

Universality and approximation bounds for echo state networks with random weights

Zhen Li ^{*} Yunfei Yang [†]

Abstract

We study the uniform approximation of echo state networks with randomly generated internal weights. These models, in which only the readout weights are optimized during training, have made empirical success in learning dynamical systems. We address the representational capacity of these models by showing that they are universal under weak conditions. Our main result gives a sufficient condition for the activation function and a sampling procedure for the internal weights so that echo state networks can approximate any continuous casual time-invariant operators with high probability. In particular, for ReLU activation, we quantify the approximation error of echo state networks for sufficiently regular operators.

Keywords: universality, approximation error, echo state networks, reservoir computing

1 Introduction

Reservoir computing [Lukoševičius and Jaeger, 2009], such as echo state networks [Jaeger and Haas, 2004] and liquid state machines [Maass et al., 2002], is a paradigm for supervised learning of dynamical systems, which transforms input data into a high-dimensional space by a state-space nonlinear system called reservoir, and performs the learning task only on the readout. Due to the simplicity of this computational framework, it has been applied to many fields and made remarkable success in many tasks such as temporal pattern prediction, classification and generation [Tanaka et al., 2019]. Motivated by these empirical successes, researchers have devoted a lot of effort in the theoretical understanding of the properties and performances of reservoir computing models (see, for instance, Jaeger [2001]; Buehner and Young [2006]; Lukoševičius and Jaeger [2009]; Yildiz et al. [2012]; Grigoryeva and Ortega [2019]; Gonon et al. [2020a,b] and references therein).

In particular, recent studies [Grigoryeva and Ortega, 2018a,b; Gonon and Ortega, 2020, 2021] showed that echo state networks are universal in the sense that they can approximate sufficiently regular input/output systems (i.e. operators) in various settings. However, these universality results do not guarantee the important property of echo state networks (and reservoir computing) that the state-space system is randomly generated, which is the major difference between reservoir computing and recurrent neural networks. To be concrete, consider the echo state network

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_t = \phi(W_1 \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + W_2 \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{b}), \\ y_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

^{*}Theory Lab, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China.

[†]Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China. (Corresponding author, Email: yyangdc@connect.ust.hk)

where $\mathbf{u}_t, y_t, \mathbf{s}_t$ are the input, output and hidden state at time step t , and ϕ is a prescribed activation function. In general, the weight matrices W_1, W_2, \mathbf{b} are randomly generated and only the readout \mathbf{a} is trained in a supervised learning manner. But the universality results in the mentioned papers require that all the weights depend on the target system that we want to approximate. Hence, these results can not completely explain the approximation capacity of echo state networks. To overcome this drawback of current theories, the recent work of [Gonon et al. \[2020a\]](#) studied the approximation of random neural networks in a Hilbert space setting and proposed a sampling procedure for the internal weights W_1, W_2, \mathbf{b} so that echo state network (1.1) with ReLU activation is universal in L^2 sense.

In this paper, we generalize these results and study the universality of echo state networks with randomly generated internal weights. Our main result shows that, under weak assumption on the activation function ϕ , there exists a sampling procedure for W_1, W_2, \mathbf{b} so that the system (1.1) can approximate any continuous time-invariant operator with prescribed L^∞ error in high probability. In particular, for ReLU activation, we show that the echo state network (1.1) is universal when $W_1 = c_\mu W P W^\top$ and $W_2 = W Q$, where (W, \mathbf{b}) is a random matrix whose entries are sampled independently from a general symmetric distribution μ , c_μ is a constant depending on μ and P, Q are fixed matrices defined by (3.6). Comparing with [Gonon et al. \[2020a\]](#), which takes advantage of the property of ReLU function to construct the reservoir, our construction makes use of the concentration of probability measure, and hence it can be applied to general activation functions.

1.1 Notations

We denote $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ as the set of positive integers. Let \mathbb{Z} (respectively, \mathbb{Z}_+ and \mathbb{Z}_-) be the set of integers (respectively, the non-negative and non-positive integers). The ReLU function is denoted by $\sigma(x) := \max\{x, 0\}$. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{R}^d is equipped with the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, unless it is explicitly stated. For any $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we use $U^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to denote the set of sequences of the form $\mathbf{u} = (\dots, \mathbf{u}_{-1}, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots)$, $\mathbf{u}_t \in U$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set $U^{\mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $U^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ consist of right and left infinite sequences of the form $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1, \dots)$ and $(\dots, \mathbf{u}_{-1}, \mathbf{u}_0)$, respectively. For any $i \leq j$ and $\mathbf{u} \in U^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we denote $\mathbf{u}_{i:j} := (\mathbf{u}_i, \dots, \mathbf{u}_j) \in U^{j-i+1}$ and use the convention that, when $i = -\infty$ or $j = \infty$, it denotes the left or right infinite sequence. And we will often regard $\mathbf{u}_{-\infty:j}$ as an element of $U^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$. The supremum norm of the sequence is denoted by $\|\mathbf{u}\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_\infty$. A *weighting sequence* $\eta : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a decreasing sequence with zero limit. The weight norm on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ associated to η is denoted by $\|\mathbf{u}\|_\eta := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_-} \eta_{-t} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_\infty$.

2 Continuous causal time-invariant operators

We study the uniform approximation problem for input/output systems or operators of signals in discrete time setting. We mainly consider the operators $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that satisfy the following three properties:

- (1) Causality: For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{u}_{-\infty:t} = \mathbf{v}_{-\infty:t}$ implies $F(\mathbf{u})_t = F(\mathbf{v})_t$.
- (2) Time-invariance: $F(T_\tau(\mathbf{u}))_t = F(\mathbf{u})_{t-\tau}$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $T_\tau : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is *time delay operator* defined by $T_\tau(\mathbf{u})_t = \mathbf{u}_{t-\tau}$.
- (3) Continuity (fading memory property): $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [-B, B]^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is uniformly bounded for some $B > 0$ and is continuous with respect to the product topology.

Grigoryeva and Ortega [2018a] gave a comprehensive study of these operators. We recall that the causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is one-to-one correspondence with the functional $F^* : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $F^*(\mathbf{u}_-) := F(\mathbf{u})_0$ where $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is any extension of $\mathbf{u}_- \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ such that $\mathbf{u}_{-\infty:0} = \mathbf{u}_-$ (F^* is well-defined by causality). And we can reconstruct F from F^* by $F(\mathbf{u})_t = F^*(P_{\mathbb{Z}_-} \circ T_{-t}(\mathbf{u}))$, where $P_{\mathbb{Z}_-} : (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ is the natural projection. In particular, for any causal time-invariant operators $F, G : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|F(\mathbf{u}) - G(\mathbf{u})\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\mathbf{u}_- \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}} |F^*(\mathbf{u}_-) - G^*(\mathbf{u}_-)|.$$

Hence, the approximation problem of causal time-invariant operators F can be reduced to the approximation of functionals F^* on $([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$.

We remark that the product topology on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is different from the uniform topology induced by the sup-norm. However, for the set $([-B, B]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$ of uniformly bounded sequences, the product topology coincides with the topology induced by the weight norm $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\eta}$ of any weighting sequence η . It is shown by Grigoryeva and Ortega [2018a, Section 2.3] that the causal time-invariant operator F is continuous (i.e. has the fading memory property) if and only if the corresponding functional F^* is continuous with respect to the product topology, which is equivalent to F^* has *fading memory property* with respect to some (and hence any) weighting sequence η , i.e. F^* is a continuous function on the compact metric space $([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$, $\|\cdot\|_{\eta}$. In other words, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$,

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\eta} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_-} \eta_{-t} \|\mathbf{u}_t - \mathbf{v}_t\|_{\infty} < \delta(\epsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F^*(\mathbf{v})| < \epsilon.$$

Next, we introduce several notations to quantify the regularity of causal time-invariant operators. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can associate a function $F_m^* : ([-1, 1]^d)^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to any causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_0) := F^*(\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}_{-m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_0). \quad (2.1)$$

If the functional F^* can be approximated by F_m^* arbitrarily well, we say F has approximately finite memory. The following definition quantifies this approximation.

