

## FOURIER TRANSFORMS AND INTEGER HOMOLOGY COBORDISM

MIKE MILLER EISMEIER

ABSTRACT. We prove that connected sums of lens spaces are **integer** homology cobordant if and only if they are oriented diffeomorphic. The main tool is the *Fourier transform* of the  $d$ -invariants, which is particularly well-behaved with respect to connected sum — the  $d$ -invariants of lens spaces have a certain non-vanishing property which allows one to canonically extract them from the  $d$ -invariants of the connected sum.

On the way, we show how the Fourier transform idea can be used to reprove a lemma of González-Acuña–Short on Alexander polynomials of knots with reducible surgeries.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Weighted torsors and Fourier transforms	3
2.1. Weighted torsors	3
2.2. Fourier transforms and weighted duals	4
2.3. Direct sums of weighted torsors	6
2.4. Nonvanishing properties and recovering invariants of summands	6
3. A lemma of González-Acuña–Short	9
4. $d$ -invariants of 3-manifolds	9
5. Questions	12
References	13

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The relation of homology cobordism between 3-manifolds has a long and interesting history. Fix a ring  $R$ . Let  $Y$  be closed oriented 3-manifolds, and suppose  $W$  is a compact oriented 4-manifold whose boundary  $\partial W$  is oriented diffeomorphic to  $Y' - Y$ . If both maps

$$\begin{aligned} i_* &: H_*(Y; R) \rightarrow H_*(W; R) \\ i'_* &: H_*(Y'; R) \rightarrow H_*(W; R) \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms, we say that  $W$  is an  $R$ -homology cobordism and that  $Y$  and  $Y'$  are  $R$ -homology cobordant.

This relation is most well-studied when  $H_*(Y; R) \cong H_*(S^3; R)$ , in which case  $Y$  is called an  $R$ -homology sphere. The set of  $R$ -homology spheres modulo  $R$ -homology cobordism form a group  $\Theta_R^3$  called the ‘ $R$ -homology cobordism group’. The group operation is connected sum, the neutral element is  $[S^3]$ , and the inverse of  $[Y]$  is  $[-Y]$ .

If one instead considers the set of all 3-manifolds modulo  $R$ -homology cobordism, the resulting object is a *monoid*, which we denote by  $\widehat{\Theta}_R^3$ ; the  $R$ -homology cobordism group

is the sub-monoid of invertible elements.<sup>1</sup> Some things are known about this monoid; for instance, every equivalence class contains an irreducible 3-manifold [Liv81] or better yet a hyperbolic 3-manifold [Mye83]. In another direction, there are obstructions to finding a Seifert-fibered manifold in a given equivalence class [CT14] or more generally to finding a graph manifold whose graph is a tree in a given equivalence class [DH17].<sup>2</sup>

In this note, we will investigate integer homology cobordism between a class of 3-manifolds which are not integer homology spheres. In what follows, we suppress the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  from notation, and write integer cohomology groups as  $H^*(Y)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $L$  and  $L'$  are connected sums of lens spaces. If  $L$  and  $L'$  are integer homology cobordant by a cobordism  $W$ , then  $L$  is oriented diffeomorphic to  $L'$ , and the induced map  $W_* : H_1(L) \rightarrow H_1(L')$  respects the natural direct sum decompositions.*

*Further, if  $Y$  is any closed, oriented 3-manifold and  $L \# Y$  is integer homology cobordant to  $L' \# Y$ , then  $L$  is oriented diffeomorphic to  $L'$ .*

It was already known that the homology cobordism classification of lens spaces coincides with the oriented diffeomorphism classification; for lens spaces of odd order this goes back to [FS87], and proofs based on the Heegaard Floer  $d$ -invariant appear in [Gre13, DW15].

The theorem above strengthens this to connected sums, and thus establishes that lens spaces up to oriented diffeomorphism form a linearly independent subset of the monoid  $\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}^3$ . Note that it is not even a priori obvious that  $L$  and  $L'$  must have the same number of connected summands. This should be contrasted with Lisca's more intricate classification [Lis07] of the *rational* homology cobordism behavior of connected sums of lens spaces.

The proof of this theorem is presented in Section 4. The key point is that — provided a certain non-vanishing property holds — one can recover (a reduced version of) the  $d$ -invariants of a connected summand from those of a connected sum.

To recover the  $d$ -invariants of the summands, we find it useful to pass to the *Fourier transform*. Given a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a finite abelian group, its Fourier transform is instead a function on the *dual group*  $A^\vee = \text{Hom}(A, S^1)$ , defined by  $\widehat{f}(\phi) = \sum_{a \in A} f(a) \overline{\phi(a)}$ . Here  $A$  will be  $H^2(Y) \cong H_1(Y)$ , and we will pick a base  $\text{spin}^c$  structure to consider the  $d$ -invariants a function on  $H_1(Y)$ .

The use of Fourier transforms is well-established in the theory of Reidemeister torsion: analytic interpretations of the Reidemeister torsion (eg [RS71, Fri87]) interpret the torsion as a function of oriented flat bundles, and hence take as input a representation  $\phi : H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow S^1$ . In addition, it is profitable to rephrase the surgery relation in terms of Fourier transforms; Nicolaescu uses this to compare to Seiberg–Witten theory in [Nic04]. See also the discussion peppered throughout [Nic03].

Here we use a simple property of Fourier transforms. Given two groups  $A, A'$  and functions  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f' : A' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the *direct sum* has  $(f \oplus f')(a, a') = f(a) + f'(a')$  on  $A \times A'$  has an especially simple Fourier transform, so simple that one can effectively read off the values of  $\widehat{f}(\phi)$  and  $\widehat{f}'(\psi)$  from the knowledge of  $\widehat{f \oplus f'}$  whenever  $\phi$  or  $\psi$  are non-trivial homomorphisms; see Proposition 4 for a precise statement.

