

# PIECEWISE DOMINANT SEQUENCES AND THE COCENTER OF THE CYCLOTOMIC QUIVER HECKE ALGEBRAS

JUN HU AND LEI SHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the cocenter of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  associated to an *arbitrary* symmetrizable Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I} \in I$ ,  $\Lambda \in P^+$  and  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . We introduce a notion called “piecewise dominant sequence” and use it to construct some explicit homogeneous elements which span the maximal degree component of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ . We show that the minimal degree components of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is spanned by the image of some KLR idempotent  $e(\nu)$ , where each  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  is piecewise dominant. As an application, we show that the weight space  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda-\alpha}$  of the irreducible highest weight module  $L(\Lambda)$  over  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is nonzero (equivalently,  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ ) if and only if there exists a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ .

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminary	4
3.	Relations and $K$ -linear generators of the cocenter	7
4.	Piecewise dominant sequence and maximal degree and minimal degree components of the cocenter	16
	References	26

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The quiver Hecke algebras (also known as the KLR algebras)  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  are some remarkable infinite families of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebras introduced by Khovanov-Lauda [12, 13], and independently by Rouquier [17, 18] around 2008. These algebras depend on a symmetrizable Cartan matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ ,  $\beta \in Q_n^+$  and some polynomials  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$ . These algebras play important roles in the categorification of the negative part  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^-$  of the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ . When the ground field  $K$  has characteristic 0,  $A$  is symmetric and the polynomial  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are chosen as [18, §3.2.4], Rouquier [18], and independently Varagnolo-Vasserot [20] have proved that the categorification sends the indecomposable projective modules over the quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  to the canonical bases of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^-$ .

For each dominant integral weight  $\Lambda \in P^+$ , Khovanov-Lauda, and Rouquier have also introduced a graded quotient  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$ , called the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra (also known as the cyclotomic KLR algebras). Khovanov and Lauda have conjectured that the category of finite dimensional projective modules over these  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  should give a categorification of the integrable highest weight module  $L(\Lambda)$  over the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . Khovanov-Lauda’s Cyclotomic Categorification Conjecture was later proved by Kang and Kashiwara [10]. The cyclotomic quiver

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C08, 16G99, 06B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras, categorification.

Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  behaves in many aspects similar to the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type  $G(\ell, 1, n)$  (also known as the Ariki-Koike algebras). In fact, in the case of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$ , assuming the ground field  $K$  contains a primitive  $e$ -th root of unity, and  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are chosen as [18, §3.2.4], Brundan and Kleshchev have proved in [3] that each  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the block algebra of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type  $G(\ell, 1, n)$  corresponding to  $\beta$ , where  $\ell$  is the level of  $\Lambda$ . Mathas and the first author of this paper have constructed a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded cellular basis for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$ , and use this basis to construct a homogeneous symmetrizing form on  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  (see [7], [5, Remark 4.7]). More recently, Mathas and Tubenhauer have constructed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded cellular bases for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra in types  $C_{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}, C_e^{(1)}, B_{\mathbb{Z}_{>0}}, A_{2e}^{(2)}$  and  $D_{e+1}^{(2)}$ .

For the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  of general type, we have given in [8] a closed formula for the graded dimension of  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$ . The formula depends only on the root system associated to  $A$  and the dominant weight  $\Lambda$  but not on the chosen ground field  $K$ , which immediately implies that the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathcal{O})$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}$  for any commutative ground ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . These graded dimension formulae are also generalized to the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras in [9]. The  $i$ -restriction functor  $E_i$  and the  $i$ -induction functor  $F_i$  play key roles in Kang and Kashiwara's proof of Khovanov-Lauda's Cyclotomic Categorification Conjecture. Rouquier has noticed ([17]) that the biadjointness of  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  induces a natural homogeneous Frobenius form on  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$ . Shan, Varagnolo and Vasserot have proved ([19]) that this Frobenius form is actually a homogeneous symmetrizing form on  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  of degree  $-d_{\Lambda, \beta}$ . There are now two major unsolved open problems on  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  as follows:

**Center Conjecture 1.1.** *The centers of  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  consists of symmetric elements in its KLR  $x$  and  $e(\nu)$  generators.*

**Indecomposability Conjecture 1.2.** *The algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  is indecomposable.*

The second conjecture is equivalent to the claim that the dimension of the degree 0 component of the center  $Z(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda)$  is one dimensional (i.e., equal to  $Ke(\beta)$ ). In particular, the Indecomposability Conjecture is actually a consequence of the Center Conjecture. Conjecture 1.2 is a slight generalization of [19, Conjecture 3.33] in that  $K$  can be of positive characteristic. There are a number of special cases where the above two conjectures were verified. For example, assume  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [19, (11)]. If  $\text{char } K = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is symmetric and of finite type, and then the above conjecture holds by [19, Remark 3.41]; if  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$  with  $e > 1$  and  $(e, p) = 1$ , where  $p := \text{char } K$ , then the main result of [3] shows that over a finite extension field of  $K$ , each cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the block algebra of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type  $G(\ell, 1, n)$  ([3]) which corresponds to  $\alpha$ . In this case, the above conjecture holds because  $e(\alpha) := \sum_{i \in I^\alpha} e(\mathbf{i})$  is a block idempotent of the corresponding cyclotomic Hecke algebra by [14] and [2]. By [9, Theorem 1.9] and [6], for arbitrary  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$ , the above conjecture also holds whenever  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{i_j}$  with  $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}$  pairwise distinct. The first author and Huang Lin proposed in [6] a ‘‘cocenter approach’’ to the Center Conjecture for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  of general type.

The current work is motivated by the study of the above two conjectures and the ‘‘cocenter approach’’ proposed in [6]. The degree  $-d_{\Lambda, \beta}$  homogeneous symmetrizing form on  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  implies that there is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded linear isomorphism:  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda) := \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda / [\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda] \cong (Z(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda))^* \langle d_{\Lambda, \beta} \rangle$ . Thus the study of the degree 0 component of the center  $Z(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda)$  can be transformed into the study of the degree  $d_{\Lambda, \beta}$  component

of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda)$ . In this paper we introduce in Definition 4.4 a new notion called “piecewise dominant sequences”, and use them to construct in Theorem 4.13 some explicit homogeneous elements which span the degree  $d_{\Lambda, \beta}$  component of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ . Remarkably, these generators are all polynomials in the KLR’s  $x$  generators. We show in Theorem 4.13 that the degree 0 components of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is spanned by the image of  $e(\nu)$  for those piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu$  in  $I^\alpha$ . As an application, we show that the weight space  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda-\alpha}$  of the irreducible highest weight module  $L(\Lambda)$  over  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is nonzero (equivalently,  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ ) if and only if there exists a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ . We give some normal form for the  $K$ -linear generators of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  in Theorem 3.6. We recover in Corollary 4.15 Shan-Varagnolo-Vasserot’s result [19, Theorem 3.31(a)] on the range of the degrees of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda)$  in an elementary way. We also show in Theorem 4.27 that Conjecture 1.2 holds over *arbitrary* ground field when  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [18, §3.2.4],  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either symmetric and of finite type, or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$  with  $e > 1$ .

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic definitions and properties of the quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  and its cyclotomic quotient  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$ . In Section 3 we investigate some relations inside the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . Theorem 3.6 gives a subset of  $K$ -linear generator for  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ , where its proof makes essentially use of Kang-Kashiwara’s categorification result Proposition 2.5. Lemma 3.18 and Corollary 3.19 give some useful relations inside the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . As an easy application of Theorem 3.6, Proposition 3.14 proves the positivity of the degrees of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . In Section 4 we introduce a new notion called “piecewise dominant sequence” in Definition 4.4. In our first main result Theorem 4.13 we use this object to construct some explicit homogeneous elements which can span the maximal degree component as well as the minimal degree component of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ . Moreover, a refined subset of  $K$ -linear generator for  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  is also given in this theorem. As an application, Corollary 4.15 recovers a result of [19, Theorem 3.31(a)] on the degree range of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . Furthermore, our second main results of this paper Theorems 4.22 and 4.23 give some criteria for which  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$  (equivalently,  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda-\alpha} \neq 0$ ), where  $L(\Lambda)$  is the irreducible highest weight module over  $\mathfrak{g}$  of highest weight  $\Lambda$ . More precisely, we show in Theorem 4.22 that  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$  if and only if there exists a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ , and construct in Theorem 4.23 an explicit (nonzero) monomial weight vector in  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda-\alpha}$ . Furthermore, we show in Lemma 4.29 that we can associate each piecewise dominant sequence a crystal path in the crystal graph  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  of  $L(\Lambda)$ , and show in Lemma 4.32 each vertex in the crystal graph  $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  can be connected with the highest weight vector  $v_\Lambda$  with a crystal path associated to some piecewise dominant sequence. We propose in Conjecture 4.24 a refinement of the Indecomposability Conjecture. Our third main result of this paper (Theorem 4.27) verifies Conjecture 4.24 in the case when  $K$  is a field of *arbitrary* characteristic,  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [18, §3.2.4],  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either symmetric and of finite type, or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$  with  $e > 1$ .

#### Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12171029).

## 2. PRELIMINARY

In this section we shall give some preliminary definitions and results on the quiver Hecke algebras and their cyclotomic quotients. Throughout, unless otherwise stated, we shall assume that  $K$  is a field of arbitrary characteristic.

Let  $I$  be an index set. An integral square matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  is called a *symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix* if it satisfies

- (1)  $a_{ii} = 2, \forall i \in I$ ;
- (2)  $a_{ij} \leq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ );
- (3)  $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$  ( $i, j \in I$ );
- (4) there is a diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$  such that  $DA$  is symmetric.

A Cartan datum  $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$  consists of

- (1) a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix  $A$ ;
- (2) a free abelian group  $P$  of finite rank, called the *weight lattice*;
- (3)  $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in P \mid i \in I\}$ , called the set of *simple roots*;
- (4)  $P^\vee := \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{Z})$ , called the *dual weight lattice* and  $\langle -, - \rangle : P^\vee \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , the natural pairing;
- (5)  $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\} \subset P^\vee$ , called the set of *simple coroots*;

satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in I$ ,
- (2)  $\Pi$  is linearly independent,
- (3)  $\forall i \in I, \exists \Lambda_i \in P$  such that  $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $j \in I$ .

