

Partial Domination and Irredundance Numbers in Graphs

Pawaton Kaemawichanurat[‡] and Odile Favaron

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi,
Thailand

[‡]Mathematics and Statistics with Applications (MaSA)
Email: pawaton.kae@kmutt.ac.th; odilefava@gmail.com

Abstract

A dominating set of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a vertex set D such that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus D$ is adjacent to a vertex in D . The cardinality of a smallest dominating set of D is called the domination number of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. A vertex set D is a k -isolating set of G if $G - N_G[D]$ contains no k -cliques. The minimum cardinality of a k -isolating set of G is called the k -isolation number of G and is denoted by $\iota_k(G)$. Clearly, $\gamma(G) = \iota_1(G)$. A vertex set I is irredundant if, for every non-isolated vertex v of $G[I]$, there exists a vertex u in $V \setminus I$ such that $N_G(u) \cap I = \{v\}$. An irredundant set I is maximal if the set $I \cup \{u\}$ is no longer irredundant for any $u \in V(G) \setminus I$. The minimum cardinality of a maximal irredundant set is called the irredundance number of G and is denoted by $ir(G)$. Allan and Laskar [1] and Bollobás and Cockayne [2] independently proved that $\gamma(G) < 2ir(G)$, which can be written $\iota_1(G) < 2ir(G)$, for any graph G . In this paper, for a graph G with maximum degree Δ , we establish sharp upper bounds on $\iota_k(G)$ in terms of $ir(G)$ for $\Delta - 2 \leq k \leq \Delta + 1$.

Keywords: k -isolation number; irredundance number.

AMS subject classification: 05C69

1 Notation

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$ of order $n(G) = |V(G)|$ and size $m(G) = |E(G)|$. We denote the *degree* of v in G by $deg_G(v)$ and denote the maximum degree of G by $\Delta(G)$. A *neighbor* of a vertex v in G is a vertex u which is adjacent to v . The *open neighbor set* $N_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the set of neighbors of v . That is $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$. The *closed neighbor set* of v is $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, we use $N_S(v)$ to denote $N_G(v) \cap S$ and $deg_S(v) = |N_G(v) \cap S|$. If $v \in S$ and $deg_S(v) = 0$, then v is an *isolated vertex* in S . The *neighbor set* of a vertex subset S of G is the set $N_G(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$. The *closed neighbor set* of S in G is the set $N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S$. For a vertex $x \in S$, the *private neighbor set* of x with respect to S is $N_G[x] \setminus N_G[S \setminus \{x\}]$ and is denoted by $PN(x, S)$. In particular, a vertex $y \in V(G) \setminus S$ is

a *private neighbor* of x with respect to S if $N_S(y) = \{x\}$. It is worth noting that if x an isolated vertex in S , then $x \in PN(x, S)$. The subgraph of G induced by S is denoted by $G[S]$. The subgraph obtained from G by deleting all vertices in S and all edges incident with vertices in S is denoted by $G - S$. The *distance* between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of a shortest (u, v) -path in G and is denoted by $d_G(u, v)$. A *complete graph* on n vertices is denoted by K_n . For a graph G , if H is a subgraph of G isomorphic to K_k , then H is called a *k-clique*. A k -clique H is *adjacent to* a vertex v (vice versa) if $v \in V(H)$ or v is adjacent to a vertex of H . A *block* B of a graph G is a maximal subgraph of G such that B itself does not contain a cut vertex. A graph G is a *block graph* if every block of G is a complete graph while G is a *cactus* if each edge of G belongs to at most one cycle. The *cyclomatic number* of G is $\mu(G) = m(G) - n(G) + k(G)$ where $k(G)$ is the number of components of G .

A subset $I \subseteq V(G)$ is called *irredundant* if $PN(x, I) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in I$. Thus, for a vertex $x \in I$, there exists a vertex $y \in V(G) \setminus I$ such that $N_I(y) = \{x\}$ or x is an isolated vertex in I . An irredundant set I is said to be *maximal* if I is not a proper subset of any irredundant set of G . The cardinality of a smallest maximal irredundant set of G is called the *irredundance number* of G and is denoted by $ir(G)$.

For vertex subsets S and R of G , we say that S *dominates* R if every vertex in $R \setminus S$ is adjacent to a vertex in S . We write $S \succ R$ if S dominates R . If $S = \{s\}$, we write $s \succ R$. Moreover, S is a *dominating set* of G if $S \succ V(G)$. The cardinality of a smallest dominating set of G is called the *domination number* of G and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. A vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be \mathcal{F} -*isolating* if $G - N_G[S]$ does not contain H as an induced subgraph for all $H \in \mathcal{F}$. The \mathcal{F} -*isolation number* of G , denoted by $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of an \mathcal{F} -isolating set of G . This notion was introduced by Caro and Hansberg [3]. If $\mathcal{F} = \{K_k\}$, we say that a $\{K_k\}$ -isolating set is K_k -isolating and we denote $\iota_{\{K_k\}}(G)$ by $\iota_k(G)$. A smallest K_k -isolating set is called a ι_k -*set*. Clearly, the K_1 -isolating sets are the dominating sets and $\iota_1(G) = \gamma(G)$. Moreover every K_k -isolating set is K_{k+1} -isolating and $\iota_{k+1}(G) \leq \iota_k(G)$.

Every minimal dominating set is irredundant and thus $ir(G) \leq \gamma(G)$ for every graph G . An upper bound on $\gamma(G)$ in terms of $ir(G)$ was independently established by Allan and Laskar [1] and by Bollobás and Cockayne [2].

Theorem 1 [1, 2] *Let G be a graph. Then $\gamma(G) < 2ir(G)$.*

Several authors lowered this bound for particular classes of graphs. In 1991, Damaschke [6] reduced the upper bound of Theorem 1 for trees.

Theorem 2 [6] *Let T be a tree. Then $\gamma(T) < 3ir(T)/2$.*

The upper bound of Theorem 2 was proved to be true by Volkman [13], in 1998, for some classes of tree-like graphs as block graphs, cacti and the graphs whose cyclomatic number is at most two. Volkman further conjectured that:

Conjecture 1 [13] *If G is a cactus, then $5\gamma(G) < 8ir(G)$.*

Volkman's conjecture was proved by Zverovich [14]. Favaron, Kabanov and Puech [7] showed that $\gamma(G) \leq 3ir(G)/2$ if G is claw-free and that the bound is sharp in different classes of claw-free graphs.

