

# A Product Version of the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem

Peter Frankl<sup>1</sup>, Jian Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Rényi Institute, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology,  
Taiyuan 030024, China

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>frankl.peter@renyi.hu, <sup>2</sup>wangjian01@tyut.edu.cn

## Abstract

Two families  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  of  $k$ -subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are called non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting if  $|F \cap G| \geq t$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $|\cap \{F: F \in \mathcal{F}\}| < t, |\cap \{G: G \in \mathcal{G}\}| < t$ . In the present paper, we determine the maximum product of the sizes of two non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families of  $k$ -subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \geq 4(t+2)^2 k^2, k \geq 5$ , which is a product version of the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem.

**AMS classification:** 05D05.

**Key words:** extremal set theory, cross  $t$ -intersecting family, product version, non-trivial

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n > k > t$  be positive integers and let  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be the standard  $n$ -element set. Let  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  denote the collection of all  $k$ -subsets of  $[n]$ . Subsets of  $\binom{[n]}{k}$  are called  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs or  $k$ -graphs for short. A  $k$ -graph  $\mathcal{F}$  is called  $t$ -intersecting if  $|F \cap F'| \geq t$  for all  $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$ .

One of the most important results in extremal set theory is the following:

**Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem** ([3]). Suppose that  $n \geq n_0(k, t)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  is  $t$ -intersecting. Then

$$(1.1) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$

**Remark.** For  $t = 1$  the exact value  $n_0(k, t) = (k - t + 1)(t + 1)$  was proved in [3]. For  $t \geq 15$  it is due to [4]. Finally Wilson [17] closed the gap  $2 \leq t \leq 14$  with a proof valid for all  $t$ .

Pyber [16] proved a product version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem for  $t = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1 (Pyber [16]).** Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  are cross-intersecting,  $n \geq 2k$  then

$$(1.2) \quad |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}^2.$$

A  $t$ -intersecting family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  is called *non-trivial* if  $|\cap \{F: F \in \mathcal{F}\}| < t$ .

**Example 1.2.** Define two families

$$\mathcal{H}(n, k, t) = \left\{ H \in \binom{[n]}{k} : [t] \subset H, H \cap [t+1, k+1] \neq \emptyset \right\} \cup \{[k+1] \setminus \{j\} : 1 \leq j \leq t\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}(n, k, t) = \left\{ A \in \binom{[n]}{k} : |A \cap [t+2]| \geq t+1 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that both  $\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)$  are non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting families.

**Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem** ([12, 5]). Suppose that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  is non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting,  $n \geq (k-t+1)(t+1)$ . Then

$$(1.3) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \max \{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|\}.$$

Two families  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  are called *cross  $t$ -intersecting* if  $|F \cap G| \geq t$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  is  $t$ -intersecting, then  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting.

In the present paper, we prove a product version of the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  are non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting. If  $n \geq 4(t+2)^2 k^2$  and  $k \geq 5$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \max \{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2\}.$$

It should be mentioned that the case  $t = 1$  of Theorem 1.3 was proved for  $n \geq 9k$  and  $k \geq 6$  in [9]. Thus we always assume  $t \geq 2$  in this paper. Since we are concerned with the maximum of  $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}|$ , without further mention we are assuming throughout the paper that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  form a saturated pair, that is, adding an extra  $k$ -set to either of the families would destroy the cross  $t$ -intersecting property.

It should also be mentioned that a similar result to Theorem 1.3 was obtained recently by Cao, Lu, Lv and Wang [1], in which a different notion of non-triviality was considered. In their paper, the non-triviality of two cross  $t$ -intersecting families  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  means that  $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}$  is not a  $t$ -star. However, to the best of our knowledge (1.4) is the first one that implies the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem (just set  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ ).

For  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are *exact cross  $t$ -intersecting* if  $|A \cap B| = t$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ . For  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ , the  $t$ -covering number  $\tau_t(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined as

$$\tau_t(\mathcal{F}) = \min \{|T| : |T \cap F| \geq t \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

For the proofs, we need a result concerning exact cross  $t$ -intersecting  $(t+1)$ -uniform families.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $n > k > t \geq 2$  and let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{t+1}$  be non-empty exact cross  $t$ -intersecting. If both  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  do not contain a sunflower of  $k-t+2$  petals with center of size  $t$ , then one of the following holds:

- (i) either  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq 2, |\mathcal{B}| \leq k+1, \tau_t(\mathcal{B}) \geq t+1$  or  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq 2, |\mathcal{A}| \leq k+1, \tau_t(\mathcal{A}) \geq t+1$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$  is a sunflower with center of size  $t$ .
- (iii)  $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq \frac{(t+2)^2}{2}$ .

Let us present some inequalities and notations needed in the proofs.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $n, k, i$  be positive integers. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad \binom{n-i}{k} \geq \frac{n-ik}{n} \binom{n}{k}, \text{ for } n > ik.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check for all  $b > a > 0$  that

$$(1.6) \quad ba > (b+1)(a-1) \text{ holds.}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\binom{n-i}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)\dots(n-k-(i-1))}{n(n-1)\dots(n-(i-1))}.$$

Applying (1.6) repeatedly we see that the numerator is greater than  $(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-(i-1))(n-ki)$  implying

$$\frac{\binom{n-i}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} \geq 1 - \frac{ik}{n}.$$

Thus (1.5) holds. □

By (1.5), we obtain that for  $c > 1$  and  $n \geq c(k-t)^2 + (t+1)$

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} &\leq \frac{n-t-1}{n-t-1-(k-t)(k-t-1)} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} \\ &\leq \frac{n-t-1}{n-t-1-(k-t)^2} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{c-1} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for  $c > 2$  we have

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 &\leq \left(\frac{c}{c-1}\right)^2 \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &= \frac{c^2}{c^2-2c+1} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{c-2} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that for  $n \geq ck$  and  $c > 2$ ,

$$(1.9) \quad \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \leq \frac{c}{c-2} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2.$$

Let us recall the following common notations:

$$\mathcal{F}(i) = \{F \setminus \{i\} : i \in F \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad \mathcal{F}(\bar{i}) = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : i \notin F\}.$$

Note that  $|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}(i)| + |\mathcal{F}(\bar{i})|$ . For  $P \subset Q \subset [n]$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}(Q) = \{F \setminus Q : Q \subset F \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad \mathcal{F}(P, Q) = \{F \setminus Q : F \cap Q = P, F \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

We also use  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{Q})$  to denote  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset, Q)$ . For  $\mathcal{F}(\{i\}, Q)$  we simply write  $\mathcal{F}(i, Q)$ .

Define the family of  $\ell$ -th  $t$ -transversals of  $\mathcal{F} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_t^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F}) = \{T \subset [n]: |T| = \ell, |T \cap F| \geq t \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

and define the family of  $t$ -transversals of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_t(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{t \leq \ell \leq k} \mathcal{T}_t^{(\ell)}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Clearly, if  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting then  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_t^{(k)}(\mathcal{G})$  and  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{T}_t^{(k)}(\mathcal{F})$ .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some inequalities concerning cross-intersecting families that are needed in the proofs. In Section 3, we determine the maximum product size of non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families with a common  $t$ -transversal of size  $t + 1$ . In Section 4, we define a notion of basis for cross  $t$ -intersecting families and establish an upper bound on the size of the basis. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 1.3.

## 2 Some inequalities concerning cross-intersecting families

In this section, we recall several useful inequalities concerning cross-intersecting families. We also give a proof of a result of Hilton via the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem.