Definition 2.1 (Approximately finite memory). For any causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, let $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_F(m) &:= \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}} |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0})|, \\ m_F(\epsilon) &:= \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{E}_F(m) \leq \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m_F(\epsilon) < \infty$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, we say F has approximately finite memory. If $m_F(0) < \infty$, we say F has finite memory.

Note that $m_F(\epsilon)$ is a non-increasing function of ϵ . By the time-invariance of F , for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}} |F(\mathbf{u})_t - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| = \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}} |F^*(\mathbf{u}_{-\infty:t}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| = \mathcal{E}_F(m). \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{E}_F(m)$ quantifies how well F can be approximated by functionals with finite memory.

Definition 2.2 (Modulus of continuity). If $F^* : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has fading memory property with respect to some weighting sequence η . We denote the modulus of continuity with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\eta$ by

$$\omega_{F^*}(\delta; \eta) := \sup\{|F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F^*(\mathbf{u}')| : \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}, \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'\|_\eta \leq \delta\},$$

and the inverse modulus of continuity

$$\omega_{F^*}^{-1}(\epsilon; \eta) := \sup\{\delta > 0 : \omega_{F^*}(\delta; \eta) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Similarly, the modulus of continuity (with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$) of a continuous function $f : [-1, 1]^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the inverse modulus of continuity are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_f(\delta) &:= \sup\{|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}')| : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in [-1, 1]^m, \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_\infty \leq \delta\}, \\ \omega_f^{-1}(\epsilon) &:= \sup\{\delta > 0 : \omega_f(\delta) \leq \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition quantifies the continuity of causal time-invariant operators by the approximately finite memory and modulus of continuity. This proposition is a modification of similar result in [Hanson and Raginsky \[2019\]](#) to our setting. It shows that a causal time-invariant operator F is continuous if and only if it has approximately finite memory and each F_m^* is continuous.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a causal time-invariant operator.*

- (1) *If F has approximately finite memory and $\omega_{F_m^*}(\delta) < \infty$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$, then F^* has fading memory property with respect to any weighting sequence η : for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ and $m = m_F(\epsilon/3)$,*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_\eta \leq \eta_{m-1} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \quad \text{implies} \quad |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F^*(\mathbf{v})| \leq \epsilon.$$

In other words, $\omega_{F^}(\eta_{m-1} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3); \eta) \leq \epsilon$ for $m = m_F(\epsilon/3)$.*

- (2) *If F^* has fading memory property with respect to some weighting sequence η , then F has approximately finite memory with $\mathcal{E}_F(m) \leq \omega_{F^*}(\eta_m, \eta)$,*

$$m_F(\epsilon) \leq \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \eta_m \leq \omega_{F^*}^{-1}(\epsilon; \eta)\},$$

and $\omega_{F_m^}(\delta) \leq \omega_{F^*}(\delta; \eta)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In order to approximate the continuous causal time-invariant operator F , we only need to approximate the functional F^* , which can be approximated by the continuous function F_m^* if m is chosen sufficiently large. Hence, any approximation theory of continuous functions can be translated to an approximation result for continuous causal time-invariant operators. For instance, if we approximate F_m^* by some function h , then we can approximate F by

$$y_t = h(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The function h uniquely determine a causal time-invariant operator H such that $H(\mathbf{u})_t = h(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})$. Since H has finite memory, H is continuous if and only if h is continuous by [Proposition 2.3](#). When we approximate F_m^* by polynomials, then H is the Volterra series

[Boyd and Chua, 1985]. When h is a neural network, then H is a temporal convolution neural network, studied by Hanson and Raginsky [2019].

In this paper, we focus on the approximation by echo state networks (ESN), which are special state space models of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_t = \phi(W_1 \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + W_2 \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{b}), \\ y_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and the activation function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is applied element-wise.

Definition 2.4 (Existence of solutions and echo state property). We say the system (2.3) has *existence of solutions property* if for any $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$, there exist $\mathbf{s} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ such that $\mathbf{s}_t = \phi(W_1 \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + W_2 \mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{c})$ holds for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_-$. If the solution \mathbf{s} is unique, we say the system has *echo state property*.

Grigoryeva and Ortega [2018a, Theorem 3.1] gave sufficient conditions for the system (2.3) to have existence of solutions property and echo state property. In particular, they showed that, if ϕ is a bounded continuous function, then the existence of solutions property holds. And if ϕ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant $L_\phi < \infty$ and $\|W_1\|L_\phi < 1$, then the system (2.3) has echo state property, where $\|W_1\|$ is the operator norm of the matrix W_1 . As a sufficient condition to ensure the echo state property, the hypothesis $\|W_1\|L_\phi < 1$ has been extensively studied in the ESN literature [Jaeger, 2001; Jaeger and Haas, 2004; Buehner and Young, 2006; Yildiz et al., 2012; Gandhi and Jaeger, 2013].

If the system (2.3) has existence of solutions property, the axiom of choice allows us to assign $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u}) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$ to each $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}$, and hence define a functional $h : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(\mathbf{u}) := \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u})_0 = y_0$. Thus, we can assign a causal time-invariant operator $H : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to the system such that $H^* = h$. When the echo state property holds, this operator is unique. The operator H is continuous if and only if the mapping $\mathbf{u} \mapsto \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u})_0$ is a continuous function. In the next section, we study the universality of these operators.

3 Universal approximation

As mentioned in the introduction, the recent works of Grigoryeva and Ortega [2018a]; Gonon and Ortega [2021] showed that the echo state networks (2.3) are universal: Assume ϕ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous function. Let $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a continuous causal time-invariant operator, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, for sufficiently large n , there exists an ESN (2.3) such that the corresponding causal time-invariant operator F_{ESN} satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|F(\mathbf{u}) - F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

In this universal approximation theorem, the weights $W_1, W_2, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ in the network (2.3) depend on the target operator F . However, in practice, the parameters W_1, W_2, \mathbf{b} are drawn at random from certain given distribution and only the readout vector \mathbf{a} are trained by linear regression using observed data related to the target operator F . Hence, this universal approximation theorem can not completely explain the empirical performance of echo state networks.

In this section, our goal is to show that, with randomly generated weights, echo state networks are universal: For any $\epsilon > 0$, for sufficiently large n , with high probability on

W_1, W_2, \mathbf{b} , which are drawn from certain distribution, there exists \mathbf{a} such that we can associate a causal time-invariant operator F_{ESN} to the ESN (2.3) and the approximation bound (3.1) holds. In the context of standard feed-forward neural networks, one can show that similar universal approximation theorem holds for random neural networks. This will be the building block of our main theorem of echo state networks.

3.1 Universality of random neural networks

It is well-known that feed-forward neural networks with one hidden layer are universal. We recall the universal approximation theorem proved by Leshno et al. [1993].

Theorem 3.1. *If $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and is not a polynomial, then for any compact set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, any function $f \in C(\mathcal{X})$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \left| f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right| \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

In Theorem 3.1, the parameters a_i, b_i, \mathbf{w}_i depend on the target function f . In order to take into account the fact that for ESN the inner weights \mathbf{w}_i, b_i are randomly chosen and only a_i are trained from data, we consider the random neural networks whose weights (\mathbf{w}_i, b_i) are drawn from some probability distribution μ . This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Suppose $(\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors drawn from probability distribution μ defined on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . If for any compact set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, any function $f \in C(\mathcal{X})$ and $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, the inequality (3.2) holds for some $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, then we say the pair (ϕ, μ) is universal.