Those  $\Lambda_i$  are called the *fundamental weights*. We set

$$P^+ := \{\Lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \Lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } i \in I\},$$

which is called the set of *dominant integral weights*. The free abelian group  $Q := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$  is called the *root lattice*. Set  $Q^+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ . For  $\beta = \sum_{i \in I} k_i \alpha_i \in Q^+$ , we define the *height* of  $\beta$  to be  $|\beta| = \sum_{i \in I} k_i$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$Q_n^+ := \{\beta \in Q^+ \mid |\beta| = n\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  be the corresponding Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to  $A$  with Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P^\vee$ . Since  $A$  is symmetrizable, there is a symmetric bilinear form  $(,)$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) &= d_i a_{ij} \quad (i, j \in I) \quad \text{and} \\ \langle h_i, \Lambda \rangle &= \frac{2(\alpha_i, \Lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad \text{for any } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ and } i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u, v$  be two commuting indeterminates over  $K$ . We fix a matrix  $(Q_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  in  $K[u, v]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i,j}(u, v) &= Q_{j,i}(v, u), \quad Q_{i,i}(u, v) = 0, \\ Q_{i,j}(u, v) &= \sum_{p, q \geq 0} c_{i,j,p,q} u^p v^q, \quad \text{if } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{i,j,-a_{ij},0} \in K^\times$ , and  $c_{i,j,p,q} \neq 0$  only if  $2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)p - (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)q$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n = \langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \rangle$  be the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where  $s_i = (i, i+1)$  is the transposition on  $i, i+1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts naturally on  $I^n$  by:

$$w\nu := (\nu_{w^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \nu_{w^{-1}(n)}),$$

where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^n$ . The orbits of this action is identified with element of  $Q_n^+$ . Then  $I^\beta := \{\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{\nu_j} = \beta\}$  is the orbit corresponding to  $\beta \in Q_n^+$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([12],[13],[18]). *Let  $\beta \in Q_n^+$ . The elements in the following set form a  $K$ -basis of  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$ :*

$$\{x_1^{c_1} \cdots x_n^{c_n} \psi_w e(\nu) \mid \nu \in I^\beta, w \in \mathfrak{S}_n, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\beta \in Q_n^+$ . The quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  associated with a Cartan datum  $(A, P, \Pi, P^\vee, \Pi^\vee)$ ,  $(Q_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  and  $\beta \in Q_n^+$  is the associative algebra over  $K$  generated by  $e(\nu)$  ( $\nu \in I^\beta$ ),  $x_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ),  $\tau_l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ ) satisfying the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} e(\nu)e(\nu') &= \delta_{\nu,\nu'} e(\nu), \quad \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu) = 1, \\ x_k x_l &= x_l x_k, \quad x_k e(\nu) = e(\nu) x_k, \\ \tau_l e(\nu) &= e(s_l(\nu)) \tau_l, \quad \tau_k \tau_l = \tau_l \tau_k \quad \text{if } |k-l| > 1, \\ \tau_k^2 e(\nu) &= Q_{\nu_k, \nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) e(\nu), \\ (\tau_k x_l - x_{s_k(l)} \tau_k) e(\nu) &= \begin{cases} -e(\nu) & \text{if } l = k, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ e(\nu) & \text{if } l = k+1, \nu_k = \nu_{k+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ (\tau_{k+1} \tau_k \tau_{k+1} - \tau_k \tau_{k+1} \tau_k) e(\nu) &= \begin{cases} \frac{Q_{\nu_k, \nu_{k+1}}(x_k, x_{k+1}) - Q_{\nu_{k+2}, \nu_{k+1}}(x_{k+2}, x_{k+1})}{x_k - x_{k+2}} e(\nu) & \text{if } \nu_k = \nu_{k+2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{R}_0 \cong K$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_i}$  is isomorphic to  $K[x_1]$ . For any  $\beta \in Q_n^+$  and  $i \in I$ , we set

$$e(\beta, i) = \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^\beta} e(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, i) \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta+\alpha_i}.$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded whose grading is given by

$$\deg e(\nu) = 0, \quad \deg x_k e(\nu) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}, \alpha_{\nu_k}), \quad \deg \tau_l e(\nu) = -(\alpha_{\nu_l}, \alpha_{\nu_{l+1}}).$$

Let  $\Lambda \in P^+$  be a dominant integral weight. We now recall the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we define

$$a_\beta^\Lambda(x_k) = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} x_k^{(h_{\nu_k}, \Lambda)} e(\nu) \in \mathcal{R}_\beta.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Set  $I_{\Lambda, \beta} = \mathcal{R}_\beta a_\beta^\Lambda(x_1) \mathcal{R}_\beta$ . The cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  is defined to be the quotient algebra:

$$\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda = \mathcal{R}_\beta / I_{\Lambda, \beta}.$$

Sometimes we shall write  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(K)$  instead of  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  in order to emphasize the ground field  $K$ . In general, if  $\mathcal{O}$  is a commutative ring and  $Q_{ij}(u, v) \in \mathcal{O}[u, v]$  for any  $i, j \in I$ , then we can define the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathcal{O})$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

For any  $K$ -algebra  $A$ , we define the center  $Z(A) := \{a \in A \mid ax = xa, \forall x \in A\}$ , and define the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(A)$  of  $A$  to be the  $K$ -linear space  $\text{Tr}(A) := A/[A, A]$ , where  $[A, A]$  is the  $K$ -subspace of  $A$  generated by all commutators of the form  $xy - yx$  for  $x, y \in A$ . Note that  $\text{Tr}(A)$  is the 0-th Hochschild homology  $\text{HH}_0(A)$  of  $A$ , while  $Z(A)$  is the 0-th Hochschild cohomology  $\text{HH}^0(A)$  of  $A$ .

Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -algebra with an algebra homomorphism  $i : B \rightarrow A$ . Then  $A$  naturally becomes a  $(B, B)$ -bimodule. For any  $f \in \{a \in A \mid ab = ba, \forall b \in B\}$ , we

define (following [19, (1)])

$$\mu_f : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A, \quad \sum_{(a)} a_1 \otimes a_2 \rightarrow \sum_{(a)} a_1 f a_2.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\Lambda \in P^+$ ,  $\beta \in Q_n^+$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^\beta$ . We define

$$d_{\Lambda, \beta} := 2(\Lambda, \beta) - (\beta, \beta).$$

For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we set

$$\lambda_{k-1, \nu_k} = \langle h_{\nu_k}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{\nu_j} \rangle.$$

Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha$  and  $m > n$ . By convention, we shall often abbreviate the element

$$z \sum_{i_{n+1}, \dots, i_m \in I} e(\alpha, i_{n+1}, \dots, i_m) \in \mathcal{R}_m := \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q_m^+} \mathcal{R}_\beta$$

as  $z$ . The same convention is also adopted for elements in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ . The following result of Kang-Kashiwara will be used in the proof of the main results in this paper.

**Lemma 2.5** ([10, Theorem 5.2], [19, (6),(7)]). *Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ ,  $i \in I$  and  $z \in e(\alpha, i) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, i}^\Lambda e(\alpha, i)$ .*

- 1) *If  $\lambda_{n, i} \geq 0$ , then there are unique elements  $\pi(z) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\alpha - \alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  and  $p_k(z) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  such that<sup>1</sup>*

$$(2.6) \quad z = \mu_{\tau_n}(\pi(z)) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_{n, i} - 1} p_k(z) x_{n+1}^k e(\alpha, i);$$

- 2) *If  $\lambda_{n, i} \leq 0$ , then there is a unique element  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\alpha - \alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  and  $p_k(z) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad z = \mu_{\tau_n}(\tilde{z}), \quad \mu_{x_n^k}(\tilde{z}) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq -\lambda_{n, i} - 1.$$

Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ ,  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  and  $i \in I$ . Following [19, Theorem 3.8], we define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varepsilon}'_{i, \Lambda - \alpha} : e(\alpha, i) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha + \alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha, i) &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \\ z &\mapsto \begin{cases} p_{\lambda_{n, i} - 1}(z), & \text{if } \lambda_{n, i} > 0; \\ \mu_{x_n^{-\lambda_{n, i}}}(\tilde{z}), & \text{if } \lambda_{n, i} \leq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r_\nu \in K^\times$  be defined as [19, (62)]. For any  $\nu, \nu' \in I^\alpha$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ , we define

$$t_{\Lambda, \alpha}(e(\nu) z e(\nu')) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \nu \neq \nu'; \\ r_\nu \hat{\varepsilon}_{n, \nu_n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{n-1, \nu_{n-1}} \cdots \hat{\varepsilon}_{1, \nu_1}(e(\nu) z e(\nu')), & \text{if } \nu = \nu', \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{k, \nu_k}$  is a map

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{k, \nu_k} : e(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha - \sum_{j=k+1}^n \alpha_{\nu_j}}^\Lambda e(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_k) \rightarrow e(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}) \mathcal{R}_{\alpha - \sum_{j=k}^n \alpha_{\nu_j}}^\Lambda e(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{k-1}),$$

which is the restriction of  $\hat{\varepsilon}'_{\nu_k, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{\nu_j}}$ . Note that the map  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{k, \nu_k}$  was denoted by  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\nu_k}$  in [19, A.3]. We extend  $t_{\Lambda, \alpha}$  linearly to a  $K$ -linear map  $t_{\Lambda, \alpha} : \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \rightarrow K$ .

**Lemma 2.8** ([19, Proposition 3.10]). *The map  $t_{\Lambda, \alpha} : \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \rightarrow K$  is a homogeneous symmetrizing form on  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  of degree  $-d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$ .*

In [8], we have obtained some closed formulae for the graded dimension of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  of arbitrary type.

<sup>1</sup>We remark that there is a typo in [19, (6)], where the element “ $e(\alpha, i)$ ” was missing in the second term of the righthand side of (2.6).

