c\}) - \{\delta_{|b|}(a), c\} - \{a, \delta_{|b|}(c)\}] \\ + c[\delta_{|c|}(\{a, b\}) - \{\delta_{|c|}(a), b\} - \{a, \delta_{|c|}(b)\}].$$

Then (E2.1.3) is equivalent to $p(\{-, -\}; \delta; a, b, c) = 0$. If each δ_g is a Poisson derivation, it is automatic that $p(\{-, -\}, \delta; a, b, c) = 0$. The converse is not true, see Example 2.6.

(3) Suppose $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\phi = \delta_1$. By (E2.1.2), $\delta_n = n\phi$. It is clear that

$$p(\{-, -\}, \delta a, b, c) = (E \wedge d_\pi^1(\phi))(a, b, c),$$

which implies that (E2.1.3) is equivalent to $E \wedge d_\pi^1(\phi) = 0$. By [LPV, Sect. 4.3] and the fact that $d_\pi^1(E) = 0$, the equation $E \wedge d_\pi^1(\phi) = 0$ is equivalent to $d_\pi^2(E \wedge \phi) = 0$. Assume that a, b, c are homogeneous of degree one. Then

$$(E2.2.2) \quad p(\{-, -\}, \delta; a, b, c) = |a|a[\phi(\{b, c\}) - \{\phi(b), c\} - \{b, \phi(c)\}] \\ - |b|b[\phi(\{a, c\}) - \{\phi(a), c\} - \{a, \phi(c)\}] \\ + |c|c[\phi(\{a, b\}) - \{\phi(a), b\} - \{a, \phi(b)\}]$$

which agrees with $p(\{-, -\}, \phi; a, b, c)$ as defined in (E0.3.1).

(4) Let $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra. A convenient Poisson twisting system is constructed as follows. Let ϕ be a graded Poisson derivation of A (namely, $d_\pi^1(\phi) = 0$). For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\delta_n := n\phi$ and $\delta := \{\delta_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then (E2.1.1) and (E2.1.2) are obvious and (E2.1.3) follows from the fact that δ_n is a Poisson derivation, see part (2) or (3).

Example 2.3. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/(n)$ for some positive integer n . Let A be a G -graded Poisson algebra and δ be a graded Poisson derivation of A . Suppose $p := \text{char } \mathbb{k}$ is positive. If $p \mid n$, let $\delta_i = i\delta$ for all $i \in G$. Then $\{\delta_i \mid i \in G\}$ is a Poisson twisting system. If $p \nmid n$, then there is no nontrivial Poisson twisting system for A .

Let A be a G -graded Poisson algebra and let $\delta := \{\delta_g \mid g \in G\}$ be a system of derivations of A . We define

$$(E2.3.1) \quad \langle a, b \rangle := \{a, b\} + a\delta_{|a|}(b) - b\delta_{|b|}(a)$$

for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\delta := \{\delta_g \mid g \in G\}$ be a set of graded derivations of A satisfying (E2.1.1) and (E2.1.2). Then $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Poisson algebra if and only if (E2.1.3) holds.*

Proof. If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, there is a shorter proof using the Schouten bracket. For a general abelian group G , we make the following direct computation.

Claim 1: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is skew-symmetric. This claim follows immediately from (E2.3.1).

Claim 2: for every homogeneous element a , $\langle a, - \rangle$ is a derivation.

For homogeneous elements a, b, c in A , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a, bc \rangle &= \{a, bc\} + a\delta_{|a|}(bc) - bc\delta_{|bc|}(a) \\ &= \{a, b\}c + \{a, c\}b + a(b\delta_{|a|}(c) + \delta_{|a|}(b)c) - bc\delta_{|bc|}(a) \\ \langle a, b \rangle c &= (\{a, b\} + a\delta_{|a|}(b) - b\delta_{|b|}(a))c \\ b\langle a, c \rangle &= b(\{a, c\} + a\delta_{|a|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}(a)).\end{aligned}$$

By the above and (E2.1.2), we obtain that

$$\langle a, bc \rangle = \langle a, b \rangle c + b\langle a, c \rangle.$$

Claim 3: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies the Jacobi identity if and only if (E2.1.3) holds. As a consequence, $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Poisson algebra if and only if (E2.1.3) holds.

For homogeneous elements a, b, c in A , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle &= \{a, \langle b, c \rangle\} + a\delta_{|a|}(\langle b, c \rangle) - \langle b, c \rangle\delta_{|bc|}(a) \\ &= \{a, (\{b, c\} + b\delta_{|b|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}(b))\} \\ &\quad + a\delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\} + b\delta_{|b|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}(b)) \\ &\quad - (\{b, c\} + b\delta_{|b|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}(b))\delta_{|bc|}(a) \\ &= \{a, \{b, c\}\} \\ &\quad + \{a, b\}\delta_{|b|}(c) + b\{a, \delta_{|b|}(c)\} \\ &\quad - \{a, c\}\delta_{|c|}(b) - c\{a, \delta_{|c|}(b)\} \\ &\quad + a\delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\}) \\ &\quad + a\delta_{|a|}(b)\delta_{|b|}(c) + ab\delta_{|a|}\delta_{|b|}(c) \\ &\quad - a\delta_{|a|}(c)\delta_{|c|}(b) - ac\delta_{|a|}\delta_{|c|}(b) \\ &\quad - \{b, c\}\delta_{|bc|}(a) - b\delta_{|b|}(c)\delta_{|bc|}(a) + c\delta_{|c|}(b)\delta_{|bc|}(a)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle &= \langle c, \langle b, a \rangle \rangle \\ &= \{c, \{b, a\}\} \\ &\quad + \{c, b\}\delta_{|b|}(a) + b\{c, \delta_{|b|}(a)\} \\ &\quad - \{c, a\}\delta_{|a|}(b) - a\{c, \delta_{|a|}(b)\} \\ &\quad + c\delta_{|c|}(\{b, a\}) \\ &\quad + c\delta_{|c|}(b)\delta_{|b|}(a) + cb\delta_{|c|}\delta_{|b|}(a) \\ &\quad - c\delta_{|c|}(a)\delta_{|a|}(b) - ca\delta_{|c|}\delta_{|a|}(b) \\ &\quad - \{b, a\}\delta_{|ba|}(c) - b\delta_{|b|}(a)\delta_{|ba|}(c) + a\delta_{|a|}(b)\delta_{|ba|}(c)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b, \langle a, c \rangle \rangle &= \{b, \{a, c\}\} \\ &\quad + \{b, a\}\delta_{|a|}(c) + a\{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\} \\ &\quad - \{b, c\}\delta_{|c|}(a) - c\{b, \delta_{|c|}(a)\} \\ &\quad + b\delta_{|b|}(\{a, c\}) \\ &\quad + b\delta_{|b|}(a)\delta_{|a|}(c) + ba\delta_{|b|}\delta_{|a|}(c) \\ &\quad - b\delta_{|b|}(c)\delta_{|c|}(a) - bc\delta_{|b|}\delta_{|c|}(a) \\ &\quad - \{a, c\}\delta_{|ac|}(b) - a\delta_{|a|}(c)\delta_{|ac|}(b) + c\delta_{|c|}(a)\delta_{|ac|}(b).\end{aligned}$$

Using the Jacobi identity

$$-\{a, \{b, c\}\} + \{\{a, b\}, c\} + \{b, \{a, c\}\} = 0,$$

(E2.1.1), and (E2.1.2), we can simplify

$$-\langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle c, b \rangle, a \rangle + \langle b, \langle a, c \rangle \rangle$$

to

$$p(\{-, -\}, \delta; a, b, c).$$

Therefore $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies the Jacobi identity if and only if $p(\delta; a, b, c) = 0$. Claim 3 follows. The consequence is clear. \square

Definition 2.5. Let $\delta := \{\delta_g \mid g \in G\}$ be a Poisson twisting system of a G -graded Poisson algebra A . Then the new Poisson algebra $(A, \langle -, - \rangle)$ given in Theorem 2.4 is called the *twist of A by δ* and denoted by A^δ .

Example 2.6. Let $A = \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra defined by $\{x, y\} = x^2$. Let ϕ be the derivation sending $x \rightarrow -x$ and $y \rightarrow y - x$. Let $\delta_n = n\phi$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\pi^1(\phi)[x, y] &:= -\phi[\{x, y\}] + \{x, \phi[y]\} + \{\phi[x], y\} \\ &= -\phi[x^2] + \{x, y - x\} + \{-x, y\} = 2x^2 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that ϕ is not a Poisson derivation.

We claim that $\delta := \{\delta_n\}$ is a Poisson twisting system. Let f be the derivation of A determined by

$$f(x) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f(y) = -x.$$

It is easy to verify that f is a Poisson derivation. By Remark 2.2(4), $f' := \{nf \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Poisson twisting system, and by Theorem 2.4, $A^{f'}$ is equipped with a Poisson structure such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \{x, y\} + xf(y) - yf(x) = x^2 - x^2 - 0 = 0.$$

Therefore $A^{f'}$ has trivial Poisson structure. Let g be the Poisson derivation of $A^{f'}$ determined by

$$g(x) = -x, \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = y.$$

Let $g' = \{ng \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By Remark 2.2(4), g' is a Poisson twisting system of $A^{f'}$ and the Poisson structure of $(A^{f'})^{g'}$ is determined by, for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b\}_{new} &:= \langle a, b \rangle + |a|ag(b) - |b|bg(a) \\ &= \{a, b\} + |a|af(b) - |b|bf(a) + |a|ag(b) - |b|bg(a) \\ &= \{a, b\} + ah_{|a|}(b) - bh_{|b|}(a) \end{aligned}$$

where $h_n = nf + ng$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $f + g$ is a derivation of A , by Theorem 2.4, $h' := \{h_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Poisson twisting system of A . It is clear that $\delta = h'$. So δ is a Poisson twisting system.

Since δ is a Poisson twisting system, by Remark 2.2(3), ϕ is a graded semi-Poisson derivation. By the first paragraph, ϕ is not a Poisson derivation.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose G is cyclic. Then the set of Poisson twisting systems of A is a \mathbb{k} -vector space.*

Proof. Let δ and φ be two Poisson twisting systems. It is clear that $c\delta$ is a Poisson twisting system for all $c \in \mathbb{k}$. It remains to show $h := \delta + \varphi$ is a Poisson twisting system.

Since G is cyclic, $h_n = nh_1$. So (E2.1.1) is clear. Now (E2.1.2) and (E2.1.3) hold as these are “linear” in terms of δ . \square

Remark 2.8. If G is \mathbb{Z}^2 , then the set of Poisson twisting systems of $(\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3], 0)$ with $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = (1, 0)$ and $\deg x_3 = (0, 1)$ is not a \mathbb{k} -vector space. To see this, we consider two graded Poisson derivations δ_1 and ϕ_1 that are not commuting (for example, $\delta_1 : x_1 \rightarrow x_1, x_2 \rightarrow 0, x_3 \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi_1 : x_1 \rightarrow x_2, x_2 \rightarrow 0, x_3 \rightarrow 0$). Let $\delta_{(n,m)} = n\delta_1$ and $\phi_{(n,m)} = m\phi_1$. It is easy to see that both δ and ϕ are twisting systems of the G -graded Poisson algebra $(\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3], 0)$. We define $\delta + \phi$ by $(\delta + \phi)_{(n,m)} = n\delta_1 + m\phi_1$ for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since δ_1 and ϕ_1 are not commuting, we see that (E2.1.1) fails for $\delta + \phi$.

As noted before a derivation δ of A is Poisson if and only if $d_\pi^1(\delta) = 0$. By Definition 0.4, a graded derivation δ of a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra A is *semi-Poisson* if $E \wedge d_\pi^1(\delta) = 0$.

Next we show that the Poisson twisting systems induce an equivalence relation. Let A be a G -graded commutative algebra. Two graded Poisson structures π and π' on A are called equivalent if (A, π') is a graded twist of (A, π) . In this case we write $(A, \pi) \sim (A, \pi')$.

Proposition 2.9. *Suppose G is cyclic. Then \sim is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. It is clear that $(A, \pi) \sim (A, \pi)$ by taking the trivial Poisson twisting system δ . So \sim is reflexive.

To prove the symmetry of \sim , we suppose that (A, π') is a graded twist of (A, π) by δ . We claim that $-\delta$ is a Poisson twisting system of (A, π') . If this claim is proved, then it is obvious that $(A, \pi')^{-\delta} = (A, \pi)$ as desired. It remains to show that $-\delta$ satisfies (E2.1.1), (E2.2.1), and (E2.1.3). The first two are easy. For the last one, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{|a|}(\langle b, c \rangle) - \langle \delta_{|a|}(b), c \rangle - \langle b, \delta_{|a|}(c) \rangle \\ &= \delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\} + b\delta_{|b|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}(b)) \\ & \quad - [\{\delta_{|a|}(b), c\} + \delta_{|a|}(b)\delta_{|b|}(c) - c\delta_{|c|}\delta_{|a|}(b)] \\ & \quad - [\{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\} + b\delta_{|b|}\delta_{|a|}(c) - \delta_{|a|}(c)\delta_{|c|}(b)] \\ &= \delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta_{|a|}(b), c\} - \{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\} \\ & \quad + \delta_{|a|}(b)\delta_{|b|}(c) + b\delta_{|a|}\delta_{|b|}(c) - \delta_{|a|}(c)\delta_{|c|}(b) - c\delta_{|a|}\delta_{|c|}(b) \\ & \quad - \delta_{|a|}(b)\delta_{|b|}(c) + c\delta_{|c|}\delta_{|a|}(b) - b\delta_{|b|}\delta_{|a|}(c) + \delta_{|a|}(c)\delta_{|c|}(b) \\ &= \delta_{|a|}(\{b, c\}) - \{\delta_{|a|}(b), c\} - \{b, \delta_{|a|}(c)\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$p(\langle -, - \rangle, -\delta; a, b, c) = p(\{ -, - \}, -\delta; a, b, c) = 0.$$

Therefore $-\delta$ is a Poisson twisting system of A^δ and $(A^\delta)^{-\delta} = A$. So \sim is symmetric.

