

**CLASSIFICATION OF THE NON-TRIVIAL $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ DESIGNS,
WITH $\lambda \mid k$, ADMITTING A FLAG-TRANSITIVE
AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF AFFINE TYPE**

ALESSANDRO MONTINARO

ABSTRACT. The pairs (\mathcal{D}, G) , where \mathcal{D} is a non-trivial $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ design, with $\lambda \mid k$, and G is a flag-transitive automorphism group of \mathcal{D} of affine type such that $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(k^2)$, are classified.

1. INTRODUCTION, MAIN RESULT AND EXAMPLES

A $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ design \mathcal{D} is a pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ with a set \mathcal{P} of v points and a set \mathcal{B} of blocks such that each block is a k -subset of \mathcal{P} and each two distinct points are contained in λ blocks. We say \mathcal{D} is *non-trivial* if $2 < k < v$. All $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs in this paper are assumed to be non-trivial.

An automorphism of \mathcal{D} is a permutation of the point set which preserves the block set. The set of all automorphisms of \mathcal{D} with the composition of permutations forms a group, denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$. For a subgroup G of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, G is said to be *point-primitive* if G acts primitively on \mathcal{P} , and said to be *point-imprimitive* otherwise. A *flag* of \mathcal{D} is a pair (x, B) where x is a point and B is a block containing x . If $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ acts transitively on the set of flags of \mathcal{D} , then we say that G is *flag-transitive* and that \mathcal{D} is a *flag-transitive design*.

The $2-(v, k, \lambda)$ designs \mathcal{D} admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G have been widely studied by several authors. In 1990, a classification of those with $\lambda = 1$ and $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(v)$ was announced by Buekenhout, Delandtsheer, Doyen, Kleidman, Liebeck and Saxl in [19] and proven in [18], [23], [24], [25], [40], [43] and [52]. Since then a special attention was given to the case $\lambda > 1$. A classification of the flag-transitive 2-designs with $\gcd(r, \lambda) = 1$, $\lambda > 1$ and $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(v)$, where r is the replication number of \mathcal{D} , has been announced by Alavi, Biliotti, Daneshkakh, Montinaro, Zhou and their collaborators in [1] and proven in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8], [15], [16], [45], [54], [63], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61] and [62]. Moreover, recently the flag-transitive 2-designs with $\lambda = 2$ have been investigated by Devillers, Liang, Praeger and Xia in [27], where it is shown that apart from the two known symmetric $2-(16, 6, 2)$ designs, G is primitive of affine or almost simple type. A classification is also provided when the socle of G is isomorphic to $PSL_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$.

The investigation of the flag-transitive $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ designs, with $\lambda \mid k$, has been recently started in [46]. The reason of studying such 2-designs is that they represent a natural generalization of the affine planes in terms of parameters, and also because, it is shown in [48] that, the blocks of imprimitivity of a family of flag-transitive, point-imprimitive symmetric 2-designs investigated in [51] have the structure of the 2-designs analyzed here. In [46] it is shown that, apart from the smallest Ree group,

Date: June 15, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B05; 05B25; 20B25.

Key words and phrases. 2-design; automorphism group; flag-transitive.

a flag-transitive automorphism group G of a 2 - (k^2, k, λ) design \mathcal{D} , with $\lambda \mid k$, is either an affine group or an almost simple classical group. Moreover, a complete classification of (\mathcal{D}, G) is achieved in [47] when G is an almost simple classical group. The result contained in the present paper is a complete classification of (\mathcal{D}, G) when G is affine type and $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(k^2)$. More precisely, the following result is obtained:

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a 2 - (k^2, k, λ) design, with $\lambda \mid k$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = T : G_0$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^2, q, λ) design, the blocks are subspaces of $\text{AG}_{2m}(p)$ and $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(q)$.
- (2) \mathcal{D} is the Desarguesian plane of order q and $SL_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq \Gamma L_2(q)$.
- (3) \mathcal{D} is the Lüneburg plane of order 2^{2h} , h odd, and $Sz(2^h) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq Sz(2^h) : Z_h$.
- (4) \mathcal{D} is the Hall plane of order 3^2 and $SL_2(5) \leq G_0 \leq (D_8 \circ Q_8) : S_5$.
- (5) \mathcal{D} is the Hering plane of order 3^3 and $G_0 \cong SL_2(13)$.
- (6) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(q^2, q, q^{1/2})$ design, $q = p^h$ and h even, and $SL_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \circ SL_2(q)) : Z_h$.
- (7) $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - $(q^3, q^{3/2}, q)$ design, $q = p^h$, p odd and h even, and $SU_3(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \times SU_3(q^{1/2})) : Z_h$.
- (8) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, q^2) design, $q = p^h$, and $Sp_4(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq \Gamma Sp_4(q)$.
- (9) \mathcal{D} is one of the three 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) designs, with $\lambda = q, q^2/2, q^2$ respectively, where $q = 2^h$ and h odd, $Sz(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times Sz(q)) : Z_h$.
- (10) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^6, q^3, q^3) design, $q = 2^h$, and $G_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times G_2(q)) : Z_h$.
- (11) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ -design and $SL_2(5) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (\langle -1 \rangle : S_5^-) : Z_2$.
- (12) \mathcal{D} is one of the two 2 - $(2^6, 2^3, 2^2)$ -designs and G_0 is either one of the groups $3^{1+2} : Q_8$, $3^{1+2} : Z_8$ or $3^{1+2} : SD_{16}$, or $3^{1+2} : Z_8 \leq G_0 \leq PSU_3(3)$.
- (13) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(2^6, 2^3, 2^3)$ -design and G_0 is one of the groups $3^{1+2} : Q_8$, $3^{1+2} : C_8$, $3^{1+2} : SD_{16}$, $(3^{1+2} : Q_8) : 3 : 2$.

Moreover, (6)–(13) do occur and they are isomorphic to the 2-designs constructed in Examples 1.3–1.9.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is outlined as follows. The semilinear 1-dimensional case is analyzed in Lemma 2.6, whereas in almost all the remaining cases it is shown that the order of G_0 is divisible by the primitive part of $p^{2m} - 1$ and hence G_0 is determined in [11, Theorem 3.1]. Each group is then analyzed singularly, and the structure of the stabilizer of a flag is mostly determined by combining the Aschbacher's theorem [41] together with the constraints on the replication number of \mathcal{D} and on the blocks of this one provided in Section 2.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 is the following corollary which determines the flag-transitive 2 - $(2^{2m}, 2^m, 2)$ designs of affine type and hence it provides a small contribution to the result contained in [27].

Corollary 1.2. *Let \mathcal{D} be a 2 - $(2^{2m}, 2^m, 2)$ design admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G of affine type. If $G \not\leq \text{AGL}_1(2^m)$, then \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(16, 4, 2)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.3 for $q = 4$.*

The remainder of this section is dedicated to construction of the following examples.

Example 1.3. Let $q = p^h$, with h even and $h > 0$, let $B = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_{GF(q^{1/2})}$, where $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a basis of $V_2(q)$, and let $G = TG_0$, where $SL_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \circ SL_2(q)).Z_h$. Then $\mathcal{D} = (V_2(q), B^G)$ is a 2 - $(q^2, q, q^{1/2})$ design admitting G as a point-2-transitive, flag-transitive automorphism group.

Proof. Let q, B and G as in the statement. Then $G_B = T_B : G_{0,B}$, where $SL_2(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap \Gamma L_2(q)_B$ and $\Gamma L_2(q)_B \cong (Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \circ SL_2(q^{1/2})).Z_h$ by [17, Table 8.1]. Hence $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - (q^2, q, λ) design, since G acts 2-transitively on V . Also, G acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} , since T_B acts regularly on B and G is block-transitive on \mathcal{D} . Moreover $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [SL_2(q) : SL_2(q^{1/2})] = q^{1/2}(q+1)$, since $SL_2(q)$ contains $(2, q-1)$ conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $SL_2(q^{1/2})$, and when q is odd these are fused in $\Gamma L_2(q)$ but not in $(Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \circ SL_2(q)).Z_h$ by [17, Table 8.1]. Thus $\lambda = q^{1/2}$. \square

Example 1.4. Let V be a 3-dimensional $GF(q)$ -space, with $q = p^h$, p odd and h even, let \mathcal{H} be a Hermitian unital of order q of $PG_2(V)$ and let π be a Baer subplane of $PG_2(q)$ such that $\pi \cap \mathcal{H}$ is a non-degenerate conic of π . If B is any 3-dimensional $GF(q^{1/2})$ -subspace of V inducing π , and $G = TG_0$ where $SU_3(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \times SU_3(q^{1/2})).Z_h$ induces a group on $PG_2(V)$ that preserves \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - $(q^3, q^{3/2}, q)$ design admitting G as a flag-transitive automorphism group.

Proof. Let V, G, B be as in the statement, and let $x_1^{G_0}$ and $x_2^{G_0}$ be the two orbits consisting of the non-zero, isotropic and non-isotropic vectors of V respectively. Then $|x_1^{G_0}| = (q^{3/2}+1)(q-1)$ and $|x_2^{G_0}| = q(q^{3/2}+1)(q^{1/2}-1)$. Moreover, $(x_i^{G_0}, B^{G_0})$ is a tactical configuration for each $i = 1, 2$ by [26, 1.2.6].

Set X_0 the (normal) copy of $SU_3(q^{1/2})$ inside G_0 . It results from [17, Table 8.5] that $X_{0,B} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap \Gamma U_3(q^{1/2})_B$, where $X_{0,B} \cong SO_3(q^{1/2})$ and $\Gamma U_3(q^{1/2})_B \cong \Gamma O_3(q^{1/2})$. Moreover, X_0 contains $(3, q^{1/2}+1)$ conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$ and these are fused in $\Gamma U_3(q^{1/2})$ but not in $(Z_{q^{1/2}-1} \times SU_3(q^{1/2})).Z_h$ and hence neither in G_0 . Thus $|B^{G_0}| = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [X_0 : X_{0,B}] = q(q^{3/2}+1)$.

Since $G_{0,B}$ acts 2-transitively on the non-degenerate conic $\pi \cap \mathcal{H}$ of π and since the stabilizer in $G_{0,B}$ of a point $\langle c \rangle_{GF(q)}$ of $\pi \cap \mathcal{H}$ splits the set of the non-zero vectors of $\langle c \rangle_{GF(q)}$ into $q^{1/2}+1$ orbits each of length $q^{1/2}-1$, it follows that $|B \cap x_1^{G_0}| = q-1$. Consequently, we have that $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = q(q^{1/2}-1)$ as $|B \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}| = q^{3/2}-1$. Therefore the parameters of $(x_i^{G_0}, B^{G_0})$ are

$$(v_i, k_i, b_i, r_i) = \begin{cases} ((q^{3/2}+1)(q-1), q-1, q(q^{3/2}+1), q) & \text{for } i = 1 \\ (q(q^{3/2}+1)(q^{1/2}-1), q(q^{1/2}-1), q(q^{3/2}+1), q) & \text{for } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus $r_1 = r_2 = q$ and hence the number of elements in B^{G_0} incident with 0 and with any non-zero vector of V is q . Therefore the flag-transitive incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - $(q^3, q^{3/2}, q)$ design. \square

Example 1.5. Let $q = p^h$, $h \geq 1$, and let B a non-degenerate 2-dimensional subspace of $V_4(q)$ with respect to a symplectic form. Then $\mathcal{D} = (V_4(q), B^G)$, where $G = TG_0$ and $Sp_4(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq \Gamma Sp_4(q)$, is a point-2-transitive, flag-transitive 2 - (q^4, q^2, q^2) design.

Proof. Let B a non-degenerate 2-dimensional subspace of $V_4(q)$ with respect to a symplectic form, then $V_4(q) = B \oplus B^\perp$. Hence $\mathcal{D} = (V_4(q), B^G)$ is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design, since G acts point-2-transitively on $V_4(q)$. Also, G acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} and $Sp_2(q) \times Sp_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_{0,B}$ by [17, Table 8.12]. Moreover

$$r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [Sp_4(q) : Sp_2(q)^2] = q^2(q^2 + 1),$$

since the stabilizers of non-degenerate 2-dimensional subspaces of V lies in a unique conjugacy $Sp_4(q)$ -class, hence $\lambda = q^2$. \square

Example 1.6. Let (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) be a non-zero vector of $V = V_4(q)$, $q = 2^h$, $h = 2e + 1$ and $e \geq 1$, let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(GF(q))$ defined by $\sigma : w \rightarrow w^{2^e}$, and let

$$B = \{(m_1^{\sigma+2}x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, m_2^{-\sigma}z_0, m_2^{-\sigma-2}t_0)\} \quad (1.1)$$

be a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V (e.g. see [44, Lemma IV.2.1]). Then $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$, where $G = TG_0$ and $Sz(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$, is a flag-transitive 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design provided that one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

Family 1: $x_0 = z_0 = 1$ and $y_0 = t_0 = 0$. In this case

- B is a 2-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspace of V inducing a line of $PG_3(q)$ tangent to the Tits Ovoid and not lying the Lüneburg spread.
- $\lambda = q$.
- $G_0 \leq (Z \times Sz(q)) \cdot Z_h$, where Z denotes the center of $GL_4(q)$.
- $F_{q(q-1)} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap ((Z \times Sz(q)) \cdot Z_h)_B$, where $((Z \times Sz(q)) \cdot Z_h)_B \cong (Z \times F_{q(q-1)}) \cdot Z_h$.

Family 2: $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$, $t_0 y_0^{\sigma+1} = z_0^{\sigma+1} x_0$ with $(z_0, t_0) = (y_0, x_0)$. Here

- $\lambda = q^2/2$.
- $G_0 \leq \text{Aut}(Sz(q)) \cong Sz(q) \cdot Z_h$.
- $D_{2(q-1)} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap \text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B$, where $\text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B \cong D_{2(q-1)} \cdot Z_h$.

Family 3: $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$, $t_0 y_0^{\sigma+1} = z_0^{\sigma+1} x_0$ with $(z_0, t_0) \neq (y_0, x_0)$. In this case

- $\lambda = q^2$.
- $G_0 \leq \text{Aut}(Sz(q))$.
- $Z_{q-1} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap \text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B$, where $\text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B \cong Z_{q-1} \cdot Z_h$.

Family 4: $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$ and $t_0 y_0^{\sigma+1} \neq z_0^{\sigma+1} x_0$ and the map

$$\zeta : X \rightarrow \frac{(y_0/t_0)^\sigma X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}}{(x_0/t_0)X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0)}$$

of $PGL_2(q)$ fixes exactly one point in $PG_1(q) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Here

- $\lambda = q^2$.
- $G_0 \leq \text{Aut}(Sz(q))$.
- $Z_{q-1} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} = G_0 \cap \text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B$, where $\text{Aut}(Sz(q))_B \cong Z_{q-1} \cdot Z_h$.

Proof. It is well known that G_0 partitions the set of non-zero vectors of $V_4(q)$ in two orbits, say $x_1^{G_0}$ and $x_2^{G_0}$, of length $(q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ and $(q^2 + 1)q(q - 1)$ respectively, and these induce the Tits Ovoid and its complementary set in $PG_3(q)$ correspondingly. Let B be as in (1.1). Then $(x_i^{G_0}, B^{G_0})$, $i = 1, 2$, are tactical configurations by [26, 1.2.6]. Set $H = T : H_0$, where H_0 is the subgroup of G_0 isomorphic to $Sz(q)$. One can easily see that $|B^{H_0}| = \lambda(q^2 + 1)$, where λ is as in Families (1)–(4) by using (3.1). Moreover $B^{H_0} = B^{G_0}$, since H_0 has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups

isomorphic to $F_{q(q-1)}$, Z_{q-1} or $D_{2(q-1)}$ respectively, and it also is a conjugacy G_0 -class (see [17, Table 8.16]). Therefore, the parameters (v_i, k_i, b_i, r_i) of $(x_i^{G_0}, B^{G_0})$, $i = 1, 2$, are $((q^2 + 1)(q - 1), k_1, \lambda(q^2 + 1), r_1)$ and $((q^2 + 1)q(q - 1), k_2, \lambda(q^2 + 1), r_2)$ respectively. Hence $r_1(q - 1) = \lambda k_1$ and $r_2 q(q - 1) = \lambda k_2$. Then the flag-transitive incidence structure $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design, where λ is as in Families (1)–(4) respectively if, and only if, $r_1 = r_2 = \lambda$. This is equivalent to show that $k_1 = q - 1$ in (1)–(4), since $k_1 + k_2 = q^2 - 1$.

It is immediate to see that $k_1 = q - 1$ in Family (1) by using (3.2), hence \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, q) design in this case. Also B induces a line of $PG_3(q)$ tangent to \mathcal{O} and not lying the Lüneburg spread by [44, Theorem IV.23.2]. The fact that $k_1 = q - 1$ actually corresponds to the conditions on x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 for the Families (2)–(4) is shown in Proposition 3.12 and Corollary 3.13. Thus in these cases \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) designs with $\lambda = q^2/2, q^2, q^2$ respectively. Although it is immediate to see that there are examples belonging to any of the Families (2)–(3) for each q , it is difficult to prove the existence of examples belonging to Family (4) for any q . However, some computations made with the aid of GAP [64] show that examples occur for $q = 2^3$ or 2^5 . \square

Example 1.7. Let $q = 2^h$, $h \geq 1$, and let B be any totally isotropic 3-dimensional subspace of $V_6(q)$ with respect to the $G_2(q)$ -invariant symplectic form. Then $\mathcal{D} = (V_6(q), B^G)$, with $G = TG_0$ and $G_2(q) \leq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times G_2(q)) : Z_h$, where $Z_h = \text{Out}(G_2(q))$, is a point 2-transitive, flag-transitive 2 - (q^6, q^3, q^3) design.

Proof. Since $G_2(q) < Sp_6(q)$, $q = 2^h$, $h \geq 1$, it follows that $G_2(q)$ preserves a symplectic form F on V , where $V = V_6(q)$. The group $G_2(q)$ has one orbit of length $q^3(q^3 + 1)$ of totally isotropic 3-dimensional subspaces of V by [20, Lemma 5.3]. Moreover, if B is a representative of such a orbit, $G_2(q)_B \cong SL_3(q)$ and $\text{Aut}(G_2(q))_B \cong SL_3(q) : Z_h$.

Let $G = TG_0$, where $G_2(q) \leq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times G_2(q)) : Z_h$, where Z_{q-1} is the center of $GL_6(q)$. Then $|B^{G_0}| = q^6(q^3 + 1)$ by [17, Table 8.30], hence $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^G)$ is a 2 - (q^6, q^3, q^3) design as G acts 2-transitively on V . Also \mathcal{D} is clearly flag-transitive. \square

Example 1.8. Let $B = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle_{GF(3)}$ and let $G = TG_0$ where $SL_2(5) \leq G_0 \leq (\langle -1 \rangle . S_5^-) : Z_2$. Then $\mathcal{D} = (V_4(3), B^{G_0})$ is a 2 - $(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ -design admitting $G = TG_0$ as flag-transitive automorphism group. Moreover, if G_0 is not isomorphic $SL_2(5)$ then G_0 acts point-2-transitively on \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Let $H_0 = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $\alpha^4 = [\alpha^2, \beta] = \beta^3 = (\alpha\beta)^5 = 1$. Thus $H_0 \cong SL_2(5)$. Moreover H_0 preserves the symplectic form

$$F(X, Y) = -X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1 - X_3Y_4 + X_4Y_3,$$

where $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$ and $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4)$, hence $H_0 < Sp_4(3)$.

The 2-dimensional subspace $B = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1) \rangle$ of $V_4(3)$ is totally isotropic (with respect to F), and $\langle \alpha \rangle \leq H_{0,B}$. Assume that the order of $H_{0,B}$ is divisible

of 8. Then $H_{0,B}$ contains the Sylow 2-subgroup S of H_0 containing $\langle \alpha \rangle$ and hence $S = \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \cong Q_8$, where $\gamma = \alpha^3 \beta \alpha^3 \beta^2 \alpha^3 \beta \alpha^3 \beta^2 \alpha \beta$. However,

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

does not preserve B .

Assume that the order of $H_{0,B}$ is divisible of 3. Since each Sylow 2-subgroup of $SL_2(5)$ is isomorphic to Q_8 and its unique involution is -1 , and since each 5-subgroup of H_0 acts irreducibly on $V_4(3)$, it follows that the forty 1-dimensional subspaces of $V_4(3)$ are partitioned into two H_0 -orbits each of length 20. Hence $\text{Fix}(K)$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of $V_4(3)$, where $K \cong Z_3$, and $\mathcal{S} = \text{Fix}(K)^{H_0}$ is a transitive 2-spread of $V_4(3)$. Also \mathcal{S} is Desarguesian by [31, Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5].

If $B \in \mathcal{S}$ then β fixes B , since β fixes $(0, 0, 0, 1)$ in B , and we reach a contradiction. Then $H_{0,B}$ preserves the 1-dimensional subspace $\text{Fix}(K) \cap B$. However, this is impossible since the square of each element of order 4 contained in $H_{0,B}$ is -1 . Thus $H_{0,B} = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and hence $|B^{H_0}| = 30$.

Let

$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to see that $\delta \in N_{Sp_4(3)}(H_0)$. On the other hand, $H_0 \langle \delta \rangle \cong \langle -1 \rangle . S_5^-$ by [21], since the Sylow 2-subgroups of $H_0 \langle \delta \rangle$ are isomorphic to Q_{16} . Then $H_0 \langle \delta \rangle$ acts transitively on the set of non-zero vectors of $V_4(3)$, since its unique involution is -1 and since each 5-subgroup of $H_0 \langle \delta \rangle$ acts irreducibly on $V_4(3)$. Then $T : H_0 \langle \delta \rangle$ acts 2-transitively on $V_4(3)$. Moreover, $B^{H_0} = B^{H_0 \langle \delta \rangle}$ since $H_0 \triangleleft H_0 \langle \delta \rangle < Sp_4(3)$ and since the number of totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces is 40. Thus $\mathcal{D} = (V_4(3), B^{G_0})$ is a 2- $(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ -design admitting both $T : H_0$ and $T : H_0 \langle \delta \rangle$ as a flag-transitive automorphism groups.

Since $H_0 \trianglelefteq H_0 \langle \delta \rangle : \langle \psi \rangle \leq GSp_4(3)$ and $o(\psi) = 2$, since $GSp_4(3)$ preserves the $Sp_4(3)$ -orbit consisting of the 40 totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces, it follows that $B^{H_0} = B^{H_0 \langle \delta \rangle} = B^{H_0 \langle \delta, \psi \rangle}$. Hence \mathcal{D} admits also $T : (H_0 \langle \delta, \psi \rangle)$ as flag-transitive automorphism groups. Now, if we set $G = T : G_0$, where $H_0 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq H_0 \langle \delta, \psi \rangle$, the assertion follows. \square

It is worth noting that in Examples 1.3–1.8 the full flag-transitive automorphism group Γ is determined. Indeed, it follows from [46] and [47] that Γ is of affine type since the number of points of \mathcal{D} is a power of a prime. Now, we may apply Theorem 1.1, with Γ in the role of G , thus obtaining that Γ is a group as in Examples 1.3–1.8.

Example 1.9. The following further examples are found with the aid of GAP[64]. In these cases $\mathcal{D} = (V_6(2), B^G)$ is a 2- $(2^6, 2^3, 2^i)$ design, with $1 \leq i \leq 3$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = TG_0$, where (B, G_0) are as in Table 1.

Note that the 2-design in Line 1 is an example of (1) in Theorem 1.1. Indeed, $G_0 < \Gamma L_1(2^6)$ and it can be shown that the set of the blocks incident with 0 is the

TABLE 1. flag-transitive $2 - (2^6, 2^3, 2^i)$ designs with $1 \leq i \leq 3$

i	Base block B	G_0
1	$\{1, 6, 10, 16, 20, 22, 28, 30\}$	$D_{18}, D_{18}.Z_3$
2	$\{1, 2, 7, 8, 18, 24, 30, 32\}$	$3^{1+2} : Q_8, 3^{1+2} : Z_8, 3^{1+2} : SD_{16}$
	$\{1, 2, 7, 8, 26, 28, 31, 32\}$	$3^{1+2} : Z_8, PSU_3(3)$
3	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 18, 19, 21, 24\}$	$3^{1+2} : Q_8, 3^{1+2} : Z_8, 3^{1+2} : SD_{16}, (3^{1+2} : Q_8) : 3 : 2$
	$\{1, 2, 7, 8, 26, 28, 31, 32\}$	$3^{1+2} : SD_{16}, G_2(2)$

union of two Desarguesian spreads of $V_6(2)$ each of these permuted transitively by a cyclic group of order 9 of G_0 . The two spreads are switched by an involution of G_0 .

The example in Line 6 actually is a 2-design constructed in Example 1.7 for $q = 2$. Finally, the example in Line 3 is a subdesign of that in Line 6 as the base block is the same in both cases and since $G_2(2) \cong PSU_3(3) : Z_2$.

