

# A NOTE ON THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF REDUCTIVE $p$ -ADIC GROUPS

EYAL KAPLAN AND DANI SZPRUCH

ABSTRACT. In this short note, presented as a “community service”, we verify that several fundamental results from the theory of representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups, extend to finite central extensions of these groups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been an effort, by several authors, to extend the Langlands Program to the realm of central extensions of reductive groups. When considering reductive groups over  $p$ -adic fields, such extensions are  $l$ -groups, i.e., locally compact totally disconnected groups. Thus, an abundance of basic representation theoretic results are immediately applicable, namely the results formulated in the literature for  $l$ -groups. Additional well known results are however formulated in the context of reductive groups, and those must be read with care, because the central extensions are not even algebraic groups. Fortunately, it turns out to be straightforward to extend these results. Moreover, very few modifications are needed. The purpose of this brief note is to present several of these results.

The linear versions of the results we include have been obtained over decades of research by numerous authors. We do not provide a historical account, since the list of authors would be very long and we prefer not to risk overlooking certain contributions. Our standard references will be the works of Bernstein and Zelevinsky [BZ76, BZ77] and the recent book of Renard [Ren10] who provided a very comprehensive treatment of the subject. For some background on the study of central extensions in this context see [GGW18].

## 2. GROUPS AND CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean field. Denote the characteristic of  $F$  by  $\text{char } F$ . Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group defined over  $F$  and let  $N$  be a finite abelian group (the standard context). Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a topological central extension of  $G(F)$  by  $N$ . This means that  $\mathbf{G}$  is an  $l$ -group together with a short exact sequence of topological groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{G} \xrightarrow{p} G(F) \rightarrow 1,$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F70; Secondary 11F55, 11F66, 22E50, 22E55.

*Key words and phrases*. Representation theory, covering groups,  $p$ -adic groups.

Kaplan was supported by the ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (grant Nos. 376/21 and 421/17).

where  $N$  is central. This sequence necessarily splits upon restriction to a sufficiently small open subgroup of  $G(F)$  (e.g., [BJ13, Lemma 2.2]).

**Remark 2.1.** *The group  $\mathbf{G}$  can be defined by a 2-cocycle on  $G(F)$ , i.e., a Borel measurable function  $\sigma : G(F) \times G(F) \rightarrow N$  such that*

$$\sigma(g, g')\sigma(gg', g'') = \sigma(g, g'g'')\sigma(g', g''), \quad \sigma(g, e) = \sigma(e, g') = 1, \quad \forall g, g', g'' \in G(F),$$

where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G(F)$ . The elements of  $\mathbf{G}$  can then be written as  $\langle g, \epsilon \rangle$  with  $g \in G(F)$  and  $\epsilon \in N$ , and  $\langle g, \epsilon \rangle \langle g', \epsilon' \rangle = \langle gg', \epsilon \epsilon' \sigma(g, g') \rangle$ . This work will not however require us to use 2-cocycles.

For any group  $X$ , a subgroup  $X_0 < X$  and  $x, y \in X$ , we write  ${}^y x = yxy^{-1}$  and  ${}^y X_0 = \{{}^y x : x \in X_0\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{G}$  is a central extension of  $G$ , the group  $G$  acts on  $\mathbf{G}$  by conjugation. Also for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote  $X^l = \{x^l : x \in X\}$ .

The projection  $p$  is a proper map, i.e., the preimage under  $p$  of a compact subset is compact. For any subset  $X \subset G(F)$  we denote by  $\mathbf{X} = p^{-1}(X)$  its preimage under  $p$ . If  $X$  is a subgroup of  $G(F)$ , a lifting of  $X$  is a continuous homomorphism  $s_X : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  such that  $p \circ s_X = \text{id}_X$ . Let  $Y < G(F)$  be another subgroup. Note that  $Y$  normalizes  $X$  if and only if  $\mathbf{Y}$  normalizes  $\mathbf{X}$ . Assume this is the case. Then, we say that  $s_X$  is  $Y$ -equivariant if  ${}^y s_X(x) = (s_X({}^y x))$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

By [MW95, Appendix I] (which is also applicable locally, see [MW95, p. 277]), any unipotent algebraic subgroup of  $G$  lifts to  $\mathbf{G}$ . This lifting is unique when  $\text{char } F = 0$  or  $\text{char } F$  does not divide  $|N|$ , where  $|N|$  is the order of  $N$ . Moreover, by *loc. cit.* for any parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  defined over  $F$  with unipotent radical  $U$  there is a unique  $P(F)$ -equivariant lifting of  $U(F)$  which we denote by  $\varsigma_U : U(F) \mapsto \mathbf{G}$ . We therefore identify  $U(F)$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  via  $\varsigma_U$ . For any  $g \in G(F)$  we have  $\varsigma_U(gu) = {}^g(\varsigma_U(u))$  for all  $u \in U(F)$ .