<sup>1</sup>If  $H_1(Y; R)$  is nonzero, then  $Y$  is not invertible in  $\widehat{\Theta}_R^3$ ; the purported inverse  $Y'$  should support an  $R$ -homology cobordism between  $Y \# Y'$  and  $S^3$ , but  $|H_1(Y \# Y'; R)| \geq H_1(Y; R) > 1 = |H_1(S^3; R)|$ .

<sup>2</sup>Though see [Dav17] for some errata and [Suc22, Proposition 9.2] for a simplified argument.

Applying this purely algebraic observation to  $d$ -invariants, one can recover (a reduced version of) the  $d$ -invariants of summands from those of a connected sum; provided they satisfy a certain non-vanishing property, this recovery process is well-defined up to automorphism of  $H^2(Y) \times H^2(Y')$ , and thus preserved by integer homology cobordisms. Because the  $d$ -invariants satisfy this nonvanishing property, and these reduced  $d$ -invariants — equivalent to Reidemeister torsion for  $L$ -spaces — classify lens spaces up to oriented diffeomorphism, the main theorem follows.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 1 exhibits a stronger claim: there exist homomorphisms  $c_{p,q} : \widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with  $c_{p,q}(L(p, q)) = 1$  and  $c_{p,q}(L(r, s)) = 0$  unless  $L(r, s)$  is oriented diffeomorphic to  $L(p, q)$ ; the purely algebraic part of this claim is the content of Corollary 7 in Section 2, while the relevant computation for lens spaces is given in Proposition 13 in Section 4.

If one considers the *Grothendieck group*  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}^3)$ , the group whose elements are pairs  $([Y], [Z])$  with  $([Y], [Z]) = ([Y'], [Z'])$  if there is an integer homology cobordism  $Y \# Z' \sim Y' \# Z$ , the main theorem shows that lens spaces (up to oriented diffeomorphism) span a  $\mathbb{Z}^{\infty}$  summand of the Grothendieck group.

As an aside, the perspective of Fourier transforms appears useful whenever one has an invariant which is additive in the sense above, such as both  $d$ -invariants and Reidemeister torsion. To demonstrate this, in Section 3 we reprove [GAnS86, Theorem 2.2], which shows that if  $K$  is a knot with reducible surgery  $S_n(K) \cong Y \# Y'$  with  $H_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/p$  and  $H_1(Y') = \mathbb{Z}/q$ , the Alexander polynomial of  $K$  is divisible by that of the  $(p, q)$  torus knot:  $\Delta(T_{p,q}) \mid \Delta(K)$ . We hope that Fourier transforms can be a useful organizing tool in other contexts as well.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Danny Ruberman for a useful discussion during the preparation of this note, as well as Tye Lidman for comments on an early draft and suggesting that one might reprove [GAnS86, Theorem 2.2] using the Fourier transform technique. The basic algebraic observation used here came about during conversations with Aiden Sagerman on a related question about products of punctured lens spaces.

## 2. WEIGHTED TORSORS AND FOURIER TRANSFORMS

In this section we cover the purely algebraic aspects of the main result: the context of weighted torsors, the definition of the Fourier transform, and the process of recovering summands of the direct sum of weighted torsors using Fourier transforms.

**2.1. Weighted torsors.** Here we rapidly define the relevant algebraic objects. Though the initial discussion about torsors is valid for any group, the relevant groups for us will be abelian, so we use additive notation.

**Definition 1.** A *torsor* is a pair  $(A, S)$ , where  $A$  is a group and  $S$  carries a free and transitive action by  $A$ .

A choice of element  $s \in S$  gives rise to a bijection  $m_s : A \cong S$ , given by sending  $a \mapsto s + a$ ; for a different choice of element  $s' \in S$  with  $s' = s + a_0$ , the bijections differ by  $(m_s^{-1}m_{s'})(a) = a_0 + a$ .

If one likes, one may think of a torsor as a group where one has forgotten which element is the identity, or as  $A$  ‘modulo translation’.

**Definition 2.** An *isomorphism of torsors* is a pair  $(f, g) : (A, S) \rightarrow (A', S')$ , where  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  is a group isomorphism and  $g : S \rightarrow S'$  is a function satisfying

$$g(s + a) = g(s) + f(a).$$

By transitivity of the group actions,  $g$  is necessarily a bijection. If one chooses basepoints  $s \in S$  and  $s' \in S'$ , and we have  $g(s) = s' + a'$ , then the map  $m_{s'}^{-1}gm_s : A \rightarrow A$  given by

$$(m_{s'}^{-1}gm_s)(a) = m_{s'}^{-1}g(s + a) = m_{s'}^{-1}(g(s) + f(a)) = m_{s'}^{-1}(s' + a' + f(a)) = a' + f(a).$$

Thinking of  $S$  as a group where we've forgotten the identity element (or as a sort of affine space), one should imagine  $g$  to be an affine function whose 'linear part' is the homomorphism  $f$ ; indeed, one can recover  $f$  from  $g$ .

*Remark 1.* The group of automorphisms of  $(A, S)$  is a group sometimes called the *holomorph* of  $A$ , and can be understood as the group of affine automorphisms of  $A$ .

**Definition 3.** A *weighted torsor* is a torsor  $(A, S)$  equipped with a function  $d : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . If  $S = A$ , we call  $(A, d)$  a *weighted group*.

If one chooses a basepoint  $s \in S$ , we write  $d_s : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for the function  $d_s(a) = (dm_s)(a) = d(s + a)$ . For a different choice of basepoint  $s' = s + a_0$ , we have  $d_{s'}(a) = d_s(a + a_0)$ . One may think of weighted torsors as functions on a group 'modulo translation', as above. We will freely pass back and forth between discussing weighted torsors and discussing functions on  $A$  (where we consider two equivalent if they differ by a translation as above).

**Definition 4.** An *isomorphism of weighted torsors*  $(A, S, d) \rightarrow (A', S', d')$  is a torsor isomorphism  $(f, g) : (A, S) \rightarrow (A', S')$  which has  $d'(g(s)) = d(s)$ .

