

Two Results on Fremlin's Archimedean Riesz Space Tensor Product

Gerard Buskes and Page Thorn*

Abstract. In this paper, we characterize when, for any infinite cardinal α , the Fremlin tensor product of two Archimedean Riesz spaces (see [4]) is Dedekind α -complete. We also provide an example of an ideal I in an Archimedean Riesz space E such that the Fremlin tensor product of I with itself is not an ideal in the Fremlin tensor product of E with itself.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 46A40 , 46M05.

Keywords. Vector lattice, Tensor product, Dedekind α -completeness, Ideal.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we characterize when, for any infinite cardinal α , the Fremlin tensor product of two Archimedean Riesz spaces (see [4]) is Dedekind α -complete. We also provide an example of an ideal I in an Archimedean Riesz space E such that the Fremlin tensor product of I with itself is not an ideal in the Fremlin tensor product of E with itself. The authors would like to thank Dr. Samuel Lisi for a valuable conversation regarding Section 3.

2. Preliminary Material

For the reader's convenience, we summarize Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.4 of [4].

Theorem 2.1. (4.2 and 4.4 of [4]) *Let E and F be Archimedean Riesz spaces. There exists an Archimedean Riesz space G and a Riesz bimorphism $\varphi: E \times F \rightarrow G$ with the following properties.*

* Corresponding author.

The authors thank the University of Mississippi for its support during the preparation of this paper.

- (i) Whenever H is an Archimedean Riesz space and $\psi: E \times F \rightarrow H$ is a Riesz bimorphism, there is a unique Riesz homomorphism $T: G \rightarrow H$ such that $T \circ \varphi = \psi$.
- (ii) If $\psi(x, y) > 0$ in H whenever $x > 0$ in E and $y > 0$ in F , then $E \bar{\otimes} F$ may be identified with the Riesz subspace of H generated by $\psi[E \times F]$.
- (iii) φ induces an embedding of the algebraic tensor product of E and F , denoted $E \otimes F$, in G .
- (iv) $E \otimes F$ is dense in G in the sense that for every $w \in G$ there exist $x_0 \in E$ and $y_0 \in F$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ there is a $v \in E \otimes F$ such that $|w - v| \leq \delta x_0 \otimes y_0$.
- (v) If $w > 0$ in G , then there exist $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$ such that $0 < x \otimes y \leq w$.

G of Theorem 2.1 is the Archimedean Riesz space tensor product of E and F , denoted by $E \bar{\otimes} F$. Any Archimedean Riesz space paired with a Riesz bimorphism satisfying the universal property (i) is Riesz isomorphic to G . The Riesz bimorphism $\otimes: E \times F \rightarrow E \bar{\otimes} F$ embeds the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ via $\otimes(e, f) = e \otimes f$ for all $e \in E$ and $f \in F$. According to (ii), $E \bar{\otimes} F$ may be identified with the Riesz space generated by elementary tensors of the form $e \otimes f$. It follows from Proposition 2.2.11 of [?] that for every element h of $E \bar{\otimes} F$, there exist finite subsets I, J of \mathbb{N} and $g_{ij} \in E \otimes F$ such that

$$h = \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \in J} \{g_{ij}\},$$

where $g_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes f_i$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $e_i \in E$, and $f_i \in F$.

We are interested in how the tensor product performs with respect to several well-known completeness properties, given that each component has that completeness property. $C(X)$ is the Riesz space of continuous, real-valued functions on a topological space X . In a uniformly complete Riesz space, every principal ideal is Riesz isomorphic to $C(X)$ for a compact Hausdorff space X . We use the topological properties corresponding (via [5]) to each type of completeness.

Definition 2.2. Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space and α an infinite cardinal.

- (i) E is called *Dedekind complete* if every nonempty subset of E that is bounded above has a supremum (1.3 of [13]).
- (ii) E is called *Dedekind σ -complete* if every nonempty countable subset of E that is bounded above has a supremum (1.3 of [13]).
- (iii) E is called *Dedekind α -complete* if every nonempty subset A of E that is bounded above with cardinality no greater than α , denoted $|A| \leq \alpha$, has a supremum ([9]).

