

SPLITTING CRITERIA OF PROJECTIVE MODULES ON POLYNOMIAL EXTENSIONS OVER VARIOUS BASE RINGS

SOURJYA BANERJEE AND MRINAL KANTI DAS

ABSTRACT. In this article we prove the following results:

- (1) A monic inversion principle on polynomial extension of affine $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ -algebras.
- (2) Let R be an affine algebra over an algebraically closed field (of characteristic $\neq 2$) of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d . Suppose that P surjects onto a complete intersection ideal of height d . Then P splits off a free summand of rank one. Moreover if the ground field is $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $(d-1)! \in R^*$, we show this condition is necessary as well.
- (3) Let R be a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra such that there exists a positive integer $n \in R^*$, other than 1. Suppose that P is a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d , which surjects onto a locally complete intersection ideal of height d , which contains a monic polynomial. Then P splits off a free summand of rank one.

1. INTRODUCTION

One crucial aspect of studying projective modules is to investigate the existence of unimodular elements, that is whether a projective module splits off a free summand of rank one or not. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring of (Krull) dimension d and P be a finitely generated projective A -module (of constant rank). By a classical result of J. P. Serre [22] it follows that P splits off a free module of rank one whenever $\text{rank}(P) > d$. Due to a well-known example of the projective module corresponding to the tangent bundle of an even dimensional real sphere, this result is the best possible in general. Thus investigating conditions under which a projective module (having rank equal to the dimension of the ring) to split off a free summand of rank one becomes one of the recurrent themes in this area.

Let Q be a projective A -module. A remarkable result of Eisenbud-Evans [12] gives us a leverage that most of the A -linear maps $Q \rightarrow A$ has the property that the image ideal has height at least the rank of Q . Such a surjection $\alpha : Q \twoheadrightarrow I$ is called a generic surjection of Q whenever $\text{ht}(I) = \text{rank}(Q)$. It was N. M. Kumar [14], who first noticed that the following two facts: existence of unimodular elements in projective modules,

and an image ideal of a generic surjection being a complete intersection ideal, are closely related. In particular he proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. [14] *Let A be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k and P be a projective A -module of rank d . Then P splits off a free summand of rank one if and only if there exists a generic surjection of P , which is generated by d elements.*

The above result of N. M. Kumar turned out to be a crucial step to prove a celebrated result of M. P. Murthy in [18]. M. P. Murthy proved that on a smooth affine variety over an algebraically closed field, vanishing of the top Chern class is the precise obstruction class for the existence of a unimodular element in a projective module. However this no longer holds if the ground field is not algebraically closed, as evidenced by the same example on the tangent bundle of the real 2-sphere, mentioned earlier. It was M. V. Nori's envision to replace the top Chern class by the Euler class whenever the field is not algebraically closed, which was extensively studied by S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan in [4]. Later S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan [5] extends the notion of Euler class for any arbitrary Noetherian ring containing rationals.

With the same theme keeping in mind we studied some splitting criteria of projective modules on polynomial algebras over various base rings. Let us recall the following well known result, which was proved independently by D. Quillen [20] and A. A. Suslin [24]. It is known as the "Affine Horrocks' Theorem". Recall that the ring $A(T)$ is obtained from $A[T]$ by inverting all the monic polynomials.

Theorem 1.2. ([20],[24]) *Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring and P be a projective $A[T]$ -module. Assume that the projective $A(T)$ -module $P \otimes A(T)$ is free. Then P is a free $A[T]$ -module.*

Motivated by the above theorem we recollect some open questions from the literature, in terms of generic surjections of a projective module on polynomial extensions. Before that recall that $\mu(-)$ stands for the minimal number of generators of $-$.

Question 1.3. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = d$. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in IA(T)$ such that $IA(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in IA(T)^2$. Then does there exist $g_i \in I$, such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, with $g_i - f_i \in I^2$?

Question 1.4. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $A[T]$ -module of rank d . Suppose there exists a generic surjection $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$, where $I \subset A[T]$ is an ideal of height d . Moreover assume that I is generated by d -many

elements. Then does P have a unimodular element?

Question 1.5. Let A be a commutative Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $A[T]$ -module of rank d . Suppose there exists a generic surjection $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$, where $I \subset A[T]$ is an ideal of height d . Moreover assume that I contains a monic polynomial in T . Then does P have a unimodular element ?

Question 1.3 has a negative answer for $d = 2$ (see [4], Example 3.15). Whenever $d \geq 3$ this question has an affirmative answer in the following cases :

- A is a local ring ([7], Proposition 5.8(1)).
- A is an affine domain over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero ([7], Proposition 5.8(2)).
- A is a regular domain which is essentially of finite type over an infinite perfect field k of characteristic unequal to 2 ([11], Theorem 5.11).
- A is a real affine domain such that: either there are no real maximal ideals, or the intersection of all real maximal ideals has height at least one ([1], Theorem 5.7).

We settle Question 1.3 in the following form (see Theorem 3.1 below):

Theorem 1.6. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $ht(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = d$. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in IR(T)$ such that $IR(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in IR(T)^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in I$, such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, where $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

As an application of the above result we prove some addition and subtraction principles on $R[T]$, where R is an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d with a trivial determinant and $\chi : R[T] \cong \wedge^d P$ be an isomorphism. We then assign a "local orientation" $(I, \omega_I) \in E^d(R[T])$ to the pair (P, χ) and show that the vanishing of (I, ω_I) in the group $E^d(R[T])$ is sufficient for P to have a unimodular element (Theorem 4.10). Here $E^d(R[T])$ is the d -th Euler class group of $R[T]$, defined in the text below. Moreover assume that $(d-1)! \in R^*$. In this set-up we show that the local orientation induced (P, χ) is the precise obstruction for the splitting problem of P (see Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 4.12).

Question 1.4 has an affirmative answer whenever the ring is taken as an affine domain over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, due to S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan ([6], Theorem 4.5). We improve their result. In particular we prove the following:

Theorem 1.7. (Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4) *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. Let P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that there is a surjection $\phi : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. If $\mu(I) = d$ then P has a unimodular element.*

As a corollary we show that (Corollary 5.5) if R is as stated in the above theorem, then any stably free $R[T]$ -module of rank d has a unimodular element. In section 6 we show that (Theorem 6.3), the ideal I being complete intersection is also a necessary condition for P to split off a free summand of rank one, when the ground field is $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with the assumption that $(d-1)! \in R^*$.

Question 1.5 has an affirmative answer due to S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan ([6], Theorem 3.4) when the ring contains an infinite field even without the assumption on the height of the ideal. We show that the following versions of Question 1.5 have affirmative answers:

Theorem 1.8. (Theorem 7.2) *Let A be a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra of dimension $d \geq 1$. Moreover assume that there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ such that $n \in A^*$. Let P be a projective $A[T]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d and $I \subset A[T]$ be an ideal of height d containing a monic polynomial in T . Suppose that there exists a surjection $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Then P has a unimodular element.*

Section 8 is devoted to studying the Laurent polynomial algebra $R[T, \frac{1}{T}]$, where R is an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. In this case we have been able to present a comprehensive account of $E^d(R[T, \frac{1}{T}])$ which is absent in the literature, even when R is an affine algebra over any algebraically closed field. In section 9 we improve Theorem 1.6 stated above, which helps us to define the Segre class of an ideal, which generalizes ([10], Section 4) over the base field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The purpose of this section is to recollect various results from the literature. Quite often we would tailor them or improve them a little bit to suit our requirements in subsequent sections. We start with a lemma of N. M. Kumar, recast slightly to suit our needs

Lemma 2.1. [16] *Let A be a noetherian ring and I be an ideal of A . Let J, K be ideals of A contained in I such that $K \subset I^2$ and $I = J + K$. Then there exists $e \in K$ such that $e(1-e) \in J$ and $I = \langle J, e \rangle$.*

The following lemma is known as "moving lemma". We restate it to suit our requirements. The proof is given in ([13], Corollary 2.14), (one just needs to use ([8], Theorem 2.4) in the appropriate place to establish this version).

Lemma 2.2. (*Moving Lemma*) Let A be a commutative noetherian ring and $I \subset A$ be an ideal such that $\mu(I/I^2) = n$. Let $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle + I^2$. Then there exists an ideal $J \subset A$, either of height n or $J = A$, with the property that $I \cap J = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$, with $a_i - b_i \in I^2$ and $I + J = A$. Moreover J can be chosen to be co-maximal with any ideal of height ≥ 1 , whenever A is an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $n + 1$ and $\text{ht}(I) \geq 1$.

The next theorem is an accumulation of results from different authors. For a proof one can see ([9], Corollary 2.4).

Theorem 2.3. ([9], Corollary 2.4) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and let $I \subset R$ be an ideal such that $\dim(R/I) \leq 1$. Then, we have the following assertions:

- (1) The canonical map $SL_n(R) \twoheadrightarrow SL_n(R/I)$ is surjective for $n \geq 3$.
- (2) If $\dim(R) = 3$, then the canonical map $SL_2(R) \twoheadrightarrow SL_2(R/I)$ is surjective.

Then next lemma is crucial in this paper, it can be deduced following the proof of ([5], Lemma 5.3). For the sake of completeness we give a proof.

Lemma 2.4. Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d + 1 \geq 3$ and $I \subset R$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = d$. Let $\bar{f} \in R/I$ be a unit. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$ has a lift to a set of generators of I . Then $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle + I^2$ also has a lift to a set of generators of I , where $(g_1, \dots, g_d) = (f_1, \dots, f_d)\alpha$, where $\alpha \in GL_d(R/I)$, with $\det(\alpha) - \bar{f}^2 \in I$.

Proof. Let $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$. Note that after an elementary transformation we may always assume $\text{ht}(\langle h_1, \dots, h_i \rangle) = i$, for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Let $B = R / \langle h_3, \dots, h_d \rangle$ and 'bar' denote going modulo $\langle h_3, \dots, h_d \rangle$. Then $\dim(B) \leq 3$.

Since \bar{f} is a unit modulo I , we have $g \in R$ such that $fg - 1 \in I$. Note that $(\bar{g}^2, \bar{h}_2, -\bar{h}_1) \in \text{Um}_3(B)$. By a result Swan-Towber the unimodular row $(\bar{g}^2, \bar{h}_2, -\bar{h}_1)$ is completable to an invertible matrix in $SL_3(B)$. Using ([5], 5.2) we get $\tau \in M_2(B)$ such that $(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)\tau = (\bar{h}'_1, \bar{h}'_2)$, where $\bar{I} = \langle \bar{h}'_1, \bar{h}'_2 \rangle$ and $\det(\tau) - \bar{f}^2 \in I$.