An important tool for proving the results concerning cross-intersecting families is the Kruskal-Katona Theorem ([15, 13], cf. [6] or [14] for short proofs of it).

Daykin [2] was the first to show that the Kruskal-Katona Theorem implies the  $t = 1$  case of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem. Hilton [10] gave a very useful reformulation of the Kruskal-Katona Theorem. To state it let us recall the definition of the lexicographic order on  $\binom{[n]}{k}$ . For two distinct sets  $F, G \in \binom{[n]}{k}$  we say that  $F$  precedes  $G$  if

$$\min\{i: i \in F \setminus G\} < \min\{i: i \in G \setminus F\}.$$

E.g.,  $\{1, 7\}$  precedes  $\{2, 3\}$ . For a positive integer  $b$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(n, b, m)$  denote the first  $m$  members of  $\binom{[n]}{b}$  in lexicographic order.

**Hilton's Lemma ([10]).** Let  $n, a, b$  be positive integers,  $n > a + b$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{[n]}{a}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{[n]}{b}$  are cross-intersecting. Then  $\mathcal{L}(n, a, |\mathcal{A}|)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(n, b, |\mathcal{B}|)$  are cross-intersecting as well.

One can deduce a result of Hilton [11] from the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem.

**Theorem 2.1 ([11]).** Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_{t+1} \subset \binom{[X]}{a}$  be pairwise cross-intersecting and  $|X| = m \geq (t + 1)a$ . If at least two of them are non-empty, then

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} |\mathcal{K}_i| \leq \max \left\{ (t+1) \binom{m-1}{a-1}, \binom{m}{a} - \binom{m-a}{a} + t \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $n = m + t + 1$ ,  $k = a + t$ ,  $X = [t + 2, n]$ . Define the family  $\mathcal{K} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0 \cup \dots \cup \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{t+1} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  via

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0 &= \left\{ [t+1] \cup K : K \in \binom{X}{k-t-1} \right\}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i &= \{([t+1] \setminus \{i\}) \cup K : K \in \mathcal{K}_i\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq t+1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{K}$  is  $t$ -intersecting. Let  $I = \cap\{K : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ . Let us show  $|I| < t$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  are non-empty. It follows that  $1, 2 \notin I$ . For any  $t+2 \leq x \leq n$ ,  $x \notin \cap\{K : K \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0\} \supset I$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is non-trivial. Applying the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem, for  $(m+t+1) \geq (a+1)(t+1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}| &= \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i| \\ &\leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|\} \\ &= \max\left\{(t+2)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} + \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}, t + \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t}\right\} \\ &= \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \max\left\{(t+1)\binom{m-1}{a-1}, \binom{m}{a} - \binom{m-a}{a} + t\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

implying (2.1).  $\square$

**Remark.** It should be mentioned that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-trivial  $t$ -intersecting and has a  $t$ -transversal of size  $t+1$ , then one can also deduce the Hilton-Milner-Frankl Theorem from Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \subset \binom{X}{a}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting and  $|X| = m \geq (t+1)a$ . If  $|\mathcal{K}_1| \geq |\mathcal{K}_2|$ , then*

$$(2.2) \quad |\mathcal{K}_1| + t|\mathcal{K}_2| \leq \max\left\{(t+1)\binom{m-1}{a-1}, \binom{m}{a} - \binom{m-a}{a} + t\right\}.$$

*Proof.* By Hilton's Lemma, we may assume that  $\mathcal{K}_i$  consists of the first  $|\mathcal{K}_i|$  members of  $\binom{X}{a}$  in lexicographic order. Clearly  $|\mathcal{K}_2| \leq \binom{n-1}{a-1}$ , implying that  $\mathcal{K}_2$  is intersecting. Then  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_2$  are all non-empty and pairwise cross-intersecting. By (2.1) the corollary follows.  $\square$

We should mention that Hilton's result holds for the full range  $m \geq 2a$ , but we only use it in the range that we proved it.

**Theorem 2.3 ([7]).** *Let  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2 \subset \binom{X}{a}$  be non-empty cross-intersecting and  $|X| = m \geq 2a$ . If  $|\mathcal{K}_1| \geq |\mathcal{K}_2| \geq \binom{m-2}{a-2}$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad |\mathcal{K}_1| + |\mathcal{K}_2| \leq 2\binom{m-1}{a-1}.$$

### 3 Non-trivial cross $t$ -intersecting families with common trasversals

In this section, we determine the maximum product sizes of two non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families with a common  $t$ -transversal of size  $t+1$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  are non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting and*

$$\mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset.$$

*If  $n \geq 6(t+1)k^2$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2\}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $[t+1] \in \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{G})$ . Since  $[t+1]$  is a common  $t$ -transversal, for  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}$  or  $\mathcal{G}$

$$(3.2) \quad |\mathcal{H}| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{H}([t+1] \setminus \{i\}, [t+1])| + |\mathcal{H}([t+1])|.$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  form a saturated pair. Then

$$\mathcal{H}([t+1]) = \left\{ H \setminus [t+1] : [t+1] \subset H \in \binom{[n]}{k} \right\}.$$

I.e., the last term in (3.2) is  $\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$  set  $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}([t+1] \setminus \{i\}, [t+1])$ . For convenience let  $X = [t+2, n]$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \binom{X}{k-t}$ . Note that (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$(3.3) \quad |\mathcal{H}| = \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{H}_i|.$$

Note also that  $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{G}_j$  are cross-intersecting for  $i \neq j$ .

**Fact 3.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{G}_j$  are cross-intersecting and  $\mathcal{G}_j$  is non-empty, then*

$$|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq (k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_j$ . Since each member in  $\mathcal{F}_i$  intersects  $G$  and  $|G| = k-t$ , we infer

$$|\mathcal{F}_i| \leq \binom{|X|}{k-t} - \binom{|X| - |G|}{k-t} \leq (k-t) \binom{|X| - 1}{k-t-1} \leq (k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}. \quad \square$$

By Hilton's Lemma, we may assume that  $\mathcal{H}_i$  consists of the first  $|\mathcal{H}_i|$  members of  $\binom{X}{k-t}$  in lexicographic order. By symmetry assume that

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq |\mathcal{F}_2| \geq \dots \geq |\mathcal{F}_{t+1}| \text{ and thereby } \mathcal{F}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_2 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_{t+1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  be the one of  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{t+1}$  with the maximum size.

**Fact 3.3.** *We may assume that  $\min\{|\mathcal{F}_1|, |\mathcal{G}^*|\} \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ .*

*Proof.* By non-triviality of  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ , we see that both  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}^*$  are non-empty. Then by Fact 3.2

$$|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq (k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \text{ and } |\mathcal{G}^*| \leq (k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

If  $\min\{|\mathcal{F}_1|, |\mathcal{G}^*|\} < \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ , without loss of generality assume that  $|\mathcal{G}^*| < \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ . Then for  $n \geq 4(t+1)^2(k-t+1) + t+1$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)|\mathcal{F}_1| \right) \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)|\mathcal{G}^*| \right) \\ &\leq \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)(k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \right) \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1) \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\leq ((t+1)(k-t) + 1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + ((t+1)(k-t) + 1)(t+1) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \\ &\leq (t+1)(k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{(t+1)^2(k-t+1)^2}{n-t-1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \left( t + \frac{5}{4} \right) (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then apply (1.8) with  $c = 4(t+1)$  and note that  $(t + \frac{5}{4})(t+1) < (t + \frac{1}{2})(t+2)$  for  $t \geq 2$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left(t + \frac{5}{4}\right) \frac{4t+4}{4t+2} (k-t+1) \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq (t+2)(k-t+1) \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may assume that  $\min\{|\mathcal{F}_1|, |\mathcal{G}^*|\} \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ .  $\square$