2. PRELIMINARY REDUCTIONS

Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ be a $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ design, where $\lambda \mid k$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G such that T , the socle of G , is an elementary abelian p -group for some prime p . Then G acts point-primitively on \mathcal{D} by [26, 2.3.7.c], since $r = (k+1)\lambda > (k-3)\lambda$. Thus \mathcal{P} can be identified with a $2m$ -dimensional $GF(p)$ -vector space V in a way that T is the translation group of V and hence $G = TG_0 \leq AGL(V)$. Therefore, \mathcal{D} is a $2-(p^{2m}, p^m, p^f)$ design, with $0 \leq f \leq m$, and so $r = p^f(p^m + 1)$ and $b = p^{m+f}(p^m + 1)$.

The following lemmas and corollary will frequently be used throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *If \mathcal{D} is a $2-(k^2, k, \lambda)$ design, with $\lambda \mid k$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G , then $|y^{G_x}| = (p^m + 1) |B \cap y^{G_x}|$ for any point y of \mathcal{D} , with $y \neq x$, and for any block B of \mathcal{D} incident with x . In particular, $p^m + 1$ divides the length of each point- G_x -orbit on \mathcal{D} distinct from $\{x\}$.*

Proof. Let y be any point of \mathcal{D} , $y \neq x$, and B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with x . Since (y^{G_x}, B^{G_x}) is a tactical configuration by [26, 1.2.6], and $r = p^f(p^m + 1)$, it follows that $|y^{G_x}| p^f = (p^m + 1) p^f |B \cap y^{G_x}|$. Hence $|y^{G_x}| = (p^m + 1) |B \cap y^{G_x}|$, which is the assertion. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If p is odd, T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} and $-1 \in G_0$, then the blocks of \mathcal{D} are subspaces of $AG_{2m}(p)$.*

Proof. Let x be any non-zero vector of V . Then -1 preserves the p^f blocks incident with $\pm x$, and hence -1 preserves one of them, say B , as p is odd. Then -1 fixes a point on B as p^m is odd and hence $0 \in B$. Also $T_B \neq 1$, since G acts block-transitively, T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} and $T \triangleleft G$. Hence $0^{T_B} = 0^{T_B \langle -1 \rangle}$. Then each T_B -orbit in B is also a $T_B \langle -1 \rangle$ -orbit as both T_B and $T_B \langle -1 \rangle$ are normal subgroups of G_B . Therefore -1 fixes a point on each T_B -orbit contained in B , as G_B acts transitively on B . Then $B = 0^{T_B}$ since -1 does not fix non-zero vectors of V . Thus B is a subspace of V and hence the assertion follows from the block-transitivity of G on \mathcal{D} , since $V = V_{2m}(p)$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The following hold:*

- (1) $m - t \leq f \leq m$, where $p^t = |T_B|$ and B is any block of \mathcal{D} .

(2) G_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_0 of index $p^{f-(m-t)}(p^m + 1)$ and containing an isomorphic copy of $G_{0,B}$.

Proof. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0. Then B is split into T_B -orbits of equal length p^t , where $t \geq 0$, permuted transitively by G_B , since $T_B \trianglelefteq G_B$. Thus $[G_B : G_{0,B}T_B] = p^{m-t}$ and hence G_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup J of G_0 such that $|J| = p^{m-t}|G_{0,B}|$ and it contains an isomorphic copy of $G_{0,B}$. Then $r = [G_0 : J]p^{m-t}$ implies $[G_0 : J]p^{m-t} = p^f(p^m + 1)$ and hence $m - t \leq f \leq m$. This proves (1) and (2). \square

Corollary 2.4. *If $p = 2$ and $t \geq m - 1$, then the blocks of \mathcal{D} are subspaces of $AG_{2m}(2)$.*

Proof. Assume that $t = m - 1$. Then $B = 0^{T_B} \cup x^{T_B} = 0^{T_B} \cup (0^{T_B} + x)$ for some $x \notin 0^{T_B}$, with $|0^{T_B}| = |T_B| = 2^{m-1}$. So, hence $B = 0^{T_B} \oplus \langle x \rangle$, which is a contradiction as $t = m - 1$. Thus $t = m$ and hence the assertion follows. \square

For each divisor h of $2m$ the group $\Gamma L_{2m/h}(p^h)$ has a natural irreducible action on V . The point-primitivity of G on \mathcal{D} implies that G_0 acts irreducibly on $V_{2m}(p)$. Choose h to be minimal such that $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_{2m/h}(p^h)$ in this action, and write $q = p^h$ and $n = 2m/h$. Thus $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_n(q)$ and $v = q^n = p^{2m}$.

Theorem 2.5. *If $\lambda = 1$, then \mathcal{D} is a translation plane and either $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(q)$ or one of the following holds:*

- (1) \mathcal{D} is the Desarguesian plane of order q and $SL_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq \Gamma L_2(q)$.
- (2) \mathcal{D} is the Lüneburg plane of order 2^{2h} , h odd, and $Sz(2^h) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{2^h-1} \times Sz(2^h)) . Z_h$.
- (3) \mathcal{D} is the Hall plane of order 3^2 and $SL_2(5) \leq G_0 \leq (D_8 \circ Q_8) . S_5$.
- (4) \mathcal{D} is the Hering plane of order 3^3 and $G_0 \cong SL_2(13)$.

Proof. \mathcal{D} is a translation plane of order q by [55, Theorems 2 and 4], since $\lambda = 1$. Hence, the assertion follows from [43, Main Theorem]. \square

In view of Theorem 2.5 in the sequel we will assume that $\lambda \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.6. *If $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(p^{2m})$, the blocks of \mathcal{D} are m -dimensional subspaces of $AG_{2m}(p)$.*

Proof. Since $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(p^{2m})$, where $\Gamma L_1(p^{2m}) = \langle \bar{\omega}, \bar{\alpha} \rangle$, with $\bar{\omega} : x \rightarrow \omega x$, ω a primitive element of $GF(p^{2m})^*$ and $\bar{\alpha} : x \rightarrow x^p$, it follows that $G_0 = \langle \bar{\omega}^c, \bar{\omega}^e \bar{\alpha}^s \rangle$ where c, e, s are integers such that $c \mid p^{2m} - 1$, $s \mid 2m$ and $e \left(\frac{p^{2m}-1}{p^s-1} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$ (e.g. see [32, Lemma 2.1]). Then $|G_0| = \frac{p^{2m}-1}{c} \cdot \frac{2m}{s}$, and since $r = p^f(p^m + 1)$ divides $|G_0|$, it follows that

$$p^f \mid \frac{2m}{s} \text{ and } c \mid (p^m - 1)(p^m + 1, 2m/sp^f). \quad (2.1)$$

Let B be any block incident with 0 and let $W = 0^{T_B}$. Then $|W| = p^t$, where $t \geq 0$, and $0 \leq m - t \leq f \leq m$ by Lemma 2.3(1).

- (1) T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} .

Assume that $t = 0$. Then $f = m$ and hence $p^m \leq 2m/s \leq 2m$ by (2.1). Thus $p^m = 4$, as $p^m > 2$, and hence $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(2^4)$ and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(2^4, 2^2, 2^2)$ design. Moreover, $G_0 = \langle \bar{\omega}^c, \bar{\omega}^e \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ with $c \mid 3$. If $c = 1$ then $G_0 = \Gamma L_1(2^4)$ and hence $\langle \bar{\omega}^5 \rangle$ preserves each block incident with 0 , since G_0 acts transitively on the 20 blocks incident with 0 and since $\langle \bar{\omega}^5 \rangle \triangleleft G_0$. However this is impossible since V^* is split into 5 orbits under $\langle \bar{\omega}^5 \rangle$. Thus $c = 3$ and hence $G_0 \cong F_{20}$. Moreover $G_B \cong Z_4$ by Lemma 2.3(2).

Since G_0 contains a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(2^4)$ and since $\langle \bar{\omega}^3 \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(2^4)$, we may assume that $e = 0$. Hence, $G_0 = \langle \bar{\omega}^3, \bar{\alpha} \rangle$. Since $G_B \cong Z_4$ by Lemma 2.3(2), we may also assume that B is such that $G_B \leq T : \langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$. Let γ be a generator of G_B , one can easily see that either that $\gamma : x \rightarrow x^2 + \omega^i$ with $T_{GF(2^4)/GF(2)}(\omega^i) = 0$ and $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is a G -conjugate of $\langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$, or $\gamma : x \rightarrow x^4 + \omega^i$ with $\omega^i \notin GF(4)$. Therefore, in the former case we may actually assume that $G_B = \langle \bar{\alpha} \rangle$. Then $B = \{z, z^2, z^4, z^8\}$ for some $z \in GF(16) \setminus GF(4)$. If $T_{GF(16)/GF(2)}(z) = 0$, then $B + z = \{0, z^2 + z, z^4 + z, z^8 + z\}$ is a $GF(2)$ -space, and we reach a contradiction. Hence $T_{GF(16)/GF(2)}(z) = 1$. However this case is ruled out since the norm of $GF(16)$ over $GF(4)$ on two elements of $B + z$ is equal. Indeed, the three $\langle \bar{\omega}^3 \rangle$ -orbits form a partition of $GF(16)^*$ and they consist of the points with norm of $GF(16)$ over $GF(4)$ equals to $1, \omega^5, \omega^{10}$ respectively. Also each block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0 must intersect each of them in precisely one point, since $\langle \bar{\omega}^3 \rangle \trianglelefteq G_0$ and since G_0 permutes these blocks transitively.

Assume that $G_B = \langle \gamma \rangle$ with $\gamma : x \rightarrow x^4 + \omega^i$ and $\omega^i \notin GF(4)$. If $y \in B$ then $B = \{y, y^4 + \omega^i, y + \omega^{4i} + \omega^i, y^4 + \omega^{4i}\}$ and hence $B + y = \{0, y^4 + y + \omega^i, \omega^{4i} + \omega^i, y^4 + y + \omega^{4i}\}$ is a $GF(2)$ -space, a contradiction.

2. The blocks are m -dimensional subspaces of $AG_{2m}(p)$.

Suppose the contrary. Then $0 < t < m$ by (1). Assume that $G_B \cap T \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle \neq T_B$. Then $G_B \cap T \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle = T_B : X$ with $1 < X \leq \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle^\tau$ for some $\tau \in T$ by [50, Propositions 8.2 and 17.3], since $T \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle$ is a Frobenius group. Since X has order coprime to p and since $k = p^m$, it follows that X fixes at least a point x_0 in B . Thus each T_B -orbit in B is also a $T_B X$ -orbit, since both T_B and $T_B X$ are normal subgroups of G_B , $x_0^{T_B X} = x_0^{T_B}$ and G_B acts transitively on B . Then X fixes a point in $B \setminus x_0^{T_B}$ since $0 < t < m$. However this is impossible as X acts semiregularly on $GF(q) \setminus \{x_0\}$, since $1 < X \leq \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle^\tau$.

Assume that $G_B \cap T \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle = T_B$. Then $G_B / (G_B \cap T \langle \bar{\omega}^c \rangle)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\langle \bar{\omega}^e \bar{\alpha}^s \rangle$ of order $p^{m-t} |G_{0,B}|$. Then $p^{m-t} \frac{(p^m-1)(2m/sp^f)}{c} \mid 2m/sp^f$ as $|G_{0,B}| = \frac{(p^m-1)(2m/sp^f)}{c}$, hence $p^{m-t} (p^m - 1) \mid c$. Thus $t = m$ as $c \mid (p^{2m} - 1)$, but this contradicts $t < m$. Therefore the blocks of \mathcal{D} are m -dimensional subspaces of $AG_{2m}(p)$. \square

Let a, e be integers. A divisor w of $a^e - 1$ that is coprime to each $a^i - 1$ for $1 \leq i < e$ is said to be a *primitive divisor*, and we call the largest primitive divisor $\Phi_e^*(a)$ of $a^e - 1$ the *primitive part* of $a^e - 1$. One should note that $\Phi_e^*(a)$ is strongly related to cyclotomy in that it is equal to the quotient of the cyclotomic number $\Phi_e(a)$ and $(n, \Phi_e(a))$ when $e > 2$. Also $\Phi_e^*(a) > 1$ for $a \geq 2$, $e > 2$ and $(q, e) \neq (2, e)$ by Zsigmondy's Theorem (for instance, see [44, Theorem II.6.2]).

From now on we assume that $m > 1$ and $(p, 2m) \neq (2, 6)$. The cases $m = 1$ or $(p, 2m) = (2, 6)$ are tackled in the final section of the present paper.

Lemma 2.7. *Set $H_0 = G_0 \cap GL_n(q)$, then $\Phi_{2m}^*(p)$ divides the order of H_0 and $\Phi_{2m}^*(p) > 1$.*

Proof. Since $r = p^f(p^m + 1)$ divides $|G_0|$, then $\Phi_{2m}^*(p)$ divides $|G_0|$. Moreover, $\Phi_{2m}^*(p) > 1$ by [44, Theorem II.6.2], since $m > 1$ and $(p, 2m) \neq (2, 6)$ by our assumption. As $\Phi_{2m}^*(p) \equiv 1 \pmod{2m}$ by [41, Proposition 5.2.15.(ii)], it follows that $\Phi_{2m}^*(p)$ is coprime to h , since $h \mid 2m$. Thus $\Phi_{2m}^*(p)$ divides the order H_0 , since $q = p^h$ and $[\Gamma L_n(q) : GL_n(q)] = h$. \square

On the basis of Lemma 2.7, H_0 is one of the following groups by [11, Theorem 3.1] and by the minimality of n :

- (1) $SL_n(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$;
- (2) $Sp_n(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$;
- (3) $SU_n(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq G_0$ and n odd;
- (4) $\Omega_n^-(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$;
- (5) $G_0 \leq (D_8 \circ Q_8).S_5$ and $(q, n) = (3, 4)$;
- (6) G_0 is a *nearly simple group*, that is, $S \trianglelefteq G_0 / (G_0 \cap Z) \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ for some non-abelian simple group S , where Z is the center of $GL(V)$. Moreover, if N is the preimage of S in G_0 , then N is absolutely irreducible on V and N is not a classical subgroups defined over a subfield of $GF(q)$ in its natural representation.

We are going to analyze (6) first, then (5) and finally (1)–(4).

3. THE CASE WHERE G_0 IS A NEARLY SIMPLE GROUP

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *If G_0 is a nearly simple group, then $q = 2^h$ and one of the following holds:*

- (1) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(2^4, 2^2, 2^2)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.5 for $q = 2$, and $A_6 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_6$.
- (2) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design, with $\lambda = q, q^2/2$ or q^2 , isomorphic to one the 2-designs constructed in Example 1.7, and $Sz(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times Sz(q)) . Z_h$ (h is odd).
- (3) \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^6, q^3, q^3) design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.6, and $G_2(q) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Z_{q-1} \times G_2(q)) : Z_h$.

We analyze the cases where S , the socle of $G_0 / (G_0 \cap Z)$, is alternating, sporadic and a Lie type simple group in characteristic p' and p separately.

Lemma 3.2. *If $S \cong A_\ell$, $\ell \geq 5$, then $\ell = 6$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of constructed in Example 1.5 for $q = 2$.*

Proof. Assume that V is the fully deleted permutation module for S , where $S \cong A_\ell$, $\ell \geq 5$. Then $q = p$, $n = 2m$, $A_\ell \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_\ell \times Z$, where Z is the center of $GL_n(q)$, and either $\ell = 2m + 1$ or $2m + 2$ according to whether p does not divide or does divide n , respectively, by [41, Lemma 5.3.4]. Moreover $q = 2$ and $m = 2, 5, 6, 9$, or $q = 3$ and $m = 2, 3$, or $q = 5$ and $m = 3$ by [11, Theorem 3.1]. The group G_0 has one orbit on the set of 1-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspaces of V of length $2m + 1$ or $(2m + 1)(m + 1)$ according to $\ell = 2m + 1$ or $2m + 2$ respectively. By Lemma 2.1 $p^m + 1$ divides $(p - 1)(2m + 1)$ or $(p - 1)(2m + 1)(m + 1)$, respectively, and this rules out the cases $p = 2$ and $m = 6, 9$, or $p = 5$ and $m = 3$.

Assume that $p = m = 2$ and $A_5 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_5$. Then $V_4(2)^* = x^{G_0} \cup y^{G_0}$ with $|x^{G_0}| = 5$ and $|y^{G_0}| = 10$. Moreover G_0 acts primitively on y^{G_0} and hence $G_{0,y}$ is a maximal subgroup of G_0 isomorphic either to D_6 or to D_{12} according as G_0 is isomorphic either to A_5 or to S_5 respectively. Thus any cyclic subgroup of G_0 of order 3 fixes exactly one point on y^{G_0} . Since $r = 2^f \cdot 5$, if B is a block incident with 0 then $G_{0,B}$ contains a cyclic subgroup C of order 3. Since $|B \cap y^{G_0}| = 2$ by Lemma 2.1, it follows that C fixes $B \cap y^{G_0}$ pointwise, but this is in contrast with the fact that any cyclic subgroup of G_0 of order 3 fixes exactly one point in y^{G_0} .

Assume that $p = m = 2$ and $A_6 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_6$. Then G_0 acts transitively on V^* and G_0 contains two conjugacy classes of subgroups of order 3: one consisting of the subgroups fixing a plane of $V_4(2)$, the other consisting of subgroups acting semiregularly on V^* . Since $r = 2^f \cdot 5$, if B is any block incident with 0, $G_{0,B}$ contains a Sylow 3-subgroup G_0 and hence there is a cyclic subgroup C of G_0 of order 3 fixing B pointwise. Actually $B = \text{Fix}(C)$ and hence $f = 2$ and \mathcal{D} is the 2-design constructed in Example 1.5, since G acts 2-transitively on V and $A_6 \cong Sp_4(2)'$.

Assume that $p = 2$ and $m = 5$. Hence $r = 2^f \cdot 33$ with $f \leq 5$, and $G_0 \cong A_{12} : Z_{2^\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. If B is any block incident with 0, and M is a maximal subgroup of G_0 containing $G_{0,B}$, then either $M \cong A_{11} : Z_{2^\varepsilon}$ or $M \cong S_{10} \times Z_{2^\varepsilon}$ by [21]. If $M \cong A_{11} : Z_{2^\varepsilon}$, then $[G_0 : M] = 12$ and $[M : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-2} \cdot 11$. Therefore either $f = 2$ and $G_{0,B} \cong A_{10} : Z_{2^\varepsilon}$, or $f = 3$, $\varepsilon = 1$ and $G_{0,B} \cong A_{10}$ by [21].

If $M \cong S_{10} \times Z_{2^\varepsilon}$, then $[G_0 : M] = 66$ and hence $[M : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-1}$ with $1 \leq f \leq 2 + \varepsilon$, and again we have $A_{10} \trianglelefteq G_{0,B}$ and $f \leq 3$. Therefore, $G_{0,B}$ contains a normal copy of A_{10} , say Q , and $f \leq 3$ in either case. Then Q preserves 0^{T_B} , as $T_B \trianglelefteq G_B$, hence Q fixes 0^{T_B} pointwise by [56], since $2 \leq t = \dim 0^{T_B} \leq 5$ by Lemma 2.3(1), as $m = 5$ and $f \leq 3$. Thus Q fixes B pointwise if $t \geq 4$ by Corollary 2.4. If $t = 2$ or 3 , then B is partitioned in 2^3 orbits under T_B each of length 2^2 or in 2^2 orbits under T_B each of length 2^3 respectively. In both cases Q fixes B pointwise, since Q permutes the T_B -orbits contained in B and since the minimal primitive permutation representation of Q is 10. Thus $B \subseteq \text{Fix}(Q)$ and hence $\dim \text{Fix}(Q) \geq 5$. Therefore Q acts on $V/\text{Fix}(Q)$, which has dimension at most 5, hence $\text{Fix}(Q) = V$ by [56], and we reach a contradiction.

Assume that $p = 3$, $m = 2$ and $A_6 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_6 \times Z_2$. Then $A_6 \cong \Omega_4^-(3)$ and $S_6 \times Z_2 \cong GO_4^-(3)$ by [21]. Let Q be the quadratic form preserved by $\Omega_4^-(3)$, then the $\Omega_4^-(3)$ -orbits on V^* consist of the vectors on which Q takes values 0, 1 and -1 respectively. These orbits have length 20, 30 and 30 respectively. Let $\langle z \rangle$ be a non-singular 1-dimensional subspace of V and let $U \cong D_8$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_4^-(3)_{\langle z \rangle} \cong S_4$. Then U permutes $\pm z$ and hence U fixes at least a block B incident with $\pm z$, since $\lambda = 3^f$. Then U fixes a point in B since $k = 3^2$, which is necessarily 0, since U is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\Omega_4^-(3)$ and the length of each $\Omega_4^-(3)$ -orbit

on V^* is even. Since $u^{\Omega_4^-(3)}$, where u is a non-zero singular vector of V contained in B is also a G_0 -orbit, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $|B \cap u^{\Omega_4^-(3)}| = 2$. Thus 4 divides $|\Omega_4^-(3)_{B,u}|$, as $U \leq \Omega_4^-(3)_B$, but this contradicts $\Omega_4^-(3)_u \cong F_{18}$.

Assume that $p = 3$, $m = 2$ and $A_5 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_5 \times Z_2$. Then $r = 30$. If $-1 \notin G_0$, then G_0 has one orbit of length 5 since V is the fully deleted permutation module for S_5 . Then $r/3 = 5$ by Lemma 2.1, whereas $r = 30$. Thus $-1 \in G_0$. Also $t > 0$ by Lemma 2.3(1), since $m = 2$ and $f = 1$. Then the blocks incident with 0 are 2-dimensional subspaces of V by Lemma 2.2. Also there is a G_0 -orbit on V^* , say \mathcal{O} , of length 10. Then $|B' \cap \mathcal{O}| = 1$ by Lemma 2.1, where B' is any block incident with 0, since $r = 30$ and $\lambda = 3$. However, this is impossible since B' is a 2-dimensional subspace of V , \mathcal{O} is a G_0 -orbit and $-1 \in G_0$.

Assume that $p = m = 3$. Then $A_8 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_8 \times Z_2$ and $r = 3^f \cdot 28$ with $f = 1, 2$. Note that T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} , since $t \geq m - f \geq 3 - 2 = 1$ by Lemma 2.3(1). Suppose that $-1 \in G_0$. Then the blocks of \mathcal{D} are 3-dimensional subspaces of $AG_6(3)$ by Lemma 2.2. Let W be a Sylow 5-subgroup, then W preserves at least two distinct blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with 0, say B_1, B_2 , since $r \equiv 4, 2 \pmod{5}$ according to whether $f = 1$ or 2 respectively. Then W fixes B_i pointwise, $i = 1, 2$, since the order of $GL_3(3)$ is not divisible by 5. Thus $B_1 + B_2 \subseteq \text{Fix}(W)$, since the blocks of \mathcal{D} are 3-dimensional subspaces of $AG_6(3)$. Hence W fixes V pointwise, since $\dim \text{Fix}(W) \geq 4$ and since W acts semiregularly on $V \setminus \text{Fix}(W)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $-1 \notin G_0$ and hence $A_8 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq S_8$. If B is any block incident with 0, then $G_{0,B} \leq S_6 \times Z_\epsilon$ by [21], where $\epsilon = 1, 2$ according to whether G_0 is A_8 or S_8 respectively. Then $[S_6 \times Z_\epsilon : G_{0,x}] = 3^f$, since $[G_0 : S_6 \times Z_\epsilon] = 28$, and we reach a contradiction by [21].

Assume that V is not the fully deleted permutation module for S . Then either $G_0 \cong A_\ell$, $\ell = 7, 8$, and $V = V_4(2)$, or $G_0 \cong (Z_{2^i} \times Z_3.A_7).Z_{2^j}$, $i \leq 3$ and $j \leq 1$, and $V = V_3(25)$ by [11, Theorem 3.1] (note that $\Phi_4^*(7) = 5^2$ does not divide the order of $Z_2.S_7 \times Z_3$). Then either $r = 2^f \cdot 5$ with $f \leq 2$, or $r = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ respectively. However both cases are ruled out, since G_0 has no transitive permutation representations of degree r by [21]. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *S is not a sporadic group.*

Proof. Assume that S is a sporadic group. Table 2 contains the admissible S , and the corresponding G_0 , which are determined by using [11, Theorem 3.1] and [56].

