

Boundedness of Fractional Integrals on Ball Campanato-Type Function Spaces

Yiqun Chen, Hongchao Jia and Dachun Yang*

Abstract Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying some mild assumptions and let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. In this article, when $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the authors first find a reasonable version \tilde{I}_α of the fractional integral I_α on the ball Campanato-type function space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, and $d \in (0, \infty)$. Then the authors prove that \tilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq C\|1_B\|_{X^\beta}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$, where X^β denotes the β -convexification of X . Furthermore, the authors extend the range $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in \tilde{I}_α to the range $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and also obtain the corresponding boundedness in this case. Moreover, \tilde{I}_α is proved to be the adjoint operator of I_α . All these results have a wide range of applications. Particularly, even when they are applied, respectively, to Morrey spaces, mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces, local generalized Herz spaces, and mixed-norm Herz spaces, all the obtained results are new. The proofs of these results strongly depend on the dual theorem on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and also on the special atomic decomposition of molecules of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the Hardy-type space associated with X) which proves the predual space of $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1 Introduction

Recall that John and Nirenberg [39] introduced the well-known space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions with bounded mean oscillation, which proves the dual space of the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Fefferman and Stein in their seminal article [20]. Later, Taibleson and Weiss [63] gave a more general result that, for any $p \in (0, 1]$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, and s being a nonnegative integer not smaller than $n(\frac{1}{p} - 1)$, the dual space of the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $C_{\frac{1}{p}-1,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Campanato space introduced in [7], which coincides with $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $p = 1$.

It is well known that the real-variable theory, including the boundedness of fractional integrals on Hardy-type spaces, plays a fundamental role in harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (see, for instance, [4, 12, 29, 45, 57, 58]). Moreover, in recent years, the boundedness of fractional integrals on Campanato-type spaces has attracted more and more attention. For instance, Nakai [43] studied fractional integrals on Campanato-type spaces with variable growth conditions

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47G40; Secondary 42B20, 47A30, 42B30, 46E35, 42B25, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. fractional integral, ball quasi-Banach function space, Campanato-type space, Hardy-type space.

This project is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971058 and 12071197) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0712900).

*Corresponding author, E-mail: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn/[June 13, 2022](#)/Final version.

over spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss. In addition, Arai and Nakai [3] studied the compact commutators of generalized fractional integrals, with a function in generalized Campanato spaces, on generalized Morrey spaces. Recently, Ho [27] studied the mapping properties of several kind of integral operators on both the space $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the Campanato space. We refer the reader also to [24, 27, 46, 70, 71] for more studies on this.

On the other hand, Sawano et al. [53] introduced the ball quasi-Banach function space X and the related Hardy space $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Compared with quasi-Banach function spaces, ball quasi-Banach function spaces contain more function spaces, for instance, the weighted Lebesgue space, the Morrey space, the mixed-norm Lebesgue space, the Orlicz–slice space, and the Musielak–Orlicz space are ball quasi-Banach function space, but they may not be quasi-Banach function spaces (see [53, 77, 76] for the details), which implies that the results obtained in [53] have more wide applications. We refer the reader to [9, 17, 28, 35, 66, 67, 68, 77] for more studies on both $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $WH_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the weak Hardy spaces associated with X) and to [61, 62, 73, 72] for (weak) Hardy spaces associated with X on spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss.

Moreover, to obtain a counterpart of the dual theorem $(H^p(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = C_{\frac{1}{p}-1, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the Hardy space $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Yan et al. [74] introduced the Campanato-type function space $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X , which proves the dual space of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when X is concave. Very recently, Zhang et al. [76] introduced the ball Campanato-type function space $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X and proved that $(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This result improves the dual theorem in [74] via removing the assumption that X is concave. Moreover, the Carleson measure characterization of $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was also obtained in [76]. However, the boundedness of fractional integrals on $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is still unknown even when X is some concrete function spaces, for instance, the Morrey space, the mixed-norm Lebesgue space, the local generalized Herz space, and the mixed-norm Herz space.

In this article, we study the boundedness of fractional integrals on $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To be precise, assume that the (powered) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator satisfies some Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality on X and is bounded on the associate space of X . We first find a reasonable version \widetilde{I}_α of the fractional integral I_α , with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, on the ball Campanato-type function space $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 3.2 below), which is a generalization of \widetilde{I}_α studied by Nakai [44] (see also [21] for a variant on spaces of homogeneous type). Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. Then we prove that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq C \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$, where X^β denotes the β -convexification of X (see Theorem 3.8 below). Furthermore, we extend the range $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in \widetilde{I}_α to the range $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and also obtain the corresponding boundedness in this case (see Theorem 3.13 below). Moreover, \widetilde{I}_α is proved to be the adjoint operator of I_α (see Theorem 3.34 below). All these results have a wide range of applications. Particularly, even when they are applied, respectively, to Morrey spaces, mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces, local generalized Herz spaces, and mixed-norm Herz spaces, all the obtained results are new. Obviously, due to the generality and the flexibility, more applications of these main results of this article are predictable. Moreover, we refer the reader to [10] for studies on fractional integrals on $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To limit the length of this article, the boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ will be studied in the forthcoming article [11].

One of the main contribution of this work is to prove that \widetilde{I}_α is the adjoint operators of I_α . This further strengthens the rationality of the definition of \widetilde{I}_α . One key step is to show that, for

any $g \in (H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ and any molecule M of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle g, M \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)M(x) dx$ (see Lemma 3.30 below). To this end, we skillfully use the dual theorem on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained in [10], and the special atomic decomposition of molecules of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Proposition 3.28 below) to obtain the above desired conclusion.

To be precise, the remainder of this article is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we recall some basic concepts on the quasi-Banach function space X and the ball Campanato-type space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also present two mild assumptions that the (powered) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator satisfies the Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality on X and is bounded on the associate space of X .

The main aim of Section 3 is to study the mapping properties of fractional integrals on the ball Campanato-type space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To be precise, in Subsection 3.1, we first give a reasonable version of the fractional integral I_α , with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, on the Campanato space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by \widetilde{I}_α , and establish a sufficient and necessary condition on the boundedness of \widetilde{I}_α from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,q,s,\beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with some $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ (see Theorem 3.8 below). Then we extend the range of $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in \widetilde{I}_α to the range $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and also obtain the corresponding boundedness in this case (see Theorem 3.13 below). In Subsection 3.2, we prove that \widetilde{I}_α is the adjoint operator of I_α (see Theorem 3.34 below).

In Section 4, we apply all the above main results to four concrete examples of ball quasi-Banach function spaces, namely, the Morrey space $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the mixed-norm Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the local generalized Herz space $\mathcal{K}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the mixed-norm Herz space $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, respectively. Therefore, the boundedness of \widetilde{I}_α , respectively, on $\mathcal{L}_{M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n), q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n), q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p,r}(\mathbb{R}^n), q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n), q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is obtained (see, respectively, Theorems 4.3, 4.7, 4.13, and 4.17 below).

Finally, we make some conventions on notation. Let $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_+^n := (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$. We always denote by C a *positive constant* which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. The symbol $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \leq Cg$. If $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$, we then write $f \sim g$. If $f \leq Cg$ and $g = h$ or $g \leq h$, we then write $f \lesssim g \sim h$ or $f \lesssim g \lesssim h$, rather than $f \lesssim g = h$ or $f \lesssim g \leq h$. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we use $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n with total degree not greater than s . We use $\mathbf{0}$ to denote the *origin* of \mathbb{R}^n . For any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote by $\mathbf{1}_E$ its characteristic function. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$. Furthermore, for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and any ball $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $\lambda B(x, r) := B(x, \lambda r)$. Finally, for any $q \in [1, \infty]$, we denote by q' its *conjugate exponent*, namely, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions of ball quasi-Banach function spaces and their related ball Campanato-type function spaces. In what follows, we use $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $B(x, r) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{B(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \in (0, \infty)\}.$$

The following concept of ball quasi-Banach function spaces on \mathbb{R}^n is just [53, Definition 2.2].

Definition 2.1. Let $X \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a quasi-normed linear space equipped with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ which makes sense for all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Then X is called a *ball quasi-Banach function space* on \mathbb{R}^n if it satisfies:

- (i) if $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\|f\|_X = 0$ implies that $f = 0$ almost everywhere;
- (ii) if $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $|g| \leq |f|$ almost everywhere implies that $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$;
- (iii) if $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $0 \leq f_m \uparrow f$ almost everywhere as $m \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $\|f_m\|_X \uparrow \|f\|_X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$;
- (iv) $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that $\mathbf{1}_B \in X$, where $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the same as in (2.1).

Moreover, a ball quasi-Banach function space X is called a *ball Banach function space* if it satisfies:

- (v) for any $f, g \in X$,

$$\|f + g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X + \|g\|_X;$$

- (vi) for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a positive constant $C_{(B)}$, depending on B , such that, for any $f \in X$,

$$\int_B |f(x)| dx \leq C_{(B)} \|f\|_X.$$

Remark 2.2. (i) Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space on \mathbb{R}^n . By [72, Remark 2.6(i)], we conclude that, for any $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_X = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ almost everywhere.

- (ii) As was mentioned in [72, Remark 2.6(ii)], we obtain an equivalent formulation of Definition 2.1 via replacing any ball B by any bounded measurable set E therein.
- (iii) We should point out that, in Definition 2.1, if we replace any ball B by any measurable set E with finite measure, we obtain the definition of (quasi-)Banach function spaces which were originally introduced in [6, Definitions 1.1 and 1.3]. Thus, a (quasi-)Banach function space is also a ball (quasi-)Banach function space and the converse is not necessary to be true.
- (iv) By [8, Theorem 2], we conclude that both (ii) and (iii) of Definition 2.1 imply that any ball quasi-Banach function space is complete.

The associate space X' of any given ball Banach function space X is defined as follows (see [6, Chapter 1, Section 2] or [53, p. 9]).

Definition 2.3. For any given ball quasi-Banach function space X , its *associate space* (also called the *Köthe dual space*) X' is defined by setting

$$X' := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{X'} < \infty\},$$

where, for any $f \in X'$,

$$\|f\|_{X'} := \sup \left\{ \|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} : g \in X, \|g\|_X = 1 \right\},$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{X'}$ is called the *associate norm* of $\|\cdot\|_X$.

Remark 2.4. From [53, Proposition 2.3], we deduce that, if X is a ball Banach function space, then its associate space X' is also a ball Banach function space.

We also recall the concepts of both the convexity and the concavity of ball quasi-Banach function spaces, which are a part of [53, Definition 2.6].

Definition 2.5. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space and $p \in (0, \infty)$.

(i) The p -convexification X^p of X is defined by setting

$$X^p := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n) : |f|^p \in X\}$$

equipped with the *quasi-norm* $\|f\|_{X^p} := \| |f|^p \|_X^{1/p}$ for any $f \in X^p$.

(ii) The space X is said to be *concave* if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_X \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k| \right\|_X.$$

In particular, when $C = 1$, X is said to be *strictly concave*.

Remark 2.6. It is easy to show that, for any ball quasi-Banach function space X and any $p \in (0, \infty)$, the p -convexification X^p of X is also a ball quasi-Banach function space.

Next, we present the concept of ball Campanato-type function spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces introduced in [76, Definition 3.2] (see also [74, Definition 1.11]). Recall that the *Lebesgue space* $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in (0, \infty]$ is defined to be the set of all the measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \begin{cases} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} & \text{if } q \in (0, \infty), \\ \text{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| & \text{if } q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite. For any given $q \in (0, \infty]$, $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all the measurable functions f such that $f \mathbf{1}_E \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any bounded measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any finite measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$(2.2) \quad f_E := \int_E f(x) dx := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E f(x) dx.$$

Moreover, for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we use $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all the polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n with total degree not greater than s ; for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any locally integrable function g on \mathbb{R}^n , $P_B^{(s)}(g)$ denotes the *minimizing polynomial* of g with total degree not greater than s , which means that $P_B^{(s)}(g)$ is the unique polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_B [g(x) - f(x)]P(x) dx = 0.$$

Recall that, in [74, Definition 1.11], Yan et al. introduced the following Campanato space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X .

Definition 2.7. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space, $q \in [1, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The *Campanato space* $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, associated with X , is defined to be the set of all the $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X} \left\{ \int_B |f(x) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(x)|^q dx \right\}^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In what follows, by abuse of notation, we identify $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f + \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.8. Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. Recall that Campanato [7] introduced the *Campanato space* $C_{\alpha,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is defined to be the set of all the $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{C_{\alpha,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup |B|^{-\alpha} \left[\int_B |f(x) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all open balls (or cubes) $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It is well known that $C_{\alpha,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\alpha = 0$ coincides with the space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, when $X := L^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_{\alpha,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.9. Let $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By a claim in [63, p. 83], we find that there exists a positive constant C , independent of both f and B , such that

$$\|P_B^{(s)}(f)\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq C \int_B |f(x)| dx.$$

Very recently, in [76, Definition 3.2], Zhang et al. introduced the following ball Campanato-type function space $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X .