Now we distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.**  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \geq \max_{2 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i|$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $|\mathcal{G}_2| = \max_{2 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i|$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_j \subset \mathcal{G}_2$  for  $3 \leq j \leq t+1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-trivial, we know that  $\mathcal{F}_2 \neq \emptyset$ . For otherwise  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_3 = \dots = \mathcal{F}_{t+1} = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \{F \in \binom{[n]}{k} : [2, t+1] \subset F\}$ , contradicting the non-triviality of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Similarly  $\mathcal{G}_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

**Subcase 1.1.**  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq |\mathcal{G}_2|$  and  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \geq |\mathcal{F}_2|$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  is cross-intersecting and  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq |\mathcal{G}_2|$ , by (2.2) we obtain that

$$(3.4) \quad |\mathcal{F}_1| + t|\mathcal{G}_2| \leq \max\left\{(t+1) \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1}, \binom{|X|}{k-t} - \binom{|X|-(k-t)}{k-t} + t\right\}.$$

Similarly,

$$(3.5) \quad |\mathcal{G}_1| + t|\mathcal{F}_2| \leq \max\left\{(t+1) \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1}, \binom{|X|}{k-t} - \binom{|X|-(k-t)}{k-t} + t\right\}.$$

By (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| + |\mathcal{G}| &= 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{F}_i| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i| \\ &\leq 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + 2 \max\left\{(t+1) \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1}, \binom{|X|}{k-t} - \binom{|X|-(k-t)}{k-t} + t\right\} \\ &= 2 \max\left\{(t+2) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} + \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}, t + \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) follows from  $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \left(\frac{|\mathcal{F}|+|\mathcal{G}|}{2}\right)^2$ .

**Subcase 1.2.**  $|\mathcal{G}_1| < |\mathcal{F}_2|$  or  $|\mathcal{F}_1| < |\mathcal{G}_2|$ .

By symmetry, we may assume that  $|\mathcal{G}_1| < |\mathcal{F}_2|$ . By Fact 3.3 we see  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  are cross-intersecting, by (2.3) we have

$$(3.6) \quad |\mathcal{G}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_2| \leq 2 \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1},$$

and thereby  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \leq \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}$ .

**Subcase 1.2.1.**  $|\mathcal{G}_2| \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{F}_1$  are cross-intersecting and  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq |\mathcal{G}_2| \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ , by (2.3)

$$(3.7) \quad |\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{G}_2| \leq 2 \binom{|X| - 1}{k - t - 1}.$$

By (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| + |\mathcal{G}| &\leq 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t(|\mathcal{G}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_2|) + (|\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{G}_2|) \\ &\leq 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (2t+2) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} \\ &= 2(t+2) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} + 2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ &= 2|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)| \end{aligned}$$

and (3.1) follows.

**Subcase 1.2.2.**  $|\mathcal{G}_2| < \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2} < \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}$ .

By Fact 3.2 we have  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq (k-t) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{G}_1$  are cross-intersecting, by (1.2) we obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad |\mathcal{F}_2||\mathcal{G}_1| \leq \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2 \leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t|\mathcal{F}_2| + |\mathcal{F}_1| \right) \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t|\mathcal{G}_2| + |\mathcal{G}_1| \right) \\ &\leq \left( (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t|\mathcal{F}_2| \right) \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} + |\mathcal{G}_1| \right) \\ &= \left( (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + t|\mathcal{F}_2| \right) \left( \left( 1 + \frac{t(k-t-1)}{n-t-1} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + |\mathcal{G}_1| \right) \\ &= (k-t+1) \left( 1 + \frac{t(k-t-1)}{n-t-1} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + t|\mathcal{F}_2||\mathcal{G}_1| \\ &\quad + \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{t(k-t-1)}{n-t-1} \right) t|\mathcal{F}_2| + (k-t+1)|\mathcal{G}_1| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.8), it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq (k+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{t(k-t)^2}{n-t-1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\quad + \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{t(k-t-1)}{n-t-1} \right) t|\mathcal{F}_2| + (k-t+1)|\mathcal{G}_1| \right). \end{aligned}$$



By (3.6) and  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 + \frac{t(k-t-1)}{n-t-1}\right) t|\mathcal{F}_2| + (k-t+1)|\mathcal{G}_1| \\
& < \left(1 + \frac{t(k-t)}{n-t-1}\right) t \left(2\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} - |\mathcal{G}_1|\right) + (k-t+1)|\mathcal{G}_1| \\
(3.10) \quad & \leq \max \left\{ 2t + \frac{2t^2(k-t)}{n-t-1}, k+1 + \frac{t^2(k-t)}{n-t-1} \right\} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.9), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| & \leq \left( \frac{t(k-t)^2}{n-t-1} + \max \left\{ k+1 + 2t + \frac{2t^2(k-t)}{n-t-1}, 2(k+1) + \frac{t^2(k-t)}{n-t-1} \right\} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$t(k-t)^2 + 2t^2(k-t) = t(k-t)(k+t) = t(k^2 - t^2) \leq tk^2.$$

For  $n \geq tk^2 + (t+1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| & < \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \max \left\{ k+2t+1 + \frac{tk^2}{n-t-1}, 2(k+1) + \frac{tk^2}{n-t-1} \right\} \\
(3.11) \quad & \leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \max \{k+2t+2, 2k+3\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $k \geq 2t+3$ , then  $k+2t+2 < 2k+3$  and  $2k+3 = 4(k - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}) < 4(k-t+1)$ . By applying (1.8) with  $c=6$ , we obtain for  $n \geq 6(k-t)^2 + t+1$ ,

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq (2k+3) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \leq 4(k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \leq 6(k-t+1) \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2.$$

Since  $k \geq 2t+3$  implies  $k-t+1 \geq t+4 \geq 6$ , we have

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq 6(k-t+1) \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \leq (k-t+1)^2 \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 < |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

If  $2t \leq k \leq 2t+2$ , then  $k+2t+2 \leq 2k+3$ . By (3.11) we have

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq (2k+3) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \leq (4t+7) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.$$

Applying (1.9) with  $c=8(t+2)$ , we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq (4t+7) \frac{8(t+2)}{8(t+2)-2} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2 = 4(t+2) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2 < |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

If  $k \leq 2t-1$ , then  $k+2t+2 \geq 2k+3$ . By (3.11) we have

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq (k+2t+2) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 < (4t+4) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.$$

Applying (1.9) with  $c = 2(t + 2)$ , we arrive at

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq 4(t+1) \frac{2(t+2)}{2(t+2)-2} \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2 = 4(t+2) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1}^2 < |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

**Case 2.**  $|\mathcal{G}_1| \leq \max_{2 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i|$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $|\mathcal{G}_2| = \max_{2 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i|$ . Then  $|\mathcal{G}_2| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq t+1} |\mathcal{G}_i|$  and  $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{G}_2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{G}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{G}_i$  are cross-intersecting for  $1 \leq i \leq t+1$ , by saturatedness we may assume that  $|\mathcal{F}_i| = |\mathcal{F}_1|$  and  $|\mathcal{G}_i| = |\mathcal{G}_2|$  for all  $i \in [t+1]$ . Then (3.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.12) \quad \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)|\mathcal{F}_1| \right) \left( \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)|\mathcal{G}_2| \right) \leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \leq |\mathcal{G}_2|$ . By Fact 3.3 we have  $|\mathcal{F}_1| \geq \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-2}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  are cross-intersecting, by (2.3) we have  $|\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{G}_2| \leq 2 \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1}$ . By (1.2)  $|\mathcal{F}_1||\mathcal{G}_2| \leq \binom{|X|-1}{k-t-1}^2$ . Then expanding (3.12):

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &\leq \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} (t+1) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} + \left( (t+1) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-1} \right)^2 \\ &= |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proposition is proven.  $\square$

## 4 The basis of cross $t$ -intersecting families

In this section, we prove an inequality concerning the size of basis of cross  $t$ -intersecting families by a branching process.