Theorem 1 can be written $\iota_1(G) \leq 2ir(G) - 1$. Our aim is to generalize this result and to find a sharp upper bound on $\iota_k(G)$ in terms of $ir(G)$ for some larger values of k .

2 Main results

If a graph G with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ contains a k -clique then $k \leq \Delta + 1$ since every vertex in a k -clique is adjacent to at least $k - 1 \leq \Delta$ vertices. When $\Delta \leq 1$, the graph G is the union of p_1 paths of length one and p_0 isolated vertices yielding that $p_1 = \iota_2(G) \leq \iota_1(G) = p_0 + p_1 = ir(G)$. We assume throughout that $\Delta \geq 2$ and we consider the four cases $\Delta - 2 \leq k \leq \Delta + 1$. In this section, we give the bounds on ι_k in terms of ir without proofs and show that the bounds are attained. The proofs are given in the following sections.

Theorem 3 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 2$. If $\Delta \leq k \leq \Delta + 1$, then $\iota_k(G) \leq ir(G)$.*

To show the sharpness of the bound when $k = \Delta + 1$, we let G_t^1 be the disjoint union of t copies $K_k^1, K_k^2, \dots, K_k^t$ of a clique of $k = \Delta + 1$ vertices. Let $x_i \in V(K_k^i)$. We see that $deg_{G_t^1}(x_i) = \Delta$. Clearly, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ is an ι_k -set and also a smallest maximal irredundant set. Therefore, $ir(G_t^1) = t = \iota_k(G_t^1)$.

When $k = \Delta$, we let $K_k^1, K_k^2, \dots, K_k^{2t}$ be $2t$ copies of a clique of $k = \Delta$ vertices. Let $x_i \in V(K_k^i)$ and let $P_2^i = x_1^i x_2^i$ be t copies of paths of 2 vertices. The graph G_{2t}^2 is obtained by joining x_1^i to x_{2i-1} and x_2^i to x_{2i} . So, $deg_{G_{2t}^2}(x_i) = \Delta$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2t$. Clearly, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2t}\}$ is an ι_k -set, implying that $\iota_k(G_{2t}^2) = 2t$. Now, we let I be a smallest maximal irredundant set of G_{2t}^2 . If $I \cap (\{x_1^i\} \cup V(K_k^{2i-1})) = \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$, then x'_{2i-1} has a private neighbor with respect to $I \cup \{x'_{2i-1}\}$ where $x'_{2i-1} \in V(K_k^{2i-1}) \setminus \{x_{2i-1}\}$. Thus, $I \cup \{x'_{2i-1}\}$ is an irredundant set of G containing I contradicting the maximality of I . Hence, $I \cap (\{x_1^i\} \cup V(K_k^{2i-1})) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Similarly, $I \cap (\{x_2^i\} \cup V(K_k^{2i})) \neq \emptyset$. This yields $ir(G_{2t}^2) = |I| \geq 2t$. On the other hand, we see that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2t}\}$ is a maximal irredundant set of G_{2t}^2 . By the minimality of $ir(G_{2t}^2)$, we have $ir(G_{2t}^2) \leq 2t$. These implies that $ir(G_{2t}^2) = 2t = \iota_k(G_{2t}^2)$.

Theorem 4 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 2$. If $k = \Delta - 1$, then $\iota_k(G) \leq \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G)}{2\Delta-2}$.*

When $\Delta = k + 1 \geq 7$, we show the sharpness of the bound of Theorem 4 by constructing connected graphs $G(k, l)$ that satisfy $\iota_k(G(k, l)) = \left\lfloor \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G(k, l))}{2\Delta-2} \right\rfloor$. We first construct the

graph $L(k)$ as follows. Let $x_1x_2x_3$ be a path of length two, y_1, y_2, y_3 be three distinct vertices and K_k^0, K_k^1, K_k^2 and K_k^3 be four disjoint k -cliques. The graph $L(k)$ is constructed as follows:

- for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, join y_i to all vertices in $\{x_i\} \cup V(K_k^i)$,
- join x_2 to $\Delta - 3$ vertices in K_k^0 and, for $i \in \{1, 3\}$, join x_i to t_i vertices in K_k^0 in such a way that (i) $t_1 + t_3 = \Delta + 1$ and $1 \leq t_1, t_3 \leq \Delta - 3$ and (ii) every vertex in K_k^0 is adjacent to exactly 2 vertices in $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$.

The above construction is possible because there are $2k$ edges from K_k^0 to $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and there are $\Delta - 3 + \Delta + 1 = 2\Delta - 2 = 2k$ edges from $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ to K_k^0 . It can be observed that the vertex y_i has degree $k + 1 = \Delta$ and the vertex x_2 is adjacent to $\Delta - 3$ vertices in K_k^0 . Further, $\deg_{L(k)}(x_1) = \deg_{L(k)}(x_3) \leq \Delta - 1$ while $\deg_{L(k)}(x_2) = \Delta$. Now, we let l be a positive integer such that

$$4l = \lfloor \frac{(3\Delta-4)3l}{2\Delta-2} \rfloor.$$

Note that l is at most 3 for $\Delta = 7$, at most 2 until $\Delta = 10$ and $l = 1$ for bigger Δ . The graph $G(k, l)$ that satisfies $\nu_k(G(k, l)) = \lfloor \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G(k, l))}{2\Delta-2} \rfloor$ is obtained from l copies L_1, \dots, L_l of the graph $L(k)$ by joining x_3 of L_i to x_1 of L_{i+1} for all $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$. For the sake of convenient, we may relabel $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, K_k^0, K_k^1, K_k^2$ and K_k^3 in $L(k)$ to be $x_1^i, x_2^i, x_3^i, y_1^i, y_2^i, y_3^i, K_k^{i,0}, K_k^{i,1}, K_k^{i,2}$ and $K_k^{i,3}$ respectively in L_i . We let z_i be a vertex in $K_k^{i,0}$. Clearly, $\cup_{i=1}^l \{y_1^i, y_2^i, y_3^i, z_i\}$ is a K_k -isolating set of $G(k, l)$. By the minimality of $\nu_k(G(k, l))$, we have $\nu_k(G(k, l)) \leq 4l$. Let S be an ν_k -set of $G(k, l)$. To be adjacent to $K_k^{i,0}, K_k^{i,1}, K_k^{i,2}$ and $K_k^{i,3}$ we have that $S \cap (y_j^i \cup V(K_k^{i,j})) \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap (V(K_k^{i,0}) \cup \{x_1^i, x_2^i, x_3^i\}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Therefore, $\nu_k(G(k, l)) \geq 4l$ implying that $\nu_k(G(k, l)) = 4l$. Next, we let I be a smallest maximal irredundant set of $G(k, l)$. By maximality of I , we have that $I \cap (\{x_j^i, y_j^i\} \cup V(K_k^{i,j})) \neq \emptyset$. This yields $ir(G(k, l)) \geq 3l$. Clearly, $\cup_{i=1}^l \{x_1^i, x_2^i, x_3^i\}$ is a maximal irredundant set of $G(k, l)$. Hence,