TABLE 2. Admissible nearly simple groups with S sporadic

S	G_0	V
M_{11}	M_{11}	$V_{10}(2)$
M_{12}	$M_{12} : Z_{2^i}$, $i = 0, 1$	
M_{22}	$M_{22} : Z_{2^i}$, $i = 0, 1$	
J_2	$Z_{2^{1+j}}.J_2.Z_{2^i}$, $i \leq j$, $i, j = 0, 1$	$V_6(5)$
J_3	$Z_3.J_3 : Z_{2^i}$, $i = 0, 1$	$V_9(4)$

The fully deleted permutation module for A_{11} is an irreducible module for M_{11} since $M_{11} < A_{11}$ and by [34, Theorem 3.5], since $\Phi_{10}^*(2) = 11$ divides the order of M_{11} . On the other hand, up to equivalence, M_{11} has a unique irreducible linear representation on a 10-dimensional $GF(2)$ -space by [56]. Thus V is isomorphic to a

fully deleted permutation module for A_{11} . Then each A_{11} -orbit is a union of M_{11} -orbits, and there is a A_{11} -orbit of length 11 on V which is also a M_{11} -orbit. Then r/λ divides 11 by Lemma 2.1, but this is impossible since $r/\lambda = 2^5 + 1$.

Assume that $G_0 \cong M_{12} : Z_{2^i}$, $i = 0, 1$, and that $V = V_{10}(2)$. Then $r = 2^f \cdot 33$ with $f \leq 5$. Arguing as in the M_{11} -case, we see that V is the fully deleted permutation module for A_{12} . Then there is a A_{12} -orbit \mathcal{O} of length 66 which is also a M_{12} -orbit. The stabilizer in M_{12} of x in \mathcal{O} is a maximal subgroup of M_{12} isomorphic to $P\Gamma L_2(9)$ by [21]. Moreover, M_{12} contains two conjugacy classes \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' of subgroups isomorphic to $P\Gamma L_2(9)$ which are fused in $M_{12} : Z_2$. Also $P\Gamma L_2(9)$ is maximal in $M_{12} : Z_2$. Thus there is a further M_{12} -orbit \mathcal{O}' of length 66 on V , and the stabilizer in G_0 of any point of \mathcal{O}' belongs to \mathcal{K}' , whereas stabilizer in G_0 of any point in \mathcal{O} belongs to \mathcal{K} , since $M_{12} : Z_2$ acts on V . In particular, $\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}'$ is a $(M_{12} : Z_2)$ -orbit. We denote either \mathcal{O} or $\mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}'$ simply by \mathcal{X} according to whether G_0 is isomorphic to M_{12} or to $M_{12} : Z_2$ respectively. Then $|B \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2$ or 4, respectively, by Lemma 2.1.

Let K be a maximal subgroup of G_0 containing $G_{0,B}$, then $[G_0 : K][K : G_{0,B}] = r = 2^f \cdot 33$, with $f \leq 5$. One of the following holds by [21]:

- (1) $K \cong M_{11}$, $[K : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-i-2} \cdot 11$ and $f - i - 2 = 0, 1$;
- (2) $K \cong P\Gamma L_2(9)$, $[K : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-i-1}$ and $f - i - 1 = 0, 1, 2$.

Therefore $(G_{0,B})' \cong PSL_2(9)$ in either case again by [21]. Then $(G_{0,B})'$ fixes $B \cap \mathcal{X}$ pointwise, since $|B \cap \mathcal{X}| = 2, 4$ whereas the minimal primitive permutation representation of $PSL_2(9)$ is 6. Hence $(G_{0,B})'$ fixes at least two points in \mathcal{X} . This is not the case, since $N_{G_0}((G_{0,B})') \cong P\Gamma L_2(9)$ is a maximal subgroup of G_0 fixing a unique point in \mathcal{X} .

Assume that $G_0 \cong M_{22} : Z_{2^i}$, with $i = 0, 1$, and $V = V_{10}(2)$. Let W be a maximal subgroup of G_0 containing $G_{0,B}$. Then $[G_0 : W][W : G_{0,B}] = r = 2^f \cdot 33$ with $f \leq 5$. Thus either $W \cong A_7$ and $[W : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-i-4} \cdot 3$, with $4 + i \leq f \leq 5$, or $W \cong PSL_3(4) : Z_{2^i}$ and $[W : G_{0,B}] = 2^{f-1} \cdot 3$, with $f \leq 5$, by [21]. However, this is impossible since W has no such transitive permutation representations degrees by [21].

Assume that $G_0 \cong Z_{2^{1+j}}.J_2.Z_{2^i}$, with $i \leq j$ and $i, j = 0, 1$, and $V = V_6(5)$. Then $r = 5^f \cdot 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7$ with $f = 1, 2$, since r divides the order of G_0 . One G_0 -orbit of 1-dimensional subspaces of V is of length 2016 by [42, Lemma 5.1], and if $\langle x \rangle$ is any representative of such a orbit, then $E : K \leq G_{0,x}$ where $E \cong Z_5 \times Z_5$ and $K \cong Z_3$. If $f = 1$ then $E : K \leq G_{0,B}$, where B is any block incident with $0, x$, since K acts irreducibly on E . So r is coprime to 5, since $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}]$ and 5^2 is the maximum power of 5 dividing the order of G_0 , and we reach a contradiction. Therefore $f = 2$ and hence $r = 3150$. Also $T_B \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.3(1) since $m = 3$. Then B is a 3-dimensional $GF(5)$ -subspace of V by Lemma 2.2. However G_0 has no orbits of length 3150 on the set of 3-dimensional subspaces of V by [64], hence this case is ruled out.

Assume that $G_0 \cong Z_3.J_3 : Z_{2^i}$, with $i = 0, 1$, and $V = V_9(4)$. Then $r = 2^f \cdot 3^3 \cdot 19$ with $f \leq 7 + i$, since r divides the order of G_0 . So the order of $G_{0,B}$ is divisible by $3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$, which is not the case by [21]. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If S is a Lie type simple group in characteristic p' , then $S \cong PSL_2(9)$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of constructed in Example 1.5 for $q = 2$.*

Proof. Assume that S is a Lie type simple group in characteristic p' . Since $\Phi_{2m}^*(p)$ divides the order of G_0 , with $\Phi_{2m}^*(p) > 1$, then Table 3 holds by [11, Theorem 3.1], [17] and [56]. The groups in lines 2–3, 13–15 and 17–18 are ruled out, since they violate the fact that $r = p^f(p^m + 1)$, with $f \geq 1$, must divide the order of G_0 . The group in Line 5 yields the assertion by Lemma 3.2.

TABLE 3. Admissible nearly simple groups with S a Lie type simple group in characteristic p' .

S	G_0	V
$PSL_2(7)$	$PSL_2(7) : Z_{2^i}, i \leq 2$	$V_6(3)$
	$SL_2(7) : Z_{2^i}, (Z_4 \circ SL_2(7)) : Z_{2^i}, i \leq 1$	$V_6(5)$
	$(PSL_2(7) \times Z_{2^i}) : Z_{2^j}, i \leq 3, j \leq 1$	$V_3(9)$
	$(PSL_2(7) \times Z_\theta) : Z_{2^i}, \theta \mid 24$	$V_3(25)$
$PSL_2(9)$	A_6, S_6	$V_4(2)$
$PSL_2(11)$	$PSL_2(11), PGL_2(11)$	$V_{10}(2)$
	$(PSL_2(11) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}, i, j \leq 1$	$V_5(4)$
$PSL_2(13)$	$SL_2(13)$	$V_6(3)$
	$(PSL_2(13) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}, i, j \leq 1$	$V_6(4)$
$PSL_2(17)$	$PSL_2(17)$	$V_8(2)$
$PSL_2(19)$	$(PSL_2(19) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}, i, j \leq 1$	$V_9(4)$
$PSL_2(5^2)$	$PSL_2(5^2), P\Omega L_2(5^2)$	$V_{12}(2)$
$PSL_2(37)$	$PSL_2(37), PGL_2(37)$	$V_{18}(2)$
$PSL_2(41)$	$PSL_2(41), PGL_2(41)$	$V_{20}(2)$
$PSL_3(3)$	$PSL_3(3), PGL_3(3)$	$V_{12}(2)$
$PSL_3(2^2)$	$Z_2 \cdot PSL_3(2^2) \cdot K$, where $K \leq Z_2 \times Z_2$	$V_6(3)$
$PSU_3(3)$	$PSU_3(3) \times Z_{2^i}, G_2(2) \times Z_{2^i}, i \leq 2$	$V_6(5)$
$PSp_4(5)$	$(PSp_4(5) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}, i, j \leq 1$	$V_{12}(4)$

Assume that $G_0 \cong PSL_2(7) : Z_{2^i}, i \leq 2$, and $V = V_6(3)$. Then V is the deleted permutation module for $(G_0)'$ by [49, Table 1] and by [56]. Thus there is a G_0 -orbit of length 7 or 14 for $i \neq 2$. However this is impossible by Lemma 2.1, since $r/\lambda = 28$. Therefore $G_0 \cong PSL_2(7) : Z_4$ and there is a non-zero vector x of V such that $|x^{G_0}| = 28$. Then $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = 1$, where B is any block incident with 0 by Lemma 2.1. Moreover $r = 3 \cdot 28$, since $\lambda = 3^f > 1$. Then T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} by Lemma 2.3(1), since $t \geq m - f = 3 - 1 > 0$, hence each block incident with 0 is a subspace of V by Lemma 2.2. So -1 preserves B and hence it fixes $B \cap x^{G_0}$, as $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = 1$, and we reach a contradiction.

Assume that $G_0 \cong (PSL_2(7) \times Z_{2^i}) : Z_{2^j}$, where $i \leq 3, j \leq 1$, and $V = V_3(9)$. Before analyzing this case some information on the G_0 -orbits on $PG_2(9)$ are needed.

Set Q the (normal) copy of $PSL_2(7)$ inside G_0 . Then $Q < SU_3(3) < SL_3(9)$ by [17, Tables 8.5–8.6], hence Q preserves an Hermitian unital \mathcal{H} of order 3 in $PG_2(9)$. It is not difficult to see that the 3-elements in Q are not unitary transvections. Hence, any Sylow 3-subgroup of Q fixes a point of \mathcal{H} and acts semiregularly elsewhere in $PG_2(9)$. Also the Sylow 7-subgroups of Q do not fix points or lines of $PG_2(9)$ by [34, Theorem 3.5], since $\Phi_6^*(3) = 7$. Thus Q acts transitively on \mathcal{H} , since the normalizer in Q of any Sylow 3-subgroup of Q is S_3 . Now, any Sylow 2-subgroup of Q fixes a point in $PG_2(9) \setminus \mathcal{H}$ and hence there is Q -orbit in $PG_2(9) \setminus \mathcal{H}$ of length 21. The

remaining 42 points of $PG_2(9)$ form a Q -orbit because Q contains elementary abelian groups of order 4 consisting of unitary homologies in a triangular configuration and a Sylow 2-subgroup of Q containing the homology group fixes one vertex of the triangle and switches the remaining two ones.

Since $Q < SU_3(3)$ and since there is a unique conjugacy class of involutions of $SU_3(3)$, it follows that each involution in Q fixes a unique non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspace of V and acts semiregularly elsewhere on V . Let J be a Sylow 2-subgroup of Q and let $\langle z \rangle$ be its fixed point in $PG_2(9)$. Clearly $J \cong D_8$ and $\langle z \rangle \notin \mathcal{H}$. Since J consists of linear maps and $J^{\langle z \rangle} \leq GL_1(9) \cong Z_8$, it follows that $J(\langle z \rangle) \cong Z_4$. Therefore $|z^Q| = 42$.

Since $Q_{\langle x \rangle} \cong S_3$, where $\langle x \rangle$ is isotropic, and since each involution in Q fixes a unique non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspace of V pointwise and acts semiregularly elsewhere on V , it follows that the set of non-zero isotropic vectors of V are partitioned into four Q -orbits each of length 56. Note that, $Q : Z_2$ has still orbits of length 56 and 42, since each involution in $(Q : Z_2)/Q$ fixes a 3-dimensional $GF(3)$ -subspace of V (that is the involution is a Baer one).

Now, we are in position to analyze the case. Since r is a divisor of the order of G_0 , $r = 3 \cdot 28$. Note that $G_0 \cap Z(GL_3(9)) \cong Z_{2^i}$. If $-1 \notin G_0$, then $i = 0$ and hence $G_0 \cong PSL_2(7) : Z_{2^j}$. We have proven that there are G_0 -orbits on V^* of length 56 and 42. Then r/λ must divide 14 by Lemma 2.1, whereas $r/\lambda = 28$. Therefore $-1 \in G_0$, hence any block B incident with 0 is a 3-dimensional $GF(3)$ -subspace of V by Lemma 2.2, since $t = m - f = 3 - 1 = 2$ by Lemma 2.3(1). Furthermore, no blocks incident with 0 are 1-dimensional $GF(9)$ -subspaces of V since $\lambda \geq 2$. Thus $i = 1$. If B is any block incident with 0 and x is a non-zero isotropic vector of V , then $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = 2, 8, 14$ by [13, Theorem 2.9]. Then the possibilities for $|x^{G_0}|$ are $2 \cdot 28, 8 \cdot 28, 14 \cdot 28$ respectively by Lemma 2.1. On the other hand, since $Q \times \langle -1 \rangle \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (Q \times \langle -1 \rangle) : Z_2$, the stabilizer in $Q \times \langle -1 \rangle$ of $\langle x \rangle$ contains an elementary abelian group of order 4 consisting of linear maps, hence there is a cyclic group of order 2 fixing $\langle x \rangle$ pointwise. Therefore, $|x^{G_0}| \leq 4 \cdot 28$ and combining this fact with the previous information on $|x^{G_0}|$ we derive that $|x^{G_0}| = 2 \cdot 28$. So B induces a Baer subplane of $PG_2(9)$ tangent to the unital \mathcal{H} . Since the number of blocks incident with 0 and with any non-zero vector of $\langle x \rangle$ is λ times the number of 1-dimensional $GF(3)$ -subspaces contained in $\langle x \rangle$, which is $3 \cdot 4$, and since G_0 induces a transitive group on \mathcal{H} , it follows that $b = 12 \cdot 28$. However this is impossible since $b = vr/k = 3^4 \cdot 28$. Thus this case is ruled out.

Assume that $PSL_2(11) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq PGL_2(11)$ and $V = V_{10}(2)$. Then V is the deleted permutation module for $(G_0)'$ by [49, Table 1] and by [56]. Thus there is a G_0 -orbit of length 11 or 22. However this is impossible by Lemma 2.1, since $r/\lambda = 33$.

Assume that $G_0 \cong (PSL_2(11) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}$, $i, j \leq 1$ and $V_5(4)$. Then $r = 2^f \cdot 33$, with $1 \leq f \leq 2 + j$, since r divides the order of G_0 . Hence $Z_5 \triangleleft G_{0,B} \leq (D_{10} : \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}$, where B is a block incident with 0, by [21]. If c denotes the number of blocks incident with 0 and preserved by any fixed cyclic subgroup C of order 5, it follows that $r = [G_0 : N_{G_0}(C)] \cdot c$ and hence $c = 2^{f-1}$. On the other hand, C fixes at least a non-zero vector y of V and preserves the 2^f blocks incident with 0, y provided that $2^f \leq 5$. Consequently, we have $f = 3$ and hence $j = 1$. Also the number of blocks preserved by C and incident with 0 and with any non-zero vector of V fixed by C is necessarily 3. Let us count the pairs (x, B') , where x is any non-zero vector of V fixed by C , and B' is any block incident with 0, x and preserved by C . Note

that the number of non-zero vectors fixed by C in any block incident with 0 and preserved by C is a constant μ , as C is a normal subgroup of the stabilizer of the block and as G_0 acts transitively on the set of blocks incident with 0. Also $\text{Fix}(C)$ is a e -dimensional $GF(2)$ -subspace of V , with $e \geq 1$. So $(2^e - 1) \cdot 3 = 4 \cdot \mu$, which has no integer solutions.

Assume that $G_0 \cong SL_2(13)$ and $V = V_6(3)$. Then $r = 3 \cdot 28$ divides the order of G_0 . Thus T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} by Lemma 2.3(1), since $f = 1$ and $m = 3$, hence the block incident with 0 are 3-dimensional subspaces of V by Lemma 2.2. Moreover $G_{0,B} \cong Z_{26}$, where B is a block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0, since $r = 84$. The group $GL_6(3)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $SL_2(13)$, and each $SL_2(13)$ preserves a unique 3-spread of $GL_6(3)$ defining the Hering translation plane of order 3^3 by [12, Propositions 2.7-2.8]. Then we may assume that G_0 is the copy of $SL_2(13)$ whose generators are those in [12, Lemma 2.4]. Hence, a Sylow 13-subgroup is generated by φ represented by the matrix $\text{Diag}(\alpha, \alpha^4)$, where

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in B$, with $(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Since B is a subspace of $V_6(3)$, and $G_{0,B} \cong Z_{26}$ acts regularly on B^* , it follows that

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) + (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3)^\varphi = \varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\varphi^i},$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, for some $0 \leq i \leq 12$. Note that $\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$ by [12, Lemma 2.2.(i)], hence $\alpha^4 = \alpha^2 + \alpha$ and $\alpha^5 = \alpha^4 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{cases} (x_1, x_2, x_3) + (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\alpha = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\alpha^3} \\ (y_1, y_2, y_3) + (y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\alpha^4} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\alpha^5} \end{cases}$$

and so $(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\alpha^3} = \varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3)^{\alpha^i}$ and $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\alpha^5} = \varepsilon(y_1, y_2, y_3)^{\alpha^{4i}}$. If $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then $i \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$ and $4i \equiv 5 \pmod{13}$, which cannot occur. Thus either $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ or $(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ and hence B is a component of a 3-spread of $GL_6(3)$ defining the Hering translation plane of order 3^3 (see the proof of [12, Propositions 2.7]), whereas $\lambda \geq 2$.

Assume that $G_0 \cong PSL_2(13) \times Z_{3^i}$, $i \leq 1$, acting on $V_6(4)$. It follows from [42, p. 502] that, G_0 preserves a set of 1-dimensional subspaces of $V_6(4)$ of size 91 or 182. Then r/λ divides either $91 \cdot 3$ or $182 \cdot 3$, respectively, by Lemma 2.1. However this is impossible since $r/\lambda = 4^3 + 1 = 65$.

Assume that $G_0 \cong PSL_2(17)$ and $V = V_8(2)$. Then $r = 2^f \cdot 17$ with $1 \leq f \leq m = 4$. If B is any block incident with 0, then $G_{0,B}$ contains a Sylow 3-subgroup W of G_0 . So $Z_9 \cong W \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} \leq D_{18}$. Then $2^3 \mid |T_B|$, since G_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of G_0 and contains an isomorphic copy of $G_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $2^4/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Thus B is a 4-dimensional $GF(2)$ -subspace by Corollary 2.4. Since $SL_4(2)$ does not contain cyclic subgroups of order 9, it follows that $B \subseteq \text{Fix}(W_0)$ where W_0 is the cyclic subgroup of W of order 3. Then W preserves $\text{Fix}(W_0)$ and acts semiregularly on $V \setminus \text{Fix}(W_0)$, since $W \cong Z_9$. However this is impossible since $\dim \text{Fix}(W_0) \geq 4$.

Assume that $G_0 \cong (PSL_2(19) \times Z_{3^i}) : Z_{2^j}$, $i, j \leq 1$ and $V_9(4)$. Since $r/\lambda = 3^3 \cdot 19$ must divide the order of G_0 , it follows that $j = 1$, hence G_0 contains an elementary abelian subgroup E of order 9. Then there is a non-zero vector V , say x , such that

$Z_3 \leq E_x$ by [33, Theorems 3.2.3 and 3.3.1]. So $|x^{G_0}|$ is not divisible by 3^3 , whereas $r/\lambda = 3^3 \cdot 19$ must divide $|x^{G_0}|$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus this case is excluded.

Assume that $G_0 \cong PXL_2(5^2)$, where $X = S, \Sigma$, and $V = V_{12}(2)$. Then $|G_0| = 2^{3+c} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13$, where $c = 0, 1$ according as $X = S$ or $X = \Sigma$ respectively. Hence $r = 2^f \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ and $|G_{0,B}| = 2^{3+c-f} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, with $1 \leq f \leq 3+c$, since r divides $|G_0|$. Thus either $G_{0,B} \leq E_{5^2} : Z_{24}$, or $G_{0,B} < S_5 \times Z_{2^c}$ by [21]. The former yields $E_{5^2} \leq G_{0,B}$, as $E_{5^2} : Z_{24}$ is a Frobenius group and as 15 divides $|G_{0,B}|$, but this contradicts $5^2 \nmid |G_{0,B}|$. Thus $A_5 \leq G_{0,B} < S_5 \times Z_{2^c}$ and hence $1 \leq f \leq 1+c \leq 2$. Therefore $|T_B| \geq 2^4$ by Lemma 2.3(1). Actually, either $|T_B| = 2^4$ or B is a 6-dimensional subspace of V by Corollary 2.4.

Let K be subgroup of order 5 of $G_{0,B}$. If $|T_B| = 2^4$, then B consists of 4 regular orbits under T_B , and K fixes exactly 1 point in each of them by [50, Proposition 4.2], since $|\text{Fix}(K)| = 2^4$. Thus K fixes exactly 4 points in B . The same conclusion holds when B is a 6-dimensional subspace of V , since $K < SL_6(2)$. Thus $|B \cap \text{Fix}(K)| = 2^2$ in each case. On the other hand, since $|G_{0,B}| = 60 \cdot 2^\theta$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1+c$, the number of blocks incident with 0 and preserved by K is equal to

$$\frac{|N_{G_0}(K)| \cdot |K^{G_0} \cap G_{0,B}|}{|G_{0,B}|} = 2^{1+c-\theta},$$

since $N_{G_0}(K) \cong F_{20} \times Z_{2^c}$ and $|K^{G_0} \cap G_{0,B}| = 6$. Also K preserves the 2^f blocks incident with 0 and with a non-zero vector of $\text{Fix}(K)$, since $f \leq 2$. Hence, by counting the number of pairs (B', x) , where B' is a block incident with 0 and preserved by K and where $x \in B' \cap \text{Fix}(K)$, $x \neq 0$, we obtain $2^{1+c-\theta} \cdot (2^2 - 1) = 2^f \cdot (2^4 - 1)$, which is clearly impossible.

Finally, assume that $G_0 \cong Z_2.PSL_3(2^2).K$, where $K \leq Z_2 \times Z_2$, and $V = V_6(3)$. Thus $|G_0| = 2^{7+c} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, with $c \leq 2$, hence $r = 3^f \cdot 28$ with $f = 1, 2$, since r divides $|G_0|$. Therefore T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} by Lemma 2.3(1), since $f \leq 2$ and $m = 3$, hence each block B incident with 0 is a 3-dimensional subspace of V by Lemma 2.2, since $-1 \in G_0$. Moreover $B \subseteq \text{Fix}(U)$, where U is any subgroup of $G_{0,B}$ of order 5, since 5 does not divide the order of $GL_3(3)$. Then $i \geq 3$, where $i = \dim \text{Fix}(U)$. So U acts semiregularly on $V \setminus B$ and hence $5 \mid 3^6 - 3^i$, which is a contradiction as $3 \leq i < 6$. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let S be a Lie type simple group in characteristic p . If $H = TH_0$, where $H_0 = (G_0)^\infty$, then H acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} and one of the following holds:*

- (1) $H_0 \cong G_2(q)$, $q = 2^h$, and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^6, q^3, q^3) design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.7.
- (2) $H_0 \cong Sz(q)$, $q = 2^h$ with h odd and $h > 1$, and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design with $\lambda \mid q^2$. Moreover, if B is any block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0, either $Z_{q-1} \leq H_{0,B} \leq N_{H_0}(W)$, where W is a Sylow 2-subgroup of H_0 , or $Z_{q-1} \trianglelefteq H_{0,B} \leq D_{2(q-1)}$.

Proof. Assume that S and H are as in the Proposition's statement. By [11, Theorem 3.1] one of the following holds:

- (i). $V = V_6(q)$, $q = 2^h$ and $H_0 \cong G_2(q)'$;
- (ii). $V = V_4(q)$, $q = 2^h$, $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $H_0 \cong Sz(q^{1/2})$;
- (iii). $V = V_4(q)$, $q = 2^h$, $h \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $H_0 \cong Sz(q)$.

Since $G_0 \leq (Z_{2^{h-1}} \times H_0) \cdot Z_{h/\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon = 2$ in (ii) and $\epsilon = 1$ in (i) or (iii), it follows that $\frac{[G_0:H_0]}{[G_{0,B}:H_{0,B}]} \mid \frac{h}{\epsilon}(2^h - 1)$. Also, since $r = \frac{[G_0:H_0]}{[G_{0,B}:H_{0,B}]} [H_0 : H_{0,B}] = 2^f(2^m + 1)$, we get

$$\frac{[G_0 : H_0]}{[G_{0,B} : H_{0,B}]} \mid \left(\frac{h}{\epsilon}(2^h - 1), 2^f(2^m + 1) \right).$$

Since $(2^m + 1, 2^h - 1) = 1$, as $h \mid m$ being $n = 4, 6$, it follows that $\frac{[G_0:H_0]}{[G_{0,B}:H_{0,B}]} = 2^u$ for some $u \leq f$ in case (i), whereas $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [H_0 : H_{0,B}]$, and hence $H = TH_0$ acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} in cases (ii) and (iii).