The proof above does not use the hypothesis that G is cyclic. The following part of the proof uses that hypothesis.

To prove the transitivity of \sim , we use the idea given in Example 2.6. Suppose δ is a Poisson twisting system of A and ϕ a Poisson twisting system of A^δ . Let $\sigma := \{\sigma_g := \delta_g + \phi_g \mid g \in G\}$.

Since G is cyclic, $\sigma_n = n\sigma_1$ by definition for all $n \in G$ (and there might be some restriction on $\text{char } \mathbb{k}$ when G is finite). Therefore (E2.1.1)-(E2.1.2) are obvious. Define

$$\{a, b\}_{new} = \{a, b\} + a\sigma_{|a|}(b) - b\sigma_{|b|}(a) = \langle a, b \rangle + a\phi_{|a|}(b) - b\phi_{|b|}(a).$$

Then $\{-, -\}_{new}$ is the Poisson bracket of $(A^\delta)^\phi$. By Theorem 2.4, σ is a Poisson twisting system of A and $A^\sigma = (A^\delta)^\phi$. Therefore \sim is transitive. \square

Remark 2.10. Let G be \mathbb{Z}^2 and $A := \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ with $\deg x_i = (1, 0)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $\deg x_3 = (0, 1)$. We claim that \sim is not an equivalence relation among the Poisson structures on A . We use Y for the \mathbb{Z}^2 -graded Poisson algebra with trivial Poisson structure.

Let δ_1 be the Poisson derivation of Y sending $x_0 \rightarrow 0, x_1 \rightarrow x_2, x_2 \rightarrow 0$, and $x_3 \rightarrow 0$. Let ϕ_1 be the Poisson derivation of Y sending $x_0 \rightarrow 0, x_1 \rightarrow x_1, x_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $x_3 \rightarrow 0$. We define two Poisson twisting systems as follows. Let $\delta := \{\delta_{(n,m)} = n\delta_1\}$ and $\phi := \{\phi_{(n,m)} = m\phi_1\}$. Since δ_1 and ϕ_1 are Poisson derivations, it is easy to verify that $\delta, -\delta$ and ϕ are Poisson twisting systems. By Theorem 2.4, $X := Y^{-\delta}$ is a Poisson algebra and by the first part of the proof of Proposition 2.9, δ is a Poisson twisting system of X and $Y = X^\delta$. So we have $X \sim Y$. Let $Z = Y^\phi$. Then $Y \sim Z$. We claim that $X \not\sim Z$. Suppose on the contrary that $X \sim Z$. Then $Z = X^h$ for some Poisson twisting system h of X . Applying (E2.3.1) to pairs of elements of the form (x_i, x_j) for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 3$, we see that $h = \delta + \phi$. But it is clear that (E2.1.1) fails for $\delta + \phi$ as $\delta_1\phi_1 \neq \phi_1\delta_1$. Therefore there is no Poisson twisting system h such that $Z = X^h$ as desired.

This example suggests that there should be a more general definition of twisting systems that induce an equivalence relation \sim .

We conclude this section with some examples.

Example 2.11. Here are three examples of twists of graded Poisson algebras.

- (1) Let A be the Poisson polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with trivial Poisson bracket. Consider A as a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded algebra with $\deg(x_i) = e_i$ where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with 1 in the i th position. Let $\{p_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ be a subset of \mathbb{k} . For each i , define a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded Poisson derivation δ_i by

$$\delta_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} p_{ij}x_j & j > i, \\ 0 & j \leq i. \end{cases}$$

For each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $\delta_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_i$. Since each $\delta_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ is a graded Poisson derivation of A , it is easy to see that

$$\delta := \{\delta_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

is a twisting system of A . By (E2.3.1), the Poisson bracket of the new Poisson algebra A^δ is determined by

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = x_i \delta_i(x_j) - x_j \delta_j(x_i) = p_{ij}x_i x_j \quad \text{for all } i < j.$$

- (2) Let A be the Poisson polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with trivial Poisson bracket. Consider A as a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra with $\deg(x_i) = 1$ for all i . Let δ_1 be a Poisson derivation of A determined by

$$\delta_1(x_i) = \begin{cases} -x_{i-1} & i > 1, \\ 0 & i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\delta := \{\delta_d := d\delta_1 \mid \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since δ_1 is a graded Poisson derivation, δ is a twisting system of A . By (E2.3.1), the Poisson bracket of the new Poisson algebra A^δ is determined by

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = x_i \delta(x_j) - x_j \delta(x_i) = -x_i x_{j-1} + x_j x_{i-1} \quad \text{for all } i < j.$$

When $n = 2$, the Poisson bracket of A^δ is determined by

$$\langle x_2, x_1 \rangle = x_1^2.$$

- (3) Suppose that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Let A be the n th Weyl Poisson algebra

$$\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

with Poisson bracket determined by

$$\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = 0, \quad \{y_i, y_j\} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Here δ_{ij} is the usual Kronecker delta. Let $M = (m_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be any $n \times n$ -matrix over \mathbb{k} . We consider A as a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra with $\deg(x_i) = 1$ and $\deg(y_i) = -1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let δ_1 be the graded Poisson derivation of A determined by

$$\delta_1(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-m_{ij})x_j, \quad \delta_1(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{ji}y_j$$

for all i . Since δ_1 is a graded Poisson derivation, $\delta := \{n\delta_1 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a twisting system of A . By (E2.3.1), the Poisson bracket of the new Poisson algebra A^δ is determined by $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0 = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle$ and

$$(E2.11.1) \quad \langle x_i, y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} + x_i \delta_1(y_j) - y_j \delta_{-1}(x_i) = \delta_{ij} + x_i \left(\sum_{s=1}^n m_{sj} y_s \right) - y_j \left(\sum_{s=1}^n m_{is} x_s \right)$$

for all $i < j$.

We claim that A^δ is Poisson simple. To avoid some tedious analysis, we only give a sketch proof. The first step is to assume \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed by replacing \mathbb{k} by its algebraic closure. Since \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed, we can assume M is upper triangular, namely, $m_{ij} = 0$ for all $i > j$. Let M' be the matrix after deleting the diagonal entries of M and we can similarly define a twisting system δ' using M' . By (E2.11.1), one sees that $A^\delta = A^{\delta'}$. In other words, we can assume that M is strictly upper triangular. Now we can assume that M is a block matrix with diagonal block equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To illustrate the idea, we assume $n = 2$ and M is given as above. (For general M , the proof is more complicated.) The Poisson structure of A^δ is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle &= 1 - x_2 y_1 \\ \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle &= x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2 \\ \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle &= 1 + x_2 y_1\end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to show that every nonzero Poisson ideal I of A^δ contains 1. Let f be a nonzero element in I . We assume that the y_2 -degree of f is minimal and that $f \notin \mathbb{k}$. Write $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i y_2^i$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, y_1]$ for all i . If $f_i \neq 0$ for some $i > 0$, then $H_{x_2}(f) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i i y_2^{i-1} (1 + x_2 y_1) \neq 0$. It is clear that the y_2 -degree of $H_{x_2}(f)$ is smaller than the y_2 -degree of f , yielding a contradiction. Therefore y_2 -degree of f is zero, namely, $0 \neq f \in I \cap \mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, y_1]$. Similarly, by using H_{y_1} , one can show that there is an element $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, y_1] \cap I$. Write $f = \sum_{i=0}^s g_i y_1^i$ where $g_i \in \mathbb{k}[x_2]$ for all i . Assume that f has minimal y_1 -degree. If the y_1 -degree of f , say s , is positive, then

$$H_{x_1}(f) + s f x_2 = \sum_{i=0}^s g_i i y_1^{i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^s (s-i) g_i y_1^i x_2$$

which has y_1 -degree $s-1$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{k}[x_2] \cap I$. Write $f = \sum_{i=a}^b c_i x_2^i$ where $c_a c_b \neq 0$. Assume that $b-a \geq 0$ is minimal. Next we show that $b-a = 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $a < b$. Define $\Phi(f) = -H_{y_2}(f) - \deg(f) f y_1$. Then

$$\Phi(f) = \sum_{i=a}^b c_i i x_2^{i-1} + \sum_{i=a}^b c_i (i-b) x_2^i y_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}H_{x_1}(\Phi(f)) + \Phi(f) x_2 &= \left(\sum_{i=a}^b c_i (i-b) x_2^i \right) (1 - x_2 y_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=a}^b c_i i x_2^i + \sum_{i=a}^b c_i (i-b) x_2^i x_2 y_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=a}^b c_i (i-b) x_2^i + \sum_{i=a}^b c_i i x_2^i \\ &= \sum_{i=a}^b c_i (2i-b) x_2^i \\ &= 2f'(x_2) x_2 - b f \in \mathbb{k}[x_2] \cap I.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $x_2 f' \in I$ and a possible linear combination of f and $x_2 f'$ gives a nonzero polynomial with smaller $b-a$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore we can assume $f = x^a$. In this case, $-H_{y_2}(f) = a x_2^{a-1} (1 + x_2 y_1) =$

$ax_2^{a-1} + afy_1$. This implies that $x^{a-1} \in I$ when $a \neq 0$. An induction argument shows that $1 \in I$ as required.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 0.2 AND COROLLARY 0.3

For the rest of the paper we assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. First we recall the definition of *divergence* of a skew symmetric k -derivation for $k \geq 0$ [LPV, Sect. 4.4.3]. A special case is given in Definition 1.1. For $P \in \mathfrak{X}^p(A)$, the *internal product* ι_P is defined at the beginning of Section 1.

Let ν be a volume form of A which is in $\Omega^d(A)$ with d being the top degree of nonzero $\Omega^d(A)$. We define the *star operator*

$$\star(= \star_A) : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{d-\bullet}(A)$$

as follows: for each $k \geq 0$ and $Q \in \mathfrak{X}^k$, we set

$$\star_A Q := \iota_Q \nu.$$

So \star_A is a \mathbb{k} -linear map from $\mathfrak{X}^k(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{d-k}(A)$ for each k . It follows from (E1.0.2) that \star_A is an A -linear map.

Lemma 3.1. *Let B be a smooth affine domain of dimension g with volume form ν . Then \star_B is an isomorphism.*

Proof. To prove that \star is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\star_B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an isomorphism for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} of B . Let A be the local ring $B_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then A is a regular local ring of global dimension, Krull dimension and transcendence degree n . Since all the operations commute with the localization,

$$\star_B \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{m}} = \star_B \otimes_B A = \star_A.$$

Now we assume that A is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} generated by $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then $\Omega^1(A)$ is a free module of rank g . Write $\Omega^1(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A dx_i$. Then for each $k \geq 0$, $\Omega^k(A)$ is a free A -module with basis

$$\{d_{i_1, \dots, i_k} := dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$$

and that, via (E1.0.1), $\mathfrak{X}^k(A)$ is a free A -module with basis as in (E1.0.1)

$$\{\partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

Recall that $\nu = a d_{1,2,\dots,n}$ for an invertible element $a \in A$. (Usually we write $\nu_0 = d_{1,2,\dots,n}$). By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \star_A \partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} &= \iota_{\partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k}}(a\nu_0) = a \iota_{d_{i_1, \dots, i_k}}(d_{1,2,\dots,n}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{k, n-k}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a \partial_{i_1, \dots, i_k} [x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}] d_{1, \dots, \widehat{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \widehat{\sigma(k)}, \dots, n} \\ &= \pm a d_{1, \dots, \widehat{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, n} \end{aligned}$$

where $\pm 1 = \text{sgn}(\{i_1, \dots, i_k, 1, \dots, \widehat{i_1}, \dots, \widehat{i_k}, \dots, n\})$. Therefore \star_A is an isomorphism as desired. \square

Definition 3.2. We say A is a *standard* Poisson algebra if A is an affine smooth \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson domain with a homogeneous volume form ν (with $\deg(\nu)$ not necessarily zero) and \star is an isomorphism.

Note that every polynomial Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is standard (even when $\text{char } \mathbb{k} > 0$). Lemma 3.1 provides another class of such algebras. Now we assume that A is standard of dimension n . By [LPV, Sect. 4.4.3], the *divergence* operator with respect to the volume form ν is a graded \mathbb{k} -linear map of degree -1 ,

$$\text{div} : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet-1}(A),$$

which makes the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(A) & \xrightarrow{\star} & \Omega^{n-\bullet}(A) \\ \text{div} \downarrow & & \downarrow d \\ \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{\star} & \Omega^{n-\bullet+1}(A) \end{array}$$

Since A is standard, the star operator \star is an A -linear isomorphism. Now we have the following lemmas which were proved in [LPV, Sect. 4.4.3].

Lemma 3.3. [LPV, Proposition 4.16] *Suppose (A, π) is standard with volume form ν . Let δ and ϕ be two derivations of A . Then*

$$\text{div}(\delta \wedge \phi) = \text{div}(\phi)\delta - \text{div}(\delta)\phi - [\delta, \phi].$$

The following lemma gives another proof of Lemma 0.1.

Lemma 3.4. [LPV, Proposition 4.17] *Suppose (A, π) is standard with volume form ν . Let \mathbf{m} be the modular derivation of A . Then*

$$\mathbf{m} = -\text{div}(\pi).$$

As a consequence, the divergence of \mathbf{m} is zero.