$C(X)$ denotes the Riesz space of continuous, real-valued functions on a topological space X .

Definition 2.3. Let X be a completely regular topological space.

- (i) X is *extremally disconnected* if every open set has open closure (1H of [5]).
- (ii) Every set of the form $\{x : f(x) = 0\}$ for some $f \in C(X)$ is a *zero-set* of X ; a *cozero-set* is the complement of zero-set (1.10, 1.11 of [5]). X is *basically disconnected* if every cozero-set has an open closure (1H of [5]).
- (iii) A subset $V \subset X$ is said to be an α -*cozero set* if $V = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a set of cozero-sets in X with $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \alpha$. X is α -*disconnected* if every α -cozero set has open closure ([9]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a completely regular topological space. Then*

- (i) $C(X)$ is Dedekind complete if and only if X is extremally disconnected (3N of [5]).
- (ii) $C(X)$ is Dedekind σ -complete if and only if X is basically disconnected (3N of [5]).
- (iii) $C(X)$ is Dedekind α -complete if and only if X is α -disconnected ([11]).

Definition 2.5. Let E be a Riesz space and $0 < u \in E$. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be a *u -uniform Cauchy sequence* if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_m - f_n| < \epsilon u$ for all $m, n \geq N$. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *converges u -uniformly* to f if there exists a sequence of numbers $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that $|f - f_n| \leq \epsilon_n u$ for all n . E is *uniformly complete* if, for every $u > 0$ in E , every u -uniform Cauchy sequence has a u -uniform limit (pgs. 49-50 of [13]).

See, for instance, section 12 of [13] for the following line-up in E (augmented with Dedekind α -completeness) of important completeness properties.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dedekind complete} &\implies \text{Dedekind } \alpha\text{-complete} \implies \text{Dedekind } \sigma\text{-complete} \\ &\implies \text{uniformly complete} \implies \text{Archimedean} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.6. (8.6 of [12]) A *uniform completion* of an Archimedean Riesz space E is a pair (\tilde{E}, i) consisting of a uniformly complete Riesz space \tilde{E} and a Riesz homomorphism $i: E \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ such that for every uniformly complete Riesz space F and every Riesz homomorphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$, there is a unique Riesz homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{E} \rightarrow F$ with $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ i$.

Van Haandel proves the existence of a unique (up to isomorphism) Riesz space \tilde{E} as in definition 2.6.

3. The Fremlin Tensor Product of Principal Ideals

In our study of when the Fremlin tensor product of two Dedekind complete Riesz spaces is Dedekind complete, we will need some information about when the Fremlin tensor product of two ideals is an ideal. We introduce what it means to have a strong order unit as defined in [13] in order to prove Theorem 3.3.

Definition 3.1. Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space. A subset V of E is *uniformly dense* in E if for every $w \in E$ there exist $u \in V$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $v \in V$ such that $|w - v| \leq \epsilon u$.

Definition 3.2. Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space and $f \in E$. The *principal ideal* generated by f is the set

$$E_f = \{g \in E : |g| \leq \lambda|f| \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

An element $u > 0$ for which $E_u = E$ is called a *strong order unit* of E .

Theorem 3.3. *Let E be a uniformly complete Riesz space with a strong order unit u . If V is a uniformly dense Riesz subspace of E containing u , then E is a uniform completion of V .*

Proof. Let $\iota: V \rightarrow E$ be an embedding of V into E . Suppose F is a uniformly complete Riesz space and $\varphi: V \rightarrow F$ is a Riesz homomorphism. For every $x \in E$, there exists a u -uniform Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of V that converges to x in E . Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a u -uniform Cauchy sequence, for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon u$ whenever $n, m \geq N$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $\forall n, m \geq N$,

$$|\varphi(x_n) - \varphi(x_m)| = |\varphi(x_n - x_m)| = \varphi(|x_n - x_m|) < \epsilon \varphi(u).$$