Assume that Case (i) holds. Then $[H_0 : H_{0,B}] = 2^{f-u}(2^{3h} + 1)$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of H_0 containing $H_{0,B}$. Then M is one of the groups listed in [17, Table 8.30] (or in [20, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4]). If M is isomorphic either to $PSL_2(13)$ or to J_2 , then $h = 2$ and hence $[H_0 : H_{0,B}] = 2^{f-u} \cdot 5 \cdot 13$. The former is ruled out since $[H_0 : M] = 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$ does not divide $[H_0 : H_{0,B}]$, the latter yields $[M : H_{0,B}] \mid 2^{f-u} \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ but J_2 has no such transitive permutation representations degrees by [21].

Assume that $M \cong G_2(2^{h/x})$ with x a prime. Then $\frac{2^{6h}(2^{2h}-1)(2^{6h}-1)}{2^{6\frac{h}{x}}(2^{2\frac{h}{x}}-1)(2^{6\frac{h}{x}}-1)}$ must divide $2^{f-u}(2^{3h} + 1)$ and so $x = 2$ as $6(h - h/x) \leq f \leq 3h$. However $[M : H_{0,B}] = 2^{3h}(2^h + 1)(2^{3h} + 1)$ does not divide $2^{f-u}(2^{3h} + 1)$.

Assume that M is isomorphic either to $[2^{5h}] : GL_2(2^h)$ or to $SL_2(2^h) \times SL_2(2^h)$, or to $SU_3(2^h) \cdot Z_2$. Then $[M : H_{0,B}]$ is divisible either by $\frac{2^{6h}-1}{2^{2h}-1}$ or by $2^{2h-1}(2^{3h} - 1)$, and none of these integers divides $2^{f-u}(2^{3h} + 1)$, hence these cases are ruled out.

Finally, assume that $M \cong SL_3(2^h) \cdot Z_2$. Since $[H_0 : M] = 2^{3h-1}(2^{3h} + 1)$ must divide $[H_0 : H_{0,B}] = 2^{f-u}(2^{3h} + 1)$, it follows that $3h \geq f \geq u + 3h - 1$ and hence $u \leq 1$. Thus $[M : H_{0,B}] \leq 2$, as $[M : H_{0,B}] = 2^{f-u-3h+1}$, and hence $H_{0,B} \cong SL_3(2^h) \cdot Z_{2^\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon = 0, 1$.

Since H is a normal subgroup of G acting 2-transitively on V and since $[H_0 : H_{0,B}] = 2^{3h-\epsilon+1}(2^{3h} + 1)$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon-1} = (V_6(2^h), B^H)$, where B is any block of \mathcal{D} , is a 2 - $(2^{6h}, 2^{3h}, 2^{3h-\epsilon+1})$ design admitting H as a point-2-transitive, flag-transitive automorphism group.

Note that $M = (H_{0,B})' : \langle \sigma \rangle$, with σ of order 2, is maximal in H_0 . Then M preserves the decomposition $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$, where U_1, U_2 are two 3-dimensional totally isotropic subspaces of V with respect to a symplectic form preserved by $G_2(q)$. If $\varphi \in (H_{0,B})'$, then φ preserves U_1, U_2 and $\varphi|_{U_2}$ is the inverse transpose of $\varphi|_{U_1}$. In particular $(H_{0,B})'$ acts naturally on U_1 and on U_2 , whereas the outer automorphism σ of $(H_{0,B})'$ switches U_1 and U_2 (see [17, Lemma 7.4.5 and proof therein]). Thus $V = U_1 \oplus U_1^\sigma$.

The group H_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of H_0 of order $2^{3h-t} \mid [H_0, B]$, where $2^t = |T_B|$, by Lemma 2.3(2). Then $t \geq 3h + \epsilon - 1$, since $H_{0,B} \cong SL_3(2^h) \cdot Z_{2^\epsilon}$ and $H_B/T_B \leq SL_3(2^h) \cdot Z_2$, hence $t = 3h$ and B is a $3h$ -dimensional $GF(2)$ -subspace of V by Lemma 2.4.

Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $(H_{0,B})'$ normalized by σ . Then $\text{Fix}(Q) = \langle x \rangle \oplus \langle x^\sigma \rangle$ for some non-zero vector x of U_1 . Moreover there is cyclic subgroup K of $N_{H_0, B}(Q)$ of order $2^h - 1$ preserving $\text{Fix}(Q)$, inducing the scalar multiplication on $\langle x \rangle$ and on $\langle x^\sigma \rangle$ and permuting regularly the remaining $2^h - 1$ one dimensional subspaces. Thus either $\text{Fix}(Q) \subseteq B$, or $\epsilon = 1$ and $\text{Fix}(Q) \cap B$ is one of the spaces $\langle x \rangle$ or $\langle x^\sigma \rangle$, since

B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V and $K \leq H_{0,B}$. Since $H'_{0,B}$ acts transitively on U_1^* and on $(U_1^\sigma)^*$ and since $k = q^3$, the former case is ruled out, whereas the latter yields $B = U_1$ or $B = U_1^\sigma$ respectively. In each case $B^H = B^G$ and hence \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.7. This proves (1).

Case (ii) is ruled out since the order of $Sz(2^{h/2})$, which is $2^h(2^h + 1)(2^{h/2} - 1)$, is not divisible by $r/2^f = 2^{2h} + 1$.

Assume that Case (iii) holds. Recall that H acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} . Hence $2^{2h-f}(2^h - 1)$, with $f \leq 2h$, divides the order of $H_{0,B}$ since $r = 2^f(2^{2h} + 1)$. Then $2^{2h-f}(2^h - 1)$ divides the order of any maximal subgroup R of H_0 containing $H_{0,B}$. The possibilities for R are listed in [17, Table 8.16], or in [53]. Clearly, R is not isomorphic to $Z_{2^h \pm 2^{(h+1)/2+1}} : Z_4$. If $M \cong Sz(2^{h_0})$, where h/h_0 is an odd prime and $h_0 > 1$, then $2^{2h-f}(2^h - 1)$ divides $2^{2h_0}(2^{2h_0} + 1)(2^{h_0} - 1)$, which is a contradiction. Then $Z_{2^{h-1}} \leq H_{0,B} \leq R$ and hence either $R = N_{H_0}(W)$, where W is a Sylow 2-subgroup of H_0 , or $R \cong D_{2(2^h-1)}$. Thus we obtain (2). \square

3.1. The Suzuki group. In this subsection we focus on Case (2) of Proposition 3.5. In order to do so, some useful facts about $\Sigma = Sz(q)$, $q = 2^{2e+1}$ and $e \geq 1$, need to be recalled.

Let $\sigma : x \rightarrow x^{2^{e+1}}$ be an automorphism of $GF(q)$, and let

$$\varphi(l, w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ l & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l^{1+\sigma} + w & l^\sigma & 1 & 0 \\ l^{2+\sigma} + lw + w^\sigma & w & l & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \psi(m) = \begin{pmatrix} m^{2+\sigma} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m^\sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m^{-\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{-2-\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

where $l, w, m \in GF(q)$, $m \neq 0$. By [53] (see also [44, Theorem IV.24.2]), the following hold:

- (i). $U = \{\varphi(l, w) : l, w \in GF(q)\}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of Σ . It has order q^2 , exponent 4 and $Z(U) = \{\varphi(0, w) : w \in GF(q)\}$;
- (ii). $N_\Sigma(U) = U : K$ is a Frobenius group, where $K = \{\psi(m) : m \in GF(q)^*\}$ is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$;
- (iii). ϕ is involutory and normalizes K . Also $D = K \langle \beta \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q - 1)$ and is maximal in Σ ;
- (iv). K^Σ, D^Σ are the unique conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of order $q - 1$ and dihedral subgroups of order $2(q - 1)$ in Σ by [17, Table 8.16]. Also $\Sigma = \langle U, K, \phi \rangle$.
- (v). The set $\mathcal{S} = \{L(\infty), L(l, w) : l, w \in GF(q)\}$, where

$$L(\infty) = \{(x, y, 0, 0) : x, y \in GF(q)\}$$

$$L(l, w) = \{(x(l^{\sigma+2} + lw + w^\sigma) + y(l^{\sigma+1} + w), xw + yl^\sigma, xl + y, x) : x, y \in GF(q)\},$$

is a 2-spread of V defining the Lüneburg translation plane of order q^2 , and Σ acts 2-transitively on \mathcal{S} (more details on the Lüneburg translation plane are provided in [44, Chapter IV]).

Throughout this subsection we will use properties (i)–(v) of Σ without recalling them. The following fact about the group $T\Sigma$ is also needed.

Proposition 3.6. *Each subgroup of $T\Sigma$ isomorphic to UK , and not contained in an element of Σ^T , has a unique point-orbit of length q^2 in the Lüneburg translation plane of order q^2 and that is a line.*

Proof. We prove the assertion in four steps.

- (1) **$T\Sigma$ contains q conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to Σ and $q - 1$ of these are fused in $T : (Z \times \Sigma)$, where $Z = Z(GL_4(q))$.**

$T\Sigma$ contains q conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to Σ , each of length q^4 , by [14, Theorem 2] and [10, 17.7]. Let $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_{q-1}$ be the representatives of such classes, where $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$. Then Z preserves $\Sigma_0^{T\Sigma}$ and permutes the remaining classes. Suppose that Z does not act transitively on $\{\Sigma_1^{T\Sigma}, \dots, \Sigma_{q-1}^{T\Sigma}\}$. Then there is subgroup $\langle \xi \rangle$ of Z preserving $\Sigma_i^{T\Sigma}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q - 1$. Since $|\Sigma_i^{T\Sigma}| = q^4$, $\langle \xi \rangle$ normalizes an element of $\Sigma_i^{T\Sigma}$. Without loss we may assume that the normalized element is Σ_i .

Let $\Pi(V, \mathcal{S})$ be the projective extension of the Lüneburg translation plane $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$ of order q^2 preserved by $T\Sigma$, where Σ fixes the origin O of $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$, and ℓ_∞ be the line at infinity of $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$. Clearly Z is a collineation group of $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$, and hence of $\Pi(V, \mathcal{S})$, by [44, Theorem I.1.10]. Since $\Sigma_i \notin \Sigma_0^{T\Sigma}$, the group Σ_i has a unique line-orbit \mathcal{O} of $\Pi(V, \mathcal{S})$ of length $q^2 + 1$ by [44, Theorem IV.28.11], since Σ_i preserves ℓ_∞ . Moreover, \mathcal{O} is a line-oval and ℓ_∞ is its nucleus, and both are preserved by ξ . Also ξ fixes each line lying in \mathcal{O} , since ξ fixes ℓ_∞ pointwise and since each point of ℓ_∞ is the intersection point of ℓ_∞ with a unique line belonging to \mathcal{O} . Then each line in \mathcal{O} is incident with O , as this one is the unique affine point of $\Pi(V, \mathcal{S})$ fixed by ξ , but this contradicts the fact that \mathcal{O} is a line-oval. Thus Z acts transitively on $\{\Sigma_1^{T\Sigma}, \dots, \Sigma_{q-1}^{T\Sigma}\}$ and hence (1) holds.

2. **Let C be any subgroup of $T\Sigma$ isomorphic to UK , then $N_{T\Sigma}(C) = C$.**

Let C be any subgroup of $T\Sigma$ isomorphic to UK . Assume that $C \neq N_{T\Sigma}(C)$. Then there is $\alpha \in T\Sigma \setminus C$ such that $C^\alpha = C$. Since C has a unique conjugate class of subgroups isomorphic to K by [10, 17.10], it follows that $R^{\alpha\beta^{-1}} = R$ for some subgroup R of C isomorphic to K and for some $\beta \in C$. Clearly R fixes a point x of V and hence it is a conjugate of K in $T\Sigma$. Thus $\text{Fix}(R) = \{x\}$ and hence $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ fixes x with $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in N_{T\Sigma}(C) \setminus C$. So, $R \langle \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$ fixes x . Then $N_{T\Sigma}(C)/(N_{T\Sigma}(C) \cap T)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of Σ and contains a subgroup isomorphic $R \langle \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$. Then $N_{T\Sigma}(C)/(N_{T\Sigma}(C) \cap T)$ is a dihedral group of order $2(q-1)$ by [17, Table 8.16]. Thus $R \leq C/(C \cap T) \leq \langle R, \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$ and hence C contains an elementary abelian subgroup of index at most 2, but this contradicts [44, Theorem IV.24.2.(b)], as $C \cong UK$ and $q > 2$. Therefore $N_{T\Sigma}(C) = C$.

3. **$T\Sigma$ contains q conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to UK and $q - 1$ of these are fused in $T : (Z \times \Sigma)$.**

Let C_i be a subgroup of Σ_i isomorphic to UK and assume that $C_i \leq \Sigma_i^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in T\Sigma$. Then the maximality of C_i in Σ_i implies $C_i = \Sigma_i^\alpha \cap \Sigma_i$. Then $\alpha = \alpha_0\tau$ for some $\alpha_0 \in \Sigma_i$ and $\tau \in T$, since $T\Sigma = T\Sigma_i$, hence $C_i = \Sigma_i^\tau \cap \Sigma_i$. Then $C_i^\tau = \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_i^\tau = C_i$, as $o(\tau) = 2$, and hence $\tau \in N_{T\Sigma}(C_i)$. Then $\tau \in C_i$, as $N_{T\Sigma}(C_i) = C_i$ by (2), and hence $\Sigma_i^\tau = \Sigma_i$ and $\Sigma_i^\alpha = \Sigma_i$. Thus each element of $C_i^{T\Sigma}$ is contained in a unique element of $\Sigma_i^{T\Sigma}$, hence the number of conjugacy classes under $T\Sigma$ of subgroups isomorphic to UK is at most q .

It can be deduced from the proof of [14, Theorem 2] that $T\Sigma$ contains exactly q conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to UK . Thus $C_i^{T\Sigma}$, where $C_i \leq \Sigma_i$ for

$i = 0, \dots, q-1$, are the q conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to UK . Then $T : (Z \times \Sigma)$ acts transitively on $\{C_1^{T\Sigma}, \dots, C_{q-1}^{T\Sigma}\}$ by (1), and we obtain (3).

4. The proposition's statement holds.

Let C be any subgroup of $T\Sigma$ isomorphic to UK . By (3) and since C is not contained in any element of Σ_0^T , we may assume that $C = C_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Since $\Sigma_i < T\Sigma$, it follows that Σ_i preserves the Lüneburg translation plane of order $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$ of order q^2 . The line- Σ_i -orbits on $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$ have length $q^2 + 1$, $(q^2 + 1)(q-1)$ and $(q^2 + 1)q(q-1)$ by [44, Theorem IV.28.11], since Σ_i preserves the line at infinity of $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$. Thus C_i preserves exactly one line ℓ of $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$.

Let $P \in \ell$, then $|C_{i,P}| \geq q-1 \geq 7$ as $|\ell| = q^2$ and $q \geq 8$. On the other hand, $|C_{i,P}|$ divides $2(q-1)$, since $C_{i,P} \leq \Sigma_{i,P}$ and since the point- Σ_i -orbits have length $\frac{1}{2}q^2(q^2 + 1)$ and $\frac{1}{4}q^2(q-1)(q \pm \sqrt{2q} + 1)$ again by [44, Theorem IV.28.11]. Since C_i is a Frobenius group with kernel isomorphic to U , C_i does not contain subgroups isomorphic to $D_{2(q-1)}$ and hence $|C_{i,P}| = q-1$. Thus ℓ is a C_i -orbit of length q^2 in $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$. Actually ℓ is the unique C_i -orbit of length q^2 in $\mathcal{A}(V, \mathcal{S})$, since any cyclic subgroup of C_i fixes exactly one point in the Σ_i -orbit of length $\frac{1}{2}q^2(q^2 + 1)$ and no points in the complementary set of the orbit. This proves (4). \square

Let B be a block of \mathcal{D} incident with $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and denote by B_0 and B_∞ the subsets $B \cap L(0, 0)$ and $B \cap L(\infty)$ respectively. We may assume that B is such that either $K \leq H_{0,B} \leq N_{H_0}(U) = U : K$, or $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$ by Proposition 3.5(2) and by (iv). Moreover, if $H_{0,B} \leq U : K$ then $H_{0,B}$ is isomorphic to one of the groups K , $Z(U) : K$ or $U : K$ by (ii).

Note that H is a primitive rank 3 group and the H_0 -orbits on V^* have length $(q^2 + 1)(q-1)$ and $(q^2 + 1)q(q-1)$. If \mathcal{O} denotes the short length orbit (\mathcal{O} induces the Tits Ovoid on $PG_3(q)$), then $P = (x, y, z, t)$ lies in \mathcal{O} if, and only if,

$$P = \begin{cases} (c, 0, 0, 0) & \text{with } c \in GF(q)^* \\ (0, 0, 0, d) & \text{with } d \in GF(q)^* \\ \frac{1}{m^{2+\sigma}}(l^{\sigma+2} + lw + w^\sigma, w, l, 1) & \text{with } m, w, l \in GF(q), m \neq 0, (l, w) \neq (0, 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Since $r|B \cap \mathcal{O}| = (q^2 + 1)(q-1)\lambda$ by Lemma 2.1 and since $r/\lambda = q^2 + 1$, it follows that $|B \cap \mathcal{O}| = q-1$ and hence that $|B \cap V \setminus \mathcal{O}| = q(q-1)$.

Lemma 3.7. *The following hold:*

- (1) If $H_{0,B} \neq U : K$.
- (2) If $H_{0,B} = Z(U) : K$, then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.6 (Family 1).

Proof. Assume that $H_{0,B} = UK$. Since each $H_{0,B}$ -orbit on $V \setminus L(\infty)$ is of length $q^2(q-1)$ and since $k = q^2$, it follows that $B = L(\infty)$. Thus \mathcal{D} is the Lüneburg translation plane but this contradicts the assumption $\lambda \geq 2$. Thus $H_{0,B} \neq UK$.

Assume that $H_{0,B} = Z(U)K$. Then $B_\infty = B \cap \mathcal{O} = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0) \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$ since $|B \cap \mathcal{O}| = q-1$, since the $H_{0,B}$ -orbits on $V \setminus L(\infty)$ are of length $q(q-1)$ and those in $L(\infty)^*$ are of length $q-1$, and since $L(\infty) \cap \mathcal{O} = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0) \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$.

Since H_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of H_0 and contains a copy of $H_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $q^2/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2), since UK is the unique maximal

subgroup of H_0 containing $Z(U)K$ by [17, Table 8.16], and since $U/Z(U) : K$ is a Frobenius group, it results $|T_B| = q$ or q^2 .

Assume that $|T_B| = q$. Then $B_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$. Since H_B acts transitively on B , since $T_B \trianglelefteq H_B$ and since K acts semiregularly on $B \setminus B_\infty$, it follows that

$$B \setminus B_\infty = \bigcup_{m \in GF(q)^*} B_\infty + (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then $Z(U)$ preserves each of the $q - 1$ orbits under T_B partitioning $B \setminus B_\infty$, namely the sets $B_\infty + (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m)}$ with $m \in GF(q)^*$, since $Z(U)$ preserves B_∞ and normalizes T_B . Thus $T_B Z(U)$ is the kernel of the action of H_B on the q orbits under T_B partitioning B , since H_B acts 2-transitively on such a partition. Therefore, $T_B Z(U) \triangleleft H_B$. Actually $T_B Z(U) \triangleleft H_B \leq T : UK$, since H_B permutes $B_\infty + (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)^{\phi(m)}$ and hence the parallel lines $L(\infty) + (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)^{\phi(m)}$ of the Lüneburg translation plane.

Let Q be the Sylow 2-subgroup H_B and let $\alpha \in Q \setminus T_B Z(U)$. There is $\tau = \tau_{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)}$ in T and $\alpha_0 = \varphi(l, w)$ in U such that $\alpha = \alpha_0 \tau$. Since α normalizes T_B and $T_B Z(U)$ and since for each $\varphi(0, e)$ in $Z(U)$ we have that

$$\varphi(0, e)^\alpha = \varphi(0, e)^{\tau_{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)}} = \varphi(0, e)^{\tau_{(ev_3 + e^\sigma v_4, ev_4, 0, 0)}},$$

it follows that $v_4 = 0$.

The fact that $H_B/T_B Z(U)$ is Frobenius group of order $q(q - 1)$, and its kernel is an elementary abelian 2-group, implies $\alpha^2 \in Z(U)T_B$. On the other hand $\alpha^2 = (\alpha_0 \tau)^2 = \alpha_0^2 [\alpha_0, \tau_0]$ with $\alpha_0^2 \in Z(U)$ and $[\alpha_0, \tau_0] \in T$. Hence $[\alpha_0, \tau_0] \in T_B$ as $[\alpha_0, \tau_0] = \alpha_0^{-2} \alpha^2 \in H_B$. Since

$$(x, y, z, t)^{[\alpha_0, \tau_0]} = (x + lv_2 + (w + l^{\sigma+1})v_3, y + l^\sigma v_3, z, t), \quad (3.4)$$

$[\tau_0, \alpha_0] \in T_B$ if, and only if, $l^\sigma v_3 = 0$. If $l = 0$, then $\alpha_0 \in Z(U) \leq H_{0,B}$ and hence $\tau_0 \in H_B$ as $\alpha \in H_B$. Then $\tau_0 \in T_B$ and so $\alpha \in T_B Z(U)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $l \neq 0$ and hence $v_3 = 0$. So $\tau \in T_B$, $\alpha_0 \in H_{0,B}$ and hence $\alpha_0 \in Z(U)$ and $\alpha \in T_B Z(U)$, and we again reach a contradiction.

Assume that $|T_B| = q^2$. Then B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V . Since B^* consists of B_∞ and one $H_{0,B}$ -orbit of length $q(q - 1)$ contained in $V \setminus L(\infty)$, there is $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{t})$ in $V \setminus L(\infty)$ such that

$$B \setminus B_\infty = \{(m^{\sigma+2}(\bar{x} + w\bar{z} + w^\sigma \bar{t}), m^\sigma(\bar{y} + w\bar{t}), m^{-\sigma}\bar{z}, m^{-2-\sigma}\bar{t}) : w, m \in GF(q), m \neq 0\}.$$

Since B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V , for each $c, w_1, m_1 \in GF(q)$, with $c, m_1 \neq 0$, there must be $w_2, m_2 \in GF(q)$, $m_2 \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} c + m_1^{\sigma+2}(\bar{x} + w_1\bar{z} + w_1^\sigma \bar{t}) & = & m_2^{\sigma+2}(\bar{x} + w_2\bar{z} + w_2^\sigma \bar{t}) \\ m_1^\sigma(\bar{y} + w_1\bar{t}) & = & m_2^\sigma(\bar{y} + w_2\bar{t}) \\ m_1^{-\sigma}\bar{z} & = & m_2^{-\sigma}\bar{z} \\ m_1^{-2-\sigma}\bar{t} & = & m_2^{-2-\sigma}\bar{t}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $(\bar{z}, \bar{t}) \neq (0, 0)$, since $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, \bar{t}) \in V \setminus L(\infty)$. If $\bar{t} \neq 0$ then $m_1 = m_2$, $w_1 = w_2$ and hence $c = 0$, which is not the case. Therefore $\bar{t} = 0$ and $\bar{z} \neq 0$.

Again since B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V , for $m_1, m_2, w_1, w_2 \in GF(q)$, with $m_1, m_2 \neq 0$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$, there are $m_3, w_3 \in GF(q)$, with $m_3 \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} (m_1 + m_2)^{\sigma+2} (\bar{x} + w_1 \bar{z}) &= m_3^{\sigma+2} (\bar{x} + w_2 \bar{z}) \\ (m_1 + m_2)^\sigma \bar{y} &= m_3^\sigma \bar{y} \\ (m_1 + m_2)^{-\sigma} \bar{z} &= m_3^{-\sigma} \bar{z}, \end{cases}$$

since both σ and $2 + \sigma$ are automorphisms of $GF(q)$ (see [44, Lemma IV.2.1]). If $\bar{y} \neq 0$, then $m_1 + m_2 = m_3$ and $m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1} = m_3^{-1}$, as $\bar{z} \neq 0$, hence the order of $m_1 m_2^{-1}$ is 3, whereas $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $\bar{y} = \bar{t} = 0$ and hence $B = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0) \rangle_{GF(q)}$, which induces a line of $PG_3(q)$ tangent to the Tits Ovoid. Thus $\mathcal{D} = (V, B^H) = (V, B^G)$ is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.6 (Family 1). \square

On the basis of Lemma 3.7, in the sequel we may assume that $H_{0,B} = K$ or $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$. Hence either $\lambda = q^2$ or $\lambda = q^2/2$ respectively, since $r = [H_0 : H_{0,B}]$ and $r = \lambda(q^2 + 1)$.