Remark 3.3. We note that the readout weights $(a_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ depend on the randomly generated weights $(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. In the definition, we only require the existence of neural networks that convergence to the target function in probability. This requirement is actually equivalent to almost sure convergence. To see this, convergence in probability implies that there exists a sub-sequence n_k such that there exists f_{n_k} , which is a linear combination of $\{\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i)\}_{i=1}^{n_k}$, converging to the target function f almost surely as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that, for any $n \geq n_k$, f_{n_k} is also a linear combination of $\{\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$. Hence, there exists f_n , which is a linear combination of $\{\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, such that f_n converges to f almost surely.

The universality of random neural networks was widely studied in the context of extreme learning machine [Huang et al., 2006a,b, 2012]. In particular, Huang et al. [2006a] used an incremental construction to establish the random universal approximation theorem in L^2 -norm for bounded non-constant piecewise continuous activation function. The recent work of Hart et al. [2020] considered the approximation in C^1 -norm and assumed that the activation function $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi'(x)| dx < \infty$. They argued that, since there exists a neural network g that approximates the target function f by universality of neural networks, there will eventually be some randomly generated samples (\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i) that are close to the weights of g and we can discard other samples by setting the corresponding $a_i = 0$, hence the random universal approximation holds. It is possible to generalize their argument to the approximation of continuous functions. Nevertheless, we will give an alternative approach based on law of large numbers and show that (ϕ, μ) is universal under very weak conditions. Our analysis will need the following uniform law of large numbers.

Lemma 3.4 (Jennrich [1969], Theorem 2). Let $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$ be i.i.d. samples from some probability distribution μ on Ω . Suppose

- (1) \mathcal{X} is compact;
- (2) $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ is continuous on \mathbf{x} for each $\mathbf{w} \in \Omega$, and measurable on \mathbf{w} for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$;
- (3) there exists $g(\mathbf{w})$ with $|f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})| \leq g(\mathbf{w})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[g(\mathbf{w})] < \infty$.

Then $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})]$ is continuous on \mathbf{x} and

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}}[f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})] \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \mu\text{-almost surely as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we give a sufficient condition for the pair (ϕ, μ) to be universal. Our proof is a combination of the uniform law of large number (Lemma 3.4) and the universality of neural networks (Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 3.5. Suppose the continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not a polynomial and $|\phi(x)| \leq C_1|x|^\alpha + C_2$ for some $\alpha, C_1, C_2 \geq 0$. If μ is a probability distribution with full support on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} such that $\int \|\mathbf{w}^\top, b\|_\infty^\alpha d\mu(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) < \infty$, then (ϕ, μ) is universal.

Proof. By Hahn-Banach theorem, for any compact set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, the linear span of a function class $\mathcal{H} \subseteq C(\mathcal{X})$ is dense in $C(\mathcal{X})$ if and only if

$$\left\{ \nu \in M(\mathcal{X}) : \int_{\mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \right\} = \{0\},$$

where $M(\mathcal{X})$ is the dual space of $C(\mathcal{X})$, that is, the space of all signed Radon measures with finite total variation [Folland, 1999, Chapter 7.3].

We consider the linear space

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu := \left\{ h(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} g(\mathbf{w}, b) \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mu(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) : g \in L^\infty(\mu) \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $|g(\mathbf{w}, b) \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)| \leq \|g\|_\infty (C_1 |\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b|^\alpha + C_2) \leq C_{\mathcal{X}} \|g\|_\infty \|\mathbf{w}^\top, b\|_\infty^\alpha + C_2 \|g\|_\infty$, by assumption and Lemma 3.4, any $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ is continuous and hence $\mathcal{H}_\mu \subseteq C(\mathcal{X})$. Suppose $\nu \in M(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies $\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$. Then, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} g(\mathbf{w}, b) \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mu(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} g(\mathbf{w}, b) \int_{\mathcal{X}} \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{w}^\top, b), \end{aligned}$$

for all function $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \mu\text{-almost surely.}$$

Since this function is continuous and μ has full support, the equality holds for all $(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. By Theorem 3.1, the linear span of $\{\phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) : (\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}\}$ is dense in $C(\mathcal{X})$, which

implies $\nu = 0$. We conclude that \mathcal{H}_μ is dense in $C(\mathcal{X})$. In other words, for any $f \in C(\mathcal{X})$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}_\mu$ such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x})| \leq \epsilon/2$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.

By Lemma 3.4, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, with probability at least $1 - \delta$ on the samples (\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i) from μ ,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i) \phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) - h(\mathbf{x}) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By triangle inequality, we conclude that (3.2) holds with $a_i = \frac{1}{n}g(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)$ and the pair (ϕ, μ) is universal. \square

In practice, it is more convenient to sample each weight independently from certain distribution μ on \mathbb{R} , so that (\mathbf{w}^\top, b) is sample from μ^{d+1} , the $d + 1$ products of μ . The next corollary is a direct application of Lemma 3.5 to this situation.

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose the continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is not a polynomial and $|\phi(x)| \leq C_1|x|^\alpha + C_2$ for some $\alpha, C_1, C_2 \geq 0$. If μ is a probability distribution with full support on \mathbb{R} such that $\int |x|^\alpha d\mu(x) < \infty$, then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair (ϕ, μ^{d+1}) is universal.*

Proof. When $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_\infty^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) &\leq \int \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_1^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \\ &\leq \int |b|^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i|^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha > 1$, since every norm of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} are equivalent,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_\infty^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) &\leq C \int \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_1^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \\ &= C \int |b|^\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i|^\alpha d\mu^{d+1}(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In any cases, the pair (ϕ, μ^{d+1}) satisfies the condition in Lemma 3.5, hence it is universal. \square

So far, we have assumed that μ has full support. When the activation $\phi(x) = \sigma(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$ is the ReLU function, this assumption can be weakened due to the absolute homogeneity of ReLU.

Corollary 3.7. *For the ReLU function σ , if μ is a probability distribution on \mathbb{R} whose support contains the interval $[-r, r]$ for some $r > 0$, then (σ, μ^{d+1}) is universal for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We consider the continuous mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow [-r, r]^{d+1}$ defined by

$$T(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{w}^\top, b), & \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_\infty \leq r \\ \frac{r}{\|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_\infty} (\mathbf{w}^\top, b) & \|(\mathbf{w}^\top, b)\|_\infty > r. \end{cases}$$

Let $\gamma = T_{\#}\mu^{d+1}$ be the push-forward measure of μ^{d+1} under T defined by $\gamma(S) = \mu^{d+1}(T^{-1}(S))$ for any measurable set $S \subseteq [-r, r]^{d+1}$. Then, the support of γ is $[-r, r]^{d+1}$ by assumption.

We firstly show that (σ, γ) is universal. As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, if $\nu \in M(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies $\int_{\mathcal{X}} h(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$, then by Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \tilde{b}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

holds for all $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\top, \tilde{b}) \in [-r, r]^{d+1}$. By the absolute homogeneity of σ , this equation actually holds for all $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\top, \tilde{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. The argument in the proof of Theorem 3.5 implies that (σ, γ) is universal.