We need the following notion of basis. Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  be the family of minimal (for containment) sets in  $\mathcal{T}_t(\mathcal{G})$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  be the family of minimal sets in  $\mathcal{T}_t(\mathcal{F})$ .

Let us prove some properties of the basis.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  form a saturated pair of cross  $t$ -intersecting families. Then (i) and (ii) hold.*

(i) *Both  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  are antichains, and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting,*

(ii)  $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ F \in \binom{[n]}{k} : \exists B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), B \subset F \right\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \left\{ G \in \binom{[n]}{k} : \exists B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}), B \subset G \right\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Clearly,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  are both antichains. Suppose for contradiction that  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), B' \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$  but  $|B \cap B'| < t$ . If  $|B| = |B'| = k$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{F}, B' \in \mathcal{G}$  follow from saturatedness, a contradiction. If  $|B| < k$ , then there exists  $F \supset B$  such that  $|F| = k$  and  $|F \cap B'| = |B \cap B'| < t$ . By definition  $F \in \mathcal{T}_t(\mathcal{G})$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are saturated, we see that  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . But this contradicts the assumption that  $B'$  is a  $t$ -transversal of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are saturated, (ii) is immediate from the definition of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ .  $\square$

Let  $s(\mathcal{B}) = \min\{|B|: B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . For any  $\ell$  with  $s(\mathcal{B}) \leq \ell \leq k$ , define

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\ell)} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}: |B| = \ell\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}^{(\leq \ell)} = \bigcup_{i=s(\mathcal{B})}^{\ell} \mathcal{B}^{(i)}.$$

It is easy to see that  $s(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})) = \tau_t(\mathcal{F})$ .

By a branching process, we establish an inequality concerning the size of the basis.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  are a saturated pair of cross  $t$ -intersecting families. Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ . For each  $i = 1, 2$ , if  $s(\mathcal{B}_i) \geq t + 1$  and there exists  $r_i \geq s(\mathcal{B}_i)$  such that  $\tau_t(\mathcal{B}_i^{(\leq r_i)}) \geq t + 1$  then*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{r_i \leq \ell \leq k} \left( \binom{s(\mathcal{B}_i)}{t} r_i k^{\ell-t-1} \right)^{-1} |\mathcal{B}_{3-i}^{(\ell)}| \leq 1$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{s_i \leq \ell \leq k} \left( \binom{s(\mathcal{B}_i)}{t} k^{\ell-t} \right)^{-1} |\mathcal{B}_{3-i}^{(\ell)}| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove the lemma only for  $i = 1$ . For the proof we use a branching process. During the proof a sequence  $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$  is an ordered sequence of distinct elements of  $[n]$  and we use  $\widehat{S}$  to denote the underlying unordered set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell\}$ . At the beginning, we assign weight 1 to the empty sequence  $S_\emptyset$ . At the first stage, we choose  $B_{1,1} \in \mathcal{B}_1$  with  $|B_{1,1}| = s(\mathcal{B}_1) \geq t + 1$ . For any  $t$ -subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\} \subset B_{1,1}$ , define one sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  and assign the weight  $\binom{s(\mathcal{B}_1)}{t}^{-1}$  to it.

At the second stage, since  $\tau_t(\mathcal{B}_1^{(\leq r_1)}) \geq t + 1$ , for each sequence  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$  we may choose  $B_{1,t+1} \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(\leq r_1)}$  such that  $|\widehat{S} \cap B_{1,t+1}| < t$ . Then we replace  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$  by  $|B_{1,t+1} \setminus \widehat{S}|$  sequences of the form  $(x_1, \dots, x_t, y)$  with  $y \in B_{1,t+1} \setminus \widehat{S}$  and weight  $\frac{w(S)}{|B_{1,t+1} \setminus \widehat{S}|}$ .

In each subsequent stage, we pick a sequence  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  and denote its weight by  $w(S)$ . If  $|\widehat{S} \cap B_1| \geq t$  holds for all  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  then we do nothing. Otherwise we pick  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  satisfying  $|\widehat{S} \cap B_1| < t$  and replace  $S$  by the  $|B_1 \setminus \widehat{S}|$  sequences  $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y)$  with  $y \in B_1 \setminus \widehat{S}$  and assign weight  $\frac{w(S)}{|B_1 \setminus \widehat{S}|}$  to each of them. Clearly, the total weight is always 1.

We continue until  $|\widehat{S} \cap B_1| \geq t$  for all sequences and all  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . Since  $[n]$  is finite, each sequence has length at most  $n$  and eventually the process stops. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of sequences that survived in the end of the branching process and let  $\mathcal{S}^{(\ell)}$  be the collection of sequences in  $\mathcal{S}$  with length  $\ell$ .

**Claim 1.** To each  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2^{(\ell)}$  with  $\ell \geq r_1$  there is some sequence  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(\ell)}$  with  $\widehat{S} = B_2$ .

*Proof.* Let us suppose the contrary and let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  be a sequence of maximal length that occurred at some stage of the branching process satisfying  $\widehat{S} \subsetneq B_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting,  $|B_{1,1} \cap B_2| \geq t$ , implying that such an  $S$  exists. By the choice of  $S$  we see that  $p \geq t$ . Since  $\widehat{S}$  is a proper subset of  $B_2$  and  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ , it follows that  $\widehat{S} \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ . Thereby there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|\widehat{S} \cap F| < t$ . In view of Lemma 4.1 (ii), we can find  $B'_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  such that  $|\widehat{S} \cap B'_1| < t$ . Thus at some point we picked  $S$  and some  $\tilde{B}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  with  $|\widehat{S} \cap \tilde{B}_1| < t$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting,  $|B_2 \cap \tilde{B}_1| \geq t$ . Consequently, for each  $y \in B_2 \cap \tilde{B}_1$  the sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_p, y)$  occurred in

the branching process. This contradicts the maximality of  $p$ . Hence there is an  $S$  at some stage satisfying  $\widehat{S} = B_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  are cross  $t$ -intersecting,  $|\widehat{S} \cap B'_1| = |B_2 \cap B'_1| \geq t$  for all  $B'_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . Thus  $\widehat{S} \in \mathcal{S}$  and the claim holds.  $\square$

By Claim 1, we see that  $|\mathcal{B}_2^{(\ell)}| \leq |\mathcal{S}^{(\ell)}|$  for all  $\ell \geq r_1$ . Let  $S = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathcal{S}^{(\ell)}$  and let  $S_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$  for  $i = t, \dots, \ell$ . At the first stage,  $w(S_t) = 1/\binom{s(\mathcal{B}_1)}{t}$ . Assume that  $B_{1,i}$  is the selected set when replacing  $S_{i-1}$  in the branching process for  $i = t+1, \dots, \ell$ . Clearly,  $x_i \in B_{1,i}$  for  $i \geq t+1$ ,  $B_{1,t+1} \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(\leq r_1)}$  and

$$w(S) = \binom{s(\mathcal{B}_1)}{t}^{-1} \prod_{i=t+1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{|B_{1,i} \setminus \widehat{S}_{i-1}|}.$$

Note that  $|B_{1,t+1} \setminus \widehat{S}_t| \leq r_1$  and  $|B_{1,i} \setminus \widehat{S}_{i-1}| \leq k$  for  $i \geq t+2$ . It follows that

$$w(S) \geq \left( \binom{s(\mathcal{B}_1)}{t} r_1 k^{\ell-t-1} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\sum_{r_1 \leq \ell \leq k} \left( \binom{s(\mathcal{B}_1)}{t} r_1 k^{\ell-t-1} \right)^{-1} |\mathcal{B}_2^{(\ell)}| \leq \sum_{r_1 \leq \ell \leq k} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}^{(\ell)}} w(S) \leq \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} w(S) = 1$$

and (4.1) holds.