Thus, $(\varphi(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $\varphi(u)$ -uniform Cauchy sequence of F and converges $\varphi(u)$ -uniformly in F . Define $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ to be the $\varphi(u)$ -uniform limit of $(\varphi(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in F . By Theorem 10.3 (ii) of [13], $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Riesz homomorphism that is uniquely determined by φ . Then $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota$ and (E, ι) is a uniform completion of V . \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let E and F be Archimedean Riesz spaces. Then $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is uniformly dense in $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$ for every $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$.*

Proof. Fix w in $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$. Then $w = w^+ - w^-$ (5.1 of [13]). Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 2.2 of [2], there exist $(x_0, y_0) \in E^+ \times F^+$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $u \in E^+ \otimes F^+$ with $0 \leq w^+ - u \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} x_0 \otimes y_0$. Since $x \otimes y$ is a strong order unit of $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$ and $u \leq w^+$, we may assume $(x_0, y_0) = (x, y)$ without loss of generality.

We show that $u \in E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$. As in [2], $u \in E^+ \otimes F^+$ means that $u = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i$ for some $f_i \in E^+$, $g_i \in F^+$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P_1: E \times F$ be the projection onto E . Since P_1 is a Riesz homomorphism, there exists a Riesz homomorphism $P_1^\otimes: E \bar{\otimes} F \rightarrow E$ such that $P_1 = P_1^\otimes \circ \otimes$. Since $w \in (E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$,

there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u \leq w^+ \leq |w| \leq \lambda(x \otimes y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u \leq \lambda(x \otimes y) &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \leq \lambda(x \otimes y) \\
 &\Rightarrow P_1^{\otimes} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \right) \leq P_1^{\otimes}(\lambda(x \otimes y)) \\
 &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (P_1^{\otimes} \circ \otimes)(f_i, g_i) \leq \lambda(P_1^{\otimes} \circ \otimes)(x, y) \\
 &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n P_1(f_i, g_i) \leq \lambda P_1(x, y) \\
 &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \leq \lambda x \\
 &\Rightarrow f_i \leq \lambda x
 \end{aligned}$$

for all i since $f_i \in E^+$. Then $f_i \in E_x$ for all i . Likewise, $g_i \in F_y$ for all i . Hence, $u = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \in E_x \otimes F_y$.

By Theorem 2.2 of [2], there also exists $v \in E^+ \otimes F^+$ such that $0 \leq v - w^- \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}x \otimes y$. Then $v \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}(x \otimes y) + \lambda(x \otimes y)$. By the same argument used for u , we have that $v \leq (\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \lambda)x \otimes y$ implies $v \in E_x \otimes F_y$. Define $z = u - v$. Then

$$|w - z| = |(w^+ - w^-) - (u - v)| = |(w^+ - u) + (v - w^-)| \leq \epsilon x \otimes y.$$

Therefore, $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is uniformly dense in $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let E and F be Dedekind α -complete Riesz spaces for an infinite cardinal α . If $E \bar{\otimes} F$ is Dedekind α -complete, then $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is a principal ideal for every $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$. In particular,*

$$E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y = (E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}.$$

Proof. Since E_x is in particular uniformly complete and can be equipped with the Riesz norm

$$\|f\| = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |f| \leq \lambda x\}$$

for all $f \in E_x$, there exists a compact Hausdorff space X such that $E_x \cong C(X)$ (Theorems 4.21 and 4.29 of [1]). Likewise, there exists a compact Hausdorff space Y such that $F_y \cong C(Y)$.

$E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ contains $x \otimes y$ and is uniformly dense in $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$ by Lemma 3.4. As an ideal of a Dedekind α -complete space, $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$ is Dedekind α -complete (e.g. Theorem 12.4 of [13]). Thus, $(E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$ is a uniform completion of $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ by Theorem 3.3. On the other hand, $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Riesz isomorphic to $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ which has $C(X \times Y)$ as a uniform completion. Since the uniform completion is unique up to isomorphism, $C(X \times Y) \cong (E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$. Hence, $C(X \times Y)$ is Dedekind α -complete. Therefore, $X \times Y$ is, in particular,

basically disconnected which implies $X \times Y$ is an F -space (e.g. [3]). The product of two infinite compact spaces cannot be an F -space (14Q of [5]), so X or Y is finite dimensional. By proposition 2 of [6], $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$. Therefore, $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y \cong (E \bar{\otimes} F)_{x \otimes y}$. \square

Note that Theorem 3.5 would be automatic if, for ideals $I \subseteq E$ and $J \subseteq F$, we had that $I \bar{\otimes} J$ is an ideal of $E \bar{\otimes} F$ to which we give a counterexample in 3.8.