We denote the center of a group  $X$  by  $Z_X$ . For any subgroup  $X < G(F)$ , we have  $Z_{\mathbf{X}} < p^{-1}(Z_X)$ . For any abelian subgroup  $A < G(F)$ , we have  $p^{-1}(A^{|N|}) < Z_{\mathbf{A}}$  ([MW95, § I.1.3(2)]). A maximal abelian subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  is necessarily of the form  $\mathbf{A}$  for  $A < G(F)$ .

Hereafter by abuse of notation we will write  $G = G(F)$ . Fix a maximal  $F$ -split torus  $T_0$  and a minimal parabolic  $F$ -subgroup  $P_0$  containing  $T_0$ . Let  $M_0$  be the centralizer of  $T_0$  in  $G$  and let  $U_0$  denote the unipotent radical of  $P_0$ . Thus,  $P_0 = M_0 \rtimes U_0$  is a Levi decomposition of  $P_0$ . Let  $T_0^+$  (resp.,  $T_0^{++}$ ) be the dominant and (resp., strictly dominant) parts of  $T_0$ . Let  $K$  be a good maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $P_0$  (see [Car79, § 3.5], [Ren10, § V.5.1]). In particular, the Cartan decomposition  $G = KM_0K$  holds.

Henceforth parabolic subgroups are always  $F$ -subgroup, and the notation  $P = M \rtimes U$  implies  $M$  is a Levi  $F$ -subgroup of  $P$  and  $U$  is the unipotent radical. Let  $M^0 = \bigcap_{\chi} \ker|\chi|$  where  $\chi$  varies over the rational characters of  $M$  ([Ren10, § V.2.3]). Denote by  $T_M$  the maximal split torus in  $Z_M$ , then  $T_M^+$  and  $T_M^{++}$  are defined relative to  $P$  (see [Ren10, § V.3, § V.3.21]). Denote by  $P^- = M \rtimes U^-$  the opposite parabolic subgroup with respect to  $M$ , where  $U^-$  is the unipotent subgroup opposite to  $U$  (which is the unipotent radical of  $P^-$ ).

We will consider a sequence of compact open subgroups  $\Gamma_l$ ,  $l \geq 0$ , which forms of a basis of neighborhoods of the identity in  $G$ . Each  $\Gamma_l$  is a compact open normal subgroup of  $K$  and admits an Iwahori factorization  $\Gamma_l = \Gamma_l^- \Gamma_l^0 \Gamma_l^+$  where  $\Gamma_l^- = \Gamma_l \cap U_0^-$ ,  $\Gamma_l^0 = \Gamma_l \cap M_0$  and

$\Gamma_l^+ = \Gamma_l \cap U_0$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma_l^0$  is normalized by  $Z_{M_0}$ , and  $\Gamma_l^+$  (resp.,  $\Gamma_l^-$ ) is normalized by  $T_M^+$  (resp.,  $(T_M^+)^{-1}$ ). (See e.g., [Ren10, § V.5.2].)

Fix  $l_0$  such that  $\Gamma_{l_0}$  lifts to  $\mathbf{G}$  and fix a lifting  $\eta$  of  $\Gamma_{l_0}$ . Note that  $\eta$  is not necessarily unique.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exists an  $l_1 > l_0$  such that for all  $l \geq l_1$  the following holds:*

- (1)  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l}$  is  $K$ -equivariant,  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l^\pm} = \varsigma_{U^\pm}$  and  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l^0}$  is  $M_0$ -equivariant.
- (2) More generally if  $P = M \ltimes U < G$  is a standard parabolic subgroup, then  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l \cap U^\pm} = \varsigma_{U^\pm}$  and  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l \cap M}$  is  $T_M$ -equivariant.