Definition 2.10. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space, $q \in [1, \infty)$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then the *ball Campanato-type function space* $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, associated with X , is defined to be the set of all the $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := & \sup \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right)^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \\ & \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |f(x) - P_{B_j}^{(s)}(f)(x)|^q dx \right]^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

is finite, where the supremum is taken over all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \neq 0$.

In what follows, by abuse of notation, we identify $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f + \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.11. Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, and X be a ball quasi-Banach function space. It is easy to show that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, when X is concave and $d \in (0, 1]$, it was proved in [76, Proposition 3.7] that

$$\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms.

In this article, we need the following two mild assumptions about the boundedness of the (powered) Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on ball quasi-Banach function spaces. To this end, recall that the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* \mathcal{M} is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) := \sup_{B \ni x} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the balls $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ containing x .

Assumption 2.12. *Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space. Assume that there exists a $p_- \in (0, \infty)$ such that, for any given $p \in (0, p_-)$ and $u \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [\mathcal{M}(f_j)]^u \right\}^{\frac{1}{u}} \right\|_{X^{1/p}} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |f_j|^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \right\|_{X^{1/p}}.$$

To present the second assumption, we also need the concept of the power Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. In what follows, for any $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, the *powered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* $\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}^{(\theta)}(f)(x) := \left\{ \mathcal{M}(|f|^\theta)(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

Assumption 2.13. *Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space. Assume that there exists an $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and a $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ such that X^{1/r_0} is a ball Banach function space and there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in (X^{1/r_0})'$,*

$$\left\| \mathcal{M}^{((p_0/r_0)')} (f) \right\|_{(X^{1/r_0})'} \leq C \|f\|_{(X^{1/r_0})'}.$$

Remark 2.14. Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12, and let $d \in (0, \infty)$. Notice that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $\beta \in [1, \infty)$, $\mathbf{1}_{\beta B} \leq (\beta + 1)^{\frac{dn}{r}} [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{1}_B)]^{\frac{d}{r}}$ with $r \in (0, \min\{d, p_-\})$. By this and Assumption 2.12, we easily conclude that, for any $r \in (0, \min\{d, p_-\})$, any $\beta \in [1, \infty)$, any sequence $\{B_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and any $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j^d \mathbf{1}_{\beta B_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X \leq (2\beta)^{\frac{n}{r}} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j^d \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X.$$

Particularly, for any $r \in (0, p_-)$ and any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| \mathbf{1}_{\beta B} \right\|_X \leq (2\beta)^{\frac{n}{r}} \left\| \mathbf{1}_B \right\|_X.$$

3 Fractional Integrals on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

This section is divided into two subsections. In Subsection 3.1, we first give a reasonable version \widetilde{I}_α of the fractional integral I_α on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we give a sufficient and necessary condition of the boundedness of \widetilde{I}_α from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In Subsection 3.2, we prove that \widetilde{I}_α is just the adjoint operator of I_α , which further strengthens the rationality of the definition of \widetilde{I}_α .

3.1 Boundedness of Fractional Integrals on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection, we study the boundedness of fractional integrals on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall the concept of the following fractional integral I_α with $\alpha \in (0, n)$, which was first introduced by Hardy and Littlewood [25] (see also [57, p. 117]).

Definition 3.1. Let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and $p \in [1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$. The fractional integral I_α is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(3.1) \quad I_\alpha(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

We now recall the definition of \widetilde{I}_α which was first introduced in [38] to establish the boundedness of fractional integrals on special John–Nirenberg–Campanato spaces in [36]. In what follows, for any given $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda \in (s, \infty)$, we always need to consider a function $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that, for any ball $B(x, r) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,r)} \frac{|f(y) - P_{B(x,r)}^{(s)}(f)(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+\lambda}} dy < \infty.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$. The *modified fractional integral* $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (3.2) with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$, and for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(f)(x) \\ & := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : |\gamma| \leq s\}} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) \Big|_{x=x_0}}{\gamma!} (x-x_0)^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0}(y) \right] f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. When $s := 0$, Definition 3.2 coincides with the \widetilde{I}_α studied by Nakai [44] (see also [21] for a variant on spaces of homogeneous type).

To show that $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(f)$ in (3.3) is well defined almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^n , we need the following technical lemma which is just a corollary of [38, Remark 2.5 and Proposition 2.16]; we omit the details here.

Lemma 3.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $B_0 := B(x_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$, and $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}$ be the same as in (3.3). Then, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq s$, $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(y^\gamma) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ after changing values on a set of measure zero.

We also need the following well-known Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev theorem which was first established by Hardy and Littlewood [25] and Sobolev [56] (see also [57, p. 119]).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and I_α be the same as in (3.1). Let $p \in [1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$.*

- (i) *For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $I_\alpha(f)(x)$ is well defined for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*
- (ii) *If $p \in (1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$, then I_α is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|I_\alpha(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we show that $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(f)$ in (3.3) is well defined; since its proof is quite similar to that of [38, Proposition 2.19], we only sketch some important steps.

Proposition 3.6. *Let all the symbols be the same as in Definition 3.2. Then, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (3.2) with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$, $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(f)$ in (3.3) is well defined almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present proposition and let $B := B(z, r) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$. By the definition of $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}$, we write that, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying (3.2) with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$, and for almost every $x \in B$,

$$(3.4) \quad \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, B_0}(f)(x) = F_B^{(1)}(x) + F_B^{(2)}(x) + P_{B, B_0}^{(1)}(x) + P_{B, B_0}^{(2)}(x),$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad F_B^{(1)}(x) := \int_{2B} \frac{f(y) - P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)(y)}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$

$$(3.6) \quad F_B^{(2)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} \left[\frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} - \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\gamma| \leq s\}} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) |_{x=z}}{\gamma!} (x - z)^\gamma \right] \\ \times [f(y) - P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)(y)] dy,$$

$$(3.7) \quad P_{B, B_0}^{(1)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_{B, B_0}(x, y) [f(y) - P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)(y)] dy$$

with

$$K_{B, B_0}(x, y) := \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\gamma| \leq s\}} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) |_{x=z}}{\gamma!} (x - z)^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B}(y) \\ - \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\gamma| \leq s\}} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) |_{x=x_0}}{\gamma!} (x - x_0)^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0}(y),$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad P_{B,B_0}^{(2)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} - \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\gamma| \leq s\}} \frac{\partial_x^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} \right) |_{x=x_0}}{\gamma!} (x-x_0)^\gamma \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_0}(y) \right] \\ \times P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)(y) dy.$$

Thus, to prove that $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha,B_0}(f)$ is well defined almost everywhere on B , it suffices to show that $F_B^{(1)}$, $F_B^{(2)}$, $P_{B,B_0}^{(1)}$, and $P_{B,B_0}^{(2)}$ are all well defined almost everywhere on B .

We first consider $P_B^{(1)}$. Indeed, it is easy to show that $[f - P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)] \mathbf{1}_{2B} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this and Lemma 3.5(i), we deduce that $F_B^{(1)}(x)$ is well defined for almost every $x \in B$. In addition, by [38, (2.24)] and (3.2) with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$, we find that, for any $x \in B$,

$$(3.9) \quad \left| F_B^{(2)}(x) \right| \lesssim r^{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(y) - P_{2B}^{(s)}(f)(y)|}{|y-z|^{n+s+1-\alpha}} dy < \infty.$$

Moreover, from (3.2) and an argument similar to that used in the estimation of [38, (2.26)], we infer that $P_{B,B_0}^{(1)}(x)$ for any $x \in B$ is well defined and

$$(3.10) \quad P_{B,B_0}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{P}_s(B).$$

Finally, Lemma 3.4 implies that $P_{B,B_0}^{(2)}(x)$ for almost every $x \in B$ is well defined and

$$(3.11) \quad P_{B,B_0}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{P}_s(B)$$

after changing values on a set of measure zero. Then we conclude that $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha,B_0}(f)$ is well defined almost everywhere on B . This, together with the arbitrariness of B , then finishes the proof of Proposition 3.6. \square

Remark 3.7. Let all the symbols be the same as in Definition 3.2.

- (i) By [38, Remark 2.20], we conclude that, for any given ball $B_1 \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any f satisfying (3.2) with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$,

$$\widetilde{I}_{\alpha,B_0}(f) - \widetilde{I}_{\alpha,B_1}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

after changing values on a set of measure zero. Based on this, in what follows, we write \widetilde{I}_α instead of $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha,B_0}$ if there exists no confusion. Moreover, for any given $\alpha \in [1, n)$ and for any suitable measurable function g on \mathbb{R}^n , we define

$$(3.12) \quad \widetilde{I}_\alpha(g) := \left(\widetilde{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \right)^n(g) := \left(\widetilde{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \right)(g).$$

- (ii) Let $q \in [1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$ and $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By [38, Proposition 2.12], we know that $\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f) - I_\alpha(f) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ after changing values on a set of measure zero.

Next, we present the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 3.8. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12. Assume that X , $r_0 \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{\beta}, p_-\})$ with $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, and $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ satisfy Assumption 2.13. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $d := r_0$, integer*

$$s \geq \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\{1, p_-\}} - n \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\{1, \beta p_-\}} - 1 \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\{1, d\}} - n + \alpha \right\rfloor \right\},$$

I_α be the same as in (3.1), and \widetilde{I}_α the same as in Remark 3.7(i). Further assume that X has an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Then \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

if and only if there exists a positive constant \widetilde{C} such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.13) \quad |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \widetilde{C} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

Remark 3.9. (i) Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12. Assume that $r_0 \in (0, \min\{1, p_-\})$ and $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ satisfy Assumption 2.13. Let $s \in [\lfloor n(\frac{1}{\min\{1, p_-\}} - 1) \rfloor, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, r_0]$, $q \in (\max\{1, p_0\}, \infty]$, and X have an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. In [76, Corollary 3.15], Zhang et al. proved that $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s_0, d_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms, where $s_0 := \max\{0, \lfloor n(\frac{1}{\min\{1, p_-\}} - 1) \rfloor\}$ and $d_0 := r_0$.

(ii) Let all the symbols be the same as in Theorem 3.8. Using (i) of the present remark, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $q \in (\max\{1, \beta p_0\}, \infty]$, and hence Theorem 3.8 still holds true with both $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ replaced, respectively, by $\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) Let all the symbols be the same as in Theorem 3.8, and I_α the same as in (3.1). In [10, Theorem 3.7], we proved that I_α is bounded from $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if (3.13) holds true. In addition, using [76, Theorem 3.14], we find both $(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, by duality, the formal desired corresponding result of [10, Theorem 3.7] on the boundedness of fractional integrals on ball Campanato-type function spaces should be that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [rather than \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X^{1/\beta}, 1, s, d/\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$] if and only if (3.13) holds true, which is just Theorem 3.8. This explains the rationality of the symbols used in Theorem 3.8.

We prove the sufficiency and the necessity of Theorem 3.8, respectively. We first consider the sufficiency; indeed, we have the following more general conclusion.

Theorem 3.10. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12, $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and \widetilde{I}_α be the same as in Remark 3.7(i). Let $q_1 = 1$ and $q_2 \in [1, \frac{n}{n-\alpha}]$, or $q_1 \in (1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$ and $q_2 \in [1, \frac{nq_1}{n-\alpha q_1}]$, or $q_1 \in [\frac{n}{\alpha}, \infty)$ and $q_2 \in [1, \infty)$. Further assume that there exists a positive constant \widetilde{C} such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$(3.14) \quad |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \widetilde{C} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

- (i) If $s \in (\frac{n}{\beta p_-} - n - 1 + \alpha, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, then \widetilde{I}_α is well defined on $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)};$$

- (ii) if $s \in (\frac{n}{\beta \min\{d, p_-\}} - n - 1 + \alpha, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, then \widetilde{I}_α is well defined on $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.15) \quad \|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To show this theorem, we first establish two technical lemmas. Similarly to the proof of [37, Lemma 2.21], we have the following conclusion; we omit the details here.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\lambda \in (s, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any ball $B(x, r)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, r)} \frac{|f(y) - P_{B(x, r)}^{(s)}(f)(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+\lambda}} dy \\ \leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2^k r)^{-\lambda} \left[\int_{2^k B(x, r)} |f(y) - P_{2^k B(x, r)}^{(s)}(f)(y)|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The following lemma shows that functions of both $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (3.2).