If one prefers to think entirely in terms of the group  $A$  (having chosen an arbitrary basepoint), a weighted torsor is a function  $d : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  up to replacing  $d$  with  $d_b(a) = d(a + b)$  for some  $b \in A$ , and an isomorphism of weighted torsors  $(A, d) \cong (A', d')$  amounts to an *affine* isomorphism  $f + a' : A \rightarrow A'$  which has

$$d'(f(a) + a') = d(a).$$

**2.2. Fourier transforms and weighted duals.** Given an abelian group  $A$ , its *Pontryagin dual* is the group  $A^\vee = \text{Hom}(A, S^1)$ .

**Convention.** For the rest of this note, abelian groups  $A$  and torsors  $(A, S)$  are assumed to be **finite**. This is true in all cases of interest to us, and simplifies discussions of Fourier transforms.

Given a function  $d : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , we can take its *Fourier transform*  $\widehat{d} : A^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined as

$$\widehat{d}(\phi) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a) \overline{\phi(a)}.$$

If  $d' : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $d'(a) = d(a + a')$ , we have

$$\widehat{d}'(\phi) = \sum_{a \in A} d'(a) \overline{\phi(a)} = \sum_{a \in A} d'(a + a') \overline{\phi(a + a')} = \sum_{a \in A} d(a) \overline{\phi(a) \phi(a')} = \widehat{d}(\phi) \overline{\phi(a')}.$$

This computation inspires the following definition, which we phrase intrinsically on the dual  $B = A^\vee$ ; the statement below implicitly uses the isomorphism  $(A^\vee)^\vee \cong A$  for finite  $A$ .

The terminology follows [Nic03, Definition 3.22] (though notice that Nicolaescu allows for a sign ambiguity, and we do not).

**Definition 5.** If  $B$  is an abelian group equipped with weights  $\widehat{d}$  and  $\widehat{d}'$ , and there exists some  $\psi \in B^\vee$  so that  $\widehat{d}'(b) = \widehat{d}(b)\psi(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ , we say that  $d$  and  $d'$  are  *$t$ -equivalent*. We say that weighted groups  $(B, \widehat{d})$  and  $(B', \widehat{d}')$  are  *$t$ -isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  and element  $\phi \in B^\vee$  so that

$$\widehat{d}'(f(b)) = \widehat{d}(b)\phi(b)$$

for all  $b \in B$ .

The discussion above shows that given a weighted torsor  $(A, S, d)$ , choosing a basepoint  $s \in S$  and taking the Fourier transform of  $d_s$  gives us a weighted group  $(A^\vee, \widehat{d}_s)$ , well-defined up to  $t$ -equivalence. Furthermore, it is clear that isomorphic weighted torsors give rise to  $t$ -isomorphic weighted groups.

Notice that  $\widehat{d}(1) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)$ , and if  $\widehat{d}$  is  $t$ -isomorphic to  $\widehat{d}'$ , then  $\widehat{d}(1) = \widehat{d}'(1)$ . Later, this term will cause us some minor irritation, so we do what we can to remove it.

**Definition 6.** A weighted torsor is *reduced* if  $\sum_{s \in S} d(s) = 0$ ; equivalently,  $\widehat{d}(1) = 0$ . Given any weighted torsor  $(A, S, d)$ , its *reduced part* is given by  $(A, S, d^r)$ , where

$$d^r(s) = d(s) - \frac{1}{|A|} \sum_{s' \in S} d(s');$$

that is, we subtract off the average value.

It is clear that  $d^r$  is reduced. What's more, reduction doesn't change the value of the Fourier transform at any  $\phi \neq 1$ . To see this, we need a small useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.** If  $A$  is a finite abelian group and  $\phi : A \rightarrow S^1$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$\sum_{a \in A} \phi(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \phi \neq 1 \\ |A| & \phi = 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\phi$  is trivial this is obvious. For  $\phi$  nontrivial, write  $\zeta$  for a generator of  $\phi(A)$  so that  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity for some  $m > 1$ . We have

$$\sum_{a \in A} \phi(a) = \frac{|A|}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta^k.$$

But  $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \zeta^k = \frac{1-\zeta^m}{1-\zeta} = 0$  for  $\zeta \neq 1$  a non-trivial  $m$ th root of unity.  $\square$

It follows that if two weighted torsors have  $d'(a) = d(a) + c$ , for all  $a \in A$  and some constant  $c$ , we have

$$\widehat{d}'(\phi) = \sum_{a \in A} d(a)\overline{\phi(a)} + \sum_{a \in A} c\overline{\phi(a)} = \begin{cases} \widehat{d}(1) + |A|c & \phi = 1 \\ \widehat{d}(\phi) & \phi \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 3.** If  $(A, S, d)$  is a weighted torsor, its reduced part  $(A, S, d^r)$  satisfies

$$\widehat{d}^r(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \phi = 1 \\ \widehat{d}(\phi) & \phi \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

**2.3. Direct sums of weighted torsors.** Given two weighted torsors  $(A, S, d)$  and  $(A', S', d')$  we say their **direct sum** is the weighted torsor  $(A \times A', S \times S', d \oplus d')$ , where

$$(d \oplus d')(s, s') = d(s) + d(s').$$

Notice that the  $(A \times A')^\vee$  is naturally isomorphic to  $A^\vee \times (A')^\vee$ ; if  $\phi : A \rightarrow S^1$  and  $\psi : A' \rightarrow S^1$  are homomorphisms, these give rise to the homomorphism  $\phi\psi : A \times A' \rightarrow S^1$  by pointwise multiplication:  $(\phi\psi)(a, a') = \phi(a)\psi(a')$ .

The observation which motivated the present note is the following calculation of the Fourier transform of a direct sum of weighted torsors.