Question 3.5. It is not clear how to handle nonaffine smooth domain A as the proof of Lemma 3.1 uses the fact A is affine.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose (A, π) is standard with volume form ν . Let δ be a graded semi-Poisson derivation of A . Let \mathbf{m} (respectively, \mathbf{n}) be the modular derivation of A (respectively A^δ). Then*

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + (\text{div } E)\delta - (\text{div } \delta)E.$$

Proof. Let π' be the Poisson structure of A^δ . By (E2.3.1),

$$\pi' = \pi + E \wedge \delta.$$

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} &= -\text{div}(\pi') = -\text{div}(\pi) - \text{div}(E \wedge \delta) \\ &= \mathbf{m} + \text{div}(E)\delta - \text{div}(\delta)E - [\delta, E]. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows as $[\delta, E] = 0$ for each graded derivation δ . \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with each x_i homogeneous. By Lemma 1.2(5), $\text{div}(E) = \text{deg}(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{deg}(x_i) =: l$. Now the assertions follow from Theorem 3.6. \square

Remark 3.7. There is a different proof of Theorem 0.2 without using Theorem 3.6 (details are omitted). In fact, the different proof does not use the hypothesis that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose (A, π) is standard with volume d -form ν . Assume that $\operatorname{div}(E) \in \mathbb{k}$ is nonzero. Let \mathbf{m} be the modular derivation of A and let $\delta = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{div}(E)}\mathbf{m}$. Then (A^δ, π') is unimodular and*

$$\pi = \pi' + \frac{1}{\operatorname{div}(E)} E \wedge \mathbf{m}.$$

In particular, we have $\mathcal{L}_\delta(\alpha) = 0$ where $\alpha = \star\pi'$ is the closed differential $(d-2)$ -form associated with the unimodular Poisson structure π' on A .

Proof. Let \mathbf{n} be the modular derivation of (A^δ, π') . By Theorem 3.6 and the fact $\delta = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{div}(E)}\mathbf{m}$,

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + \operatorname{div}(E)\delta - (\operatorname{div} \delta)E = -(\operatorname{div} \delta)E = 0$$

where the last equation follows from $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{m} = 0$ [Lemma 0.1]. Therefore (A^δ, π') is unimodular. By (E2.3.1), for all $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'(a, b) &= \langle a, b \rangle = \{a, b\} + a\delta_{|a|}(b) - b\delta_{|b|}(a) \\ &= \pi(a, b) + |a|a\delta(b) - |b|b\delta(a) = \pi(a, b) + E(a)\delta(b) - \delta(a)E(b) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\pi' = \pi + E \wedge \delta$$

which is equivalent to the above assertion. Finally by [LPV, Proposition 3.11(2)] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\delta(\alpha) &= \mathcal{L}_\delta(\iota_{\pi'}(\nu)) = \iota_{\pi'}(\mathcal{L}_\delta(\nu)) + \iota_{[\delta, \pi']_S}(\nu) \\ &= \iota_{\pi'}(\operatorname{div}(\delta)\nu) + \iota_{[\delta, \pi']_S}(\nu) = \iota_{[\delta, \pi']_S}(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that δ is also a Poisson derivation of (A^δ, π') . So $[\delta, \pi']_S = -d_{\pi'}(\delta) = 0$ and we get $\mathcal{L}_\delta(\alpha) = 0$. \square

Proof of Corollary 0.3. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with each x_i homogeneous. By lemma 1.2(5), $\mathfrak{l} = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i)$. Now the assertions follow from Theorem 3.8. \square

4. RIGIDITY OF GRADED TWISTING

Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra. Recall that the set of graded semi-Poisson derivations (resp. graded Poisson derivations) of A of degree 0 is denoted by $Gspd(A)$ (resp. $Gpd(A)$). We first prove Theorem 0.5.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(x_i) > 0$ for every i . Suppose that A is unimodular and that $\mathfrak{l} := \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x_i)$ is a nonzero element in \mathbb{k} . If δ is a semi-Poisson derivation of A , then δ is a Poisson derivation of A . Namely, $Gspd(A) = Gpd(A)$.*

Proof. Since $\deg(x_i) > 0$ for all i , by Lemma 1.2(1,3), both $\operatorname{div}(\delta)$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{div}(E)$ are in \mathbb{k} . Let B be the twist A^δ with modular derivation \mathbf{n} . By Theorem 0.2,

$$\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{m} + \mathfrak{l}\delta - \operatorname{div}(\delta)E = \mathfrak{l}\delta - \operatorname{div}(\delta)E.$$

Since \mathbf{n} and E (and $\operatorname{div}(\delta)E$) are Poisson derivations of B , we have that $\mathfrak{l}\delta$ (and hence δ) is a Poisson derivation of B . Let $\langle -, - \rangle'$ (respectively, $\{-, -\}$) be the Poisson structure of B (respectively, A). By (E2.3.1), we have

$$\{-, -\} = \langle -, - \rangle' - E \wedge \delta.$$

Then, for all homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta(\{a, b\}) - \{\delta(a), b\} - \{a, \delta(b)\} \\
&= \delta(\langle a, b \rangle) - \langle \delta(a), b \rangle - \langle a, \delta(b) \rangle \\
&\quad - \delta((E \wedge \delta)[a, b]) + E \wedge \delta[\delta(a), b] + E \wedge \delta[a, \delta(b)] \\
&= 0 - \delta(|a|a\delta(b) - |b|b\delta(a)) \\
&\quad + (|a|\delta(a)\delta(b) - |b|b\delta^2(a)) + (|a|a\delta^2(b) - |b|b\delta(b)\delta(a)) \\
&= -|a|\delta(a)\delta(b) - |a|a\delta^2(b) + |b|b\delta(b)\delta(a) + |b|b\delta^2(a) \\
&\quad + (|a|\delta(a)\delta(b) - |b|b\delta^2(a)) + (|a|a\delta^2(b) - |b|b\delta(b)\delta(a)) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore δ is a Poisson derivation of A . \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let B be a twist of A . Then $Gspd(A) = Gspd(B)$.*

Proof. Write $B = A^\delta$. So $B = A$ as a commutative algebra. Let π (respectively, π') be the Poisson bracket of A (respectively, B).

For every derivation ϕ of A , $[E, \phi]_S = [E, \phi] = 0$. For any two derivations ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[E \wedge \phi_1, E \wedge \phi_2]_S &= \pm[E, E \wedge \phi_2]_S \wedge \phi_1 \pm E \wedge [\phi_1, E \wedge \phi_2]_S \\
&= \pm([E, E]_S \wedge \phi_2 \pm [E, \phi_2]_S \wedge E) \wedge \phi_1 \\
&\quad \pm E \wedge ([\phi_1, E]_S \wedge \phi_2 \pm [\phi_1, \phi_2]_S \wedge E) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ be a semi-Poisson derivation of A . By definition,

$$[E \wedge \phi, \pi]_S = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
[E \wedge \phi, \pi']_S &= [E \wedge \phi, \pi + E \wedge \delta]_S \\
&= [E \wedge \phi, \pi]_S + [E \wedge \phi, E \wedge \delta]_S \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ϕ is a semi-Poisson derivation of B . \square

Proof of Theorem 0.5. Part (1) is Lemma 4.1 and part (2) is Lemma 4.2.

(3) By Corollary 0.3 and part (2), we may assume that A is unimodular. By part (1), $Gspd(A)$ is the \mathbb{k} -vector space of graded Poisson derivations A . It is well-known that it is a Lie algebra. Let ϕ be any Poisson derivation of A . It is clear that ϕ is determined by $\{\phi(x_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. Therefore $Gspd(A)$ is finite dimensional. \square

One of the main definitions in this paper is the following.

Definition 4.3. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra.

(1) The *rigidity of graded twisting* (or simply *rigidity*) of A is defined to be

$$rgt(A) = 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A).$$

(2) We say A is *rigid* if $rgt(A) = 0$.

(3) We say A is *(-1)-rigid* if $rgt(A) = -1$.

Note that this notion of rigidity is different from the rigidity defined in [GVW, Definition 0.1] and other papers.

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$(E4.3.1) \quad rgt(A) = rgt(A^\delta).$$

Other basic facts about $rgt(A)$ are listed in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Poisson algebra with $A_i \neq 0$ for some $i \neq 0$. In parts (2)-(6), we further assume that A is $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg x_i > 0$ for all i .*

- (1) *Suppose that $rgt(A) = 0$. Then every graded twist of A is isomorphic to A .*
- (2) *If A is not unimodular, then $rgt(A) \leq -1$.*
- (3) *If $rgt(A) = 0$, then A is unimodular.*
- (4) *If $rgt(A) \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A) \geq 2$.*
- (5) *If $rgt(A) = -1$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A) = 2$.*
- (6) *Let $\{A(a)\}_{a \in \mathbb{k}}$ be a family of Poisson polynomial algebras such that (i) $A(a)$ is a Poisson twist of $A(a')$ for all $a, a' \in \mathbb{k}$ and that (ii) there is an a_0 such that $A(a_0)$ is unimodular. If $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A(a_0)) = 2$, then $rgt(A(a)) = -1$ for all a .*
- (7) *If $rgt(A) = 0$ and A is a connected graded domain, then every Poisson normal element of A is Poisson central.*
- (8) *If $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A) = 1$ and $\text{div}(E) \neq 0$, then $rgt(A) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Since $A_i \neq 0$ for some i , the Euler derivation E is not zero. Since $rgt(A) = 0$, $Gspd(A) = \mathbb{k}E$. Let B be a graded twist of A . Then $B = A^\delta$ where $\delta \in Gspd(A)$. Let $\langle -, - \rangle$ be the Poisson bracket of B . Since $\delta = \alpha E$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$, one sees from (E2.3.1) that $\langle a, b \rangle = \{a, b\}$ where $\{a, b\}$ is the original Poisson bracket of A . The assertion follows.

(2) Since A is not unimodular, the modular derivation \mathbf{m} is not in $\mathbb{k}E$, as $\text{div}(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg x_i \neq 0$ and $\text{div}(\mathbf{m}) = 0$ [Lemma 0.1]. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) \geq 2$. The assertion follows.

(3) This is equivalent to (2).

(4) By definition, it is clear that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A)$. It remains to show $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A) \geq 2$. If A is unimodular, then, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) = 1 - rgt(A) \geq 2.$$

Now we assume that A is not unimodular with nonzero modular derivation \mathbf{m} . Since $\text{div}(E) \neq 0$ and $\text{div}(\mathbf{m}) = 0$, the \mathbb{k} -dimension of $Gpd(A)$ is at least 2 as desired.

(5) By definition, $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A) = 1 - rgt(A) = 2$. The assertion follows from part (4).

(6) It follows from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 that we have

$$rgt(A(a)) = rgt(A(a_0)) = 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gspd(A(a_0)) = 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{k}} Gpd(A(a_0)) = -1.$$

The assertion follows from (4).

(7) We only need to consider a homogeneous Poisson normal element f of positive degree. Note the log-Hamiltonian derivation $LH_f := f^{-1}\{f, -\}$ is a Poisson derivation of degree 0. Suppose f is not central. Then $LH_f(f) \neq 0$. Note that LH_f is clearly not the Euler derivation. Therefore $rgt(A) \leq -1$, yielding a contradiction. The assertion follows.

(8) We know $Gpd(A)$ is spanned by the Euler derivation E , which is not the modular derivation by Lemma 0.1. This implies that A is unimodular and the result follows by Lemma 4.2. \square

Examples of $rgt(A)$ will be given in the next 2 sections.

5. EXAMPLES AND COMMENTS

Note that the graded twists in the associative algebra setting has an important property, namely, a graded algebra R and its twist have isomorphic corresponding graded module categories [Zh]. So we are wondering if a similar result holds in the Poisson setting. The following example shows that this is not the case.

Example 5.1. Let A be the Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2]$ with trivial Poisson structure. Let δ be the Poisson derivation of A determined by

$$\delta(x_1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(x_2) = x_2.$$

Let B be the graded twist of A by δ , namely, $B = A^\delta$. By definition, B is a Poisson algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2]$ with Poisson bracket determined by

$$\{x_1, x_2\} = x_1x_2.$$

Let $U(A)$ denote the Poisson enveloping algebra of A [Ba3]. Since A has the trivial Poisson structure, $U(A)$ is the commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$. Let $U(B)$ be the Poisson enveloping algebra of B . We claim that $U(B)$ is not a graded twist of $U(A)$ in the sense of [Zh].

Suppose on the contrary that $U(B)$ is a graded twist of $U(A)$ in the sense of [Zh]. Then, by [Zh, Theorem 1.1],

$$(E5.1.1) \quad \text{GrMod-}U(A) \cong \text{GrMod-}U(B).$$

Let $D(A)$ (respectively, $D(B)$) be the degree zero part of graded quotient ring of $U(A)$ (respectively, $U(B)$). Then it follows from (E5.1.1) that $D(A) \cong D(B)$. Since $U(A)$ is commutative, $D(A)$ is commutative. Thus $D(B)$ is commutative. Next we prove that $D(B)$ is not commutative, so we obtain a contradiction. By [Ba3, Theorem 2.2], $U(B)$ is generated by four elements $x_1, x_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$ and subject to 6 relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_2 &= x_2x_1, \\ \delta_1x_1 &= x_1\delta_1, \\ \delta_1x_2 &= x_2\delta_1 + x_1x_2, \\ \delta_2x_1 &= x_1\delta_2 - x_1x_2, \\ \delta_2x_2 &= x_2\delta_2, \\ \delta_2\delta_1 &= \delta_1\delta_2 + x_2\delta_1 + x_1\delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = x_1x_2^{-1}$ and $b = \delta_2x_2^{-1}$ which are elements in $D(B)$. It follows from the six relations that

$$ba = ab - a.$$

So $D(B)$ is not commutative, yielding a contradiction. Therefore $U(B)$ is not a graded twist of $U(A)$.

As a consequence, the category of graded Poisson modules over A^δ , denoted by $\text{GrPMod-}A^\delta$ is not equivalent to the category of graded Poisson modules over A , denoted by $\text{GrPMod-}A$. That is,

$$\text{GrPMod-}A^\delta \not\cong \text{GrPMod-}A.$$

Remark 5.2. When A is a connected graded Poisson algebra with $A_i \neq 0$ for some $i > 0$. Then $PH^1(A)$ is also graded. Since $(PH^1(A))_0 \cong \text{Gpd}(A)$, we have $\text{rgt}(A) \leq 1 - \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(PH^1(A))_0$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(PH^1(A))_0 = 1$, then $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$ by Lemma 4.4(8). Therefore we can obtain information about $\text{rgt}(A)$ if we know $PH^1(A)$.