**Lemma 2.9** ([8, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $\beta \in Q_n^+$  and  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n), \nu' = (\nu'_1, \dots, \nu'_n) \in I^\beta$ . Then*

$$\dim_q e(\nu) \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda e(\nu') = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}(\nu, \nu')} \prod_{t=1}^n \left( [N^\Lambda(w, \nu, t)]_{q_{\nu_t}} q_{\nu_t}^{N^\Lambda(1, \nu, t) - 1} \right).$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}(\nu, \nu') := \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid w\nu = \nu'\}$ ,  $q_{\nu_t} := q^{d_{\nu_t}}$ ,  $[m]_{\nu_t}$  is the quantum integer ([8, (2.1)]),  $N^\Lambda(w, \nu, t)$  is defined as follows:

$$N^\Lambda(w, \nu, t) := \langle h_{\nu_t}, \Lambda - \sum_{j \in J_w^{<t}} \alpha_{\nu_j} \rangle, \quad J_w^{<t} := \{1 \leq j < t \mid w(j) < w(t)\}.$$

The above lemma shows that the dimension of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(K)$  depends only on the root system associated to  $A$  and the dominant weight  $\Lambda$ , but not on the chosen ground field  $K$  and the polynomials  $Q_{ij}(u, v)$ . This implies that if each  $Q_{ij}(u, v)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathcal{O})$  for any commutative ground ring  $\mathcal{O}$ . Thus we recover the following result of Ariki, Park and Speyer.

**Corollary 2.10** ([1, Proposition 2.4]). *Suppose that each  $Q_{ij}(u, v)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For any commutative ground ring  $\mathcal{O}$ , the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda(\mathcal{O})$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module of finite rank.*

For any  $A_1, \dots, A_p \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ , we define the ordered product:

$$\overrightarrow{\prod}_{1 \leq i \leq p} A_i := A_1 A_2 \cdots A_p.$$

### 3. RELATIONS AND $K$ -LINEAR GENERATORS OF THE COCENTER

In this section we shall investigate some relations inside the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  with a purpose of looking for some normal forms for the  $K$ -linear generators of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . We shall also analyze the range of the degrees of elements in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . The main results of this section is Theorem 3.6.

**3.1.  $K$ -linear generators.** Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . In this subsection, we will give a set of  $K$ -linear generators for the cocenter of the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ .

Recall that a composition of  $n$  is a sequence of non-negative integers  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = n$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  is a composition of  $n$  then we write  $\mathbf{a} \models n$ .

Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^\alpha$ . We define

$$\mathcal{C}(\nu) := \left\{ \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \models n \mid \begin{array}{l} p, b_1, \dots, b_p \geq 1, \forall 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ and} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} b_k + 1 \leq j < \sum_{k=1}^i b_k, \nu_j = \nu_{j+1}. \end{array} \right\}$$

For any  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}(\nu)$ , we define  $\mathbf{c} := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{p-1}, c_p)$ , where

$$(3.1) \quad c_0 := 0, \quad c_j := b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Therefore, for any  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}(\nu)$ , we can decompose  $\nu$  as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \nu = \underbrace{(\nu^1, \nu^1, \dots, \nu^1)}_{b_1 \text{ copies}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\nu^p, \nu^p, \dots, \nu^p)}_{b_p \text{ copies}},$$

where  $p, b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i = n$ ,  $\nu^1, \dots, \nu^p \in I$ . Note that it could happen that  $\nu^j = \nu^{j+1}$  for some  $1 \leq j < p$ . We define

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{C}^\Lambda(\nu) := \left\{ \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}(\nu) \mid \lambda_{c_i, \nu^{i+1}} > 0, \forall 0 \leq i < p \right\}.$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{R}_{\nu,1}^\Lambda$  the  $K$ -subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  spanned by the elements of the following form:

$$(3.5) \quad \left\{ \overrightarrow{\prod}_{0 \leq i < p} \left( x_{c_i+1}^{n_{c_i,i+1}} \tau_{c_i+1} \tau_{c_i+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{i+1}-1} \right) e(\nu) \mid \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq n_{c_i,i+1} < \lambda_{c_i,\nu^{i+1}}, \forall 0 \leq i < p, \text{ where} \\ \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}^\Lambda(\nu), \{c_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq p\} \text{ is as in (3.1),} \\ \{\nu^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\} \text{ is as in (3.2).} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For any subset  $A$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ , we use  $\overline{A}$  to denote the natural image of  $A$  in the cocenter  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda / [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *We have*

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda) = \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda / [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda] = \sum_{\nu \in I^\alpha} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{\nu,1}^\Lambda}.$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is trivial. Now we suppose our Theorem holds for  $n - 1 \geq 1$ . Let  $z \in e(\nu)\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\mu)$ . If  $\nu \neq \mu$ , then in the cocenter we have

$$\overline{ze(\mu)} = \overline{e(\mu)z} = \overline{0}.$$

Hence we only need to consider the case when  $\nu = \mu$ . Henceforth we assume  $\nu_n = i$ .

*Case 1.* Suppose  $\lambda_{n-1,i} > 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.5 there are unique elements  $\pi(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  and  $p_k(z) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad z = \mu_{\tau_{n-1}}(\pi(z))e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,i}-1} p_k(z)x_n^k e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i).$$

Since  $x_n$  centralize the image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  in  $e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i)$ , we can apply induction hypothesis to  $p_k(z)$  to deduce that  $p_k(z)x_n^k \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} - 1$ . It remains to consider the first term in the righthand side of (3.7). For the first term in (3.7), we can write

$$\pi(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} z_{l1} \otimes z_{l2},$$

where  $z_{l1} \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$  and  $z_{l2} \in e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tau_{n-1}}(\pi(z))e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) &= \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} z_{l1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \tau_{n-1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) z_{l2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{m_1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) z_{l2} z_{l1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \tau_{n-1} \\ &\equiv e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) h e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \tau_{n-1} \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h \in e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$ .

Consider  $e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$ . Since  $\lambda_{n-2,i} = \lambda_{n-1,i} + 2 \geq 3 > 0$ , we can use Lemma 2.5 again to find unique elements  $\pi(h) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-3\alpha_i}^\Lambda} e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  and  $p_k(h) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} + 1$ , such that

$$(3.8) \quad h = \mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(\pi(h))e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,i}+1} p_k(h)x_{n-1}^k e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i),$$

Using the same argument as before (i.e., induction hypothesis), we can deduce that

$$p_k(h)x_{n-1}^k \tau_{n-1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda], \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} + 1.$$



Hence, we only need to consider  $\mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(\pi(h))\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)$ . As the same computation above, we write

$$\pi(h) = \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} h_{l1} \otimes h_{l2},$$

where  $h_{l1} \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$  and  $h_{l2} \in e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$ . Also note that  $\tau_{n-1}$  centralize the image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$  and  $e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  in  $e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)$ , we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(h)\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} h_{l1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h_{l2}\tau_{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} h_{l1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h_{l2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{m_2} e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h_{l2}h_{l1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \\ &\equiv e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h'e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h' \in e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$ . It remains to show that

$$e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h'e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda].$$

Next consider  $e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$  and replace  $h$  with  $h'$  in (3.8). Repeating the previous argument and noting that the number of  $i$  occurred in  $\alpha$  is finite, we will end up with an element of the form:

$$\hat{z} = \hat{h}\tau_{n-s+1} \cdots \tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, \underbrace{i, i, \dots, i}_{s \text{ copies}}),$$

where  $\hat{h} \in e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-(s-1)\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, i)$  and

$$\mu_{\tau_{n-s}}(\pi(\hat{h})) = 0, \quad \hat{h} = \sum_{t=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,i}+2s-1} p_k(\hat{h})x_{n-s+1}^t,$$

where  $p_k(\hat{h}) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-s\alpha_i}^\Lambda$ . But  $x_{n-s+1}^t\tau_{n-s+1} \cdots \tau_{n-1}$  centralize the image of  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-s\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  in  $e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, \underbrace{i, i, \dots, i}_{s \text{ copies}})\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, \underbrace{i, i, \dots, i}_{s \text{ copies}})$ . The induction hypothesis implies

that for any  $0 \leq t \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} + 2s - 1$ ,

$$p_k(\hat{h})x_{n-s+1}^t\tau_{n-s+1} \cdots \tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - s\alpha_i, \underbrace{i, i, \dots, i}_{s \text{ copies}}) \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda].$$

This proves  $z \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$  in the case  $\lambda_{n-1,i} \geq 0$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose  $\lambda_{n-1,i} \leq 0$ . Then by Lemma 2.5 there is a unique element  $\tilde{z} \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  such that

$$\mu_{\tau_{n-1}}(\tilde{z})e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) = z, \quad \mu_{x_n^k}(\tilde{z})e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq -\lambda_{n-1,i} - 1.$$

we can write

$$\tilde{z} = \sum_{l=1}^{\check{m}_1} z_{l1} \otimes z_{l2},$$

where  $z_{l1} \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$  and  $z_{l2} \in e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\tau_{n-1}}(\tilde{z})e(\alpha - \alpha_i, i) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{m}_1} z_{l1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)z_{l2} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{m}_1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)z_{l2}z_{l1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)\tau_{n-1} \\ &\equiv e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)h(1)e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)\tau_{n-1} \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(1) \in e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$ . It remains to show that  $e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)h(1)e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)\tau_{n-1} \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ .

Now consider  $e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i)$ . Note that  $\lambda_{n-2,i} = \lambda_{n-1,i} + 2$ . If  $\lambda_{n-1,i} + 2 \leq 0$ , then repeating the above argument (replacing  $\tilde{z}$  with  $\tilde{h}(1)$ ) we can get an element  $h(2) \in e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$ , and it remains to show that

$$(3.9) \quad e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h(2)e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda].$$

If  $\lambda_{n-1,i} + 2 > 0$ , then we can use Lemma 2.5 again to find unique elements  $\pi(h(1)) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-3\alpha_i}^\Lambda} e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  and  $p_k(h(1)) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$  for each  $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} + 1$ , such that

$$(3.10) \quad h(1) = \mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(\pi(h(1)))e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i) + \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_{n-1,i}+1} p_k(h(1))x_{n-1}^k e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i),$$

Using the same argument as before (i.e., induction hypothesis), we can deduce that

$$p_k(h(1))x_{n-1}^k \tau_{n-1} e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda], \quad \forall 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_{n-1,i} + 1.$$

Hence, we only need to consider  $\mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(\pi(h(1)))\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i)$ . We can write

$$\pi(h(1)) = \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{m}_2} h_{l1} \otimes h_{l2},$$

where  $h_{l1} \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$  and  $h_{l2} \in e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{\tau_{n-2}}(\pi(h(1)))\tau_{n-1}e(\alpha - 2\alpha_i, i, i) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{m}_2} h_{l1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h_{l2}\tau_{n-1} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{m}_2} e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h_{l2}h_{l1}e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \\ &\equiv e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h(2)e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(2) \in e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)\mathcal{R}_{\alpha-2\alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i)$ . It remains to show that

$$(3.11) \quad e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)h(2)e(\alpha - 3\alpha_i, i, i, i)\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-1} \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda].$$

Note that (3.11) is formally the same as (3.9). Therefore, we are in a position to repeat the same argument as before. As we discussed in the last paragraph of Case 1, this procedure will end after a finite number of steps. As a result, we can deduce that  $z \in \sum_{\rho \in I^\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{\rho,1}^\Lambda + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ . This completes the proof in Case 2 and hence the proof of the whole theorem.  $\square$

**3.2. Positivity of the degree of the cocenter.** Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . The purpose of this subsection is to give an application of Theorem 3.6. We shall show that any element in the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  has degree  $\geq 0$ . Equivalently, this means any element in the center  $Z(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  has degree  $\leq d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$ .