Observe that any sample (\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i) from μ^{d+1} corresponding to a sample $(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i^\top, \tilde{b}_i) = T(\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i)$ from $\gamma = T_{\#}\mu^{d+1}$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{c_i} \phi(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + \tilde{b}_i),$$

where $c_i = 1$ if $\|(\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i)\|_\infty \leq r$ and $c_i = \|(\mathbf{w}_i^\top, b_i)\|_\infty / r$ otherwise. We conclude that (σ, μ^{d+1}) is universal. \square

3.2 Universality of echo state networks

In this section, we will state and prove the random universal approximation theorem for echo state networks. Our analysis is based on the uniform law of large numbers and the universality of random feed-forward neural networks. For simplicity, we will assume that all internal weights in the network have the same distribution μ from now on. We make the following assumption on the activation function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the distribution μ , where we also assume that the input $\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^m$ (any compact set slightly larger than $[-1, 1]^m$ is enough for our purpose).

Assumption 3.8. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair (ϕ, μ^{m+1}) is universal and, for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$, there exists a measurable mapping $\varphi_m : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^m} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \sim \mu^{m+1}} [\varphi_m(\mathbf{w}, b) \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] \right\|_\infty \leq \epsilon_0, \quad (3.4)$$

and $\|\varphi_m(\mathbf{w}, b) \phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)\|_\infty \leq g_m(\mathbf{w}, b)$ for some g_m satisfying $\mathbb{E}[g_m(\mathbf{w}, b)] < \infty$.

Corollary 3.6 gives sufficient condition for the pair (ϕ, μ^{m+1}) to be universal. Besides, in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have shown that the function class \mathcal{H}_μ defined by (3.3) is dense in $C(\mathcal{X})$ for any compact set \mathcal{X} . Therefore, if ϕ and μ satisfy Corollary 3.6 (so the pair (ϕ, μ^{m+1}) satisfies Theorem 3.5), then there exists a bounded mapping φ_m that satisfies (3.4) by the denseness of \mathcal{H}_μ . In summary, any activation ϕ and distribution μ that satisfy the Conditions in corollary 3.6 also satisfy Assumption 3.8. However, the function φ_m in (3.4) may be difficult to compute for general activation function. We will give explicit construction for the ReLU function in Corollary 3.11.

By Lemma 3.4, Assumption 3.8 ensures that if we have n i.i.d. samples $(\mathbf{w}_1^\top, b_1), \dots, (\mathbf{w}_n^\top, b_n)$ from μ^{m+1} , we can approximately reconstruct the input by

$$\mathbf{x} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_m(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i) \phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i).$$

In other words, the features $\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i)$ contain enough information of the input and we can approximately recover it using $\varphi_m(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)$ as coefficients. For echo state networks, this assumption guarantees that the hidden state does not lose too much information about the history of the input, and hence we can view it as a “reservoir” and approximate the desired function at any time steps.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the distribution μ satisfy Assumption 3.8. For $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathbf{w}_1^\top, b_1), \dots, (\mathbf{w}_n^\top, b_n)$ be n i.i.d. samples from μ^{md+1} , and define the ESN*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_t = \phi(W(\frac{1}{n}P\varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b})\mathbf{s}_{t-1} + Q\mathbf{u}_t) + \mathbf{b}), \\ y_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $W = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times md}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we denote $\varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) := (\varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_1, b_1), \dots, \varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_n, b_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^{md \times n}$ for measurable function $\varphi_{md} : \mathbb{R}^{md+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{md}$ and

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} 0_d & I_d & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0_d & I_d \\ & & & 0_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{md \times md}, \quad Q := \begin{pmatrix} 0_d \\ \vdots \\ 0_d \\ I_d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{md \times d}, \quad (3.6)$$

where 0_d and I_d are the $d \times d$ zero matrix and identity matrix respectively. Then, for any continuous causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{Z}$, any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and measurable function φ_{md} such that, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, the system (3.5) has existence of solutions property and there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that the corresponding operator F_{ESN} satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}} \|F(\mathbf{u}) - F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1: Choose parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) .

We choose $m = m_F(\epsilon/3)$, then by the definition of $m_F(\epsilon/3)$ and F_m^* , for any $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$|F(\mathbf{u})_t - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| \leq \epsilon/3.$$

Since $F_m^* : [-1, 1]^{md} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous by Proposition 2.3, we can continuously extend its domain to $[-2, 2]^{md}$ by setting $F(x_1, \dots, x_{md}) = F(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{md})$ where $\tilde{x}_i = \max\{\min\{x_i, 1\}, -1\}$. By Assumption 3.8, the pair (ϕ, μ^{md+1}) is universal. Hence, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N_1$, with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left| F_m^*(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right| \leq \epsilon/3, \quad (3.7)$$

for some $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By Assumption 3.8, there exists φ_{md} such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}[\varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}, b)\phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)]\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3).$$

Lemma 3.4 implies that,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left\| \mathbb{E}[\varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}, b)\phi(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0,$$

almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq N_2$, with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i)\phi(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3),$$

which can be written as, for $\varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) := (\varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_1, b_1), \dots, \varphi_{md}(\mathbf{w}_n, b_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^{md \times n}$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{n} \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b})\phi(W\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3). \quad (3.8)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \leq 1/2$ by setting ϵ sufficiently small. Now, we choose $n = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, then with probability at least $1 - \delta$, (3.7) and (3.8) hold. We will fix such parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) that satisfy (3.7) and (3.8).

Step 2: Establish the existence of solutions property.

For any fixed $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we consider the operator $\psi : (\mathbb{R}^{md})^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{md})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by

$$\psi(\mathbf{r})_t := \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b})\phi(W\mathbf{r}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{md}.$$

For convenience, we will regard any vector in \mathbb{R}^{md} as m vectors in \mathbb{R}^d . To do this, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, we denote the $(i-1)d+1$ to id entries of $\psi(\mathbf{r})_t$ by $\psi(\mathbf{r})_t[i] \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, by the definition of matrices P and Q , $\psi(\mathbf{r})_t[m] = \mathbf{u}_t$ and $\psi(\mathbf{r})_t[i] = (\frac{1}{n} \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b})\phi(W\mathbf{r}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b})) [i+1]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.

For $j = 1, \dots, m$, we define the j composition of ψ inductively by $\psi^{(j)} = \psi \circ \psi^{(j-1)}$, where $\psi^{(0)}$ is the identity map. We are going to show that $\psi^{(m)}$ maps the compact set $([-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^{md})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ into itself and then use fixed point theorem to establish the existence of solutions property. To do this, we assert that, if $\mathbf{r} \in ([-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^{md})^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_t[i] \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{j}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3), & 1 \leq i \leq m-j, \\ \left\| \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_t[k] - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+k} \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{m-k}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3), & m-j < k \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

We prove this by induction. When $j = 1$, $\psi(\mathbf{r})_t[m] = \mathbf{u}_t \in [-1, 1]^d$ and, by inequality (3.8),

$$\left\| \psi(\mathbf{r})_t[i] \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \mathbf{r}_{t-1}[i+1] \right\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

Hence, the assertion is true for $j = 1$. Assume that the assertion is true for some $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, we are going to show that it is true for $j+1$. Since $\psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_t \in [-2, 2]^{md}$, by inequality (3.8),

$$\left\| \psi^{(j+1)}(\mathbf{r})_t[i] - \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_{t-1}[i+1] \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1,$$

and $\psi^{(j+1)}(\mathbf{r})_t[m] = \mathbf{u}_t$. Hence, by induction assumption, for $1 \leq i \leq m-j-1$,

$$\left\| \psi^{(j+1)}(\mathbf{r})_t[i] \right\|_{\infty} \leq \left\| \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_{t-1}[i+1] \right\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \leq \frac{3}{2} + \frac{j+1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3),$$

and for $m - j - 1 < k \leq m - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \psi^{(j+1)}(\mathbf{r})_t[k] - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+k} \right\|_\infty &\leq \left\| \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})_{t-1}[k+1] - \mathbf{u}_{t-1-m+k+1} \right\|_\infty + \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \\ &\leq \frac{m - (k+1)}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) + \frac{1}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \\ &= \frac{m - k}{m} \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertion is true and we conclude that

$$\left\| \psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{r})_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t} \right\|_\infty \leq \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \left(\left[-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right]^{md} \right)^\mathbb{Z},$$

where we regard $\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^m$ as the vector $(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{u}_t^\top)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{md}$ to simplify the notation. This inequality implies that $\psi^{(m)}$ maps the compact convex set $([-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^{md})^\mathbb{Z}$ into itself. By the continuity of $\psi^{(m)}$ and Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem (see Shapiro [2016, Theorem 7.1]), $\psi^{(m)}$ has at least a fixed point, that is, a point $\mathbf{r}^* \in ([-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]^{md})^\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}^*) = \mathbf{r}^*$. Note that the fixed point \mathbf{r}^* depends on \mathbf{u} because the operator ψ is defined through \mathbf{u} .