Lemma 3.12. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, and $\lambda \in (s, \infty)$. Let both X and $p_- \in (\frac{n}{n+\lambda}, \infty)$ satisfy Assumption 2.12. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [resp., $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$], f satisfies (3.2).*

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. We only need to show the case $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ due to (2.4). In this case, by (3.16), Remark 2.14, $p_- \in (\frac{n}{n+\lambda}, \infty)$, and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we find that, for any given $r \in (\frac{n}{n+\lambda}, p_-)$ and for any ball $B := B(x_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \frac{|f(y) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+\lambda}} dy \\ \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2^k r_0)^{-\lambda} \left[\int_{2^k B} |f(y) - P_{2^k B}^{(s)}(f)(y)|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2^k r_0)^{-\lambda} \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{k+1}B}\|_X}{|2^{k+1}B|} \frac{|2^{k+1}B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{k+1}B}\|_X} \left[\int_{2^k B} |f(y) - P_{2^k B}^{(s)}(f)(y)|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{k(-\lambda - n + \frac{n}{r})} \frac{r_0^{-\lambda} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X}{|B|} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Thus, f satisfies (3.2). This finishes the proof of the case $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence of Lemma 3.12. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 3.10.

Proof of Theorem 3.10. We only prove (ii) because the proof of (i) is similar. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. Notice that $\beta_{P^-} \in (\frac{n}{n+s+1-\alpha}, \infty)$. From this, Proposition 3.6, and Lemma 3.12, we deduce that \widetilde{I}_α is well defined on $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now, we show (3.15). To this end, let $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m := \{B(z_j, r_j)\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with both $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset (0, \infty)$, and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \neq 0$. Using Remark 2.9, we easily find that, for any $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.17) \quad \left[\int_B |g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \sim \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_s(B)} \left[\int_B |g(x) - P(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

see, for instance, [36, (2.12)]. From this, (3.4), (3.10), (3.11), and the fact that $P_B^{(s)}(P) = P$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)(x) - P_{B_j}^{(s)}(\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f))(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_{B_j} |\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)(x) - P_{B_j, B_0}^{(1)}(x) - P_{B_j, B_0}^{(2)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ & \sim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(1)}(x) + F_{B_j}^{(2)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ & \lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(1)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(2)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right\} \\ & =: \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_{B_j}^{(1)}$, $F_{B_j}^{(2)}$, $P_{B_j, B_0}^{(1)}$, and $P_{B_j, B_0}^{(2)}$ are, respectively, as in (3.5) (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) with B therein replaced by B_j , and the implicit positive constants are independent of f , m , $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m$, and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$.

Next, we prove that

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbf{I}_1 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with the implicit positive constants independent of f , m , $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m$, and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Indeed, by both the

definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X^\beta}$ and $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{-1} \\
&= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-\beta} \\
&= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{1-\beta} \\
&\leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \inf \left\{ \lambda_i^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} : i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \right\} \\
&\leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition, using [38, (2.29)], we obtain, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$(3.21) \quad |B_j|^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(1)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \lesssim \left[\int_{2B_j} |f(x) - P_{2B_j}^{(s)}(f)(x)|^{q_1} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}}.$$

Moreover, from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X^\beta}$, (3.14), and $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, we deduce that, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$(3.22) \quad \|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta} = \|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = \|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X \lesssim |B_j|^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X,$$

which, together with (2.5), further implies that

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{|B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \lesssim \frac{|B_j|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \sim \frac{|2B_j|^{1-\frac{\alpha}{n}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2B_j}\|_{X^\beta}}.$$

Using (3.20), (3.21), (3.23), Remark 2.14, and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(1)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\
&\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{2B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |2B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \left[\int_{2B_j} |f(x) - P_{2B_j}^{(s)}(f)(x)|^{q_1} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and hence (3.20) holds true.

Now, we show that

$$I_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with the implicit positive constants independent of f , m , $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^m$, and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$. To this end, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\lambda_{j,k} := \frac{\lambda_j \mathbf{1}_{2^k B_j} \|_{X^\beta}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}}$. Then, by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X^\beta}$, $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, Remark 2.14, and the definition of $\lambda_{i,k}$ with both $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we find that, for any given $r \in (0, \min\{d, p_-\})$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,k}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta} \\ &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,k}^\beta}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{kn}{r\beta}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,k}^\beta}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i}\|_X} \right]^d \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\sim 2^{\frac{kn}{r\beta}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,k}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^k B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta} \\ &\sim 2^{\frac{kn}{r\beta}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from this, (3.20), (3.9), (3.16), (3.22), $\beta \min\{d, p_-\} \in (\frac{n}{n+s+1-\alpha}, \infty)$, and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we deduce that, for any given $r \in (\frac{n}{\beta(n+s+1-\alpha)}, \min\{d, p_-\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{B_j} |F_{B_j}^{(2)}(x)|^{q_2} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} r_j^{s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2B_j} \frac{|f(y) - P_{2B_j}^{(s)}(f)(y)|}{|y - z_j|^{n+s+1-\alpha}} dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m (2^k r_j)^{-s-1+\alpha} r_j^{s+1} \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \left[\int_{2^{k+1}B_j} |f(y) - P_{2^{k+1}B_j}^{(s)}(f)(y)|^{q_1} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(-s-1+\alpha)} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_i}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_j |B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \left[\int_{2^{k+1}B_j} \left| f(y) - P_{2^{k+1}B_j}^{(s)}(f)(y) \right|^{q_1} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k(-n-s-1+\alpha+\frac{n}{p})} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{\lambda_{i,k}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{k+1}B_i}\|_{X^\beta}} \right]^{\beta d} \mathbf{1}_{2^{k+1}B_i} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta d}} \right\|_{X^\beta}^{-1} \\
& \times \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\lambda_{j,k} |2^{k+1}B_j|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{k+1}B_j}\|_{X^\beta}} \left[\int_{2^{k+1}B_j} \left| f(y) - P_{2^{k+1}B_j}^{(s)}(f)(y) \right|^{q_1} dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the implicit positive constants are independent of both B and f . Using this, (3.18), (3.19), and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we finally conclude that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q_2, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q_1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This finishes the proof of (3.15), and hence of Theorem 3.10. \square

Next, we extend the range $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in Theorem 3.10 to the range $\alpha \in (0, n)$ as follows.

Theorem 3.13. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12, $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha$ be the same as in Remark 3.7(i). Further assume that there exists a positive constant \tilde{C} such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$(3.24) \quad |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \tilde{C} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

- (i) *If $s \in (\frac{n}{p_-} - n - 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

- (ii) *If $s \in (\frac{n}{\min\{d, p_-\}} - n - 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. We only prove (ii) because the proof of (i) is similar.

Next, we show (ii). The case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ follows from Theorem 3.10 with $q_1 = q_2$ therein. We now show the case $\alpha \in [1, n)$. In this case, we have $\frac{\alpha}{n} \in (0, 1)$. In addition, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let

$$\beta_i := \frac{(n-i+1)\beta + i - 1}{(n-i)\beta + i}.$$

It is easy to show $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^n \beta_i$. Moreover, by (3.24), we conclude that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n^2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}}^{\frac{\beta_i - 1}{\beta_i}}.$$

Using this, the range of s , the definition of β_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and the obtained result for the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we find that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_i}, q, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_i d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}, q, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1} d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which further implies that $(\widetilde{I}_\alpha)^{n+1-i}(g) \in \mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}, q, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1} d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This finishes the proof of (ii), and hence of Theorem 3.13. \square

We now show the necessity of Theorem 3.8. To be precise, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *Let all the symbols be the same as in Theorem 3.8. Further assume that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta, 1, s, \beta d}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta, 1, s, \beta d}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta, 1, s, \beta d}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then there exists a positive constant \widetilde{C} such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \widetilde{C} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.14, we first recall the concept of the Hardy space $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with X , originally studied in [53]. Then we establish several technique lemmas.

In what follows, denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all Schwartz functions equipped with the topology determined by a well-known countable family of norms, and by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its topological dual space equipped with the weak- $*$ topology. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$p_N(\phi) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|)^{N+n} |\partial^\alpha \phi(x)|$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : p_N(\phi) \in [0, 1]\},$$

where, for any $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $\partial^\alpha := (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\alpha_n}$. Moreover, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\lfloor r \rfloor$ (resp., $\lceil r \rceil$) the *maximal* (resp., *minimal*) integer not greater (resp., less) than r .

Definition 3.15. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. Then the *Hardy space* $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all the $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{M}_N(f)\|_X < \infty,$$

where the *non-tangential grand maximal function* $\mathcal{M}_N(f)$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by setting, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{M}_N(f)(x) := \sup \{|f * \phi_t(y)| : \phi \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}^n), t \in (0, \infty), |x - y| < t\}.$$

Remark 3.16. Let all the symbols be the same as in Definition 3.15. Assume that there exists an $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator \mathcal{M} is bounded on $X^{\frac{1}{r}}$. If $N \in [\lfloor \frac{N}{r} + 1 \rfloor, \infty) \cap \mathbb{N}$, then, by [53, Theorem 3.1], we find that the Hardy space $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of N .

We also recall the following definitions of both the (X, q, s) -atom and the finite atomic Hardy space $H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which are just, respectively, [53, Definition 3.5] and [74, Definition 1.9].

Definition 3.17. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space, $q \in (1, \infty]$, and $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then a measurable function a on \mathbb{R}^n is called an (X, q, s) -atom if there exists a ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp}(a) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a(x) \neq 0\} \subset B$;
- (ii) $\|a\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X}$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\gamma dx = 0$ for any $\gamma := (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| := \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n \leq s$, here and thereafter, for any $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x^\gamma := x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n}$.

Definition 3.18. Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12. Assume that $r_0 \in (0, \min\{1, p_-\})$ and $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ satisfy Assumption 2.13. Let $s \in [\lfloor n(\frac{1}{\min\{1, p_-}} - 1) \rfloor, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, r_0]$, and $q \in (\max\{1, p_0\}, \infty]$. The *finite atomic Hardy space* $H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, associated with X , is defined to be the set of all finite linear combinations of (X, q, s) -atoms. The quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in $H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by setting, for any $f \in H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \left\| \left[\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_j}\|_X} \right)^d \mathbf{1}_{B_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{d}} \right\|_X \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite linear combinations of (X, q, s) -atoms of f , namely, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $f = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j$, $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset [0, \infty)$, and $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ being (X, q, s) -atoms supported, respectively, in the balls $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also recall the following several lemmas. Recall that X is said to have an *absolutely continuous quasi-norm* if, for any $f \in X$ and any measurable subsets $\{E_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $E_{j+1} \subset E_j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_j = \emptyset$, $\|f \mathbf{1}_{E_j}\|_X \downarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. The following lemma is just [76, Theorem 3.14].

Lemma 3.19. Let X , d , q , and s be the same as in Definition 3.18, and X have an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Then the dual space of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted by $(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$, is $\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ in the following sense:

- (i) Let $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the linear functional

$$(3.25) \quad L_g : f \rightarrow \langle L_g, f \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx,$$

initially defined for any $f \in H_{\text{fin}}^{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, has a bounded extension to $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- (ii) Conversely, any continuous linear functional on $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ arises as in (3.25) with a unique $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, $\|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|L_g\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}$ with the positive equivalence constants independent of both g and L_g .

Remark 3.20. Let X be a concave ball quasi-Banach function space and $d \in (0, 1]$. In this case, Lemma 3.19 coincides with [74, Theorem 1.12] which shows that the dual space of $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in this case.

The following lemma is just [10, Theorem 3.7] which gives the boundedness of I_α from $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.21. Let X , $p_-, r_0 \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{\beta}, p_-\})$, and $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ satisfy both Assumptions 2.12 and 2.13 with $\beta \in (1, \infty)$. Further assume that X has an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and I_α be the same as in (3.1). Then I_α can be extended to a unique bounded linear operator, still denoted by I_α , from $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|I_\alpha(f)\|_{H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

if and only if there exists a positive constant \tilde{C} such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \tilde{C}\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

The following lemma is just [37, Lemma 2.20].

Lemma 3.22. Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\theta \in (0, 2^{-s})$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^k \left[\int_{2^k B} |f(x) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^k \left[\int_{2^k B} |f(x) - P_{2^k B}^{(s)}(f)(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also recall the following definition of (X, q, s, τ) -molecules, which is just [53, Definition 3.8].