$$\nu_k(G(k, l)) = 4l = \lfloor \frac{(3\Delta-4)3l}{2\Delta-2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G(k, l))}{2\Delta-2} \rfloor.$$

An example of the graph $G(k, l)$ when $k = 6, \Delta = k + 1 = 7$ and $l = 3$ is illustrated by Figure 1. In the figure, we let a circle or an oval to denote a clique of order 6. We see that $\nu_6(G(6, 3)) = 12$ while $ir(G(6, 3)) = 9$.




Figure 1: The graph $G(6, 3)$.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$. If $k = \Delta - 2$, then $\iota_k(G) \leq \frac{3ir(G)}{2}$.*

For connected graphs satisfying the upper bound of Theorem 5, we let $x_1x_2x_3x_4$ be a path of length 3, K_k^0, K_k^1 and K_k^2 be 3 k -cliques. The graph $D(k)$ is constructed as follows:

- join x_1 to all vertices in $V(K_k^1)$,
- join x_4 to all vertices in $V(K_k^2)$ and
- join both x_2 and x_3 to all vertices in $V(K_k^0)$.

Then, for $t \geq 2$, the graph $D(k, t)$ is obtained by the disjoint union of t copies D_1, \dots, D_t of $D(k)$. We relabel x_i and K_k^j of $D(k)$ to be x_i^ℓ and $K_k^{\ell, j}$ in D_ℓ respectively for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $0 \leq j \leq 2$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq t$. Then, add the edges $x_4^\ell x_1^{\ell+1}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq t - 1$. It can be easily checked that $\cup_{\ell=1}^t \{x_1^\ell, x_2^\ell, x_4^\ell\}$ is a smallest ι_k -set and $\cup_{\ell=1}^t \{x_2^\ell, x_3^\ell\}$ is a smallest maximal irredundant set of $D(k, t)$. Thus $\iota_k(D(k, t)) = 3t$ and $ir(D(k, t)) = 2t$ implying that $\iota_k(D(k, t)) = \frac{3ir(D(k, t))}{2}$.

We saw that for $k = 1$, $\iota_1(G) = \gamma(G)$. Hence, Theorem 4 confirms the fact that paths and cycles have equal irredundance and domination numbers and Theorem 5 admits the following Corollary.

Corollary 1 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta = 3$. Then $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{3ir(G)}{2}$ and the bound is sharp.*

Note that for cubic graphs, the slightly larger bound $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{27}{16}ir(G)$ can be deduced from the properties $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{3n}{8}$ [12] and $ir(G) \geq \frac{2n}{3\Delta}$ [5].

The following graph H is an example of subcubic graph for which the equality in Corollary 1 is attained. H consists of k paths $x_1^i x_2^i \cdots x_7^i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and the $2k$ edges $x_3^i x_5^i$ and $x_6^i x_2^{i+1 \bmod k}$. It can be checked that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{x_2^i, x_4^i, x_6^i\}$ is a $\gamma(H)$ -set and $\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{x_3^i, x_5^i\}$ is an $ir(H)$. Hence $\gamma(H) = \frac{3ir(H)}{2}$.

Let $c_\Delta(k)$ be the least upper bound of $\frac{\iota_k(G)}{ir(G)}$ for all graphs G of maximum degree Δ . From our results in Theorems 3, 4 and 5, we proved that the graphs G of given maximum degree Δ satisfy $\iota_k(G) \leq c_\Delta(k)ir(G)$ for $\Delta - 2 \leq k \leq \Delta + 1$ with $c_\Delta(\Delta - 2) = \frac{3}{2}$, $c_\Delta(\Delta - 1) = \frac{3\Delta - 4}{2\Delta - 2}$ and $c_\Delta(\Delta + 1) = c_\Delta(\Delta) = 1$. For the smallest possible value of k which is 1, it follows by Theorem 1 that $c_\Delta(1) < 2$. For an arbitrary large Δ , we conjecture that:

Conjecture 2 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree Δ . Then $\iota_k(G) \leq c_\Delta(k)ir(G)$ where $c_\Delta(1), c_\Delta(2), \dots, c_\Delta(\Delta)$ is a strictly decreasing sequence such that $1 \leq c_\Delta(k) < 2$ for $1 \leq k \leq \Delta$.*

Now, we fix $k = 1$ and let $c_\Delta(1) = c_\Delta$. By Corollary 1, $c_3 = \frac{3}{2}$. We think that c_Δ converges to 2 when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ and we give a second conjecture generalizing Corollary 1.

Conjecture 3 *Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 2$. Then $\gamma(G) \leq c_\Delta ir(G)$ where c_Δ is a strictly increasing sequence such that $c_2 = 1$ and $c_\Delta \rightarrow 2$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.*

The following example shows that if the previous conjecture is true, then $c_4 \geq \frac{5}{3} = \frac{2\Delta - 3}{\Delta - 1}$. The graph G is constructed from 3 disjoint paths P_6 , $c_{1i}b_{1i}a_{1i}a_{2i}b_{2i}c_{2i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and six new vertices u, v, w, x, y, z by adding the 12 edges $ua_{11}, ua_{12}, va_{21}, va_{22}, wa_{11}, wa_{13}, xa_{12}, xa_{13}, ya_{21}, ya_{23}, za_{22}, za_{23}$. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{a_{1i}, a_{2i}\}$ is a smallest maximal irredundant set and $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{b_{1i}, b_{2i}\} \cup \{a_{21}, a_{13}, u, z\}$ is a minimum dominating set. Thus $ir(G) = 6$, $\gamma(G) = 10$ and $\frac{\gamma(G)}{ir(G)} = \frac{5}{3} = \frac{2\Delta - 3}{\Delta - 1}$.