Remark 5.3. Let A be the quadratic Poisson algebra corresponding to the 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebra. The Poisson (co)homologies of A have been computed in [Pe1]. By the Hilbert series of Poisson homologies of A given in [Pe1, p.1154] and the Poincaré duality, both $PH^0(A)$ and $PH^1(A)$ have Hilbert series $\frac{1}{(1-t^2)^2}$. By Remark 5.2, $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$. Therefore A is rigid of graded twisting. By the correspondence between quadratic Poisson algebras and the homogeneous coordinate rings of quantum spaces, the corresponding Sklyanin algebra is considered as rigid in some sense. Further, since $h_{PH^1(A)}(t) = h_{PH^0(A)}(t) = h_Z(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^2}$, A is PH^1 -minimal in the sense of Definition 7.3(1).

Note that the Poisson (co)homologies of the quadratic Poisson algebra corresponding to the 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra were computed in [Pe2, Pi1, VdB], an argument similar to the above shows that $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$ (namely, A is rigid of graded twisting) and A is PH^1 -minimal. We will give an elementary and direct computation of this $\text{rgt}(A)$ in Example 6.6(Case 3).

Remark 5.4. Let $n \geq 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $A(n, a) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the family of Poisson polynomial algebras studied in [LS]. Then one can check for each fixed $n \geq 2$ the family $A(n, a)$ satisfies all the assumptions stated in Lemma 4.4(6) with $a_0 = \frac{(n+2)(1-n)}{2(n+1)}$ such that $\text{rgt}(A(n, a)) = -1$. Computations are omitted. In particular for any $a, a' \in \mathbb{C}$, $A(n, a)$ is a Poisson twist of $A(n, a')$, which is a Poisson version of [LS, Theorem 4.2].

We will compute rgt for some classes of Poisson algebras. Here is a warmup.

Example 5.5. Let $\deg x_i = i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\Omega = x^6 + y^3 + z^2 + \lambda xyz$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$. For any λ , Ω is irreducible. Define a Poisson structure on $A := \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ by

$$\{f, g\} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_x & f_x & g_x \\ \Omega_y & f_y & g_y \\ \Omega_z & f_z & g_z \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $f, g \in A$. It is easy to see that

$$(E5.5.1) \quad \{x, y\} = \Omega_z = 2z + \lambda xy,$$

$$(E5.5.2) \quad \{x, z\} = -\Omega_y = -(3y^2 + \lambda xz),$$

$$(E5.5.3) \quad \{y, z\} = \Omega_x = 6x^5 + \lambda yz$$

and that A is unimodular. If $\lambda^6 \neq 6^3$, then $A_{\text{sing}} := A/(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z)$ is finite dimensional. In this case, Ω has isolated singularity. Let δ be a graded Poisson

derivation. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(x) &= c_1x, \\ \delta(y) &= c_2y + c_3x^2, \\ \delta(z) &= c_4z + c_5x^3 + c_6xy.\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting by c_1E , we may assume that $c_1 = 0$. Applying δ (with $c_1 = 0$) to (E5.5.1), we obtain that

$$c_2(2z + \lambda xy) = 2(c_4z + c_5x^3 + c_6xy) + \lambda x(c_2y + c_3x^2),$$

which implies that $c_2 = c_4$, $c_6 = 0$, and $2c_5 + \lambda c_3 = 0$. Applying δ (with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_6 = 0$) to (E5.5.2), we obtain that

$$-c_4(3y^2 + \lambda xz) = -6y(c_2y + c_3x^2) - \lambda x(c_4z + c_5x^3),$$

which implies that $c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = 0$. Therefore $\delta = 0$. This means that $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$. By Lemma 4.4(1), A does not have any non-trivial twists.

In general, when Ω has isolated singularity, the fact that $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$ also follows from the Poisson cohomology computation given in [Pi1, Proposition 4.5] (after matching up the notations). The same idea applies to the algebra in Example 6.6(Case 3).

6. SOME COMPUTATIONS OF rgt

In this section we compute rgt for all quadratic Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$. Some of the computations have been done by other researchers in different language (for example, some are hidden inside in Poisson cohomology computation), but we provide all details of computations of rgt for completeness. The classification of all quadratic Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ were given in [DH, DML, LX].

First we fix some notations. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (one might assume $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ if necessary). Let $V = A_1 = \mathbb{k}x + \mathbb{k}y + \mathbb{k}z$ and let $\{-, -\}$ be a quadratic Poisson bracket of $A := \mathbb{k}[x, y, z] = \mathbb{k}[V]$. Let f be a graded Poisson derivation of $(A, \{-, -\})$. Let $W = \{V, V\}$. It is clear that

$$(E6.0.1) \quad f(W) = f(\{V, V\}) \subseteq \{f(V), V\} + \{V, f(V)\} \subseteq \{V, V\} = W.$$

Write

$$(E6.0.2) \quad f(x) = a_1x + a_2y + a_3z, f(y) = b_1x + b_2y + b_3z, f(z) = c_1x + c_2y + c_3z.$$

After replacing f by $f - a_1E$, we can further assume that

$$(E6.0.3) \quad a_1 \text{ in (E6.0.2) is zero.}$$

If $\{-, -\}$ is unimodular, then it comes from a ‘‘potential’’ $\Omega \in A_3$. One can classify Ω as follows: (a): Ω is a product of three linear terms, (b): Ω is a product of a linear term and an irreducible polynomial of degree 2, and (c): Ω is irreducible of degree 3. This classification is classical and well-known, see for example [BM, KM, Ri].

The following four examples deal with the first case, namely, Ω is a product of three linear terms.

Define A_{sing} to be $A/(\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z)$.

Example 6.1. Let $\Omega = x^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = 0, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = 0, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = 3x^2.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 2$. Let f be a Poisson derivation of A . By (E6.0.1)

$$2xf(x) = f(x^2) \in f(W) \subseteq W = \mathbb{k}x^2.$$

Then $f(x) = ax$ for some $a \in \mathbb{k}$. By (E6.0.3), we may assume that $f(x) = 0$. Retain the notations in (E6.0.2). Applying f to $\{y, z\} = 3x^2$ implies that $b_2 + c_3 = 0$ with b_1, b_3, c_1, c_2 free. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -5$. One can check that every Poisson normal element of A is Poisson central.

Example 6.2. Let $\Omega = x^2y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = 0, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = x^2, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = 2xy.\end{aligned}\tag{E6.2.1}$$

It is clear that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 2$. Let f be a Poisson derivation of A . By (E6.0.1), we have

$$\mathbb{k}x^2 + \mathbb{k}xy = W \supseteq f(W) = \mathbb{k}(2xf(x)) + \mathbb{k}(f(x)y + xf(y)).$$

Then $f(x)y$ does not have terms y^2 and yz . So $f(x) = ax$ for some $a \in \mathbb{k}$, and by (E6.0.3), we may assume that $f(x) = 0$. Using the notations in (E6.0.2), then (E6.2.1) implies that $b_1 = b_3 = c_3 = 0$ with b_2, c_1, c_2 free. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -3$.

Example 6.3. Let $\Omega = xyz$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = xy, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = xz, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = yz.\end{aligned}\tag{E6.3.1}$$

As before we assume that $a_1 = 0$. Note that $W := \mathbb{k}xy + \mathbb{k}yz + \mathbb{k}xz$ which does not contain term x^2 and y^2 . By (E6.0.1), we have

$$(a_1x + a_2y + a_3z)y + (b_1x + b_2y + b_3z)x = f(x)y + xf(y) = f(xy) \in W$$

which implies that $a_2 = b_1 = 0$. Similarly, using $f(xz), f(yz) \in W$, we obtain that $a_3 = c_1 = b_3 = c_2 = 0$. Thus $f(x) = 0$, $f(y) = b_2y$ and $f(z) = c_3z$. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -2$.

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$.

Example 6.4. Let $\Omega = xy(x + y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = 0, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = x^2 + 2xy, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = 2xy + y^2.\end{aligned}\tag{E6.4.1}$$

Again we may assume that $a_1 = 0$. By (E6.0.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}f(x^2 + 2xy) &= 2xf(x) + 2xf(y) + 2yf(x) \in \mathbb{k}(x^2 + 2xy) + \mathbb{k}(2xy + y^2) =: W, \\ f(2xy + y^2) &= 2xf(y) + 2yf(x) + 2yf(y) \in \mathbb{k}(x^2 + 2xy) + \mathbb{k}(2xy + y^2).\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, both $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ do not have the z term, namely, $a_3 = b_3 = 0$. Furthermore, by the above and a little bit of linear algebra, we have

$$b_1 = b_2 = -a_2.$$

Now we can write $f(x) = a_2y$ and $f(y) = -a_2x - a_2y$. Applying f to the second equation of (E6.4.1), we obtain that $c_3 = 0$ and $a_2 = 0$ with c_1 and c_2 free. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -2$.

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$.

Next we consider the second case. Some linear algebra details will be omitted in the next two examples.

Example 6.5. Suppose $\Omega = x\omega(x, y, z)$ where ω is an irreducible polynomial of degree 2. Since \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed, up to a linear change of variables, we can assume that ω is either $yz + ax^2 + bxy + cxz$ (with further change of basis, we can assume that $c = 0$) or $y^2 + ax^2 + bxy + cxz$ (with further change of basis, we can assume that $b = 0$). So we need to consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $\omega = yz + ax^2 + bxy$. We can further assume $a = 1$ since ω is irreducible. So $\omega = yz + x^2 + bxy$. Replacing z by $z - bx$, we have $\omega = yz + x^2$ and $\Omega = xyz + x^3$. In this case, the Poisson bracket of A is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = xy, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = xz, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = yz + 3x^2. \end{aligned} \tag{E6.5.1}$$

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$. Recall that W is $\{V, V\} = \mathbb{k}xy + \mathbb{k}xz + \mathbb{k}(yz + 3x^2)$ which does not involve either y^2 or z^2 . By the second equation of (E6.5.1), we have

$$f(x)z + xf(z) \in W$$

which implies that $f(x)$ does not have the z term, or $a_3 = 0$. Similarly, $b_3 = 0$ by the third equation of (E6.5.1). By using the first equation of (E6.5.1), we obtain that $a_2 = 0$. By (E6.0.3), we can assume that $f(x) = 0$. Now the first equation of (E6.5.1) implies that $\{x, f(y)\} = xf(y)$. So $f(y) \in \mathbb{k}y$ or $f(y) = b_2y$.

Using the second equation of (E6.5.1), one can show that $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. By using the third equation of (E6.5.1) and the fact that W does not contain the term y^2 , we obtain that $c_2 = 0$. So $f(z) = c_3z$. From this we can derive that $b_2 + c_3 = 0$. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -1$.

Case 2: $\omega = y^2 + ax^2 + cxz$. If $c = 0$, then it is covered in case 1. If $c \neq 0$, we can assume $a = 0$ and $c = 1$. So $\omega = y^2 + xz$ and $\Omega = xy^2 + x^2z$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = x^2, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = 2xy, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = y^2 + 2xz. \end{aligned} \tag{E6.5.2}$$

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$. By definition $W = \mathbb{k}x^2 + \mathbb{k}xy + \mathbb{k}(y^2 + 2xz)$, and it does not contain terms z^2 and yz . Using the third equation of (E6.5.2), we have

$$f(y^2 + 2xz) = 2yf(y) + 2xf(z) + 2zf(x) \in W.$$

Therefore $f(x)z$ does not contain a z^2 term. So $f(x) = a_1x + a_2y$ and with (E6.0.3) we can assume that $f(x) = a_2y$. Now we apply f to the first equation of (E6.5.2),

we obtain that $b_2 = 0$ and $b_3 = -a_2$. (Some calculations are omitted.) Applying f to the second equation of (E6.5.2), we obtain that $a_2 = 0$, $c_3 = 0$ and $c_2 = -2b_1$. Finally applying f to the third equation of (E6.5.2), we obtain that $c_1 = 0$ with b_1 free. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = -1$.

The final example deals with the irreducible cubic Ω .

Example 6.6. Suppose Ω is an irreducible cubic function in x, y, z . By classification (see, for example, [KM, Theorems 1 and 2] and [BM, Theorem 2.12]), there are following two singular ones and one non-singular.

Case 1: $\Omega = x^3 + y^2z$. Then we have

$$(E6.6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = y^2, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = 2yz, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = 3x^2. \end{aligned}$$

So $W := \mathbb{k}y^2 + \mathbb{k}yz + \mathbb{k}x^2$ does not have terms z^2 , xy and xz . Then $f(x^2) = 2xf(x) \in W$ implies that $f(x) \in \mathbb{k}x$. By (E6.0.3), we have $f(x) = 0$. Applying f to the first equation of (E6.6.1), we obtain that $f(y) = 0$. Applying f to the last two equations of (E6.6.1), we obtain that $f(z) = 0$. So $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$.

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$. By a Gröbner Basis argument, one sees that the Hilbert series of A_{sing} is $\frac{2}{(1-t)} + t^2 + t - 1$.

Case 2: $\Omega = x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$. Then we have

$$(E6.6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = x^2 + y^2, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = 2yz, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = 3x^2 + 2xz. \end{aligned}$$

So W does not have terms z^2 and xy . By the second equation of (E6.6.2), we have

$$f(yz) = yf(z) + zf(y) \in W$$

which implies that $f(y)$ has no z term and $f(z)$ has no x term. By the third relation of (E6.6.2), we obtain that $f(x)$ has no z term. By (E6.0.3), one can assume that $f(x) = a_2y$. Applying f to the first equation, we obtain that $b_2 = 0$ and $b_1 = -a_2$ (namely, $f(x) = a_2y$ and $f(y) = -a_2x$). Applying f to the second equation, we obtain that $c_2 = a_2 = 0$ (so $f(x) = f(y) = 0$). Then applying f to the third equation of (E6.6.2) yields that $f(z) = 0$. Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$.