Definition 3.23. Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space, $q \in (1, \infty]$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $\tau \in (0, \infty)$. Then a measurable function M on \mathbb{R}^n is called an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at a ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

(i) for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.26) \quad \|M\mathbf{1}_{L_j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2^{-j\tau} \frac{|B|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X},$$

where $L_0 := B$ and, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_j := 2^j B \setminus 2^{j-1} B$;

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)x^\gamma dx = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq s$.

Remark 3.24. Let all the symbols be the same as in Definition 3.23. It is easy to show that any (X, q, s) -atom is also an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule.

By Lemma 3.22, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.25. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12, $q \in [1, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $d \in (0, \infty)$, and $\tau \in (n(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{q}), \infty) \cap (\frac{n}{q'} + s, \infty)$. Then, for any (X, q, s, τ) -molecule M and any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Mg is integrable and, moreover, there exists a positive constant C , independent of both M and g , such that*

$$(3.27) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq C \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. Let M be an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at a ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is easy to show that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(x)g(x)| dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(x)P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M(x)[g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)]| dx \\ & =: A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Definition 3.23(ii), we know that $A_1 < \infty$. Then we show $A_2 < \infty$. To this end, let $L_0 := B$ and, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_j := 2^j B \setminus 2^{j-1} B$. Notice that $-\tau + \frac{n}{q'} < s$ due to $\tau \in (\frac{n}{q'} + s, \infty)$. Then, from this, the vanishing moments of M , the Hölder inequality, Lemma 3.22 with $\theta := 2^{-\tau + \frac{n}{q'}} \in (0, 2^{-s})$, (2.5), (3.26), $\tau \in (n(\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{q}), \infty)$, and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we infer that there exists an $r \in (\frac{nq}{\tau q + n}, p_-)$ such that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{L_j} |M(x)[g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)]| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \|M \mathbf{1}_{L_j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} |2^j B|^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left[\int_{2^j B} |g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-j(\tau - \frac{n}{q'})} \frac{|B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X} \left[\int_{2^j B} |g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-j(\tau - \frac{n}{q'})} \frac{|B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X} \left[\int_{2^j B} |g(x) - P_{2^j B}^{(s)}(g)(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{r})} \frac{|2^j B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B}\|_X} \left[\int_{2^j B} |g(x) - P_{2^j B}^{(s)}(g)(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{r})} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, $A_1 < \infty$, and (3.28), we find that Mg is integrable, which, together with the vanishing moments of M and (3.29), further implies that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)g(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)[g(x) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(x)] dx \right| \leq A_2 \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This finishes the proof of (3.27), and hence of Lemma 3.25. \square

The following lemma is well known (see, for instance, [41, p. 54, Lemma 4.1 and p. 86] for its proof), which plays a key role in the proof of Proposition 3.28 below.

Lemma 3.26. *Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and any $x \in 2B \setminus B$,*

$$(3.30) \quad \left| P_{2B \setminus B}^{(s)}(f)(x) \right| \leq C \int_{2B \setminus B} |f(x)| dx,$$

where $P_{2B \setminus B}^{(s)}(f)$ denotes the unique polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq s$,

$$\int_{2B \setminus B} [f(x) - P_{2B \setminus B}^{(s)}(f)(x)] x^\gamma dx = 0$$

and $f_{2B \setminus B}$ is as in (2.2) with E replaced by $2B \setminus B$.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.27. *Let X be a ball quasi-Banach function space on \mathbb{R}^n , $p \in (0, \infty)$, and $\theta_p := \frac{\log 2}{\log(2\sigma_p)}$, where*

$$\sigma_p := \inf \{ C \in [1, \infty) : \|f + g\|_{X^p} \leq C[\|f\|_{X^p} + \|g\|_{X^p}], \forall f, g \in X^p \}.$$

Then, for any $b \in (0, \theta_p)$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.31) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |f_j| \right\|_{X^p} \leq 4^{\frac{1}{\theta_p}} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_{X^p}^b \right]^{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. From both the Aoki–R olewicz theorem (see [2, 51], [61, Proposition 2.14], or [23, Exercise 1.4.6] with a detailed hint on its proof) and Definition 2.1(iii), we deduce that, for any $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.32) \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |f_j| \right\|_{X^p} \leq 4^{\frac{1}{\theta_p}} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_{X^p}^{\theta_p} \right]^{\frac{1}{\theta_p}}.$$

Moreover, using both (3.32) and [59, p. 7, Proposition 1.5], we find that, for any $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $b \in (0, \theta_p)$, (3.31) holds true, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.27. \square

The following proposition gives a special atomic decomposition for any (X, q, s, τ) -molecule, which plays a vital role in the proof of Theorem 3.14.

Proposition 3.28. *Let X , p_- , q , s , and d be the same as in Definition 3.18, and*

$$(3.33) \quad \tau \in \left(n \left[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{q} \right], \infty \right) \cap \left(\frac{n}{q'} + s, \infty \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Then, for any (X, q, s, τ) -molecule M centered at the ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a sequence $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of (X, q, s) -atoms supported, respectively, in $\{2^j B\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that

$$(3.34) \quad M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. We prove the present proposition via borrowing some ideas from the proof of [63, Thoerem 2.9] (see also the proof of [37, Proposition 3.15]). Let all the symbols be the same as in the present proposition. Let M be an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at the ball $B(z, r)$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z := \mathbf{0}$ and M is a real-valued function. Indeed, if M is complex-valued, then we only need to consider the real part and the imaginary part of M , respectively. Moreover, assume that (3.34) holds true for $B := B(\mathbf{0}, r)$. Since $M(\cdot + z)$ is an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at the ball $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$, then, by the assumption that (3.34) holds true for $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$, we find that there exists a sequence $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of (X, q, s) -atoms supported, respectively, in $\{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that

$$(3.35) \quad M(\cdot + z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j(\cdot)$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . Now, we claim that

$$(3.36) \quad M(\cdot) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j(\cdot - z)$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . It is easy to prove that (3.36) holds true pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . Then we show that (3.36) also holds true in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To this end, let $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) dx = 1$, and $\tilde{\psi}(\cdot) := \psi(\cdot + z)$. From [53, Theorem 3.1] and (3.35), we infer that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| M(\cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(\cdot - z) \right\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \sim \left\| \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \tilde{\psi}_t * \left[M(\cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(\cdot - z) \right] \right| \right\|_X \\ & \sim \left\| \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left| \psi_t * \left[M(\cdot + z) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(\cdot) \right] \right| \right\|_X \sim \left\| M(\cdot + z) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j a_j(\cdot) \right\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit positive constants are independent of both M and N , but depend on both ψ and z . This implies that the above claim holds true. In addition, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$\tilde{c}_j := \frac{c_j \|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(z, r)}\|_X}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a}_j(\cdot) := \frac{a_j(\cdot - z) \|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(z, r)}\|_X}.$$

Then $\{\widetilde{a}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence of (X, q, s) -atoms supported, respectively, in $\{B(z, r)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and

$$M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \widetilde{c}_j \widetilde{a}_j$$

pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n , that is, the desired conclusion of the present proposition holds true. Thus, the above claim holds true and, in the remainder of the present proof, we assume that $z := \mathbf{0}$ and M is a real-valued function.

To show the present proposition, let $L_0 := B(\mathbf{0}, r)$ and, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_j := 2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r) \setminus 2^{j-1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)$. Moreover, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we use $P_{L_j}^{(s)}(M)$ to denote the unique polynomial of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.37) \quad \int_{L_j} \left[M(x) - P_{L_j}^{(s)}(M)(x) \right] x^\gamma dx = 0.$$

We first present some well-known facts on $P_j := P_{L_j}^{(s)}(M)$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (see, for instance, [63, pp.76-77] and also [41, pp.85-88]). For any given $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\{\phi_\nu^{(j)} : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \text{ and } |\nu| \leq s\}$ be the *orthogonal polynomials* with weight $\frac{1}{|L_j|}$ by means of the Gram–Schmidt method from $\{x^\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \text{ and } |\nu| \leq s\}$ restricted on L_j , namely, $\{\phi_\nu^{(j)} : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \text{ and } |\nu| \leq s\} \subset \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for any $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu_1| \leq s$ and $|\nu_2| \leq s$,

$$\langle \phi_{\nu_1}^{(j)}, \phi_{\nu_2}^{(j)} \rangle_{L_j} := \frac{1}{|L_j|} \int_{L_j} \phi_{\nu_1}^{(j)}(x) \phi_{\nu_2}^{(j)}(x) dx = \begin{cases} 1, & \nu_1 = \nu_2, \\ 0, & \nu_1 \neq \nu_2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that, for any $x \in L_j$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.38) \quad P_j(x) = \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : |\nu| \leq s\}} \langle M, \phi_\nu^{(j)} \rangle_{L_j} \phi_\nu^{(j)}(x).$$

Moreover, for any given $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we use $\{\psi_\nu^{(j)} : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \text{ and } |\nu| \leq s\}$ to denote the *dual basis* of $\{x^\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \text{ and } |\nu| \leq s\}$ restricted on L_j with respect to the weight $\frac{1}{|L_j|}$, namely, $\psi_\nu^{(j)} \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for any $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu_1| \leq s$ and $|\nu_2| \leq s$,

$$(3.39) \quad \langle \psi_{\nu_1}^{(j)}, x^{\nu_2} \rangle_{L_j} := \frac{1}{|L_j|} \int_{L_j} \psi_{\nu_1}^{(j)}(x) x^{\nu_2} dx = \begin{cases} 1, & \nu_1 = \nu_2, \\ 0, & \nu_1 \neq \nu_2. \end{cases}$$

By the definition of the dual basis, we conclude that, if, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $x \in L_j$, $\phi_\nu^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : |\gamma| \leq s\}} m_{\nu, \gamma}^{(j)} x^\gamma$ with $\{m_{\nu, \gamma}^{(j)}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\psi_\nu^{(j)} = \sum_{\{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : |\gamma| \leq s\}} m_{\gamma, \nu}^{(j)} \phi_\gamma^{(j)},$$

which, together with (3.38), further implies that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.40) \quad P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j} = \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n : |\nu| \leq s\}} \langle M, x^\nu \rangle_{L_j} \psi_\nu^{(j)} \mathbf{1}_{L_j}.$$

To prove the present proposition, we first estimate $M\mathbf{1}_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} M\mathbf{1}_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} &= \sum_{j=1}^l [M\mathbf{1}_{L_j} - P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j}] + \sum_{j=0}^l P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha_j + \sum_{j=0}^l P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_j := (M - P_j)\mathbf{1}_{L_j}$.

Next, we show that, for any $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$, α_j is an (X, q, s) -atom multiplied by a positive constant. Indeed, from (3.37), we deduce that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\gamma| \leq s$,

$$(3.42) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \alpha_j(x) x^\gamma dx = 0 \text{ and } \text{supp}(\alpha_j) \subset L_j \subset 2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r).$$

Thus, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, α_j satisfies both (i) and (iii) of Definition 3.17. Then we prove that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, α_j multiplied by a positive constant satisfies Definition 3.17(ii). Indeed, in what follows, we choose a $u \in (\frac{nq}{\tau q + n}, p_-)$ due to (3.33). Then, using (3.30), the Hölder inequality, Definition 3.23(i), and (2.5), we conclude that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|M\mathbf{1}_{L_j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left[\int_{L_j} |P_j(x)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \|M\mathbf{1}_{L_j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |L_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{L_j} |M(x)| dx \\ &\lesssim \|M\mathbf{1}_{L_j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-j\tau} \frac{|B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{u})} \frac{|2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X}, \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with (3.42), further implies that there exists a positive constant C_1 , independent of j , such that, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $A_j := \frac{\alpha_j}{\lambda_j}$ is an (X, q, s) -atom, where

$$(3.43) \quad \lambda_j := C_1 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{u})}.$$

This is a desired conclusion for any α_j with $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now consider $\sum_{j=0}^l P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. To this end, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$(3.44) \quad \eta_\nu^{(j)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)} M(x) x^\nu dx \text{ and } \eta_\nu^{(-1)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x) x^\nu dx = 0.$$

Then, by (3.40), similarly to the proof of [37, (3.16)], we have, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.45) \quad \sum_{j=0}^l P_j \mathbf{1}_{L_j} = \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)} - \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)} \mathbf{1}_{L_l}}{|L_l|},$$

where, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$,

$$(3.46) \quad \widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)} := \eta_\nu^{(j)} \left[\frac{\psi_\nu^{(j+1)} \mathbf{1}_{L_{j+1}}}{|L_{j+1}|} - \frac{\psi_\nu^{(j)} \mathbf{1}_{L_j}}{|L_j|} \right].$$

Now, we claim that, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)}$ is an (X, q, s) -atom multiplied by a positive constant and, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.47) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x)}{|L_l|} \phi(x) dx = 0.$$