Let  $\ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the cyclotomic nilHecke algebra  $\text{NH}_n^\ell$  of type  $A$  which is defined over  $K$ . Applying [5, Theorem 3.7], we see that the center  $Z(\text{NH}_n^\ell)$  of the cyclotomic nilHecke algebra  $\text{NH}_n^\ell$  has a basis  $\{z_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathcal{P}_0\}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_0$  is defined in the paragraph above [5, Definition 2.5]. The degree of each basis element  $z_\mu$  is explicitly known by [5, Definition 3.3]. In particular, we know that

$$(3.12) \quad (Z(\text{NH}_n^\ell))_j \neq 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2\ell n - 2n^2,$$

and  $\dim(Z(\text{NH}_n^\ell))_0 = \dim(Z(\text{NH}_n^\ell))_{2\ell n - 2n^2} = 1$ . As a result, we can deduce that

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_n^\ell)_j \neq 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2\ell n - 2n^2,$$

and  $\dim \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_n^\ell)_0 = \dim \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_n^\ell)_{2\ell n - 2n^2} = 1$ .

By [10, Corollary 4.4],  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -linear space. Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have that  $\deg x_k e(\nu) > 0$ . It follows that  $x_k e(\nu)$  is nilpotent in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ .

Now let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}(\nu)$ . We define  $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_p)$  as in (3.1). For each  $0 \leq j \leq p-1$ , we use  $l_j$  to denote the nilpotent index of  $x_{c_j+1} e(\nu)$ . That says,

$$l_j := \min \left\{ l \geq 1 \mid (x_{c_j+1} e(\nu))^l = 0 \right\}.$$

We define

$$\text{NH}_{\mathbf{b}}^{l_1, \dots, l_p} := \text{NH}_{\{1, 2, \dots, b_1\}}^{l_1} \otimes \text{NH}_{\{c_1+1, c_1+2, \dots, c_2\}}^{l_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \text{NH}_{\{n-b_p+1, \dots, n\}}^{l_p},$$

where for each  $1 \leq j \leq p$ ,  $\text{NH}_{\{c_{j-1}+1, c_{j-1}+2, \dots, c_j\}}^{l_j}$  denote the cyclotomic nilHecke algebra with standard generators

$$x_{c_{j-1}+1}, x_{c_{j-1}+2}, \dots, x_{c_j}, \tau_{c_{j-1}+1}, \tau_{c_{j-1}+2}, \dots, \tau_{c_j-1},$$

which is isomorphic to  $\text{NH}_{b_j}^{l_j}$ . Clearly, the following correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &\mapsto x_k e(\nu), & \forall 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ \tau_{c_{i-1}+l} &\mapsto \tau_l e(\nu), & \forall 1 \leq l < b_j, 1 \leq i \leq p, \end{aligned}$$

can be extended uniquely to a  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi_{\mathbf{b}, \nu} : \text{NH}_{\mathbf{b}}^{l_1, \dots, l_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ .

By construction, it is clear that  $\pi_{\mathbf{b}}$  induces a homogeneous  $K$ -linear map:

$$\bar{\pi}_{\mathbf{b}, \nu} : \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_{\mathbf{b}}^{l_1, \dots, l_p}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda).$$

As an easy application of Theorem 3.6, we recover one half of [19, Theorem 3.31(a)] (see Corollary 4.15 for another half of [19, Theorem 3.31(a)]). Their original proof used the categorical representation and an action of the loop algebra, while our proof is more direct and elementary.

**Proposition 3.14.** ([19, Theorem 3.31(a)]) *The cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is always positive graded. In other words, for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_j \neq 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad j \geq 0.$$

*Equivalently,  $Z(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)_j \neq 0$  only if  $j \leq d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* We consider all the  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  and all the decomposition of  $\nu$  as in (3.2). Applying Theorem 3.6, we can deduce that the following homogeneous  $K$ -linear map:

$$\pi := \sum_{\nu, \mathbf{b}} \pi_{\mathbf{b}, \nu} : \bigoplus_{\nu, \mathbf{b}} \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_{\mathbf{b}}^{l_1, \dots, l_p}) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$$

is surjective. Since  $\text{Tr}(\text{NH}_{\mathbf{b}}^{l_1, \dots, l_p}) \cong \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_{b_1}^{l_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Tr}(\text{NH}_{b_p}^{l_p})$  is positively graded, the same must be also true for  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ . Then  $d_{\Lambda, \alpha} \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By [19, Proposition 3.10], there exists  $0 \neq h \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  of degree  $d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$  such that  $t_{\Lambda, \alpha}(h) \neq 0$ . Hence  $h \notin [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ , or equivalently,  $\bar{0} \neq h \in \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . Applying Proposition 3.14, we can deduce that  $d_{\Lambda, \alpha} \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Prof. Wei Hu has asked whether  $d_{\Lambda, \alpha} \geq 0$  is sufficient to ensure that  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ . The following example shows that this is not the case.

**Example 3.16.** *Let  $I := \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} := \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_3$ ,  $\Lambda = 4\Lambda_0$ ,  $\beta = \alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1$ . Then we have  $d_{\Lambda, \beta} = 2 > 0$ . But  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda = 0$ , because otherwise it should be a block of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type  $G(4, 1, 3)$ . But the latter case can not happen by [7, Lemma 4.1] because there is no standard tableau  $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}^{(1)}, \mathbf{t}^{(2)}, \mathbf{t}^{(3)}, \mathbf{t}^{(4)})$  whose residues multiset is equal to  $\{0, 1, 1\}$ .*

In Theorem 4.22 of Section 4 we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for which  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ .

**3.3. Relations inside the cocenter.** The purpose of this subsection is to present some relations inside the cocenter.

Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ . Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}(\nu)$ . We define  $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_p)$  as in (3.1).

**Definition 3.17.** Let  $1 \leq m, m' \leq n$ . We define  $A_m$  to be the  $K$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  generated by

$$\tau_w, x_j, w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{1, 2, \dots, m\}}, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

We define  $B_{m'-1}$  to be the  $K$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  generated by

$$\tau_w, x_j, w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{m', m'+1, \dots, n\}}, m' \leq j \leq n.$$

By convention, we set  $A_0 = Ke(\nu) = B_n$ . We call  $A_m$  the first  $m$ -th part of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ , while call  $B_{m'-1}$  the last  $(n - (m' - 1))$ -th part of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\nu)$ .

In particular,

$$A_m = K\text{-Span} \left\{ \tau_w x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_m^{k_m} e(\nu) \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{1, 2, \dots, m\}}, k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

$$B_{m'-1} = K\text{-Span} \left\{ \tau_w x_{m'}^{k_{m'}} x_{m'+1}^{k_{m'+1}} \dots x_n^{k_n} e(\nu) \mid w \in \mathfrak{S}_{\{m', m'+1, \dots, n\}}, \text{ and } k_{m'}, k_{m'+1}, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.18.** *Suppose that  $y = y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \dots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu)$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_1 \in A_{c_t}$ ,  $y_2 \in B_{c_{t+1}}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq p-1$ .*

(1) *If  $b_{t+1} = 2$ , then we have*

$$(k+1)y + y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 \leq k_1 \leq k-1, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-1-k_1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) y_2 e(\nu) \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda];$$

(2) If  $b_{t+1} > 2$ , then we have

$$(k+1)y+y_1 \left( kx_{c_t+1}^{k-1} \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-2, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-2-k_1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 1 \leq k_1 \leq k-1, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-1-k_1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} \right) y_2 e(\nu) \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda].$$

In particular, in both cases if  $k = 0$  then  $y \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ .

*Proof.* 1) By assumption,  $b_{t+1} = 2$ . By the decomposition of  $\nu$  given in (3.2), we can do the following calculation in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} y &\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} (x_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+1} - \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+1} y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv y_1 \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+2} y_2 e(\nu) \quad (\text{as } \tau_{c_t+1} = \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} \text{ commutes with } y_2 \text{ and } y_1) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+2} y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) (1 + x_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+1}) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \tau_{c_t+1} \right) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} \left( \sum_{\substack{k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k_2-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} + \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} \right) \right) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1 \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k_2-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} \right) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-1 \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-k_1-2}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} \tau_{c_t+1} \right) y_2 e(\nu) \\ &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-1 \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-k_1-2}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) y_2 e(\nu) - ky \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} = x_{c_{t+1}-1}^{k_2}$  commutes with  $y_2$  and  $y_1$  in the second last equality. This proves (1). In particular, this implies that  $y_1 \tau_{c_t+1} y_2 e(\nu) \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ .

2) By assumption,  $b_{t+1} > 2$ . By the decomposition of  $\nu$  given in (3.2), we can do the following calculation in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
y &\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} (x_{c_{t+1}} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} - \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}-1}) y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \quad (\text{as } \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} \text{ commutes with } y_2 \text{ and } y_1) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \quad (\text{as } \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \text{ commutes with } x_{c_{t+1}}, y_2, y_1)
\end{aligned}$$

We repeat the previous argument with  $\tau_{c_{t+1}-1}$  replaced by  $\tau_{c_{t+1}-2}, \dots, \tau_{c_t+3}$ , and so on. Eventually, we shall get that

$$\begin{aligned}
y &\equiv y_1 \tau_{c_t+2} x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+3} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+3} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \tau_{c_t+3} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \tau_{c_t+1} \\
&\equiv y_1 \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]},
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $k = 0$ , then we can deduce

$$y \equiv y_1 \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+1+1} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \equiv 0 \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}.$$

Now suppose  $k > 0$ . We can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
y &\equiv y_1 (\tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^k) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} x_{c_{t+1}} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} (x_{c_{t+1}-1} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} + 1) y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} (\tau_{c_{t+1}-2} x_{c_{t+1}-1}) \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots x_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for the last term above,

$$-y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} e(\nu) \in A_{c_{t+1}-2}, \quad y_2 e(\nu) \in B_{c_{t+1}}.$$

It follows from the  $k = 0$  case in the part (1) of the lemma that the last term must vanish in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
y &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} x_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+1+1} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-4} \left( \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} x_{c_{t+1}-2} \right) \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots x_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-3} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-4} \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, the  $k = 0$  case in the part (2) of the lemma implies that the last term above must vanish in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . We repeat the same argument above and eventually we shall get that

$$\begin{aligned}
y &\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\quad - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-y_1 \left( \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = k-1} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1} \left( \tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k_2-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k_2} \tau_{c_t+1} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1 \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k_2-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\equiv -k y_1 x_{c_t+1}^{k-1} \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-1, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k-k_1-2}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu) \\
&\pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $x_{c_t+1}^{k_2}$  commutes with  $\tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-2} y_2 e(\nu)$ ,  $y_1$  and moved  $x_{c_t+1}^{k_2}$  from the right end to the left end in the second equality.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
\equiv & -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+1} (\tau_{c_t+1} x_{c_t+1}^{k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k_2 - 1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k'_1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2}) \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
\equiv & -y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_1 + k_2 = k-1}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k_2+1} \tau_{c_t+1} + \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k'_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-1, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k - k_1 - 2}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \\
\equiv & -ky - y_1 \left( \sum_{\substack{k_1, k'_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k-1, \\ k'_1 + k'_2 = k - k_1 - 2}} x_{c_t+1}^{k_1+k'_1+1} x_{c_t+2}^{k'_2} \right) \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu) \pmod{[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $x_{c_t+1}^{k_2}$  commutes with  $\tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu)$ ,  $y_1$  and moved  $x_{c_t+1}^{k_2}$  from the right end to the left end in the second equality. Now the Lemma follows from the last two paragraphs.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.19.** *Suppose  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Let  $y = y_1 x_{c_t+1}^k \tau_{c_t+1} \tau_{c_t+2} \cdots \tau_{c_{t+1}-1} y_2 e(\nu)$ , where  $y_1 \in A_{c_t}$ ,  $y_2 \in B_{c_{t+1}}$ . If  $k < b_{t+1} - 1$ , then  $y \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{char } K = 0$ , it follows that  $(k+1) \cdot 1_K$  is invertible in  $K$ . If  $k = 0$ , then the corollary follows from Lemma 3.18. In general, Lemma 3.18 gives an algorithm to rewrite the element  $y$  with smaller  $b_{t+1}$  and  $k$ . So the corollary follows from an induction on  $k$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PIECEWISE DOMINANT SEQUENCE AND MAXIMAL DEGREE AND MINIMAL DEGREE COMPONENTS OF THE COCENTER

The purpose of this section is to give the three main results of this paper. We shall introduce a new notion called ‘‘piecewise dominant sequence’’ and use it together with the main result in previous section to construct  $K$ -linear generators of both the maximal degree component and the minimal degree component of the cocenter  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ . In particular, we shall derive a new and simple criterion for which  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ .

Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$ . There is a unique decomposition of  $\nu$  as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) = \underbrace{(\nu^1, \nu^1, \dots, \nu^1)}_{b_1 \text{ copies}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\nu^p, \nu^p, \dots, \nu^p)}_{b_p \text{ copies}},$$

which satisfies that

$$(4.2) \quad \nu^j \neq \nu^{j+1}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j < p,$$

where  $p, b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i = n$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , we define

$$(4.3) \quad \ell_i(\nu) := \langle h_{\nu^i}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{c_i-1} \alpha_{\nu_j} \rangle,$$

where  $\{c_j | 0 \leq j \leq p\}$  is as defined in (3.1). When  $\nu$  is clear from the context, we shall write  $\ell_i$  instead of  $\ell_i(\nu)$  for simplicity.



#### 4.1. Piecewise dominant sequence.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\Lambda \in P^+$  and  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . We call  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^\alpha$  a **piecewise dominant sequence** with respect to  $\Lambda$ , if for the unique decomposition (4.1) of  $\nu$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad \ell_i = \ell_i(\nu) \geq b_i.$$

**Example 4.6.** Consider the cyclotomic nilHecke algebra  $\text{NH}_n^\ell$  of type A. Let  $\nu = \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n \text{ copies}}$ . Then an easy computation shows that  $\nu$  is piecewise dominant if and only if  $\ell \geq n$ , i.e.,  $\text{NH}_n^\ell \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in I^\alpha$  and fix the unique decomposition (4.1) of  $\nu$ . Then  $\nu$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$  if and only if for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , there is an integer  $c_{i-1} + 1 \leq k'_i \leq c_i$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad \langle h_{\nu_{k'_i}}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{k'_i-1} \alpha_{\nu_j} \rangle \geq c_i - k'_i + 1.$$

In this case, we denote the maximal value of each  $k'_i$  by  $k_i$ , which can be taken as:

$$(4.9) \quad k_i = \begin{cases} c_i, & \text{if } \ell_i - 2b_i \geq 0; \\ \ell_i + 2c_{i-1} - c_i + 1, & \text{if } \ell_i - 2b_i \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\nu$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$  with a unique decomposition as in (4.1). Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , we can simply take  $k'_i := c_{i-1} + 1$ . This proves one direction.

Conversely, suppose that for the unique decomposition of  $\nu$  as in (4.1) and for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , there is an integer  $c_{i-1} + 1 \leq k'_i \leq c_i$  such that (4.8) holds. Then we take  $k_i$  to be the maximal value of  $k'_i$  such that (4.9) holds. Let  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Recall that

$$\ell_i = \ell_i(\nu) = \langle h_{\nu^i}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_j \alpha_{\nu^j} \rangle.$$

If  $\ell_i - 2b_i \geq 0$ , then we can take  $k_i = c_i$  such that (4.8) holds. If  $\ell_i - 2b_i \leq -1$ , then it is easy to see that the following inequalities :

$$\begin{cases} \ell_i - 2(k_i - c_{i-1}) \geq c_i - 1 - k_i \\ \ell_i - 2(k_i + 1 - c_{i-1}) < c_i - 1 - (k_i + 1) \\ c_{i-1} + 1 \leq k_i \leq c_i. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $k_i := \ell_i + 2c_{i-1} - c_i + 1$ . Combining this with the third inequality we can deduce that  $\ell_i \geq b_i$ , we prove that  $\nu$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  be a piecewise dominant sequence with the unique decomposition as in (4.1). We define

$$Z(\nu) = Z(\nu)_1 Z(\nu)_2 \cdots Z(\nu)_p,$$

where for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,

$$Z(\nu)_i := \begin{cases} x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-3} \cdots x_{c_i}^{\ell_i-2b_i+1} e(\nu), & \text{if } \ell_i \geq 2b_i; \\ x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-3} \cdots x_{\ell_i+2c_{i-1}-c_i}^{2b_i-\ell_i+1} e(\nu), & \text{if } b_i < \ell_i \leq 2b_i - 1; \\ e(\nu), & \text{if } \ell_i = b_i. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.11.** Suppose  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , then  $\deg(Z(\nu)) = d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$  with a decomposition as in (4.1) which satisfies (4.2). There are two cases:

*Case 1.*  $\ell_i - 2b_i \geq 0$ . In this case, by definition,

$$Z(\nu)_i = x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-3} \cdots x_{c_i}^{\ell_i-2b_i+1} e(\nu).$$

A direct computation shows  $\deg(Z(\nu)_i) = (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i})(\ell_i - b_i)b_i$ .

*Case 2.*  $\ell_i = b_i$ . In this case,  $\deg(Z(\nu)_i) = \deg(e(\nu)) = 0$ .

*Case 3.*  $b_i < \ell_i - 2b_i \leq -1$ . In this case, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(Z(\nu)_i) &= (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i})(\ell_i - 1 + \ell_i - 3 + \cdots + 2b_i - \ell_i + 1) \\ &= (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i})(\ell_i - b_i)b_i. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\Lambda, \alpha} &= 2(\alpha, \Lambda) - (\alpha, \alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i}) b_i \langle h_{\nu^i}, \Lambda \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{1 \leq j < i} b_i b_j (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^j}) - \sum_{i=1}^p b_i^2 (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_{\nu^i}, \alpha_{\nu^i})(\ell_i - b_i)b_i = \sum_{i=1}^p \deg(Z(\nu)_i) = \deg(Z(\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12.** *Suppose  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Let  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  and  $z \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu, 1}^\Lambda$ . If  $\nu$  is not piecewise dominant with respect to  $\Lambda$ , then  $z \in [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 3.6, we see that  $\bar{z}$  is a linear combination of the image of some elements of the form

$$(x_1^{l_1} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{c_1-1}) (x_{c_1+1}^{l_{c_1+1}} \tau_{c_1+1} \tau_{c_1+2} \cdots \tau_{c_2-1}) \cdots (x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{l_{c_{p-1}+1}} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-1}) e(\nu),$$

where  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p) \in \mathcal{C}^\Lambda(\nu)$  and  $\{c_j | 1 \leq j \leq p\}$  is as defined in (3.1). We decompose  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  as in (3.2). Then

$$0 \leq l_j \leq \langle h_{\nu_j}, \Lambda - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{\nu_k} \rangle - 1, \quad \forall j \in \{1, c_1 + 1, \dots, c_{p-1} + 1\}.$$

Now Corollary 3.19 tells us the above element is non-zero in the cocenter only if the following holds:

$$l_1 \geq c_1 - 1, \quad l_{c_1+1} \geq c_2 - c_1 - 1, \quad \dots, \quad l_{c_{p-1}+1} \geq n - 1 - c_{p-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.7, we see that if  $\nu$  is not piecewise dominant, then  $\bar{z} = 0$  in  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is the first main result in this paper,

**Theorem 4.13.** *Suppose  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Then we have*

- 1)  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}} = K\text{-Span} \left\{ Z(\nu) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda] \mid \begin{array}{l} \nu \text{ is piecewise dominant} \\ \text{with respect to } \Lambda \end{array} \right\};$
- 2)  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_0 = K\text{-Span} \left\{ e(\nu) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda] \mid \begin{array}{l} \nu \text{ is piecewise dominant} \\ \text{with respect to } \Lambda \end{array} \right\};$
- 3)