Definition 3.6. A function $p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *piecewise polynomial* if there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty)$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ and p is a polynomial function on $[t_n, \infty)$ and $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

$PP([0, \infty))$ is the Archimedean Riesz space of piecewise polynomials on $[0, \infty)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in [0, \infty)$, we define

$$B((a, b), \epsilon) = \{(x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) : \sqrt{(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2} < \epsilon\}.$$

Lemma 3.7. *If $p(x)$ is a piecewise polynomial on $[0, \infty)$ and $|p(x)| \leq Cx$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $p''(x) = 0$ whenever $x > k$.*

Proof. By definition, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty)$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ and p is a polynomial function on $[t_n, \infty)$ and $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. In particular, there exist a polynomial p_n on $[0, \infty)$ such that $p(x) = p_n(x)$ for all $x \in [t_n, \infty)$. Since by assumption $|p(x)| \leq Cx$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the degree of p_n is less than or equal to 1. Therefore, $p_n''(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Hence, $x > t_n$ implies that $p''(x) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose $E = F = PP([0, \infty))$. If $p(x) = x$ and $q(y) = y$, then $E_p \bar{\otimes} F_q$ is not an ideal in $E \bar{\otimes} F$.*

Proof. Let $h(x, y) = 1 \otimes y^2 \wedge x^2 \otimes 1 \in E \bar{\otimes} F$. If $h \in E_p \bar{\otimes} F_q$, there exist finite subsets I, J of \mathbb{N} such that

$$h(x, y) = \sup_{i \in I} \inf_{j \in J} \{g_{ij}(x, y)\}$$

for some $g_{ij} \in E_p \otimes F_q$. For each g_{ij} , there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_r \in E_p$, and $q_r \in F_q$ such that

$$g_{ij}(x, y) = \sum_{r=1}^n p_r(x) \otimes q_r(y).$$

Since $p_r \in E_p$ and $q_r \in F_q$, there exists $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$|g_{ij}(x, y)| \leq \sum_{r=1}^n |p_r(x) \otimes q_r(y)| \leq \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r (x \otimes y) = \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r \right) x \otimes y.$$

By Lemma 3.7, for every $i \in I$ and $j \in J$ there exists $k_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$x > k_{ij} \text{ and } y > k_{ij} \implies (g_{ij})_{xx} = (g_{ij})_{yy} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $(g_{ij})_{xx}$, $(g_{ij})_{yy}$ are the second order partial derivatives of g_{ij} with respect to x and y , respectively.

Let $k = \sup_{ij} \{k_{ij}\}$, $D = \{[k, \infty) \times [k, \infty)\}$, and $S_{ij} = \{(x, y) \in D : h(x, y) = g_{ij}(x, y)\}$. Notice that $D = \bigcup_{i \in I, j \in J} S_{ij}$ by the definition of $h(x, y)$. Since $\text{int}(D) = \{(k, \infty) \times (k, \infty)\}$, $\text{int}(\bigcup_{i \in I, j \in J} S_{ij})$ is nonempty and there exist $i_o \in I, j_o \in J$ such that $\text{int}(S_{i_o j_o}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists $(c, d) \in D$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B((c, d), \epsilon) \subseteq \text{int}(S_{i_o j_o})$. That is, $h(x, y) = g_{i_o j_o}(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in B((c, d), \epsilon)$. It follows from (1) that

$$h_{xx}(x, y) = h_{yy}(x, y) = 0 \quad \left((x, y) \in B((c, d), \epsilon) \right). \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, by the very definition of h ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } a > b, \text{ then } h_{yy}(a, b) = 2 \neq 0; \\ &\text{if } a < b, \text{ then } h_{xx}(a, b) = 2 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (2). Then $h \notin E_p \bar{\otimes} F_q$. Since $h \leq p \otimes q$, it follows that $E_p \bar{\otimes} F_q$ is not an ideal in $E \bar{\otimes} F$. \square