Now, we are ready to establish the existence of solution property for the system (3.5). For any $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}$, we define $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \in ([-2, 2]^{md})^\mathbb{Z}$ by $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t = \psi^{(j_t)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_t$, where $j_t = t - \lfloor t/m \rfloor m \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. If $j_t = 0$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t = \mathbf{r}_t^*$, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} = \psi^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_{t-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})_t &= \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \phi(W \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q \mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \phi(W \psi^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q \mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \psi(\psi^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*))_t = \psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_t \\ &= \mathbf{r}_t^* = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t. \end{aligned}$$

If $j_t = j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t = \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_t$, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} = \psi^{(j-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_{t-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})_t &= \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \phi(W \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q \mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \phi(W \psi^{(j-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q \mathbf{u}_t \\ &= \psi(\psi^{(j-1)}(\mathbf{r}^*))_t = \psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}^*)_t \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t. \end{aligned}$$

In any cases, we have $\psi(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})_t = \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t$, or equivalently,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t = \frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \phi(W \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q \mathbf{u}_t.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ is a fixed point of ψ , it is also a fixed point of $\psi^{(m)}$ and hence

$$\left\| \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t} \right\|_\infty = \left\| \psi^{(m)}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t} \right\|_\infty \leq \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3),$$

where we regard $\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}$ as a vector of \mathbb{R}^{md} as before.

Let $\mathbf{s}_t := \phi(W \tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b})$, then \mathbf{s} satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{s}_t = \phi\left(W \left(\frac{1}{n} P \varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + Q \mathbf{u}_t\right) + \mathbf{b}\right).$$

Hence, the system (3.5) has the existence of solution property with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Step 3: Bound the approximation error.

For the chosen parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) , we let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector that satisfies inequality (3.7), then

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} |F_m^*(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{a} \cdot \phi(W\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})| \leq \epsilon/3.$$

Since the system (3.5) has existence of solution property, we can associate a causal time-invariant operator F_{ESN} to the system (3.5) such that

$$F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \phi(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, for any $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}\|_\infty \leq \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3) \leq 1/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})_t - F(\mathbf{u})_t| &= |\mathbf{a} \cdot \phi(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}) - F(\mathbf{u})_t| \\ &\leq |\mathbf{a} \cdot \phi(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}) - F_m^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t)| + |F_m^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| + |F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}) - F(\mathbf{u})_t| \\ &\leq \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.9 establishes the random universal approximation for a special form of echo state networks. As mentioned above, for a general activation function ϕ , it may be very difficult to determine the function φ_m that satisfies Assumption 3.8. Fortunately, for the widely used ReLU activation $\phi = \sigma$, the function φ_m is easy to compute, when μ is symmetric.

Proposition 3.10. *Let μ be a symmetric distribution on \mathbb{R} with variance $\int x^2 d\mu(x) = M_2 < \infty$. Then, for the ReLU function σ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{w}^\top, b) \sim \mu^{m+1}} [\mathbf{w}\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] = \frac{M_2}{2} \mathbf{x}.$$

Proof. Let us denote $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)^\top$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^\top$. We need to show that $2\mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] = x_j M_2$ for any $j = 1, \dots, m$. By symmetry,

$$\mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] = -\mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b)].$$

Using the equality $\sigma(x) - \sigma(-x) = x$ for the ReLU function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2\mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)] - \mathbb{E}[w_j\sigma(-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[w_j(w_1x_1 + \dots + w_mx_m + b)] \\ &= x_j\mathbb{E}[w_j^2] = x_j M_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\mathbb{E}w_jw_ix_i = \mathbb{E}w_j\mathbb{E}w_ix_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$, because the random variables w_i and w_j are independent and symmetric. \square

By Proposition 3.10, for the ReLU function σ and symmetric distribution μ , we can choose $\varphi_m(\mathbf{w}, b) = \frac{2}{M_2} \mathbf{w}$ in Assumption 3.8 with error $\epsilon_0 = 0$. As a corollary, we can obtain the random universal approximation theorem for ReLU echo state networks under very weak assumption on the distribution μ .

Corollary 3.11. *Let μ be a symmetric probability distribution on \mathbb{R} whose support contains the interval $[-r, r]$ for some $r > 0$, and variance $\int x^2 d\mu(x) = M_2 < \infty$. For $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathbf{w}_1^\top, b_1), \dots, (\mathbf{w}_n^\top, b_n)$ be n i.i.d. samples from μ^{md+1} , and define the ReLU ESN*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_t = \sigma(W(\frac{2}{nM_2}PW^\top \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + Q\mathbf{u}_t) + \mathbf{b}), \\ y_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $W = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times md}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and P, Q are defined by (3.6). Then, for any continuous causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{Z}$, any $\epsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$, there exist $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, the system (3.9) has existence of solutions property and there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that the corresponding operator F_{ESN} satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}} \|F(\mathbf{u}) - F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the pair (σ, μ^{m+1}) is universal by Corollary 3.7 and satisfies Assumption 3.8 with $\varphi_m(\mathbf{w}, b) = \frac{2}{M_2}\mathbf{w}$ by Proposition 3.10. The result then follows from Theorem 3.9 by observing that $\varphi_{md}(W, \mathbf{b}) = (\frac{2}{M_2}\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \frac{2}{M_2}\mathbf{w}_n) = \frac{2}{M_2}W^\top$. \square

4 Approximation bounds for ReLU networks

We have shown that the ReLU echo state network (3.9) with randomly generated weights can approximate any continuous causal time-invariant operator with high probability. In this section, we derive approximation bounds for sufficiently regular functions. To simplify the analysis, we will assume that each random weight in (3.9) is sampled from the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]$.

The key ingredients of our approximation results are certain integral representation of sufficiently regular functions and the random neural networks approximation of these functions. We make the following regularity assumption on the target continuous causal time-invariant operator F .

Assumption 4.1. The function $F_m^* : [-1, 1]^{md} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (2.1) can be represented as

$$F_m^*(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^{md}} g_m(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [-1, 1]^{md}, \quad (4.1)$$

for some function g_m with uniform bound $|g_m(\mathbf{w}, b)| \leq B_m$.