If we omit the second stage in the branching process, then by the similar argument we can obtain (4.2).  $\square$

## 5 The proof of the main theorem

In this section, we determine the maximum product of the sizes of two non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  be a saturated pair of non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families. Set  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ . Then neither  $\mathcal{B}_1$  nor  $\mathcal{B}_2$  contains a sunflower of  $k-t+2$  petals with center of size  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that there exists a sunflower of  $k-t+2$  petals with center of size  $t$  in  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $[t] \cup A_1, \dots, [t] \cup A_{k-t+2}$  is such a sunflower. Then for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , by the definition of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  we have  $|G \cap ([t] \cup A_j)| \geq t$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k-t+2$ . It follows that  $[t] \subset G$ , contradicting the non-triviality of  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \subset \binom{[n]}{k}$  be a saturated pair of non-trivial cross  $t$ -intersecting families. Set  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{F})$ . If  $s(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})) = s$ , then*

$$(5.1) \quad |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \binom{s}{t} (k-t+1).$$

*If  $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ , then*

$$(5.2) \quad |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 2(k-t+1).$$

*Proof.* Let us prove (5.1) first. Since  $s(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})) = s$ , there exists an  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$  with  $|S| = s$ . Note that by Lemma 4.1 (i) we have  $|A \cap S| \geq t$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \sum_{T \in \binom{S}{t}} |\mathcal{A}_2(T)|.$$

By Lemma 5.1 we see that  $|\mathcal{A}_2(T)| \leq k - t + 1$  and (5.1) follows.

Now we prove (5.2). Without loss of generality, let  $[t+1] \in \mathcal{A}_1$ . Then  $|D \cap [t+1]| \geq t$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Should  $|F \cap [t+1]| \geq t$  hold for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , we get  $[t+1] \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ , contradicting  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ . I.e., we may fix  $F_0$  with  $|F_0 \cap [t+1]| \leq t-1$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{D_1, \dots, D_q\}$  and define  $x_i$  by  $D_i \setminus [t+1] = \{x_i\}$ . Note that

$$t \leq |F_0 \cap D_i| \leq |F_0 \cap [t+1]| + |F_0 \cap \{x_i\}| \leq t-1 + 1 \leq t.$$

Thus  $|F_0 \cap [t+1]| = t-1$ ,  $x_i \in F_0$  and  $F_0 \cap [t+1] \subset D_i$ . Since  $|D_i \cap [t+1]| = t$ , it follows that there are only two choices for  $D_i \cap [t+1]$ . Then by Lemma 5.1 we conclude that  $|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 2(k-t+1)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 1.4.* Let us prove the following two facts.

**Fact 5.3.** *For any  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $|A \cap A'| = t-1$  or  $t$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $A \cap A' = D$  with  $|D| \leq t-2$ , then for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the fact  $|B \cap (A' \setminus D)| \geq 2$  implies

$$|B \cap (A \cup (A' \setminus D))| = |B \cap A| + |B \cap (A' \setminus D)| \geq t+2,$$

contradicting  $|B| = t+1$ .  $\square$

**Fact 5.4.** *Suppose that  $|A \cap A'| = t-1$  then  $A \cap A' \subset B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $|B \cap (A \cap A')| \leq t-2$ . Then  $B \supset A \setminus A'$ ,  $B \supset A' \setminus A$  and  $|B \cap A \cap A'| = t-2$  follow. Consequently  $|B| = t+2$ , contradiction.  $\square$

If  $|A \cap A'| = t$  for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$  and also  $|B \cap B'| = t$  for all  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$  is either a subset of  $\binom{[t+2]}{t+1}$  up to isomorphism or a sunflower with center of size  $t$ . If  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$  is a sunflower with center of size  $t$ , then (ii) holds. If  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$  is a subset of  $\binom{[t+2]}{t+1}$ , note that the exact cross  $t$ -intersection implies  $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq t+2$  and thereby  $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq \frac{(t+2)^2}{4}$ . Thus (iii) holds.

In the rest of the proof, we assume that there exist  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $|A \cap A'| = t-1$ . By symmetry we assume that  $[t+1], [t-1] \cup \{t+2, t+3\} \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Fact 5.4  $[t-1] \subset B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . We claim that  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq 4$ . Indeed, for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $|B \cap [t+1]| = t$  and  $|B \cap ([t-1] \cup \{t+2, t+3\})| = t$  imply that  $B = [t-1] \cup \{x, y\}$  with  $x \in \{t, t+1\}$ ,  $y \in \{t+2, t+3\}$ . Now we distinguish two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\exists B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $|B \cap B'| = t-1$ .

Necessarily,  $B \cap B' = [t-1]$ . Consequently  $[t-1] \subset A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and even  $A \setminus [t-1] \subset [t, t+3]$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}([t-1])$  and  $\mathcal{B}([t-1])$  are cross-intersecting 2-graphs on the four vertices  $t, t+1, t+2, t+3$ . We infer  $\min\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\} = 2$ . It follows that  $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq 8 \leq \frac{(t+2)^2}{2}$  and (iii) holds.

**Case 2.**  $|B \cap B'| = t$  for every  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Since  $B \setminus [t-1] \subset \{t, t+1\} \times \{t+2, t+3\}$ , the only possibility is  $|\mathcal{B}| = 2$ . Say  $\mathcal{B} = \{[t] \cup \{t+2\}, [t] \cup \{t+3\}\}$ .

Set  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_1$  where  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : [t] \subset A\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap [t]| = t-1\}$ . Now for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ ,  $|A \cap B| = t$  implies  $\{t+2, t+3\} \subset A$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq t$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  does not contain a sunflower of  $k-t+1$  petals with center of size  $t$ , we infer that  $|\mathcal{A}_0| \leq k-t+1$ . If  $|\mathcal{A}_0| \leq 2$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}| \leq 2(t+2) \leq \frac{(t+2)^2}{2}$ , (iii) holds. If  $|\mathcal{A}_0| \geq 3$ , since  $[t-1] \cup \{t+2, t+3\} \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\tau_t(\mathcal{A}) \geq t+1$ . Since  $|\mathcal{B}| \leq 2$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq k+1$ , (i) holds.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1^{(t+1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2^{(t+1)}$  and let  $s_1 = s(\mathcal{B}_1)$ ,  $s_2 = s(\mathcal{B}_2)$ . Let us partition  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{F}^{(s_1)} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}^{(k)}$  where  $F \in \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}$  if  $\max\{|B| : B \in \mathcal{B}_1, B \subset F\} = \ell$ . Similarly, partition  $\mathcal{G}$  into  $\mathcal{G}^{(s_2)} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{G}^{(k)}$ . By non-triviality of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , we know  $s_1 \geq t+1$  and  $s_2 \geq t+1$ . For every  $m \in [s_1, k]$ , define

$$\mathcal{F}^{(\geq m)} = \bigcup_{m \leq \ell \leq k} \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}.$$