3 Preliminary and preparation

We need the following properties of a maximal irredundant set which were established by Bollobás and Cockayne [2].

Theorem 6 [2] *Let I be a maximal irredundant set of a graph G . If there exists a vertex u which is not dominated by any vertex in I , then there exists a vertex $x \in I$ such that*

- (a) $PN(x, I) \subseteq N(u)$ and
- (b) if there exists a pair of non-adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 in $PN(x, I)$, then, for each $1 \leq i \leq 2$, there exists $y_i \in I \setminus \{x\}$ such that $x_i \succ PN(y_i, I)$.

Observations:

1. In part (a) of Theorem 6, the vertices x of I such that $PN(x, I) \subseteq N(u)$ are not isolated in I since in this case, x would belong to $PN(x, I)$ yielding that $PN(x, I)$ could not be dominated by u .
2. Similarly in (b) the vertex y_i is not isolated in I for otherwise $y_i \in PN(y_i, I)$ and the private neighbor x_i of x would be adjacent to another vertex y_i of X , a contradiction.

We give now the notation that we will use in the proofs of our main results. In the following, let G be a graph. We let

I = a smallest maximal irredundant set of G ,

U = the set of vertices which are not dominated by I ,

P = the set of vertices $y \in PN(x, I) \setminus I$ for some $x \in I$ and

$S = N(I) \setminus (P \cup I)$.

Therefore, every vertex in S is adjacent to at least two vertices in I . Clearly, the sets I, U, P and S form a partition of $V(G)$. By Theorem 6(a), for every vertex $u \in U$, there exists a non-isolated vertex $x \in I$ such that $PN(x, I) \subseteq N(u)$. Further, we partition the set I as follows.

Z = the set of all isolated vertices of I ,

$A = I \setminus Z$, the set of non isolated vertices of I ,

Q = the set of vertices q in A such that $PN(q, I) \not\subseteq N(u)$ for all $u \in U$,

$B = A \setminus Q$, the set of non isolated vertices q of I whose I -private neighborhood is dominated by some $u \in U$,

N = a maximal set of vertices x in B such that $PN(x, I)$ is a clique or, for each $x' \in PN(x, I)$, $x' \succ PN(y, I)$ for some $y \in N$,

and

$M = B \setminus N$.

We note that if $U \neq \emptyset$, then $B \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 6(a) and the partition of B refers to Theorem 6(b). From the definition, if $x \in M$ then $PN(x, I)$ is not a clique and thus $|PN(x, I)| \geq 2$, and there exists a vertex $x' \in PN(x, I)$ such that x' does not dominate $PN(y, I)$ for any $y \in N$ but $x' \succ PN(w, I)$ for some $w \in I \setminus N$ (by Theorem 6(b)).

Note also that for no vertex z of Z , $PN(z, I) \subseteq N(u)$ since every isolated vertex z of I belongs to $PN(z, I)$.




Figure 2: The partition $I \cup P \cup S \cup U$ of the graph and the partition $N \cup M \cup Q \cup Z$ of I .

4 Proofs

Throughout this section, I is a minimum maximal irredundant set as in Section 3. Let $N = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{|N|}\}$ and choose $a'_i \in PN(a_i, I)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq |N|$. The following lemma is a property of $\hat{N} = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{|N|}\}$.

Lemma 1 $\hat{N} \succ \cup_{i=1}^{|N|} PN(a_i, I)$.

Proof. If $PN(a_i, I)$ is a clique, then $a'_i \succ PN(a_i, I)$. If $PN(a_i, I)$ is not a clique, let $a \in PN(a_i, I)$. From the definition of N , $a \succ PN(a_j, I)$ for some $a_j \in N$, which implies $a'_j a \in E(G)$. Therefore $\hat{N} \succ PN(a_i, I)$ for every vertex a_i of N . \square

Now, we are ready to prove our three theorems.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 3

We first consider the case when $k = \Delta + 1$. The cliques K_k are isolated in G . Let G_i , $1 \leq i \leq h$, be the components of G isomorphic to K_k and G_i , $h + 1 \leq i \leq \ell$, the other ones if any. Then $\iota_k(G) = h$ and $ir(G) \geq \ell \geq h = \iota_k$. Moreover $\iota_k(G) = ir(G)$ if and only if every component of G is a clique K_k .

We now consider the case when $k = \Delta$. Let H be a k -clique of G .

Claim 1 *If $V(H) \cap I = \emptyset$, then $V(H) \cap S = \emptyset$*

Proof. We may suppose to the contrary that $V(H) \cap I = \emptyset$ but $V(H) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in V(H) \cap S$. Thus $deg_H(v) = \Delta - 1$ because $|V(H)| = k = \Delta$. Since $v \in S$, v is adjacent to at least two vertices in I which are not in H . Therefore, $deg_G(v) \geq deg_H(v) + 2 = \Delta + 1$, a contradiction. \square

Claim 2 *If $V(H) \subseteq U$ and $v \in V(H)$, then there exists $x \in N$ such that $v \succ PN(x, I)$.*

Proof. By Observation 1 after Theorem 6, $v \succ PN(x, I)$ for some $x \in B$. Since $d_U(v) \geq d_H(v) = \Delta - 1$, $|PN(x, I)| \leq 1$. Thus $x \in N$ since $PN(x, I)$ is a clique. \square

Claim 3 *If $V(H) \cap (P \cup I) = \emptyset$, then, for all $v \in V(H)$, there exists $a'_i \in \hat{N}$, such that $va'_i \in E(G)$.*

Proof. By Claim 1, $V(H) \cap S = \emptyset$ and thus $V(H) \subseteq U$. By Claim 2, $v \succ PN(a_i, I)$ for some $a_i \in N$. Thus $va'_i \in E(G)$. \square

Let now $X = \hat{N} \cup M \cup Q \cup Z$ and let H be a k -clique of G . If $V(H) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then H contains or is adjacent to a vertex of X by the definition of \hat{N} . If $V(H) \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then H is adjacent to a vertex of X by Lemma 1. If $V(H) \cap (P \cup I) = \emptyset$, then H is adjacent to a vertex of \hat{N} by Claim 3. Therefore X is a K_k -isolating set of G and $\iota_k(G) \leq |X| = |\hat{N} \cup M \cup Q \cup Z| = ir(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4

Now $k = \Delta - 1$. Recall that if $x \in M$, then $|PN(x, I)| \geq 2$. We let

M' = the vertex subset of M such that $|PN(x, I)| = 2$ for all $x \in M'$,

$\tilde{M} = M \setminus M'$,

S' = the subset of S such that each vertex in S' is not adjacent to any vertex in $\tilde{M} \cup Q \cup Z$.