In [Klusowski and Barron, 2018; Gonon et al., 2020a], it is shown that sufficient regular functions (whose Fourier transforms decay sufficiently fast) can be represented by integral forms similar to (4.1). The following proposition is a modification of these results. The proof is deferred to Appendix B.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ admits a Fourier representation*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) e^{i\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [-1, 1]^d,$$

and $\sup_{r>0} \sup_{\|\mathbf{w}\|_1=1/2} \max(1, r^{2d+4}) |\hat{f}(r\mathbf{w})| \leq B$. Then there exists $g : [-1/2, 1/2]^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|g(\mathbf{w}, b)| \leq 40B$ such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d} g(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [-1, 1]^d.$$

The next lemma gives error bound for the random approximation of the integral form (4.1). This result can be seen as a quantitative version of Lemma 3.4. Intuitively, the integral can be regarded as an expectation over uniform distribution. Hence, the law of large numbers implies that the empirical average converges to the expectation. We can bound this approximation error by using statistical learning theory [Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David, 2014] and concentration inequalities [Boucheron et al., 2013]. The proof is deferred to Appendix C.

Lemma 4.3. *Let*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d} g(\mathbf{w}) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{w}$$

with $|g(\mathbf{w})| \leq B$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \left| f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(\mathbf{w}_i) \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}) \right| \leq Brd \left(2\sqrt{\frac{2d \log(n+1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n}} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{1:n} := (\mathbf{w}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are i.i.d. samples from the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]^d$.

Now, we are ready to prove our approximation bound for ReLU echo state networks. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9, in which we reduce the approximation of operator F to the approximation of function F_m^* and construct an ESN to approximate F_m^* . Here, we quantify this approximation error by Lemma 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *For $n, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathbf{w}_1^\top, b_1), \dots, (\mathbf{w}_n^\top, b_n)$ be n i.i.d. samples from the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]^{md+1}$, and define the ReLU ESN*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_t = \sigma(W(\frac{24}{n}PW^\top \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + Q\mathbf{u}_t) + \mathbf{b}), \\ y_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{s}_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $W = (\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times md}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and P, Q are defined by (3.6). Then, for sufficiently large $n/\log(n+1) \geq c(m, \delta, d)$ and any continuous causal time-invariant operator $F : ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying Assumption 4.1, with probability at least $1 - \delta$, the system has existence of solutions property and there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that the corresponding operator F_{ESN} satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}} \|F(\mathbf{u}) - F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})\|_\infty \leq C(m, \delta, d) \sqrt{\frac{\log(n+1)}{n}} + \mathcal{E}_F(m),$$

where we can choose $C(m, \delta, d) = \sqrt{2}B_m(md+1)(3m^2d+1)(4\sqrt{md+1} + \sqrt{\log(4md/\delta)})$ and $c(m, \delta, d) = 1152m^2(md+1)^2(4\sqrt{md+1} + \sqrt{\log(4md/\delta)})^2$.

Proof. For any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, by equation (2.2),

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^\mathbb{Z}} |F(\mathbf{u})_t - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| \leq \mathcal{E}_F(m).$$

By Assumption 4.1, we can extend the domain of F_m^* to $[-2, 2]^{md}$ by the integral representation (4.1). Using Lemma 4.3, it holds with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left| F_m^*(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(\mathbf{w}_i, b_i) \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right| \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} B_m (md + 1) \left(4 \sqrt{\frac{(md + 1) \log(n + 1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(4/\delta)}{n}} \right) =: \mathcal{E}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, Proposition 3.10 shows that $\mathbf{x} = 24\mathbb{E}\mathbf{w}\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)$. Hence, applying Lemma 4.3 to each coordinate of \mathbf{x} , it holds with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-2, 2]^{md}} \left\| \mathbf{x} - \frac{24}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right\|_{\infty} \\ & \leq 12\sqrt{2}(md + 1) \left(4 \sqrt{\frac{(md + 1) \log(n + 1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(4md/\delta)}{n}} \right) =: \mathcal{E}_2 \leq \frac{1}{2m}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where the last inequality holds if $n/\log(n+1) \geq 1152m^2(md+1)^2(4\sqrt{md+1} + \sqrt{\log(4md/\delta)})^2$. We note that, in matrix form,

$$\mathbf{x} - \frac{24}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i \sigma(\mathbf{w}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} + b_i) = \mathbf{x} - \frac{24}{n} W^{\top} \sigma(W\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}).$$

Therefore, with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) , inequalities (4.2) and (4.3) hold. For such parameters (W, \mathbf{b}) , Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.9 shows that, for any $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \in ([-2, 2]^{md})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t = \frac{24}{n} P W^{\top} \sigma(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{b}) + Q\mathbf{u}_t,$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}\|_{\infty} \leq m\mathcal{E}_2,$$

where we regard $\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}$ as a vector of \mathbb{R}^{md} in the natural way. By the integral representation (4.1), we have

$$|F_m^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| \leq B_m \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^{md}} \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t - \mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}\|_{\infty} d\mathbf{w} \leq \frac{B_m m^2 d}{4} \mathcal{E}_2.$$

Let $\mathbf{s}_t := \sigma(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b})$, then \mathbf{s} satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{s}_t = \sigma\left(W\left(\frac{24}{n} P W^{\top} \mathbf{s}_{t-1} + Q\mathbf{u}_t\right) + \mathbf{b}\right).$$

Hence, the system has the existence of solution property with probability at least $1 - \delta$ and we can associate a causal time invariant operator F_{ESN} to the system such that

$$F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}_t = \mathbf{a} \cdot \sigma(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{n}(g(\mathbf{w}_1, b_1), \dots, g(\mathbf{w}_n, b_n))^\top$, then by inequality (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F_{ESN}(\mathbf{u})_t - F(\mathbf{u})_t| = |\mathbf{a} \cdot \sigma(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}) - F(\mathbf{u})_t| \\
& \leq |\mathbf{a} \cdot \sigma(W\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t + \mathbf{b}) - F_m^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t)| + |F_m^*(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_t) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t})| + |F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{t-m+1:t}) - F(\mathbf{u})_t| \\
& \leq \mathcal{E}_1 + \frac{B_m m^2 d}{4} \mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_F(m) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2} B_m (md + 1) \left((12m^2 d + 4) \sqrt{\frac{(md + 1) \log(n + 1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(4/\delta)}{n}} + 3m^2 d \sqrt{\frac{\log(4md/\delta)}{n}} \right) \\
& \quad + \mathcal{E}_F(m) \\
& \leq C(m, \delta, d) \sqrt{\frac{\log(n + 1)}{n}} + \mathcal{E}_F(m),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C(m, \delta, d) = \sqrt{2} B_m (md + 1) (3m^2 d + 1) (4\sqrt{md + 1} + \sqrt{\log(4md/\delta)})$. \square

Remark 4.5. If B_m is at most polynomial on m and $\mathcal{E}_F(m)$ decays exponentially, say $\mathcal{E}_F(m) \leq c_0 e^{-c_1 m}$ for some $c_0, c_1 > 0$, then we can choose $m \approx (2c_1)^{-1} \log n$ and the approximation error is $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n})$, where the notation $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ hides poly-logarithmic factors of n .

5 Conclusions

We have analyzed the approximation capacity of echo state networks with randomly generated internal weights. Under weak assumption on the activation function, we constructed a sampling procedure for the internal weights so that the echo state networks can approximate any continuous casual time-invariant operators with prescribed accuracy. For ReLU activation, we explicitly computed the random weights in our construction and derived the approximation bounds for sufficiently regular operators.

Our results also raise some questions for future study. For example, our construction of the sampling procedure relies on the function φ_m in Assumption 3.8. For practical applications, the random weights in echo state networks do not have such particular form. Hence, it will be interesting to extend the results to “general” sampling procedures. Another interesting question is the echo state property of the constructed networks. In Theorem 3.9, we can only prove that the network has existence of solution property. In order to establish the echo state property, we think more regularity condition on the activation function is needed.