Thus in the ring R we get, $I = \langle h'_1, h'_2, h_3, \dots, h_d \rangle$. Define $\theta = \tau \perp I_{d-2} \in GL_d(R/I)$. Then note that $(h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_d)\theta = (h'_1, h'_2, h_3, \dots, h_d)$ and $\det(\theta) - \bar{f}^2 \in I$. Since $\det(\theta) - \det(\alpha) \in I$, there exists $\epsilon' \in SL_d(R/I)$ such that $\theta\epsilon' = \alpha$. Since $\dim(R/I) = 1$, by ([8], 2.3) the natural map $SL_d(R) \twoheadrightarrow SL_d(R/I)$ is surjective. Therefore we can lift ϵ' and get $\epsilon \in SL_d(R)$ such that they are equal modulo I . Let $(G_1, \dots, G_d) = (h'_1, h'_2, h_3, \dots, h_d)\epsilon$. Then note that $I = \langle G_1, \dots, G_d \rangle$. It only remains to show $G_i - g_i \in I^2$.

Consider any d -tuple $[(a_1, \dots, a_d)]$ as a map $(R/I)^d \rightarrow I/I^2$ sending $e_i \rightarrow \bar{a}_i$. Then we have $[(G_1, \dots, G_d)] = [(h'_1, h'_2, h_3, \dots, h_d)\epsilon] = [(h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_d)\theta\epsilon] = [(h_1, \dots, h_d)\theta\epsilon'] = [(h_1, \dots, h_d)\alpha] = [(f_1, \dots, f_d)\alpha] = [(g_1, \dots, g_d)]$. This completes the proof. \square

We end this section with a lemma which is used in Section 3.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be a Noetherian ring and $J \subset A$ be an ideal of height n . Let $f (\neq 0) \in A$ such that J_f is a proper ideal of A_f . Assume that $J_f = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, where $a_i \in J$. Then, there exists $\sigma \in \text{SL}_n(A_f)$ such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, where $b_i \in J \subset A$ and $\text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_A) = n$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{J} = \{\sigma \in \text{SL}_n(A_f) : (a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma = (b_1, \dots, b_n), b_i \in J \subset A\}$. Then note that $I_n \in \mathfrak{J}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{J}$, we define $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_A)$, where $(a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{J}$. If $N(\sigma) = n$, then we are done. So, let us assume that $N(\sigma) < n$. It is Enough to produce another $\sigma' \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $N(\sigma) < N(\sigma')$. In the remaining part of the proof we will prove this in the following steps:

Step-1. $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle)$.

Proof. Using a result of Eisenbud-Evans ([12], see[5], Corollary 2.13) we get $c_i = b_i + \lambda_i b_n$, where $\lambda_i \in A$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ such that $\text{ht}(\langle c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \rangle_{b_n}) \geq n-1$. Note that there exists $\epsilon \in E_n(A)$ such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma\epsilon = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, b_n)$. Thus $\sigma\epsilon \in \mathfrak{J}$. Also note that since $\epsilon \in E_n(A)$ we have $N(\sigma) = N(\sigma\epsilon)$. Thus replacing σ with $\sigma\epsilon$ we may assume that $\text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle_{b_n}) \geq n-1$. Let p be a minimal prime ideal of A containing $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ such that $\text{ht}(p) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle)$.

If $b_n \notin p$ then $\text{ht}(p) \geq n-1$. And by our assumption $\text{ht}(p) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle) \leq \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle) = N(\sigma) \leq n-1$. Thus in this case we have $n-1 = \text{ht}(p) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle) \leq N(\sigma) \leq n-1$. That is $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle)$.

If $b_n \in p$, then note that $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle)$. Thus our claim is achieved.

Step-2. For any minimal prime ideal p containing $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$, if $b_n \in p$, then p must contain f .

Proof. First of all note that such a minimal prime ideal p always exists. If for all minimal prime ideal $p \supset \langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ misses b_n then note that $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle) > \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle)$ which is not possible as shown in Step-1.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose p be as above mentioned above and $f \notin p$. Since $f \notin p$, we have $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle) \leq \text{ht}(p) = \text{ht}(p_f)$. Now note that since p is minimal containing $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ and $f \notin p$ implies p_f is minimal over $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle_f$ and thus we get $\text{ht}(p) = \text{ht}(p_f) \leq n-1$. But also note that $\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \subset p$ and $f \notin p$ implies $n = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_f) \leq \text{ht}(p_f)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $f \in p$.

Step-3. There exists $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $(a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma_1 = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n + x^r)$, for some suitably chosen $x \in A$.

Proof. Since $I_f = \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle_f$ is a proper ideal of A_f so is $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle_f$. Using Step-2 we can assure there exists a minimal prime ideal q containing $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ which does not contain b_n . Thus $\bigcap_q q \neq \phi$, where the intersection runs over all minimal

prime ideals of $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ which does not contain b_n . Let K_2 be the set consisting of all minimal prime ideals of $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ which does not contains b_n and K_1 is the complement of K_2 inside the set of all minimal prime ideals of $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$. Also note that using prime avoidance lemma we have $\bigcap_{p \in K_2} p \not\subset \bigcup_{p \in K_1} p$. We choose $x \in \bigcap_{p \in K_2} p - \bigcup_{p \in K_1} p$. Note that $xf \in \sqrt{\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(xf)^r \in \langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$. There exists $\alpha \in E_n(A_f)$ such that $(b_1, \dots, b_n)\alpha = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n + (xf)^r)$. Let $\alpha_1 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, f^r) \in M_n(A)$. Then note that $\alpha_1 \in \text{GL}_n(A_f)$. Let $\theta = \alpha_1 \alpha_f \alpha_1^{-1} \in \text{SL}_n(A_f)$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma\theta$. Then note that $\sigma_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$. Now we observe:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n)\sigma_1 &= (b_1, \dots, b_n)\theta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)\alpha_1 \alpha_f \alpha_1^{-1} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n f^r) \alpha_f \alpha_1^{-1} \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n f^r + (xf)^r) \alpha_1^{-1} = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n + x^r). \end{aligned}$$

Step-4. $N(\sigma_1) > N(\sigma)$.

Proof. It is enough to show that no minimal prime ideal of $\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ contains $b_n + x^r$. If so, then $N(\sigma) = \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle) < \text{ht}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n + x^r \rangle) = N(\sigma_1)$ and we will be done.

Suppose $p \supset \langle b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \rangle$ be a minimal prime ideal. If $p \in K_1$ then since $b_n \in p$ and $x \notin p$ implies $b_n + x^r \notin p$. And if $p \in K_2$ then since $b_n \notin p$ and $x \in p$ implies $b_n + x^r \notin p$. This completes the proof. \square

3. MONIC INVERSION PRINCIPLE ON $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

Here is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = d$. Assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in IR(T)$ such that $IR(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in IR(T)^2$, ($i = 1, \dots, d$). Then there exists $g_i \in I$, such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, where $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

Proof.

Case- 1 ($d = 2$). Note that if the ideal I contains a monic polynomial in T , a lift exists by ([8], Theorem 3.2) (without any further assumption), hence without loss of generality we may assume that I does not contain a monic. Let $I = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle + I^2$ induce the surjection $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^2 \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$, sending the canonical basis e_i to the image of f_i in I/I^2 . Then using a standard patching argument there exists a projective $R[T]$ -module P with trivial determinant of rank 2 and a surjection $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Fix an isomorphism $\chi : R[T] \cong \wedge^2 P$. Let α and χ induce $I = \langle f'_1, f'_2 \rangle + I^2$.

Let 'bar' denote going modulo I^2 . By Theorem 2.3 there exists $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{GL}_2(R[T]/I)$ with determinant \bar{f} such that $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2) = (\bar{f}'_1, \bar{f}'_2)\bar{\sigma}$. Following ([5], Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8), there exists a projective $R[T]$ -module P_1 of rank 2 having trivial determinant, a trivialization χ_1 of $\wedge^2 P_1$, and a surjection $\beta : P_1 \twoheadrightarrow I$ such that if the set of generators

of I/I^2 induced by β and χ_1 (with respect to a fixed basis of $R[T]^2$ induced by χ_1 say $\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$) is \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2 , then $(\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2) = (\bar{f}'_1, \bar{f}'_2)\bar{\delta}$, where $\bar{\delta} \in \text{GL}_2(R[T]/I)$ has determinant \bar{f} . Thus $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2)\bar{\gamma} = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)$, where $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\sigma}^{-1}\bar{\delta} \in \text{SL}_2(R[T]/I)$. Since by ([8], 2.3) the natural map $\text{SL}_d(R[T]) \twoheadrightarrow \text{SL}_d(R[T]/I)$ is surjective get $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(R[T])$ such that γ is a lift of $\bar{\gamma}$.

Now note that it is enough to show the set of generators $I = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle + I^2$ has a lift to a set of generators of I . Suppose that $I = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is such a lift. Then we define $(g_1, g_2) = (a_1, a_2)\gamma^{-1}$. Then note that $(g_1 - f_1, g_2 - f_2) = (a_1 - h_1, a_2 - h_2)\gamma^{-1} \in I^2 \times I^2$. Thus the remaining part of the proof in this case is dedicated to find a lift of $\{h_1, h_2\}$.

Since the set of generators (f_1, f_2) has a lift in the ring $R(T)$, so does the set of generators (h_1, h_2) . Now recall that $R(T)$ is a two dimensional Noetherian ring and in the two dimensional set-up, the theory of Euler class group (defined in [5]), and the Euler class one does not need any additional assumption. Hence we can use the Euler class group theory in the ring $R(T)$ freely.

Note that in the ring $R(T)$ we have $e(P_1 \otimes R(T), \chi_1 \otimes R(T)) = (IR(T), \omega)$, where $\omega : (R(T)/IR(T))^2 \twoheadrightarrow IR(T)/IR(T)^2$ by sending $e_i \rightarrow h_i$. Since (h_1, h_2) has a lift $P_1 \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element. Thus there exists a monic polynomial $g \in R[T]$ such that P_{1g} has a unimodular element. As P_1 has trivial determinant, P_{1g} is free implying that P_1 is free by Theorem 1.2.