Lemma 3.8. *If $|T_B| = q$, then either $B_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$ or $B_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$.*

Proof. Recall that B is a block of \mathcal{D} incident with $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ and preserved by K . Then K preserves $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$, as $T_B \trianglelefteq H_B$. Also $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\} \cup (x, y, z, t)^K$, where (x, y, z, t) is a non-zero vector of V contained in $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$, since K acts semiregularly on V^* and $|T_B| = q$. Since $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$ is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V , there are $\psi(m_1), \psi(m_2) \in K$ such that $(x, y, z, t)^{\psi(m_1)} + (x, y, z, t)^{\psi(m_2)} = (x, y, z, t)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z, t)^{\psi(m_1)} + (x, y, z, t)^{\psi(m_2)} &= \left((m_1^{2+\sigma} + m_2^{2+\sigma})x, (m_1^\sigma + m_2^\sigma)y, (m_1^{-\sigma} + m_2^{-\sigma})z, (m_1^{-2-\sigma} + m_2^{-2-\sigma})t \right) \\ &= \left((m_1 + m_2)^{2+\sigma}x, (m_1 + m_2)^\sigma y, (m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1})^\sigma z, (m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1})^{2+\sigma}t \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $2 + \sigma$ is an automorphism of $GF(q)$.

Assume that $(z, t) = (0, 0)$. Then $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B} \subseteq L(\infty)$ and hence T_B preserves B_∞ . Hence B_∞ is a union of T_B -orbits each of length q , as T_B acts point-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} . Since $T_B : K \leq H_B$, with $T_B \trianglelefteq H_B$, and since K acts semiregularly on $L(\infty)^*$, it follows that K fixes $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$ and permutes semiregularly the other possible T_B -orbits contained in B_∞ . Thus either $B_\infty = 0^{T_B}$ or B_∞ consists of 0^{T_B} and other $q - 1$ orbits under T_B . The latter yields $B = L(\infty)$, hence \mathcal{D} is the Lüneburg translation plane of order q^2 , but this contradicts the assumption $\lambda \geq 2$. Therefore $B_\infty = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$.

Assume that $(z, t) \neq (0, 0)$. If $x, t \neq 0$. Then $(m_1 + m_2)^{2+\sigma} = (m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1})^{2+\sigma} = 1$ and hence $m_1 + m_2 = 1$ and $m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1} = 1$. Then the order of m_1 is 3, whereas $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. If $x, z \neq 0$, then $(m_1 + m_2)^{2+\sigma} = (m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1})^\sigma = 1$, hence $m_1 + m_2 = 1$ and $m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1} = 1$, and we again reach a contradiction. Therefore $x = 0$, as $(z, t) \neq (0, 0)$. Similarly, $y, z \neq 0$ and $y, t \neq 0$ yield a contradiction, hence $y = 0$. Thus $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B} \subseteq L(0, 0)$ since K preserves $L(0, 0)$. Now, we may argue as above, with $L(0, 0)$ in the role of $L(\infty)$, and we obtain $B_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$ in this case. \square

Lemma 3.9. *B is a $2h$ -dimensional $GF(2)$ -subspace of V .*

Proof. Recall that $H_{0,B}$ is one of the groups K or $K \langle \phi \rangle$ by Lemma 3.7.

Assume that $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$. The group H_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of H_0 and contains an isomorphic copy of $H_{0,B}$ as subgroup of index 2^{2h-e} , where

$2^e = |T_B|$, by Lemma 2.3(2). Then $e = 2h$, since $H_{0,B}$ is maximal in H_0 , hence B is a $GF(2)$ -vector subspace of V in this case.

Assume that $H_{0,B} = K$. If T acts block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} , then H_B is isomorphic to a subgroup H_0 containing a copy of $H_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index q^2 . Then $H_B \cong UK$ by [17, Table 8.16], hence B is a line of the Lüneburg translation plane by Proposition 3.6, since $H_{0,B} = K$. So T_B is a group of order q^2 , but this contradicts our assumption. Thus T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} and hence $|W| > 1$, where $W = (0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$. Let x be a non-zero vector of W . Since K acts semiregularly on V^* , it follows that $2^h - 1 \mid 2^e - 1$ where $2^h = q$ and $|W| = |T_B| = 2^e$. Then either $e = h$ and $|W| = q$, or $e = 2h$ and $|W| = q^2$, since $h \mid e$ and $e \leq 2h$.

Assume that $|W| = q$. Then either $W = B_\infty$ or $W = B_0$. by Lemma 3.8. Since ϕ switches $L(0, 0)$ and $L(\infty)$, normalizes K and since B^ϕ is a block of \mathcal{D} preserved by K , we may assume that $W = B_\infty$.

Since H_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of H_0 containing $H_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index q , being $|T_B| = q$, it follows from [17, Table 8.16] that $H_B/T_B \cong Z(U) : K$. Then H_B contains a unique Sylow 2-subgroup, and we denote this one by X . Therefore $T_B \cap Z(X) \neq 1$, as $T_B \trianglelefteq X$. The group K normalizes both $Z(X)$ and T_B and hence $T_B \cap Z(X)$. Moreover, K acts regularly on T_B^* by [50, Proposition 4.2], as K acts semiregularly on V^* and hence on W^* . Thus $T_B \leq Z(X)$ since $|T_B| = q$, hence either $T_B = Z(X)$ or X is abelian, since $H_B/T_B \cong Z(U) : K$ is a Frobenius group.

Let $\alpha \in X \setminus T_B$, then $\alpha = \tau\alpha_0$ for some $\tau \in T$ and $\alpha_0 \in H_0$. Both α and α_0 centralize T_B , since $T_B \leq Z(X)$. Thus α_0 fixes $(0, 0, 0, 0)^{T_B}$ pointwise by [50, Proposition 4.2], hence $\alpha_0 \in Z(U)$. If $\alpha_0 = 1$ then $\alpha = \tau \in T_B$, since $\alpha \in X \leq H_B$, which is not the case. Thus $o(\alpha_0) = 2$ and hence $\alpha_0 = \varphi(0, w_0)$ for some $w_0 \in GF(q)$ and $w_0 \neq 0$. Let $\tau : (x, y, z, t) \rightarrow (x, y, z, t) + (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0)$, where $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0, w_0 \in GF(q)$, then

$$\alpha : (x, y, z, t) \rightarrow (x + x_0 + w_0(z + z_0) + w_0^\sigma(t + t_0), y + y_0 + w_0(t + t_0), z + z_0, t + t_0).$$

Assume that $T_B = Z(X)$. Since $\alpha^2 : (x, y, z, t) \rightarrow (x + w_0z_0 + w_0^\sigma t_0, y + w_0t_0, z, t)$, with $w_0 \neq 0$, it follows that $t_0 = z_0 = 0$ since $o(\alpha_0) = 2$. Then α preserves $L(\infty)$, B and hence W , as $W = B_\infty$. So $T_B \langle \alpha \rangle \cap H_{0,B} \neq 1$, whereas $H_{0,B} = K$, and we reach a contradiction.

Assume that X is abelian. Since $X \trianglelefteq H_B$, it follows that $\alpha^\psi \in X$ for each $\psi \in K$. Moreover, $\alpha\alpha^\psi = \alpha^\psi\alpha$. Let $\psi = \psi(m)$, where m is a primitive element of $GF(q)$. It follows that α^ψ maps (x, y, z, t) onto (x', y', z', t') , where

$$\begin{cases} x' = x + m^{2+\sigma}x_0 + m^{\sigma+2}w_0(z_0 + m^\sigma z) + m^{2+\sigma}w_0^\sigma(t_0 + m^{2+\sigma}t) \\ y' = y + m^\sigma y_0 + m^\sigma w_0(t_0 + m^{2+\sigma}t) \\ z' = z + m^{-\sigma}z_0 \\ t' = t + m^{-2-\sigma}t_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\alpha\alpha^\psi = \alpha^\psi\alpha$ occurs if, and only if,

$$\begin{cases} m^2w_0z_0(m^{3\sigma+2} - 1) + w_0^\sigma t_0(m^{3\sigma+6} - 1) = 0 \\ w_0t_0(m^{3\sigma+4} - 1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

If $m^{3\sigma+4} = 1$ then $2^{2e+1} - 1 \mid 3 \cdot 2^{e+1} + 4$, since m is a primitive element of $GF(q)$, where $q = 2^{2e+1}$ and $e \geq 1$, and since $m^\sigma = m^{2^{e+1}}$. However this is impossible. This forces $t_0 = 0$. Similarly, $m^{3\sigma+2} \neq 1$ and hence $z_0 = 0$. Thus $|W| = q^2$, which is the assertion. \square

An immediate consequence of Lemma 3.9 is that both B_0 and B_∞ are $GF(2)$ -subspaces of V .

Corollary 3.10. *At least one among B_0 and B_∞ is of dimension h over $GF(2)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim_{GF(2)} B_0 = \dim_{GF(2)} B_\infty = 0$. The group K preserves the hyperplane \mathcal{H} of V of equation $y = 0$ and hence the $GF(2)$ -space $B \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then either $\dim_{GF(2)} B \cap \mathcal{H} = h$ or $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ since K acts semiregularly on V^* . If $\dim_{GF(2)} B \cap \mathcal{H} = h$, then

$$B \cap \mathcal{H} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (x_0, 0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m)} : m \in GF(q)^*\}$$

for some $(x_0, 0, z_0, t_0) \in V$, with $x_0 \neq 0$ and $(z_0, t_0) \neq (0, 0)$, since $B_0 = B_\infty = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$. Then, for each $m_1, m_2 \in GF(q)^*$, $m_1 \neq m_2$ there is a unique $m_3 \in GF(q)^*$ such that $(x_0, 0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m_1)} + (x_0, 0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m_2)} = (x_0, 0, z_0, t_0)^{\psi(m_3)}$. A similar argument to that of Lemma 3.8 leads to a contradiction in this case, since $x_0 \neq 0$ and $(z_0, t_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Thus $B \subset \mathcal{H}$ and hence $B_0 \neq \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ since $L(0, 0) \subset \mathcal{H}$, since $\dim_{GF(2)} B = \dim_{GF(2)} L(0, 0) = 2h$ by Lemma 3.9, and since $\dim_{GF(2)} \mathcal{H} = 3h$. However this contradicts our assumptions. Thus, at least one among B_0 and B_∞ is of $GF(2)$ -dimension greater than 0.

Suppose that $\dim_{GF(2)} B_0 > 0$. Clearly $\dim_{GF(2)} B_0 < 2h$ as $\lambda \geq 2$, hence $\dim_{GF(2)} B_0 = h$ since K preserves $L(0, 0)$ and acts semiregularly on V^* . A similar conclusion holds for $\dim_{GF(2)} B_\infty > 0$. \square

Lemma 3.11.

$$B = \{(m_1^{\sigma+2}x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, m_2^{-\sigma}z_0, m_2^{-\sigma-2}t_0) : m_1, m_2 \in GF(q)\}$$

for some $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \in GF(q)$ such that $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ and $(z_0, t_0) \neq (0, 0)$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.10 that at least one among B_0 and B_∞ is of dimension h over $GF(2)$. Possibly by substituting B with B^ϕ , we may actually assume that $\dim_{GF(2)} B_\infty = h$. Indeed, this is possible since B is K -invariant and since ϕ normalizes K and switches $L(0, 0)$ and $L(\infty)$. Then there are $x_0, y_0 \in GF(q)$, with $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$ such that

$$B_\infty = \{(0, 0, 0, 0), (x_0, y_0, 0, 0)^{\psi(m)} : m \in GF(q)^*\}.$$

Since $k = q^2$, there is $(x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1) \in B \setminus B_\infty$. Then $B_\infty + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1) \subseteq B$ since B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V . If $B_\infty + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m_0)} = B_\infty + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)$ for some $m_0 \in GF(q)^*$, then

$$L(\infty) + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m_0)} = L(\infty) + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)$$

and hence $\psi(m_0)$ preserves the line of $L(\infty) + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)$ of the Lüneburg plane. Then $(x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$, since the unique affine lines preserved by K are $L(0, 0)$, $L(\infty)$, and we reach a contradiction. Thus

$$B \setminus B_\infty = \bigcup_{m \in GF(q)^*} B_0 + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m)}$$

since K preserves B, B_∞ and since $k = q^2$. As B/B_∞ is a vector space over $GF(2)$, for $m_1, m_2 \in GF(q)^*$, $m_1 \neq m_2$, there is $m_3 \in GF(q)^*$ such that

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m_1)} + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m_2)} + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^{\psi(m_3)} \in B_\infty.$$

and this is equivalent to say that

$$\begin{cases} x_1 (m_1^{\sigma+2} + m_2^{\sigma+2} + m_3^{\sigma+2}) = x_0 m^{\sigma+2} \\ y_1 (m_1^\sigma + m_2^\sigma + m_3^\sigma) = y_0 m^\sigma \\ z_1 ((m_1^{-1})^\sigma + (m_2^{-1})^\sigma + (m_3^{-1})^\sigma) = 0 \\ t_1 ((m_1^{-1})^{\sigma+2} + (m_2^{-1})^{\sigma+2} + (m_3^{-1})^{\sigma+2}) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

for some $m \in GF(q)^*$. Since $(x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1) \in B \setminus B_0$, it follows that $(z_1, t_1) \neq (0, 0)$. Then $m_3^{-1} = m_1^{-1} + m_2^{-1}$, since $\sigma, \sigma+2$ are automorphisms of $GF(q)$. If $m_3 = m_1 + m_2$, then $m_1^2 + m_1 m_2 + m_2^2 = 0$ and hence $m_2^{-1} m_1$ is an element of $GF(q)^*$ of order 3, but this is impossible since $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Then $m_3 \neq m_1 + m_2$ and from (3.6) we obtain

$$x_1 = x_0 \left(\frac{m}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} \right)^{\sigma+2} \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 = y_0 \left(\frac{m}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} \right)^\sigma.$$

Thus $(x_1, y_1, 0, 0) \in B_\infty$ and hence $B_\infty + (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1) = B_\infty + (0, 0, z_1, t_1)$. Therefore $(0, 0, z_1, t_1) \in B_0$. Then $B_0 \neq \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ and so $|B_0| = q$ since B_0 is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V and since K preserves $L(0, 0)$ and acts semiregularly on V^* . Thus

$$B = \{(m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, m_2^{-\sigma} z_1, m_2^{-\sigma-2} t_1) : m_1, m_2 \in GF(q)\},$$

since B is a $GF(2)$ -subspace of V by Lemma 3.9, which is the assertion with z_1 and t_1 simply denoted by z_0 and t_0 respectively. \square

Proposition 3.12. $|B \cap \mathcal{O}| = q - 1$ if, and only if, $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$ and one of the following holds:

- (1) $x_0 z_0^{\sigma+1} = y_0^{\sigma+1} t_0$;
- (2) $x_0 z_0^{\sigma+1} \neq y_0^{\sigma+1} t_0$ and the map $\zeta : X \rightarrow \frac{(y_0/t_0)^\sigma X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}}{(x_0/t_0) X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0)}$ of $PGL_2(q)$ fixes exactly one point in $PG_1(q) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$.

Proof. Since K acts semiregularly on B^* , where B is as in Lemma 3.11, it follows that

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(x_0, y_0, 0, 0), (m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, z_0, t_0) : m_1 \in GF(q)\}$$

is a system of distinct representatives of the K -orbits on B^* . Hence $|B \cap \mathcal{O}| = q - 1$ is equivalent to $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$, since \mathcal{O} is a H_0 -orbit.

Assume that $t_0 = 0$. Then $(m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, z_0, 0) \in \mathcal{O}$ if and only if $m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0 = 1$ and $y_0 = z_0 = 0$. So $|B| = q$, which is not the case.

Assume that $t_0 \neq 0$. The previous argument rules out the case $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 0$. Therefore $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and hence $(m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{O}$ if, and only if,

$$(m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, z_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{m^{2+\sigma}} (w^\sigma + l^{\sigma+2} + lw, w, l, 1).$$

Suppose that $z_0 = 0$. Then $l = 0$, $m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0 = w^\sigma t_0$ and $m_1^\sigma y_0 = w t_0$. Also $(0, 0, 0, 1) \in B \cap \mathcal{R}$ as $t_0 \neq 0$. Then $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$ implies $y_0 \neq 0$, since $(x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$. If $x_0 = 0$, then $B = \langle (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0) \rangle_{GF(q)}^\phi$ but in this case $H_{0,B} \not\leq D_{2(q-1)}$ (see (1.6) (Family 1)). Thus $x_0, y_0, t_0 \neq 0$ and hence $m_1^\sigma = t_0^{1-\sigma} y_0^\sigma / x_0$ and $w = y_0^{1+\sigma} / x_0 t_0^\sigma$ provide a further point of $B \cap \mathcal{R}$. So this case is excluded.

Suppose that $z_0 \neq 0$. Then we may argue as above with B^ϕ in the role of B thus obtaining $x_0, y_0 \neq 0$. Therefore we have proven that $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$ (and $H_{0,B} \leq D_{2(q-1)}$) implies $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$. Thus $B_0 \cap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset$ and hence $m_1, w \neq 0$. So, $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$ if, and only if, there are unique $l, m, w \in GF(q)$, with $m \neq 0$, such

that $m^{2+\sigma} = 1/t_0$ and $l = z_0/t_0$ and the ratios $\frac{l^{\sigma+2}+lw+w^\sigma}{m_1^{\sigma+2}}$ and w/m_1^σ are x_0/t_0 and y_0/t_0 respectively. Some computations leads to

$$\left((x_0/t_0)m_1^2 + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0)\right) m_1^\sigma = (y_0/t_0)^\sigma m_1^2 + (z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}. \quad (3.7)$$

(I). If $(x_0/t_0)m_1^2 + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0) = 0$, then $m_1^2 = \frac{y_0 z_0}{t_0 x_0}$ as $x_0, t_0 \neq 0$. On the other hand $m_1^2 = \frac{(z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}}{(y_0/t_0)^\sigma} = \frac{z_0^{\sigma+2}}{t_0^2 y_0^\sigma}$ by (3.7), hence $\frac{y_0 z_0}{t_0 x_0} = m_1 = \frac{z_0^{\sigma+2}}{t_0^2 y_0^\sigma}$. So, in this case $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$ occurs if and only if $x_0 z_0^{\sigma+1} = y_0^{\sigma+1} t_0$, with $x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 \neq 0$, which is (1).

(II). If $(x_0/t_0)m_1^2 + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0) \neq 0$, then

$$m_1^\sigma = \frac{(y_0/t_0)^\sigma (m_1^\sigma)^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}}{(x_0/t_0)(m_1^\sigma)^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0)}.$$

(a). If $(y_0/t_0)^{\sigma+1} + (x_0/t_0)(z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+1} = 0$, then $t_0 y_0^{\sigma+1} = z_0^{\sigma+1} x_0$ and hence $x_0 \neq 0$ and $m_1^\sigma = \frac{z_0}{t_0^{\sigma+1} y_0}$, which is still (1).

(b). If $(y_0/t_0)^{\sigma+1} + (x_0/t_0)(z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+1} \neq 0$, then m_1^σ is a point of $PG_1(q)$ fixed by

$$\zeta : X \rightarrow \frac{(y_0/t_0)^\sigma X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)^{\sigma+2}}{(x_0/t_0)X^\sigma + (z_0/t_0)(y_0/t_0)},$$

with $\zeta \in P\Gamma L_2(q)$. Clearly $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = |\text{Fix}(\zeta)|$. It is worth nothing that $x_0, z_0 \neq 0$ rule out the possibility for ζ to fix $\infty, 0$ respectively. Hence $|B \cap \mathcal{R}| = 1$ if, and only if, ζ fixes exactly one point in $PG_1(q) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, and we get (2). □

Corollary 3.13. $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$ if, and only if,

$$B = \{(m_1^{\sigma+2} x_0, m_1^\sigma y_0, m_2^{-\sigma} y_0, m_2^{-\sigma-2} x_0) : m_1, m_2 \in GF(q)\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Clearly $K \langle \phi \rangle$ stabilizes B when this one is as in (3.8). Actually $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$, since $K \langle \phi \rangle$ is maximal in H_0 .

Now assume that $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$. Then B is as in Lemma 3.11. Also (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) fulfills one of the constraints of Proposition 3.12. Note that $(0, 0, y_0, x_0) \in B$, as it is the image of $(x_0, y_0, 0, 0)$ under ϕ . Therefore,

$$B = \langle (x_0, y_0, 0, 0)^K \rangle_{GF(2)} \oplus \langle (0, 0, y_0, x_0)^K \rangle_{GF(2)}$$

and hence B is as in (3.8). □

Theorem 3.14. Let \mathcal{D} be a 2 - (q^4, q^2, λ) design, with $\lambda \mid q^2$, admitting $G = TG_0$, where $Sz(q) \trianglelefteq G_0$, as a flag-transitive automorphism group. Let B a block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0 such that either $H_{0,B} \leq U : K$, then one of the following holds:

- (1) $H_{0,B} = Z(U) : K$ and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, q) design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Family 1);
- (2) $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$ and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - $(q^4, q^2, q^2/2)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Family 2);
- (3) $H_{0,B} = K$ and \mathcal{D} is a 2 - (q^4, q^2, q^2) design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Families 3 or 4);

Proof. Let B a block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0 such that either $H_{0,B} \leq U : K$ or $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$. Actually $H_{0,B} \neq U : K$ by Lemma 3.7(1). Therefore $H_{0,B}$ is isomorphic to one of the group $Z(U) : K$, K or $K \langle \phi \rangle$. In the former case \mathcal{D} is a $2-(q^4, q^2, q)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Family 1) by Lemma 3.7(2).

Assume that $H_{0,B} = K \langle \phi \rangle$. Then B is as in (3.8) of Corollary 3.13, hence \mathcal{D} is a $2-(q^4, q^2, q^2/2)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Family 2).

Finally, assume that $H_{0,B} = K$. Then B is as in Lemma 3.11 with (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) fulfilling one of the constraints of Proposition 3.12 and such that $(z_0, t_0) \neq (y_0, x_0)$ by Corollary 3.13. Hence, \mathcal{D} is a $2-(q^4, q^2, q^2)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example (1.6) (Families 3 or 4). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that G_0 is a nearly simple group. Hence, the socle S of $G_0 / (G_0 \cap Z)$, where Z is the center of $GL(V)$, is a non-abelian simple group. Then S is not sporadic by Lemma 3.3. If S is alternating or a Lie type simple group in characteristic p' , then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.5 for $q = 2$ by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 respectively. Finally, if S is a Lie type simple group in characteristic p , then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to one of the 2-designs constructed in Examples 1.7 or 1.6 by Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.14. This completes the proof. \square

4. THE CASE WHERE $G_0 \leq (D_8 \circ Q_8).S_5$ AND $(q, n) = (3, 4)$

This small section is devoted to the analysis of the $2-(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ designs admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = TG_0$, where $G_0 \leq (R \circ SL_2(5)) : Z_2$ and $R \cong D_8 \circ Q_8$. In order to do so, we need the information contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *The following hold:*

- (1) $GL_4(3)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$, $N_{GL_4(3)}(SL_2(5)) \cong (Z_8 \circ SL_2(5)) : Z_2$ and $SL_2(5) < Sp_4(3)$.
- (2) $SL_2(5)$ has precisely two orbits of length 30 on the set of 2-dimensional subspaces of $V_4(3)$ and these are permuted transitively by $N_{GL_4(3)}(SL_2(5))$.

Proof. Let X be a subgroup of $GL_4(3)$ isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$. It follows from [17, Tables 8.8 and 8.9] that either X lies in \mathcal{C}_3 -member of $GL_4(3)$, and hence X preserves a Desarguesian 2-spread of V by [29, Theorem 1], or $X < Sp_4(3)$. Assume that the latter occurs. The set V^* is partitioned into two X -orbits each of length 40, since each Sylow 2-subgroup of $SL_2(5)$ is isomorphic to Q_8 and its unique involution is -1 , and since each 5-subgroup of X acts irreducibly on V . Thus, if K is any cyclic subgroup of X of order 3, K fixes precisely four points on each X -orbit of length 40 and hence $\text{Fix}(K)$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of V . Therefore $\mathcal{S} = \text{Fix}(K)^X$ is a 2-spread of V , since $N_X(K) \cong \langle -1 \rangle .S_3$ and since $X_x \cong Z_3$ for each non-zero vector of V . Also \mathcal{S} is Desarguesian by [31, Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5], since X acts transitively on \mathcal{S} . The Desarguesian 2-spreads of V lie in a unique $GL_4(3)$ -orbit by [44, Theorem I.11.1]. Moreover $GL_4(3)_{\mathcal{S}} \cong \Gamma L_2(9)$ and it can be deduced from [21], that $GL_4(3)_{\mathcal{S}}$ contains a unique conjugate class of subgroups isomorphic to X . Thus $GL_4(3)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to X .

Let $N = N_{\Gamma L_2(9)}(X)$, hence $N \cong (Z_8 \circ SL_2(5)) : Z_2$ by [30, Lemma 11.2]. Then $N = N_{GL_4(3)}(X)$ by [17, Tables 8.8, 8.12 and 8.13]. This proves (1).