One can check that $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 1$. By a Gröbner Basis argument, one sees that the Hilbert series of A_{sing} is $\frac{2}{(1-t)} + t^2 + t - 1$.

Case 3: $\Omega = \frac{1}{3}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + \lambda xyz$ where $\lambda^3 \neq -1$ (which is the Hesse normal form given in [BM, Theorem, 2.12]). One can check that A_{sing} is finite dimensional or $\text{Kdim}(A_{\text{sing}}) = 0$. Consequently, Ω has an isolated singularity at zero. As mentioned at the end of Example 5.5, we have $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$ which follows from the Poisson cohomology computation given in [Pi1, Proposition 4.5] (and [VdB, Theorem 5.1]). Here we will give a direct computation. By definition,

$$(E6.6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \Omega_z = z^2 + \lambda xy, \\ \{z, x\} &= \Omega_y = y^2 + \lambda xz, \\ \{y, z\} &= \Omega_x = x^2 + \lambda yz. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $W = \mathbb{k}(z^2 + \lambda xy) + \mathbb{k}(y^2 + \lambda xz) + \mathbb{k}(x^2 + \lambda yz)$. This means that in W , z^2 (respectively, y^2 and x^2) appears together with λxy (respectively, xz and yz). By the first equation of (E6.6.3), we have $f(z^2 + \lambda xy) \in W$. Using the notation in (E6.0.2), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f(z^2 + \lambda xy) &= 2zf(z) + \lambda(xf(y) + yf(x)) \\ &= 2z(c_1x + c_2y + c_3z) + \lambda[x(b_1x + b_2y + b_3z) + y(a_1x + a_2y + a_3z)] \\ &\equiv 2c_1xz + 2c_2yz + 2c_3(-\lambda xy) + \lambda[b_1(-\lambda yz) + b_2xy + b_3xz \\ &\quad + a_1xy + a_2(-\lambda xz) + a_3yz] \pmod{W} \\ &\equiv (2c_1 + \lambda b_3 - \lambda^2 a_2)xz + (2c_2 - \lambda^2 b_1 + \lambda a_3)yz \\ &\quad + (-2\lambda c_3 + \lambda b_2 + \lambda a_1)xy \pmod{W}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1 + \lambda b_3 - \lambda^2 a_2 &= 0, \\ 2c_2 + \lambda a_3 - \lambda^2 b_1 &= 0, \\ -2\lambda c_3 + \lambda b_2 + \lambda a_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From now on we assume that $\lambda \neq 0$ (if $\lambda = 0$, the proof is slightly simpler and is omitted to save the space). With this assumption, we can remove λ from the third equation of the above system. Similarly, by working with the last two equations in (E6.6.3), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} 2b_1 + \lambda c_2 - \lambda^2 a_3 &= 0, \\ 2b_3 + \lambda a_2 - \lambda^2 c_1 &= 0, \\ -2b_2 + c_3 + a_1 &= 0, \\ 2a_2 + \lambda c_1 - \lambda^2 b_3 &= 0, \\ 2a_3 + \lambda b_1 - \lambda^2 c_2 &= 0, \\ -2a_1 + b_2 + c_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (E6.0.3), we may assume that $a_1 = 0$. Then by 3 equations involving a_1 , we obtain that $b_2 = c_3 = 0$. Applying f to three equations in (E6.6.3) with some linear algebra computations, we obtain that $f(x) = f(y) = f(z) = 0$ (but this is true only if $\lambda^3 \neq -1$). Therefore $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$.

Since $\{\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z\}$ is a regular sequence, the Hilbert series of A_{sing} is $(1+t)^3$.

Based on the above examples, we have the following classification.

Corollary 6.7. *Let A be a quadratic Poisson polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$.*

- (1) *Suppose A is unimodular. Then $(A, \Omega, \text{rgt}(A))$ is listed as follows up to isomorphisms*

Ω	0	x^3	x^2y	xyz	$xy(x+y)$	$xyz + x^3$	$xy^2 + x^2z$
$\text{rgt}(A)$	-8	-5	-3	-2	-2	-1	-1
		<i>Ex. 6.1</i>	<i>Ex. 6.2</i>	<i>Ex. 6.3</i>	<i>Ex. 6.4</i>	<i>Ex. 6.5(1)</i>	<i>Ex. 6.5(2)</i>

Ω	$x^3 + y^2z$	$x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$	$\frac{1}{3}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + \lambda xyz, \lambda^3 \neq -1$
$\text{rgt}(A)$	0	0	0
	<i>Ex. 6.6(1)</i>	<i>Ex. 6.6(2)</i>	<i>Ex. 6.6(3)</i>

- (2) $Gpd(A)$ is one-dimensional if and only if A is unimodular with Ω in the second table.

Definition 6.8. A Poisson derivation ϕ of a Poisson algebra A is called *ozone* if $\phi(z) = 0$ for all z in the Poisson center of A .

By Definition 1.3(1), the modular derivation \mathbf{m} is always ozone.

Lemma 6.9. Let A be the quadratic Poisson algebra in Example 6.6(1) with $\Omega = x^3 + y^2z$. Then every ozone derivation of A is Hamiltonian.

Proof. By definition,

$$(E6.9.1) \quad \{x, y\} = y^2,$$

$$(E6.9.2) \quad \{z, x\} = 2yz,$$

$$(E6.9.3) \quad \{y, z\} = 3x^2.$$

We define a new grading on the polynomial ring $A = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$. Let G be \mathbb{Z} and define $\deg_G(x) = 0$, $\deg_G(y) = 1$ and $\deg_G(z) = -2$. For example, $\deg_G(\Omega) = 0$. Every element $f \in A$ can be written as $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{(i)}$ where $f_{(i)}$ is homogeneous of G -degree i . Then $f = f_{(i)}$ if and only if f is homogeneous of G -degree i . By (E6.9.1) and (E6.9.2) the Hamiltonian derivation H_x has G -degree 1.

Claim 1: If f is homogeneous of G -degree i , then $H_x(f) = ify$.

Proof: Let f be a linear combination of monomials $x^a y^b z^c$. Since $\deg_G(f) = i$, we have $b - 2c = i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_x(x^a y^b z^c) &= bx^a y^{b-1} y^2 z^c + cx^a y^b z^{c-1} (-2yz) \\ &= (b - 2c)(x^a y^b z^c)y = i(x^a y^b z^c)y. \end{aligned}$$

So the claim follows.

Let ϕ denote an ozone Poisson derivation of A .

Claim 2: Up to a Hamiltonian derivation, $\phi(x) = yw_{(0)}$ where $\deg_G(w_{(0)}) = 0$.

Proof: Since Ω is Poisson central, $\phi(\Omega) = 0$. Then

$$(E6.9.4) \quad 0 = \phi(\Omega) = 3x^2\phi(x) + 2yz\phi(y) + y^2\phi(z).$$

This implies that $y \mid \phi(x)$. Let $\phi(x) = yw$ where $w = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{(i)}$ where $\deg_G(w_{(i)}) = i$. By **Claim 1**, $H_{\sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{1}{i} w_{(i)}}(x) = H_x(\sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{1}{i} w_{(i)}) = \sum_{i \neq 0} w_{(i)}y$. After replacing ϕ by $\phi - H_{\sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{1}{i} w_{(i)}}$, we obtain that $\phi(x) = yw_{(0)}$ as required.

Claim 3: If $\deg_G(w) = 0$, then w is a polynomial in x and Ω .

Proof: Since w has G -degree 0, $w = \sum_{i, j \geq 0} \alpha_{i, j} x^i y^{2j} z^j$. The assertion follows after replacing y^2z by $\Omega - x^3$.

From now on, we assume that $\phi(x) = yw_{(0)}$.

Claim 4: $\deg_G(\{\phi(x), y\}) = 3$ or $\{\phi(x), y\} = \{\phi(x), y\}_{(3)}$.

Proof: Write $\phi(x) = y \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} x^i \Omega^k$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi(x), y\} &= \left\{ y \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k x^{n-3k} \Omega^k, y \right\} \\ &= y \left\{ \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} x^i \Omega^k, y \right\} \\ &= y \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} i x^{i-1} y^2 \Omega^k = y^3 \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} i x^{i-1} \Omega^k \end{aligned}$$

which has G -degree 3.

Claim 5: $y^3 \mid \phi(x)$.

Proof: By **Claim 2**, $\phi(x) = y \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} x^i y^{2k} z^k$. If $\alpha_{i,0} \neq 0$ for some i , we have a nonzero term yx^{i+2} in $3x^2\phi(x)$. But yx^{i+2} cannot appear in $2yz\phi(y) + y^2\phi(z)$ for any i , which contradicts (E6.9.4). Therefore $\alpha_{i,0} = 0$ for all i and $y^3 \mid \phi(x)$.

Claim 6: $y \mid \phi(y)$.

Proof: This follows from (E6.9.4) and **Claim 5**.

It follows from (E6.9.4), **Claim 5**, and **Claim 6** that

$$(E6.9.5) \quad \phi(x) = yw_0 = y^3zv_0,$$

$$(E6.9.6) \quad \phi(y) = yf,$$

$$(E6.9.7) \quad \phi(z) = -2zf - 3x^2yzv_0.$$

where v_0 has G -degree 0 and $f \in A$. Next we will apply ϕ to the relations given in (E6.9.1) and (E6.9.2). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(\{x, y\} - y^2) = \{\phi(x), y\} + \{x, \phi(y)\} - 2y\phi(y) \\ &= \{yw_{(0)}, y\} + \{x, yf\} - 2y\phi(y) \\ &= y\{w_{(0)}, y\}_{(2)} + y^2f + y\{x, f\} - 2y^2f \\ &= y\{w_{(0)}, y\}_{(2)} - y^2 \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{(i)} \right) + y\{x, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{(i)}\} \\ &= y\{w_{(0)}, y\}_{(2)} - y^2 \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{(i)} \right) + y^2 \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i f_{(i)} \right) \\ &= y\{w_{(0)}, y\}_{(2)} + y^2 \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (i-1) f_{(i)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(E6.9.8) \quad f = f_{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \{w_{(0)}, y\} = 0.$$

As a consequence, $f = yq_{(0)}$ where $\deg_G(q_{(0)}) = 0$. So we have $\phi(y) = y^2q_{(0)}$ and $\phi(z) = -2yzq_{(0)} - 3x^2yzv_{(0)}$.

Applying ϕ to (E6.9.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(\{z, x\} - 2yz) = \{\phi(z), x\} + \{z, \phi(x)\} - 2\phi(y)z - 2y\phi(z) \\ &= (-2q_{(0)} - 3x^2v_{(0)})\{yz, x\} + zv_{(0)}\{z, y^3\} - 2y^2q_{(0)}z - 2y(-2yzq_{(0)} - 3x^2yzv_{(0)}) \\ &= (-2q_{(0)} - 3x^2v_{(0)})y^2z + zv_{(0)}(-9x^2y^2) - 2y^2q_{(0)}z + 4y^2zq_{(0)} + 6x^2y^2zv_{(0)} \\ &= -6x^2y^2zv_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{(0)} = 0$ and

$$(E6.9.9) \quad \phi(x) = 0,$$

$$(E6.9.10) \quad \phi(y) = y^2 q_{(0)},$$

$$(E6.9.11) \quad \phi(z) = -2yzq_{(0)}.$$

Write $q_{(0)} = \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \alpha_{i,k} x^i \Omega^k$. Let $q'_{(0)} = \sum_{i,k \geq 0} \beta_{i,k} x^i \Omega^k$ where $\beta_{i,k} := \frac{\alpha_{i,k}}{i+1}$. It is easy to check that $H_{xq'_{(0)}} = \phi$. Therefore ϕ is Hamiltonian as desired. \square

Lemma 6.10. *Let A be the quadratic Poisson algebra in Example 6.6(2) with potential $\Omega = x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$. Then every ozone derivation of A is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. The Jacobian Poisson structure on $A = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ is explicitly given by

$$\{x, y\} = x^2 + y^2, \quad \{y, z\} = 3x^2 + 2xz, \quad \{z, x\} = 2yz.$$

We show that every ozone derivation of A is Hamiltonian, which is based on a tedious computation. Since A is graded, it suffices to check every graded Poisson derivation ϕ of degree n vanishing on the Poisson center Z is Hamiltonian. So we can write

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \phi_i x^i \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z] \text{ of degree } n+1 \text{ with } \phi_i \in \mathbb{k}[y, z]_{n+1-i}.$$

Claim 1: By subtracting a Hamiltonian derivation $H_g = \{g, -\}$ from ϕ for some suitable $g \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_n$, we can assume that $\phi_i \in \mathbb{k}[z] \oplus y^3 z(\mathbb{k}[z, y^2 z])$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof: For simplicity, we denote the \mathbb{k} -linear map $T_m : \mathbb{k}[y, z]_m \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[y, z]_{m+1}$ by

$$T_m(f) := 2yz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - y^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \text{ for any } m \geq 0.$$

It is clear to check that

- $\ker(T_m) = 0$ if $3 \nmid m$ and $\ker(T_m) = \mathbb{k}(y^2 z)^{\frac{m}{3}}$ if $3 \mid m$;
- $\text{img}(T_m) \oplus y(\ker(T_m)) = y(\mathbb{k}[y, z]_m)$. In particular $y(y^2 z)^{\frac{m}{3}} \notin \text{img}(T_m)$ if $3 \mid m$.