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda) = K\text{-Span} \left\{ x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \cdots x_n^{t_n} e(\nu) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda] \mid \begin{array}{l} t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in I^\alpha \text{ is} \\ \text{piecewise dominant with respect to } \Lambda, \\ 0 \leq t_j \leq \ell_i(\nu) - 1, \forall c_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq c_i; \\ \forall 1 \leq i \leq p. \end{array} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.12, each nonzero generator is an image of some element of the form

$$(x_1^{\ell_1} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{c'_1-1}) (x_{c'_1+1}^{\ell_{c'_1+1}} \tau_{c'_1+1} \tau_{c'_1+2} \cdots \tau_{c'_2-1}) \cdots \\ (x_{c'_{p'}-1+1}^{\ell_{c'_{p'}-1+1}} \tau_{c'_{p'}-1+1} \tau_{c'_{p'}-1+2} \cdots \tau_{n-1}) e(\nu),$$

where  $\nu$  is piecewise dominant. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.7, for a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu$ , the above element achieves the maximal degree if and only if it is of the form

$$Z'(\nu) = Z'(\nu)_1 Z'(\nu)_2 \cdots Z'(\nu)_p,$$

where for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,

$$Z'(\nu)_i := \begin{cases} x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-3} \cdots x_{c_i}^{\ell_i-2b_i+1} e(\nu), & \text{if } \ell_i \geq 2b_i; \\ x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-3} \cdots x_{k_i}^{\ell_i-2(k_i-c_{i-1})+1} \tau_{k_i} \cdots \tau_{c_i-2} \tau_{c_i-1} e(\nu), & \text{if } \ell_i \leq 2b_i - 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $k_i$  is as defined in (4.9). Now Lemma 3.18 implies that  $Z'(\nu)$  is some multiple of  $Z(\nu)$  which exactly reach the maximal degree in cocenter by Lemma 4.11, while the above element achieve the minimal degree if and only if it is of the form  $e(\nu)$ . Combining this with Lemma 4.11 we complete the proof of Part 1) and Part 2) of the theorem. Part 3) follows from Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.18, Corollary 3.19 and Lemma 4.12.  $\square$

*Remark 4.14.* We remark that if  $\text{char } K > 0$  then Part 3) of the above theorem may not hold. For example, by Lemma 3.18, inside  $\text{NH}_2^3$  we have

$$x_1 \tau_1 \equiv x_1 \tau_1 (x_2 \tau_1 - \tau_1 x_1) \equiv x_1 \tau_1 x_2 \tau_1 \equiv (\tau_1 x_1 \tau_1) x_2 \\ \equiv -\tau_1 x_2 \equiv -x_1 \tau_1 - 1 \pmod{[\text{NH}_2^3, \text{NH}_2^3]},$$

which implies that  $2x_1 \tau_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{[\text{NH}_2^3, \text{NH}_2^3]}$ . Using [5, Corollary 5.10], we know that

$$t_{3\Lambda_0, 2\alpha_0}(\tau_1 x_1 (x_1 x_2)) = 1,$$

which implies that  $x_1 \tau_1 \notin [\text{NH}_2^3, \text{NH}_2^3]$ , hence the degree 0 component of the cocenter of  $\text{NH}_2^3$  is spanned by  $x_1 \tau_1 + [\text{NH}_2^3, \text{NH}_2^3]$ . However, if  $\text{char } K = 2$  then  $1 \in [\text{NH}_2^3, \text{NH}_2^3]$ . Hence Part 3) of the above theorem does not hold for  $\text{NH}_2^3$  in this case.

Another direct application of Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.12 is the following corollary, which recovers [19, Theorem 3.31(a)] in an elementary way.

**Corollary 4.15** ([19, Theorem 3.31(a)]). *Suppose  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Then we have  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_j \neq 0$  only if  $j \in [0, d_{\Lambda, \alpha}]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_j \neq 0$ . Then  $j \geq 0$  by Proposition 3.14. Now  $j \leq d_{\Lambda, \alpha}$  follows from the proof of Theorem 4.13.  $\square$

*Remark 4.16.* It is tempting to speculate that  $\{e(\nu) | \nu \text{ is piecewise dominant}\}$  is a basis of  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_0$ . Unfortunately, this is not true. For example, in the type  $A_2$  case we choose  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ . We can write down all the piecewise dominant sequences with respect to  $\Lambda$  as follows (where  $\alpha$ s are given below):

$$\alpha = 0 : \emptyset; \quad \alpha_1 : (1); \quad \alpha_2 : (2); \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 : (1, 2), (2, 1); \quad \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 : (1, 2, 2); \\ 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 : (2, 1, 1); \quad 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 : (2, 1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2, 1).$$

However, by the end of proof in [19, Theorem 3.31(c)], we have

$$\dim (\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_0 = \dim V(\Lambda) = 8 < 9.$$

**4.2. A criterion for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ .** In this subsection, we will give a criterion for which  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$  via the existence of piecewise dominant sequences. Throughout this subsection, unless otherwise stated,  $K$  is a field of arbitrary characteristic.

**Definition 4.17.** Let  $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, \dots, b_p)$  be a composition of  $n$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{b}} = \mathfrak{S}_{\{1,2,\dots,b_1\}} \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{b_1+1,\dots,b_1+b_2\}} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\{n-b_p+1,\dots,n\}}.$$

which is the standard Young subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{b} := (b_1, \dots, b_p)$ .

For each composition  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p)$  of  $n$ , we denote by  $w_{\mathbf{b},0}$  the unique longest element in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{b}}$ . In other words,

$$w_{\mathbf{b},0} = w_{b_1,0}^{(1)} \times w_{b_2,0}^{(2)} \times \cdots \times w_{b_p,0}^{(p)},$$

where for each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $w_{b_i,0}^{(i)}$  is the unique longest element in the Young subgroup

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\{b_1+\cdots+b_{i-1}+1, b_1+\cdots+b_{i-1}+2, \dots, b_1+\cdots+b_i\}}.$$

For each  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , we fix a reduced expression of  $w_{b_i,0}^{(i)}$  and use it to define  $\tau_{\mathbf{b},i} := \tau_{w_{b_i,0}^{(i)}}$ .

**Definition 4.18.** Let  $\nu$  be a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , with a decomposition (4.1) satisfying (4.2). Let  $\{c_i | 0 \leq i \leq p\}$  and  $\{\ell_i | 1 \leq i \leq p\}$  be defined as in (3.1) and (4.5) respectively. We define

$$S(\nu) := \overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p}} \left( \tau_{\mathbf{b},i} x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-2} \cdots x_{c_i}^{\ell_i-b_i} \right) e(\nu) \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda.$$

By Definition 4.4 of piecewise dominant sequence, each power index of  $x_{c_{i-1}+j}$  in the product of the above big bracket is non-negative, hence the element  $S(\nu)$  is well-defined.

Recall the map  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{k,\nu_k}$  introduced in Section 2 after Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 4.19.** Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  be a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , with a decomposition (4.1) satisfying (4.2). Write  $\nu' = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1})$ . Then  $\nu'$  is also piecewise dominant with respect to  $\Lambda$  and

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}(S(\nu)) = S(\nu').$$

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the definition of piecewise dominant sequence. It remains to prove the second statement. Assume  $b_p = 1$ . Then by Definition 4.4 we have  $\ell_i \geq 1$  and Lemma 2.5,

$$S(\nu) = s(\nu') x_n^{\ell_p-1} = p_{\ell_p-1}(S(\nu)) x_n^{\ell_p-1}.$$

Hence,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}(S(\nu)) = s(\nu')$ .

Now assume  $b_p > 1$ . We set  $\mathbf{b}' := (b_1, \dots, b_p - 1)$ . Since  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}$  is  $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^\Lambda$ -linear, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}(S(\nu)) &= \overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq p-1}} \left( \tau_{\mathbf{b},i} x_{c_{i-1}+1}^{\ell_i-1} x_{c_{i-1}+2}^{\ell_i-2} \cdots x_{c_i}^{\ell_i-b_i} \right) e(\nu') \\ &\quad \times \hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p} \left( \tau_{\mathbf{b},p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p} \left( \tau_{\mathbf{b},p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu) \right) = \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu').$$

By a similar calculation as in the first paragraph of the proof of [5, Lemma 5.6], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{\mathbf{b},p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu) \\
&= \mu_{\tau_{n-1}} \left( \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \right) + \\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{a_1+a_2=\ell_p-b_p-1 \\ a_1, a_2 \geq 0}} \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{a_1} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} x_n^{a_2} e(\nu) \\
&= \mu_{\tau_{n-1}} \left( \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \right) + \\
& \quad \sum_{\substack{a_1+a_2=\ell_p-b_p-1 \\ a_1 \geq b_p-2, a_2 \geq 0}} \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{a_1} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} x_n^{a_2} e(\nu),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used the fact that  $\tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} \tau_j = 0$  for any  $c_{p-1}+1 \leq j \leq n-2$ , and  $x_{n-1}^{a_1} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \in \sum_{j=c_{p-1}+1}^{n-2} \tau_j \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  whenever  $a_1 < b_p - 2$ . Now there are two possibilities:

*Case 1.*  $\ell_p - 2b_p > -2$ , which corresponds to the case  $\lambda_{n-1, \nu_p} > 0$  in the notation of Lemma 2.5. In that case, the map  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n, \nu^p}$  picks out the coefficient of  $x_n^{\ell_p-2b_p+1}$  (i.e., set  $a_2 = \ell_p - 2b_p + 1$ ). We get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\varepsilon}_{n, \nu^p} \left( \tau_{\mathbf{b},p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu) \right) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{b_p-2} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu'),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows again from the same argument used in the last sentence of the previous paragraph.