Therefore, every vertex in S' is adjacent to at least two vertices in $N \cup M'$. We let further

\mathcal{H} = the set of all k -cliques H such that $V(H) \subseteq S'$.

To prove Theorem 4, we prove in Lemma 2 a stronger result

Lemma 2 Let G be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta = k + 1$. Let s be the maximum number of k -cliques contained in S' to which a vertex in $N \cup M'$ can be adjacent. When $S' = \emptyset$ or does not contain any k -clique, let $s = 0$. Then

$$\iota_k(G) \leq \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G)}{2\Delta-2} - s + 1 \text{ if } 1 \leq s \leq \Delta - 2,$$

$$\iota_k(G) \leq ir(G) \text{ if } s = 0.$$

Proof. We need the following claims.

Claim 4 *The k -cliques of $G[S]$ are components of S . In particular, the k -cliques in \mathcal{H} are pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. Let u be a vertex of a k -clique H contained in S . Then $\Delta \geq d_G(u) \geq d_S(u) + d_I(u) \geq (k-1) + 2$. Since $k = \Delta - 1$, $d_S(u) = k - 1$, which shows that H forms a component of $G[S]$.
(□)

Claim 5 *Let H be a k -clique such that $V(H) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $V(H) \cap P = \emptyset$. If $u \in V(H) \cap U$, then $PN(x, I) \subseteq N_G(u)$ for some $x \in N \cup M'$.*

Proof. Since $u \in U$, there exists $x \in B$ such that $PN(x, I) \subseteq N_G(u)$. If $x \in \tilde{M}$, then $|PN(x, I)| \geq 3$ which implies that $deg_P(u) \geq 3$. Since $V(H) \cap P = \emptyset$, it follows that $deg_G(u) \geq deg_H(u) + deg_P(u) \geq (\Delta - 2) + 3 = \Delta + 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $x \in N \cup M'$.
(□)

Claim 6 $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \frac{|N \cup M'|(\Delta - 2)}{2k}$.

Proof. By Claim 4, there are exactly $2k|\mathcal{H}|$ edges from the $|\mathcal{H}|$ k -cliques of S' to vertices in $N \cup M'$. For a vertex $x \in N \cup M'$, $deg_I(x) \geq 1$ because x is non-isolated in I and $deg_P(x) \geq 1$ because x has at least one private neighbor with respect to I . Thus, $deg_S(x) \leq \Delta - 2$. By a double counting, we have

$$2k|\mathcal{H}| \leq (\Delta - 2)|N \cup M'|$$

implying that $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \frac{|N \cup M'|(\Delta - 2)}{2k}$. (□)

Recall that M' is the vertex subset of M such that $|PN(x, I)| = 2$ for all $x \in M'$. In the following, we let

$M' = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\}$ where $|M'| = t$,

$PN(x_i, I) = \{y_i, w_i\}$,

$Y = \{y_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$,

$W = \{w_i : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$.

Moreover, we let

PN = the set of all private neighbors of vertices in N

$P\tilde{M}$ = the set of all private neighbors of vertices in \tilde{M} ,

PQ = the set of all private neighbors of vertices in Q and

PZ = the set of all private neighbors of vertices in Z .

When s , the maximum number of k -cliques of $G[S']$ to which a vertex in $N \cup M'$ can be adjacent, is different from zero, let a be a vertex in $N \cup M'$ which is adjacent to s k -cliques of \mathcal{H} and let \mathcal{H}_a be the set of all cliques H of \mathcal{H} to which a is adjacent. For each clique H in $\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_a$, we choose one vertex $x_H \in V(H)$ and we let $X = \{a\} \cup \{x_H : H \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}_a\}$. By Claim 6, $|X| \leq \frac{|N \cup M'|(\Delta-2)}{2k} - s + 1$. When $s = 0$, we let $X = \emptyset$. Clearly, $G[S' \setminus N[X]]$ contains no k -clique and thus $G[S \setminus N[X \cup \tilde{M} \cup Q \cup Z]]$ contains no k -clique.

Now, we will show that the set

$$T = \hat{N} \cup Y \cup X \cup \tilde{M} \cup Q \cup Z$$

is a K_k -isolating set of G . We assume to the contrary that there exists a k -clique H in $G - N_G[T]$. By the choice of T and Lemma 1, we have that

$$V(H) \cap (T \cup N \cup PN \cup M' \cup P\tilde{M} \cup PQ \cup PZ \cup (S \setminus S')) = \emptyset \quad (1)$$

and H is not entirely contained in S' . Hence $V(H) \subseteq W \cup U \cup S'$ and $V(H) \cap (W \cup U) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that $V(H) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Let $w_i \in V(H) \cap W$. So, w_i is a private neighbor of a vertex $x_i \in M'$ whose second private neighbor y_i is in Y . Since $Y \subset T$, $y_i w_i \notin E(G)$. By Theorem 6(b), $w_i \succ PN(x, I)$ for some $x \in I \setminus Z$. We first consider the case when $x \in N \cup M'$. So $PN(x, I) \cap (\hat{N} \cup Y) \neq \emptyset$ implying that w_i is adjacent to a vertex in $\hat{N} \cup Y$. Thus, $w_i \in N_G[T]$, contradicting H is in $G - N_G[T]$. Hence $x \in \tilde{M} \cup Q$ and w_i has at least one neighbor x' in $P\tilde{M} \cup PQ$. Since $V(H) \cap (P\tilde{M} \cup PQ) = \emptyset$, $x' \notin V(H)$. On the other hand, $x_i \in M' \subseteq B$ and by the definition of B , there exists a vertex u in U dominating $PN(x_i, I)$. Hence u is adjacent to y_i and to w_i , and since $y_i \in T$, $u \notin V(H)$. Now w_i has at least three neighbors u, x', x_i not in $V(H)$ and $d_G(w_i) \geq 3 + d_H(w_i) = 3 + (\Delta - 2) = \Delta + 1$, a contradiction. Hence $V(H) \cap W = \emptyset$ and thus $V(H) \subseteq U \cup S'$ with $V(H) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in V(H) \cap U$. By Claim 5, u is adjacent to a vertex in $\hat{N} \cup Y$. Therefore, $V(H) \cap N_G[T] \neq \emptyset$ contradicting H is in $G - N_G[T]$. So, T is a K_k -isolating set of G .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota_k(G) &\leq |T| \leq |\hat{N} \cup Y \cup \tilde{M} \cup Q \cup Z| + |X| \\
&\leq ir(G) + |X| \\
&= ir(G) + \frac{|N \cup M'|(\Delta - 2)}{2k} - s + 1 \\
&\leq \frac{(3\Delta - 4)ir(G)}{2\Delta - 2} - s + 1 \quad \text{when } s \neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and $\iota_k(G) \leq ir(G)$ when $s = 0$ since in this case, $|X| = 0$. \square