For any homogeneous polynomial $g = \sum g_i x^i \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_n$ with $g_i \in \mathbb{k}[y, z]_{n-i}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{g, x\} &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \{y, x\} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \{z, x\} = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} (x^2 + y^2) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} (2yz) \\ &= -\frac{\partial g_{n-1}}{\partial y} x^{n+1} - \frac{\partial g_{n-2}}{\partial y} x^n + (T_1(g_{n-1}) - \frac{\partial g_{n-3}}{\partial y}) x^{n-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + (T_{n-2}(g_2) - \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial y}) x^2 + T_{n-1}(g_1) x + T_n(g_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by choosing g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} for the coefficients of x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1} inductively, we can achieve **Claim 1**.

Claim 2: Up to modulo a Hamiltonian derivation, we can set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= xzf(x, z) + y^3zg(x, y^2z) \\ \phi(y) &= \left(\frac{3}{2}xy + yz\right)f(x, z) + \left(\frac{3}{2}y^4 - xy^2z\right)g(x, y^2z) + (x^2 + y^2)p \\ \phi(z) &= -(3xz + 2z^2)f(x, z) - 3y^3zg(x, y^2z) - 2yzp\end{aligned}$$

where $f(x, z) \in \mathbb{k}[x, z]_{n-1}$, $g(x, y^2z) \in \mathbb{k}[x, y^2z]_{n-3}$ and $p \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_{n-1}$. Furthermore, we can assume p does not contain x^{n-1} .

Proof: By **Claim 1**, up to a Hamiltonian derivation, we can write

$$\phi(x) = xzf(x, z) + y^3zg(x, y^2z) + az^{n+1} + bx^ny + cx^{n+1}$$

for some coefficients $a, b, c \in \mathbb{k}$. Since $\mathbb{k}[\Omega] \subseteq Z$, we have

$$\phi(\Omega) = (3x^2 + 2xz)\phi(x) + 2yz\phi(y) + (x^2 + y^2)\phi(z) = 0.$$

We have three terms $2axzn^{+2}$, $3cx^{n+3}$ and $3bx^{n+2}y$ appearing in $(3x^2 + 2xz)\phi(x)$, which can only be canceled from the remaining terms in $2yz\phi(y) + (x^2 + y^2)\phi(z)$. We get $a = 0$ since xzn^{+2} is certainly not contained there. For the term $3cx^{n+3}$, it has to be canceled by the terms in $(x^2 + y^2)\phi(z)$, which implies that $\phi(z) = -3cx^{n+1} + \dots$. But the another term $-3cx^{n+1}y^2$ appearing in $(x^2 + y^2)\phi(z)$ has to be canceled inside the same product $(x^2 + y^2)\phi(z)$. Repeating the argument, we get there is some $v \in \mathbb{k}[x, y]$ such that $3cx^{n+3} + (x^2 + y^2)v = 0$. This is absurd unless $c = 0$. The same argument shows that $b = 0$ as well. So we can write $\phi(x)$ as in **Claim 2** and the expressions of $\phi(y)$ and $\phi(z)$ follow immediately. Finally, by further subtracting $\{ax^n, -\}$ from ϕ , we can replace p with $p - anx^{n-1}$. So by choosing a suitable scalar a , we can assume p does not contain x^{n-1} .

Claim 3: we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{p, x\} &= -(2z + \frac{3}{2}x)f(x, z) - (3xz + 2z^2)\frac{\partial f(x, z)}{\partial z} + zx\frac{\partial f(x, z)}{\partial x} \\ &\quad + (3y^3 - 2xyz)g(x, y^2z) + y^3z\frac{\partial g(x, y^2z)}{\partial x} - 2xy^3z^2\frac{\partial g(x, y^2z)}{\partial(y^2z)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since ϕ is a Poisson derivation, we have

$$\phi(\{x, y\}) = \{\phi(x), y\} + \{x, \phi(y)\}.$$

A long and tedious calculation yields **Claim 3**.

Now we write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i} z^{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} b_i x^{n-3i} (y^2z)^{i-1}$$

for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{k}$ where we set $a_i = 0$ if $i \notin [1, n]$ and $b_i = 0$ if $i \notin [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor]$. Define

$$c_i := (n - 3i)a_i - \left(\frac{3}{2} + 3i\right)a_{i+1}.$$

Then **Claim 3** can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \{p, x\} &= \sum_{i=0}^n c_i z^i x^{n-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} (3b_i y^{2i+1} z^{i-1}) x^{n-3i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} (2ib_i y^{2i-1} z^i) x^{n+1-3i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} ((n-3i)b_i y^{2i+1} z^i) x^{n-1-3i}. \end{aligned}$$

Write $p = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i x^i$ with $p_i \in \mathbb{k}[y, z]_{n-1-i}$ and $p_{n-1} = 0$. As in **Claim 1**, we get

$$\{p, x\} = \sum_{i=-1}^{n-1} \left(T_i(p_{n-1-i}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-3-i}}{\partial y} \right) x^{n-1-i},$$

where we set $T_{-1} = 0$ and $p_{-2} = p_{-1} = p_n = 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (E6.10.1) \quad T_{3i-1}(p_{n-3i}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-3i-2}}{\partial y} &= c_{3i} z^{3i} + 3b_i y^{2i+1} z^{i-1} \\ T_{3i-2}(p_{n+1-3i}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-1-3i}}{\partial y} &= c_{3i-1} z^{3i-1} - 2ib_i y^{2i-1} z^i \\ T_{3i}(p_{n-1-3i}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-3-3i}}{\partial y} &= c_{3i+1} z^{3i+1} + (n-3i)b_i y^{2i+1} z^i \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ together with $-\frac{\partial p_{n-2}}{\partial y} = c_0$ and $-\frac{\partial p_{n-3}}{\partial y} = c_1 z$. In particular, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $T_{n-1}(p_0) = c_n z^n$.

Claim 4: We have $f = 0$.

Proof: We show that $c_0 = \dots = c_n = 0$, which implies that $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$. By the above equations, it suffices to show that $T_{n-i-1}(p_i) - \frac{\partial p_{i-2}}{\partial y} \in y\mathbb{k}[y, z]$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. We claim that $p_i \in \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear when $i = 0$. Suppose it works for p_i for all $i \leq m$. Then inductively, the above equations imply that $T_{n-m-2}(p_{m+1}) \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{y^{2i+1}z^j \mid \text{for all possible } i, j\}$. Note that $\ker(T_{n-m-2}) \in \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]$. Our claim follows by the definition of T_{n-m-2} . Since $\text{img}(T_{n-i-1}) \in (y)$, we get $T_{n-i-1}(p_i) - \frac{\partial p_{i-2}}{\partial y} \in y\mathbb{k}[y, z]$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Claim 5: We have $g = 0$.

Proof: By **Claim 4**, we can take $f = 0$. We will only treat the case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ here and other cases will follow in a similar manner. We write $n = 3s$ and group the equations (E6.10.1) into $s+1$ parts named by (Ei) with $0 \leq i \leq s$. In details, (E0) is given by

$$(E0.1) \quad T_{n-1}(p_0) = 3b_s y^{2s+1} z^{s-1}$$

$$(E0.2) \quad T_{n-2}(p_1) = -2sb_s y^{2s-1} z^s$$

$$(E0.3) \quad T_{n-3}(p_2) - \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial y} = 3b_{s-1} y^{2s-1} z^{s-1}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq s-2$, (Ei) is given by

$$(Ei.1) \quad T_{n-3i-1}(p_{3i}) - \frac{\partial p_{3i-2}}{\partial y} = 3b_{s-i}y^{2s-2i+1}z^{s-i-1}$$

$$(Ei.2) \quad T_{n-3i-2}(p_{3i+1}) - \frac{\partial p_{3i-1}}{\partial y} = -2(s-i)b_{s-i}y^{2s-2i-1}z^{s-i}$$

$$(Ei.3) \quad T_{n-3i-3}(p_{3i+2}) - \frac{\partial p_{3i}}{\partial y} = (3s-3(s-i-1))b_{s-i-1}y^{2s-2i-1}z^{s-i-1}$$

Moreover, (E(s-1)) and (Es) are given by

$$(E(s-1).1) \quad T_2(p_{n-3}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-5}}{\partial y} = 3b_1y^3$$

$$(E(s-1).2) \quad T_1(p_{n-2}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-4}}{\partial y} = -2b_1yz$$

$$(E(s-1).3) \quad -\frac{\partial p_{n-3}}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$(Es) \quad -\frac{\partial p_{n-2}}{\partial y} = 0.$$

As in **Claim 4**, we know $p_i \in \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-1-i}$. Assign the lexicographic order with $y > z$ on all monomials in $\mathbb{k}[y, z]$. We prove the following statement inductively for all $0 \leq i \leq s-2$ with $n = 3s$:

$$p_{3i} = -\frac{3}{2}b_{s-i}(y^2)^{s-i}z^{s-i-1} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-1}$$

$$p_{3i+1} = \alpha_i(y^2)^{s-i-1}z^{s-i} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-2}$$

$$p_{3i+2} = \beta_i(y^2)^{s-i-1}z^{s-i-1} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-3}$$

for some $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{k}$ and $(i+1)b_{s-i-1} = (s-i)b_{s-i}$. When $i = 0$, we use (E0.1) and (E0.2) to get

$$p_0 = -\frac{3}{2}b_s y^{2s} z^{s-1}, \quad p_1 = -sb_s y^{2s-2} z^s$$

since T_{n-1}, T_{n-2} are injective. So (E0.3) implies that

$$T_{n-3}(p_2) = 3b_{s-1}y^{2s-1}z^{s-1} + \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial y} = 3(b_{s-1} - sb_s)y^{2s-1}z^{s-1}.$$

Since $3 \mid n-3$, we have $\ker(T_{n-3}) = \mathbb{k}y^{2s-2}z^{s-1}$ and $y^{2s-1}z^{s-1} \notin \text{img}(T_{n-3})$. We get $b_{s-1} = sb_s$ and $p_2 = \beta_0 y^{2s-2} z^{s-1}$ for some $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{k}$. Suppose the statement holds for $p_{3i}, p_{3i+1}, p_{3i+2}$. Then (E(i+1).1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{n-3i-4}(p_{3i+3}) \\ &= 3b_{s-i-1}y^{2s-2i-1}z^{s-i-2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\alpha_i(y^2)^{s-i-1}z^{s-i} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-2}) \\ &= 3b_{s-i-1}y^{2s-2i-1}z^{s-i-2} + \text{lower terms in } y(\mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-4}). \end{aligned}$$

Since T_{n-3i-4} is injective, we get

$$p_{3i+3} = -\frac{3}{2}b_{s-i-1}(y^2)^{s-i-1}z^{s-i-2} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-4}$$

Similarly from (E(i+1).2) we get

$$p_{3i+4} = \alpha_{i+1}(y^2)^{s-i-2}z^{s-i-1} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-5}$$

for some $\alpha_{i+1} \in \mathbb{k}$. Finally, (E(i+1).3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n-3i-6}(p_{3i+5}) &= (3s - 3(s - i - 2))b_{s-i-2}y^{2s-2i-3}z^{s-i-2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-\frac{3}{2}b_{s-i-1}(y^2)^{s-i-1}z^{s-i-2} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-4}) \\ &= 3((i+2)b_{s-i-2} - (s-i-1)b_{s-i-1})y^{2s-2i-3}z^{s-i-2} \\ &\quad + \text{lower terms in } y(\mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-6}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\ker(T_{n-3i-6}) = \mathbb{k}y^{2s-2i-4}z^{s-i-2}$ and $y^{2s-2i-3}z^{s-i-2} \notin \text{img}(T_{n-3i-6})$ for $3 \mid n - 3i - 6$. So we get $(i+2)b_{s-i-2} = (s-i-1)b_{s-i-1}$ and we can write

$$p_{3i+5} = \beta_{i+1}(y^2)^{s-i-2}z^{s-i-2} + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_{n-3i-6}$$

for some $\beta_{i+1} \in \mathbb{k}$. This completes our induction argument. From the above result, we have

$$p_{n-5} = p_{3(s-2)+1} = \alpha_{s-2}y^2z^2 + \text{lower terms in } \mathbb{k}[y^2, z]_4$$

From (E(s-1).1): $T_2(p_{n-3}) - \frac{\partial p_{n-5}}{\partial y} = 3b_1y^3$, we get $p_{n-3} = -\frac{3}{2}b_1y^2 + \lambda z^2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$. Moreover, (E(s-1).3): $-\frac{\partial p_{n-3}}{\partial y} = 0$ implies that $b_1 = 0$. Again from the above statement, we have all $b_i = 0$ and $g = 0$.

Finally, we can show that ϕ is Hamiltonian. By all the above claims, up to a proper Hamiltonian derivation, we can take a Poisson derivation ϕ of degree n as

$$\phi(x) = 0, \quad \phi(y) = (x^2 + y^2)p, \quad \phi(z) = -2yzp$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_{n-1}$. From $\{\phi(x), y\} + \{x, \phi(y)\} = \phi(x^2 + y^2)$, we get $\{p, x\} = 0$ or $(x^2 + y^2)p_y = 2yzp_z$. We show that $p = p(x, \Omega)$ by induction on the degree of f . It is clear that we can write $p_y = 2yzq$ and $p_z = (x^2 + y^2)q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ of degree $\deg(p) - 3$. Then $p_{zy} = p_{yz}$ implies that $(x^2 + y^2)q_y = 2yzq_z$. So our induction hypothesis implies that $q = q(x, \Omega)$. Take any polynomial $h(x, \Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial h(x, \Omega)}{\partial \Omega} = q$. An easy calculation shows that $h_y = p_y$ and $h_z = p_z$. So $p - h \in \mathbb{k}[x]$. This proves our claim. Now take any $Q(x, \Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial Q(x, \Omega)}{\partial x} = p(x, \Omega)$. Then one checks that $\phi = \{Q, -\}$ and ϕ is Hamiltonian. \square

Remark 6.11. If A is a non-unimodular quadratic Poisson polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then by Corollary 0.3, A^δ is unimodular for some graded Poisson derivation δ of A (in fact $\delta = \frac{1}{\sum_i \deg x_i} \mathbf{m}$). By (E4.3.1), $\text{rgt}(A) = \text{rgt}(A^\delta)$. If one can calculate rgt for all unimodular quadratic Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then the above formula provides a way of computing $\text{rgt}(A)$ when A is not unimodular.