**Proposition 4.** *If  $d \oplus d' : A \times A' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is the direct sum of two reduced weighted groups, the Fourier transform satisfies*

$$\widehat{(d \oplus d')}(\phi\psi) = \begin{cases} |A'| \widehat{d}(\phi) & \phi \neq 1 \text{ and } \psi = 1 \\ |A| \widehat{d}'(\psi) & \phi = 1 \text{ and } \psi \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(d \oplus d')}(\phi\psi) &= \sum_{a, a'} (d \oplus d')(a, a') \overline{\phi\psi(a, a')} \\ &= \sum_{a, a'} (d(a) + d'(a')) \overline{\phi(a)\psi(a')} \\ &= \left( \sum_{a, a'} d(a) \overline{\phi(a)\psi(a')} \right) + \left( \sum_{a, a'} d'(a') \overline{\psi(a')\phi(a)} \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_a d(a) \overline{\phi(a)} \right) \left( \sum_{a'} \overline{\psi(a')} \right) + \left( \sum_{a'} d'(a') \overline{\psi(a')} \right) \left( \sum_a \overline{\phi(a)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, the first term vanishes when  $\psi \neq 1$  and is  $|A'| \widehat{d}(\phi)$  when  $\psi = 1$ , while the second term vanishes when  $\phi \neq 1$  and is  $|A| \widehat{d}'(\psi)$  when  $\phi = 1$ . This gives the stated claim in all cases except  $\phi, \psi = 1$ ; in that case, it gives  $|A'| \widehat{d}(1) + |A| \widehat{d}'(1)$ , which is zero by the assumption that  $d, d'$  are reduced.  $\square$

In particular, for **nontrivial**  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , one can read off the values of  $\widehat{d}(\phi)$  and  $\widehat{d}'(\psi)$  from the Fourier transform of the connected sum (provided one knows the cardinalities of the factors; as we will see momentarily, this is not an issue). In the general case, the fact that  $\widehat{d}(1)$  and  $\widehat{d}'(1)$  are combined in  $\widehat{(d \oplus d')}(1)$  means that we cannot recover this information from the Fourier transform of the direct sum. This is why we restrict attention to reduced weighted torsors.

**2.4. Nonvanishing properties and recovering invariants of summands.** We will now be more precise about this process of recovering the summands of a direct sum of weighted torsors in a way which is well-defined up to isomorphism. To do so, we must make some further assumptions.

**Definition 7.** *A weighted group  $(B, \widehat{d})$  has the **nonvanishing property** if  $\widehat{d}(b) \neq 0$  for all **nontrivial** elements  $b \in B$ .*

Notice that this property is well-defined up to  $t$ -isomorphism, because if  $(B, \widehat{d})$ , and  $(B', \widehat{d}')$  are  $t$ -isomorphic, we have an isomorphism  $f : B \rightarrow B'$ , an element  $\phi \in B^\vee$ , and an equality  $\widehat{d}'(f(b)) = \widehat{d}(b)\phi(b)$ . Because  $b$  is non-trivial if and only if  $f(b)$  is, and  $\phi(b) \in S^1$  is nonzero,  $\widehat{d}'$  has the nonvanishing property if and only if  $\widehat{d}$  does.

**Definition 8.** *If  $(B, \widehat{d})$  is a weighted group, a **special subgroup** is a **non-trivial** subgroup  $C \subset B$  so that  $\widehat{d}(c) \neq 0$  for all non-trivial  $c \in C$ . A **maximal special subgroup** is a special subgroup maximal among special subgroups.*

Notice that special subgroups are well-defined up to  $t$ -equivalence of weights, and that  $t$ -isomorphism preserves maximal special subgroups: if  $f : (B, \widehat{d}) \rightarrow (B', \widehat{d}')$  is a  $t$ -isomorphism and  $C \subset B$  is a special subgroup, then  $f(C)$  is too, and vice versa. Further, a  $t$ -isomorphism maps  $(C, \widehat{d}|_C)$   $t$ -isomorphically onto  $(f(C), \widehat{d}'|_{f(C)})$ . In particular, the maximal special subgroups (considered as weighted groups up to  $t$ -isomorphism) are  $t$ -isomorphism invariants of  $(B, \widehat{d})$ .

It immediately follows from this that isomorphisms between direct sums of weighted torsors with the nonvanishing property are rather constrained.

**Corollary 5.** *Suppose  $(A_1, d_1), \dots, (A_n, d_n)$  are a collection of weighted groups with the nonvanishing property, and similarly with  $(A'_j, d'_j)$ . Write  $(A, d) = \bigoplus (A_i, d_i)$  and similarly for  $(A', d')$ . If there is an affine isomorphism of weighted groups  $\varphi + a' : (A, d) \cong (A', d')$ , then  $n = m$  and the map  $\varphi$  preserves the direct sum decompositions.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4, the maximal special subgroups of  $A^\vee = A_1^\vee \oplus \dots \oplus A_n^\vee$  are precisely the coordinate axes  $A_i^\vee$  (where all coordinates but the  $i$ 'th are nonzero). Comparing cardinalities of  $MS(A, d)$  and  $MS(A', d')$ , we see that  $m = n$ . Because  $\varphi^\vee$  maps maximal special subgroups to maximal special subgroups, we see that it respects the natural splitting on the dual, so that  $\varphi$  preserves the splittings on  $A$  and  $A'$ .  $\square$

We will use this idea to construct the homomorphisms  $\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  from the introduction, by counting maximal special subgroups of a particular form. First, we'll develop the relevant algebraic context.

**Definition 9.** *Given a weighted group  $(B, \widehat{d})$ , we associate the multiset*

$$MS(B, \widehat{d}) = \left\{ \left[ C, \frac{|C|}{|B|} \widehat{d}|_C \right] \mid C \subset B \text{ is a maximal special subgroup} \right\}$$

*of special subgroups of  $B$  equipped with the restriction of  $\widehat{d}$ , considered up to  $t$ -isomorphism.*

Recall here that a multiset  $M$  is a set (by an abuse of notation written with the same name  $M$ ) where each element  $x \in M$  is equipped with a weight  $w_M(x) \geq 1$  labeling how many times it occurs in the multiset.