From the previous lemma, $\iota_k(G) \leq \max\{\frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G)}{2\Delta-2}, ir(G)\} = \frac{(3\Delta-4)ir(G)}{2\Delta-2}$, which proves Theorem 4. We see that Equality in Theorem 4 requires $s = 1$ as in the example given in Section 2. In the appendix, we construct a graph satisfying the equality in Lemma 2 when $s \geq 2$.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 5

We recall that:

M' = the subset of M such that $|PN(x, I)| = 2$ for all $x \in M'$.

Then we define further that:

M'' = the subset of M such that $|PN(x, I)| = 3$ for all $x \in M''$,

$\overline{M} = M \setminus (M' \cup M'')$,

Q' = the subset of Q such that $|PN(x, I)| = 1$ for all $x \in Q'$,

\tilde{Q} = the subset of Q such that $|PN(x, I)| \geq 2$ for all $x \in \tilde{Q}$, that is $\tilde{Q} = Q \setminus Q'$,

S'' = the subset of S such that every vertex in S'' is adjacent in I to only vertices in $N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'$

and

\mathcal{H}' = the family of k -cliques H such that $V(H) \subseteq S''$.

The following claim is a direct consequence of Theorem 6(b).

Claim 7 *Let $x \in M' \cup M''$ and $x' \in PN(x, I)$ such that x' is not adjacent to any vertex in $PN(x, I)$. Then $x' \succ PN(y, I)$ for some $y \in A$.*

When $k = \Delta - 2$ and $\Delta > 3$, it is possible that two k -cliques in \mathcal{H}' intersect. Let H and

H' be two different k -cliques in \mathcal{H}' such that $V(H) \cap V(H') \neq \emptyset$. Let $s = |V(H) \cap V(H')|$ and $a \in V(H) \cap V(H')$. Then

$$d_{V(H) \cup V(H')}(a) = d_{V(H)}(a) + d_{V(H')}(a) - d_{V(H) \cap V(H')}(a) = 2(k-1) - (s-1) = 2k - s - 1.$$

Remind that $\deg_I(a) \geq 2$ because $a \in V(H) \subseteq S$. Thus,

$$k+2 = \Delta \geq d_G(a) \geq d_I(a) + d_{V(H) \cup V(H')}(a) \geq 2k - s + 1$$

implying that $s \geq k-1$.

Since H and H' are different, it follows that $s \leq k-1$ which yields $s = k-1$. Hence two intersecting k -cliques of S'' share exactly $k-1$ vertices and each of these vertices, say x , has no other neighbor in S'' since $d_{V(H \cup H')}(x) = k+2 = \Delta$. We call *isolated clique* a k -clique of S'' intersecting no other one and *twin* a set of two intersecting k -cliques of S'' . Note that a twin is either a $(k+1)$ -clique or a $(k+1)$ -clique minus one edge. Clearly, an isolated clique has k vertices while a twin has $k+1$ vertices. We let t_1 and t_2 be the number of isolated cliques and twins in \mathcal{H}' respectively. The following claim establishes an upper bound of $t_1 + t_2$.

Claim 8 $t_1 + t_2 \leq \frac{|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'|}{2}$

Proof. Let $e(\mathcal{H}', N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q')$ be the number of edges between the vertices of the cliques in \mathcal{H}' and the vertices in $N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'$. By the definition of \mathcal{H}' , there are at least two edges from each vertex of cliques in \mathcal{H}' to $N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'$. Because \mathcal{H}' only consists of isolated cliques and twins, it follows that

$$2k(t_1 + t_2) \leq 2kt_1 + 2(k+1)t_2 \leq e(\mathcal{H}', N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'). \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, since every vertex $v \in N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'$ is not isolated in I and has at least one private neighbor in P , we have that $\deg_{S''}(v) \leq \Delta - 2$. Hence

$$e(\mathcal{H}', N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q') \leq (\Delta - 2)|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'| = k|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'|. \quad (3)$$

By Equations (2) and (3), $t_1 + t_2 \leq \frac{|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'|}{2}$ which proves Claim 8. Note that equality in Claim 8 implies, from the first inequality in (2), that $t_2 = 0$, that is all the k -cliques of S'' are isolated. \square

In the following, for an isolated clique or a twin H , we let x_H be a vertex in H . We let $X = \{x_H : H \text{ is an isolated clique or a twin of } \mathcal{H}'\}$. So, X is a K_k -isolating set of S'' and

$$|X| \leq \frac{|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'|}{2}$$

by Claim 8. Recall that $\hat{N} = \{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{|N|}\}$. Similarly, for a vertex $b \in M' \cup M''$, we choose one vertex b' from $PN(b, I)$ and, for a vertex $c \in Q'$, we call c' the unique vertex of $PN(c, I)$. Then, we let

$$\hat{M} = \{b' : b \in M' \cup M''\} \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{Q} = \{c' : c \in Q'\}.$$