Let X be the copy of $SL_2(5)$ provided in Example 1.8, and denote by Y the copy $Sp_4(3)$ containing X . Then Y partitions the set of 2-dimensional subspaces of V into two orbits of length 40 and 90, say \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{N} . Then \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{N} consist of the totally isotropic 2-dimensional subspaces and then non-isotropic ones, respectively, with regards to the symplectic form preserved by Y . Moreover, \mathcal{I} is partitioned into two X -orbits of length 30 and 10, and the one of length 10 is a Desarguesian 2-spread of V (see Example 1.8 and proof therein) that we still denote by \mathcal{S} . By (1) we know that $N \cong (C \circ X) : Z_2$, where $N = N_{GL_4(3)}(X_0)$ and $C \cong Z_8$. If N preserves $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$, then there is a subgroup C_1 of C , such that $[C : C_1] \leq 2$, preserving at least one 2-subspace contained in $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Then C_1 preserves each subspace in $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$, since C_1 centralizes X and X acts transitively on $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ such that $\dim(U_1 \cap U_2) = 1$. Such subspaces do exist since $|\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}| = 30$. Then C_1 preserves $U_1 \cap U_2$ and hence the involution -1 , which lies in C_1 , fixes pointwise $U_1 \cap U_2$, a contradiction. Then $(\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S})^N$ is a union of s orbits under X each length 30, where $s \geq 2$ and s divides $[N : X] = 8$. Furthermore $s - 1$ of these orbits are contained in \mathcal{N} . Let \mathcal{X} be the union of these $s - 1$ orbits. Then $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $|\mathcal{X}| = 30(s - 1)$.

The group X preserves a Hall 2-spread \mathcal{H} of V and partitions \mathcal{H} into two orbits each of length 5 by [31, Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5]. Clearly, $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, $GL_4(3)_{\mathcal{H}} \cong (D_8 \circ Q_8).S_5$ by [44, Theorem I.8.3]. It is easy to see that $N_{GL_4(3)_{\mathcal{H}}}(X) \cong Z_2.S_5^-$ again by [31, Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5]. Then X preserves a further Hall 2-spread \mathcal{H}' of V and partitions \mathcal{H}' into two orbits of length 5, since $N_{GL_4(3)}(Z_2.S_5^-) \cong (Z_2.S_5^-) : Z_2$. Also, $\mathcal{H}' \subset \mathcal{N}$ and hence $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{N} - (\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}')$. Then $|\mathcal{N}| = 90$, $|\mathcal{X}| = 30(s - 1)$, with $s \geq 2$ and $s \mid 8$, and $|\mathcal{H}'| = |\mathcal{H}| = 10$, imply $s = 2$ and $|\mathcal{N} - (\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{X})| = 40$.

Suppose that there is a further X -orbit \mathcal{O} of length 30 contained in $\mathcal{N} - (\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{X})$ and such that $\mathcal{O} \notin (\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S})^N$. Thus \mathcal{O} is also a N -orbit, since $|\mathcal{N} - (\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}' \cup \mathcal{X})| = 40$. Then we may apply the above argument with \mathcal{O} in the place of $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ thus obtaining that a central cyclic subgroup of N of order 4, containing -1 , preserves each 2-dimensional subspace of V contained in \mathcal{O} and derive a contradiction from this. Thus the unique X -orbits of length 30 on the set of 2-dimensional subspaces of V are those lying in $(\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{S})^N$, and we have seen that these are 2. This proves (2). \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{D} be a $2-(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ design admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = TG_0$, where $G_0 \leq (R \circ SL_2(5)) : Z_2$ and $R \cong D_8 \circ Q_8$, then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.8.*

Proof. Clearly, $SL_2(5) \leq G_0$ as $r = 30$. Since the action of any Sylow 5-subgroup of G_0 on $R/Z(R)$ is irreducible, as 5 is a primitive prime divisor of $2^4 - 1$, it follows that either $R \leq G_0$ or $R \cap G_0 = \langle -1 \rangle$. Therefore, either $R \circ SL_2(5) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq (R \circ SL_2(5)) : Z_2$, or $SL_2(5) \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq \langle -1 \rangle.S_5^-$, respectively, by [56], since $SL_2(5) \leq G_0$. Also $t \geq 3 - f \geq 1$ by Lemma 2.3(1), and hence $t = 2$ by Lemma 2.2, since $-1 \in G_0$. Hence, the blocks incident with 0 are 2-dimensional subspaces of V .

Suppose that $R \leq G_0$. The group R splits V^* into 5 orbits each of length 16 and G_0 induces $A_5 \trianglelefteq G_0/R \leq S_5$ in its natural 2-transitive permutation representation of degree 5 on the set of these R -orbits. Each of the 10 non-central involutions fixes exactly 8 points of V^* , which lie in the same R -orbit. Hence each R -orbit consists

of the 8 points fixed by an involution, say σ , and its complementary set fixed by $\epsilon\sigma$, where ϵ denotes the involution -1 . Since $R \trianglelefteq G_0$ and since G_0 acts transitively on the set of 30 blocks incident with 0, it follows that $|R_B| = 16$ where B is any block of \mathcal{D} incident with 0. Then $B^R = \{B, B'\}$ and hence $(B \cup B') \setminus \{0\}$ is a point- R -orbit, since it is an R -invariant set of cardinality 16. Let γ be non-central involution in R such that $\text{Fix}(\gamma) \subset (B \cup B') \setminus \{0\}$. Actually, either $\text{Fix}(\gamma) = B$ or $\text{Fix}(\gamma) = B'$ since B, B' and $\text{Fix}(\gamma)$ are 2-dimensional subspaces of V . This forces $r = 10$ since V^* is partitioned into five R -orbits each of length 2, whereas $r = 30$. So, this case is ruled out.

Suppose that $R \cap G_0 = \langle -1 \rangle$. Then $X_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$ with $X_0 \cong SL_2(5)$, since $SL_2(5) \leq G_0$. The group $GL_4(3)$ contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$ by Lemma 4.1(1). Therefore, we may assume that X_0 is the copy of $SL_2(5)$ provided in Example 1.8. Hence X_0 has exactly two orbits of length 30 on the set of 2-dimensional subspaces by Lemma 4.1(2). Let B_1, B_2 any two representatives of these orbits respectively. At least one of the incidence structures $(V, B_i^{X_0})$, $i = 1, 2$, is the 2- $(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ design defined in Example 1.8, say $(V, B_1^{X_0})$. Actually, $(V, B_2^{X_0})$ is a 2- $(3^4, 3^2, 3)$ design isomorphic to $(V_4(3), B_1^{X_0})$, since $N_{GL_4(3)}(X_0)$ switches $B_1^{X_0}$ and $B_2^{X_0}$ by Lemma 4.1(2). Thus \mathcal{D} is any of these two isomorphic 2-designs, and we obtain (2). \square

5. THE CASE WHERE G_0 IS A CLASSICAL GROUP

In this section we assume that $X_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$, where X_0 denotes one of the groups $SL_n(q)$, $Sp_n(q)$, $SU_n(q^{1/2})$ with n odd, or $\Omega_n^-(q)$ and we complete the analysis of the affine type case. A preliminary reduction is obtained by comparing the minimal primitive permutation representation of suitable subgroups of $X_{0,x}$, where x is a non-zero vector of $V_n(q)$, with $\lambda \leq q^{n/2}$. This allows us to reduce the possibilities for X_0 to be one of the groups $SL_2(q)$, $Sp_4(q)$, $SU_3(q^{1/2})$ or $\Omega_4^-(q)$. Then we carry out a separate investigation of these remaining cases. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a 2- $(q^n, q^{n/2}, \lambda)$, with $\lambda \mid q^{n/2}$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = TG_0$. If $X_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$ and X_0 is one of the groups defined above, then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $X_0 \cong SL_2(q)$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.3.
- (2) $X_0 \cong SU_3(q^{1/2})$, where q is a square, and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.4.
- (3) $X_0 \cong Sp_4(q)$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.5.

Lemma 5.2. *If $X_0 \cong SL_n(q)$, then $n = 2$.*

Proof. Let x be any non-zero vector of $V_n(q)$. Then $C : Y \leq X_{0,x}$, where C is an elementary abelian p -group and Y is isomorphic to $SL_{n-1}(q)$, by [41, Lemma 4.1.13]. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Then $P(Y) \leq |B^Y| \leq q^{n/2}$, where $P(Y)$ denotes the minimal primitive permutation representation of Y , since

$\lambda \leq q^{n/2}$. If $n \geq 4$ and $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$, then $P(Y) = \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{q-1}$ by [41, Proposition 5.2.1 and Table 5.2.A], which is greater than $q^{n/2}$. So this case is ruled out. Also, $(n, q) = (4, 2)$ is ruled out by Lemma 3.2, since $X_0 \cong SL_4(2) \cong A_8$. Thus Y fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$, and hence it lies in the kernel of the action of $C : Y$ on the set of blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. This forces $C : Y$ to fix each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. So $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \mid r$, since $X_{0,x} \leq G_{0,\langle x \rangle}$, which is impossible as $r = p^f(q^{n/2} + 1)$ and $n \geq 4$.

Assume that $n = 3$. Then q is a square and $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \mid q^{3/2}(q^{3/2} + 1)$, which is impossible by [17, Tables 8.3–8.4]. Thus $n = 2$ since $n > 1$ by our assumption. \square

Proposition 5.3. *If $SL_n(q) \trianglelefteq X_0$, then $n = 2$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of Example 1.3.*

Proof. We prove the assertion in a series of steps:

- (i). $\mathcal{D}_X \cong (V, B^X)$, where $V = V_2(q)$, $X = T : X_0$ and $X_0 \cong SL_2(q)$, is a **point-2-transitive, flag-transitive 2- (q^2, q, λ_0) design, with $\lambda_0 \mid \lambda$ and $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq q$.**

Let $X = T : X_0$, where $X_0 \cong SL_2(q)$, and let B be any block incident with 0 . Then $(0, B)^G$ is split into X -orbits of equal length, since $X \trianglelefteq G$. Then $\mathcal{D}_X \cong (V, B^X)$ is a flag-transitive tactical configuration with parameters (v, k, r_0, b_0) with $r_0 \mid r$ and $b_0 \mid b$. Actually, \mathcal{D}_X is a 2- (q^2, q, λ_0) design, since X acts 2-transitively on V . Also, as $r_0 = (q+1)\lambda_0$ and r_0 divides r , it follows that $\lambda_0 \mid \lambda$ and hence $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq q$.

- (ii). **T does not act block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D}_X .**

Suppose the contrary. We may use Lemma 2.3(1), with \mathcal{D}_X and X in the role of \mathcal{D} and G respectively, in order to get $\lambda_0 = q$. Thus $\lambda_0 = \lambda$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}$. Since $r = q(q+1)$, it follows that $|X_{0,B}| = q-1$. Then $|X_B| = q(q-1)$ and hence X_B is a Frobenius group with elementary abelian kernel N and a cyclic complement $X_{0,B}$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Since X_0 contains a unique conjugate class of cyclic subgroups of order $q-1$, we may assume that $X_{0,B} = \langle \gamma \rangle$, where γ is represented by $\text{Diag}(\omega, \omega^{-1})$ and ω is a primitive element of $GF(q)^*$.

If $x = (x_1, x_2)$ is any non-zero vector of V lying in B , then $B = (x_1, x_2)^{\langle \gamma \rangle} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$. If $x_1 = 0$, then $B = \langle (0, 1) \rangle$ and hence $T_B \neq 1$, whereas T acts block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} by our assumption. Thus $x_1 \neq 0$. Also $x_2 \neq 0$ by a similar argument.

Let $\varphi \in N$, $\varphi \neq 1$, then there are $\alpha \in X_0$ and $\tau \in T$ such that $\varphi = \alpha\tau$. Therefore $N = \{1, \alpha^{\gamma^j} \tau^{\gamma^j} : j = 0, \dots, q-1\}$, since N and $X_{0,B}$ are the kernel and a complement of the Frobenius group X_B respectively. Thus, $(\alpha\tau)(\alpha^{\gamma} \tau^{\gamma}) = (\alpha^{\gamma} \tau^{\gamma})(\alpha\tau)$, since N is abelian. It follows that $\alpha\alpha^{\gamma} T = \alpha^{\gamma} \alpha T$, since T is a normal subgroup of X , hence $(\alpha\alpha^{\gamma})(\alpha^{\gamma} \alpha)^{-1} \in T \cap X_0$. Thus $\alpha\alpha^{\gamma} = \alpha^{\gamma} \alpha$, since $T \cap X_0 = 1$. Note that $o(\alpha) = p$, since $o(\varphi) = p$ and since T is a normal subgroup of X such that $T \cap X_0 = 1$. Therefore α^{γ} lies in the same Sylow p -subgroup of X_0 containing α . Let S be such a Sylow p -subgroup of X_0 . Then $\gamma \in N_{X_0}(S)$, since the intersection of any two distinct Sylow 2-subgroups of X_0 is trivial by [37, Satz II.8.2.(c)]. Therefore, γ preserves $\langle (x_1, x_2) \rangle$, since $\text{Fix}(S) = \text{Fix}(\alpha) = \langle (x_1, x_2) \rangle$, and hence either $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$, a contradiction.

- (iii). **The blocks of \mathcal{D}_X are affine $GF(p)$ -subspaces of V .**

The assertion is true for p odd by (ii) and by Lemma 2.2, since $-1 \in X_0$. Hence assume that $p = 2$. Since $r_0 = \lambda_0(q+1)$ and $\lambda_0 = p^{f_0} \leq q$, it follows that $|X_{0,B}|$ is

divisible by $q-1$. Then X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 of order $\frac{q}{|T_B|} |X_{0,B}|$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Also $q/|T_B| < q$ by (ii). Then $X_B/T_B \cong D_{2(q-1)}$ and $|T_B| = q/2$ by [17, Tables 8.1–8.2]. However, this is impossible by Corollary 2.4. Thus (iii) holds for q even as well.

(iv). \mathcal{D}_X is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.3.

If B is contained in a 1-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspace of V , then B is a 1-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspace of V , since $k = q$. Then $\mathcal{D}_X \cong AG_2(q)$, whereas $\lambda \geq 2$ by our assumption. Thus B is not contained in any 1-dimensional subspace of V and hence $q \neq p$. Therefore B is a 2-dimensional $GF(q^{1/2})$ -subspace of V when $q = p^2$ by (iii), hence $SL_2(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq SL_2(q^{1/2}).Z_{(2,q^{1/2}-1)}$ in this case.

Assume that $q \neq p, p^2$. Then either $Z_{q-1} \leq X_{0,B}$ or q is odd and $X_{0,B} \cong Q_{q-1}$, or q is a square and $SL_2(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq SL_2(q^{1/2}).Z_{(2,q^{1/2}-1)}$ by [17, Tables 8.1–8.2], since $q-1$ divides the order of $X_{0,B}$.

Suppose that the former occurs. Since $SL_2(q)$ has one conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to Z_{q-1} , we may assume that the cyclic group contained in $X_{0,B}$ is that generated by the element represented by $\text{Diag}(\omega, \omega^{-1})$, where ω is a primitive element of $GF(q)^*$. Let (v_1, v_2) be any non-zero vector of B . Then

$$B = \{(\omega^i v_1, \omega^{-i} v_2) : i = 1, \dots, q-1\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Then $(\omega v_1, \omega^{-1} v_2) + (v_1, v_2) = (\omega^s v_1, \omega^{-s} v_2)$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, since B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V by (iii). Then $\omega + 1 = \omega^s$ and $\omega^{-1} + 1 = \omega^{-s}$ and hence $\omega^2 + \omega^{-2} + 1 = 0$. So $\omega^3 = 1$ and $q = 4$, whereas $q \neq p^2$ by our assumption.

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong Q_{q-1}$, q odd. Since $SL_2(q)$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to Q_{q-1} , we may assume that

$$X_{0,B} = \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{cc} \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-2} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon^{-1} & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\rangle,$$

where ε is either 1 or ω . Then

$$B = \left\{ (\omega^{2i} v_1, \omega^{-2i} v_2), (-\omega^{2i} \varepsilon v_2, (\omega^{2i} \varepsilon)^{-1} v_1) : i = 1, \dots, (q-1)/2 \right\} \cup \{(0, 0)\},$$

for some vector (v_1, v_2) with $v_1, v_2 \neq 0$, since $X_{0,B}$ acts regularly on B^* . If

$$(\omega^2 v_1, \omega^{-2} v_2) + (v_1, v_2) = (\omega^{2s} v_1, \omega^{-2s} v_2)$$

for some $s = 1, \dots, (q-1)/2$, then the above argument implies $\omega^6 = 1$ and hence $q-1 \mid 6$, but this contradicts $q \neq p$, p odd. Then

$$(\omega^2 v_1, \omega^{-2} v_2) + (v_1, v_2) = \left(-\omega^{2i_0} \varepsilon v_2, (\omega^{2i_0} \varepsilon)^{-1} v_1 \right)$$

for some $i_0 = 1, \dots, (q-1)/2$, hence $\omega^4 + \omega^2 + 1 = 0$ as $v_1, v_2 \neq 0$. So $\omega^6 = 1$ and we again reach a contradiction.

Finally, assume that q is a square and that $SL_2(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq SL_2(q^{1/2}).Z_{(2,q^{1/2}-1)}$. Note that this case also encloses $q = p^2$ with p a prime. Suppose that q is odd and that $X_{0,B} \cong SL_2(q^{1/2}).Z_{q^{1/2}-1}$. Then $r_0 = [X_0 : X_{0,B}] = q^{1/2} \frac{q+1}{q^{1/2}-1}$, hence $r = \lambda \frac{q+1}{q^{1/2}-1}$ since $r/r_0 \mid q$. However this is impossible since $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = \lambda(q+1)$ with $\lambda \mid q$. Thus $X_{0,B} \cong SL_2(q^{1/2})$.

The group $X_{0,B}$ preserves two $(q^{1/2} + 1)$ -sets $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ each of which consisting of 2-dimensional $GF(q^{1/2})$ -subspaces of V . Also \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' induce a regulus and its opposite in $PG_3(q^{1/2})$ respectively, which are the two systems of generators of an

hyperbolic quadric (e.g. see [35, Section 15.3.III] or [44, Section II.13]). Hence, \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' cover the same subset of points of V and $X_{0,B}$ acts naturally on each member of \mathcal{R}' . Since the number conjugacy of $SL_2(q)$ -classes of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$ is $(2, q^{1/2} - 1)$ by [17, Table 8.1], and these are fused in $\Gamma L_2(q)$, we may assume that $X_{0,B}$ is the copy of $SL_2(q^{1/2})$ preserving

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \langle (1, c) \rangle_{GF(q)} : c \in GF(q), c^{q^{1/2}+1} = 1 \right\} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}' = W^Z,$$

where

$$W = \left\{ (x, x^{q^{1/2}}c) : x, c \in GF(q), c^{q^{1/2}+1} = 1 \right\}$$

and $Z = Z(GL_2(q))$ (see [44, Theorem II.13.5]). Since any Sylow p -subgroup of $X_{0,B}$ acts semiregularly on $V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{R}'$, if $B \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{R}') = \{(0, 0)\}$ then $q^{1/2} \mid |B^*|$, whereas B^* is coprime to p as $k = q$. Therefore $B \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{R}') \neq \{(0, 0)\}$ and hence there is z_0 in Z such that $B \cap W^{z_0} \neq \{(0, 0)\}$. Actually, $B = W^{z_0}$ since $k = q$ and since $X_{0,B}$ acts transitively on $(W^{z_0})^*$.

(v). \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design constructed in Example 1.3.

Since $X_{0,B} \cong SL_2(q^{1/2})$ by (iii), and the number of conjugacy $SL_2(q)$ -classes of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$ is $(2, q^{1/2} - 1)$, it follows that $[G_0 : X_0]_p = [G_{0,B} : X_{0,B}]_p$ and hence that $r_p = [G_0 : G_{0,B}]_p = [X_0 : X_{0,B}]_p = (r_0)_p$. Therefore $r = r_0$, since $r = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} r_0$ with $\lambda/\lambda_0 \mid q$, hence $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_X$ and the assertion follows from (iii). \square

Lemma 5.4. *If $X_0 \cong Sp_n(q)$, then one of the following holds:*

- (1) $n = 2$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of Example 1.3.
- (2) $n = 4$ and \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of Example 1.5.

Proof. Let x be any non-zero vector. Then $C : Y \leq X_{0,x}$, where C is a p -group and Y is isomorphic to $Sp_{n-2}(q)$, by [41, Lemma 4.1.12]. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Then $P(Y) \leq |B^Y| \leq q^{n/2}$, where $P(Y)$ denotes the minimal primitive permutation representation of Y . Assume that $n \geq 6$. Then $P(Y) = \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$, or $2^{n/2-2}(2^{n/2-1} - 1)$ according to whether $q > 2$ or $q = 2$, respectively by [41, Proposition 5.2.1 and Table 5.2.A]. Then $q = 2$ then $n = 6$, since $|B^Y| \leq 2^{n/2}$. However, this is impossible, since $\Phi_6^*(2) = 1$ contradicts our assumption (see remark before Lemma 2.7). Thus Y fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$, and hence it lies in the kernel of the action of $C : Y$ on the set of blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Therefore $C : Y$ fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. So $\frac{q^n-1}{q-1} \mid r$, which is impossible since $r = p^f(q^{n/2} + 1)$ and $n > 2$. Thus $n = 2, 4$. Case $n = 2$ leads to 2-design of Example 1.3, since $Sp_2(q) \cong SL_2(q)$, which is the assertion (1).

Assume that $n = 4$. Suppose that T acts block-semiregularly on \mathcal{D} . Then $q > 2$ by Lemma 3.2, since $Sp_4(2)' \cong A_6$. Also G_B is isomorphic to a subgroup J of G_0 of index $q^2 + 1$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Then $[X_0 : J \cap X_0] \mid q^2 + 1$, hence $X_0 \trianglelefteq J$ by [41, Proposition 5.2.1 and Table 5.2.A]. Therefore $[G_0 : J] \mid (q-1) \cdot (2, q-1) \cdot \log_p q$, whereas $[G_0 : J] = q^2 + 1$. Thus T does not act block semiregularly on \mathcal{D} , hence B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V by Lemma 2.2. Moreover, either $X_{0,B} \leq Sp_2(q) \wr Z_2$, or $X_{0,B} \cong SO_4^+(q)$ with q even, by [17, Tables 8.12–8.14], since $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \mid p^f(q^2 + 1)$.

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong SO_4^+(q)$ with q even. The group $X_{0,B}$ preserves two $(q+1)$ -sets $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ each of which consists of 2-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspaces of V . Also \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' induce a regulus and its opposite in $PG_3(q)$ respectively, which are the two systems of generators of an hyperbolic quadric. Hence, \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' cover the same

subset of points of V and $X_{0,B}$ induces a copy of $PSL_2(q)$ in its 2-transitive action of degree $q+1$ on \mathcal{R} and another copy with the same action on \mathcal{R}' . Since any Sylow p -subgroup of $X_{0,B}$ does not fix points in $V \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{R}$, but it fixes at least a point B^* , and since $k = q^2$, it follows that $B \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}$. Therefore there is W in \mathcal{R} such that $B \cap W \neq \{(0,0,0,0)\}$. Actually $B = W$, since $k = q^2$ and since $X_{0,B,W}$ induces a transitive group on W^* . So $X_{0,B}$ preserves W , whereas $X_{0,B}$ induces a transitive group on the $q+1$ members of \mathcal{R} . Hence, this case is ruled out.

Assume that $K \trianglelefteq X_{0,B}$, where $K \cong Sp_2(q) \times Sp_2(q)$, and $\lambda = q^2$. Hence K preserves the sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_1^\perp$ where V_1 is a non-degenerate with respect to the symplectic form preserved by X_0 . It follows that $K \leq GL_m(p)$, since B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V and since $q^2 = p^m$. Assume that $p^m - 1$ has primitive prime divisor w . Then $w \mid |K(B)|$, since $(q+1)^2 \mid |K|$ and since $K \leq GL_m(p)$. Then either $Sp_2(q) \times 1 \leq K(B)$ or $1 \times Sp_2(q) \leq K(B)$, since $K(B) \trianglelefteq K$. Therefore either $B = V_1$ or $B = V_1^\perp$ respectively, and hence \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to the 2-design of Example 1.5, which is the assertion (2).