*Proof.* The proof follows from the definitions: to show that for a sufficiently large  $l$ ,  $\eta|_{\Gamma_l^0}$  is  $M_0$ -equivariant (as opposed to  $T_0$ -equivariant) note that  $(\Gamma_l^0 T_0) \backslash M_0$  is finite. See also [BJ13, Proposition 2.11].  $\square$

Assume that  $l \geq l_1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any  $g \in G$  and  $x \in {}^g\Gamma_l \cap \Gamma_l$ , we have  ${}^{g^{-1}}\eta(x) = \eta(g^{-1}x)$ . Moreover,  ${}^g\eta(\Gamma_l) \cap \Gamma_l = \eta({}^g\Gamma_l \cap \Gamma_l)$ .*

*Proof.* For the first assertion, by the Cartan decomposition, it is enough to verify it for  $g \in M_0$ . By the Iwahori decomposition, it is enough to check it separately for  $x \in \Gamma_l^*$  where  $*$   $\in \{-, 0, +\}$ . This follows from Lemma 2.2. The second assertion follows from the first.  $\square$

### 3. BASIC REPRESENTATION THEORY

As mentioned in the introduction, our basic reference for this section is [BZ76, BZ77] and [Ren10]. We recall that [BZ76, Ch. I] was formulated for arbitrary  $l$ -groups, and is thus immediately applicable to  $\mathbf{G}$ . The bulk of [BZ76, Ch. II–III] and [BZ77, Ren10] must be adapted with more care, because it was formulated for reductive groups.

Let  $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  denote the category of representations of  $\mathbf{G}$ . In this work representations are always complex and smooth. Let  $S(\mathbf{G})$  denote the space of Schwartz functions on  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $S^*(\mathbf{G})$  be the space of distributions on  $S(\mathbf{G})$  and  $S_c^*(\mathbf{G})$  be the subspace of finite distributions ([BZ76, 1.7, 1.10]). The convolution subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G}) \subset S_c^*(\mathbf{G})$  consists of the locally constant finite distributions on  $\mathbf{G}$ . The space  $S(\mathbf{G})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$  via  $f \mapsto f\mu_{\mathbf{G}}$  where  $\mu_{\mathbf{G}}$  is a Haar measure on  $\mathbf{G}$  ([BZ76, 1.29]).

Since  $N$  is finite and abelian,  $S(\mathbf{G}) = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{G})$  where  $\varepsilon$  varies over the characters of  $N$  and  $S_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{G})$  is the subspace of  $(N, \varepsilon)$ -equivariant functions.

Fix  $\Gamma = \Gamma_l$ . Let  $\chi_{\eta(\Gamma)} \in S(\mathbf{G})$  be the characteristic function of  $\eta(\Gamma)$ ,  $c_{\Gamma} = (\int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{\mathbf{G}}(x))^{-1}$  and  $e_{\eta(\Gamma)} = c_{\Gamma}\chi_{\eta(\Gamma)}\mu_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Denote  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma} = \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{G}) = e_{\eta(\Gamma)} * \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G}) * e_{\eta(\Gamma)}$  ([BZ76, 1.26, 2.10]). The functor of  $\eta(\Gamma)$ -invariants, i.e., the functor attaching to  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  the space  $\pi^{\eta(\Gamma)}$  of  $\eta(\Gamma)$ -invariants, is exact ([BZ76, 2.4]) and induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{G}$  whose space of  $\eta(\Gamma)$ -invariants is nonzero and irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}$  ([BZ76, 2.10]). See also the remark at the end of [Ren10,

II.3.12] relating between  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  and the algebra of compactly supported  $\eta(\Gamma)$ -bi-invariant complex-valued functions on  $\mathbf{G}$ .

For  $g \in \mathbf{G}$  set  $\bar{g} = e_{\eta(\Gamma)} * e_g * e_{\eta(\Gamma)} \in \mathcal{H}_\Gamma$  ( $e_g$  is a Dirac distribution, see [BZ76, 1.7]). Also let  $\chi_{\eta(\Gamma)g\eta(\Gamma)} \in S(\mathbf{G})$  denote the function supported on  $\eta(\Gamma)g\eta(\Gamma)$  which is left- and right- $\eta(\Gamma)$ -invariant, and  $\chi_{\eta(\Gamma)g\eta(\Gamma)}(g) = 1$ .