4. Dedekind α -complete Riesz Spaces

Theorem 4.1. *Let X and Y be compact, Hausdorff spaces and α an infinite cardinal. Let $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ be Dedekind α -complete. $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ is Dedekind α -complete if and only if X or Y is finite.*

Proof. Assume $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ is Dedekind α -complete. $C(X \times Y)$ is a uniform completion of $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ by Theorem 3.3. Since $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ is uniformly complete by assumption, $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ is Riesz isomorphic to $C(X \times Y)$. Consequently, $X \times Y$ is α -disconnected which implies $X \times Y$ is an F -space (e.g. [3]). The product of two infinite compact spaces cannot be an F -space (14Q of [5]), so X or Y is finite.

Assume that Y is finite. Then $C(Y) \cong C(\{1, \dots, n\})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let B be a bounded subset of $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ with $|B| \leq \alpha$. For every $h \in B$, there are $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n \in C(X)$ such that $h_i(x) = h(x, i)$ for $x \in X$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, note that $\{h_i(x)\}_{h \in B}$ is a bounded subset of $C(X)$ with cardinality no greater than α . Since $C(X)$ is Dedekind α -complete,

$$\sup_{h \in B} h(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{h \in B} h_1(x) & \text{if } y = 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \sup_{h \in B} h_n(x) & \text{if } y = n \end{cases}$$

exists for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Define $g_i(x) = \sup_{h \in B} h_i(x)$. The characteristic function of $\{i\}$, denoted $1_{\{i\}}$, is continuous in $C(\{1, \dots, n\})$. Then

$$\sup_{h \in B} h = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes 1_{\{i\}}$$

is an element of $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(\{1, \dots, n\})$. Thus, $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y)$ is Dedekind α -complete. \square

Note that the second half of Theorem 4.1 follows from Hager's Proposition 2 of [6]: if X or Y is finite, then $C(X) \otimes C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$. Thus, $C(X) \bar{\otimes} C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$. Since the product of an α -disconnected space with a finite space is α -disconnected, $C(X \times Y)$ is Dedekind α -complete.

Definition 4.2. Let E be an Archimedean Riesz space and let I be a nonempty set. $c_{00}(I, E)$ is the set of all maps $f: I \rightarrow E$ such that $S(f) = \{x \in I : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. If $E = \mathbb{R}$, then $c_{00}(I, E)$ is written $c_{00}(I)$.

For $f, g \in c_{00}(I, E)$, $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(x) \leq g(x)$ in E for every $x \in I$. With this pointwise ordering, $c_{00}(I, E)$ is an Archimedean Riesz space.

Lemma 4.3. Let I be a nonempty set. Then $c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E$ and $c_{00}(I, E)$ are Riesz isomorphic.

Proof. We show that $c_{00}(I, E)$ has the universal property for the Fremlin tensor product of $c_{00}(I)$ and E as in Theorem 2.1.

Define $\varphi: c_{00}(I) \times E \rightarrow c_{00}(I, E)$ by

$$\varphi(f, e)(x) = f(x)e \quad (x \in I, f \in c_{00}(I), e \in E).$$

It is straightforward to verify that φ is a Riesz bimorphism.

Let F be an Archimedean Riesz space, and suppose $\psi: c_{00}(I) \times E \rightarrow F$ is a Riesz bimorphism. If $g \in c_{00}(I, E)$, then g is uniquely represented by $g = \sum_{y \in S(g)} g(y)1_{\{y\}}$. Then