Thus there exists an isomorphism $\eta : (R[T])^2 \cong P_1$, such that $\wedge^2 \eta = \chi_1$. Let $H_i = \beta\eta(\eta_i)$, then note that $I = \langle H_1, H_2 \rangle$ and $\bar{H}_1 \wedge \bar{H}_2 = \bar{h}_1 \wedge \bar{h}_2$ in $\wedge^2(I/I^2)$. Hence we can find $\sigma \in \text{GL}_2(R[T]/I)$ such that $(\bar{H}_1, \bar{H}_2)\sigma = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)$. Moreover since $\bar{H}_1 \wedge \bar{H}_2 = \bar{h}_1 \wedge \bar{h}_2$, we can take $\sigma \in \text{SL}_2(R[T]/I)$. Now by using ([8], Theorem 2.3) get $\tau \in \text{SL}_2(R[T])$ such that $\bar{\tau} = \sigma$. Let $(g_1, g_2) = (H_1, H_2)\tau$. Then $(\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2) = (\bar{H}_1, \bar{H}_2)\bar{\tau} = (\bar{H}_1, \bar{H}_2)\sigma = (\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2)$, hence we are done with the proof in this case.

Case- 2 ($d \geq 3$). Note that for any affine algebra A over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension d , $E^n(A)$ is defined in ([2], Definition 7.1), for all $2n \geq d + 2$. Taking $A = R[T]$ and $n = d$, we have the d -th Euler class group $E^d(R[T])$ for all $d \geq 3$. Also for any $(J, \omega_J) \in E^d(R[T])$, by ([2], Theorem 7.2) we have $(J, \omega_J) = 0$ if and only if ω_J can be lifted to a set of generators of J , which will be used frequently throughout the proof.

Let $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$ induce $(I, \omega_I) \in E^d(R[T])$. It is enough to show that $(I, \omega_I) = 0$. Now, there is a monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$ be such that $I_f = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in I_f^2$. Let $B = R[T]/\langle f \rangle \cap I^2$ and 'bar' denote going modulo $\langle f \rangle \cap I^2$. Note that $\dim(B) = d$, thus in the ring B we get $\bar{I} = \langle \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_d \rangle + \bar{I}^2$. Using ([8], Theorem 2.4) we get $\bar{h}_i \in \bar{I}$ such that $\bar{I} = \langle \bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_d \rangle$, with $\bar{f}_i - \bar{h}_i \in \bar{I}^2$. That is, we get $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle + I^2 \cap \langle f \rangle$. Using Lemma 2.1 there exists $e \in I^2 \cap \langle f \rangle$ be such that $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d, e \rangle$, and $e(1-e) \in \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$. By a result of Eisenbud-Evans (see

[5], Corollary 2.13) replacing h_i by $h_i + e\lambda_i$ we may assume that $\text{ht}(\langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle_e) = d$ or $\langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle_e = R[T]_e$. Note that if $\langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle_e = R[T]_e$ then $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$ with $f_i - h_i \in I^2 \cap \langle f \rangle$ and we are done in this case. Thus without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{ht}(\langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle_e) = d$.

Let $I_1 = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d, 1 - e \rangle$. Then note that $I \cap I_1 = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$, $I_1 + \langle e \rangle = I_1 + \langle f \rangle = I_1 + I = R[T]$ and $\text{ht}(I_1) = d$. Since $I_1 + \langle f \rangle = R[T]$ and $\text{ht}(I_1) = d$, we have $\text{ht}((I_1)_f) = d$ as well. Let $I \cap I_1 = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$ induce (I_1, ω_1) . Since $h_i - f_i \in I^2$, any local orientation induced by $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle + I^2$ will coincide with (I, ω_I) . Thus we have $(I, \omega_I) + (I_1, \omega_1) = 0$ in $E^d(R[T])$.

Note that by our choice of f we have $(I_f, \omega_I \otimes R[T]_f) = 0$ in $E^d(R[T]_f)$. Thus in the ring $R[T]_f$ we get $((I_1)_f, \omega_1 \otimes R[T]_f) = 0$. Hence using ([2], Theorem 7.2) there exists $l_i \in (I_1)_f$ such that $(I_1)_f = \langle l_1, \dots, l_d \rangle$, with $h_i - l_i \in (I_1)_f^2$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that $f^{2k}l_i \in I_1$, for all i . Since f is unit modulo I_1 , to show $(I_1, \omega_1) = 0$ by Lemma 2.4 it is enough to show that $(I_1, \overline{f^{2k}\omega_1}) = 0$ in $E(R[T])$. Therefore, we can replace l_i with $f^{2k}l_i$ and may assume that $l_i \in I_1$.

Using Lemma 2.5 we get $\epsilon \in \text{SL}_d(R[T]_f)$ such that $(l_1, \dots, l_d)\epsilon = (l'_1, \dots, l'_d)$, where $l'_i \in I_1$ and $\text{ht}(\langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T]) = d$.

Let $\langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T] = \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i \bigcap_{i=r+1}^n q_i$ be the reduced primary decomposition, where q_i 's are p_i -primary ideals in $R[T]$, where $f \notin p_i$ for $i \leq r$ and $f \in p_i$ for all $i > r$. Since $(I_1)_f = \langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle_f$ is a proper ideal of height d , $r \geq 1$. Let $I_2 = \bigcap_{i=r+1}^n q_i$, thus $\text{ht}(I_2) \geq d$ and some power of f is in I_2 .

Now note that $I_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i$. To prove this note that $\langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T]_f = \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_{if}$ implies $\langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T] \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i$. Now suppose that there exists another p -primary ideal q (where $p \neq p_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$) in the reduced primary decomposition of the ideal $\langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T]$. This gives us (note that $p_f \neq p_{if}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, as $p \neq p_i$) $p_f = R[T]_f$. This implies that $f \in p$ which is not possible as $I_1 + \langle f \rangle = R[T]$ implies $p + \langle f \rangle = R[T]$. Thus $I_1 = \bigcap_{i=1}^r q_i$.

Hence we get (1) I_2 contains a monic polynomial (some power of f), (2) $I_1 + I_2 = R[T]$, (as going modulo I_1 any power of f is a unit) and (3) $I_1 \cap I_2 = \langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T]$.

Note that (3) gives us for any prime $p \supset I_2$, we must have $\mu((I_2)_p) \leq d$ and hence $\text{ht}(I_2) = d$. Let $I_1 \cap I_2 = \langle l'_1, \dots, l'_d \rangle R[T]$ induces (I_1, ω'_1) and (I_2, ω_2) . Then note that since f is a monic polynomial and $\det(\epsilon) = 1$ in $R[T]_f$ we have $\det(\epsilon) = 1$ in the ring $R[T]$. Also note that since $I_1 + \langle f \rangle = R[T]$, we have $R[T]/I_1 = (R[T]/I_1)_f$ and thus $\epsilon \in \text{SL}_d(R[T]/I_1)$. Hence by ([9], 4.1) to show that $(I_1, \overline{f^{2k}\omega_1}) = 0$, it is enough to show that $(I_1, \omega'_1) = 0$. Therefore to show that $(I_1, \omega_1) = 0$, it is enough to show that $(I_1, \omega'_1) = 0$.

Now we have $(I_1, \omega'_1) + (I_2, \omega_2) = 0$ in $E^d(R[T])$. Since I_2 contains a monic and $d \geq 3$, by ([8], Theorem 3.2) ω_2 can be lifted to a set of generators of I_2 . Using [5] we get $(I_2, \omega_2) = 0$ and hence $(I_1, \omega'_1) = 0$. This gives us $(I_1, \omega_1) = 0$ and this implies that $(I, \omega) = 0$. \square

4. SPLITTING CRITERION VIA AN OBSTRUCTION CLASS AND OBSTRUCTION GROUP

Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a finitely generated projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d , with a trivial determinant (via χ). The purpose of this section is to define a local orientation for the triplet (P, λ, χ) , in the group $E^d(R[T])$ and show that the vanishing of a local orientation is sufficient for P to have a unimodular element, where λ is a generic surjection. First we will prove some addition and subtraction principles. For most of the proofs in this section we will frequently move to the ring $R(T)$, prove the results in $R(T)$ then using Theorem 3.1 we will come back to the ring $R[T]$. Some of the results below were proved for Noetherian ring containing \mathbb{Q} in [7].

Proposition 4.1. (*Addition principle*) *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height d . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ and $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$. Then $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$ and $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.*

Proof. Since $\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(J)$ in the ring $R(T)$ both the ideals $IR(T)$ and $JR(T)$ are of height $\geq d$. Now note that if one of them is of height $> d$, then there is nothing to prove. So without loss of generality we may assume that each ideal is of height d .

Since $I + J = R[T]$, using the Chinese Remainder Theorem we have $I \cap J / (I \cap J)^2 \cong I/I^2 \oplus J/J^2$. Hence the given set of generators of I and J will induce a set of generators a_i 's of $(I \cap J) / (I \cap J)^2$ such that $a_i - f_i \in I^2$ and $a_i - g_i \in J^2$. Thus to prove the theorem it is enough to find a lift of $I \cap J = \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle + (I \cap J)^2$ to a set of generators of $I \cap J$.

In the ring $R(T)$, we have $\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(J) = \text{ht}(I \cap J) = \dim(R(T)) = d$. Hence using ([5], Theorem 3.2) we can find $H_i \in (I \cap J)R(T)$ such that $(I \cap J)R(T) = \langle H_1, \dots, H_d \rangle R(T)$, with $H_i - f_i \in IR(T)^2$ and $H_i - g_i \in JR(T)^2$. Now use Theorem 3.1 to conclude the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. (*Subtraction principle*) *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height d . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ and $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in J$ such that $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$ with $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.*

Proof. The proof uses the same arguments as in Proposition 4.1 with slight modification, so we will only sketch a proof. As before, without loss of generality we may assume $\text{ht}(IR(T)) = \text{ht}(JR(T)) = \text{ht}((I \cap J)R(T)) = \dim(R(T)) = d$. Since $I + J =$

$R[T]$, we get $J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle + J^2$. Again observe that to prove the theorem it is enough to find a lift of $J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle + J^2$ to a set of generators of J . Now using ([5], Theorem 3.3) in the ring $R(T)$ we can find $G_i \in JR(T)$ such that $G_i - h_i \in JR(T)^2$. Then as before we use Theorem 3.1 to complete the proof. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let R be affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d . Moreover suppose that α and β are two surjections from $(R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ such that there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_d(R[T]/I)$ with the property that $\alpha\sigma = \beta$. Then if α can be lifted to a surjection $\theta : (R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$ then so is β .*

Proof. Since $\dim(R[T]/I) \leq 1$, using Theorem 2.3, we can find $\epsilon \in \mathrm{SL}_d(R[T])$, which lifts σ . Since $\epsilon \in \mathrm{SL}_d(R[T])$ and θ is a surjection, it follows that $\theta\epsilon : (R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$ is also a surjection. Thus it is only remains to show that $(\theta\epsilon) \otimes (R[T]/I) = \beta$. But this follows from the fact that $\epsilon \otimes R[T]/I = \sigma$ and $\theta \otimes R[T]/I = \alpha$. \square

Now we proceed to define the d -th Euler class group of $R[T]$ where R is an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$.