Note that all 13 classes of non-unimodular quadratic Poisson structures on $\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ were listed explicitly in [DH] (also see [DML, LX]). For each class, the modular derivation \mathbf{m} is easy to compute. Therefore rgt can be calculated by the method mentioned in the above paragraph.

7. RIGIDITY, H -OZONENESS, AND PH^1 -MINIMALITY

In this section we will study some connections between rigidity of graded twisting, ozone derivations and the first Poisson cohomology.

Let A be a general Poisson algebra with Poisson center Z . Let $Pd(A)$ be the Lie algebra of all Poisson derivations of A and let $Hd(A)$ be the Lie ideal of $Pd(A)$ of

all Hamiltonian derivations. Recall from (E1.5.4) that the first Poisson cohomology of A is defined to be

$$(E7.0.1) \quad PH^1(A) := Pd(A)/Hd(A).$$

If A is \mathbb{Z} -graded, then so is $PH^1(A)$. Part (1) of the following definition is Definition 6.8.

Definition 7.1. Let A be a Poisson algebra.

- (1) A Poisson derivation ϕ of A is called *ozone* if $\phi(z) = 0$ for all $z \in Z$.
- (2) Let $Od(A)$ denote the Lie algebra of all ozone Poisson derivations of A .
- (3) We say A is *H-ozone* if $Od(A) = Hd(A)$, namely, if every ozone Poisson derivation is Hamiltonian.

It is clear that $Od(A)$ is a Lie ideal of $Pd(A)$ and

$$Hd(A) \subseteq Od(A) \subseteq Pd(A).$$

In general, not every ozone Poisson derivation is Hamiltonian.

For the rest of this section, we only consider locally finite connected \mathbb{N} -graded Poisson algebras A with $A_i \neq 0$ for some $i > 0$. And later we will only consider $A = \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ where $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = \deg(z) = 1$. In this case the Euler derivation, denoted by E , sending $a \rightarrow (\deg a)a (=: |a|a)$, is a nonzero Poisson derivation.

Lemma 7.2. *Let A be a connected graded Poisson algebra with center Z . Suppose Z is a domain. Then $ZE \cap Od(A) = 0$ if $Z \neq \mathbb{k}$. As a consequence, $ZE \cap Hd(A) = 0$ and the canonical map $ZE \rightarrow PH^1(A)$ is a graded injective Z -module map.*

Proof. Let f be any homogeneous element in Z . It is easy to check that fE is a Poisson derivation. So ZE is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $Pd(A)$. Moreover, one can check that $Pd(A)$ is a Z -module.

Next we assume that $Z \neq \mathbb{k}$. Let ϕ be in $ZE \cap Od(A)$ and we can write it as $\phi = fE$ for some $f \in Z$. Let $z \in Z$ be a nonzero element of positive degree. Then $\phi(z) = 0$ as $\phi \in Od(A)$. Since $\phi = fE$, we obtain that $0 = f(\deg z)z$. This implies that $f = 0$ or $\phi = 0$. Hence $ZE \cap Od(A) = 0$.

Since $Hd(A) \subseteq Od(A)$, $ZE \cap Hd(A) = 0$. So the map

$$ZE \rightarrow Pd(A)/Hd(A) =: PH^1(A)$$

is injective.

Finally if $Z = \mathbb{k}$, then it is trivial since $E \notin Hd(A)$. □

By the above lemma, the minimal possibility of $PH^1(A)$ is ZE . This motivates the following definition.

Definition 7.3. Let A be a nontrivial connected graded Poisson algebra with Poisson center Z . Suppose Z is a domain.

- (1) We say A is *PH^1 -minimal* if $PH^1(A) \cong ZE$.
- (2) We say A has an *Euler-ozone decomposition* if

$$Pd(A) = ZE \rtimes Od(A).$$

- (3) We say A has an *Euler-Hamiltonian decomposition* if

$$Pd(A) = ZE \rtimes Hd(A).$$

By Remark 5.3, if A is the Poisson polynomial algebra corresponding to the 4-dimensional (resp. 3-dimensional) Sklyanin algebra, then it is PH^1 -minimal. Note that the dimension of $Gpd(A)$ is the constant term of $h_{Pd(A)}(t)$. So A is rigid of graded twisting if and only if the constant term of $h_{Pd(A)}(t)$ is 1 [Remark 5.2]. Therefore we have

$$(E7.3.1) \quad A \text{ is } PH^1\text{-minimal} \quad \Rightarrow \quad rgt(A) = 0.$$

Proposition 7.4. *Let A be a connected graded Poisson algebra. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A is PH^1 -minimal.
- (ii) $h_{PH^1(A)}(t) = h_Z(t)$ provided Z is a domain.
- (iii) $h_{Pd(A)}(t) = h_A(t)$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) Follows from the definition.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) It is clear that the map $A \rightarrow Hd(A)$ sending $a \rightarrow H_a$ is surjective. The kernel is the center Z . So $h_{Hd(A)}(t) = h_A(t) - h_Z(t)$. By (E7.0.1), $h_{PH^1(A)}(t) = h_{Pd(A)}(t) - h_{Hd(A)}(t)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h_{Pd(A)}(t) - h_A(t) &= h_{PH^1(A)}(t) + h_{Hd(A)}(t) - h_A(t) \\ &= h_{PH^1(A)}(t) + h_A(t) - h_Z(t) - h_A(t) \\ &= h_{PH^1(A)}(t) - h_Z(t). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. \square

Let A be the Poisson algebra in Example 6.6(Case 3). This Poisson algebra is corresponding to the 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebra. The potential Ω has isolated singularity. By [Pi1, Proposition 4.5] (and [VdB, Theorem 5.1]), $PH^1(A) = ZE$, consequently, A is PH^1 -minimal.

Lemma 7.5. *Let A be a connected graded Poisson algebra. Assume that Z is a non-trivial domain. If A is PH^1 -minimal, then A is H -ozone and has an Euler-Hamiltonian decomposition.*

Proof. Since A is PH^1 -minimal, $ZE \cong PH^1(A) = Pd(A)/Hd(A)$. This implies that $Pd(A) = ZE \rtimes Hd(A)$. So A has an Euler-Hamiltonian decomposition.

For a graded Poisson derivation ϕ of degree d , by the Euler-Hamiltonian decomposition, we have

$$\phi = fE + H_a.$$

Let z be a nonzero central element of positive degree. Then $\phi(z) = |z|fz + H_a(z) = |z|fz$. If ϕ is ozone, $0 = \phi(z) = |z|fz$ which implies that $f = 0$ and $\phi = H_a$ as required. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let A be a connected graded Poisson domain. Suppose A is H -ozone.*

- (1) *Every Poisson normal element in A is Poisson central.*
- (2) *Suppose A is a Poisson polynomial ring. Then A is unimodular.*

Proof. (1) Let x be a nonzero Poisson normal element. Then it is the sum of homogeneous Poisson normal elements. So we can assume that x is homogeneous. Let ϕ be the log-Hamilton derivation $x^{-1}H_x$. Since $H_x(z) = 0$ for all z in the center Z , ϕ is ozone. By the hypothesis, ϕ is Hamiltonian, namely, $\phi = H_y$ for some element y . Since ϕ has degree 0, $\deg y = 0$ (or $y \in \mathbb{k}$) and consequently, $\phi = 0$. This implies that x is central.

(2) Let \mathbf{m} be the modular derivation of A . It follows from the definition that it is ozone. Since $\deg \mathbf{m} = 0$, by the hypothesis, $\mathbf{m} = H_y$ for some element y of degree 0. Hence $y \in \mathbb{k}$ and consequently, $\mathbf{m} = 0$. The assertion follows. \square

We have proved the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \text{ is } PH^1\text{-minimal} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 7.5}} & A \text{ is } H\text{-ozone} \\ \text{(E7.3.1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Lemma 7.6(2)} \\ \text{rgt}(A) = 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 4.4(3)}} & A \text{ is unimodular} \end{array}$$

Next we show that some of the conditions are equivalent under extra hypotheses.

Lemma 7.7. *Suppose A is a connected graded Poisson algebra. Let Z be the center of A and assume that $Z = \mathbb{k}[z]$ where z is homogeneous with $\deg z > 0$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Pd}(A)_{\leq -1} = 0$, A has an Euler-ozone decomposition.*
- (2) *Suppose A is H -ozone. Then $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Let ϕ be a Poisson derivation of A of degree i . By the hypothesis, $i \geq 0$.

Case 1: $\deg(z) \mid i$. Since $\phi(z)$ is central, $\phi(z) = az^n$ for some $a \in \mathbb{k}$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $\phi' := \phi - \frac{a}{|z|} z^{n-1} E$ satisfies $\phi'(z) = 0$. So ϕ' is ozone. Therefore $\phi = \frac{a}{|z|} z^{n-1} E + \phi'$.

Case 2: $\deg(z) \nmid i$. Since $\phi(z)$ is central, it must be 0. Therefore ϕ is ozone.

Combining these two cases, every Poisson derivation is the sum of fE for some $f \in Z$ and an ozone derivation.

(2) By Lemma 4.4(4), it suffices to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Gpd}(A) = 1$, or equivalently, $\text{Gpd}(A) = \mathbb{k}E$. Let $\phi \in \text{Gpd}(A)$ and $\phi(z) = az$ for some $a \in \mathbb{k}$. Let δ be $\phi - \frac{a}{|z|} E$. Then $\delta \in \text{Gpd}(A)$ is ozone. By the hypothesis, δ is Hamiltonian, say $\delta = H_f$ for some homogeneous element $f \in A$. Since $\deg(\delta) = 0$, $\deg(f) = 0$. Since A is connected graded, $H_f = 0$ and consequently, $\delta = 0$. Thus $\phi = \frac{a}{|z|} E$ and $\text{Gpd}(A) = \mathbb{k}E$. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 0.6.

Proof of Theorem 0.6. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Since $\text{rgt}(A) = 0$, every Poisson derivation δ is of the form cE . Then $E \wedge \delta = 0$. By (E2.3.1), $\langle a, b \rangle = \{a, b\}$. So $A = A^\delta$. The assertion follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): By Corollary 0.3, there is a Poisson derivation δ such that A^δ is unimodular. Since $A^\delta \cong A$ for all δ , A is unimodular. Suppose to the contrary that A is not rigid. Then there is a Poisson derivation δ not in ZE . Thus, by Theorem 0.2, the modular derivation of A^δ is

$$\mathbf{n} = 0 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \deg(x_i) \right) \delta - \text{div}(\delta)E$$

which cannot be zero as $\text{div}(\delta) \in \mathbb{k}$ [Lemma 1.2(3)]. Therefore A^δ is not isomorphic to A , yielding a contradiction.

(5) \Leftrightarrow (6): Under the hypothesis of (5), A is PH^1 -minimal. One implication follows by Lemma 7.5 and the other is clear.

(6) \Rightarrow (7): See the proof of Lemma 7.5.

(7) \Rightarrow (1): This is Lemma 7.7(2).

(3) \Leftrightarrow (5): This is Proposition 7.4.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (8): This is Corollary 6.7.

(8) \Rightarrow (6,7): If $\Omega = \frac{1}{3}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + \lambda xyz$ with $\lambda^3 \neq -1$, then by the comments before Lemma 7.5, A is PH^1 -minimal. Hence A is H -ozone since (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). If Ω is $x^3 + y^2z$ or $x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$, it follows by Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10 that A is H -ozone. In all three cases in Example 6.6, one can check easily that $Pd(A)_{\leq -1} = 0$. By Lemma 7.7(1), A has an Euler-ozone decomposition. Since A is H -ozone, A has an Euler-Hamiltonian decomposition.

(5) \Rightarrow (1): The assertion follows from Remark 5.2.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): The assertion follows from Remark 5.2

(8) \Rightarrow (4): In all three cases, Z is $\mathbb{k}[\Omega]$ (this is a well-known fact and a special case of it is [MTU, Lemma 1], also see Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9 later), which has Hilbert series $\frac{1}{1-t^3}$. The assertion follows from (5) since (5) is equivalent to (8).

(4) \Leftrightarrow (9): It follows from (E1.5.5) that $h_{PH^3(A)}(t) - h_{PH^2(A)}(t) = h_{PH^0(A)}(t) - h_{PH^1(A)}(t) + t^{-3}$. We know that $PH^0(A) = Z$. So the assertion follows from the fact that $h_{PH^1(A)}(t) = h_{PH^0(A)}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t^3}$ if and only if $h_{PH^3(A)}(t) - h_{PH^2(A)}(t) = t^{-3}$. \square

Before we prove Corollary 0.7, we will need to calculate the Hilbert series of $PH_0(A)$ for A in the first two cases of Example 6.6. By definition and [Pe2, p.2357], the 0th Poisson homology of the Poisson polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$ is

$$PH_0(A) \cong \frac{A}{\{A, A\}} = \frac{A}{(H_x(A) + H_y(A) + H_z(A))}.$$

Case 1: $\Omega = x^3 + y^2z$. We use the G -grading introduced in the proof of Lemma 6.9, namely, $\deg_G(x) = 0$, $\deg_G(y) = 1$ and $\deg_G(z) = -2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j,0,l} &= x^i y^j z^0 \Omega^l, \quad i, j, l \geq 0, \\ b_{i,0,k,l} &= x^i y^0 z^k \Omega^l, \quad i, l \geq 0, k \geq 1, \\ c_{i,1,k,l} &= x^i y z^k \Omega^l, \quad i, l \geq 0, k \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{A} &:= \{a_{i,j,0,l} \mid i, j, l \geq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{B} &:= \{b_{i,0,k,l} \mid i, l \geq 0, k \geq 1\}, \\ \mathbb{C} &:= \{c_{i,1,k,l} \mid i, l \geq 0, k \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If X is a subset of elements in A , we use $\mathbb{k}X$ to denote the \mathbb{k} -linear span of X .