We compute the image of  $\bar{g}$  under the map  $S(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ , as a demonstration of the importance of the compatibility results from Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\bar{g} = c_\Gamma^2 d_\Gamma(g) \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)g\eta(\Gamma)} \mu_{\mathbf{G}}$ , where  $d_\Gamma(g) = \int_{p^{(g)}\Gamma \cap \Gamma} d\mu_{\mathbf{G}}(x)$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $e_{\eta(\Gamma)}$  and the properties of the convolution action ([Ren10, II.3.10]),  $\bar{g} = c_\Gamma^2 (\chi_{\eta(\Gamma)} * (e_g * \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)})) \mu_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Denote  $f = \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)} * (e_g * \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)}) \in S(\mathbf{G})$ . For  $g_0 \in \mathbf{G}$ ,  $f(g_0) = \int_\Gamma \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)}(g^{-1}\eta(x)^{-1}g_0) d\mu_{\mathbf{G}}(x)$ . Hence  $f$  is supported in  $\eta(\Gamma)g\eta(\Gamma)$ . For  $g_0 = \eta(x_0)g\eta(x'_0)$ ,  $x_0, x'_0 \in \Gamma$ , the integral equals  $\int_\Gamma \chi_{\eta(\Gamma)}(g^{-1}\eta(x)) d\mu_{\mathbf{G}}(x)$ , which vanishes unless  $x \in p^{(g)}\Gamma \cap \Gamma$ . In the latter case by Lemma 2.3,  $\eta(x) \in \eta(p^{(g)}\Gamma \cap \Gamma) = p^{(g)}\eta(\Gamma) \cap \eta(\Gamma)$  and the integral becomes  $d_\Gamma(g)$ .  $\square$

The next result follows by repeating the arguments of [Ren10, V.5.3] using Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $k \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $g \in \mathbf{G}$ ,  $\bar{k} * \bar{g} = \overline{kg}$  and  $\bar{g} * \bar{k} = \overline{gk}$ . For any  $t, t' \in \mathbf{T}_0^+$ ,  $\bar{t} * \bar{t}' = \overline{tt'}$ .

For  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$ , denote the contragredient representation by  $\pi^\vee$ . For the basic properties of  $\pi^\vee$  see [BZ76, 2.13–2.14].

The induction and Jacquet functors of [BZ77, 1.8] were defined for  $l$ -groups, thus their properties [BZ77, 1.9] remain valid (note that the tensor product discussed in [BZ77, 1.9g] refers to the setup of [BZ76, 2.16] of commuting groups).

Fix a parabolic subgroup  $P = M \rtimes U$  of  $G$  and re-denote  $\Gamma^+ = \Gamma \cap U$ ,  $\Gamma^- = \Gamma \cap U^-$  and  $\Gamma^0 = \Gamma \cap M$ . The results of [Ren10, § VI.6.1] hold. This includes the Lemma of Jacquet for admissible representations ([Ren10, Théorème VI.6.1]); Jacquet's theorem: The Jacquet functor with respect to a unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup and a trivial character takes admissible representations into admissible representations ([Ren10, Corollary VI.6.1] see also [BZ76, 3.14]); and [Ren10, Proposition VI.6.1]. Here the compact open subgroups are taken from the family  $\Gamma_l$  (i.e.,  $K$  of *loc. cit.* is some  $\Gamma_l$ ).

We explain the steps needed to verify these results for  $\mathbf{G}$ . For [Ren10, Théorème VI.6.1]: Let  $t \in T_M^{++}$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $t^{-1}\eta(\Gamma^-) = t^{-1}\varsigma_{U^-}(\Gamma^-) = \eta(t^{-1}\Gamma^-)$  and also  $t^{-1}\eta(\Gamma^0) = \eta(t^{-1}\Gamma^0)$ . Hence  $e_{\eta(\Gamma^-)} * e_t = e_t * e_{\eta(\Gamma^-)}$  and  $e_{\eta(\Gamma^0)} * e_t = e_t * e_{\eta(\Gamma^0)}$  (see [BZ76, 1.26c]).