Definition 4.4. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that I/I^2 is generated by d elements. Let α and β be two surjections from $(R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. We say α and β are related if there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_d((R[T]/I)^d)$ be such that $\alpha\sigma = \beta$. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of surjections from $(R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. Let $[\alpha]$ denote the equivalence class of α . If f_1, \dots, f_d generate I/I^2 , we obtain a surjection $\alpha : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$, sending e_i to f_i . We say $[\alpha]$ is given by the set of generators f_1, \dots, f_d of I/I^2 .

Let G be the free abelian group on the set B of pairs (I, ω_I) , where:

- (i) $I \subset R[T]$ is an ideal of height d ,
- (ii) $\mathrm{Spec}(R[T]/I)$ is connected,
- (iii) I/I^2 is generated by d elements, and
- (iv) $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ is an equivalence class of surjections $\alpha : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$.

Let $J \subset R[T]$ be a proper ideal. we get $J_i \subset R[T]$ such that $J = J_1 \cap J_2 \cap \dots \cap J_r$, where J_i 's are proper, pairwise co-maximal and $\mathrm{Spec}(R[T]/J_i)$ is connected. We shall say that J_i are the connected components of J .

Let $K \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d , K/K^2 is generated by d elements and $K = \cap K_i$ be the decomposition of K into its connected components. Then note that for every i , $\mathrm{ht}(K_i) = d$ and by Chinese remainder theorem K_i/K_i^2 is generated by d elements. Let $\omega_K : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow K/K^2$ be a surjection. Then in a natural way ω_K gives rise to

surjections $\omega_{K_i} : (R[T]/K_i)^d \twoheadrightarrow K_i/K_i^2$. We associate the pair (K, ω_K) , to the element $\sum_{i=1}^r (K_i, \omega_{K_i})$ of G . We will call (K, ω_K) as a local orientation of K induced by ω_K .

Let H be the subgroup of G generated by the set S of pairs (J, ω_J) , where $\omega_J : (R[T]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ has a surjective lift $\theta : (R[T]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J$. Then we define the quotient group G/H as the d -th Euler class group of $R[T]$ denoted as $E^d(R[T])$. A local orientation (J, ω_J) is said to be a global orientation if ω_J lifts to a set of generators of J .

Remark 4.5. Note that the decomposition of K into its connected components is unique by ([7], Lemma 4.5) as the proof of Lemma 4.5 does not require the assumption that the ring contains \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 4.6. The class of the pair (I, ω_I) defined above is well-defined by the Proposition 4.3.

Lemma 4.7. *Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$, $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that I/I^2 is generated by d elements. Let $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be a local orientation of I . Suppose that the image of (I, ω_I) is zero in the Euler class group $E^d(R[T])$. Then, I is generated by d elements and ω_I can be lifted to a surjection $\theta : (R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$.*

Proof. The proof is done in ([9], Theorem 4.7), with some additional assumption $p \neq 2$, whenever $d = 3$, but this can be removed if one uses Proposition 4.2 in the appropriate places. \square

Definition 4.8. (Local orientation induced by a Projective module) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d having trivial determinant. Let $\chi : R[T] \cong \wedge^d P$ be an isomorphism. To the pair (P, χ) , we associate an element $e(P, \chi)$ of $E^d(R[T])$ as follows:

Let $\lambda : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ be a surjection, where I is an ideal of $R[T]$ of height d . Let ‘bar’ denote going modulo I . We obtain an induced surjection $\lambda \otimes R[T]/I : P/IP \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. Note that, since P has trivial determinant and $\dim(R[T]/I) \leq 1$, P/IP is a free $R[T]/I$ -module of rank d . We choose an isomorphism $\phi : (R[T]/I)^d \xrightarrow{\sim} P/IP$, such that $\wedge^d \phi = \chi \otimes R[T]/I$. Let ω_I be the surjection $(\lambda \otimes R[T]/I) \circ \phi : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. We say that (I, ω_I) is a local orientation induced by P together with the pair (P, λ, χ) .

Whenever the class of (I, ω_I) in $E(R[T])$ induced by the triplet (P, λ, χ) becomes independent of a certain choice of the pair (λ, I) we will define the Euler class $e(P, \chi)$ of the pair (P, χ) as the image of (I, ω_I) in $E(R[T])$.

Notation. Continuing with the above notations we might omit P sometimes and only say (I, ω_I) is induced by (λ, χ) , if there are no confusions. By saying a local orientation

induced by (P, χ) we mean to say a local orientation induced by the triplet (P, λ, χ) , for some suitably chosen $\lambda \in P^*$.

Theorem 4.9. *Continuing with the notations as in the Definition 4.8, furthermore assume that $(d-1)! \in R^*$. Then the assignment sending the pair (P, χ) to the element $e(P, \chi)$, as described above, is well defined.*

Proof. Let $\mu : P \twoheadrightarrow J$ be another surjection where $J \subset R[T]$ is an ideal of height d . Let (J, ω_J) be obtained from (μ, χ) . Then we need to show $(I, \omega_I) = (J, \omega_J)$ in $E(R[T])$.

Applying Lemma 2.2, get an ideal $K \subset R[T]$ such that K is co-maximal with I, J and there exists a surjection $\nu : (R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I \cap K$ such that $\nu \otimes R[T]/I = \omega_I$. Since I and K are co-maximal ν induces a local orientation ω_K of K and we have $(I, \omega_I) + (K, \omega_K) = 0$ in $E(R[T])$.

Let $L = K \cap J$, then again as before since K and J are co-maximal ω_K and ω_J together will induce a local orientation ω_L of L and we have $(L, \omega_L) = (K, \omega_K) + (J, \omega_J)$ in $E(R[T])$. Thus to prove the theorem it is enough to show $(L, \omega_L) = 0$ that is in the view of Theorem 3.1 enough to show $(L \otimes R(T), \omega_L \otimes R(T)) = 0$ in $E(R(T))$.

Since $(d-1)! \in R^*$, $e(P \otimes R(T), \chi \otimes R(T))$ is well-defined in $E(R(T))$ (see [5], Section 4), hence the result follows. \square

In the next theorem we will show that the vanishing of any local orientation in the Euler class group induced by the triplet (P, λ, χ) is sufficient for the projective module to have a unimodular element.

Theorem 4.10. *Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $R[T]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d . Moreover assume that there exists a surjection $\lambda : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and (λ, χ) induces a local orientation (I, ω_I) . Suppose that $(I, \omega_I) = 0$ in $E^d(R[T])$. Then P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. Recall that (λ, χ) induces (I, ω_I) means there exists an isomorphism $\phi : (R[T]/I)^d \xrightarrow{\sim} P/IP$, such that $\wedge^d \phi = \chi \otimes R[T]/I$ and $\omega = (\lambda \otimes R[T]/I) \circ \phi$.

Note that in a view of ([6], Theorem 3.4) to show that P has a unimodular element it is enough to show that $P \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element.

Since (I, ω_I) vanishes in $E(R[T])$ by Lemma 4.7 there exists a surjection $\theta : (R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$, such that $\theta \otimes R[T]/I = \omega$.

Thus in the ring $R(T)$ we have:

- (i) $\lambda \otimes R(T) : P \otimes R(T) \twoheadrightarrow IR(T)$;
- (ii) $\theta \otimes R(T) : (R(T))^d \twoheadrightarrow IR(T)$;
- (iii) $\phi : (R[T]/I)^d \xrightarrow{\sim} P/IP$ such that, $\omega \otimes R(T)/IR(T) = (\lambda \otimes R(T)/IR(T)) \circ (\phi \otimes R(T)/IR(T))$ and $\wedge^d(\phi \otimes R(T)/IR(T)) = \chi \otimes R(T)/IR(T)$.

Hence using ([5], Corollary 3.4) $P \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element and this completes the proof. \square

The same proof will give us the following corollary and we therefore omit the proof.

Corollary 4.11. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\dim(R) = d \geq 2$. Let P and Q be projective $R[T]$ -modules of rank d and $d - 1$ respectively, such that their determinants are free. Let $\chi : \wedge^d P \cong \wedge^d(Q \oplus R[T])$ be an isomorphism. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that there exists surjections $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and $\beta : Q \oplus R[T] \twoheadrightarrow I$. Let 'bar' denotes going modulo I . Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\delta : \overline{P} \cong \overline{Q \oplus R[T]}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\overline{\beta}\delta = \overline{\alpha}$;
- (ii) $\wedge^d \delta = \overline{\chi}$.

Then P has a unimodular element.

The next theorem is a stronger version of Theorem 4.10 with an extra assumption on the ring R . Together with Theorem 4.9 it says that the assignments of (P, λ, χ) to an element $e(P, \chi) \in E(R[T])$, is precisely the obstruction class for P to have a unimodular element. In this case we will call the Euler class group $E(R[T])$ is the obstruction group to detect the existence of a unimodular element in a projective $R[T]$ -module (with trivial determinant) of rank equal to the dimension of R .

Theorem 4.12. *Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$ (with an extra assumption $(d - 1)! \in R^*$) and P be a projective $R[T]$ -module with trivial determinant (via χ) of rank d . Then P has a unimodular element if and only if $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[T])$.*

Proof. Note that only remaining part is to prove the if part. So we may begin with the assumption that P has a unimodular element. Since $(d - 1)! \in R^*$, by Theorem 4.9, $e(P, \chi)$ is well defined. Thus enough to show any $(I, \omega_I) \in E(R[T])$ which represents the same class as of $e(P, \chi)$ vanishes.

In a view of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to show that $(IR(T), \omega_I \otimes R(T)/IR(T))$ vanishes. But note that since $(d - 1)! \in R(T)^*$, we can use ([5], Corollary 4.4) in the ring $R(T)$. Thus since $P \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element $(IR(T), \omega_I \otimes R(T)/IR(T)) = 0$ in $E(R(T))$, and this completes a proof. \square

For the next result we will sketch a proof to avoid repeating same arguments used earlier in this paper.

Theorem 4.13. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ -dimensional smooth affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $\mu(I/I^2) = \text{ht}(I) = d$. Then any set of generators of $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$ can be lifted to a set of generators of I .*

Proof.