$$g(x) = \sum_{y \in S(g)} \varphi(1_{\{y\}}, g(y))(x) \quad (x \in I)$$

and g is in the Riesz space generated by the range of φ . Define $T: c_{00}(I, E) \rightarrow F$ by $T(g) = \sum_{y \in S(g)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, g(y))$. We have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c_{00}(I) \times E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & c_{00}(I, E) \\ \psi \downarrow & \swarrow T & \\ F & & \end{array}$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g \in c_{00}(I, E)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\lambda f + g) &= T\left(\lambda \sum_{y \in S(f)} f(y)1_{\{y\}} + \sum_{y \in S(g)} g(y)1_{\{y\}}\right) \\
 &= T\left(\sum_{y \in S(f) \cup S(g)} (\lambda f + g)(y)1_{\{y\}}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{y \in S(f) \cup S(g)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, (\lambda f + g)(y)) \\
 &= \sum_{y \in S(f) \cup S(g)} [\psi(1_{\{y\}}, \lambda f(y)) + \psi(1_{\{y\}}, g(y))] \\
 &= \lambda \sum_{y \in S(f)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, f(y)) + \sum_{y \in S(g)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, g(y)) \\
 &= \lambda T(f) + T(g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is linear.

Let $f, g \in c_{00}(I, E)$ with $f \wedge g = 0$. Then $S(f) \cap S(g) = \emptyset$. Since ψ is a Riesz bimorphism,

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(f) \wedge T(g) &= T\left(\sum_{y \in S(f)} f(y)1_{\{y\}}\right) \wedge T\left(\sum_{y \in S(g)} g(y)1_{\{y\}}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{y \in S(f)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, f(y)) \wedge \sum_{y \in S(g)} \psi(1_{\{y\}}, g(y)) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then T is a Riesz homomorphism and $\psi = T \circ \varphi$. Consequently, $c_{00}(I, E) \cong c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let I be a nonempty set and let E be an Archimedean Riesz space. If E is Dedekind α -complete for an infinite cardinal α , then $c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E$ is Dedekind α -complete.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded subset of $c_{00}(I, E)$ such that $|B| \leq \alpha$. Then there exists an $f \in c_{00}(I, E)$ such that $S(h) \subseteq S(f)$ for every $h \in B$. From the Dedekind α -completeness of E it follows that $\sup_{h \in B} h(x)$ exists for each $x \in I$. Define

$$g(x) = \sup_{h \in B} h(x).$$

$S(g)$ is finite since $S(g) \subseteq S(f)$ and $S(f)$ is finite. Then $g \in c_{00}(I, E)$. By Lemma 4.3, $c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E \cong c_{00}(I, E)$ is Dedekind α -complete. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let I be a nonempty set and let E be an Archimedean Riesz space. If E is Dedekind complete, then $c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E$ is Dedekind complete.*

Proof. Let B be a bounded subset of $c_{00}(I, E)$. Then B has some cardinality, say α . In particular, E is Dedekind α -complete, so $\sup B$ exists in $c_{00}(I) \bar{\otimes} E$ by Theorem 4.4. \square

In our concluding theorem, we combine our results on ideals and Dedekind α -completeness to characterize exactly when the Fremlin tensor product of two Dedekind α -complete Riesz spaces is Dedekind α -complete.

Theorem 4.6. *Let α be an infinite cardinal. Suppose E and F are Dedekind α -complete. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Dedekind α -complete for every $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$.
- (2) [E_x is finite dimensional $\forall x \in E^+$] or [F_y is finite dimensional $\forall y \in F^+$].
- (3) $E \cong c_{00}(I)$ for a set $I \subseteq E$ or $F \cong c_{00}(J)$ for a set $J \subseteq F$.
- (4) $E \bar{\otimes} F \cong c_{00}(I, F)$ for a set $I \subseteq E$ or $E \bar{\otimes} F \cong c_{00}(J, E)$ for a set $J \subseteq F$.
- (5) $E \bar{\otimes} F$ is Dedekind α -complete.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) There exist compact, Hausdorff topological spaces X, Y such that $E_x \cong C(X)$ and $F_y \cong C(Y)$ (Theorems 4.21 and 4.29 of [1]). If $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Dedekind α -complete, then X or Y is finite by Theorem 4.1. Then E_x or F_y is finite dimensional. We claim that this holds either for every $x \in E^+$ or for every $y \in F^+$. Indeed, if there exists $u \in E^+, v \in F^+$ such that E_u, F_v are infinite dimensional, then $E_u \bar{\otimes} F_v$ cannot be Dedekind α -complete by Theorem 4.1. Thus, either E_x is finite dimensional for every $x \in E^+$ or F_y is finite dimensional for every $y \in F^+$.