Lemma 7.8. *Retain the above notations.*

- (1) $\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear basis of A .
- (2)

$$\begin{aligned} H_x(a_{i,j,0,l}) &= j a_{i,j+1,0,l}, \\ H_x(b_{i,0,k,l}) &= (-2k) c_{i,1,k,l}, \\ H_x(c_{i,1,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (1-2k)(b_{i,0,k-1,l+1} - b_{i+3,0,k-1,l}) & k > 1, \\ (1-2k)(a_{i,0,0,l+1} - a_{i+3,0,0,l}) & k = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_y(a_{i,j,0,l}) &= (-i)a_{i-1,j+2,0,l}, \\
H_y(b_{i,0,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (-i)b_{i-1,0,k-1,l+1} + (i+3k)b_{i+2,0,k-1,l} & k > 1, \\ (-i)a_{i-1,0,0,l+1} + (i+3)a_{i+2,0,0,l} & k = 1, \end{cases} \\
H_y(c_{i,1,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (-i)c_{i-1,1,k-1,l+1} + (i+3k)c_{i+2,1,k-1,l} & k > 1, \\ (-i)a_{i-1,1,0,l+1} + (i+3)a_{i+2,1,0,l} & k = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_z(a_{i,j,0,l}) &= \begin{cases} 2ia_{i-1,j-1,0,l+1} + (-2i-3j)a_{i+2,j-1,0,l} & j > 0, \\ 2ic_{i-1,1,1,l} & j = 0, \end{cases} \\
H_z(b_{i,0,k,l}) &= 2ic_{i-1,1,k+1,l}, \\
H_z(c_{i,1,k,l}) &= 2ib_{i-1,0,k,l+1} + (-3-2i)b_{i+2,0,k,l}.
\end{aligned}$$

(5) $A/(H_x(A) + H_y(A) + H_z(A))$ has a \mathbb{k} -linear basis

$$\{a_{0,0,0,0}, a_{1,0,0,0}\} \cup \{a_{0,1,0,l}\}_{l \geq 0} \cup \{a_{1,1,0,l}\}_{l \geq 0} \cup \{b_{0,0,k,0}\}_{k \geq 1} \cup \{b_{1,0,k,0}\}_{k \geq 1}.$$

(6) The Hilbert series of $PH_0(A)$ is

$$\frac{(1+t)^3}{1-t^3}.$$

(7) The Hilbert series of $PH^0(A) = h_Z(t)$ is

$$\frac{1}{1-t^3}.$$

Proof. This follows from a tedious and direct computation. \square

The proof of the above lemma is routine and long, but very elementary, only using easy linear algebra arguments. To save space the details are omitted here. Note that Lemma 7.8(7) is a well-known fact.

Case 2: $\Omega = x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$. We need to prove a lemma similar to Lemma 7.8. We use the same notations as in Case 1 except that Ω is $x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$ instead of $x^3 + y^2z$.

Lemma 7.9. *Let A be as in Example 6.6(Case 2) with potential $\Omega = x^3 + x^2z + y^2z$.*

(1) $\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{k} -linear basis of A .

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_x(a_{i,j,0,l}) &= ja_{i,j+1,0,l} + ja_{i+2,j-1,0,l}, \\
H_x(b_{i,0,k,l}) &= (-2k)c_{i,1,k,l}, \\
H_x(c_{i,1,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (1-2k)(b_{i,0,k-1,l+1} - b_{i+3,0,k-1,l}) + 2kb_{i+2,0,k,l} & k > 1, \\ -(a_{i,0,0,l+1} - a_{i+3,0,0,l}) + 2b_{i+2,0,1,l} & k = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
H_y(a_{i,j,0,l}) &= (-i)a_{i-1,j+2,0,l} + (-i)a_{i+1,j,0,l}, \\
H_y(b_{i,0,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (-i)b_{i-1,0,k-1,l+1} + (i+3k)b_{i+2,0,k-1,l} + 2kb_{i+1,0,k,l} & k > 1, \\ (-i)a_{i-1,0,0,l+1} + (i+3)a_{i+2,0,0,l} + 2b_{i+1,0,1,l} & k = 1, \end{cases} \\
H_y(c_{i,1,k,l}) &= \begin{cases} (-i)c_{i-1,1,k-1,l+1} + (i+3k)c_{i+2,1,k-1,l} + 2kc_{i+1,1,k,l} & k > 1, \\ (-i)a_{i-1,1,0,l+1} + (i+3)a_{i+2,1,0,l} + 2c_{i+1,1,1,l} & k = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

(4)

$$H_z(a_{i,j,0,l}) = \begin{cases} 2ic_{i-1,1,1,l} & j = 0, \\ 2ia_{i-1,0,0,l+1} + (-2i-3)a_{i+2,0,0,l} + (-2i-2)b_{i+1,0,1,l} & j = 1, \\ 2ia_{i-1,1,0,l+1} + (-2i-6)a_{i+2,1,0,l} + (-2i-4)c_{i+1,1,1,l} & j = 2, \\ 2ia_{i-1,j-1,0,l+1} + (-2i-3j)a_{i+2,j-1,0,l} \\ \quad + (-2i-2j)x^{i+1}y^{j-1}z\Omega^l & j \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$H_z(b_{i,0,k,l}) = 2ic_{i-1,1,k+1,l},$$

$$H_z(c_{i,1,k,l}) = 2ib_{i-1,0,k,l+1} + (-3-2i)b_{i+2,0,k,l} + (-2-2i)b_{i+1,0,k+1,l}.$$

(5) $A/(H_x(A) + H_y(A) + H_z(A))$ has a \mathbb{k} -linear basis

$$\{a_{0,0,0,0}, a_{1,0,0,0}\} \cup \{a_{3i,1,0,0}\}_{i \geq 0} \cup \{a_{1+3i,1,0,0}\}_{i \geq 0} \cup \{b_{0,0,k,0}\}_{k \geq 1} \cup \{b_{1,0,k,0}\}_{k \geq 1}.$$

(6) The Hilbert series of $PH_0(A)$ is

$$\frac{(1+t)^3}{1-t^3}.$$

(7) The Hilbert series of $PH^0(A) = h_Z(t)$ is

$$\frac{1}{1-t^3}.$$

Proof. This follows from a tedious and direct computation. \square

Similar to Lemma 7.8, the proof of Lemma 7.9 is routine and long (even longer than the proof of Lemma 7.8), but still very elementary. To save space the details are omitted here. Note that Lemma 7.9(7) is a well-known fact.

Finally we prove Corollary 0.7.

Proof of Corollary 0.7. (1) This is clear since $Z = \mathbb{k}[\Omega]$ by Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9.(2) Follows from Theorem 0.6((8) \Rightarrow (4)).(4) By Poincaré duality [LuWW1, Theorem 3.5], $h_{PH^3(A)}(t) = t^{-3}h_{PH_0(A)}$. Then the assertion follows from Lemmas 7.8 and 7.9.(3) Follows from Parts (1,2,4) and (E1.5.5). \square

Acknowledgments. Wang was partially supported by Simons collaboration grant #688403 and Air Force Office of Scientific Research grant FA9550-22-1-0272. Zhang was partially supported by the US National Science Foundation (No. DMS-1700825 and DMS-2001015). Part of this research work was done during the first and second authors' visit to the Department of Mathematics at University of Washington in January 2022. They are grateful for the third author's invitation and wish to thank University of Washington for its hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [Ba1] V.V. Bavula, Generalized Weyl algebras and their representations, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4**(1)(1993), 71–92.
- [Ba2] V.V. Bavula, The generalized Weyl Poisson algebras and their Poisson simplicity criterion, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), no. 1, 105–119.
- [Ba3] V.V. Bavula, The PBW Theorem and simplicity criteria for the Poisson enveloping algebra and the algebra of Poisson differential operators, preprint (2021), arxiv.2107.00321.
- [Bo] A.I. Bondal, Non-commutative deformations and Poisson brackets on projective spaces, Max-Planck-Institute preprint, 1993, no.93–67.
- [BM] A. Bonifant and J. Milnor, On real and complex cubic curves, *Enseign. Math.* **63** (2017), no. 1-2, 21–61.
- [Do] V. Dolgushev, The Van den Bergh duality and the modular symmetry of a Poisson variety, *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) **14** (2009), no. 2, 199–228.
- [DML] J. Donin and L. Makar-Limanov, Quantization of quadratic Poisson brackets on a polynomial algebra of three variables, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **129** (1998), no. 3, 247–261.
- [DH] J.-P. Dufour and A. Haraki, Rotationnels et structures de Poisson quadratiques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **312** (1991), no. 1, 137–140.
- [GVW] J. Gaddis, P. Veerapen and X.-T. Wang, Reflection groups and rigidity of quadratic Poisson algebras, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.09280 (2020).
- [GW1] J. Gaddis and X.-T. Wang, The Zariski cancellation problem for Poisson algebras, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **101** (2020), no. 3, 1250–1279.
- [GW2] J. Gaddis, X.-T. Wang and D. Yee, Cancellation and skew cancellation for Poisson algebras, to appear *Math. Z.*.
- [Go1] K.R. Goodearl, A Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence for Poisson algebras with torus actions, In Algebra and its applications, volume **419** of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 131–154, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [Go2] K.R. Goodearl, Semiclassical limits of quantized coordinate rings, In *Advances in ring theory*, Trends Math., pages 165–204, Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.
- [GLa] K.R. Goodearl and S. Launois, The Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence and a Gel'fand-Kirillov problem for Poisson polynomial algebras, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **139**(1) (2011), 1–39.
- [GLe] K.R. Goodearl and E.S. Letzter, Semiclassical limits of quantum affine spaces, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, **52** (2009), no. 2, 387–407.
- [JO] D.A. Jordan and S.-Q. Oh, Poisson spectra in polynomial algebras, *J. Algebra* **400** (2014), 56–71.
- [KM] I. Kogan and M. Moreno Maza, Computation of canonical forms for ternary cubics, *Proceedings of the 2002 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, 151–160, ACM, New York, 2002.
- [LPV] C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau and P. Vanhaecke, *Poisson structures*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 347. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013
- [LS] C. Lecoutre and S. J. Sierra, A new family of Poisson algebras and their deformations, *Nagoya Math. J.*, no. 233, (2019), 32–86.
- [LX] Z.-J. Liu and P. Xu, On quadratic Poisson structures, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **26**(1) (1992) 33–42.
- [LuWW1] J. Luo, S.-Q. Wang and Q.-S. Wu, Twisted Poincaré duality between Poisson homology and Poisson cohomology, *J. Algebra* **442** (2015), 484–505.
- [LuWW2] J. Luo, X.-T. Wang and Q.-S. Wu, Poisson Dixmier-Moeglin equivalence from a topological point of view, *Israel J. Math.* **243** (2021), no. 1, 103–139.
- [LvWZ1] J.-F. Lü, X.-T. Wang and G.-B. Zhuang, Homological unimodularity and Calabi-Yau condition for Poisson algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **107** (2017), no. 9, 1715–1740.
- [MTU] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Turusbekova and U. Umirbaev, Automorphisms of elliptic Poisson algebras. Algebras, representations and applications, 169–177, *Contemp. Math.* **483**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [Pe1] S.R.T. Pelap, Poisson (co)homology of polynomial Poisson algebras in dimension four: Sklyanin's case, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), no. 4, 1151–1169.
- [Pe2] S.R.T. Pelap, Homological properties of certain generalized Jacobian Poisson structures in dimension 3, *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011), no. 12, 2352–2368.
- [Pil] A. Pichereau, Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities, *J. Algebra* **299** (2006), no. 2, 747–777.

- [Pi2] A. Pichereau, Formal deformations of Poisson structures in low dimensions, *Pacific J. Math.* **239** (2009), no. 1, 105–133.
- [Py] B. Pym, Quantum deformations of projective three-space, *Adv. Math.* **281** (2015), 1216–1241.
- [RRZ1] M. Reyes, D. Rogalski and J.J. Zhang, Skew Calabi-Yau algebras and homological identities, *Adv. Math.* **264** (2014), 308–354.
- [RRZ2] M. Reyes, D. Rogalski and J.J. Zhang, Skew Calabi-Yau triangulated categories and Frobenius Ext-algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), no. 1, 309–340.
- [Ri] N.R. Ribeiro, Classification at infinity of polynomials of degree 3 in 3 variables, preprint (2022) arXiv:2201.11026.
- [VdB] M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces, *Proceedings of Conference on Algebraic Geometry and Ring Theory in Honor of Michael Artin, Part III*, Antwerp, 1992, vol. 8, 1994, pp. 213–230.
- [Wa] S.-Q. Wang, Modular derivations for extensions of Poisson algebras, *Front. Math. China* **12** (2017), no. 1, 209–218.
- [WWY1] C. Walton, X.-T. Wang and M. Yakimov, Poisson geometry of PI three-dimensional Sklyanin algebras, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **118** (2019), no. 6, 1471–1500.
- [WWY2] C. Walton, X.-T. Wang and M. Yakimov, Poisson geometry and representations of PI 4-dimensional Sklyanin algebras, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **27** (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 99, 60 pp.
- [Zh] J.J. Zhang, Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **72** (1996), no. 2, 281–311.

TANG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & COMPUTER SCIENCE, FAYETTEVILLE STATE UNIVERSITY, FAYETTEVILLE, NC 28301, USA

Email address: xtang@uncfsu.edu

WANG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOWARD UNIVERSITY, WASHINGTON, DC, 20059, USA

Email address: xingting.wang@howard.edu

ZHANG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195, USA

Email address: zhang@math.washington.edu