Indeed, using (3.39), we conclude that, for any $\nu, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$ and $|\gamma| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.48) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)}(x) x^\gamma dx = 0 \text{ and } \text{supp}(\widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)}) \subset (L_{j+1} \cup L_j) \subset 2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r).$$

Moreover, by [63, Lemma 3.16] (see also [41, (7.2)]), we find that there exists a positive constant C_2 , independent of ν, j , and r , such that, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $x \in L_j$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.49) \quad \left| \psi_\nu^{(j)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{C_2}{(2^{j-1}r)^{|\nu|}}.$$

By this, (3.44), the Hölder inequality, Definition 3.23(i), $\tau > \frac{n}{q'} + s$, and (2.5), we conclude that there exists a $u \in (0, p_-)$ such that, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \eta_\nu^{(j)} \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)} |M(x) x^\nu| dx = \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \int_{L_i} |M(x) x^\nu| dx \\ &\leq \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \|M \mathbf{1}_{L_i}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} |L_i|^{\frac{1}{q'}} (2^i r)^{|\nu|} \lesssim \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i\tau} \frac{|B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} |2^i B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q'} + \frac{|\nu|}{n}} \\ &\sim \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i(\tau - \frac{n}{q'} - |\nu|)} \frac{|B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{1 + \frac{|\nu|}{n}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \sim 2^{-j(\tau - \frac{n}{q'} - |\nu|)} \frac{|B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{1 + \frac{|\nu|}{n}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{n})} \frac{|2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{1 + \frac{|\nu|}{n}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.46), (3.49), and (3.50), it follows that, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \left\| \widetilde{\alpha}_\nu^{(j)} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \frac{|\eta_\nu^{(j)}| |2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(2^{j-1}r)^{|\nu|} |L_j|} \\ &\sim \frac{|\eta_\nu^{(j)}|}{(2^{j-1}r)^{|\nu|}} |2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{-\frac{1}{q'}} \sim \left| \eta_\nu^{(j)} \right| |2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{-\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{|\nu|}{n}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{n})} \frac{|2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)|^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X}. \end{aligned}$$

By this and (3.48), we conclude that there exists a positive constant \widetilde{C} , independent of j , such that, for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|v| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\widetilde{A}_v^{(j)} := \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}_v^{(j)}}{\lambda_j}$ is an (X, q, s) -atom, where

$$(3.51) \quad \widetilde{\lambda}_j := \widetilde{C} 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{p})}.$$

This is a desired conclusion of $\widetilde{\alpha}_v^{(j)}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|v| \leq s$.

Next, we prove (3.47). Indeed, using (3.49), (3.50), and $\tau > \frac{n}{q'}$, we find that, for any given $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\eta_v^{(l)} \psi_v^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x)}{|L_l|} \phi(x) dx \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{l(-\tau + \frac{n}{q'})} \int_{L_l} |\phi(x)| dx \lesssim 2^{l(-\tau + \frac{n}{q'})} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit positive constants depend on r . This shows that (3.47) holds true.

Finally, we show that

$$(3.52) \quad M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_v^{(j)}$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . Indeed, using both (3.41) and (3.45), we conclude that, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.53) \quad \begin{aligned} M \mathbf{1}_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} &= \sum_{j=0}^l \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_v^{(j)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \frac{\eta_v^{(l)} \psi_v^{(l)} \mathbf{1}_{L_l}}{|L_l|}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\{L_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, we easily find that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique $l_x \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $x \in L_{l_x}$, which, together with (3.53), $\text{supp}(A_j) \subset L_j$, and $\text{supp}(\widetilde{A}_v^{(j)}) \subset (L_{j+1} \cup L_j)$, further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} M(x) &= M(x) \mathbf{1}_{2^{l_x+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l_x+1} \lambda_j A_j(x) + \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l_x} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_v^{(j)}(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \frac{\eta_v^{(l_x+1)} \psi_v^{(l_x+1)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_{l_x+1}}(x)}{|L_l|} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j A_j(x) + \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_v^{(j)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (3.52) holds true pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . Besides, from (3.53), the assumption that $M \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (3.53), the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, and (3.47), we deduce that, for any given $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle M - \sum_{j=0}^l \lambda_j A_j - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_v^{(j)}, \phi \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[M(x) - \sum_{j=1}^l \lambda_j A_j(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_v^{(j)}(x) \right] \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\eta_v^{(l)} \psi_v^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x)}{|L_l|} \phi(x) dx \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} M(x) \phi(x) dx \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, and hence

$$(3.54) \quad M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_v^{(j)}$$

in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using this, [53, Theorem 3.6], (3.31), (3.54), (3.43), (3.51), and $\tau > n(\frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{q})$, we conclude that there exists a $b \in (0, d)$ such that, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| M - \sum_{j=0}^N \lambda_j A_j - \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |v| \leq s\}} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_v^{(j)} \right\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\} \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{b}} + \left\| \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\} \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\sim \left\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{b}}}^{\frac{1}{b}} + \left\| \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{b}}}^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left[\frac{\lambda_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{b}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} + \left\{ \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left[\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_j}{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)}\|_X} \right]^b \mathbf{1}_{2^{j+1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)} \right\|_{X^{\frac{1}{b}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{b}} \\ &\sim \left[\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{u})b} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} + \left[\sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{-(j+1)(\tau + \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{u})b} \right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By this and [53, Theorem 3.6], we find that (3.52) holds true, which further implies that (3.34) holds true. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.28. \square

We also need the following lemma; since its proof is similar to that of [37, Lemma 3.14], we only sketch some important steps.

Lemma 3.29. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12. Let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $d \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that, for any given $u \in (0, p_-)$, and for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any ball $B(z, r) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{2^k B(z,r)} \left| g(x) - P_{B(z,r)}^{(s)}(g)(x) \right|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq Ck \left[2^{ks} + 2^{nk(\frac{1}{u}-1)} \right] \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(z,r)}\|_X}{|B(z,r)|} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. Also, let $u \in (0, p_-)$. Then, using the proof of [37, Lemma 3.14], we conclude that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, any ball $B(z, r) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with both $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$, and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{2^k B(z,r)} \left| g(x) - P_{B(z,r)}^{(s)}(g)(x) \right|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(k-j+1)s} \left[\int_{2^j B(z,r)} \left| g(x) - P_{2^j B(z,r)}^{(s)}(g)(x) \right|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

which, combined with the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, $u \in (0, p_-)$, and (2.5), further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{2^k B(z,r)} \left| g(x) - P_{B(z,r)}^{(s)}(g)(x) \right|^q dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(k-j+1)s} \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{2^j B(z,r)}\|_X}{|2^j B(z,r)|} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{(k-j+1)s + jn(\frac{1}{u}-1)} \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(z,r)}\|_X}{|B(z,r)|} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim k \left[2^{ks} + 2^{nk(\frac{1}{u}-1)} \right] \frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{B(z,r)}\|_X}{|B(z,r)|} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.29. \square

Using both Proposition 3.28 and Lemma 3.29, we obtain the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.30. *Let X , p_- , d , q , and s be the same as in Definition 3.18. Let*

$$(3.55) \quad \tau \in \left(n \left[\frac{1}{p_-} - \frac{1}{q} \right], \infty \right) \cap \left(\frac{n}{q'} + s, \infty \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Further assume that X has an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Then, for any (X, q, s, τ) -molecule M and any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.56) \quad \langle L_g, M \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)g(x) dx,$$

where L_g is the same as in (3.25).

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. Let M be any given (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at the ball $B(z, r)$ with both $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$. Then, from [53, Theorem 3.9], we deduce that $M \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $z = \mathbf{0}$. Indeed, if (3.56) holds true for $z = \mathbf{0}$, then, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $M(\cdot + z)$ is an (X, q, s, τ) -molecule centered at the ball $B(\mathbf{0}, r)$. By Proposition 3.28, we conclude that there exists a sequence $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of (X, q, s) -atoms supported, respectively, in $\{2^j B(z, r)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ such that

$$(3.57) \quad M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . In addition, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of (3.36), we conclude that

$$M(\cdot + z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j(\cdot + z)$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, using this, Lemma 3.19(i), (3.57), the above assumption, and noticing that $g(\cdot + z) \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we find that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_g, M \rangle &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j \langle L_g, a_j \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) a_j(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x+z) a_j(x+z) dx = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j \langle L_{g(\cdot+z)}, a_j(\cdot+z) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle L_{g(\cdot+z)}, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} c_j a_j(\cdot+z) \right\rangle = \langle L_{g(\cdot+z)}, M(\cdot+z) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x+z) M(x+z) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) M(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which further implies that (3.56) holds true for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, in the remainder of this proof, we assume that $z = \mathbf{0}$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L_0 := B(\mathbf{0}, r)$, and $L_j := 2^j B(\mathbf{0}, r) \setminus 2^{j-1} B(\mathbf{0}, r)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\eta_\nu^{(j)}$, $\psi_\nu^{(j)}$, λ_j , A_j , $\tilde{\lambda}_j$, and $\tilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}$ be the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.28. Then, using (3.52), we have

$$(3.58) \quad M = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}$$

both in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwisely on \mathbb{R}^n and, using (3.53), we obtain, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.59) \quad \begin{aligned} M \mathbf{1}_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} &= \sum_{j=0}^l \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tilde{\lambda}_j \tilde{A}_\nu^{(j)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)} \mathbf{1}_{L_l}}{|L_l|}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, both A_j and $\widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}$ are (X, q, s) -atoms. From this, Lemma 3.19, and (3.58), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.60) \quad \langle L_g, M \rangle &= \left\langle L_g, \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \lambda_j A_j + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle L_g, \lambda_j A_j \rangle + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \langle L_g, \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_j A_j(x) g(x) dx + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}(x) g(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, to finish the proof of (3.56), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.61) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x) g(x) dx &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_j A_j(x) g(x) dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}(x) g(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove (3.61). Indeed, by both Lemma 3.25 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we find that

$$(3.62) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x) g(x) dx = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{2^l B(\mathbf{z}, r)} M(x) g(x) dx.$$

Moreover, using (3.59), we conclude that, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.63) \quad &\int_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} M(x) g(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_j A_j(x) g(x) dx + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{\lambda}_j \widetilde{A}_\nu^{(j)}(x) g(x) dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \int_{L_l} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x) g(x)}{|L_l|} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

To show (3.61), we claim that

$$(3.64) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\nu| \leq s\}} \int_{L_l} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x) g(x)}{|L_l|} dx = 0.$$

From (3.49), (3.50), the Hölder inequality, Lemma 3.29, [37, Lemma 2.19], Remark 2.9, and (3.55), we deduce that, for any given $u \in (\frac{nq}{\tau q + n}, p-)$, and for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\nu| \leq s$, and for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\eta_\nu^{(l)} \psi_\nu^{(l)}(x) \mathbf{1}_{L_l}(x)}{|L_l|} g(x) dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'})} \int_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} |g(x)| dx \lesssim 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'})} \left[\int_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} |g(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'})} \left\{ \left[\int_{2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r)} |g(x) - P_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}^{(s)}(g)(x)|^{q'} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}} + \left\| P_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}^{(s)}(g) \right\|_{L^\infty(2^l B(\mathbf{0}, r))} \right\} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'})} l \left[2^{ls} + 2^{nl(\frac{1}{u}-1)} \right] \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'}-s)} \left\| P_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)}^{(s)}(g) \right\|_{L^\infty(B(\mathbf{0}, r))} \\
&\lesssim 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'}-s)} l \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 2^{-l(\tau+\frac{n}{q}-\frac{n}{u})} l \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad + 2^{-l(\tau-\frac{n}{q'}-s)} \int_{B(\mathbf{0}, r)} |g(x)| dx \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit positive constants depend on r . This implies that the above claim holds true. Then, combining both (3.62) and (3.64), and letting $l \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of (3.63), we conclude that (3.61) holds true.

All together, by (3.60) and (3.61), we find that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle L_g, M \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(x)g(x) dx.$$

This finishes the proof of (3.56), and hence of Lemma 3.30. \square

The proof of the following lemma is a slight modification of the proof of [10, Lemma 3.13]; we omit the details here.