Case -1 ($d = 2$). Following the same proof of (Theorem 3.1, case 1) we get P_1 . Since R is smooth and $\dim(R) \leq 2$, P_1 is extended from R and hence free by ([9], Corollary 2.9) (as P_1 has trivial determinant). Then again we can follow the last paragraph of the proof of (Theorem 3.1, case 1) to conclude the result.

Case -2 ($d \geq 3$). Note that for $d \geq 3$ the above result follows from ([4] Lemma 4.3, [8] Theorem 2.4 and the subtraction principle ([2], Proposition 6.2) taking $n = d$. \square

Corollary 4.14. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ -dimensional smooth affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d with trivial determinant, then P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. Since by Theorem 4.13 any local orientation of an ideal I (with the property $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2)$) can be lifted to a global orientation of I . Thus by Lemma 4.7, $E(R[T]) = 0$, hence in particular any local orientation induced by the pair (P, χ) vanishes thus by Theorem 4.10, P has a unimodular element. \square

Remark 4.15. Corollary 4.14 easily follows from [17] since P is extended from R (as R being smooth). This corollary is an Euler class theoretic treatment of the same.

5. A SPLITTING CRITERION VIA PROJECTIVE GENERATION OF COMPLETE INTERSECTION CURVES OVER ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELD OF CHARACTERISTICS $\neq 2$

In this section we will prove a cancellation result in dimension 2 over some C_1 field of characteristics $\neq 2$. Note that if the ring is smooth this result is due to A. A. Suslin ([25], Theorem 2.4). Here we drop the smoothness assumption of A. A. Suslin's proof using a clever observation by P. Raman which is crucial in our set-up. The remaining part of this section is devoted to rediscover some of its consequences as a splitting criterion of projective modules.

Theorem 5.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field characteristic $p \neq 2$ and R be a two dimensional affine algebra over the C_1 field $k(T)$ where $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 2$, which is essentially of finite type over. Then stably free modules over R are free. In other words, $\text{Um}_3(R) = e_1 \text{SL}_3(R)$.*

Proof. Let $R = S^{-1}A$ where A is affine over k . Let \mathfrak{J}_A and \mathfrak{J}_R be the ideal of singular locus of A and R respectively. Then note that since k is perfect $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{J}_A) \geq 1$ and thus $\text{ht}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{J}_A) \geq 1$. Now also note that $S^{-1}\mathfrak{J}_A \subset \mathfrak{J}_R$. To show this it is enough to show for any $a = \frac{t}{s} \in S^{-1}\mathfrak{J}_A$, R_a is smooth. Put $R_a = (S^{-1}A)_{\frac{t}{s}} = S^{-1}(R_t)$. Now R_t is smooth as $t \in \mathfrak{J}_A$ and hence so is R_a . Thus we can always assume the ideal of singular locus \mathfrak{J}_R of R has a positive height.

Let $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \text{Um}_3(R)$. Let $B = R/\mathfrak{I}_R$ and ‘bar’ denotes going modulo \mathfrak{I}_R . Then in the B we have got $\text{Um}_3(B) = e_1 E_3(B)$. Since $E_3(R) \twoheadrightarrow E_3(B)$ is a surjection, we can always replace (a_1, a_2, a_3) by $(a_1, a_2, a_3)\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon \in E_3(R)$ and may assume $a_3 - 1 \in \mathfrak{I}_R$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{I}_R$. Also note that using ([26], 9.3) replacing a_3 by $a_3 + \lambda_1 a_1 + \lambda_2 a_2$ we may also assume a_3 is a non-zero divisor keeping the fact $a_3 - 1 \in \mathfrak{I}_R$ unchanged.

Let $C = R/\langle a_3 \rangle$. Then note that since $a_3 - 1 \in \mathfrak{I}_R$, C is a smooth curve. Let ‘tilde’ denotes going modulo a_3 . Since $p \neq 2$, by ([25], 1.4) $SK_1(C)$ is a 2-divisible group and by ([25], 1.7) $SK_1(C) \cong K_1 Sp(C)$. Thus following A. A. Suslin’s proof of ([25], 2.4) we have $[\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2] = [\tilde{b}_1^2, \tilde{b}_2]$ in $SK_1(C)$. Therefore we get $\alpha \in \text{SL}_2(C) \cap E(C)$ such that $(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2)\alpha = (\tilde{b}_1^2, \tilde{b}_2)$. Since $[\alpha] = 0$ in $K_1 Sp(C)$, we have $\alpha \in \text{SL}_2(C) \cap Ep(C)$. Hence using ([25], 2.1) we get $\beta \in \text{SL}_2(R) \cap Ep(R)$ such that $\alpha \equiv \beta \pmod{\langle a_3 \rangle}$. Thus $(a_1, a_2, a_3)(\beta \perp 1) = (b_1^2, b_2, a_3) \pmod{E_3(R)}$. Using a theorem of Swan-Towber (b_1^2, b_2, a_3) is completable and therefore so is (a_1, a_2, a_3) . \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 2$. Then $E^d(R(T)) \cong E_0^d(R(T))$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for any ideal $I \subset R(T)$ with $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = \mu(I) = d$, and for any local orientation ω_I of I , $(I, \omega_I) = 0$ in $E^d(R(T))$.

Let $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle$ and ω_I be induced by $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Replacing (a_1, \dots, a_d) by $(a_1, \dots, a_d)\epsilon$ we may always assume $\dim(R(T)/\langle a_3, \dots, a_d \rangle) \leq 2$ for some suitably chosen $\epsilon \in E_d(R(T))$. Let $B = R(T)/\langle a_3, \dots, a_d \rangle$, then note that B is at-most a two dimensional affine algebra over a C_1 field $k(T)$, where k is algebraically closed of characteristic $p \neq 2$. Also B is essentially of finite type over k . Let ‘bar’ denote going modulo $\langle a_3, \dots, a_d \rangle$. As an $R(T)/I$ -module two sets of generators of I/I^2 must differ by some invertible matrix $\alpha \in \text{GL}_d(R(T)/I)$. Let $\det(\alpha) = a \in (R(T)/I)^*$. Get $b \in R(T)$ be such $ab - 1 \in I$. Then note that $(\bar{b}, \bar{a}_2, -\bar{a}_1) \in \text{Um}_3(B) = e_1 \text{SL}_3(B)$ (by 5.1). Using ([5], 5.2) get $\tau \in M_2(B)$ such that $(\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2)\tau = (\bar{a}'_1, \bar{a}'_2)$, where $\bar{I} = \langle \bar{a}'_1, \bar{a}'_2 \rangle$ and $\det(\tau) - a \in I$.

Thus in the ring $R(T)$ we get, $I = \langle a'_1, a'_2, a_3, \dots, a_d \rangle$. Define $\theta = \tau \perp I_{d-2} \in \text{GL}_d(R(T)/I)$ then note that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)\theta = (a'_1, a'_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)$ and $\det(\theta) - a \in I$. Since $\det(\theta) - \det(\alpha) \in I$, there exists $\epsilon' \in \text{SL}_d(R(T)/I) = E_d(R(T)/I)$ such that $\theta\epsilon' = \alpha$. Since $\dim(R(T)/I) = 0$, the natural map $E_d(R(T)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{SL}_d(R(T)/I) = E_d(R(T)/I)$ is surjective. Therefore we can lift ϵ' and get $\epsilon \in E_d(R(T))$ such they are equal modulo I . Let $(F_1, \dots, F_d) = (a'_1, a'_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)\epsilon$. Then note that $I = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$. It only remains to show $F_i - f_i \in I^2$.

Consider any d -tuple $[(a_1, \dots, a_d)]$ as a map $(R(T)/I)^d \rightarrow I/I^2$ sending $e_i \rightarrow \bar{a}_i$. Then we have $[(F_1, \dots, F_d)] = [(a'_1, a'_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)\epsilon] = [(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_d)\theta\epsilon] = [(a_1, \dots, a_d)\theta\epsilon'] = [(a_1, \dots, a_d)\alpha] = [(f_1, \dots, f_d)]$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 2$. Let P be a projective $R[T]$ -module of rank d and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that there is a surjection $\phi : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. If $\mu(I) = d$ then P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. Note that if I contains a monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$, then P_f has a unimodular element via the map $\phi \otimes A[T]_f$. Thus using ([6], 3.4) P has a unimodular element. Thus we may always assume I does not contain any monic polynomial.

Thus in the ring $R(T)$ we have $\text{ht}(IR(T)) = \mu(IR(T)) = \mu(IR(T)/I^2R(T)) = d$. Thus using 5.2, for any local orientation ω_I of $IR(T)$, we must have $(IR(T), \omega_I) = 0$. Let the surjection ϕ induce a local orientation ω of I . Since $(IR(T), \omega \otimes R(T)) = 0$ in $E^d(R(T))$, the projective module $P \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element. We obtain a monic polynomial $f \in R[T]$, such that P_f has a unimodular element and therefore $\text{Um}(P) \neq \emptyset$ by ([6], Theorem 3.4). \square

Remark 5.4. Note that for $\text{char}(k) = 0$, Theorem 5.1 holds without any restrictions on the characteristic of the field (see [21], Proposition 3.1). Thus the same proofs of Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 will go through as well in the characteristic 0 set-up, which drops the domain assumption in ([6], Theorem 4.5).

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$ and P be a stably free $R[T]$ -module of rank d . Then P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. Note that in view of Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4 it is enough to show that P maps surjectively onto an ideal $I \subset R[T]$, such that $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I) = d$. Since P is stably free $R[T]$ -module of rank d , by [19], $R[T] \oplus P \cong (R[T])^{d+1}$. We have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (R[T])^d \longrightarrow R[T] \oplus P \xrightarrow{(a, -\alpha)} R[T] \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Using ([5], Corollary 2.1), we may replace α with $\alpha + a\beta$, for some $\beta \in P^*$, and assume $\text{ht}(\alpha(P)) = d$ or $\alpha(P) = R[T]$. Note that by this replacement of α , the kernel remain unaltered. If $\alpha(P) = R[T]$, then this proves the theorem. So let us assume that $\alpha(P) = I \subset R[T]$ is a proper ideal of height d . Then by ([5], Lemma 2.8(i)) we get $\mu(I) = d$. \square

6. A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR SPLITTING OF A PROJECTIVE
MODULE ON POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS OVER $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

This section is a sequel of the previous section. Here we have shown that we can strengthen the results of the previous section whenever the base field is $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, with the assumption $p \neq 2$. We begin with the following remark.