For  $m \in [s_2, k]$ , define

$$\mathcal{G}^{(\geq m)} = \bigcup_{m \leq \ell \leq k} \mathcal{G}^{(\ell)}.$$

Let  $\alpha_\ell = \binom{s_2}{t} k^{\ell-t} |\mathcal{B}_1^{(\ell)}|$ . By (4.2) we have

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{m \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha_\ell \leq \sum_{s_1 \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha_\ell \leq 1.$$

Let  $f(n, k, \ell) = \binom{s_2}{t} k^{\ell-t} \binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell}$ . For  $n \geq k^2$ , we have

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{f(n, k, \ell+1)}{f(n, k, \ell)} = \frac{k^{\ell+1-t} \binom{n-\ell-1}{k-\ell-1}}{k^{\ell-t} \binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell}} = \frac{k(k-\ell)}{n-\ell} \leq 1.$$

Then by (5.3) and (5.4) we have for  $m \geq s_1$

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}^{(\geq m)}| &\leq \sum_{m \leq \ell \leq k} |\mathcal{B}_1^{(\ell)}| \binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell} = \sum_{m \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha_\ell f(n, k, \ell) \\ &\leq f(n, k, m) \\ &= \binom{s_2}{t} k^{m-t} \binom{n-m}{k-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have for  $m \geq s_2$

$$(5.6) \quad |\mathcal{G}^{(\geq m)}| \leq \binom{s_1}{t} k^{m-t} \binom{n-m}{k-m}.$$

Without loss of generality we assume that  $s_1 \geq s_2$ . Now we distinguish four cases.

**Case 1.**  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq t+2$ .

Applying (5.5) with  $m = s_1$ , we obtain that

$$(5.7) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{s_2}{t} k^{s_1-t} \binom{n-s_1}{k-s_1}.$$

Applying (5.6) with  $m = s_2$ , we obtain that

$$(5.8) \quad |\mathcal{G}| \leq \binom{s_1}{t} k^{s_2-t} \binom{n-s_2}{k-s_2}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \binom{s_1}{t} k^{s_1-t} \binom{n-s_1}{k-s_1} \binom{s_2}{t} k^{s_2-t} \binom{n-s_2}{k-s_2}.$$

Let  $g_i(n, k, s_i) = \binom{s_i}{t} k^{s_i-t} \binom{n-s_i}{k-s_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $s_i \geq t+2$  and for  $n \geq tk^2$ ,

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{g_i(n, k, s_i+1)}{g_i(n, k, s_i)} = \frac{\binom{s_i+1}{t} k^{s_i+1-t} \binom{n-s_i-1}{k-s_i-1}}{\binom{s_i}{t} k^{s_i-t} \binom{n-s_i}{k-s_i}} = \frac{s_i+1}{s_i+1-t} \frac{k(k-s_i)}{n-s_i} \leq \frac{t+3}{3} \frac{k(k-s_i)}{n-s_i} < 1,$$

it follows that for  $n \geq (t+2)^2 k^2 + t + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq g_1(n, k, s_1) g_2(n, k, s_2) \leq g_1(n, k, t+2) g_2(n, k, t+2) \\ &= \binom{t+2}{t}^2 k^4 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(t+2)^4 k^4 (k-t-1)^2}{4(n-t-1)^2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{4} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Apply (1.8) with  $c = 4$ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{2} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 < |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

**Case 2.**  $s_1 \geq t+3$  and  $s_2 = t+1$ .

By (5.1) we have  $|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \binom{s_1}{t} (k-t+1)$ . By (5.5),

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq (t+1) k^{s_1-t} \binom{n-s_1}{k-s_1}.$$

By (5.6),

$$|\mathcal{G}^{(\geq t+2)}| \leq \binom{s_1}{t} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq (t+1) k^{s_1-t} \binom{n-s_1}{k-s_1} \left( |\mathcal{A}_2| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \binom{s_1}{t} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\leq (t+1) k^{s_1-t} \binom{n-s_1}{k-s_1} \left( \binom{s_1}{t} (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \binom{s_1}{t} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\leq (t+1) g_1(n, k, s_1) \left( (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (5.9) we see that  $g_1(n, k, s_1)$  is a decreasing function of  $s_1$  and  $s_1 \geq t + 3$ . Thus, for  $n \geq (t + 2)^2 k^2 + t + 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq (t + 1)g_1(n, k, t + 3) \left( (k - t + 1) \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1} + k^2 \binom{n - t - 2}{k - t - 2} \right) \\
&\leq (t + 1) \binom{t + 3}{3} k^3 \binom{n - t - 3}{k - t - 3} \left( (k - t + 1) \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1} + k^2 \binom{n - t - 2}{k - t - 2} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{(t + 1)^2 (t + 2) (t + 3) k^3 (k - t - 1)^2}{6(n - t - 1)^2} \left( (k - t + 1) + \frac{k^2 (k - t - 1)}{n - t - 1} \right) \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{(t + 2)^4 k^4 (k - t + 1)}{6(n - t - 1)^2} \left( k - t + 1 + \frac{k^2 (k - t - 1)}{n - t - 1} \right) \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{k - t + 1}{6} (k - t + 1 + k - t + 1) \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1}^2 \\
&= \frac{(k - t + 1)^2}{3} \binom{n - t - 1}{k - t - 1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Apply (1.8) with  $c = 4$ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < \frac{2(k - t + 1)^2}{3} \binom{n - k - 1}{k - t - 1}^2 < |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

**Case 3.**  $s_1 = t + 2$  and  $s_2 = t + 1$ .

By (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain that

$$(5.10) \quad |\mathcal{F}^{(\geq t+3)}| \leq (t + 1)k^3 \binom{n - t - 3}{k - t - 3}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad |\mathcal{G}^{(\geq t+2)}| \leq \binom{t + 2}{t} k^2 \binom{n - t - 2}{k - t - 2} \leq \frac{(t + 2)^2}{2} k^2 \binom{n - t - 2}{k - t - 2}.$$

Fix some  $S \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(t+2)}$ . By Lemma 4.1 (i), we see that  $|A_2 \cap S| \geq t$  for all  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . For any  $T \in \binom{S}{t}$ , define

$$N(T) = \{x : T \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{A}_2\} \text{ and } \Gamma = \left\{ T \in \binom{S}{t} : |N(T)| \geq 4 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 we see that  $|N(T)| \leq k - t + 1$ . If  $|N(T)| \geq 4$ , then we claim that  $T \subset S'$  for all  $S' \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(t+2)}$ . Indeed, if  $|S' \cap T| < t$ , then for every  $x \in N(T)$ ,  $|S' \cap (T \cup \{x\})| \geq t$  implies  $|S' \cap T| = t - 1$  and  $x \in S'$ . It follows that  $|S'| \geq t - 1 + 4 = t + 3$ , a contradiction.