Notice that if  $(B, \widehat{d})$  is  $t$ -isomorphic to  $(B', \widehat{d}')$ , then the multisets  $MS(B, \widehat{d})$  and  $MS(B', \widehat{d}')$  are isomorphic (that is, there is a weight-preserving bijection between them). As a result, if  $(A, S, d)$  is a weighted torsor, the multiset  $MS(A^\vee, \widehat{d}_s)$  is an invariant of  $(A, S, d)$ ; isomorphic weighted torsors give rise to isomorphic multisets. For convenience, we write  $MS(A, S, d)$  for  $MS(A^\vee, \widehat{d}_s)$  for some choice of  $s \in S$ .

If  $M, N$  are multisets, we write  $M \cup N$  for the multiset whose underlying set is the union of the underlying sets of  $M$  and  $N$ , and whose weight is  $w_{M \cup N}(x) = w_M(x) + w_N(x)$ . (Here we write  $w_N(x) = 0$  if  $x$  does not lie in  $N$ , and similarly with  $M$ .)

The crucial observation, almost immediate from Proposition 4, is that this multiset is additive.

**Proposition 6.** *If  $(A, S, d)$  and  $(A', S', d')$  are **reduced** weighted torsors, we have*

$$MS(A \times A', S \times S', d \oplus d') = MS(A, S, d) \cup MS(A', S', d').$$

*Proof.* For convenience, we write  $d^\oplus = (d \oplus d')$ , and  $\widehat{d}^\oplus$  for its Fourier transform.

As mentioned above, Proposition 4 implies that the maximal special subgroups of  $(A \times A')^\vee \cong A^\vee \times (A')^\vee$  are precisely the maximal special subgroups of  $A^\vee$  and  $(A')^\vee$ . This gives a bijective correspondence between maximal special subgroups of  $A^\vee \times (A')^\vee$  and subgroups which are maximal special subgroups of either  $A^\vee$  or  $(A')^\vee$ .

What remains is to compare the restriction of  $\widehat{d}$  to  $C$  with the restriction of  $\widehat{d}^\oplus$  to  $C$ . If  $C \subset A^\vee \subset A^\vee \times (A')^\vee$  is a maximal special subgroup of the latter, Definition 9 equips it with the weight

$$\frac{|C|}{|A||A'|} \widehat{d}^\oplus|_C = \frac{|C|}{|A||A'|} (|A'| \widehat{d}|_C) = \frac{|C|}{|A|} \widehat{d}|_C,$$

where the first equality is given by the formula from Proposition 4. The latter is the weight on  $C$  induced by Definition 9 when considered as a maximal special subgroup of  $A^\vee$ , so we have confirmed that these agree.  $\square$

We can now define monoid homomorphisms from the appropriate monoid to  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 10.** *We write  $\Theta_{\text{WT}}$  for the monoid whose elements are weighted torsors up to isomorphism, and whose product operation is direct sum.*

There is a corresponding monoid  $\Theta_{\text{RWT}}$  of reduced weighted torsors, and the map  $d \mapsto (d^r, \text{avg } d)$  defines a monoid isomorphism  $\Theta_{\text{WT}} \cong \Theta_{\text{RWT}} \times \mathbb{Q}$ .

Write  $\text{RWTN}$  for the set of reduced weighted torsors with the nonvanishing property, considered up to isomorphism; because these are considered up to isomorphism, we may think of these as weighted groups up to affine isomorphism and drop the torsor  $S$  from notation.

For each  $[A, d] \in \text{RWTN}$ , we define a map  $c_{A,d} : \Theta_{\text{WT}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with

$$c_{[A,d]}(B, T, f) = \# \text{ of occurrences of } [A^\vee, \widehat{d}] \text{ in } MS(B, T, f^r).$$

That is,  $c_{[A,d]}(B, T, f)$  is the weight  $w_{MS(B,T,f^r)}([A, d])$ .

**Corollary 7.** *The functions  $c_{[A,d]}$  are monoid homomorphisms. If  $(A', d')$  is another reduced weighted torsor with the nonvanishing property, we have*

$$c_{[A,d]}(A', d') = \begin{cases} 1 & (A, d) \text{ is isomorphic to } (A', d') \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* A maximal special subgroup is defined to be non-trivial, so for the trivial weighted torsor with underlying group 1 and zero weighting,  $MS(1, 0) = \emptyset$ ; so  $c_{[A,d]}(1, 0) = 0$ . Additivity follows immediately from Proposition 6 and the fact that taking the reduced part  $d \mapsto d^r$  commutes with direct sums. So  $c_{[A,d]}$  is a monoid homomorphism.

Because  $(A', d')$  has the nonvanishing property,  $MS(A, d) = \{[(A')^\vee, \widehat{d}']\}$ . If  $[A^\vee, \widehat{d}]$  appears in this singleton set, then in fact  $((A')^\vee, \widehat{d}')$  is  $t$ -isomorphic to  $(A^\vee, \widehat{d})$ , and hence  $(A, d) \cong (A', d')$ .  $\square$

It follows that the functions  $c$  assemble into a surjective monoid homomorphism  $c : \Theta_{\text{WT}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\text{RWTN}}$ , which behaves particularly well on reduced weighted torsors with the nonvanishing property: there is a map  $\mathbb{N}^{\text{RWTN}} \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{RWTN}}$  whose composition with  $c$  is the identity.

### 3. A LEMMA OF GONZÁLEZ-ACUÑA-SHORT

Before moving on to the main theorem, we use this opportunity to give an alternative proof of [GAnS86, Theorem 2.2], suggested to the author by Tye Lidman.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $K \subset \Sigma$  be a knot in an integer homology sphere with reducible surgery  $\Sigma_{n/m}(K) \cong Y_1 \# Y_2$ , where  $|H_1(Y_1)| = p > 1$  and  $|H_1(Y_2)| = q > 1$ . Then the polynomial  $\Delta_{p,q} = \frac{(t^{pq}-1)(t-1)}{(t^p-1)(t^q-1)}$  divides the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_K$ .*

*Proof.* The Alexander polynomial of  $(\Sigma, K)$  is related to the Fourier-transformed Turaev-Reidemeister torsion of the knot complement  $\Sigma \setminus K$  by the formula

$$\widehat{T}_{\Sigma \setminus K}(\zeta) \sim r \frac{\Delta_K(\zeta)}{1 - \zeta}$$

for some nonzero rational number  $r$ , where the relation is  $t$ -equivalence; this first appeared as [Mil62, Theorem 4]. We will use the surgery formula for Turaev-Reidemeister torsion as stated in [Nic03, Theorem 3.23]: if  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, then

$$\widehat{T}_{\Sigma_{n/m}(K)}(\zeta) = \frac{\widehat{T}_{\Sigma \setminus K}(\zeta)}{(1 - \zeta)^{-1}} = r \frac{\Delta_K(\zeta)}{(1 - \zeta^{-1})(1 - \zeta)}.$$

In particular, the zeroes of  $\widehat{T}$  are identified with the  $n$ 'th roots of unity  $\zeta$  for which  $\Delta_K(\zeta) = 0$ .