For the paragraph following the proof of [Ren10, Corollaire VI.6.1] note that for  $t \in T_M^+$ , by Lemma 2.2  $\varsigma_U(t^{-1}\Gamma^+) = t^{-1}\eta(\Gamma^+)$ . Hence  $e_{\eta(\Gamma^+)} * e_t = e_t * e_{\varsigma_{U^0}(t^{-1}\Gamma^+)} = e_t * e_{t^{-1}\eta(\Gamma^+)}$  (this is used for [Ren10, (VI.6.1.2)]) and by [BZ76, Lemma 2.33],  $V(U) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ker}(e_{\varsigma_U(t^{-i}\Gamma^+)}) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ker}(e_{t^{-i}\eta(\Gamma^+)})$ .

For [Ren10, Proposition VI.6.1] first note that since  $N \in Z_{\mathbf{G}}$ , we have  $\overline{eg} = e_\epsilon * \bar{g}$  for any  $g \in \mathbf{G}$ . For  $t, t' \in p^{-1}(T^+)$ , by Lemma 3.2  $\bar{t} * \bar{t}' = \overline{tt'}$  and if  $tt' = \epsilon t't$  for  $\epsilon \in N$ , then  $\pi(\overline{tt'}) = \pi(e_\epsilon)\pi(\overline{t't})$ . Because  $\pi(e_\epsilon)$  acts by a character of  $N$ , the kernels and images of

$\pi(\overline{tt'})$  and  $\pi(\overline{t't})$  coincide (see [BZ76, 2.3, 2.5] for the definition of  $\pi$  on  $S_c^*(\mathbf{G})$ , and also [Ren10, § III.1.3–III.1.4]). This completes the verification of [Ren10, § VI.6.1].

Define the induction functor  $i_{\varsigma_U(U),1}$  and the Jacquet functor  $r_{\varsigma_U(U),1}$  by [BZ77, 1.8] for the triple  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{M}, \varsigma_U(U))$ . With a minor abuse of notation we write these functors as  $i_{U,1}$  and  $r_{U,1}$ , as in the linear case (see [BZ77, § 2.3]). Note that  $i_{U,1} : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  and  $r_{U,1} : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbf{M})$ . Using [BZ77, 1.8] and [Ren10, Théorème VI.6.1] we deduce that the list of properties from [BZ77, 2.3] hold.

Supercuspidal representations are defined as in the linear case by the vanishing of the Jacquet modules ([Ren10, § VI.2.1]). A supercuspidal representation with a unitary central character is unitary. See the stronger result [Ren10, Lemme IV.3.2]. In fact [Ren10, § IV] is formulated in the generality of  $l$ -groups, hence the results are applicable simultaneously to  $G$  and  $\mathbf{G}$ .

The characterization theorem of Harish-Chandra of supercuspidal representations, according to the support of their matrix coefficients or their restriction to  $G^0$ , remain valid ([BZ76, 3.20–3.24], [Ren10, Théorème VI.2.1] and the following remarks), but  $G^0$  is replaced with  $\mathbf{G}^0$ . To wit, first note that in analogy with the linear case,  $\mathbf{G}^0$  is normal in  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}^0 \backslash \mathbf{G}$  is abelian,  $(\mathbf{G}^0 Z_{\mathbf{G}}) \backslash \mathbf{G}$  is finite,  $\mathbf{G}^0 \cap Z_{\mathbf{G}}$  is compact and  $\mathbf{K} < \mathbf{G}^0$  (see e.g., [Ren10, § V.2.6]). Second, we use the properties of  $\Gamma_l^{-,0,+}$  from Lemma 2.2 and in particular, that  $K$  normalizes  $\eta(\Gamma_l)$ , and the equivariance properties of the splittings of unipotent radicals. It also follows that  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  is supercuspidal if and only if  $\pi^\vee$  is supercuspidal.

Now we can deduce [Ren10, Théorème VI.2.2] for  $\mathbf{G}$ :

**Theorem 3.3.** *Any irreducible  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  is admissible.*

Next, the result on the embedding of a supercuspidal constituent as a subrepresentation and the analogous statement for a quotient ([BZ76, 3.28–3.30], [Ren10, § VI.3.6], [BZ77, Theorem 2.4b]) hold for  $\mathbf{G}$ . Note that the character  $\psi$  in [BZ76, 3.29] is a character of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{G}^0$  and is hence trivial on  $N$ . For [BZ76, 3.30] (the proof for  $\text{GL}_n$ ) one uses the center of the Levi subgroup in  $\mathbf{G}$  instead of in  $G$ .