(2) \implies (3) Follows from Theorem 61.4 in [8].

(3) \implies (4) Follows from Lemma 4.3.

(4) \implies (5) Follows from Theorem 4.4.

(5) \implies (1) By Theorem 3.5, the fact that $E \bar{\otimes} F$ is in particular uniformly complete implies that $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is an ideal for every $x \in E^+, y \in F^+$. Thus, $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Dedekind α -complete (e.g. Theorem 12.4 of [13]). \square

Theorem 4.6 can be generalized to a Dedekind β -complete space E and a Dedekind γ -complete space F for $\beta \neq \gamma$. In this case, $\min\{\beta, \gamma\}$ replaces α in statements (1) and (5). We emphasize the result for α a countable cardinal, in which case we say Dedekind σ -complete. Since Theorem 4.6 is for an arbitrary infinite cardinal, we have the concluding corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose E and F are Dedekind complete. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Dedekind complete for every $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$.
- (2) [E_x is finite dimensional $\forall x \in E^+$] or [F_y is finite dimensional $\forall y \in F^+$].
- (3) $E \cong c_{00}(I)$ for a set $I \subseteq E$ or $F \cong c_{00}(J)$ for a set $J \subseteq F$.
- (4) $E \bar{\otimes} F \cong c_{00}(I, F)$ for a set $I \subseteq E$ or $E \bar{\otimes} F \cong c_{00}(J, E)$ for a set $J \subseteq F$.
- (5) $E \bar{\otimes} F$ is Dedekind complete.

Proof. Assume (1). Then in particular, then $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is Dedekind α -complete for any infinite cardinal and $x \in E^+, y \in F^+$. Then by Theorem 4.6, (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (4). By Theorem 4.5, (4) implies (5). Assuming (5) and fixing $x \in E^+$ and $y \in F^+$, (1) follows since $E_x \bar{\otimes} F_y$ is an ideal by Theorem 3.5. \square

References

- [1] Aliprantis, C. D., Burkinshaw, O.: Positive operators. Springer, Dordrecht (2006)
- [2] Allenby, P. D., Labuschagne, C. C. A.: On the uniform density of $C(X) \otimes C(Y)$ in $C(X \times Y)$. Indag. Math. (N.S.) **20**, 19–22 (2009)
- [3] Comfort, W. W., Hindman, N., Negrepointis, S.: F' -spaces and their product with P -spaces. Pacific J. Math. **28**, 489–502 (1969)
- [4] Fremlin, D. H.: Tensor products of Archimedean vector lattices. Amer. J. Math. **94**, 777–798 (1972)
- [5] Gillman, L., Jerison, M.: Rings of continuous functions. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1976)
- [6] Hager, Anthony W.: Some remarks on the tensor product of function rings. Mathematische Zeitschrift **92**, 210–224 (1966)
- [7] Jameson, G.: Ordered linear spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 141. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1970)
- [8] Luxemburg, W. A. J., Zaanen, A. C.: Riesz spaces, vol. I. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London (1971)
- [9] Macula, A. J.: Free α -extensions of an Archimedean vector lattice and their topological duals. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332**, 437–448 (1992)
- [10] Pinter, C.: Set theory. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. (1971)
- [11] Stone, M. H.: Boundedness properties in function-lattices. Canad. J. Math. **1**, 176–186 (1949)
- [12] van Haandel, M.B.J.G.: Completions in Riesz space theory. PhD thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen (2008)
- [13] Zaanen, A. C.: Introduction to operator theory in Riesz spaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997)

Gerard Buskes

Department of Mathematics

University of Mississippi

Mississippi 38677

USA

e-mail: mmbuskes@olemiss.edu

Page Thorn*

Department of Mathematics

University of Mississippi

Mississippi 38677

USA

e-mail: lthorn@go.olemiss.edu