Remark 6.1. Note that following the arguments given in ([7], Section 6) and using Theorem 3.1 one can define the d -th weak Euler class group $E_0(R[T])$ without the assumption that ring contains the field of rationals.

Theorem 6.2. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Further assume $p \neq 2$. Then $E(R[T]) \cong E_0(R[T])$.*

Proof. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $\mu(I) = \text{ht}(I) = d$. Note that since the canonical map $E(R[T]) \rightarrow E_0(R[T])$ is surjective it is enough to show any local orientation $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$ has a lift.

Note that for I containing a monic polynomial it follows from ([8], 3.2) so without loss of generality we may assume I does not contain a monic polynomial, i.e. $\text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(IR(T))$. By Theorem 5.2 we have $E^d(R(T)) \cong E_0^d(R(T))$, thus there exists $F_i \in IR(T)$ such that $IR(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $f_i - F_i \in IR(T)^2$. Now use 3.1, to get a lift of f_i 's. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $(d-1)! \in R^*$. Let P be a projective $R[T]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height d such that there is a surjection $\phi : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Then P has a unimodular element if and only if $\mu(I) = d$.*

Proof. Note that if $\mu(I) = d$, then by Theorem 5.3 P has a unimodular element (even for $d = 2$ and without P having trivial determinant). We now assume that P has a unimodular element. Note that again as before we may always assume I does not contain any monic polynomial. Since P has a unimodular element so does $P \otimes R(T)$. Let ω be the local orientation of I induced by ϕ and an isomorphism $\chi : \wedge^d P \cong R[T]$. Note that in the ring $R(T)$ we have $e(PR(T), \chi \otimes R(T)) = (IR(T), \omega \otimes R(T))$ in the group $E^d(R(T))$. Since $P \otimes R(T)$ has a unimodular element we have $\mu(I \otimes R(T)) = d$, thus using Theorem 5.2 we have $(IR(T), \omega \otimes R(T)) = 0$. Now use Theorem 3.1 to complete the proof. \square

7. SPLITTING CRITERION AND A QUESTION OF ROITMAN OVER SOME FINITE
 \mathbb{Z} -ALGEBRAS

By a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra we mean a finitely generated algebra over \mathbb{Z} . The following proof is motivated by [5].

Lemma 7.1. *Let A be a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra of dimension $d \geq 3$. Moreover assume that there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ be such that $n \in A^*$. Let P and Q be projective A -modules of rank d and $d-1$ respectively, such that their determinants are trivial. Let $\chi : A \cong \wedge^d P$ be an isomorphism. Let $I \subset A$ be an ideal of height $\geq d-1$ and $J \subset A$ be an ideal of height $\geq d$ such that there exists surjections $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I \cap J$ and $\beta : A^d \twoheadrightarrow I$. Let 'bar' denotes going modulo I . Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\delta : \overline{A^d} \cong \overline{P}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\overline{\beta} = \overline{\alpha}\delta$;
- (ii) $\wedge^d \delta = \overline{\chi}$.

Then there exists a surjection $\gamma : P \twoheadrightarrow J$ such that $\gamma \otimes A/J = \alpha \otimes R/J$.

Proof. Let β correspond to $I = \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle$. Going modulo J^2 we may assume (i) $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \rangle + J^2 = A$, (ii) $a_d \in J^2$ and replacing a_i by $a_i + \lambda_i a_d$ (without changing its notations), for some $\lambda_i \in A$, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ we may assume (iii) $\text{ht}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \rangle) = d-1$. Now replacing a_d by $a_d + \lambda$, for some $\lambda \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \rangle$, with $\lambda - 1 \in J^2$, we may assume $a_d - 1 \in J^2$.

Consider the following ideals in $A[T]$: $K' = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d + T \rangle$, $K'' = J[T]$ and $K = K' \cap K''$. Then it is enough to show there exists a surjection $\theta : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow K$ such that $\theta(0) = \alpha$. As if we can do, then specializing at $1 - a_d$ we get $\gamma := \theta(1 - a_d) : P \twoheadrightarrow J$. Since $a - 1 \in J^2$, we have $\gamma \otimes A/J = \theta(0) \otimes A/J = \alpha \otimes A/J$. In the rest of the proof we will find such an θ .

$\dim(A[T]/K') = \dim(A/\langle a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \rangle) \leq 1$, hence the module $P[T]/K'P[T]$ is free of rank d . We choose an isomorphism $\kappa(T) : (A[T]/K')^d \cong P[T]/K'P[T]$ such that $\wedge^d \kappa(T) = \chi \otimes A[T]/K'$. Therefore, $\wedge^d \kappa(0) = \wedge^d \delta$. Thus $\kappa(0)$ and δ differs by an element $\alpha \in \text{SL}_d(A/I)$. By [[26] 16.4], $SK_1(A/I) = 0$, thus for all $d \geq 3$, $\text{SL}_d(A/I) = E_d(A/I)$, hence we can lift $\alpha \in E_d(A)$ and use this to alter $\kappa(T)$ so that $\kappa(0) = \delta$.

Sending the canonical basis vectors to $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d + T$ respectively we have a surjection from $(A[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow K'$, which induces a surjection $\epsilon(T) : (A[T]K')^d \twoheadrightarrow K'/K'^2$.

Let $\phi(T) := \epsilon(T)\kappa(T)^{-1} : P[T]/K'P[T] \twoheadrightarrow K'/K'^2$. Note that $\phi(0) = \epsilon(0)\kappa(0)^{-1} = \alpha \otimes A/I$. Since $d \geq \dim(A[T]/K') + 2 = 3$, using ([15], Theorem 2.3) we get $\theta(T) : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow K$ such that $\theta(0) = \alpha$. □

Theorem 7.2. *Let A be a finite \mathbb{Z} -algebra of dimension $d \geq 1$. Moreover assume that there exists an integer $n \geq 2$ such that $n \in A^*$. Let P be a projective $A[T]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d and $J \subset A[T]$ be an ideal of height d containing a monic polynomial. Suppose that there exists a surjection $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow J$ then P has a unimodular element.*

Proof. For $d = 1$ the theorem follows from the Affine Horrocks' Theorem, which is proved in ([20] and [24]) and for $d = 2$ the proof is done in ([3], Proposition 3.3). Therefore we may assume that $d \geq 3$.

Fix $\chi : A[T] \cong \wedge^d P$. Let 'bar' denote going modulo J . Since P has trivial determinant and $\dim(A[T]/J) \leq 1$, P/IP is a free $A[T]$ -module of rank d . Let $\delta : (A[T]/J)^d \cong P/J_P$ be such that $\wedge^d \delta = \chi \otimes A[T]/J$. Let $\omega = (\alpha \otimes A[T]/J)\delta : (A[T]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$. Since J contains a monic polynomial and $\dim(A[T]/J) + 2 \leq 3 \leq d$, by (N. M. Kumar) there exists $\beta : (A[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow J$ such that $\beta \otimes A[T]/J = \omega$.

Since $\wedge^d P$ is extended from the ring A in view of ([6], Theorem 2.3) it is enough to show that P/TP has a unimodular element. Let us define some notations:

$$P/TP =: P(0), J \otimes A[T]/\langle T \rangle =: J(0),$$

$$\alpha \otimes A[T]/\langle T \rangle =: \alpha(0) : P(0) \twoheadrightarrow J(0),$$

$$\beta \otimes A[T]/\langle T \rangle =: \beta(0) : A^d \twoheadrightarrow J(0),$$

$$\omega \otimes A/J(0) = \omega(0) : (A/J(0))^d \twoheadrightarrow J(0)/J(0)^2$$

$$\delta \otimes A[T]/\langle T \rangle =: \delta(0) : (A/J(0))^d \xrightarrow{\sim} P(0)/J(0)P(0) \text{ and}$$

$$\chi \otimes A[T]/\langle T \rangle =: \chi(0) : A \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^d P(0).$$

Since $J \cap A \subset J(0)$, $\text{ht}(J(0)) \geq d - 1$.

Now note that

$$(i) \beta(0) \otimes A/J(0) = (\alpha(0) \otimes A/J(0))\delta(0) (= \omega(0)) \text{ and};$$

$$(ii) \wedge^d \delta(0) = \chi(0) \otimes A/J(0).$$

Thus using Lemma 7.1, $P(0)$ has a unimodular element. \square

8. ON LAURENT POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

Definition 8.1. A Laurent polynomial f in $A[X, X^{-1}]$ is said to be doubly monic if the coefficients of the highest and the lowest degree terms are units.

Definition 8.2. A polynomial f in $A[X]$ is said to be special monic if f is monic and the constant term is 1.

Theorem 8.3. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal containing a doubly monic Laurent polynomial. Moreover assume $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle + I^2$, with $n \geq \max\{(\dim R[T, T^{-1}]/I + 1), 2\}$. Then there exists $g_i \in I$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $I = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, with $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

Proof. Let $J = I \cap R[T]$. Define $h_i = T^k f_i \in R[T]$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since the image of the matrix $\text{diag}(\frac{1}{T^k}, \frac{1}{T^k}, \dots, \frac{1}{T^k})$ is in $\text{GL}_n(R[T, T^{-1}]/I^2)$ we have $I = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle + I^2$.

We claim that $J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle + J^2$. Note that to prove the claim it is enough show for all prime ideals $p \subset R[T]$, we have $JR[T]_p = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle R[T]_p + J^2R[T]_p$. Since I contains a doubly monic Laurent polynomial, this gives us the fact J contains a special monic polynomial say h . Then by replacing h_1 with $h_1 + h^{k'}(T - h_1(0) + 1)$, for some suitably chosen $k' > 1$ we may further assume h_1 is a special monic without changing the assumption $\frac{h_1}{T^k} - f_1 \in I^2$. If $T \in p$ then $h_1 \notin p$, so in this case we have $JR[T]_p = R[T]_p = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle R[T]_p + J^2R[T]_p$. And if $T \notin p$ then this gives us $JR[T]_p = IR[T, T^{-1}]_{pR[T, T^{-1}]} = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle R[T, T^{-1}]_{pR[T, T^{-1}]} + I^2R[T, T^{-1}]_{pR[T, T^{-1}]} = \langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle R[T]_p + J^2R[T]_p$. Hence this established our claim.