**Subcase 3.1.** There exist  $T, T' \in \Gamma$  such that  $T \cup T' = S$ .

Then we have  $T' \cup T \subset S'$  for all  $S' \in \mathcal{B}_1^{(t+2)}$ . It follows that  $|\mathcal{B}_1^{(t+2)}| = 1$ . By (5.1) we



have  $|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \binom{t+2}{t}(k-t+1)$ . By (5.10) and (5.11) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( |\mathcal{B}_1^{(t+2)}| \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} + (t+1)k^3 \binom{n-t-3}{k-t-3} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( |\mathcal{A}_2| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \frac{(t+2)^2}{2} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{k-t-1}{n-t-1} + \frac{(t+1)k^3(k-t-1)^2}{(n-t-1)^2} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \frac{(t+2)^2}{2} (k-t+1) + \frac{(t+2)^2 k^2 (k-t-1)}{2(n-t-1)} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using  $n \geq (t+2)^2 k^2 + t + 1$  and  $k \geq 5$  we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( \frac{(t+2)^2(k-t-1)}{(n-t-1)} + \frac{(t+1)(t+2)^2 k^3 (k-t-1)^2}{(n-t-1)^2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \frac{k-t+1}{2} + \frac{k^2(k-t-1)}{2(n-t-1)} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \left( \frac{k-t-1}{4} + \frac{k-t+1}{4} \right) \left( \frac{k-t+1}{2} + \frac{k-t+1}{4} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{8} (k-t+1)^2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Apply (1.8) with  $c = 4$ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < \frac{3(k-t+1)^2}{4} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 < |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2.$$

**Subcase 3.2.**  $T \cup T' \subsetneq S$  for every  $T, T' \in \Gamma$ .

Note that  $T \cup T' \subsetneq S$  implies  $|T \cap T'| = t - 1$  for every  $T, T' \in \Gamma$ . Fix some  $T, T' \in \Gamma$  and let  $C = T \cap T'$ ,  $T \setminus C = \{x\}$ ,  $T' \setminus C = \{y\}$ . Recall that  $\Gamma \subset \binom{S}{t}$  and  $|S| = t + 2$ . If there exists  $T'' \in \Gamma \setminus \{T, T'\}$  such that  $C \subset T''$ , then we infer that  $|\Gamma| = 3$ . If  $|T'' \cap C| \leq t - 1$  for all  $T'' \in \Gamma \setminus \{T, T'\}$ , then  $|T'' \cap T| = t - 1$  and  $|T'' \cap T'| = t - 1$  imply that  $x, y \in T''$  and  $|T'' \cap C| = t - 1$ . Hence there are at most  $t - 1$  possibilities for  $T''$  and  $|\Gamma| \leq t + 1$ . Thus  $|\Gamma| \leq t + 1$  and it follows that

$$(5.12) \quad |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq |\Gamma|(k-t+1) + 3 \left( \binom{t+2}{t} - |\Gamma| \right) \leq (t+1)(k-t-2) + 3 \binom{t+2}{2}.$$

By (5.5) we have

$$(5.13) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

Therefore, by (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \left( |\mathcal{A}_2| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + \frac{(t+2)^2}{2} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\
&\leq (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \left( (t+1)(k-t-2) + 3 \binom{t+2}{2} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \\
&\quad + (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \frac{(t+2)^2}{2} k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\
&\leq \left( \frac{(t+1)^2 k^2 (k-t-1)^2}{n-t-1} + \frac{3(t+1)^2 (t+2) k^2 (k-t-1)}{2(n-t-1)} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{(t+1)(t+2)^2 k^4 (k-t-1)^2}{2(n-t-1)^2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq 4(t+2)^2 k^2$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &< \left( \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{4} + \frac{3(t+1)(k-t+1)}{8} + \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{8} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{3}{4} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now apply (1.8) with  $c = 8$ , we conclude that

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 < \max \{ |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2 \}.$$

**Case 4.**  $s_1 = t+1$  and  $s_2 = t+1$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{G})$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{T}_t^{(t+1)}(\mathcal{F})$ . By the assumption, we have  $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{A}_2$ . By (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$(5.14) \quad |\mathcal{F}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_1| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad |\mathcal{G}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_2| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ . Then (5.2) implies  $|\mathcal{A}_1| + |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 4(k-t+1)$ .

If  $|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} / 2$ , then by multiplying (5.14) and (5.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq |\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + (t+1)k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} (|\mathcal{A}_1| + |\mathcal{A}_2|) \\
&\quad + (t+1)^2 k^4 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{4(t+1)k^2(k-t+1)^2}{n-t-1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{(t+1)^2 k^4 (k-t-1)^2}{(n-t-1)^2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq 4(t+2)^2k^2$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} + \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{4} + \frac{(k-t+1)^2}{8} \right) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{7}{8} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (1.8) with  $c = 16$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \frac{7}{8} \times \frac{16}{16-2} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &= \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \max \{ |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in the rest of the proof we may assume that

$$(5.16) \quad |\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| > \frac{1}{2} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2 = \emptyset$ , we see that  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  are non-empty exact cross  $t$ -intersecting. Applying Proposition 1.4 with  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_2$ , we see that one of (i), (ii), (iii) in Proposition 1.4 holds. If (iii) holds, then  $|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \frac{(t+2)^2}{2}$ , contradicting (5.16). Thus, either (i) or (ii) of Proposition 1.4 holds.

**Subcase 4.1.** Either  $|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq 2, |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq k+1, \tau_t(\mathcal{A}_2) \geq t+1$  or  $|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 2, |\mathcal{A}_1| \leq k+1, \tau_t(\mathcal{A}_1) \geq t+1$ .

By symmetry, assume that  $|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq 2, |\mathcal{A}_2| \leq k+1$  and  $\tau_t(\mathcal{A}_2) \geq t+1$ . Let  $r_2$  be the minimum integer such that  $\tau_t(\mathcal{B}_2^{(\leq r_2)}) \geq t+1$ . Then clearly  $s_2 = r_2 = t+1$ . Let  $\alpha'_\ell = ((t+1)^2 k^{\ell-t-1})^{-1} |\mathcal{B}_1^{(\ell)}|$ . By (4.1) we have

$$(5.17) \quad \sum_{t+2 \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha'_\ell \leq \sum_{t+1 \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha'_\ell \leq 1.$$

Let  $h(n, k, \ell) = (t+1)^2 k^{\ell-t-1} \binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell}$ . Since

$$\frac{h(n, k, \ell+1)}{h(n, k, \ell)} = \frac{k^{\ell-t} \binom{n-\ell-1}{k-\ell-1}}{k^{\ell-t-1} \binom{n-\ell}{k-\ell}} = \frac{k(k-\ell)}{n-\ell} < 1,$$

by (5.17) we infer

$$\sum_{t+2 \leq \ell \leq k} |\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}| \leq \sum_{t+2 \leq \ell \leq k} \alpha'_\ell h(n, k, \ell) \leq h(n, k, t+2) = (t+1)^2 k \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}| &= |\mathcal{F}^{(t+1)}| + \sum_{t+2 \leq \ell \leq k} |\mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}| \leq |\mathcal{A}_1| \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)^2 k \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ (5.18) \quad &\leq 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)^2 k \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = t + 1$  then

$$|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 2(k+1) \leq 2(t+2) < |\mathcal{A}(n, t+1, t)|^2$$

and we are done. Hence we may assume that  $k \geq t + 2$ . Note that  $k \geq t + 2$  and  $k \geq 5$  imply  $2(k+1) \leq (t+1)(k-t+1)$ . Therefore, by (5.18) and (5.15) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &\leq \left( 2 \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)^2 k \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( (k+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1) k^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\ &\leq 2(k+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + (2(t+1)k^2 + 2(t+1)^2 k(k+1)) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \\ &\quad + (t+1)^3 k^3 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(t+1)(k+1) = tk + k + t + 1 \leq tk + 2k = (t+2)k$  and thereby

$$2(t+1)k^2 + 2(t+1)^2 k(k+1) \leq 2(t+1)k^2 + 2(t+1)(t+2)k^2 = 2(t+1)(t+3)k^2.$$

Then for  $n \geq 4(t+2)^2 k^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &< (t+1)(k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{2(t+1)(t+3)k^2(k-t-1)}{n-t-1} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(t+1)^3 k^3 (k-t-1)^2}{(n-t-1)^2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &< (t+1)(k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{k-t-1}{2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 + \frac{k-t-1}{4} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &= \left( t + \frac{7}{4} \right) (k-t+1) \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying (1.8) with  $c = 8(t+2)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &< \left( t + \frac{7}{4} \right) (k-t+1) \frac{8(t+2)}{8(t+2)-2} \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 = (t+2)(k-t+1) \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}^2 \\ &\leq \max \{ |\mathcal{A}(n, k, t)|^2, |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Subcase 4.2.**  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$  is a sunflower with center of size  $t$ .