Here we use the canonical isomorphism  $H_1 \Sigma_{n/m}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ , sending a meridian of the knot in  $\Sigma \setminus K$  to 1 to identify  $H_1^\vee$  with the group of  $n$ 'th roots of unity.

Now under the isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/n \cong \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/q$  induced by the connected sum decomposition, the elements  $(i, j)$  with  $i, j$  nontrivial are identified with  $n$ th roots of unity which are neither  $p$ th nor  $q$ th roots of unity. Furthermore,  $T_{Y_1 \# Y_2}(i, j) = T_{Y_1}(i) + T_{Y_2}(j)$  for rational homology spheres  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  [Tur02, Theorem XII.1.2]. It follows from Proposition 4 that  $\widehat{T}_{Y_1 \# Y_2}(\zeta) = 0$  for any  $n$ 'th root of unity  $\zeta$  which is neither a  $p$ th nor  $q$ th root of unity. Thus  $\Delta_K(\zeta) = 0$  for all such roots of unity, so that  $\Delta_K$  is divisible by

$$\prod_{\substack{\zeta = e^{2\pi i k/n} \\ 0 \leq k < n \\ p \nmid k \text{ and } q \nmid k}} (t - \zeta) = \frac{(t^{pq} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)},$$

as claimed.  $\square$

### 4. $d$ -INVARIANTS OF 3-MANIFOLDS

If  $Y$  is a 3-manifold, there is a naturally associated torsor  $(H^2(Y)_{\text{tors}}; \text{Spin}^c(Y)_{\text{tors}})$ , where the latter is the set of  $\text{spin}^c$  structures with torsion first Chern class. When  $Y$  is a rational homology sphere, every  $\text{spin}^c$  structure is torsion.

When we refer to a homology cobordism, we mean a pair  $(W, \varphi)$  of a compact oriented connected 4-manifold and a **chosen** orientation-preserving diffeomorphism  $\varphi : \partial W \cong Y - Y'$  so that the corresponding maps  $Y \rightarrow W$  and  $Y' \rightarrow W$  induce isomorphisms on all integer cohomology groups.

Given a homology cobordism  $W : Y \rightarrow Y'$  there is an induced isomorphism of torsors

$$(W_*, W_*^c) : (H^2(Y), \text{Spin}_{\text{tors}}^c(Y)) \rightarrow (H^2(Y'); \text{Spin}_{\text{tors}}^c(Y')).$$

We will make use of three weighted torsors; the first is associated to rational homology spheres, while the second and third are associated to an arbitrary 3-manifold.

- The *d-invariant*,  $d_Y : \text{Spin}^c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  [OS03, Definition 4.1];
- The *twisted d-invariant*,  $\underline{d}_Y : \text{Spin}_{\text{tors}}^c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  [BG18, Definition 3.1];
- The *Turaev-Reidemeister torsion*,  $T_Y : \text{Spin}^c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  [Tur02, Chapter X].

*Remark 2.* The Turaev-Reidemeister torsion is often written as an  $H^2(Y)$ -equivariant map  $\text{Spin}^c(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[H^2(Y)]$ , eg [Tur02, Chapter I.4.1]. This gives rise to the function  $T_Y$  above by extracting the coefficient of  $0 \in H^2(Y)$ .

The twisted *d*-invariant is only used for a technical reason, to allow connected sums with arbitrary 3-manifolds (instead of merely rational homology spheres). When  $Y$  is a rational homology sphere, we tautologically have  $\underline{d}_Y(\mathfrak{s}) = d_Y(\mathfrak{s})$ . The Turaev-Reidemeister torsion — and the relation to *d*-invariants — will be used exclusively for calculation.

First, we establish the relationship to the work from Section 2.

**Lemma 9.** *The assignment  $Y \mapsto (H^2(Y)_{\text{tors}}, \text{Spin}^c(Y)_{\text{tors}}, \underline{d}_Y)$  defines a monoid homomorphism  $\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \widehat{\Theta}_{\text{WT}}$ .*

*Proof.* This amounts to three claims: that  $\underline{d}_Y(S^3) = 0$  (tautological), that the assignment  $Y \mapsto \underline{d}_Y$  sends integer homology cobordisms to isomorphisms of weighted torsors (an immediate corollary of [BG18, Corollary 4.2]), and that  $\underline{d}_Y$  is additive, in the sense that

$$\underline{d}_Y_{\#Y'}(\mathfrak{s}\#\mathfrak{s}') = \underline{d}_Y(\mathfrak{s}) + \underline{d}_Y(\mathfrak{s}'),$$

which is [BG18, Proposition 3.7]. □

From this, we can immediately show that *provided the d-invariants of the summands satisfy the non-vanishing property*, integer homology cobordisms between connected sums preserve the natural direct sum decomposition of their homology groups.