Since I contains a doubly monic Laurent polynomial, we have $\dim(R[T]/J) = \dim(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)$. Thus by ([8], Theorem 3.2) we can find $G_i \in J$, such that $J = \langle G_1, \dots, G_n \rangle$ with $G_i - h_i \in J^2$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now $I = JR[T, T^{-1}]$, gives us the fact $I = \langle G_1, \dots, G_n \rangle$. Define $g_i = \frac{G_i}{T^k}$, then note that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$, and $g_i - f_i = \frac{G_i - h_i}{T^k} \in J^2R[T, T^{-1}] = I^2$ and this completes a proof. \square

Notation. We denote $\mathfrak{A} = S^{-1}R[T, T^{-1}]$, where $S \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ is the multiplicatively closed set consisting all doubly monic Laurent polynomials.

Theorem 8.4. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) = \mu(I/I^2) = d$. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in I\mathfrak{A}$ be such that $I\mathfrak{A} = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in (I\mathfrak{A})^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in I$ be such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, where $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1 one can established the fact that it is enough to assume I contains a doubly monic. Then use Theorem 8.3 to complete a proof. \square

We are now stating results on Laurent polynomial rings without proofs. The proofs are exactly follows following the same arguments used in the case of polynomial algebras, thus we left it to the readers.

Proposition 8.5. *(Addition principle) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height d . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ and $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$. Then $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$ and $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.*

Proposition 8.6. *(Subtraction principle) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height d . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$*

and $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in J$ such that $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$ with $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.

Proposition 8.7. *Let R is affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d . Moreover suppose that α and β are two surjections from $(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ such that there exists $\sigma \in \text{SL}_d(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)$ with the property that $\alpha\sigma = \beta$. If α can be lifted to a surjection $\theta : (R[T, T^{-1}])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$ then β can also be lifted.*

Definition 8.8. Let $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d such that I/I^2 is generated by d elements. Let α and β be two surjections from $(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. We say that α and β are related if there exists $\sigma \in \text{SL}_d((R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d)$ be such that $\alpha\sigma = \beta$. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of surjections from $(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. Let $[\alpha]$ denote the equivalence class of α . If f_1, \dots, f_d generate I/I^2 , we obtain a surjection $\alpha : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$, sending e_i to f_i . We say $[\alpha]$ is given by the set of generators f_1, \dots, f_d of I/I^2 .

Let G be the free abelian group on the set B of pairs (I, ω_J) , where:

- (i) $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ is an ideal of height d ,
- (ii) $\text{Spec}(R[T, T^{-1}]/I)$ is connected,
- (iii) I/I^2 is generated by d elements, and
- (iv) $\omega_I : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ is an equivalence class of surjections $\alpha : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$.

Let $J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be a proper ideal. Get $J_i \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ such that $J = J_1 \cap J_2 \cap \dots \cap J_r$, where J_i 's are proper, pairwise co-maximal and $\text{Spec}(R[T, T^{-1}]/J_i)$ is connected. We shall say that J_i are the connected components of J .

Let $K \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d , K/K^2 is generated by d elements and $K = \cap K_i$ be the decomposition of K into its connected components. Then note that for every i , $\text{ht}(K_i) = d$ and by Chinese remainder theorem K_i/K_i^2 is generated by d elements. Let $\omega_K : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow K/K^2$ be a surjection. Then in a natural way ω_K gives rise to surjections $\omega_{K_i} : (R[T, T^{-1}]/K_i)^d \twoheadrightarrow K_i/K_i^2$. We associate the pair (K, ω_K) , to the element $\sum_{i=1}^r (K_i, \omega_{K_i})$ of G . We will call it (K, ω_K) a local orientation of K induced by ω_K .

Let H be the subgroup of G generated by the set S of pairs (J, ω_J) , where $\omega_J : (R[T, T^{-1}]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ has a surjective lift $\theta : (R[T, T^{-1}]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J$. Then we define the quotient group G/H as the d -th Euler class group of $R[T, T^{-1}]$ denoted as $E^d(R[T, T^{-1}])$. A local orientation (J, ω_J) is said to be a global orientation if ω_J lifts to a set of generators of J . With a slight abuse of notation we will use $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$ instead of $E^d(R[T, T^{-1}])$ throughout the paper.

Lemma 8.9. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$, $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d such that I/I^2 is generated by d elements. Let $\omega_I : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be a local orientation of I . Suppose that the image of (I, ω_I) is zero in the Euler class group $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$. Then, I is generated by d elements and ω_I can be lifted to a surjection $\theta : (R[T, T^{-1}])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$.*

Definition 8.10. (Local orientation induced by a Projective module) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -module of rank d having trivial determinant. Let $\chi : R[T, T^{-1}] \cong \wedge^d P$ be an isomorphism. To the pair (P, χ) , we associate an element $e(P, \chi)$ of $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$ as follows:

Let $\lambda : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ be a surjection, where I is an ideal of $R[T, T^{-1}]$ of height d . Let ‘bar’ denotes going modulo I . We obtain an induced surjection $\lambda \otimes R[T, T^{-1}]/I : P/IP \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. Note that, since P has trivial determinant and $\dim(R[T, T^{-1}]/I) \leq 1$, P/IP is a free $R[T, T^{-1}]/I$ -module of rank d . We choose an isomorphism $\phi : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \xrightarrow{\sim} P/IP$, such that $\wedge^d \phi = \chi \otimes R[T, T^{-1}]/I$. Let ω_I be the surjection $(\lambda \otimes R[T, T^{-1}]/I) \circ \phi : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$. We say that (I, ω_I) is a local orientation induced by P together with the pair (λ, χ) .

Whenever the class of (I, ω_I) in $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$ induced by the pair (P, χ) becomes independent of a certain choice of an ideal I we will define the Euler class $e(P, \chi)$ of the pair (P, χ) as the image of (I, ω_I) in $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$.

With the above results and definitions in hand, one easily obtains the following series of results. The proofs can be mimicked from the case of polynomial algebra as described in the previous sections. We decided that not to repeat the arguments.

Theorem 8.11. *Continuing with the notations as in the Definition 8.10, furthermore assume $(d-1)! \in R^*$, then the assignment sending the pair (P, χ) to the element $e(P, \chi)$, as described above, is well defined.*

Theorem 8.12. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and P be a projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d . Moreover assume that there exists a surjection $\lambda : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and (λ, χ) induces a local orientation (I, ω_I) . Suppose that $(I, \omega_I) = 0$ in $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$ then P has a unimodular element.*

Corollary 8.13. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $\dim(R) = d \geq 2$. Let P and Q be projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -modules of rank d and $d-1$ respectively, such that their determinants are free. Let $\chi : \wedge^d P \cong \wedge^d(Q \oplus R[T, T^{-1}])$ be an isomorphism. Let $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d such that there exists surjections $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ and $\beta : Q \oplus R[T, T^{-1}] \twoheadrightarrow I$. Let ‘bar’ denotes going modulo I . Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\delta : \overline{P} \cong \overline{Q \oplus R[T, T^{-1}]}$ with the following properties:*

(i) $\overline{\beta}\delta = \overline{\alpha}$;

(ii) $\wedge^d \delta = \bar{\chi}$.

Then P has a unimodular element.

Theorem 8.14. *Let R be an affine algebra of dimension $d \geq 2$ (with an extra assumption $(d-1)! \in R^*$) and P be a projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -module with trivial determinant (via χ) of rank d . Then P has a unimodular element if and only if $e(P, \chi) = 0$ in $E(R[T, T^{-1}])$.*

Theorem 8.15. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 2$. Then $E^d(\mathfrak{R}) \cong E_0^d(\mathfrak{R})$.*

Theorem 8.16. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over an algebraically closed field k of $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 2$. Let P be a projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -module of rank d and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d such that there is a surjection $\phi : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. If $\mu(I) = d$ then P has a unimodular element.*

Theorem 8.17. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Further assume $p \neq 2$. Then $E^d(R[T, T^{-1}]) \cong E_0^d(R[T, T^{-1}])$.*

Theorem 8.18. *Let R be a $d(\geq 2)$ dimensional affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with $p \neq 2$. Let P be a projective $R[T, T^{-1}]$ -module with trivial determinant of rank d and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height d such that there is a surjection $\phi : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Then P has a unimodular element if and only if $\mu(I) = d$.*

Theorem 8.19. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) \geq 2$ and $\mu(I/I^2) = d$. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in I\mathfrak{R}$ be such that $I\mathfrak{R} = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in I\mathfrak{R}^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in I$ be such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, where $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

Corollary 8.20. *(Additional principle) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ and $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$. Then $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$ and $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.*

Corollary 8.21. *(Subtraction principle) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that $I = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ and $I \cap J = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in J$ such that $J = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$ with $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.*

Definition 8.22. (Segre class of an ideal) *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height ≥ 2 such that $\mu(I/I^2) = d$. Let $\omega_I : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be a surjection which induces $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Using Lemma 2.2 get $J \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ of either height d or $J = R[T, T^{-1}]$ such that $I \cap J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, with $f_i - g_i \in I^2$. Let $\omega_J : (R[T, T^{-1}]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a map sending*

$e_i \rightarrow g_i$. Then we define $s(I, \omega_I) = -(J, \omega_J) \in E(R[T, T^{-1}])$ whenever $\text{ht}(J) = d$ and $s(I, \omega_I) = 0$ whenever $J = R[T, T^{-1}]$.

Proposition 8.23. *The Segre class of (I, ω_I) as described above, is well defined.*

Theorem 8.24. *Let R be affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be an ideal of height ≥ 2 . Let $\omega_I : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be surjection. Suppose that $s(I, \omega_I) = 0$ then ω_I can be lifted to a surjection $(R[T, T^{-1}])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$.*

Theorem 8.25. *Let R be affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I_1, I_2 \subset R[T, T^{-1}]$ be two co-maximal ideals of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that there exists surjections $\omega_{I_i} : (R[T, T^{-1}]/I_i)^d \rightarrow I_i/I_i^2$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have $s(I_1 \cap I_2, \omega_{I_1 \cap I_2}) = s(I_1, \omega_{I_1}) + s(I_2, \omega_{I_2})$.*

9. SEGRE CLASS OF AN IDEAL IN POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS OVER $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$

The purpose of this section is to weaken the hypothesis on the height of the ideal of the Theorem 6.3. For this we need to develop the idea of Segre class of an ideal in polynomial algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Theorem 9.1. *Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal such that $\text{ht}(I) \geq 2$ and $\mu(I/I^2) = d$. Moreover assume that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Suppose that there exists $F_i \in IR(T)$ be such that $IR(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in IR(T)^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in I$ be such that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, where $g_i - f_i \in I^2$.*

Proof. Note that for $d = 2$, proof follows from Theorem 3.1 thus without loss of generality we may assume that $d \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists $e \in I^2$ such that $e(1 - e) \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$. Replacing f_i by $f_i + e\lambda_i$ (without changing it's notations) using Eisenbud-Evans we may assume $\text{ht}(\langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle_e) \geq d$. Let $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d, 1 - e \rangle$. Then we have $J + I^2 = R[T]$, $\text{ht}(J) \geq d$, $J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + J^2$ and $I \cap J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$. Note that if $\text{ht}(J) > d$ then we are done, thus enough to assume $\text{ht}(J) = d$.