*Case 2.*  $\ell_p - 2b_p \leq -2$ , which corresponds to the case  $\lambda_{n-1, \nu_p} \leq 0$  in the notation of Lemma 2.5. Note that

$$\ell_p - b_p - 1 - (b_p - 2) = \ell_p - 2b_p + 1 < 0.$$

By the same argument used in the last sentence of the paragraph above Case 1, we can deduce that

$$\sum_{\substack{a_1+a_2=\ell_p-b_p-1 \\ a_1 \geq b_p-2, a_2 \geq 0}} \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{a_1} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} x_n^{a_2} e(\nu) = 0.$$

For any  $0 \leq k \leq -\ell_p + 2b_p - 3 = -(\ell_p - 2b_p + 2) - 1$ , it follows from the same argument as in the last paragraph of the proof of [5, Lemma 5.7],

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{x_{n-1}^k} \left( \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \right) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+k} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.5,  $\tilde{z} = \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu')$ , where

$$z := \mu_{\tau_{n-1}} \left( \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \right).$$

By the definition of  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\varepsilon}_{n,\nu^p}(\tau_{\mathbf{b},p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{c_p}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu)) \\
&= \mu_{x_{n-1}^{-(\ell_p-2b_p+2)}} \left( \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} \cdots \tau_{n-2} x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p} e(\nu') \otimes \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \right) \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{n-1}^{b_p-2} \tau_{n-2} \cdots \tau_{c_{p-1}+2} \tau_{c_{p-1}+1} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu') \\
&= \tau_{\mathbf{b}',p} x_{c_{p-1}+1}^{\ell_p-1} x_{c_{p-1}+2}^{\ell_p-2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{\ell_p-b_p+1} e(\nu').
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Recall the symmetrizing form  $t_{\Lambda,\alpha} : \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow K$  introduced in Lemma 2.8.

**Corollary 4.20.** *Let  $\nu \in I^{\alpha}$  be a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ . Then*

$$t_{\Lambda,\alpha}(S(\nu)) \in K^{\times}.$$

*In particular,  $0 \neq S(\nu) \notin [\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}, \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}]$  and  $0 \neq e(\nu) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the definition of  $t_{\Lambda,\alpha}$  and Lemma 4.19.  $\square$

*Remark 4.21.* If  $\nu \in I^{\alpha}$  satisfies the stronger assumption that  $\nu^i \neq \nu^j$  for any  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$ , then  $\nu$  coincides with  $\tilde{\nu}$  in the notation of [8, (5.1)]. In this special case, the number  $\ell_i$  is the same as  $N_i^{\Lambda}(\tilde{\nu})$  in the notation of [8, Definition 5.2], and the second part of [8, Theorem 5.4] can be reformulated as:

$$e(\nu) \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda} \text{ if and only if } \nu \text{ is piecewise dominant with respect to } \Lambda.$$

Our Corollary 4.20 says that for those  $\nu$  not satisfying the above stronger assumption, the ‘‘if part’’ of the above statement still holds. But the ‘‘only if part’’ of the above statement may be false. For example, let’s consider the type  $A_2$  case again and choose  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$ , then [8, Theorem 5.34] implies  $e(2, 1, 2) \neq 0$ . But it’s easy to check by definition that  $(2, 1, 2)$  is not piecewise dominant with respect to  $\Lambda$ .

The following two theorems are the second main results of this paper.

**Theorem 4.22.** *Let  $K$  be a field of arbitrary characteristic,  $\Lambda \in P^+$  and  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K) \neq 0$ ;
- 2) *There is a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^{\alpha}$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q})$  be the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra defined by the same Cartan datum as  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K)$  but using certain polynomials  $\{Q'_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Lemma 2.9, we see that  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ .

Suppose now  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K) \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ . In particular,

$$\dim \text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}))_{d_{\Lambda,\alpha}} = \dim Z(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(\mathbb{Q}))_0 \neq 0.$$

Applying Theorem 4.13, we can deduce that there is a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^{\alpha}$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ .

Conversely, suppose there is a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^{\alpha}$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ . By Corollary 4.20, we see that  $S(\nu)$  is a non-zero element in  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K)$  which implies  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{\Lambda}(K) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

As an application, we obtain the following criterion for which  $\Lambda - \alpha$  is a weight of the irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L(\Lambda)$ .

**Theorem 4.23.** *Suppose  $L(\Lambda)$  is the irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda - \alpha$  is a weight of  $L(\Lambda)$  if and only if there is a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ . In that case, if  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , then  $f_{\nu_n} f_{\nu_{n-1}} \cdots f_{\nu_1} v_\Lambda \neq 0$  is a nonzero weight vector in  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda - \alpha}$ , where  $f_i$  denotes the Chevalley generator of the enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the equality  $\dim L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda - \alpha} = \#\text{Irr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$  ([10, Theorem 6.2]) and Theorem 4.22.

Let  $\beta \in Q^+$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^\Lambda &: \text{Mod}(\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{R}_{\beta + \alpha_i}^\Lambda), \\ M &\mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\beta + \alpha_i}^\Lambda e(\beta, i) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda} M, \end{aligned}$$

be the induction functor introduced in [10]. Let  $[F_i^\Lambda] : K(\text{Proj } \mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda) \rightarrow K(\text{Proj } \mathcal{R}_{\beta + \alpha_i}^\Lambda)$  be the induced map on the Grothendieck group of finite dimensional projective modules. Set  $F_i := q_i^{1 - \langle h_i, \Lambda - \beta \rangle} [F_i^\Lambda]$ . Then by [10, Theorem 6.2], we have

$$[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\nu)] = F_{\nu_n} F_{\nu_{n-1}} \cdots F_{\nu_1} [\mathbf{1}_\Lambda] = f_{\nu_n} f_{\nu_{n-1}} \cdots f_{\nu_1} v_\Lambda.$$

Again, by Theorem 4.22, if  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , then  $[\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\nu)] \neq 0$ . Hence,  $f_{\nu_n} f_{\nu_{n-1}} \cdots f_{\nu_1} v_\Lambda \neq 0$ , and it is a nonzero weight vector in  $L(\Lambda)_{\Lambda - \alpha}$ .  $\square$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda \neq 0$ . By Theorem 4.22, we have a piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ . By Corollary 4.20, we know that  $S(\nu) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]$  is a non-zero element in  $(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}}$ .

Note that as  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is a symmetric algebra ([19]), we have  $Z(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda) \cong (\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))^* \langle d_{\Lambda, \alpha} \rangle$ . In particular,  $\dim(Z(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_0 \cong \dim(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}}$ . Thus  $\dim(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}} = 1$  if and only if  $\dim(Z(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_0 = 1$ , and if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_\beta^\Lambda$  is indecomposable. The following conjecture is a generalization and refinement of Conjecture 1.2 and [19, Conjecture 3.33].

**Conjecture 4.24.** *We have that*

$$(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}} = K(S(\nu) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda]).$$

*In particular,  $\dim(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda))_{d_{\Lambda, \alpha}} = 1$ .*

*Remark 4.25.* 1) By [19, Remark 3.41], we know that when  $\text{char } K = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is symmetric and of finite type, and  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [19, (11)], the above conjecture holds.

2) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$  with  $e > 1$  and  $(e, p) = 1$ , where  $p := \text{char } K$ , and  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [18, §3.2.4]. Then after a finite extension of the ground field  $K$ , each cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$  is isomorphic to the block algebra of the cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type  $G(\ell, 1, n)$  ([3]) which corresponds to  $\alpha$ . In this case, the above conjecture holds because  $e(\alpha) := \sum_{i \in I^\alpha} e(\mathbf{i})$  is a block idempotent of the corresponding cyclotomic Hecke algebra by [14] and [2].

3) By [6] or [9, Theorem 1.9], we also know that the above conjecture holds whenever  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{i_j}$  with  $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_n}$  pairwise distinct.

For any prime number  $p > 0$ , we use  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  to denote the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at its maximal ideal  $(p)$ , and  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$  to denote the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  at its unique maximal ideal  $p\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$ . Let  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}$  be the fraction field of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$ .

**Lemma 4.26.** *Let  $K$  be a field with characteristic  $\text{char } K = p > 0$ ,  $\Lambda \in P^+$  and  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . Suppose that each  $Q_{ij}(u, v)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If Conjecture 4.24 holds for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q})$ , then Conjecture 4.24 holds for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(K)$  too.*

*Proof.* Applying [19, Proposition 2.1(d)], we can assume without loss of generality that  $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ , the finite field with  $p$  elements. Since  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}$ , Conjecture 4.24 holds for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\mathbb{Q})$  implies that it also holds for  $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}}^\Lambda$ . For any  $\mathcal{O} \in \{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}, K, \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}\}$ , we set

$$e(\alpha)_\mathcal{O} := \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I^\alpha} e(\mathbf{i})_\mathcal{O}.$$

which is a central idempotents in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\mathcal{O})$ . In particular,  $e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}}$  is a lift of  $e(\alpha)_K$  in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(K)$ . Now Conjecture 4.24 holds for  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$  means  $e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}}$  is a central primitive idempotent in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(K) &\cong K \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}) \cong \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}) / \mathfrak{m} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}), \\ \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}) &\cong \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$ . By Corollary 2.10,  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)})$  is free over  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$ .

Suppose that  $e(\alpha)_K = \overline{e(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{e(k)}$  is a decomposition of  $e(\alpha)_K$  into a direct sum of pairwise orthogonal (nonzero) central primitive idempotents in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(K)$ . Applying [4, Proposition 5.22, Theorem 6.7, §6, Exercise 8], for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we can get a lift  $e(i)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)})$  of  $\overline{e(i)}$ , such that  $\{e(i) | 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is a set of pairwise orthogonal central idempotents in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)})$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k e(j) = e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}}$ . Now we have

$$e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} = (1_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} e(1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (1_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} e(k)),$$

is a decomposition of  $e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}}$  into a direct sum of pairwise orthogonal central elements in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$ . Moreover,

$$(1_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} e(j))^2 = (1_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} e(j)), \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

By the discussion in the last paragraph,  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)})$  is a torsion-free  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}$ -module. It follows that the canonical map  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$  is injective. Thus each  $1_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}} e(j)$  must be nonzero, hence is a (nonzero) central idempotent in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$ . We get a contradiction to the fact that  $e(\alpha)_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)}}$  is a central primitive idempotent in  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{(p)})$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We end this subsection with the following theorem, which is the third main result of this paper.