Next we have uniform admissibility:

**Theorem 3.4.** *There exists a constant  $c$  such that for any irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{G}$  or  $\mathbf{G}^0$ , the space of  $\eta(\Gamma_l)$ -invariants is at most  $c$ -dimensional ( $c$  depends on  $l$ ).*

Indeed the proof from [Ber74] is applicable, because the assumptions of *loc. cit.* are satisfied with the choices  $Z = Z_{\mathbf{G}}$ ,  $K_0 = \mathbf{K}$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_l$  from  $(\mathbf{T}^+)^{|N|}$ , and we use Lemma 2.2 to verify assumptions II.d, f.

Using Theorem 3.4 we obtain the following results. First we deduce that for each  $\Gamma$ , there are only finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{G}^0$  which are finite in the sense of [BZ76, 2.40] (called compact in [Ren10, IV.1.3]) and have nonzero vectors fixed by  $\Gamma$ . See [BZ76, 4.14–4.15] and [Ren10, § VI.3.4]. For [BZ76, 4.15] we replace  $Z_G$  with  $Z_{\mathbf{G}}$ . Then we obtain the results on the “splitting off” of the supercuspidal part from an admissible representation ([BZ76, Theorem 4.17], [Ren10, § VI.3.4–VI.3.5], [BZ77, Theorem 2.4a]). At this point we have already established [BZ77, Theorem 2.4] as well.

**Theorem 3.5.** (*Howe's Theorem*)  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  is of finite length if and only if it is admissible and finitely generated.

The proof of this follows as in [BZ76, Theorem 4.1] and [Ren10, § VI.6.3], and note that for a standard parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ ,  $\pi(\mathbf{G}) = \pi(\mathbf{Q})\pi(\mathbf{K})$  and  $K$  normalizes  $\eta(\Gamma_l)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $P = M \times U$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . The functor  $r_{U,1}$  takes finite length representations of  $\mathbf{G}$  into finite length representations of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

See [Ren10, § VI.6.4] for the proof, which is in particular based on Theorem 3.5 and Jacquet's Lemma [Ren10, Théorème VI.6.1] verified above.

The Geometric Lemma [BZ77, Theorem 5.2] was proved in the context of arbitrary  $l$ -groups. Then [BZ77, Lemma 2.12] holds, and note that the modulus characters  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$  appearing in the definition of the functor  $\Phi_Z$  of [BZ77, 5.1] factor through  $G$ , hence the proof in [BZ77, 6.4] showing that in the setting of [BZ77, Lemma 2.12] this character is the trivial one remains valid.

It follows that [BZ77, Theorem 2.8] on the length of a representation  $\pi$  parabolically induced from an irreducible supercuspidal representation, [BZ77, Theorem 2.9] on the Jordan–Hölder series of such representations  $\pi$  with associated inducing data, and [BZ77, Corollary 2.13] on the Jacquet modules of  $\pi$ , all hold. Note that the proofs of these results do not depend on the description of representations of Levi subgroups using the tensor product. (Cf. [BZ77, Example 2.7] for  $\text{GL}_n$  which does not extend to arbitrary coverings of  $\mathbf{GL}_n$ .)

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $P = M \times U$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . The functor  $i_{U,1}$  takes finite length representations of  $\mathbf{M}$  into finite length representations of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

This result is proved as in [Ren10, § VI.6.2], which relies on [BZ77, Theorem 5.2].

**Theorem 3.8.** (*Generic irreducibility*) Let  $P = M \times U$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible representation of  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\chi$  be an unramified character of  $M$ . The representation  $i_{U,1}(\chi\rho)$  is irreducible for  $\chi$  in a Zariski open set of the algebraic variety of unramified characters of  $M$ .

This follows from the arguments of [BDK86, § 5.4] and using the Langlands Quotient Theorem proved for covering groups in [BJ13] (the restriction on the characteristic of the field in [BJ13] was removed in [BJ16]). Note that the case where  $\rho$  is supercuspidal can be proved directly (i.e., without Langlands' result) by adapting the arguments of [Ren10, Théorème VI.8.5]. As a consequence we can also deduce, as in [Mui08], the meromorphic continuation of standard intertwining operators using Bernstein's continuation principle ([Ban98]). See [Li12, Théorème 2.4.1] (and the ensuing discussion there).