For the rest of this paper, we aim to prove that

$$T = \hat{N} \cup \hat{M} \cup \overline{M} \cup \hat{Q} \cup \tilde{Q} \cup Z \cup X$$

is a K_k -isolating set of G . We assume to the contrary that there exists a k -clique H in $G - N_G[T]$. By the choice of T , we have

$$V(H) \cap I = \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

Claim 9 $V(H) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof. We assume to the contrary that there exists $u \in V(H) \cap U$. By Theorem 6(b) and the definition of B , $PN(x, I) \subseteq N_G(u)$ for some $x \in B$. If $x \in N \cup M' \cup M''$, then u is adjacent to x' for some $x' \in \hat{N} \cup \hat{M} \subseteq T$ contradicting H is in $G - N_G[T]$. Thus, $x \in \overline{M}$. If $V(H) \cap PN(x, I) \neq \emptyset$, then H is adjacent to a vertex $x \in \overline{M} \subseteq T$, again contradicting H is in $G - N_G[T]$. Thus, $V(H) \cap PN(x, I) = \emptyset$. Because $x \in \overline{M}$, we have $|PN(x, I)| \geq 4$. Thus, $deg_{PN(x, I)}(u) \geq 4$ implying that

$$deg_G(u) \geq deg_{PN(x, I)}(u) + deg_H(u) \geq 4 + (k - 1) = 4 + (\Delta - 3) = \Delta + 1,$$

a contradiction. So, $V(H) \cap U = \emptyset$ and this proves Claim 9. \square

Claim 10 $V(H) \cap PN(b, I) = \emptyset$ for all $b \in M' \cup M''$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists $b \in M' \cup M''$ such that $V(H) \cap PN(b, I) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in V(H) \cap PN(b, I)$. Thus, $u \in PN(b, I)$. By the definition of M , u is adjacent to a vertex in U . Thus, $deg_U(u) \geq 1$. Clearly, $deg_I(u) = 1$ because u is a private neighbor of b . By the assumption that H is in $G - N_G[T]$, u is not adjacent to b' which is selected in \hat{M} from $PN(b, I)$. Hence, u does not dominate $PN(b, I)$. By Claim 7, u dominates $PN(y, I)$ for some $y \in A \setminus \{b\}$.

If $y \in (N \cup M' \cup M'') \setminus \{b\}$, then u is adjacent to a vertex $y' \in \hat{N} \cup \hat{M}$ where y' is selected from $PN(y, I)$, contradicting H is in $G - N_G[T]$.

If $y \in \overline{M}$, then $V(H) \cap PN(y, I) = \emptyset$ because $\overline{M} \subseteq T$. Since $|PN(y, I)| \geq 4$, we have

$$deg_G(u) \geq deg_{PN(y, I)}(u) + deg_H(u) \geq 4 + (k - 1) = \Delta + 1,$$

a contradiction.

Finally, we consider the case when $y \in Q$. Because $\hat{Q} \subseteq T$, it follows that $y \in \tilde{Q}$. In this case $|PN(y, I)| \geq 2$. Similarly, $V(H) \cap PN(y, I) = \emptyset$ because $\tilde{Q} \subseteq T$. By Claim 9 and Equation (4),

$$\deg_G(u) \geq \deg_{PN(y,I)}(u) + \deg_H(u) + \deg_U(u) + \deg_I(u) \geq 2 + (k-1) + 1 + 1 = \Delta + 1,$$

a last contradiction proving Claim 10. \square

Now, for a k -clique H which is in $G - N_G[T]$, we have by Equation (4), Claim 10 and Lemma 1 that

$$V(H) \cap (I \cup P) = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, by Claim 9, $V(H) \subseteq S$. Because $\overline{M} \cup \tilde{Q} \cup Z \subseteq T$, it follows that every vertex in H is adjacent to only vertices in $N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'$. Therefore, $V(H) \subseteq S''$, namely, $H \in \mathcal{H}'$. It follows that H contains or is adjacent to a vertex in X , a contradiction since $X \subset T$. Therefore, T is an K_k -isolating set of G .

By the minimality of $\iota_k(G)$ and Claim 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_k(G) &\leq |T| = |\hat{N} \cup \hat{M} \cup \overline{M} \cup \hat{Q} \cup \tilde{Q} \cup Z \cup X| \\ &= |\hat{N} \cup \hat{M} \cup \overline{M} \cup \hat{Q} \cup \tilde{Q} \cup Z| + |X| \\ &\leq ir(G) + \frac{|N \cup M' \cup M'' \cup Q'|}{2} \\ &\leq ir(G) + \frac{ir(G)}{2} = \frac{3ir(G)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. B. Allan and R. Laskar, On domination and some related topics in graph theory. *Proceeding of the 9th Southeast Conference on Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Computing, Boca Raton, February, Utilitas Mathematica* (1978), 43–56.
- [2] B. Bollobás and E. J. Cockayne, Graph-theoretic parameters concerning domination, independence, and irredundance. *Journal of Graph Theory* **3** (1979), 241–249.
- [3] Y. Caro and A. Hansberg, Partial domination-the isolation number of a graph. *FiloMath* **31:12** (2017), 3925–3944.
- [4] E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi, Independence Graphs. *Proceeding of the 5th Southeast Conference on Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Computing, Boca Raton, February, Utilitas Mathematica* (1974), 471–491.
- [5] E. J. Cockayne and C. M. Mynhardt, Irredundance and maximum degree in graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **6** (1997) 153–157.
- [6] P. Damaschke, Irredundance number versus domination number, *Discrete Math.* **89** (1991) 101–104.

- [7] O. Favaron, V. Kabanov and J. Puech, The ratio of three domination parameters in some classes of claw-free graphs, *Journal of Combin. Math. and Combin. Comput.* **31** (1999) 151–159.
- [8] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [9] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater (eds), *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.
- [10] R. Laskar and J. Pfaff, Domination and irredundance in graphs, *Techn. Rep. 434, Clemson Univ. Dept. of Math. Sc.*. 1983.
- [11] N. J. Rad, L. Volkmann, *A note on the independent domination number in graphs*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **161** (2013), 3087–3089.
- [12] B. Reed, Paths, stars, and the number three, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **5** (1996) 277–295.
- [13] L. Volkmann, The ratio of the irredundance and domination number of a graph, *Discrete Math.* **178** (1998) 221–228.
- [14] V. E. Zverovich, The ratio of the irredundance number and the domination number for block-cactus graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **29** (1998) 139–149.

Appendix

We give a construction of graphs satisfying the bound of Lemma 2 when $s \geq 2$ since an example with $s = 1$ was already shown in Section 2. First, we construct the graph $S(k)$ which is obtained from two paths $x_1x_2x_3x_4$ and $x_5x_6x_7x_8$ of length 3 and five k -cliques $K_k^0, K_k^1, \dots, K_k^4$ by joining edges as follows:

- join x_1, x_4, x_5 and x_8 to every vertex of K_k^1, K_k^2, K_k^3 and K_k^4 , respectively.