A Proof of Proposition 2.3

Proof. For the first part, by the definition of $m = m_F(\epsilon/3)$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}^-}} |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0})| \leq \epsilon/3.$$

Since the weighting sequence is decreasing,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0} - \mathbf{v}_{-m+1:0}\|_\infty \leq \eta_{m-1}^{-1} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|_\eta \leq \omega_{F_m^*}^{-1}(\epsilon/3),$$

which implies $|F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{v}_{-m+1:0})| \leq \epsilon/3$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F^*(\mathbf{v})| \\ & \leq |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0})| + |F_m^*(\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0}) - F_m^*(\mathbf{v}_{-m+1:0})| + |F_m^*(\mathbf{v}_{-m+1:0}) - F^*(\mathbf{v})| \\ & \leq \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part, we observe that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}$,

$$\|\mathbf{u} - (\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0})\|_\eta = \sup_{t \leq -m} \eta_{-t} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_\infty \leq \eta_m.$$

Then, by the definition of $\omega_{F^*}(\delta; \eta)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_F(m) = \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in ([-1, 1]^d)^{\mathbb{Z}_-}} |F^*(\mathbf{u}) - F^*(\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0})| \leq \omega_{F^*}(\eta_m, \eta).$$

If m satisfies $\eta_m \leq \omega_{F^*}^{-1}(\epsilon; \eta)$, then $\mathcal{E}_F(m) \leq \omega_{F^*}(\eta_m, \eta) \leq \epsilon$. Hence,

$$m_F(\epsilon) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{E}_F(m) \leq \epsilon\} \leq \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \eta_m \leq \omega_{F^*}^{-1}(\epsilon; \eta)\}.$$

Finally, by the definition of F_m^* and $\|(\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0}) - (\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}'_{-m+1:0})\|_\eta \leq \|\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0} - \mathbf{u}'_{-m+1:0}\|_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{F_m^*}(\delta) &= \sup\{|F^*(\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0}) - F^*(\dots, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{u}'_{-m+1:0})| : \|\mathbf{u}_{-m+1:0} - \mathbf{u}'_{-m+1:0}\|_\infty \leq \delta\} \\ &\leq \omega_{F^*}(\delta; \eta). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

B Proof of Proposition 4.2

Proof. The proof is a modification of the argument in [Klusowski and Barron, 2018; Gonon et al., 2020a]. Firstly, observe that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$-\int_0^\infty \sigma(t-b)e^{ib} + \sigma(-t-b)e^{-ib} db = e^{it} - it - 1.$$

For any $\mathbf{x} \in [-1, 1]^d$, letting $t = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(0) \cdot \mathbf{x} - f(0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}} - i\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - 1) \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty (\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b)e^{ib} + \sigma(-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b)e^{-ib}) \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) db d\mathbf{w} \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)e^{-ib} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) db d\mathbf{w} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{-\infty}^0 \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)e^{ib} \hat{f}(-\mathbf{w}) db d\mathbf{w} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{-\|\mathbf{w}\|_1}^0 \operatorname{Re}[-e^{-ib} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) - e^{ib} \hat{f}(-\mathbf{w})] \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) db d\mathbf{w}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last equality, we use the fact that $\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) = 0$ for $b < -\|\mathbf{w}\|_1$. Since $f(0), \nabla f(0) \cdot \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Im}[\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \operatorname{Re}[\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} = 0$, which

implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla f(0) \cdot \mathbf{x} + f(0) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \operatorname{Im} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] + \operatorname{Re} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{1/2} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)(8 \operatorname{Re} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] - \operatorname{Im} [\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})]) db d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{1/2} (\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) - \sigma(-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b)) \operatorname{Re} [(8 + i)\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] db d\mathbf{w} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^{1/2} \operatorname{Re} [(8 + i)\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) db d\mathbf{w} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{-1/2}^0 \operatorname{Re} [(8 + i)\hat{f}(-\mathbf{w})] \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) db d\mathbf{w}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem, we conclude that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} h(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\mathbf{w}, b) &:= 1_{[-\|\mathbf{w}\|_1, 0]}(b) \operatorname{Re} [-e^{-ib} \hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) - e^{ib} \hat{f}(-\mathbf{w})] \\
&\quad + 1_{[0, 1/2]}(b) \operatorname{Re} [(8 + i)\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})] - 1_{[-1/2, 0]}(b) \operatorname{Re} [(8 + i)\hat{f}(-\mathbf{w})],
\end{aligned}$$

where 1_S denote the indicator function of the set S . Note that, if $|b| > \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ and $|b| > 1/2$, then $h(\mathbf{w}, b) = 0$.

Next, we denote $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 > 1/2\}$, $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 < 1/2\}$ and consider the mapping

$$T : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad T(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}.$$

Then, the Jacobian of T is

$$\nabla T(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2} \left(I_d - 2 \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_1} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{w})^\top \right)$$

with determinant

$$|\det(\nabla T(\mathbf{w}))| = \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d}} \left| 1 - 2 \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{w})^\top \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_1} \right| = \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d}},$$

where we use Sylvester's determinant theorem. The change of variables formula implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} h(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} h \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, b \right) \sigma \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2} + b \right) \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d}} d\mathbf{w} db \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} h \left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, \frac{b}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2} \right) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d+4}} d\mathbf{w} db,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the homogeneity of ReLU in the last equality. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} h(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} h(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(h(\mathbf{w}, b) + \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d+4}} h\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, \frac{b}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}\right) \right) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$g(\mathbf{w}, b) := \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{w}) \left(h(\mathbf{w}, b) + \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d+4}} h\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, \frac{b}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}\right) \right).$$

Then, $g(\mathbf{w}, b) = 0$ for $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 > 1/2$. If $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 1/2$ and $|b| > 1/2$, then $|b| > \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ and $|b|/(4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2) > 1/2$, and hence $h(\mathbf{w}, b) = h(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, \frac{b}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}) = 0$, which implies $g(\mathbf{w}, b) = 0$. This shows that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d} g(\mathbf{w}, b) \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b) d\mathbf{w} db, \quad \mathbf{x} \in [-1, 1]^d.$$

Finally, for $0 < \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \leq 1/2$, by the definition of $h(\mathbf{w}, b)$ and the assumption that

$$\sup_{r>0} \sup_{\|\mathbf{w}\|_1=1/2} \max(1, r^{2d+4}) |\hat{f}(r\mathbf{w})| \leq B,$$

we have $|h(\mathbf{w}, b)| \leq 10(|\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})| + |\hat{f}(-\mathbf{w})|) \leq 20B$ and

$$\left| \frac{1}{(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)^{2d+4}} h\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}, \frac{b}{4\|\mathbf{w}\|_1^2}\right) \right| \leq 10r^{2d+4} \left(|\hat{f}(r\tilde{\mathbf{w}})| + |\hat{f}(-r\tilde{\mathbf{w}})| \right) \leq 20B,$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}/(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)$ and $r = 1/(2\|\mathbf{w}\|_1)$. Therefore, $|g(\mathbf{w}, b)| \leq 40B$. \square

C Proof of Lemma 4.3

Proof. We denote the function $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) := g(\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ and the random variables

$$\begin{aligned} Z &:= \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \left| f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right|, \\ Z_+ &:= \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i), \\ Z_- &:= \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) - f(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

We are going to bound the expectation of these random variables by the Rademacher complexity [Bartlett and Mendelson, 2002]. Let $\mathbf{w}'_{1:n} = (\mathbf{w}'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be i.i.d. samples from the uniform distribution on $[-1/2, 1/2]^d$, independent of $\mathbf{w}_{1:n}$. Since $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}'_i} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) = f(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}} Z_+ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}'_{1:n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \mathbf{w}'_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) - h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi_{1:n} = (\xi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a sequence of i.i.d Rademacher random variables, independent of $\mathbf{w}_{1:n}$ and $\mathbf{w}'_{1:n}$. Then, by symmetrization argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}} Z_+ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \mathbf{w}'_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) - h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \mathbf{w}'_{1:n}, \xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i (h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) - h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i)) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \mathbf{w}'_{1:n}, \xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_i) + \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\xi_i h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] \\ &= 2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because \mathbf{w}_i and \mathbf{w}'_i have the same distribution and ξ_i and $-\xi_i$ have the same distribution.