**Theorem 4.27.** *Let  $K$  be a field of arbitrary characteristic. Suppose that the polynomials  $\{Q_{ij}(u, v) | i, j \in I\}$  are given as [18, §3.2.4],  $\mathfrak{g}$  is either symmetric and of finite type, or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $A_\infty$  or affine type  $A_{(e-1)}^{(1)}$  with  $e > 1$ . Then Conjecture 4.24 holds.*

*Proof.* By assumption, each  $Q_{ij}(u, v)$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\text{char } K = 0$ , the theorem holds by [19, Remark 3.41], [3], [14] and [2] (see Remark 4.25). Now applying Lemma 4.26, we can deduce that the theorem still holds if  $\text{char } K > 0$ .  $\square$



**4.3. Relations with crystal basis.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  be the crystal base of the integral highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V(\Lambda)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \sqcup \{0\}$  be the corresponding Kashiwara operators, let  $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the associated functions on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\text{wt} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow P$  be the weight map. In this subsection we try to explain Theorem 4.23 using the crystal graph. In particular, we shall give a second proof of Theorem 4.23.

The following is well-known and will be used in the Lemma 4.29 and Lemma 4.32.

**Lemma 4.28.** ([11, §4.2, (4.3)]) For each  $i \in I$  and  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b) = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle, \quad \varphi_i(b), \varepsilon_i(b) \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 4.29.** Suppose  $L(\Lambda)$  is the irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$ .

$$(4.30) \quad \nu = \underbrace{(\nu^1, \nu^1, \dots, \nu^1)}_{b_1 \text{ copies}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\nu^p, \nu^p, \dots, \nu^p)}_{b_p \text{ copies}} \in I^\alpha,$$

is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ , such that  $\nu^j \neq \nu^{j+1}, \forall 1 \leq j < p$ ,  $p, b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^p b_i = n$ . Then there is a path in the crystal graph of  $\mathcal{B}$  of the following form:

$$(4.31) \quad v_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} b.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{b_1 \text{ copies}} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{b_p \text{ copies}}$

We call (4.31) the crystal path associated to the piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$  and set  $b := b_\nu$ .

*Proof.* Using Lemma 4.28, we can calculate

$$\varphi_{\nu^1}(v_\Lambda) = \langle h_{\nu^1}, \text{wt}(v_\Lambda) \rangle + \varepsilon_{\nu^1}(v_\Lambda) \geq \langle h_{\nu^1}, \text{wt}(v_\Lambda) \rangle \geq b_1,$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of piecewise dominant sequence. It follows that  $b^{(1)} := \tilde{f}_{\nu^1}^{b_1} v_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ . Now we have  $\text{wt}(b^{(1)}) = \text{wt}(v_\Lambda) - b_1 \alpha_{\nu^1} = \Lambda - b_1 \alpha_{\nu^1}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi_{\nu^2}(b^{(1)}) = \langle h_{\nu^2}, \Lambda - b_1 \alpha_{\nu^1} \rangle + \varepsilon_{\nu^2}(v_\Lambda) \geq \langle h_{\nu^2}, \Lambda - b_1 \alpha_{\nu^1} \rangle \geq b_2,$$

by Lemma 4.28. Hence  $b^{(2)} := \tilde{f}_{\nu^2}^{b_2} \tilde{f}_{\nu^1}^{b_1} v_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ . In general, suppose that  $b^{(t)} = \tilde{f}_{\nu^t}^{b_t} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\nu^1}^{b_1} v_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$  is already defined, where  $1 \leq t < p$ . Then we have  $\text{wt}(b^{(t)}) = \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^t b_j \alpha_{\nu^j}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi_{\nu^{t+1}}(b^{(t)}) = \langle h_{\nu^{t+1}}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^t b_j \alpha_{\nu^j} \rangle + \varepsilon_{\nu^{t+1}}(b^{(t)}) \geq \langle h_{\nu^{t+1}}, \Lambda - \sum_{j=1}^t b_j \alpha_{\nu^j} \rangle \geq b_{t+1},$$

by Lemma 4.28 again. Hence  $b^{(t+1)} := \tilde{f}_{\nu^{t+1}}^{b_{t+1}} \cdots \tilde{f}_{\nu^1}^{b_1} v_\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}$ . By an induction on  $t$ , we get a path in the crystal graph of  $\mathcal{B}$  of the form (4.31).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.32.** Suppose  $L(\Lambda)$  is the irreducible highest weight  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\Lambda)$  with  $\text{wt}(b) = \Lambda - \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in Q_n^+$ . Then for any  $i \in I$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_i(b) > 0$ , there is a path in the crystal graph of  $\mathcal{B}$  of the following form:

$$(4.33) \quad v_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^p} b,$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{b_1 \text{ copies}} \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{b_p \text{ copies}}$

such that  $\nu^p = i$  and it is the crystal path associated to the piecewise dominant sequence  $\nu \in I^\alpha$  (see (4.30)) with respect to  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Lambda - \alpha$  is a weight of  $L(\Lambda)$ . We use induction on  $|\alpha|$ . For any  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\text{wt}(b) = \Lambda - \alpha$ , we can find  $i \in I$  such that  $b_0 := \varepsilon_i(b) > 0$ . Set  $b' := \tilde{e}_i^{b_0} b$ . Then  $b' \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\text{wt}(b') = \text{wt}(b) + b_0 \alpha_i$ . As a result,

$$\langle h_i, \text{wt}(b') \rangle = \langle h_i, \text{wt}(b) \rangle + 2b_0 = \varphi_i(b) - b_0 + 2b_0 = \varphi_i(b) + b_0 \geq b_0,$$

where in the second equality we have used Lemma 4.28. By induction hypothesis, there is a path in the crystal graph of  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$v_\Lambda \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\nu^1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^1}}_{b_1 \text{ copies}} \cdots \underbrace{\xrightarrow{\nu^{p-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu^{p-1}}}_{b_{p-1} \text{ copies}} b',$$

where  $\nu^j \neq \nu^{j+1}, \forall 1 \leq j < p-1, p-1, b_1, \dots, b_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 1}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_i = n - b_0$ , such that

$$\nu = \underbrace{(\nu^1, \nu^1, \dots, \nu^1)}_{b_1 \text{ copies}}, \dots, \underbrace{(\nu^{p-1}, \nu^{p-1}, \dots, \nu^{p-1})}_{b_{p-1} \text{ copies}} \in I^{\alpha - b_0 \alpha_i},$$

is a piecewise dominant sequence with respect to  $\Lambda$ . By construction,  $\varepsilon_i(b') = 0$ . It follows that  $\nu^{p-1} \neq i$ . Concatenating this path with the path  $b' \xrightarrow{i} \cdots \xrightarrow{i} b$  we prove the statement.  $\square$

*Remark 4.34.* We remark that the Theorem 4.23 can be deduced from Lemma 4.29 and Lemma 4.32, which also gives a second proof of Theorem 4.22. Although Lemma 4.32 says that for each  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , we can always find a crystal path associated to a piecewise dominant sequence, the crystal path of this kind may not be unique.

For any two piecewise dominant sequence  $\mu, \nu \in I^\alpha$ , we define  $\mu \sim \nu$  if and only if  $b_\mu = b_\nu$ . In particular, this defines an equivalence relation “ $\sim$ ” on the set  $\mathcal{PD}$  of piecewise dominant sequences with respect to  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{PD}/\sim$  be a set of representatives of all the equivalence classes in  $\mathcal{PD}$ . We end this paper with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.35.** *Suppose*

$$\mathcal{PD}/\sim = \{\mu^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

*Then the set of elements*

$$(4.36) \quad \{e(\mu^{(i)}) + [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda, \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda] \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

*forms a  $K$ -basis of the degree 0 component  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)_0$  of the cocenter of  $\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda$ , and the following set of elements*

$$(4.37) \quad \{1_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} [\mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda e(\mu^{(i)})] \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

*forms a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K(\text{Proj } \mathcal{R}_\alpha^\Lambda)$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. ARIKI, E. PARK AND L. SPEYER, *Specht modules for quiver Hecke algebras of type C*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **55**(3) (2019), 565–626.
- [2] J. BRUNDAN, *Centers of degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras and parabolic category  $\mathcal{O}$* , Represent. Theory, **12** (2008), 236–259.
- [3] J. BRUNDAN AND A. KLESCHCHEV, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math., **178** (2009), 451–484.
- [4] C. W. CURTIS AND I. REINER, *Methods of Representation theory, with applications to finite groups and orders*, Vol. I, A Wiley Interscience, 1981.
- [5] J. HU AND X.F. LIANG, *On the structure of cyclotomic nilHecke algebras*, Pac. J. Math., **296**(1) (2018), 105–139.

- [6] J. HU AND H. LIN, *On the center conjecture for the cyclotomic KLR algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2204.11659, 2022.
- [7] J. HU AND A. MATHAS, *Graded cellular bases for the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras of type A*, Adv. Math., **225**(2) (2010), 598–642.
- [8] J. HU, L. SHI, *Graded dimensions and monomial bases for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2108.05508, 2021.
- [9] J. HU, L. SHI, *Graded dimensions and monomial bases for the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras*, preprint, arXiv:2111.03296, 2021.
- [10] S. J. KANG AND M. KASHIWARA, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math., **190** (2012), 699–742.
- [11] M. KASHIWARA, *On crystal bases*, in: Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., Vol. 16 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI), 157–197.
- [12] M. KHOVANOV AND A.D. LAUDA, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups, I*, Represent. Theory, **13** (2009), 309–347.
- [13] ———, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **363** (2011), 2685–2700.
- [14] S. LYLE AND A. MATHAS, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math., **216** (2007), 854–878.
- [15] A. MATHAS AND D. TUBENHAUER, *Subdivision and cellularity for weighted KLRW algebras*, preprint, arXiv:2111.12949, 2021.
- [16] A. MATHAS AND D. TUBENHAUER, *Cellularity for weighted KLRW algebras of types  $B, A^{(2)}, D^{(2)}$* , preprint, arXiv:2201.01998, 2022.
- [17] R. ROUQUIER, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, preprint, math.RT/0812.5023v1, 2008.
- [18] ———, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebr. Colloq. **19** (2012), 359–410.
- [19] P. SHAN, M. VARAGNOLO AND E. VASSEROT, *On the center of quiver-Hecke algebras*, Duke Math. J., **166**(6) (2017), 1005–1101.
- [20] M. VARAGNOLO AND E. VASSEROT, *Canonical bases and KLR algebras*, J. reine angew. Math., **659** (2011), 67–100.

MIIT KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL THEORY AND COMPUTATION IN INFORMATION SECURITY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING, 100081, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* junhu404@bit.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING, 100081, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* 3120195738@bit.edu.cn