Lemma 3.31. *Let X be a ball quasi-Banach space and $s \in [n-1, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, n)$, and I_α be the same as in (3.1). Assume that $p \in (1, \frac{n}{\alpha})$. Then, for any (X, p, s) -atom a supported in a ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $I_\alpha(a)$ is an $(X^\beta, q, s-n+1, \tau)$ -molecule, centered at B , multiplied by a positive constant depending on B , where $\frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and $\tau \in (0, n+s+1-\alpha-\frac{n}{q}]$.*

To show Theorem 3.14, we also need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.32. *Let all the symbols be the same as in Theorem 3.8. Further assume that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any (X, ∞, s) -atom a ,

$$\langle L_g, I_\alpha(a) \rangle = \langle L_{\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)}, a \rangle,$$

where L_g is the same as in (3.25), $L_{\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)}$ as in (3.25) with g replaced by $\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)$, and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. Let a be any given (X, ∞, s) -atom supported in a ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $p \in (1, \frac{n}{\alpha}) \cap (\frac{1}{1/\max\{1, \beta p_0\} + \alpha/n}, \frac{n}{\alpha})$. Then a is also an (X, p, s) -atom. Also, let $\frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and

$$\tau \in \left(n \left[\frac{1}{\min\{1, \beta p_-\}} - \frac{1}{q} \right], \infty \right) \cap \left(\frac{n}{q'} + s - n + 1, n + s + 1 - \alpha - \frac{n}{q} \right).$$

Then, using the range of p , we find that $\bar{q} \in (\max\{1, \beta p_0\}, \infty)$. By this, the range of s , and Lemma 3.31, we find that $I_\alpha(a)$ is an $(X^\beta, q, s - n + 1, \tau)$ -molecule centered, at the ball B , multiplied by a positive constant depending on B . Since $X^\beta, \beta p_-, \beta d, q, \tau$, and $s - n + 1$ satisfy all the assumptions of Lemma 3.30, from Lemma 3.30 and the linearity of L_g , we deduce that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.65) \quad \langle L_g, I_\alpha(a) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) I_\alpha(a)(x) dx.$$

Using both the assumption that \tilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X, 1, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Remark 3.9(i), we find that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{I}_\alpha(g) \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this and Lemma 3.19, we infer that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.66) \quad \langle L_{\tilde{I}_\alpha(g)}, a \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{I}_\alpha(g)(x) a(x) dx.$$

Moreover, using Lemma 3.12 with $\lambda := s + 1 - \alpha$, we conclude that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any ball $B := B(x_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_0 \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(3.67) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} \frac{|g(y) - P_B^{(s)}(g)(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^{n+s+1-\alpha}} dy < \infty.$$

By both (3.65) and (3.67), similarly to the proof of [38, Lemma 3.10], we find that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, q', s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) I_\alpha(a)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{I}_\alpha(g)(x) a(x) dx,$$

which, together with both (3.65) and (3.66), further implies that

$$\langle L_g, I_\alpha(a) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I_\alpha(a) g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{I}_\alpha(g)(x) a(x) dx = \langle L_{\tilde{I}_\alpha(g)}, a \rangle.$$

Both this and Remark 3.9(i) then finish the proof of Lemma 3.32. \square

We also need the following lemma which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.14. In what follows, we use $(\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ to denote the dual space of $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely, the set of all continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{L}_{X, q, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ equipped with the weak-* topology.

Lemma 3.33. *Let X, q, s , and d be the same as in Definition 3.18, and let $f \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X, q', s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define*

$$(3.68) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_* := \langle L_g, f \rangle,$$

where L_g is the same as in (3.25). Then $\langle f, \cdot \rangle_* \in (\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ and

$$(3.69) \quad \|\langle f, \cdot \rangle_*\|_{(\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \sim \|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with the positive equivalence constants independent of f .

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present lemma. It is easy to show that $\langle f, \cdot \rangle_*$ is a linear operator on $\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, from both (3.68) and Lemma 3.19, we deduce that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|\langle f, g \rangle_*| = \left| \langle L_g, f \rangle \right| \lesssim \|L_g\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, $\langle f, \cdot \rangle_* \in (\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$.

Next, we show (3.69). To this end, let

$$\Phi : H_X(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow (H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^{**}$$

denote the canonical embedding. Then, from the definition of Φ , Lemma 3.19, and (3.68), we deduce that, for any $f \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \|\Phi(f)\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^{**}} = \sup_{F \in (H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, \|F\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} = 1} |\langle \Phi(f), F \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{F \in (H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*, \|F\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} = 1} |\langle F, f \rangle| \sim \sup_{g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1} |\langle L_g, f \rangle| \\ &\sim \sup_{g \in \mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1} |\langle f, g \rangle_*| \sim \|\langle f, \cdot \rangle_*\|_{(\mathcal{L}_{X,q',s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.69) holds true, which then completes the proof of Lemma 3.33. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 3.14.

Proof of Theorem 3.14. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. From the assumption that \tilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{I}_\alpha(g) \in \mathcal{L}_{X,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By this, (3.68), and Lemmas 3.32 and 3.19, we conclude that, for any (X, ∞, s) -atom a and any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle I_\alpha(a), g \rangle_*| &= |\langle L_g, I_\alpha(a) \rangle| = \left| \langle L_{\tilde{I}_\alpha(g)}, a \rangle \right| \lesssim \|L_{\tilde{I}_\alpha(g)}\|_{(H_X(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \|a\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\sim \|\tilde{I}_\alpha(g)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|a\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|a\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Lemma 3.33, further implies that, for any (X, ∞, s) -atom a ,

$$\|I_\alpha(a)\|_{H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\langle I_\alpha(a), \cdot \rangle_*\|_{(\mathcal{L}_{X^\beta,1,s,d}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*} \lesssim \|a\|_{H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Using this and repeating the proof of the necessity of [10, Theorem 3.7], we infer that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}.$$

This finishes the proof Theorem 3.14. \square

3.2 Relations Between I_α and \widetilde{I}_α

In this subsection, we prove that \widetilde{I}_α is just the adjoint operator of I_α . To be precise, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.34. *Let both X and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12. Assume that X , $r_0 \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{\beta}, p_-\})$ with $\beta \in (1, \infty)$, and $p_0 \in (r_0, \infty)$ satisfy Assumption 2.13. Let $d := r_0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, integer*

$$s \geq \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\{1, p_-\}} - n \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\min\{1, \beta p_-\}} - 1 \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\beta \min\{1, d\}} - n + \alpha \right\rfloor \right\},$$

I_α be the same as in (3.1), and \widetilde{I}_α the same as in Remark 3.7(i). Further assume that X has an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. If there exists a positive constant C such that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(3.70) \quad |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq C \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_X^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}},$$

then, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle L_g, I_\alpha(f) \rangle = \langle L_{\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)}, f \rangle,$$

where L_g is the same as in (3.25), $L_{\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)}$ as in (3.25) with g replaced by $\widetilde{I}_\alpha(g)$, and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

To this end, we first state the well-known semi-group property of I_α , which is just [60, Theorem F(c)]. In what follows, the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as in Definition 3.15 with $X := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.35. *Let $\alpha \in (0, n)$ and I_α be the same as in (3.1). Then I_α has the semigroup property, namely, for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, n)$ satisfying $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in (0, n)$, and for any $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in [\frac{n-1}{n}, \frac{n}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2})$, there exists a positive constant C , independent of f , such that*

$$I_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(f) = C I_{\alpha_1}(I_{\alpha_2}(f)).$$

Now, we show Theorem 3.34.

Proof of Theorem 3.34. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. We first prove the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. To this end, let $f \in H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since X has an absolutely continuous quasi-norm, from this and [53, Remark 3.12], we deduce that $H_{\text{fin}}^{X, \infty, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, there exists a sequence $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_{\text{fin}}^{X, \infty, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k$ in $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Besides, by the definition of $H_{\text{fin}}^{X, \infty, s, d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $\{a_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^{m_k}$ of (X, ∞, s) -atoms and $\{\lambda_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^{m_k} \subset [0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3.71) \quad f_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \lambda_j^{(k)} a_j^{(k)}.$$

From Lemma 3.21, we infer that I_α is bounded from $H_X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, together with (3.71), further implies that $I_\alpha(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_\alpha(f_k)$ in $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, combining this, Theorem 3.13, Lemma 3.19, (3.71), (3.70), and Lemma 3.32, we conclude that, for any $g \in \mathcal{L}_{X^\beta, 1, s, \beta d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_g, I_\alpha(f) \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle L_g, I_\alpha(f_k) \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \lambda_j^{(k)} \langle L_g, I_\alpha(a_j^{(k)}) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \lambda_j^{(k)} \langle L_{\bar{I}_\alpha(g)}, a_j^{(k)} \rangle = \langle L_{\bar{I}_\alpha(g)}, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Now, we show the case $\alpha \in [1, n)$. In this case, we have $\frac{\alpha}{n} \in (0, 1)$. In addition, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let

$$\beta_i := \frac{(n-i+1)\beta + i - 1}{(n-i)\beta + i}.$$

It is easy to show $\beta = \prod_{i=1}^n \beta_i$. Moreover, by (3.70), we conclude that

$$(3.72) \quad |B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n^2}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}}^{\frac{\beta_i - 1}{\beta_i}}.$$

On one hand, using (3.72) and the proof of Theorem 3.13, we find that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\bar{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_i}, 1, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_i d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}, 1, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1} d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which further implies that $(\bar{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^{n+1-i}(g) \in \mathcal{L}_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}, 1, s, \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1} d}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, by (3.72) and Lemma 3.21, we conclude that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ is bounded from $H_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{i-1}}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_i}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which further implies that $(I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^i(f) \in H_{X^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_i}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From Lemma 3.35, we deduce that $I_\alpha(f) = (I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^n(f)$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Combining this and $I_\alpha(f) \in H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that $I_\alpha(f) = (I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^n(f)$ in $H_{X^\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By this, Theorem 3.34, and (3.12), we find that there exists a positive constant C , independent of both f and g , such that, for any $\alpha \in (0, n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_g, I_\alpha(f) \rangle &= C \langle L_g, (I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^n(f) \rangle = C \langle L_{\bar{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}}(g)}, (I_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^{n-1}(f) \rangle \\ &= \cdots = C \langle L_{(\bar{I}_{\frac{\alpha}{n}})^n(g)}, f \rangle = C \langle L_{\bar{I}_\alpha(g)}, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.32. \square

4 Applications

The aim of this section is to apply all the above main results to four concrete examples of ball quasi-Banach function spaces, namely, Morrey spaces (Subsection 4.1), mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces (Subsection 4.2), local generalized Herz spaces (Subsection 4.3), and mixed Herz spaces (Subsection 4.4). Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, all these results are new. These examples reveal that more applications of the main results of this article to other function spaces are predictable.

4.1 Morrey Spaces

Recall that the Morrey space $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < r \leq p < \infty$ was introduced by Morrey [42] in order to study the regularity of solutions to certain equations. The Morrey space has many applications in the theory of elliptic partial differential equations, potential theory, and harmonic analysis (see, for instance, [13, 52, 65]).

Definition 4.1. Let $0 < r \leq p < \infty$. The *Morrey space* $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all the measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \|f\|_{L^r(B)} < \infty.$$

Remark 4.2. Let $0 < r \leq p < \infty$. It is easy to show that $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach function space. However, as was pointed out in [53, p. 87], $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may not be a quasi-Banach function space.

The following theorem is a corollary of both Theorems 3.10 and 3.13.

Theorem 4.3. Let $0 < r \leq p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$. Then both Theorems 3.10 and 3.13 with $X := M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p_- := r$, and $\beta := \frac{n}{n-\alpha p}$ hold true.

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. It has been point out in Remark 4.2 that $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space. In addition, by [64, Lemma 2.5] (see also [77, Lemma 7.2]), we conclude that $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies Assumption 2.12 with both $X := M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p_- := r$. Moreover, it is easy to prove that, for any $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = |B|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^r(B)} = |B|^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which further implies that $|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$ if and only if $\beta = \frac{n}{n-\alpha p}$. Thus, all the assumptions of both Theorems 3.10 and 3.13 with $X := M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are satisfied. Then, using both Theorems 3.10 and 3.13 with $X := M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain the desired conclusions, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.3. \square

Remark 4.4. (i) Let $0 < r \leq p < \infty$. Theorem 3.8 can not be applied to the Morrey space $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ because $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does not have the absolutely continuous quasi-norm unless $r = p$, namely, $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, I_α be the same as in (3.1), and \widetilde{I}_α the same as in Remark 3.7(i) with $s = 0$ therein. It is well known that, if $1 < r \leq p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$, then I_α is bounded from $M_r^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $M_{\frac{pn}{n(n-\alpha p)}}^{pn/(n-\alpha p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for instance, [1, Theorem 3.1]). As an application of Theorem 3.13(i) with $X := M_1^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (This is indeed a special case of Theorem 4.3), we can give a corresponding result of [1, Theorem 3.1] at the critical case $p := \frac{n}{\alpha}$, namely, if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in [1, \infty)$, then \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, in this case,

$M_{rn/(n-\alpha p)}^{pn/(n-\alpha p)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence its reasonable replacement should be the well-known space $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is defined to be the set of all the $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_B |f(x) - f_B| dx < \infty$$

with f_B as in (2.2) via replacing E by B . Observe that, when $r \in [1, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (0, n)$, by the definitions of both $M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we easily obtain

$$M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Using this and Theorem 3.13(i) with $X := L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q := r \in [1, \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and $\beta := \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$, we further conclude that, for any $f \in M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where, in the first step, we used the well-known result that $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{L}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), r, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $r \in [1, \infty)$ (see, for instance, [19, p. 125, Corollary 6.12]). Thus, \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $M_r^{\frac{n}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completes the proof of the above claim. This critical case is of independent interest, which might be known; however, we did not find the exact reference.