**Corollary 10.** *Suppose  $Y = \#_{i=1}^n Y_i$  and  $Y' = \#_{j=1}^m Y'_j$  are connected sums of rational homology spheres so that the  $\underline{d}$ -invariants of each  $Y_i$  and  $Y'_j$  satisfy the non-vanishing property, and  $W : Y \rightarrow Y'$  is a homology cobordism. Then  $n = m$  and the induced map  $W_* : H_1(Y)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow H_1(Y')_{\text{tors}}$  preserves the natural direct sum decompositions.*

*Proof.* As mentioned above, if  $W : Y \rightarrow Y'$  is a homology cobordism, it induces an isomorphism of weighted torsors  $(\text{Spin}_{\text{tors}}^c(Y), d) \cong (\text{Spin}_{\text{tors}}^c(Y'), d')$ . The statement follows immediately from Corollary 5. □

The following corollary is simply an application of Corollary 7, applied to these particular weighted torsors.

**Corollary 11.** *Suppose  $Y_i$  is a collection of 3-manifolds indexed by some set  $S$  with the following properties:*

- The groups  $H^2(Y_i)$  are non-trivial.
- The invariants  $\underline{d}_{Y_i}$  satisfy the non-vanishing property.
- The weighted torsors  $(H^2(Y_i), \text{Spin}^c(Y_i)_{\text{tors}}, \underline{d} - \text{avg } \underline{d})$  are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Then there is a homomorphism  $c : \widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^S$  with  $c_i(Y_i) = 1$  and  $c_i(Y_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

In particular, the  $Y_i$  are linearly independent in  $\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and span a  $\mathbb{Z}^S$ -summand of the Grothendieck group  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

To prove Theorem 1, then, we need to show that the lens spaces  $L(p, q)$  — considered up to oriented diffeomorphism — satisfy the properties assumed in the Corollary. This is actually completely classical; the crucial observation is that the reduced  $d$ -invariant  $\underline{d} - \text{avg } \underline{d}$  recovers the Turaev-Reidemeister torsion.

**Lemma 12.** *If  $Y$  is an  $L$ -space, we have*

$$T(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{2} (\underline{d}(\mathfrak{s}) - \text{avg } \underline{d}(\mathfrak{s})).$$

This follows immediately from [Rus05, Theorem 5.3.3-4]. For lens spaces (and thus their connected sums, as both sides of this equality are additive) this was proven earlier as [Ném05, Section 10.7], and indeed Némethi's result is used in Rustamov's argument.

The Turaev-Reidemeister torsion of lens spaces is classical, and the sign-refined version only slightly less so. For an appropriate choice of base  $\text{spin}^c$  structure and an appropriate isomorphism  $H^2(L(p, q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ , we have [NN02, Section 7.1] for any  $p$ 'th root of unity  $\zeta$

$$\widehat{T}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta^{-1})(1 - \zeta^{-q})}.$$

We write  $d'_{p,q} : \text{Spin}^c(L(p, q)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  for the reduced  $d$ -invariants  $\underline{d} - \text{avg } \underline{d}$  of the lens space  $L(p, q)$ . The lemma above establishes that (after choosing an appropriate base  $\text{spin}^c$  structure and isomorphism  $L(p, q) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ ) we have

$$(\widehat{d}'_{p,q})(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \zeta^{-1})(1 - \zeta^{-q})}.$$

**Proposition 13.** *The weighted torsors  $d'_{p,q}$  have the nonvanishing property. Furthermore, if  $d'_{p,q} \cong d'_{r,s}$ , then  $p = r$  and  $s \equiv q^{\pm 1} \pmod{p}$ , so that  $L(p, q)$  and  $L(r, s)$  are oriented diffeomorphic.*

*Proof.* That these have the nonvanishing property is obvious, because  $q$  is prime to  $p$ , so that for  $\zeta \neq 1$  we also have  $\zeta^{-q} \neq 1$ .

What remains is the classical claim that (signed) Turaev-Reidemeister torsion classifies lens spaces up to (oriented) diffeomorphism; we include a short proof for completeness. We may as well assume  $p > 2$ .

If  $d'_{p,q} \cong d'_{r,s}$  we have  $\mathbb{Z}/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/r$ , so that  $p = r$ . If  $\widehat{d}'_{p,q}$  is  $t$ -isomorphic to  $\widehat{d}'_{p,s}$ , such an isomorphism induces a  $t$ -isomorphism  $\widehat{f}_{p,q} \cong \widehat{f}_{p,s}$  between the simpler functions

$$\widehat{f}_{p,q}(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta^{-1})(1 - \zeta^{-q}) = 1 - \zeta^{-1} - \zeta^{-q} + \zeta^{-q-1}.$$

If  $q = 1$  this is the Fourier transform of the function  $f_{p,1} = (1, -2, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , where we list off the values  $f_{p,q}(i)$  in order starting at 0. If  $q = p - 1$  we have instead  $f_{p,p-1} = (2, -1, 0, \dots, 0, -1)$ . For  $1 < q < p - 1$ , we have  $f_{p,q}(0) = f_{p,q}(q + 1) = 1$ , while  $f_{p,q}(1) = f_{p,q}(q) = -1$ , and all other values are zero.

It is transparent that there is no affine isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  taking  $f_{p,1}$  or  $f_{p,p-1}$  to any of the other functions above, as the values are different; this reduces us to the case  $1 < q < p-1$ .

Now suppose there exists some integer  $k$  prime to  $p$  and some integer  $\ell$  so that

$$(1) \quad f_{p,s}(ki + \ell) = f_{p,q}(i)$$

for all  $i$ . Because  $f_{p,q}(0) = 1$ , we have  $f_{p,s}(\ell) = 0$  and thus either  $\ell = 0$  or  $\ell = s + 1$ . Because  $i \mapsto ki + \ell$  is a bijection, in the former case we must have  $k(q + 1) = s + 1$  and in the latter case  $k(q + 1) + s + 1 = 0$ . We handle these two cases separately.

- **Case 1:**  $\ell = 0$ . Applying (1) to  $i = 1$ , we have either  $k = 1$  (which gives  $q + 1 = s + 1$  and hence  $q = s$ ) or  $k = s$  (which gives  $qs + s = s + 1$  so  $qs = 1$ ).
- **Case 2:**  $\ell = s + 1$ . Applying (1) to  $i = 1$ , we have either  $k + s + 1 = 1$  (in which case  $k = -s$  so  $-sq - s + s + 1 = 0$  and thus  $qs = 1$ ) or  $k + s + 1 = s$  (in which case  $k = -1$  so that  $-q - 1 + s + 1 = 0$  and  $q = s$ ).