We verify Bernstein's second adjointness theorem.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $P = M \times U$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . The functor  $r_{U,-1}$  on  $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$  is right adjoint to the functor  $i_{U,1}$  on  $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{M})$ .

The theorem is equivalent to Casselman's pairing: For any  $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G})$ ,  $r_{U,-1}(\pi^\vee) = r_{U,1}(\pi)^\vee$ . For the proof we follow [Ren10, § VI.9.6] (where the equivalence was also shown).

The main ingredient is the Lemma of Jacquet for non-admissible representations [Ren10, Théorème VI.9.1]. The proof occupies [Ren10, § VI.9.1–VI.9.3]. For [Ren10, VI.9.1] we apply the same observations used for the proofs in [Ren10, § VI.6.1], e.g., Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.2. For [Ren10, Remarques VI.9.1 (2)–(3)] we use Lemma 2.2.

Section [Ren10, § VI.9.2] relies on the restriction of representations from  $G$  to  $G^0$  ([Ren10, § VI.4.1, Proposition VI.3.2], see [BZ76, 3.26, 3.29]) which apply here to  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{G}^0$ , on [BZ77, Theorem 5.2] and [BZ77, Corollary 2.13], Theorem 3.4, and Theorem 3.8 (in fact [Ren10, Théorème VI.8.5] which is just the supercuspidal case).

Section [Ren10, § VI.9.3] relies on results from [Ren10, § VI.7.2] on Bernstein’s decomposition, which are valid here, and we point out that the arguments in [Ren10, § VI.7.1–VI.7.2] rely in particular on [BZ77, Theorem 2.9], [Ren10, Lemme VI.3.6] on embedding supercuspidal constituents, and [BZ77, Corollary 2.13c].

The remaining arguments in [Ren10, § VI.9.6] are again adapted as in [Ren10, § VI.9.1]. For [Ren10, Proposition VI.9.6], note that if  $m \in M$  and  $t \in T_M$ ,  $e_t * e_m = e_\epsilon * e_m * e_t$  for some  $\epsilon \in N$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.9.

**Acknowledgments.** We are happy to thank Dubravka Ban, Fan Gao, Chris Jantzen, Erez Lapid and David Renard for valuable discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [BJ13] D. Ban and C. Jantzen. The Langlands quotient theorem for finite central extensions of  $p$ -adic groups. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, 48(2):313–334, 2013.
- [BJ16] D. Ban and C. Jantzen. The Langlands quotient theorem for finite central extensions of  $p$ -adic groups II: intertwining operators and duality. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, 51(71)(1):153–163, 2016.
- [Ban98] W. D. Banks. A corollary to Bernstein’s theorem and Whittaker functionals on the metaplectic group. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 5(6):781–790, 1998.
- [BZ76] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Representations of the group  $GL(n, F)$  where  $F$  is a local non-Archimedean field. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 31(3):1–68, 1976.
- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups I. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 10(4):441–472, 1977.
- [BDK86] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, and D. Kazhdan. Trace Paley-Wiener theorem for reductive  $p$ -adic groups. *J. Analyse Math.*, 47:180–192, 1986.
- [Ber74] I. N. Bernštein. All reductive  $p$ -adic groups are of type I. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 8(2):3–6, 1974.
- [Car79] P. Cartier. Representations of  $p$ -adic groups: a survey. In *Automorphic forms, representations, and  $L$ -functions*, volume 33 Part 1, pages 111–155, 1979.
- [GGW18] W. T. Gan, F. Gao, and M. H. Weissman. L-group and the Langlands program for covering groups: a historical introduction. *Astérisque*, 398:1–31, 2018. L-groups and the Langlands program for covering groups.
- [Li12] W.-W. Li. La formule des traces pour les revêtements de groupes réductifs connexes. II. Analyse harmonique locale. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 45(5):787–859 (2013), 2012.
- [MW95] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, volume 113 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Une paraphrase de l’Écriture [A paraphrase of Scripture].
- [Mui08] G. Muić. A geometric construction of intertwining operators for reductive  $p$ -adic groups. *Manuscripta Math.*, 125:241–272, 2008.

- [Ren10] D. Renard. *Représentations des groupes réductifs  $p$ -adiques*, volume 17 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN 5290002, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* kaplaney@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, OPEN UNIVERSITY OF ISRAEL, RAANANA  
43107, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* dszpruch@openu.ac.il