Now in the ring $R(T)$, we have $IR(T) = \langle F_1, \dots, F_d \rangle$, with $F_i - f_i \in IR(T)^2$. Note that $\text{ht}(IR(T)) \geq \text{ht}(I) \geq 2$ and $\text{ht}(JR(T)) \geq \text{ht}(J) = d \geq 3$, thus by ([10], Proposition 2.2), there exists $G_i \in JR(T)$ such that $G_i - f_i \in JR(T)^2$. Using Theorem 3.1 get $h_i \in J$ such that $J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$, with $h_i - f_i \in J^2$. Thus in the ring $A[T]$ we get

- (i) $\text{ht}(I) \geq 2$ and $\text{ht}(J) = d \geq 3$;
- (ii) $I^2 + J = R[T]$;
- (iii) $I \cap J = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$;
- (iv) $J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$, with $f_i - h_i \in J^2$.

Let $B = R[T]/I^2$ and 'bar' denotes going modulo I^2 . Since B is an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $\leq d - 1$ we have $(\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_d) \in \text{Um}_d(B) = e_1 E_d(B)$, whenever $d \geq 3$. Thus we can replace (h_1, \dots, h_d) by $(h_1, \dots, h_d)\epsilon$ (without changing it's notations), for

some $\epsilon \in E_d(R[T])$ to assume $h_d - 1 \in I^2$ and $h_i \in I^2$ for all $i < d$. Again note that by ([23], Lemma 2) we can assume $\text{ht}(\langle h_1, \dots, h_{d-1} \rangle) \geq d - 1$, without losing the assumption $h_d - 1 \in I^2$.

Let $A = R[T, X]$, $K_1 = \langle h_1, \dots, h_{d-1}, h_d + X \rangle A$, $K_2 = IA$ and $K_3 = K_1 \cap K_2$. Then note that it is enough to show $K_3 = \langle a_1(X), \dots, a_d(X) \rangle$ such that $a_i(0) = f_i$. As then specializing K_3 at $h_d - 1$ completes a proof.

To prove this note that $\dim(A/K_1) = \dim(R[T]/\langle h_1, \dots, h_{d-1} \rangle) \leq 2$. Hence for $d \geq 3$ using ([2], Theorem 3.6) we can find a required set of generators of K_3 which matches with f_i 's at $X = 0$. \square

The next two corollaries are generalizations of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 in which we relaxes the hypothesis on the height of the ideals. The proof essentially uses the same arguments as of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2. One just have to use Theorem 9.1 and ([10], Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2) instead of Theorem 3.1 and ([5], Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3) in the appropriate places. Thus we skip the proofs.

Corollary 9.2. (*Additional principle*) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$ and $J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$. Then $I \cap J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$ and $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.

Corollary 9.3. (*Subtraction principle*) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I, J \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$ and $I \cap J = \langle h_1, \dots, h_d \rangle$ where $h_i - f_i \in I^2$. Then there exists $g_i \in J$ such that $J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$ with $h_i - g_i \in I^2$.

Lemma 9.4. Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$, $p \neq 2$ and $I, J \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals, each of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that any two of I, J and $I \cap J$ are generated by d many elements then so is the third.

Definition 9.5. (Segre class of an ideal) Let R be an affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height ≥ 2 such that $\mu(I/I^2) = d$. Let $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be a surjection which induces $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. Using Lemma 2.2 get $J \subset R[T]$ of either height d or $J = R[T]$ such that $I \cap J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, with $f_i - g_i \in I^2$. Let $\omega_J : (R[T]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a map sending $e_i \rightarrow g_i$. Then we define $s(I, \omega_I) = -(J, \omega_J) \in E(R[T])$ whenever $\text{ht}(J) = d$ and $s(I, \omega_I) = 0$ whenever $J = R[T]$.

The next theorem says the above definition of Segre class is well-defined. The proof is exactly the same as of ([10], Proposition 3.2), just one needs to apply Lemma 2.2, Corollary 9.2 and Corollary 9.3 in the appropriate places, thus omitted.

Proposition 9.6. *The Segre class of (I, ω_I) as described above, is well defined.*

Theorem 9.7. *Let R be affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $I \subset R[T]$ be an ideal of height ≥ 2 . Let $\omega_I : (R[T]/I)^d \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$ be surjection. Suppose that $s(I, \omega_I) = 0$ then ω_I can be lifted to a surjection $(R[T])^d \twoheadrightarrow I$.*

Proof. Let ω_I induces $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I^2$. By the definition of $s(I, \omega_I)$ there exists an ideal $J \subset R[T]$ of either height d or $J = R[T]$ such that $I \cap J = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle$, with $f_i - g_i \in I^2$. Let $\omega_J : (R[T]/J)^d \twoheadrightarrow J/J^2$ be a map sending $e_i \rightarrow g_i$. Now if $J = R[T]$, then the theorem follows thus with out loss of generality we may assume $\text{ht}(J) = d$. Thus $s(I, \omega_I) = 0$ gives us $(J, \omega_J) = 0$ in $E(R[T])$. By Lemma 4.7, we can get $a_i \in J$ such that $J = \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle$, with $a_i - g_i \in J^2$. Now use Corollary 9.3, to get a surjective lift of ω_I . \square

The following theorem is on the additivity of the Segre classes.

Theorem 9.8. *Let R be affine algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $I_1, I_2 \subset R[T]$ be two co-maximal ideals of height ≥ 2 . Suppose that there exists surjections $\omega_{I_i} : (R[T]/I_i)^d \twoheadrightarrow I_i/I_i^2$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then we have $s(I_1 \cap I_2, \omega_{I_1 \cap I_2}) = s(I_1, \omega_{I_1}) + s(I_2, \omega_{I_2})$.*

Proof. Suppose that ω_{I_1} is induced by $I_1 = \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle + I_1^2$ and ω_{I_2} is induced by $I_2 = \langle g_1, \dots, g_d \rangle + I_2^2$. Note that by the definition using Lemma 2.2 we can choose pairs (J_1, ω_{J_1}) and (J_2, ω_{J_2}) such that J_1 is co-maximal with $I_1 \cap I_2$ and J_2 is co-maximal with $I_1 \cap I_2 \cap J_1$ such that $s(I_i, \omega_{I_i}) = (J_i, \omega_{J_i})$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Now since $J_1 + J_2 = R[T]$, we have $(J_1 \cap J_2, \omega_{J_1 \cap J_2}) = (J_1, \omega_{J_1}) + (J_2, \omega_{J_2})$ in $E(R[T])$, hence this completes a proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banerjee. Projective and stably free modules over real affine algebras. Nov. 2021. (available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.14384>).
- [2] S. Banerjee and M. K. Das. On a question of Nori and it's applications. Feb. 2022. (available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.11676>).
- [3] S. M. Bhatwadekar. Inversion of monic polynomials and existence of unimodular elements (II). *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 200(2):233–238, jun 1989.
- [4] S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan. Projective generation of curves in polynomial extensions of an affine domain and a question of Nori. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 133(1):161–192, jun 1998.
- [5] S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan. The Euler class group of a Noetherian ring. *Compositio Mathematica*, 122(2):183–222, 2000.
- [6] S. M. Bhatwadekar and R. Sridharan. On a question of roitman. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc*, 16(1):45–61, 2001.
- [7] M. K. Das. The Euler class group of a polynomial algebra. *Journal of Algebra*, 264(2):582–612, jun 2003.
- [8] M. K. Das. On a conjecture of Murthy. *Advances in Mathematics*, 331:326–338, 2018.

- [9] M. K. Das. A criterion for splitting of a projective module in terms of its generic sections. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(13):10073–10099, jun 2019.
- [10] M. K. Das and R. Sridharan. Good invariants for bad ideals. *Journal of Algebra*, 323(12):3216–3229, 2010.
- [11] M. K. Das, S. Tikader, and M. A. Zinna. “ P^1 -gluing” for local complete intersections. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 294(1-2):667–685, 2020.
- [12] D. Eisenbud and E. G. Evans, Jr. Generating modules efficiently: theorems from algebraic K -theory. *Journal of Algebra*, 27:278–305, 1973.
- [13] M. K. Keshari. Euler class group of a noetherian ring. (*M-Phil Thesis*), Aug. 2014.
- [14] N. M. Kumar. Some theorems on generation of ideals in affine algebras. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 59(1):243–252, dec 1984.
- [15] S. Mandal and R. Sridharan. Euler classes and complete intersections. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 36(3):453–470, 1996.
- [16] N. Mohan Kumar. Complete intersections. *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 17(3):533–538, 1977.
- [17] N. Mohan Kumar, M. P. Murthy, and A. Roy. A cancellation theorem for projective modules over finitely generated rings. In *Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I*, pages 281–287. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.
- [18] M. P. Murthy. Zero cycles and projective modules. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 140(2):405, sep 1994.
- [19] B. Plumstead. The conjectures of Eisenbud and Evans. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 105(6):1417–1433, 1983.
- [20] D. Quillen. Projective modules over polynomial rings. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 36:167–171, 1976.
- [21] R. A. Rao and W. van der Kallen. Improved stability for K_1 and WMS_d of a non-singular affine algebra. *Astérisque*, (226):411–420, 1994. K -theory (Strasbourg, 1992).
- [22] J.-P. Serre. Sur les modules projectifs. 14(2):16, (1960-1961).
- [23] R. Sridharan. Non-vanishing sections of algebraic vector bundles. *Journal of Algebra*, 176(3):947–958, sep 1995.
- [24] A. A. Suslin. Projective modules over polynomial rings. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 22(4):595–602, apr 1974.
- [25] A. A. Suslin. Cancellation over affine varieties. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, 27(4):2974–2980, nov 1984.
- [26] L. N. Vaserstein and A. A. Suslin. Serre’s problem on projective modules over polynomial rings, and algebraic k -theory. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 10(5):937–1001, oct 1976.

(SOURJYA BANERJEE) STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA-700108

Email address: sourjya91@gmail.com

(MRINAL KANTI DAS) STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA-700108

Email address: mrinal@isical.ac.in