Without loss of generality, assume that  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{[t] \cup \{a_1\}, \dots, [t] \cup \{a_p\}\}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{[t] \cup \{b_1\}, \dots, [t] \cup \{b_q\}\}$ . By Lemma 5.1 we see  $p \leq k-t+1$  and  $q \leq k-t+1$ . If  $p \leq 2$  or  $q \leq 2$  holds, then

$$|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq 2(k-t+1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(t+2)(k-t+1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \{ (t+2)^2, (k-t+1)^2 \},$$

contradicting (5.16). Thus we further assume that  $p, q \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}([t])$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}([t])$  and  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_0$ .

**Claim 2.** For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $|F \cap [t]| = t - 1$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_q\} \subset F$ . Similarly, for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $|G \cap [t]| = t - 1$  and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\} \subset G$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, simply note that  $|F \cap ([t] \cup \{b_j\})| \geq t$  for  $j = 1, \dots, q$  and  $[t] \not\subset F$ , we see that  $|F \cap [t]| = t - 1$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_q\} \subset F$ .  $\square$

By Claim 2, we see that

$$(5.19) \quad |\mathcal{F}_1| \leq t \binom{n-t-q+1}{k-t-q+1} \leq t \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}, \quad |\mathcal{G}_1| \leq t \binom{n-t-p+1}{k-t-p+1} \leq t \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

By non-triviality, we know that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \neq \emptyset \neq \mathcal{G}_1$ . Fix some  $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . Then for every  $G_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , since  $|G_0 \cap F| \geq t$  and  $|F \cap [t]| = t - 1$ ,  $G_0 \cap (F \setminus [t]) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,

$$(5.20) \quad |\mathcal{G}_0| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-t-|F \setminus [t]|}{k-t} = \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t} = |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| - t.$$

Similarly,

$$(5.21) \quad |\mathcal{F}_0| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t} = |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| - t.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{F \setminus [t] : F \in \mathcal{F}_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{G \setminus [t] : G \in \mathcal{G}_1\}$ . If  $|\mathcal{K}_1| = |\mathcal{K}_2| = 1$ , then Claim 2 implies that  $|\mathcal{F}_1|, |\mathcal{G}_1| \leq t$ . It follows that  $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| \leq |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2$  and we are done. If at least one of  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_2$  has size greater than one, without loss of generality, assume that  $|\mathcal{K}_1| \geq 2$  and let  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_1$ . Then  $F \cap K_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Therefore  $F \cap (K_1 \cap K_2) \neq \emptyset$  or  $F \cap (K_1 \setminus K_2) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $F \cap (K_2 \setminus K_1) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that

$$|\mathcal{F}_0| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-t-|K_1 \cap K_2|}{k-t} + |K_2 \setminus K_1| |K_1 \setminus K_2| \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

Let  $|K_2 \setminus K_1| = x$ . Note that  $|K_1| = |K_2| = k - t + 1$  implies that  $1 \leq x \leq k - t$ . Define

$$\varphi(x) = \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k-1+x}{k-t} + x^2 \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.$$

Note that for  $n \geq 4k^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x) &= -\binom{n-k-1+x}{k-t} \sum_{i=0}^{k-t-1} \frac{1}{n-k-1+x-i} + 2x \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ &\leq -\binom{n-k}{k-t} \frac{k-t}{n-t} + 2(k-t) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ &\stackrel{(1.5)}{\leq} -\frac{n-t-(k-t)^2}{n-t} \binom{n-t}{k-t} \frac{k-t}{n-t} + 2(k-t) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} + 2(k-t) \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

By  $x \geq 1$ , we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}_0| \leq \varphi(1) &= \binom{n-t}{k-t} - \binom{n-k}{k-t} + \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\
(5.22) \qquad &= |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} + \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by (5.22), (5.19) and (5.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| &= (|\mathcal{F}_0| + |\mathcal{F}_1|)(|\mathcal{G}_0| + |\mathcal{G}_1|) \\
&\leq \left( |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} + (t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left( |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| + t\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \right) \\
&= |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2 + |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)| \left( (2t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} \right) \\
(5.23) \qquad &+ t(t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 - t\binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (1.7) with  $c = 2$ , we have

$$\binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} \geq \frac{c-1}{c} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} = \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}.$$

Then for  $n \geq 4(t+1)k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} - \binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1} &\leq (2t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} - \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1} \\
&= \binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \left( 2t+1 - \frac{n-t-1}{2(k-t-1)} \right) \\
(5.24) \qquad &< 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&t(t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 - t\binom{n-k-1}{k-t-1}\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\
&\leq t(t+1)\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 - \frac{t}{2}\binom{n-t-1}{k-t-1}\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2} \\
&= t\binom{n-t-2}{k-t-2}^2 \left( t+1 - \frac{(n-t-1)}{2(k-t-1)} \right) \\
(5.25) \qquad &< 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By (5.23), (5.24) and (5.25), we conclude that  $|\mathcal{F}||\mathcal{G}| < |\mathcal{H}(n, k, t)|^2$  and the theorem is proven.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments and detailed corrections. The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11701407).

## References

- [1] M. Cao, M. Lu, B. Lv, K. Wang, Some intersection theorems for finite sets, arXiv:2205.10789, 2022.
- [2] D.E. Daykin, Erdős-Ko-Rado from Kruskal-Katona, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 17 (1972), 254–255.
- [3] P. Erdős, C. Ko, R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 12* (1961), 313–320.
- [4] P. Frankl, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem is true for  $n = ckt$ , *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 18 (1978), 365–375.
- [5] P. Frankl, On intersecting families of finite sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 24 (1978), 146–161.
- [6] P. Frankl, A new short proof for the Kruskal-Katona theorem, *Discrete Math.* 48 (1984), 327–329.
- [7] P. Frankl, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem with conditions on the maximal degree, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* 46 (1987) 252–263.
- [8] P. Frankl, The shifting technique in extremal set theory, *Surveys in Combinatorics* 123 (1987), 81–110.
- [9] P. Frankl, J. Wang, A product version of the Hilton-Milner Theorem, arXiv:2206.07218, 2022.
- [10] A.J.W. Hilton, The Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem with valency conditions, unpublished manuscript, 1976.
- [11] A.J.W. Hilton, An intersection theorem for a collection of families of subsets of a finite set, *J. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1977), 369–376.
- [12] A.J.W. Hilton, E.C. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Q. J. Math.* 18 (1967), 369–384.
- [13] G.O.H. Katona, A theorem on finite sets, in: *Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq. Tihany, 1966*, Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1968; *Classic Papers in Combinatorics*, 1987, pp. 381–401.
- [14] P. Keevash, Shadows and intersections: stability and new proofs, *Adv. Math.* 218 (2008), 1685–1703.
- [15] J.B. Kruskal, The number of simplices in a complex, in: *Math. Optimization Techniques*, California Press, Berkeley, 1963, pp. 251–278.
- [16] L. Pyber, A new generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 43 (1986), 85–90.
- [17] R. M. Wilson, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorica* 4 (1984), 247–257.