In any of the four possibilities for the values of  $k, \ell$  modulo  $p$ , we see that the desired claim holds.  $\square$

The main theorem follows immediately from this proposition, as well as Corollaries 10-11.

## 5. QUESTIONS

We close with a handful of questions inspired by the results above.

**Question 1.** *Do the class of spherical 3-manifolds satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 11?*

It would follow that connected sums of spherical 3-manifolds are integer homology cobordant if and only if they are diffeomorphic; one only needs an explicit computation of their  $d$ -invariants (or more directly a computation of their Reidemeister torsions).

In another direction, recall that [Lis07] provides a complete classification of connected sums of lens spaces up to rational homology cobordism. It would be interesting to determine the intermediate case of  $R$ -homology cobordism for, say,  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

**Question 2.** *Determine the  $R$ -homology cobordism classification of connected sums of lens spaces for various rings  $R$ .*

A naive guess would be that two connected sums of lens spaces are  $R$ -homology cobordant if and only if Lisca's construction produces an  $R$ -homology cobordism, but the author has made no attempt to investigate this. It would similarly be interesting to follow up on the classification of rational homology ribbon cobordisms between connected sum of lens spaces given in [Hub21, Theorem 1.3] and classify the  $R$ -homology ribbon cobordisms for various rings  $R$ .

It would be interesting to better understand the behavior of integer homology spheres in the Grothendieck group  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . One of the first questions one might ask is as follows.

**Question 3.** Does the map  $j : \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  have non-trivial kernel? That is, can one find an integer homology 3-sphere  $Y$  and a closed oriented 3-manifold  $Z$  so that  $Y$  is not integer homology cobordant to  $S^3$ , but  $Y \# Z$  is integer homology cobordant to  $Z$ ?

The reduced  $d$ -invariants  $\underline{d} - \text{avg } \underline{d}$  are unchanged upon connect-summing with an integer homology sphere, so the arguments above say nothing about the behavior of integer homology spheres in  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . In particular, Corollary 11 is useless here. The average  $\underline{d}$ -invariant does define a homomorphism  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , so any integer homology sphere whose nontriviality is detected by the  $d$ -invariant will also be nontrivial in this group — but unlike in the homology cobordism group, it is possible that some integer homology sphere  $\Sigma$  with  $d(\Sigma) = 2$  could be a multiple of some element in  $\text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

**Question 4.** Is there an integer homology sphere  $Y$  which is not of the form  $n[Y']$  for any other element in  $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $n > 1$ , but for which  $j([Y]) \in \text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is nontrivial but has  $j(Y) = n[Y']$  for some  $[Y'] \in \text{Gr}(\widehat{\Theta}_{\mathbb{Z}})$  and some  $n > 1$ ? Can  $Y'$  be taken to be an integer homology sphere?

## REFERENCES

- [BG18] Stefan Behrens and Marco Golla. Heegaard Floer correction terms, with a twist. *Quantum Topol.*, 9(1):1–37, 2018.
- [CT14] Tim D. Cochran and Daniel Tanner. Homology cobordism and Seifert fibered 3-manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(11):4015–4024, 2014.
- [Dav17] Christopher William Davis. Review of the article “On the intersection ring of graph manifolds” by M. Doig and P. Horn. *Mathematical Reviews*, 3572270, 2017.
- [DH17] Margaret I. Doig and Peter D. Horn. On the intersection ring of graph manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(2):1185–1203, 2017.
- [DW15] Margaret Doig and Stephan Wehrli. A combinatorial proof of the homology cobordism classification of lens spaces. *arXiv e-prints*, May 2015. arXiv:1505.06970.
- [Fri87] David Fried. Lefschetz formulas for flows. In *The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part III (Mexico City, 1984)*, volume 58 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 19–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [FS87] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald Stern. Rational homology cobordisms of spherical space forms. *Topology*, 26(3):385–393, 1987.
- [GAnS86] Francisco González-Acuña and Hamish Short. Knot surgery and primeness. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 99(1):89–102, 1986.
- [Gre13] Joshua Evan Greene. Lattices, graphs, and Conway mutation. *Invent. Math.*, 192(3):717–750, 2013.
- [Hub21] Marius Huber. Ribbon cobordisms between lens spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 315(1):111–128, 2021.
- [Lis07] Paolo Lisca. Sums of lens spaces bounding rational balls. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:2141–2164, 2007.
- [Liv81] Charles Livingston. Homology cobordisms of 3-manifolds, knot concordances, and prime knots. *Pacific J. Math.*, 94(1):193–206, 1981.
- [Mil62] John Milnor. A duality theorem for Reidemeister torsion. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 76:137–147, 1962.
- [Mye83] Robert Myers. Homology cobordisms, link concordances, and hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 278(1):271–288, 1983.
- [Ném05] András Némethi. On the Ozsváth-Szabó invariant of negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:991–1042, 2005.
- [Nic03] Liviu I. Nicolaescu. *The Reidemeister torsion of 3-manifolds*, volume 30 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2003.
- [Nic04] Liviu I. Nicolaescu. Seiberg-Witten invariants of rational homology 3-spheres. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 6(6):833–866, 2004.
- [NN02] András Némethi and Liviu I. Nicolaescu. Seiberg-Witten invariants and surface singularities. *Geom. Topol.*, 6:269–328, 2002.

- [OS03] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary. *Adv. Math.*, 173(2):179–261, 2003.
- [RS71] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer.  $R$ -torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 7:145–210, 1971.
- [Rus05] Raif Rustamov. *On Heegaard Floer homology of three-manifolds*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005. Thesis (Ph.D.)—Princeton University.
- [Suc22] Alexander I. Suci. Cohomology jump loci of 3-manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 167(1-2):89–123, 2022.
- [Tur02] Vladimir Turaev. *Torsions of 3-dimensional manifolds*, volume 208 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.