Assume that $p^m - 1$ does not have primitive prime divisors. Then $m = 2$ and $q = p$ is a Mersenne prime by [44, Theorem II.6.2], since $q^2 = p^m$. Then $K^B \leq GL_2(p)$ and hence either $Sp_2(p) \times 1 \leq K(B)$ or $1 \times Sp_2(p) \leq K(B)$. Thus either $B = V_1$ or $B = V_1^\perp$ respectively, and we again obtain the assertion (2). \square

Lemma 5.5. *If $X_0 \cong SU_n(q^{1/2})$, q square, then $n = 3$.*

Proof. Assume that $X_0 \cong SU_n(q^{1/2})$, q square. If x is a non-zero isotropic vector of V , then $C : Y \leq X_{0,x}$, where C is a p -group and Y is isomorphic to $SU_{n-2}(q^{1/2})$, by [41, Lemma 4.1.12]. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Then $P(Y) \leq |B^Y| \leq q^{n/2}$, where $P(Y)$ denotes the minimal primitive permutation representation of Y . Assume that $n > 7$. Then

$$q^{n/2} \geq \lambda \geq |B^Y| > \frac{(q^{(n-2)/2} + 1)(q^{(n-2)/2-1} - 1)}{q - 1}$$

by [41, Proposition 52.1 and Table 5.2.A], since n is odd, but the previous inequality has no solutions. Thus Y fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$, and hence it lies in the kernel of the action of $C : Y$ on the set of blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Therefore, $C : Y$ fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. So $C : Y \leq X_{0,B}$ and hence $\frac{(q^{n/2+1})(q^{n/2-1}-1)}{q-1} \mid r$, which is impossible since $r = p^f(q^{n/2} + 1)$ and $n > 7$.

Assume that $n = 5, 7$. Then $X_{0,B} \leq GU_{n-1}(q^{1/2}) < X_0$ by [17, Tables 8.20–8.21 and 8.37–8.38], since $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \mid p^f(q^{n/2} + 1)$. Moreover, $p^f \geq q^{(n-1)/2}$ and $SU_{n-1}(q^{1/2}) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B}$. Since the non-zero isotropic vectors of V lie in a unique G_0 -orbit of length $(q^{n/2} + 1)(q^{n/2-1} - 1)$, it follows that $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q^{n/2-1} - 1$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence the copy of $SU_{n-1}(q^{1/2})$ inside $X_{0,B}$ fixes $B \cap x^{G_0}$ pointwise, since the minimal primitive permutation representation of $SU_{n-1}(q^{1/2})$ is greater than $q^{n/2-1} - 1$ again by [41, Proposition 52.1 and Table 5.2.A]. So, $SU_{n-1}(q^{1/2}) \leq X_{0,x}$ with x a non-zero isotropic vector of V , but this contradicts [17, Tables 8.20 and 8.37]. Thus $n = 3$, which is the assertion. \square

Lemma 5.6. *If $X_0 \cong SU_n(q^{1/2})$, then $n = 3$ and \mathcal{D} is a 2- $(q^3, q^{3/2}, q)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.3.*

Proof. Set $q = s^2$, then $X_0 \cong SU_3(s)$, $V = V_3(s^2)$, $r = \lambda(s^3 + 1)$ and $\lambda \mid s^3$. The set of blocks incident with 0 is split into X_0 -orbits of the same length as $X_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$,

hence $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \theta = r$ where B is any block incident with 0 and θ is a positive divisor of r . Thus $\theta \mid (s+1) \cdot 2 \log_p(s)$, since $\theta \mid \frac{s^2-1}{(3,s+1)} \cdot |\text{Out}(PSU_3(s))|$ and $|\text{Out}(PSU_3(s))| = (3, s+1) \cdot 2 \log_p(s)$. Thus

$$|X_{0,B}| = \theta \frac{s^3}{\lambda} (s^2 - 1) \text{ with } \theta \mid (s+1) \cdot 2 \log_p(s). \quad (5.1)$$

and by [17, Tables 8.5–8.6] one of the following holds:

- (1) $X_{0,B} \leq X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ for some isotropic 1-dimensional $GF(s^2)$ -subspace $\langle x \rangle$ of V ;
- (2) $X_{0,B} \leq (Z_{s+1} \times Z_{s+1}).S_3$, with $s = 5, 7$, and $\lambda = s^3$;
- (3) $SU_2(s) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq GU_2(s)$;
- (4) $SO_3(s) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq Z_{(3,s+1)} \times SO_3(s)$ with s odd;
- (5) $X_{0,B} \leq 3_+^{1+2} : Q_8$, with $s = 5$, and $\lambda = 5^3$;
- (6) $X_{0,B} \cong PSL_2(7)$ for $s = 13$, $\theta = 1$ and $\lambda = 13^3$.

Now, we are going to show that (1)–(3) and (5)–(6) are ruled out and that (4) leads to a 2-design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.3.

It is well known that G is a rank 3 group and that the non-zero isotropic, and non-isotropic vectors of V are the G_0 -orbits partitioning V^* and have length $(s^3 + 1)(s^2 - 1)$ and $s^2(s^3 + 1)(s - 1)$ respectively. Let x_1, x_2 be representatives of such orbits, then $|B \cap x_1^{G_0}| = s^2 - 1$ and $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = s^2(s - 1)$ by Lemma 2.1.

(i). **Case (1) cannot occur.**

Assume that $X_{0,B} \leq X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ for some isotropic 1-dimensional $GF(s^2)$ -subspace $\langle x \rangle$ of V . Then $X_{0,B} \cong Z_{s^2-1}$, or $X_{0,B} \cong Z(U) : Z_{s^2-1}$ or $X_{0,B} \cong U : Z_{s^2-1}$, where U is a Sylow p -subgroup of X_0 , since $Z(U) : Z_{s-1}$ and $U/Z(U) : Z_{s^2-1}$ are Frobenius groups. In each case X_0 contains a unique conjugate class of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$. Thus $[G_0 : X_0] = [G_{0,B} : X_{0,B}]$ and hence $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [X_0 : X_{0,B}]$. Therefore $X = T : X_0$ acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} .

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong U : Z_{s^2-1}$. Since $X_{0,B}$ is maximal in X_0 , it follows from Lemma 2.3(2) that B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V . Since U preserves an isotropic 1-dimensional subspace of V and acts semiregularly on the set of the remaining non-zero isotropic vectors and since $|B \cap x_1^{G_0}| = s^2 - 1$, it results that $B \cap x_1^{G_0} = \langle z \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$, where $\langle z \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ is the isotropic 1-dimensional subspace of V preserved by U .

Since $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = s^2(s - 1)$ and $|U| = s^3$, the stabilizer in U of a non-isotropic vector u in B is non-trivial. Then $\langle u \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ lies in the line of $PG_2(s^2)$ tangent in $\langle z \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ to the Hermitian unital preserved by X_0 . Therefore $|u^{X_{0,B}}| = s^2(s + 1)$ by [37, Satz II.10.12], whereas $u^{X_{0,B}} \subseteq B \cap x_2^{G_0}$ with $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = s^2(s - 1)$. So, this case is ruled out.

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong Z(U) : Z_{s^2-1}$. Therefore $r = s^2(s^3 + 1)$. Thus $|T_B| \geq s$ by Lemma 2.3(1), since $p^m = q^{3/2} = s^3$. Now X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 and contains a copy of $X_{0,B}$ as subgroup of index $s^3/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Thus either $|T_B| = s$ or $|T_B| = s^3$, since $X_{0,B} \cong Z(U) : Z_{s^2-1}$, since $U : Z_{s^2-1}$ is the unique maximal subgroup of X_0 containing $X_{0,B}$ and since $U/Z(U) : Z_{s^2-1}$ is a Frobenius group. In both cases there is a non-trivial subgroup of order a divisor of $\frac{s+1}{(2,s+1)}$ fixing at least a non-zero vector in 0^{T_B} , but this is impossible for $s \neq 3$ (e.g. see [37, Satz II.10.12]). So $s = 3$ and $X_{0,B} \cong Z_3 : Z_8$, but there is a cyclic groups of order at least 4 fixing a point in 0^{T_B} and this case is excluded.

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong Z_{s^2-1}$. We may argue as in the previous case and we get that $|T_B|$ is either 1 or s or s^3 . In the two latter cases is a non-trivial subgroup of order a divisor of $\frac{s+1}{(2,s+1)}$ fixing at least a non-zero vector in 0^{T_B} and we again reach a contradiction as above. Thus $|T_B| = 1$ and hence $X_B \cong X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ by Lemma 2.3(2) and by [17, Tables 8.5–8.6]. It follows from [39, Theorem 2.14.(i)] that $H^1(X_0, V) = 0$, also $|H^1(X_{0,\langle x \rangle}, V)| \leq |H^1(X_0, V)|$ by [22, 2.3.(g)], as $\langle x \rangle$ is isotropic. Then $X_B = X_{0,\langle x \rangle}^\tau$ for some $\tau \in T$ by [10, 17.10], hence $X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ acts transitively on $B^{\tau^{-1}}$. Then all the vectors contained in $B^{\tau^{-1}}$ are either non-zero isotropic or non-isotropic. However, this is impossible since X acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} and each block incident with 0 must contain (non-zero) isotropic vectors as well as non-isotropic ones.

(ii). **Cases (2), (5) and (6) cannot occur.**

Assume that (2) occurs. If $s = 5$, from (5.1) we derive that either $X_{0,B} \cong S_4$ or $3_+^{1+2} \leq X_{0,B}$. In the latter case $X_{0,B}$ contains the center of $SU_3(5)$, which fixes at least two points on B since $k = 125$ is equivalent to 2 modulo 3, and we reach a contradiction. For the same reason B cannot be a $GF(5)$ -subspace of V when $X_{0,B} \cong S_4$. On the other hand, X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 containing an isomorphic copy of $X_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $5^3/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2). It follows from [21] that $|T_B| = 5^2$, since B cannot be a $GF(5)$ -subspace of V . Then $X_{0,B}$ acts on W , where $W = 0^{T_B}$, which is not a 1-dimensional $GF(25)$ -subspace of V , since $X_{0,B}$ does not fix points of $PG_2(25)$. Hence W intersects six points of $PG_2(25)$ each of them in a non-zero vector of V . Also, since there are no subgroups of $GL_2(5)$ isomorphic to S_4 , it follows that $X_{0,B}(W) \neq 1$. Hence $Z_2 \times Z_2 \leq X_{0,B}(W)$, since $X_{0,B} \cong S_4$. Then $Z_2 \times Z_2$ fixes six points of $PG_2(25)$, namely those intersecting W in a non-zero vector of V , but this is not the case since $Z_2 \times Z_2$ acts faithfully on $PG_2(25)$ as a groups of homologies in a triangular configuration. Thus $s = 5$ is ruled out.

Suppose that $s = 7$. Hence $(Z_4 \times Z_4).S_3 \leq X_{0,B}$, since 48 divides the order of $X_{0,B}$ by (5.1). By Lemma 2.3(2) X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 containing an isomorphic copy of $X_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $7^3/|T_B|$. It follows from [21] that $|T_B| \geq 7^2$, since $(Z_4 \times Z_4).S_3 \leq X_{0,B}$. If $|T_B| = 7^2$, the admissible candidates of maximal subgroups of X_0 containing an isomorphic copy of X_B/T_B are either $SL_2(7) : Z_8$ or $PGL_2(7)$ by [21], however none of these contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(Z_4 \times Z_4).S_3$. Therefore $|T_B| = 7^3$ and hence B is a 3-dimensional $GF(7)$ -subspace of V . So $X_{0,B} \leq PGL_2(7)$ by [21], and we again reach a contradiction as $PGL_2(7)$ does not contains subgroups isomorphic to $(Z_4 \times Z_4).S_3$, whereas $X_{0,B}$ does it. This completes the exclusion of (2).

Assume that (5) occurs. From (5.1) we derive that either $X_{0,B} \cong Z_3 \times Q_8$ or that $X_{0,B} \cong 3_+^{1+2} : Q_8$. In each case $X_{0,B}$ contains the center of $SU_3(5)$ and we reach a contradiction as in case (2).

Assume that (6) occurs. By Lemma 2.3(2) X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 containing an isomorphic copy of $X_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $13^3/|T_B|$, it follows that $|T_B| = 13^3$, hence B is a 3-dimensional $GF(13)$ -subspace of V , since $X_{0,B} \cong PSL_2(7)$. Then $X_{0,B}$ lies in a \mathcal{C}_5 -member of X_0 , but this is impossible by [17, Table 8.5].

(iii). **Case (3) cannot occur.**

Assume that $SU_2(s) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq GU_2(s)$. Then $r = [G_0 : G_{0,B}] = [X_0 : X_{0,B}]$ by [17, Table 8.5], hence $X = T : X_0$ acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} . Moreover, $r = s^2(s^3 + 1)$

and therefore $X_{0,B} \cong SU_2(s)$. By Lemma 2.3(2) X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 containing an isomorphic copy of $X_{0,B}$ as a subgroup of index $s^3/|T_B|$, it follows that $|T_B| = s^3$ and hence B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V .

Each Sylow p -subgroup of $X_{0,B}$ fixes a unique isotropic 1-dimensional $GF(s^2)$ -subspace of V and distinct Sylow p -subgroups of $X_{0,B}$ fix distinct isotropic 1-dimensional $GF(s^2)$ -subspaces of V . Hence B intersects at least $s+1$ isotropic 1-dimensional $GF(s^2)$ -subspaces of V , say $\langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$, with $i = 1, \dots, s+1$, each of them in at least a non-zero vector, that we may assume to be y_i . Moreover, $X_{0,B}$ permutes 2-transitively the set $\{\langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)} : i = 1, \dots, s+1\}$. Since $X_{0,B, \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)}}$ partitions the non-zero vectors of $\langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ into $s+1$ orbits each of length $s-1$, since $|B \cap x_1^{X_0}| = s^2 - 1$ and since $B \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V , it results that $B \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s^2)} = \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s)}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, s+1$ and that $B \cap x_1^{X_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s+1} \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(s)}^*$.

If $B \cap x_1^{X_0} \not\subset \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$, then there is $3 \leq i_0 \leq s+1$ such that $B = \langle y_1, y_2, y_{i_0} \rangle_{GF(s)}$, as $k = s^3$. Then $X_{0,B}$ lies in a \mathcal{C}_5 -member of X_0 , but this is impossible by [17, Table 8.5]. Thus $B \cap x_1^{X_0} \subset \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$ and hence $B \cap x_1^{X_0} = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s)}^*$.

It is not difficult to see that $X_{0,B}$ preserves the decomposition $V = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \oplus \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^\perp$ and that each $X_{0,B}$ -orbit on $V \setminus (\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \cup \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^\perp)$ is of length $s(s^2 - 1)$. Hence $B \subset (\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \cup \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^\perp)$, since $k = s^3$ and since $|B \cap \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}| \geq s^2$. Actually, $B \subset \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ since B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V sharing at least s^2 vectors with $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$. The $X_{0,B}$ -orbits in $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \setminus \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s)}$ have length $s(s^2 - 1)$ and each of these must be disjoint from B , so we reach a contradiction since $k = s^3$ and since $\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_{GF(s)} \subset B$. Thus, this case is excluded.

(iv). **Lemma's statement holds.**

Assume that $SO_3(s) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq Z_{(3,s+1)} \times SO_3(s)$ with s odd. Suppose that $s \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and that $X_{0,B} \cong Z \times SO_3(s)$, where $Z \cong Z_3$. Then Z fixes at least a non-zero vector of $B \cap x_2^{G_0}$, as $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = s^2(s-1)$, and we reach a contradiction as Z is the center of $SU_3(s)$. Thus $X_{0,B} \cong SO_3(s)$.

Since $|G_{0,B}|_p = s \cdot \frac{(\log_p(s))_p}{a}$ for some positive divisor a of $(\log_p(s))_p$ by (5.1), hence $\lambda = s^2 \cdot a$. Then $T_B \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.3(1), since $p^m = q^{3/2} = s^3$ and since $a < s$. Then $\Omega_3(s)$ acts on 0^{T_B} . Clearly $\Omega_3(s)$ does not fix a non-zero vectors in 0^{T_B} by [17, Table 8.5]. Thus $|0^{T_B}| \geq s^3$ by [41, Proposition 5.4.11]. Therefore $B = 0^{T_B}$, as $k = s^3$ and $0^{T_B} \subseteq B$, hence B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V .

$X_{0,B}$ acts faithfully on $PG_2(s^2)$ and one $X_{0,B}$ -orbit on $PG_2(s^2)$ is $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{H} is the Hermitian unital of order s preserved by G_0 and where \mathcal{C} is a conic preserved by $X_{0,B}$. Each Sylow p -subgroup of $\Omega_3(s)$ fixes each non-zero vector of a point $\langle y_0 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ of $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}$ and acts regularly on $(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}) \setminus \{\langle y_0 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}\}$. Moreover, the $X_{0,B}$ -orbits on $\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{C}$ have length $s(s^2 - 1)/2$. Therefore either $B \cap x^{G_0} = \langle y_0 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$, or $|B \cap \langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}| > 0$ for each $\langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}$, since $|B \cap x_1^{G_0}| = s^2 - 1$. If $B \cap x_1^{G_0} = \langle y_0 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$ then $SO_3(s) \leq X_{0, \langle y_0 \rangle_{GF(s^2)}}$, which is impossible. Therefore, $|B \cap \langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}| > 0$ for each $\langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)} \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, since $\Omega_3(s)_{\langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}}$ is a Frobenius group with cyclic complement of order $(s-1)/2$ acting semiregularly on

$\langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}^*$, and since B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V , it follows that $B \cap \langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$ is a $GF(s)$ -subspace of $\langle y \rangle_{GF(s^2)}$. Thus B is a 3-dimensional $GF(s)$ -subspace of V , since \mathcal{C} is a conic, and hence B induces a Baer subplane of $PG_2(s^2)$. Moreover, B is the unique 3-dimensional $GF(s)$ -subspace of V preserved by $X_{0,B}$. Thus, we assume that B is as in Example 1.4, since the $(3, s+1)$ conjugacy X_0 -classes of subgroup isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$ are fused in $\text{Aut}(X_0) \cong \Gamma U_3(s)$ (see [17, Table 8.5]). Therefore, \mathcal{D} is a $2-(q^3, q^{3/2}, q)$ design isomorphic to that constructed in Example 1.3. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.7. *If $X_0 \cong \Omega_n^-(q)$, then $n = 4$.*

Proof. Assume that $X_0 \cong \Omega_n^-(q)$. Then $C : Y \leq X_{0,x}$, where C is a p -group and Y is isomorphic to $\Omega_{n-2}^-(q)$, by [41, Lemma 4.1.12]. Let B be any block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Then $P(Y) \leq |B^Y|$, where $P(Y)$ denotes the minimal primitive permutation representation of Y . Hence

$$q^{n/2} \geq \lambda \geq |B^Y| > \frac{(q^{(n-2)/2} + 1)(q^{(n-2)/2-1} - 1)}{q-1} \quad (5.2)$$

by [41, Proposition 52.1 and Table 5.2.A], and we reach a contradiction for $n > 6$. Thus Y fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$ for $n > 6$, and hence it lies in the kernel of the action of $C : Y$ on the set of blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. Therefore, $C : Y$ fixes each block of \mathcal{D} incident with $0, x$. So $\frac{(q^{n/2}+1)(q^{n/2-1}-1)}{q-1} \mid r$, which cannot occur since $r = p^f(q^{n/2} + 1)$ and $n > 6$. Thus $n \leq 6$.

Assume that $n = 6$. Then $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \mid p^f(q^3 + 1)$ and hence $p^f \mid q^3$. Then either $X_{0,B} \leq M$, where M is either $\Omega_5(q).Z_2$ or $Sp_4(q)$ according to whether q is odd or even, respectively, by [17, Tables 8.33–8.34]. Since $[X_0 : M] = q^2 \frac{q^3+1}{(2, q-1)}$, it follows that $[M : X_{0,B}] \mid \frac{p^f}{q^2}(2, q-1)$ and hence $M' \leq X_{0,B} \leq M'.Z_{(2, q-1)}$ by [41, Proposition 52.1 and Table 5.2.A], since $r = p^f(q^3 + 1)$. Thus $\lambda = q^2$.

The group G_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup J of G_0 such that $[G_0 : J] = \frac{p^t}{q}(q^3 + 1)$ and J contains an isomorphic copy of $G_{0,B}$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Then $[X_0 : J \cap X_0] \mid \frac{p^t}{q}(q^3 + 1)$ and arguing as above with $J \cap X_0$ in the role of $X_{0,B}$ we deduce that $k = p^t = q^3$. Therefore, B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V and hence $(X_{0,B})^B \leq GL_m(p)$. Since the order of $X_{0,B}$ is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of $p^{4m/3} - 1$, being $q^6 = p^{2m}$, whereas the order of $GL_m(p)$ is not, it follows that $(X_{0,B})'$ fixes B pointwise. So $B \subseteq \langle z \rangle_{GF(q)}$ for some non-singular vector z of V , and we reach a contradiction since $k = q^3$.

Finally, $n = 2$ cannot occur. Indeed, in this case $X_0 \cong \Omega_2^-(q) \cong D_{2 \frac{q+1}{(2, q-1)}} \leq \Gamma L_1(q^2)$ and it contradicts the definition of q . \square

Lemma 5.8. *X_0 is not isomorphic to $\Omega_n^-(q)$, $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. $X_0 \cong \Omega_4^-(q)$ by Lemma 5.7. Since $r = \lambda(q^2 + 1)$, where $\lambda \mid q^2$, and since $X_0 \trianglelefteq G_0$, it follows that $[X_0 : X_{0,B}] \theta = \lambda(q^2 + 1)$ for some positive integer θ . On the other hand $\theta \mid [G_0 : X_0]$ and hence $\theta \mid (q-1) \cdot (2, q-1) \cdot m$, as $q^2 = p^m$. Thus $\theta \mid (2m, \lambda(q^2 + 1))$ and hence

$$|X_{0,B}| = \frac{q^2}{\lambda} \frac{q^2 - 1}{(2, q-1)} \theta. \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore, by [17, Table 8.17] one of the following holds:

- (1) $X_{0,B} \leq X_{0,\langle x \rangle_{GF(q)}}$, where x is a non-zero singular vector of V ;
- (2) $PSL_2(q) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq PGL_2(q)$;
- (3) $X_{0,B} \cong D_{2 \frac{(q^2-1)}{(2,q-1)}}$;
- (4) $X_{0,B} \leq A_5$ and $q = 3$.

We are going to prove that none of the cases (1)–(4) occurs.

(i). **Case (1) and (4) cannot occur.**

Assume that $X_{0,B} \leq X_{0,\langle x \rangle_{GF(q)}}$. Then either $X_{0,B} = X_{0,\langle x \rangle_{GF(q)}}$ or $X_{0,B}$ is cyclic of order $\frac{q^2-1}{(2,q-1)}$ by (5.3), since $X_{0,\langle x \rangle_{GF(q)}}$ is a Frobenius group of order $q^2 \frac{q^2-1}{(2,q-1)}$ with a cyclic complement of order $\frac{q^2-1}{(2,q-1)}$. In both cases X_0 contains a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$. Therefore $X = TX_0$ acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} . So $X_{0,B} \neq X_{0,\langle x \rangle_{GF(q)}}$ since $\lambda \geq 2$. Thus $X_{0,B}$ is cyclic of order $\frac{q^2-1}{(2,q-1)}$ and hence $r = q^2(q^2 + 1)$ and $\lambda = q^2$.

Since X_B/T_B is isomorphic to a subgroup of X_0 containing $X_{0,B}$ as subgroup of index $q^2/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2), it follows that X_B/T_B is a Frobenius group with cyclic complement of order $\frac{q^2-1}{(2,q+1)}$ by [17, Table 8.17]. Thus, either $T_B = 1$ or $|T_B| = q^2$.

The $X_{0,B}$ -orbits on the set of non-zero singular vectors of V have length $\frac{q^2-1}{(2,q+1)}$ except for two ones of have length $q - 1$, which are $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$ and $\langle y_2 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$ for some suitable non-zero singular vectors y_1, y_2 of V . On the other hand $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q - 1$, where x is a non-zero singular vector of V , by Lemma 2.1. Thus $|B \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(q)}^*| > 1$ for $i = 1$ or 2 . We may assume that $i = 1$. Hence $B \cap x^{G_0} = \langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$, since $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$ is a $X_{0,B}$ -orbit.

Assume that $|T_B| = q^2$. Then B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V . The cyclic subgroup Y of $X_{0,B}$ of order $\frac{q+1}{(2,q+1)}$ fixes $B \cap x^{G_0}$ pointwise. Thus $|B \cap \text{Fix}(Y)| \geq q$, where $\text{Fix}(Y)$ is a 2-dimensional $GF(q)$ -subspace of V . Suppose $|B \cap \text{Fix}(Y)| = q$. Then $q > 3$, since $X_0 \cong \Omega_4^-(3) \cong PSL_2(9) \cong A_6$ and this case is ruled out by Lemma 3.2. Then there is a non-trivial subgroup Y_1 of Y fixing a vector in $B \setminus \text{Fix}(Y)$, since $|B \setminus \text{Fix}(Y)| = q(q-1)$. However, this is impossible as $\text{Fix}(Y_1) = \text{Fix}(Y)$. Therefore $|B \cap \text{Fix}(Y)| > q$. Clearly, $X_{0,B}$ acts on $\text{Fix}(Y)$. In particular $X_{0,B}$ induces a cyclic group of order $q - 1$ acting regularly on $\text{Fix}(Y)$, and $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*, \langle y_2 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$ are the unique $X_{0,B}$ -orbits consisting of non-zero singular vectors lying in $\text{Fix}(Y)$. Hence $|B \cap \text{Fix}(Y)| = q + a(q - 1)$ for some positive integer a such that $a \leq q$. On the other hand $|B \cap \text{Fix}(Y)| = p^e$, with $q < p^e \leq q^2$, as both B and $\text{Fix}(Y)$ are $GF(p)$ -subspaces of V . So $a = q$ and hence $B = \text{Fix}(Y)$, since $k = q^2$. However this is impossible since $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q - 1$, whereas $|\text{Fix}(Y) \cap x^{G_0}| = 2(q - 1)$.