- (iii) To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 4.3 is totally new.
- (iv) It is worth pointing out that there exist many studies about fractional integrals on Morrey-type space and we refer the reader to [18, 24, 26, 54, 55, 75].

4.2 Mixed-Norm Lebesgue Spaces

The mixed-norm Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was studied by Benedek and Panzone [5] in 1961, which can be traced back to Hörmander [30]. We refer the reader to [14, 15, 16, 22, 31, 32, 34] for more studies on mixed-norm type spaces.

Definition 4.5. Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in (0, \infty]^n$. The *mixed-norm Lebesgue space* $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all the measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \cdots dx_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_n}} < \infty$$

with the usual modifications made when $p_i = \infty$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, let

$$(4.1) \quad p_- := \min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \text{ and } p_+ := \max\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}.$$

Remark 4.6. Let $\vec{p} \in (0, \infty)^n$. From Definition 4.5, we easily deduce that $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space. However, as was pointed in [77, Remark 7.21], $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may not be a quasi-Banach function space.

The following theorem is a corollary of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} \in (\alpha, \infty)$ and let both p_- and p_+ be the same as in (4.1).*

- (i) *Theorems 3.8 with $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds true if and only if $\beta := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \alpha}$;*
- (ii) *Theorems 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with both $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \alpha}$ hold true.*

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. From Definition 4.5, we easily deduce that $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space with an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. In addition, by [31, Lemma 3.7], we conclude that $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies Assumption 2.12 with both $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and p_- the same as in Definition 4.5. In addition, using both the dual theorem of $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [5, p. 304, Theorem 1.a]) and [31, Lemma 3.5] (see also [34, Lemma 4.3]), we conclude that Assumption 2.13 also holds true with $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $r_0 \in (0, p_-)$, and $p_0 \in (p_+, \infty)$. Moreover, from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we easily deduce that, for any $B(x, r) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{B(x,r)}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0},r)}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = r^{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|\mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0},1)}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which further implies that $|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$ if and only if $\beta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \alpha}$. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are satisfied. Then, using Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain the desired conclusions, which complete the proof of Theorem 4.7. \square

Remark 4.8. (i) Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and \widetilde{I}_α be the same as in Remark 3.7(i) with $s = 0$ therein. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in (1, \infty)^n$, and p_- be the same as in (4.1). Recall that the *mixed Morrey space* $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was originally introduced by Nogayama [47] and further studied in [48, 49], which is defined to be the set of all the measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)} |B|^{\frac{1}{p} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f \mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, in [69, Theorem 1], Wei and Sun proved that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^{\frac{n}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\alpha \in (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$; in [69, Theorem 2], Wei and Sun proved that \widetilde{I}_α is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{L}_{L^{p'_n/(p'\alpha+n)}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\alpha \in (\frac{n}{p}, 1 + \frac{n}{p}) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. We now claim that [69, Theorem 1] in the case when $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$ and [69, Theorem 2] in the case when $\alpha \in (\frac{n}{p}, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$ can be deduced from Theorem 3.13(i) with $X := L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $X := L^{\frac{p'_n}{p'\alpha+n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \in (n, \infty)$ (This is indeed a special case of Theorem 4.7). To prove this claim, we first show that $\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for any $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{\vec{p}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Indeed, by both the Hölder inequality and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we conclude that, for any $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any ball $B := B(x_B, r_B) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $x_B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_B \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|B|}{\|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_B |f(x) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(x)| dx \\ & \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_B |f(x) - P_B^{(s)}(f)(x)|^{p^-} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_B |f(x)|^{p^-} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \\ & \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{(x_n-r_B, x_n+r_B)} \cdots \left[\int_{(x_1-r_B, x_1+r_B)} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p^-} dx_1 \right]^{\frac{p^-}{p^-}} \cdots dx_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \\ & \lesssim |B|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{(x_n-r_B, x_n+r_B)} \cdots \left[\int_{(x_1-r_B, x_1+r_B)} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right]^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \cdots dx_n \right\}^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \\ & \lesssim |\sqrt{n}B|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}} \|f \mathbf{1}_{\sqrt{n}B}\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (4.2) holds true and hence $\mathcal{M}_p^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, assuming $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$ and using Theorem 3.13(i) with $X := L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q := 1$, and $\beta := \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$, we conclude that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By this and (4.2) with $p := \frac{n}{\alpha}$, we obtain [69, Theorem 1] in the case when $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$. Moreover, assuming $p \in (n, \infty)$ and $\alpha \in (\frac{n}{p}, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$, and using Theorem 3.13(i) with both $X := L^{\frac{p'n}{p'\alpha+n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta := \frac{p'\alpha+n}{n}$, we find that, for any $f \in \mathcal{L}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\widetilde{I}_\alpha(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^{p'n/(p'\alpha+n)}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n), 1, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. By this and (4.2), we obtain [69, Theorem 2] in the case when $\alpha \in (\frac{n}{p}, 1) \cap (0, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i})$. This shows the above claim. Thus, in this sense, even a special case of Theorem 4.7 is stronger than the corresponding cases of [69, Theorems 1 and 2].

(ii) To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 4.7 is totally new.

4.3 Local Generalized Herz Spaces

The local generalized Herz space was originally introduced by Rafeiro and Samko [50], which is a generalization of the classical homogeneous Herz space and connects with the generalized Morrey type space. Very recently, Li et al. [40] developed a complete real-variable theory of Hardy spaces associated with the local generalized Herz space. We now present the concepts of both the function class $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and the local generalized Herz space $\mathcal{K}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [50, Definitions 2.1 and 2.2] and also [40, Definitions 1.1.1 and 1.2.1]).

Definition 4.9. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$. The *function class* $M(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined to be the set of all the positive functions ω on \mathbb{R}_+ such that, for any $0 < \delta < N < \infty$,

$$0 < \inf_{t \in (\delta, N)} \omega(t) \leq \sup_{t \in (\delta, N)} \omega(t) < \infty$$

and there exist four constants $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \alpha_\infty, \beta_\infty \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $\omega(t)t^{-\alpha_0}$ is almost increasing and $\omega(t)t^{-\beta_0}$ is almost decreasing;
- (ii) for any $t \in [1, \infty)$, $\omega(t)t^{-\alpha_\infty}$ is almost increasing and $\omega(t)t^{-\beta_\infty}$ is almost decreasing.

Definition 4.10. Let $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The *local generalized Herz space* $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all the measurable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [\omega(2^k)]^q \left\| f \mathbf{1}_{B(\mathbf{0}, 2^k) \setminus B(\mathbf{0}, 2^{k-1})} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite.

Remark 4.11. Let all the symbols be the same as in Definition 4.10. It has been proved in [40, Theorems 1.2.20] that $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space. However, as was pointed out in [10, Remark 4.15], $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may not be a quasi-Banach function space.

Definition 4.12. Let ω be a positive function on \mathbb{R}_+ . Then the *Matuszewska-Orlicz indices* $m_0(\omega)$, $M_0(\omega)$, $m_\infty(\omega)$, and $M_\infty(\omega)$ of ω are defined, respectively, by setting, for any $h \in (0, \infty)$,

$$m_0(\omega) := \sup_{t \in (0, 1)} \frac{\ln(\overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)})}{\ln t}, \quad M_0(\omega) := \inf_{t \in (0, 1)} \frac{\ln(\underline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)})}{\ln t},$$

$$m_\infty(\omega) := \sup_{t \in (1, \infty)} \frac{\ln(\underline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)})}{\ln t},$$

and

$$M_\infty(\omega) := \inf_{t \in (1, \infty)} \frac{\ln(\overline{\lim}_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega(ht)}{\omega(h)})}{\ln t}.$$

The following theorem is a direct corollary of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34.

Theorem 4.13. Let $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ and $\omega \in M(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfy $m_0(\omega) \in (-\frac{n}{p}, \infty)$ and $m_\infty(\omega) \in (-\frac{n}{p}, \infty)$. Let

$$(4.3) \quad p_- := \min \left\{ p, \frac{n}{\max \{M_0(\omega), M_\infty(\omega)\} + n/p} \right\}.$$

Then Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with both $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and p_- in (4.3) hold true.

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. Let

$$r_0 \in \left(0, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta}, p_-, r \right\} \right)$$

and

$$p_0 \in \left(\max \left\{ p, \frac{n}{\min\{m_0(\omega), m_\infty(\omega)\} + n/p} \right\}, \infty \right).$$

By [40, Theorems 1.2.20 and 1.4.1], we conclude that $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space with an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. To prove the desired conclusion, it suffices to show that $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies all the assumptions in Theorems 3.8, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, using [40, Lemma 4.3.8], we find that both $\dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12 with $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are satisfied. Then, using Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := \dot{\mathcal{K}}_{\omega, \mathbf{0}}^{p, r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain the desired conclusions, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.13. \square

Remark 4.14. To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 4.13 is totally new.

4.4 Mixed Herz Spaces

We now recall the following definition of mixed Herz spaces, which is just [78, Definition 2.3].

Definition 4.15. Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n), \vec{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in (0, \infty]^n$, $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $R_{k_i} := (-2^{k_i}, 2^{k_i}) \setminus (-2^{k_i-1}, 2^{k_i-1})$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The *mixed Herz space* $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all the functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &:= \left\{ \sum_{k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k_n p_n \alpha_n} \left[\int_{R_{k_n}} \dots \left\{ \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k_1 p_1 \alpha_1} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left. \left. \left[\int_{R_{k_1}} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{q_1} dx_1 \right]^{\frac{p_1}{q_1}} \right]^{\frac{q_2}{p_1}} \dots dx_n \right]^{\frac{p_n}{q_n}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_n}} \\ &=: \left\| \dots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \dots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_n}^{\alpha_n, p_n}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

with the usual modifications made when $p_i = \infty$ or $q_j = \infty$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\left\| \dots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \dots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_n}^{\alpha_n, p_n}(\mathbb{R})}$ denotes the norm obtained after taking successively the $\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1, p_1}(\mathbb{R})$ -norm to x_1 , the $\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha_2, p_2}(\mathbb{R})$ -norm to x_2 , \dots , and the $\dot{K}_{q_n}^{\alpha_n, p_n}(\mathbb{R})$ -norm to x_n .

Recall that, to study the Lebesgue points of functions in mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces, Huang et al. [33] introduced a special case of the mixed Herz space and, later, Zhao et al. [78] generalized it to the above case. Also, both the dual theorem and the Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem on the above mixed Herz space have been fully studied in [78].

Remark 4.16. Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n), \vec{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in (0, \infty]^n$ and $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By [78, Proposition 2.22], we conclude that $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space if and only if, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha_i \in (-\frac{1}{q_i}, \infty)$. By [78, Propositions 2.8 and 2.22], we conclude that $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space. However, from [78, Remark 2.4], we deduce that, when $\vec{p} = \vec{q}$ and $\vec{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$, the mixed Herz space $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the mixed Lebesgue space $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined in Definition 4.5. Using this and Remark 4.6, we find that $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may not be a quasi-Banach function space, and hence $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ may not be a quasi-Banach function space.

The following theorem is a corollary of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34.

Theorem 4.17. Let $\vec{p} := (p_1, \dots, p_n), \vec{q} := (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in (0, \infty)^n$ and $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i) \in (\alpha, \infty)$ and $\alpha_i \in (-\frac{1}{q_i}, \infty)$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\beta \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$p_- := \min \left\{ p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{q_1} \right)^{-1}, \dots, \left(\alpha_n + \frac{1}{q_n} \right)^{-1} \right\}.$$

(i) Theorem 3.8 with $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ holds true if and only if $\beta := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \alpha}$;

(ii) Theorems 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with both $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i} - \alpha}$ hold true.