Further, we let $V(K_k^0) = \{z_1, \dots, z_k\}$. Then,

- join x_2 to z_1, \dots, z_{k-1} , x_3 to z_2, \dots, z_k and join x_6 to z_1 and z_k .

Note that $\deg_{S(k)}(x_2) = \deg_{S(k)}(x_3) = k + 1 = \Delta$ while $d_{S(k)}(x_6) = 4$ and $d_{S(k)}(x_7) = 2$. An example of the graph $S(k)$ when $k = 8$ is illustrated by Figure 3.




Figure 3: The graph $S(8)$.

Further, we construct the graph $F(k, s)$ which is obtained from a path $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$ of length 3 and $s + 2$ of k -cliques K'_k, K''_k and $\tilde{K}_k^1, \dots, \tilde{K}_k^s$ by putting edges as follows:

- join a_1 and a_4 to every vertex of K'_k and K''_k , respectively,
- join a_2 to two vertices of \tilde{K}_k^1 and to one vertex of each $\tilde{K}_k^2, \dots, \tilde{K}_k^s$ and
- join a_3 to $\Delta - 2$ vertices in \tilde{K}_k^1 to share exactly one common neighbor with a_2 .

It is worth noting that exactly one vertex in \tilde{K}_k^1 has degree Δ and the other $k - 1$ vertices have degree $\Delta - 1$. An example the graph $F(k, s)$ when $k = 8$ and $s = 6$ is illustrated by Figure 4.




Figure 4: The graph $F(8, 6)$.

Now, we are ready to construct the graph $H(k, s, l)$ from one copy of $F(k, s)$ and l copies S_1, \dots, S_l of $S(k)$ where l is given by the equation

$$(s - 1)(2k - 1) = (2\Delta - 6)(l - 1) + r \quad (5)$$

for some $0 \leq r < 2\Delta - 6$. We may relabel x_j in $S(k)$ to be x_j^i in S_i for all $1 \leq j \leq 8$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$. Further, we let

$L_1 = \bigcup_{i=2}^s \tilde{K}_k^i$ in the copy of $F(k, s)$ and

$L_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} \{x_6^i, x_7^i\} \cup \{x_6^l\}$ in the l copies of $S(k)$.

Then we add edges as follows, in such a way that the maximum degree of $H(k, s, l)$ remains equal to $\Delta = k + 1$.

- join x_7^l to all the $k - 1$ vertices of degree $\Delta - 1$ in $V(\tilde{K}_k^1)$,
- join x_6^l to $r' = \min\{r, \Delta - 4\}$ vertices in L_1 ,
- for $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$, join x_6^i to $\Delta - 4$ vertices of L_1 and x_7^i to $\Delta - 2$ vertices of L_1 in such a way that every vertex in L_1 is adjacent to at most two vertices in $L_2 \cup \{a_2, a_3\}$ to respect the value of the maximum degree.

The adjacency condition above is possible since $s - 1 + (2\Delta - 6)(l - 1) + r' \leq 2(s - 1)k$ from Equation (5).

We show that the graph $H(k, s, l)$ when $k = 8, s = 6$ and $l = 7$ satisfies the upper bound of Lemma 2. For the sake of convenience, we let $H = H(8, 6, 7)$. Clearly, $\{a_2, x_2^1, \dots, x_2^7\} \cup \{a_1, a_4\} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^7 \{x_1^i, x_4^i, x_5^i, x_8^i\})$ is a K_8 -isolating set of H . Thus $\iota_8(H) \leq 38$. Let S be a smallest ι_8 -set of G . To be adjacent to all the 8-cliques, S intersects each disjoint subset as follows: (i) $S \cap (\{a_1\} \cup V(K_8')) \neq \emptyset, S \cap (\{a_4\} \cup V(K_8'')) \neq \emptyset$, (ii) $S \cap (\{x_j^i\} \cup V(K_8^{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor})) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$ and $j \in \{1, 4, 5, 8\}$, (iii) $S \cap (\{a_2, a_3, x_7^i\} \cup V(\tilde{K}_8^1)) \neq \emptyset$ and (iv) $S \cap (\{x_2^i, x_3^i, x_6^i\} \cup V(K_k^{i,0})) \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$. By (i) - (iv), S has at least $2 + 28 + 1 + 7 = 38$ vertices implying that $\iota_8(H) = 38$.

We next let I be a smallest maximal irredundant set of H . Hence, every vertex in I has a private neighbor with respect to I . If $I \cap (\{a_1, a_2\} \cup V(K_8')) = \emptyset$, then a_1 is not a private neighbor of any vertex in I . Thus, for a vertex $h \in V(K_8')$, a_1 is a private neighbor of h with respect to $I \cup \{h\}$. Therefore, $I \cup \{h\}$ is an irredundant set of H containing I contradicting maximality of I . So, $I \cap (\{a_1, a_2\} \cup V(K_8')) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, $I \cap (\{a_3, a_4\} \cup V(K_8'')) \neq \emptyset, I \cap (\{x_j^i, x_{j+1}^i\} \cup V(K_8^{i, \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor})) \neq \emptyset$ and $I \cap (\{x_{j'}^i, x_{j'-1}^i\} \cup V(K_8^{i, \lfloor \frac{j'+1}{2} \rfloor})) \neq \emptyset$ for all $j \in \{1, 5\}, j' \in \{4, 8\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq 7$. This implies that $ir(H) = |I| \geq 1 + 1 + 28 = 30$. On the other hand, we observe that $\{a_2, a_3\} \cup (\cup_{i=1}^7 \{x_2^i, x_3^i, x_6^i, x_7^i\})$ is an irredundant set of G . By minimality of $ir(H)$, we have $ir(H) \leq 30$ implying that $ir(H) = 30$. We see that, in the graph $H = H(8, 6, 7)$, we have $k = 8, \Delta = 9, s = 6$ and $l = 7$. Thus, $\iota_8(H) = 38 = 30 + \lfloor \frac{30(9-2)}{2(8)} \rfloor - 6 + 1 = ir(H) + \lfloor \frac{ir(H)(\Delta-2)}{2k} \rfloor - s + 1$ satisfying the bound of Lemma 2 when $1 \leq s \leq \Delta - 2$.