Assume that $T_B = 1$ and that q is odd. As above, $q > 3$ by Lemma 3.2 (this fact is independent of the assumptions on the order of T_B). Then $H^1(X_0, V) = 0$ by [39, Theorem 2.14.(i)], also $|H^1(X_{0,\langle x \rangle}, V)| \leq |H^1(X_0, V)|$ by [22, 2.3.(g)], since $\langle x \rangle$ is singular. Then $X_B = X_{0,\langle x \rangle}^\tau$ for some $\tau \in T$ by [10, 17.10], hence $X_{0,\langle x \rangle} = X_{B^{\tau^{-1}}}$. Therefore the Sylow p -subgroup of $X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ acts regularly on $B^{\tau^{-1}}$, hence the actions of any cyclic subgroup of $X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ on $B^{\tau^{-1}}$ and on the Sylow p -subgroup of $X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ are equivalent by [50, Proposition 4.2]. Thus, each cyclic subgroup of $X_{0,\langle x \rangle}$ fixes a point

in $B^{\tau^{-1}}$ and partitions the remaining points of $B^{\tau^{-1}}$ into 2 orbits each of length $\frac{q^2-1}{2}$. A similar conclusion holds for B , since X acts block-transitively on \mathcal{D} . However, this is impossible since $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q - 1$.

Assume that $T_B = 1$ and that q is even. Let K be the Sylow 2-subgroup of $X_{0, \langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}}$. Therefore K fixes $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}$ pointwise, hence B^K is the set of the $\lambda = q^2$ blocks of \mathcal{D} incident with 0 and y_1 . Furthermore, each of them contains $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}$. Thus K centralizes τ , where $\tau \in T_{\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}}$, and hence τ permutes the blocks in B^K . Then there is $\gamma \in K$ such that $\gamma\tau \in X_B$. Let η in Y , where Y is defined as above, then $(\gamma\tau)^\eta \in X_B$. Also, $(\gamma\tau)^\eta = \gamma^\eta\tau$, with $\gamma^\eta \in K$ since $\tau \in T_{\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}}$, Y fixes $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}$ pointwise and Y normalizes K . Then $\gamma\gamma^\eta = \gamma\tau\gamma^\eta\tau \in X_B$, since τ centralizes γ^η and since $o(\tau) = 2$. Consequently $\gamma\gamma^\eta \in K \cap X_{0,B}$, so $\gamma^\eta = \gamma$ since K is an elementary abelian 2-group and since $X_{0,B}$ is cyclic of (odd) order $q^2 - 1$. Therefore $\gamma = 1$ by [50, Proposition 17.2], since $K : Y$ is Frobenius group. So $\tau = \gamma\tau \in X_B$, but this contradicts $T_B = 1$. Thus (1) and (4) are ruled out.

(ii). **Case (2) cannot occur.**

Assume that $PSL_2(q) \trianglelefteq X_{0,B} \leq PGL_2(q)$. Since $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q - 1$ and since the minimal primitive permutation representation of $PSL_2(q)$ is at least q for $q \neq 9$ and 6 for $q = 9$ by [41, Theorem 5.2.2], it follows that $PSL_2(q)$ fixes at least a point in $B \cap x^{G_0}$ and hence it lies in the stabilizer of a singular 1-dimensional subspace of V , a contradiction.

(iii). **Case (3) cannot occur.**

Assume that $X_{0,B} \cong D_{2 \frac{(q^2-1)}{(2, q-1)}}$. Since X_0 has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to $X_{0,B}$ by [17, Table 8.17], it follows that $B^{G_0} = B^{X_0}$. Hence $X = TX_0$ acts flag-transitively on \mathcal{D} . The group X_B/T_B is isomorphic to subgroup of X_0 containing $X_{0,B}$ as subgroup of index $q^2/|T_B|$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Since $X_{0,B}$ is maximal in X_0 , it follows that $|T_B| = q^2$. Thus B is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V .

The $X_{0,B}$ -orbits on the set of non-zero singular vectors $GF(q)$ -subspaces V are either $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}^* \cup \langle y_2 \rangle_{GF(q)}^*$, where y_1, y_2 have the same meaning as in (i), or they have length $q^2 - 1$. Since $|B \cap x^{G_0}| = q - 1$ and since $X_{0,B}$ contains involutions switching $\langle y_1 \rangle_{GF(q)}$ and $\langle y_2 \rangle_{GF(q)}$, it follows that $|B \cap x^{G_0} \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(q)}^*| = (q - 1)/2$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Thus $|B \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(q)}^*| = (q + 1)/2$, whereas $B \cap \langle y_i \rangle_{GF(q)}$ is a $GF(p)$ -subspace of V . So $X_0 \cong \Omega_4^-(q)$ is excluded and the assertion follows. \square

Now, we are in position to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Suppose that X_0 is one of the groups $SL_n(q)$, $SU_n(q^{1/2})$ with n odd, $Sp_n(q)$ or $\Omega_n^-(q)$. The latter is ruled out in Lemma 5.8. In the remaining cases assertion (1), (2), and (1) and (3) follows from Proposition 5.3, Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.4 respectively. \square

6. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

This small final section is devoted to the completion of the the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let \mathcal{D} be a 2 - (p^{2m}, p^m, λ) , with $\lambda \mid p^m$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group $G = TG_0$. If $\lambda = 1$, then assertions (1)–(5) follows from Theorem 2.5. Hence, assume that $\lambda \geq 2$. If $m > 1$ and $(p, 2m) \neq (2, 6)$, then assertion (1), (6)–(8), (8) for $q = 2$ and (9)–(10), and (11) follow from Lemma 2.6 and Theorems 5.1, 3.1 and 4.2 respectively. Thus, in order to complete the proof, we need to tackle the remaining cases $m = 1$ or $(p, 2m) = (2, 6)$. The former implies that either $G_0 \leq \Gamma L_1(p^2)$ or $SL_2(p) \trianglelefteq G_0$, since $G_0 \leq GL_2(p)$ and $r = p(p+1)$ divides $|G_0|$. The former yields assertion (1) by Lemma 2.6, whereas we may use the arguments in the proof of Proposition 5.3 to rule out the latter.

Finally, assume that $(p, 2m) = (2, 6)$. Then $G_0 \leq SL_6(2)$ and $r = 2^f \cdot 3^2$ with $f = 1, 2, 3$. We may use the same argument of Lemma 5.2 to rule out the case $G_0 = SL_6(2)$. Then $G_0 \leq M$, where M is a maximal subgroup of $SL_6(2)$. Then M lies in a member $\mathcal{C}_i(SL_6(2))$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ or 8 , by [17, Tables 8.24–8.25]. Since G_0 is maximal in G (recall that G acts point-primitively on \mathcal{D}), and $G = TG_0$, it follows that G_0 acts irreducibly on V . Hence $i \neq 1$.

Suppose that $i = 2$. Then G_0 preserves the sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2 = 3$ and $M \cong SL_3(2) \wr Z_2$ again by [17, Table 8.24]. One M -orbit is $V_1^* \cup V_2^*$, which is of length 14, is a union of G_0 -orbits. However, this is impossible since each G_0 -orbit is of length divisible 3^2 by Lemma 2.1, being $r = 2^f \cdot 3^2$.

Suppose that $i = 3$. Then either $M \cong \Gamma L_2(8)$ or $M \cong \Gamma L_3(4)$. Suppose that the former occurs. Since 18 divides the order of G_0 , and since the unique subgroup of order 3^2 which do not fix points are cyclic by [21], it follows that G_0 contains a cyclic group C of order 3^2 .

If $G_0 \cap Z(GL_2(8)) \neq 1$ then $Z_7 \cong Z(GL_2(8)) \leq G_0$, hence $Z(GL_2(8))$ preserves each block incident with 0, since r is coprime to 7 and since G_0 acts transitively on the set of blocks incident with 0. Then $B \cap B'$ is preserved by Z_7 , where B' is any block of \mathcal{D} distinct from B such that $0, x \in B \cap B'$, with $x \neq 0$, as $\lambda \geq 2$. However, this is impossible since $k = 2^3$. Thus $G_0 \cap Z(GL_2(8)) = 1$.

The group $\Gamma L_2(8)$ contains two conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of order 9, say \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 . If $C \in \mathcal{K}_1$ then C is a subgroup of a Singer cycle and hence $N_M(C) \cong Z_{63}.Z_2.Z_3$, whereas if $C \in \mathcal{K}_2$ then $N_M(C) \cong Z_9.Z_3$. If C is not normal in G_0 then $SL_2(8) \trianglelefteq G_0$ regardless $C \in \mathcal{K}_1$ or $C \in \mathcal{K}_2$, since $G_0 \cap Z(GL_2(8)) = 1$. However, this case cannot occur by Proposition 5.3. Therefore, $C \trianglelefteq G_0$ and since 18 divides the order of G_0 , it follows that $C \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and hence $G_0 \leq N_M(C)$. Moreover, since $G_0 \cap Z(GL_2(8)) = 1$, it follows that $D_{18} \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq D_{18}.Z_3$. Therefore, $\lambda = 2$ and hence B is a 3-dimensional $GF(2)$ -subspace of V by Corollary 2.4. Then $D_{18} \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq D_{18}.Z_3$ leads to a 2 - $(2^6, 2^3, 2)$ design isomorphic to that of Line 1 in Table 1 by using GAP [64]. This corresponds to case (1) for $q = 2^3$ and $\lambda = 2$.

Assume that $M \cong \Gamma L_3(4)$. Then $SL_3(4) \not\trianglelefteq G_0$ by Proposition 5.3. Since G_0 acts irreducibly on V and its order is divisible by 18, it follows that either G_0 preserves $V_3(2)$, or $G_0 \leq \Gamma U_3(2)$ or $G_0 \leq Z_3.S_6$ by [17, Tables 8.3–8.4]. The first one is ruled out by Lemma 2.1, whereas if $G_0 \leq \Gamma U_3(2)$ then \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to one of the 2-designs listed in Table 1 by using GAP [64], and these correspond to cases (12)–(13).

Assume that $G_0 \leq Z_3.S_6$. Let S be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G_0 . Since no proper subgroups of S have all orbits on V^* of lengths divisible by 3^2 , it follows that $S \leq G_0$ by Lemma 2.1. Therefore either $G_0 \leq N_M(S) = S : D_8$ or $Z_3.A_6 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq Z_3.S_6$. Assume that the former occurs. Note that $N_M(S)$ splits V^* in one orbit of length 27

and two orbits of length 18. The first one is also a G_0 -orbit as $S \leq G_0$, each of the remaining two $N_M(S)$ -orbits is a union of G_0 -orbits. Let B be any block incident with 0. Since $r = 9\lambda$ with $\lambda = 2, 4, 8$, and since the intersection of B with any of G_0 -orbit of length different from 27 is at most 2 by Lemma 2.1, it follows that there is $\sigma \in G_0$, with $o(\sigma) = 3$, such that $|\text{Fix}(\sigma) \cap B| = 4$. Actually, $\text{Fix}(\sigma) \subset B$. Since $S \leq G_0$, $r = 9\lambda$ with $\lambda = 2, 4, 8$, and the order of S is 27, it follows that $\langle \sigma \rangle \trianglelefteq G_{0,B}$, and hence $G_{0,B}$ preserves $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$. Therefore, B is a 3-dimensional subspace of V by Corollary 2.4. However this is impossible by [33, Theorem 3.3.1]. Thus $Z_3.A_6 \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq Z_3.S_6$, hence G_0 splits V^* into two orbits of length 27 and 36 by [56], and if x_1 and x_2 are representatives of these orbits and B is any block incident with 0, it follows that $|B \cap x_1^{G_0}| = 3$ and $|B \cap x_2^{G_0}| = 4$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus $(G_{0,B})'$ fixes B pointwise, since $A_5 \trianglelefteq G_{0,B} \leq S_5$ by [56], hence $(G_{0,B})' \leq G_{0,y_i}$ for $y_i \in B \cap x_i^G$, $i = 1, 2$, which is not the case.

Suppose that $i = 8$. Then $M \cong Sp_6(2)$. The argument used in the proof of Lemma 5.4 rules out the case $G_0 = M$. Then G_0 lies in a maximal subgroup K of $Sp_6(2)$, hence K is one of the groups listed in [17, Tables 8.28–8.29]. Actually, either $K \cong \Gamma L_2(8)$ or $K \cong SO_6^\pm(2)$ or $K \cong G_2(2)$ since G_0 acts irreducibly on V .

If $K \cong \Gamma L_2(8)$, then the above argument leads to $D_{18} \trianglelefteq G_0 \leq D_{18}.Z_3$ and to a $2-(2^6, 2^3, 2)$ design isomorphic to that of Line 1 in Table 1. Hence (1) holds in this case.

Assume that $K \cong SO_6^+(2)$. Then the K -orbits on V^* are union of G_0 -orbits. Since each G_0 -orbit is of length divisible 3^2 by Lemma 2.1, it follows that each K -orbit on V^* is divisible by 3^2 . However this is impossible, since the K -orbits have length 35 and 28 by [21]. So, this case is ruled out.

Assume that $K \cong SO_6^-(2)$. Since G_0 is irreducible on V , it follows that $\Omega_6^-(2) \trianglelefteq G_0$ by [17, Tables 8.33–8.34]. However, the argument used in the proof of Lemma 5.8 excludes this case as well.

Assume that $K \cong G_2(2)$. Then either $G_0 \leq N_K(U) = S : SD_{16}$, where U is a Sylow 3-subgroup of K , or $G_0 = K' \cong PSU_3(3)$ or $G_0 = K$ by [21]. In the first two cases \mathcal{D} is isomorphic to one of the 2-designs listed in Table 1 again by using GAP [64], which correspond to case (1), (12) and (13). If $G_0 = K$ we may proceed as in Proposition 3.5 thus obtaining that \mathcal{D} isomorphic to the $2-(2^6, 2^3, 2^3)$ design in Line 5 of Table 1, which corresponds to (10) for $q = 2$. This completes the proof \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, M. Biliotti, A. Daneshkhah, E. Francot, H. Guan, A. Montinaro, F. Mouseli, P. Rizzo, D. Tian, Y. Wang, X. Zhan, Y. Zhang, S. Zhou, Y. Zhu, Block designs with flag-transitive automorphism groups. submitted **1**
- [2] S. H. Alavi, Flag-Transitive Block Designs and Finite Simple Exceptional Groups of Lie Type, Graphs and Combinatorics **36** (2020) 1001–1014. **1**
- [3] S. H. Alavi, A note on two families of 2-designs arose from Suzuki-Tits ovoid, Algebra and Discrete Math. Accepted. **1**
- [4] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, A. Daneshkhah, Symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point-primitive automorphism groups associated to two dimensional projective special groups, Designs, Codes and Cryptography **79** (2016) 337–351. **1**
- [5] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, and A. Daneshkhah. Flag-transitive block designs and unitary groups. Monatshefte fur Mathematik, **193** (2020) 535–553. **1**
- [6] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, and A. Daneshkhah. Correction to: Flag-transitive block designs and unitary groups. Monatshefte fur Mathematik, **195** (2021) 371–376. **1**

- [7] S. H. Alavi, A. Daneshkhah, and F. Mouseli. A classification of flag-transitive block designs. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **55** (2022) 729–779.
- [8] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, and A. Daneshkhah, Finite exceptional groups of Lie type and symmetric designs. Submitted arxiv:1702.01257. [1](#)
- [9] J. André, Über Parallelstrukturen. II. Translationsstrukturen. *Math. Z.* **76** (1961) 155–163.
- [10] M. Aschbacher, Finite group theory (Second edition). Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 10. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. [20](#), [36](#), [39](#)
- [11] J. Bamberg, T. Penttila, Overgroups of Cyclic Sylow Subgroups of Linear Groups, *Comm. Algebra* **36** (2008) 2503–2543. [2](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [14](#), [17](#)
- [12] O. E. Barriga, R. Pomareda, The uniqueness of Hering’s translation plane of order 27, *Geom. Dedicata* **19** (1985) 237–245. [16](#)
- [13] S. Barwick, G. Ebert, Unitals in Projective Planes, Springer-Verlag New York 2008. [15](#)
- [14] G.W. Bell, Cohomology of degree 1 and 2 of the Suzuki groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **75** (1978) 319–329. [20](#)
- [15] M. Biliotti, A. Montinaro, On flag-transitive symmetric designs of affine type. *J. Combin. Des.* **25** (2017) 85–97. [1](#)
- [16] M. Biliotti, A. Montinaro, P. Rizzo, 2 - (v, k, λ) designs, with $(r, \lambda) = 1$, admitting a solvable flag-transitive automorphism group of affine type. *J. Combin. Des.* **27** (2019) 784–800. [1](#)
- [17] J. Bray, D. F. Holt, C. M. Roney-Dougall, The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups. With a foreword by Martin Liebeck. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 407. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [14](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [22](#), [24](#), [28](#), [29](#), [31](#), [32](#), [33](#), [34](#), [35](#), [36](#), [37](#), [38](#), [39](#), [40](#), [41](#), [42](#)
- [18] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, Finite linear spaces with flag-transitive sporadic groups, Unpublished note. [1](#)
- [19] F. Buekenhout, A. Delandtsheer, J. Doyen, P. B. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, and J. Saxl, Linear Spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **36** (1990) 89–94. [1](#)
- [20] B. N. Cooperstein, Maximal Subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$, *J. Algebra* **70** (1981) 23–26. [5](#), [18](#)
- [21] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, An Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985. [6](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [15](#), [17](#), [18](#), [28](#), [36](#), [41](#), [42](#)
- [22] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type. I, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sei. Publ. Math.* **45** (1975) 169–191. [36](#), [39](#)
- [23] D. Davies, Automorphisms of designs, Phd thesis, University of East Anglia (1987). [1](#)
- [24] A. Delandtsheer, Flag-transitive finite simple groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **47** (1986) 395–400. [1](#)
- [25] A. Delandtsheer, Finite Flag-transitive Linear Spaces with Alternating Socle, pp. 79–88 in Algebraic combinatorics and Applications, Eds. A. Betten, A Kohnert, R. Laue, A. Wassermann, Proc.of the Euroconference ALCOMA, held in Gößwienstein, Germany, Springer, 1999. [1](#)
- [26] P. Dembowski, Finite Geometries, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968. [3](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [27] A. Deviller, H. Liang, C. E. Praeger, B. Xia, On Galg-transitive 2 - $(v, k, 2)$ designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **177** (2021) 105309. [1](#), [2](#)
- [28] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups. Springer Verlag, New York (1966).
- [29] R. H. Dye, Spreads and Classes of Maximal Subgroups of $GL_n(q)$, $SL_n(q)$, $PGL_n(q)$ and $PSL_n(q)$, *Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata* **68** (1991) 33–50. [28](#)
- [30] D. A. Foulser, The Flag Transitive Collineation Groups of the Finite Desarguesian Affine Planes, *Can. J. Math.* **16** (1964) 443–472. [29](#)
- [31] D. A. Foulser, Solvable flag transitive affine groups, *Math. Zeitschr* **86** (1964) 191–204. [6](#), [28](#), [29](#)
- [32] D. A. Foulser, M. J. Kallaher, Solvable, Flag-Transitive, Rank 3 Collineation Groups, *Geom. Dedicata* **7** (1978) 111–130. [8](#)
- [33] D. Gorenstein, Finite Groups, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1980. [17](#), [42](#)
- [34] C. Hering, Transitive Linear Groups which contain irreducible subgroups of prime order, *Geom. Dedicata* **2** (1974) 425–460. [12](#), [14](#)
- [35] J. W. P. Hirshfeld, Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 1985. [33](#)
- [36] D. R. Hughes, F.C. Piper, Projective Planes. Springer, New York, Berlin (1973).

- [37] B. Huppert, Endliche gruppen I, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1967. [31](#), [35](#)
- [38] N. L. Johnson, Combinatorics of spreads and parallelisms. In: Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 295. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2010).
- [39] W. M. Kantor, R. A. Liebler, The Rank 3 Permutation Representation of the Finite Classical Groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982) 1–71. [36](#), [39](#)
- [40] P. B. Kleidman, The finite flag-transitive linear spaces with an exceptional automorphism group, 461 in: Finite geometries and combinatorial designs (Lincoln, NE, 1987), vol. 111 of Contemp. Math., 462 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 117–136. [1](#)
- [41] P. Kleidman, M. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 129. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. [2](#), [10](#), [11](#), [30](#), [31](#), [33](#), [34](#), [37](#), [38](#), [40](#)
- [42] M. W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, Proc. London Math. Soc. **54** (1987) 477–516. [13](#), [16](#)
- [43] M. W. Liebeck, The classification of finite linear spaces with flag-transitive automorphism groups of affine type, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **84** (1998) 196–235. [1](#), [8](#)
- [44] H. Lüneburg, Translation Planes, Springer, Berlin, 1980. [4](#), [5](#), [9](#), [10](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#), [23](#), [28](#), [29](#), [33](#), [34](#)
- [45] A. Montinaro, M. Biliotti, E. Francot, Classification of $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$ and $\lambda > 1$, admitting a non-solvable flag-transitive automorphism group of affine type, J. Algebr. Comb. **55** (2022) 853–889. [1](#)
- [46] A. Montinaro, E. Francot, On Flag-transitive $2 - (k^2, k, \lambda)$ designs with $\lambda \mid k$. ArXiv: 2203.09254 [1](#), [6](#)
- [47] A. Montinaro, Classification of the $2 - (k^2, k, \lambda)$ design, with $\lambda \mid k$, admitting a flag-transitive automorphism group G of almost simple type. [2](#), [6](#)
- [48] A. Montinaro, Flag-transitive, point-imprimitive symmetric $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ designs with $k > \lambda(\lambda - 3)/2$. ArXiv: 2203.09261 [1](#)
- [49] B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. **41** (1980) 1–20. [14](#), [15](#)
- [50] D. Passman, Permutation groups. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1968. [9](#), [17](#), [24](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [51] C. Praeger, S. Zhou, Imprimitive flag-transitive symmetric designs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, **113** (2006) 1381–1395. [1](#)
- [52] J. Saxl. On nite linear spaces with almost simple flag-transitive automorphism groups. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **100** (2002) 322–348. [1](#)
- [53] M. Suzuki, On a class of Doubly transitive groups. Ann. Math. **75** (1962) 105–145. [19](#)
- [54] D. Tian, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ symmetric designs with sporadic socle, Journal of Combinatorial Designs **23** (2015) 140–150. [1](#)
- [55] A. Wagner, On finite affine line transitive planes, Math. Z. **87** (1965) 1–11. [8](#)
- [56] R. Wilson, P. Walsh, J. Tripp, I. Suleiman, R. Parker, S. Norton, S. Nickerson, S. Linton, J. Bray, R. Abbott, An Atlas of Finite Groups Representation- Version 3, available at <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3/> [11](#), [12](#), [14](#), [15](#), [29](#), [42](#)
- [57] X. Zhan, S. Ding, Comments on “Flag-transitive block designs and unitary groups”, Monatshefte für Mathematik **195** (2021) 177–180. [1](#)
- [58] X. Zhan, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive non-symmetric 2-designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$ and sporadic socle, Des. Codes Cryptography **81** (2016) 481–487. [1](#)
- [59] X. Zhan, S. Zhou, Non-symmetric 2-designs admitting a two-dimensional projective linear group, Designs, Codes and Cryptography **86** (2018) 2765–2773. [1](#)
- [60] Y. Zhang, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive non-symmetric 2-designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$ and Exceptional Groups of Lie type, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **27** (2020) P2.9. [1](#)
- [61] S. Zhou, Y. Wang, Flag-transitive non-symmetric 2-designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$ and alternating socle, Electron. J. Combin. **22** (2015) Paper 2.6, 15. [1](#)
- [62] Y. Zhu, H. Guan, S. Zhou, Flag-transitive $2 - (v, k, \lambda)$ symmetric designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$ and alternating socle, Frontiers of Mathematics in China **10** (2015) 1483–1496. [1](#)
- [63] P. H. Zieschang, Flag transitive automorphism groups of 2-designs with $(r, \lambda) = 1$, Journal of Algebra **118** (1988) 369–375. [1](#)

[64] The GAP Group, GAP "Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0, 2020, available at <http://www.gap.system.org>

5, 6, 13, 41, 42

ALESSANDRO MONTINARO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA "E. DE GIORGI", UNIVERSITY OF SALENTO, LECCE, ITALY

Email address: `alessandro.montinaro@unisalento.it`