Proof. Let all the symbols be the same as in the present theorem. Let

$$r_0 \in \left(0, \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta}, p_- \right\} \right),$$

and

$$p_0 \in \left(\max \left\{ p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, \left(\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{q_1} \right)^{-1}, \dots, \left(\alpha_n + \frac{1}{q_n} \right)^{-1} \right\}, \infty \right).$$

By [78, Propositions 2.8 and 2.22], we conclude that $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball quasi-Banach space with an absolutely continuous quasi-norm. Using both [78, Lemma 5.3(i)] and its proof, we find that both $\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and p_- satisfy Assumption 2.12 with $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition, from both [78, Lemma 5.3(ii)] and its proof, we infer that Assumption 2.13 with $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also holds true. Moreover, using [40, (4.9.12)] with $\omega(t) := t^{\alpha_i}$ for any $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\gtrsim \|\mathbf{1}_{Q(x,r)}\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\sim \prod_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{1}_{(x_i-r, x_i+r)}\|_{\dot{K}_{q_i}^{\alpha_i, p_i}(\mathbb{R})} \gtrsim r^{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(x, r)$ denotes the cube with edges parallel to the coordinate axes, center $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and edge length $r \in (0, \infty)$. This further implies that, for any ball $B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|B|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \lesssim \|\mathbf{1}_B\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}}$ if and

only if $\beta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i) - \alpha}$. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are satisfied. Then, using Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, and 3.34 with $X := \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we obtain the desired conclusions, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.17. \square

Remark 4.18. To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 4.17 is totally new.

References

- [1] D. R. Adams, A note on Riesz potentials, *Duke Math. J.* 42 (1975), 765-778.
- [2] T. Aoki, Locally bounded linear topological spaces, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* 18 (1942), 588-594.
- [3] R. Arai and E. Nakai, Compact commutators of Calderón–Zygmund and generalized fractional integral operators with a function in generalized Campanato spaces on generalized Morrey spaces, *Tokyo J. Math.* 42 (2019), 471-496.
- [4] R. Arai, E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Generalized fractional integral operators on Orlicz–Hardy spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 294 (2021), 224-235.
- [5] A. Benedek and R. Panzone, The space L^p , with mixed norm, *Duke Math. J.* 28 (1961), 301-324.
- [6] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 129, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [7] S. Campanato, Proprietà di una famiglia di spazi funzionali, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* 18 (1964), 137-160.
- [8] R. Del Campo, A. Fernández, F. Mayoral and F. Naranjo, Orlicz spaces associated to a quasi-Banach function space: applications to vector measures and interpolation, *Collect. Math.* 72 (2021), 481-499.
- [9] D.-C. Chang, S. Wang, D. Yang and Y. Zhang, Littlewood–Paley characterizations of Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* 14 (2020), Paper No. 40, 33 pp.
- [10] Y. Chen, H. Jia and D. Yang, Boundedness of fractional integrals on Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Submitted or arXiv: 2206.06080.
- [11] Y. Chen, H. Jia and D. Yang, Boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on ball Campanato-type function spaces, Submitted.
- [12] K. L. Cheung, K.-P. Ho and T.-L. Yee, Boundedness of fractional integral operators on Hardy-amalgam spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces* 2021, Art. ID 1142942, 5 pp.
- [13] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)* 7 (1987), 273-279.
- [14] G. Cleanthous, A. G. Georgiadis and M. Nielsen, Anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* 27 (2017), 2758-2787.
- [15] G. Cleanthous, A. G. Georgiadis and M. Nielsen, Discrete decomposition of homogeneous mixed-norm Besov spaces, in: *Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Image Processing: A Collection of Papers in Honor of Björn Jawerth*, 167-184, *Contemp. Math.* 693, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.

- [16] G. Cleanthous, A. G. Georgiadis and M. Nielsen, Molecular decomposition of anisotropic homogeneous mixed-norm spaces with applications to the boundedness of operators, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 47 (2019), 447-480.
- [17] F. Dai, L. Grafakos, Z. Pan, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, The Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu formula on ball Banach function spaces, *Math. Ann.* (to appear).
- [18] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, E. Nakai, Y. Sawano and M. Shi, Generalized fractional maximal and integral operators on Orlicz and generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces of the third kind, *Positivity* 23 (2019), 727-757.
- [19] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [20] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137-193.
- [21] A. E. Gatto and S. Vági, Fractional integrals on spaces of homogeneous type, in: *Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*, 171-216, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 122, Dekker, New York, 1990.
- [22] A. G. Georgiadis and M. Nielsen, Pseudodifferential operators on mixed-norm Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 289 (2016), 2019-2036.
- [23] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Third edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 249, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [24] D. I. Hakim, Y. Sawano and T. Shimomura, Boundedness of generalized fractional integral operators from the Morrey space $L_{1,\phi}(X;\mu)$ to the Campanato space $\mathcal{L}_{1,\psi}(X;\mu)$ over non-doubling measure spaces, *Azerb. J. Math.* 6 (2016), 117-127.
- [25] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals. I, *Math. Z.* 27 (1928), 565-606.
- [26] K.-P. Ho, Fractional integral operators with homogeneous kernels on Morrey spaces with variable exponents, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 69 (2017), 1059-1077.
- [27] K.-P. Ho, Integral operators on BMO and Campanato spaces, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 30 (2019), 1023-1035.
- [28] K.-P. Ho, Erdélyi–Kober fractional integral operators on ball Banach function spaces, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 145 (2021), 93-106.
- [29] K.-P. Ho, Fractional integral operators on Orlicz slice Hardy spaces, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13540-022-00043-1>.
- [30] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* 104 (1960), 93-140.
- [31] L. Huang, J. Liu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Atomic and Littlewood–Paley characterizations of anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces and their applications, *J. Geom. Anal.* 29 (2019), 1991-2067.
- [32] L. Huang, J. Liu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Dual spaces of anisotropic mixed-norm Hardy spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), 1201-1215.
- [33] L. Huang, F. Weisz, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Summability of Fourier transforms on mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces via associated Herz spaces, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)* (2021), <http://doi.org/10.1142/S0219530521500135>.
- [34] L. Huang and D. Yang, On function spaces with mixed norms—a survey, *J. Math. Study* 54 (2021), 262-336.

- [35] M. Izuki and Y. Sawano, Characterization of BMO via ball Banach function spaces, *Vestn. St.-Peterbg. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astron.* 4(62) (2017), 78-86.
- [36] H. Jia, J. Tao, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Special John–Nirenberg–Campanato spaces via congruent cubes, *Sci. China Math.* 65 (2022), 359-420.
- [37] H. Jia, J. Tao, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators on special John–Nirenberg–Campanato and Hardy-type spaces via congruent cubes, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 12 (2022), Paper No. 15, 56 pp.
- [38] H. Jia, J. Tao, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Boundedness of fractional integrals on special John–Nirenberg–Campanato and Hardy-type spaces via congruent cubes, *Front. Math. China.* (to appear) or arXiv: 2108.01891.
- [39] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 14 (1961), 415-426.
- [40] Y. Li, D. Yang and L. Huang, Real-variable Theory of Hardy Spaces Associated with Generalized Herz Spaces of Rafeiro and Samko, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Cham, 2022 (to appear) or arXiv: 2203.15165.
- [41] S. Lu, *Four Lectures on Real H^p Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
- [42] C. B. Morrey, On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43 (1938), 126-166.
- [43] E. Nakai, Singular and fractional integral operators on Campanato spaces with variable growth conditions, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 23 (2010), 355-381.
- [44] E. Nakai, On generalized fractional integrals, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 5 (2001), 587-602.
- [45] E. Nakai, Singular and fractional integral operators on preduals of Campanato spaces with variable growth condition, *Sci. China Math.* 60 (2017), 2219-2240.
- [46] E. Nakai and G. Sadasue, Martingale Morrey–Campanato spaces and fractional integrals, *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 2012, Art. ID 673929, 29 pp.
- [47] T. Nogayama, Mixed Morrey spaces, *Positivity* 23 (2019), 961-1000.
- [48] T. Nogayama, Boundedness of commutators of fractional integral operators on mixed Morrey spaces, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 30 (2019), 790-816.
- [49] T. Nogayama, T. Ono, D. Salim and Y. Sawano, Atomic decomposition for mixed Morrey spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.* 31 (2021), 9338-9365.
- [50] H. Rafeiro and S. Samko, Herz spaces meet Morrey type spaces and complementary Morrey type spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 26 (2020), Paper No. 74, 14 pp.
- [51] S. Rolewicz, On a certain class of linear metric spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl. III.* 5 (1957), 471-473.
- [52] Y. Sawano, G. Di Fazio and D. Hakim, *Morrey Spaces–Introduction and Applications to Integral Operators and PDE’s*, Vol I, *Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020.
- [53] Y. Sawano, K.-P. Ho, D. Yang and S. Yang, Hardy spaces for ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Dissertationes Math.* 525 (2017), 1-102.
- [54] Y. Sawano and T. Shimomura, Boundedness of the generalized fractional integral operators on generalized Morrey spaces over metric measure spaces, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 36 (2017), 159-190.

- [55] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, A note on generalized fractional integral operators on generalized Morrey spaces, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2009, Art. ID 835865, 18 pp.
- [56] S. L. Sobolev, On a theorem in functional analysis, *Mat. Sb.* 46 (1938), 471-497.
- [57] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [58] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series 43, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [59] E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Functional Analysis. Introduction to Further Topics in Analysis*, Princeton Lectures in Analysis 4, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.
- [60] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, On the theory of harmonic functions of several variables. I. The theory of H^p -spaces, *Acta Math.* 103 (1960), 25-62.
- [61] J. Sun, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Weak Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: decompositions, real interpolation, and Calderón–Zygmund operators, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), Paper No. 191, 85 pp.
- [62] J. Sun, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Molecular characterization of weak Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type with its application to Littlewood–Paley function characterization, Submitted.
- [63] M. H. Taibleson and G. Weiss, The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces, Representation theorems for Hardy spaces, in: *Astérisque* 77, 67-149, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1980.
- [64] L. Tang and J. Xu, Some properties of Morrey type Besov–Triebel spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 278 (2005), 904-917.
- [65] J. Tao, Da. Yang and Do. Yang, Boundedness and compactness characterizations of Cauchy integral commutators on Morrey spaces, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 42 (2019), 1631-1651.
- [66] J. Tao, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Compactness characterizations of commutators on ball Banach function spaces, *Potential Analysis* (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-021-09953-w>.
- [67] F. Wang, D. Yang and S. Yang, Applications of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Results Math.* 75 (2020), Paper No. 26, 58 pp.
- [68] S. Wang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and Y. Zhang, Weak Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces II: Littlewood–Paley characterizations and real interpolation, *J. Geom. Anal.* 31 (2021), 631-696.
- [69] M. Wei and L. Sun, Boundedness for the modified fractional integral operator from mixed Morrey spaces to the bounded mean oscillation space and Lipschitz spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces* 2022, Art. ID 4924127, 5 pp.
- [70] S. Yamaguchi and E. Nakai, Generalized fractional integral operators on Campanato spaces and their bi-preduals, *Math. J. Ibaraki Univ.* 53 (2021), 17-34.
- [71] S. Yamaguchi and E. Nakai, Compactness of Commutators of Integral Operators with Functions in Campanato Spaces on Orlicz–Morrey Spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 28 (2022), Paper No. 33, 32 pp.
- [72] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: Characterizations of maximal functions, decompositions, and dual spaces, *Math. Nachr.* (2022), <http://doi.org/10.1002/mana.202100432>.

- [73] X. Yan, Z. He, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: Littlewood–Paley characterizations with applications to boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-022-1573-9>.
- [74] X. Yan, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Intrinsic square function characterizations of Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces, *Front. Math. China* 15 (2020), 769-806.
- [75] T.-L. Yee and K.-P. Ho, Fractional integral operators with homogeneous kernels on generalized Lorentz–Morrey spaces, *J. Math. Inequal.* 15 (2021), 17-30.
- [76] Y. Zhang, L. Huang, D. Yang and W. Yuan, New ball Campanato-type function spaces and their applications, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), Paper No. 99, 42 pp.
- [77] Y. Zhang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and S. Wang, Weak Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces I: Decompositions with applications to boundedness of Calderón–Zygmund operators, *Sci. China Math.* 64 (2021), 2007-2064.
- [78] Y. Zhao, D. Yang and Y. Zhang, Mixed-norm Herz spaces and their applications in related Hardy spaces, Submitted or arXiv: 2204.12019.

Yiqun Chen, Hongchao Jia and Dachun Yang (Corresponding author)

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems (Ministry of Education of China), School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, The People's Republic of China

E-mails: yiqunchen@mail.bnu.edu.cn (Y. Chen)

hcjia@mail.bnu.edu.cn (H. Jia)

dcyang@bnu.edu.cn (D. Yang)