Observe that, for any $\mathbf{w} \in [-1/2, 1/2]^d$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [-r, r]^d$, we have $|h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})| \leq Brd/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) - h(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w})| &= |g(\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{w})\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{y})| \\ &\leq B|\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})| \leq \frac{1}{2}Bd\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a set $S_\epsilon = \{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^N \subseteq [-r, r]^d$ of $N \leq (1+r/\epsilon)^d$ points such that S_ϵ is an ϵ -covering of $[-r, r]^d$: for any \mathbf{x} , there exists $\mathbf{x}_j \in S_\epsilon$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}} Z_+ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x}_j \in S_\epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i h(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_i) + \sup_{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j\|_\infty \leq \epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i (h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) - h(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_i)) \right] \\ &\leq 2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}, \xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x}_j \in S_\epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i h(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] + Bdc. \end{aligned}$$

By Massart's lemma [Shalev-Shwartz and Ben-David, 2014, Lemma 26.8],

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_{1:n}} \left[\sup_{\mathbf{x}_j \in S_\epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i h(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] \leq \frac{Brd\sqrt{2 \log N}}{2\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{Brd}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2d \log(1+r/\epsilon)}{n}}.$$

If we choose $\epsilon = r/\sqrt{n}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{w}_{1:n}} Z_+ \leq Brd \sqrt{\frac{2d \log(1 + \sqrt{n})}{n}} + \frac{Brd}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 2Brd \sqrt{\frac{2d \log(n+1)}{n}}.$$

Next, we apply McDiarmid's inequality [Boucheron et al., 2013, Theorem 6.2] to the random variable Z_+ . Observe that, if one of the \mathbf{w}_j is replaced by \mathbf{w}'_j , then the difference is

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \left[f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) \right] - \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} \left[f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i) + \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_j) - \frac{1}{n} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_j) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d} |h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_j) - h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}'_j)| \leq \frac{Brd}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

because the inequalities hold for each fixed $\mathbf{x} \in [-r, r]^d$ and taking supremum cannot increase the difference. Hence, McDiarmid’s inequality implies

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_+ - \mathbb{E}Z_+ > t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2nt^2}{B^2r^2d^2}\right).$$

For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, if we choose $t = Brd\sqrt{\log(2/\delta)/(2n)}$, then with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$,

$$Z_+ \leq \mathbb{E}Z_+ + t \leq Brd\left(2\sqrt{\frac{2d\log(n+1)}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\delta)}{2n}}\right) =: \mathcal{E}.$$

Applying similar argument to Z_- shows that $Z_- \leq \mathcal{E}$ with probability at least $1 - \delta/2$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z > \mathcal{E}) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_+ > \mathcal{E}) + \mathbb{P}(Z_- > \mathcal{E}) \leq \delta. \quad \square$$

References

- Peter L. Bartlett and Shahar Mendelson. Rademacher and Gaussian complexities: Risk bounds and structural results. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3:463–482, 2002.
- Stéphane Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi, and Pascal Massart. *Concentration inequalities: A nonasymptotic theory of independence*. Oxford University Press, 2013.
- Stephen Boyd and Leon O. Chua. Fading memory and the problem of approximating nonlinear operators with Volterra series. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 32(11):1150–1161, 1985.
- Michael R. Buehner and Peter Michael Young. A tighter bound for the echo state property. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 17(3):820–824, 2006.
- Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- Manjunath Gandhi and Herbert Jaeger. Echo state property linked to an input: Exploring a fundamental characteristic of recurrent neural networks. *Neural Computation*, 25(3):671–696, 2013.
- Lukas Gonon and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Reservoir computing universality with stochastic inputs. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 31(1):100–112, 2020.
- Lukas Gonon and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Fading memory echo state networks are universal. *Neural Networks*, 138:10–13, 2021.
- Lukas Gonon, Lyudmila Grigoryeva, and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Approximation bounds for random neural networks and reservoir systems. *arXiv: 2002.05933*, 2020a.
- Lukas Gonon, Lyudmila Grigoryeva, and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Risk bounds for reservoir computing. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(240):1–61, 2020b.
- Lyudmila Grigoryeva and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Echo state networks are universal. *Neural Networks*, 108:495–508, 2018a.

- Lyudmila Grigoryeva and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Universal discrete-time reservoir computers with stochastic inputs and linear readouts using non-homogeneous state-affine systems. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 19(24):1–40, 2018b.
- Lyudmila Grigoryeva and Juan-Pablo Ortega. Differentiable reservoir computing. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 20(179):1–62, 2019.
- Joshua Hanson and Maxim Raginsky. Universal approximation of input-output maps by temporal convolutional nets. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 14071–14081. 2019.
- Allen Hart, James Hook, and Jonathan Dawes. Embedding and approximation theorems for echo state networks. *Neural Networks*, 128:234–247, 2020.
- Guang-Bin Huang, Lei Chen, and Chee-Kheong Siew. Universal approximation using incremental constructive feedforward networks with random hidden nodes. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 17(4):879–892, 2006a.
- Guang-Bin Huang, Qin-Yu Zhu, and Chee-Kheong Siew. Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications. *Neurocomputing*, 70(1):489–501, 2006b.
- Guang-Bin Huang, Hongming Zhou, Xiaojian Ding, and Rui Zhang. Extreme learning machine for regression and multiclass classification. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 42(2):513–529, 2012.
- Herbert Jaeger. The ‘echo state’ approach to analysing and training recurrent neural networks—with an erratum note. *Bonn, Germany: German National Research Center for Information Technology*, 148(34):13, 2001.
- Herbert Jaeger and Harald Haas. Harnessing nonlinearity: Predicting chaotic systems and saving energy in wireless communication. *Science*, 304(5667):78–80, 2004.
- Robert I. Jennrich. Asymptotic properties of non-linear least squares estimators. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 40(2):633–643, 1969.
- Jason M. Klusowski and Andrew R. Barron. Approximation by combinations of ReLU and squared ReLU ridge functions with l^1 and l^0 controls. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(12):7649–7656, 2018.
- Moshe Leshno, Vladimir Ya. Lin, Allan Pinkus, and Shimon Schocken. Multilayer feedforward networks with a nonpolynomial activation function can approximate any function. *Neural Networks*, 6(6):861–867, 1993.
- Mantas Lukoševičius and Herbert Jaeger. Reservoir computing approaches to recurrent neural network training. *Computer Science Review*, 3(3):127–149, 2009.
- Wolfgang Maass, Thomas Natschläger, and Henry Markram. Real-time computing without stable states: A new framework for neural computation based on perturbations. *Neural Computation*, 14(11):2531–2560, 2002.
- Shai Shalev-Shwartz and Shai Ben-David. *Understanding machine learning: From theory to algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.

Joel H. Shapiro. *A fixed-point farrago*. Springer International Publishing, 2016.

Gouhei Tanaka, Toshiyuki Yamane, Jean Benoit Héroux, Ryosho Nakane, Naoki Kanazawa, Seiji Takeda, Hidetoshi Numata, Daiju Nakano, and Akira Hirose. Recent advances in physical reservoir computing: A review. *Neural Networks*, 115:100–123, 2019.

Izzet B. Yildiz, Herbert Jaeger, and Stefan J. Kiebel. Re-visiting the echo state property. *Neural Networks*, 35:1–9, 2012.