

ON THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF A QUASIREDUCTIVE LIE SUPERALGEBRA

M. GORELIK, V. SERGANOVA, A. SHERMAN

ABSTRACT. Given a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} and a maximal quasitoral subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , we consider properties of restrictions of \mathfrak{g} -modules to \mathfrak{h} . This is a natural generalization of the study of characters in the case when \mathfrak{h} is an even maximal torus. We study the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$ with \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra, and prove several special properties of the restriction in this case, including an explicit realization of the \mathfrak{h} -supercharacter ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Maximal toral subalgebras and restriction. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie superalgebra, not necessarily finite-dimensional. Assume that \mathfrak{g} contains a subalgebra \mathfrak{h} such that

- $\mathfrak{t} := \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$ has diagonalizable adjoint action on \mathfrak{g} with finite-dimensional weight spaces.
- $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{t}}$;

We will call such subalgebras *maximal quasitoral*. Maximal quasitoral subalgebras play an analogous role to maximal toral subalgebras of Lie algebras. In the purely even setting, the restriction of a representation to a maximal toral subalgebra is exactly the data of its character. The character of a representation is a powerful invariant, and providing (nice) formulas for characters of irreducible representations is a central problem in representation theory.

For many Lie superalgebras of interest, maximal quasitoral subalgebra are even, i.e. $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}$ (e.g. $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, $\mathfrak{p}(n)$, ...). In this case the restriction of an irreducible highest weight representation to \mathfrak{t} completely determines it. But when $\mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{t}$, as in the case of \mathfrak{q}_n , this is no longer the case, as for some simple modules L one has $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\mathfrak{g}} L \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \Pi L$ and so the restriction map of Grothendieck groups $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{t})$ is not injective.

On the other hand the restriction to \mathfrak{h} is fine enough to make such distinctions. Choose a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{h} (from a triangular decomposition as explained in 2.1), and let \mathcal{C} be the full subcategory of \mathfrak{g} -modules such that each module in \mathcal{C} is of finite length, has a diagonal action of \mathfrak{t} , and is locally finite over \mathfrak{b} (note these conditions can be slightly weakened, see Corollary 5.2.5). Write $\mathcal{M}^s(\mathfrak{h})$ for the category of \mathfrak{h} -modules with

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10, 17B20, 17B55, 18D10.

Key words and phrases. Lie superalgebras, Grothendieck groups.

diagonal \mathfrak{t} action and finite-dimensional weight spaces. Then the restriction functor $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces an injective map $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}^s(\mathfrak{h}))$, see Corollary 5.2.5.

It is therefore of interest to understand the \mathfrak{h} -character of irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules. A difficulty that arises is that the Grothendieck ring of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{h} -modules does not have a simple manifestation like in the case of \mathfrak{t} -modules. Nevertheless a description can be given, and its structure is interesting in its own right.

1.2. $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the Grothendieck group of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules, and write M_{gr} for the natural image of a finite-dimensional module M in $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$. This ring admits two natural quotients: $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g})$, which is obtained by identifying $M_{gr} = (\Pi M)_{gr}$, and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$, obtained by identifying $M_{gr} = -(\Pi M)_{gr}$. There is a natural embedding of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ into $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$, and this embedding becomes an isomorphism over \mathbb{Q} ; thus a proper understanding of $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ suffices for the understanding of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Section 5 for a precise relationship between $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$).

The ring $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ behaves like a character ring, and in fact embeds into $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{t})$ under the restriction map; thus the information it carries is less interesting from our standpoint. On the other hand $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$, the *reduced Grothendieck ring*, has a nontrivial, even exotic structure as a ring, and will be our main object of study. The restriction map $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{t})$ is sometimes very far from being an embedding; for instance if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$ the image of any nontrivial finite-dimensional irreducible module is zero by [4]. Thus it is necessary to study instead $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$.

A further advantage of using $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ is that the Duflo-Serganova functor, while not being exact, induces a morphism $ds_x : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}_x)$. It has been known for some time (see [20]) that for Kac-Moody superalgebras, the map induced by ds_x on supercharacters is given by restriction to \mathfrak{t}_x , the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_x . This is a reflection of a more general property of ds_x , discussed in Section 8, which shows that ds_x can always be thought of as a restriction map to \mathfrak{g}_x . Therefore in our setting the induced map $ds_x : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h}_x)$ is also given by restriction of modules from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{h}_x , where \mathfrak{h}_x is a maximal quasitoral subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_x .

1.3. Results for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$; for two weights λ, μ write $\lambda \sim \mu$ if $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\mu)$ lie in the same block of $\mathcal{F}\text{in}(\mathfrak{q}(n))$. Then for a \mathfrak{g} -module M write $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M$ for the natural image of M in $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}\text{in } \mathfrak{h})$.

1.3.1. Theorem.

$$(1) \quad \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \sim \lambda} \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda)_{\mu}$$

Equivalently, if $\mu \not\sim \lambda$ then $[L(\lambda) : C(\mu)] = [L(\lambda) : \Pi C(\mu)]$, where $C(\mu)$ is an irreducible \mathfrak{h} -module of weight μ .

See Section 9 for a proof of this statement, and a stronger result. In Section 6 we present a version of the above result which holds for a more general Lie superalgebra, but only for particular blocks, those which are the closest to being ‘typical’.

1.3.2. *Supercharacter isomorphism.* Let \mathcal{C} denote the subcategory of \mathfrak{h} -modules with weights which appear in some finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_n = (\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\bar{0}}$. The Weyl group $W = S_n$ has a natural action on $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})$ in this case, and we may consider the invariant subalgebra. We prove that $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$ has a basis given by $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})}$, where $P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ are the dominant weights for \mathfrak{g} , and

$$a_\lambda = \sum_{w \in W / \text{Stab}_W(\lambda)} [C(\lambda)^w],$$

where $(-)^w$ denotes the twisting functor.

It is clear the the supercharacter map induces an embedding $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$; such a result holds for any quasireductive Lie superalgebra (see section 7). For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$ we have

1.3.3. **Theorem.** *The map $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$ is an isomorphism of rings.*

The ring $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ has another natural basis given by irreducible modules, and an important question is to understand the relation between these two bases.

1.3.4. *Realization of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\text{int}})$.* Using the above isomorphism, we are able to provide another realization of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{int}})$, where $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{int}}$ denotes the category of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{q}_n -modules with integral weights. To simplify the explanation for the introduction, we will explain this realization over \mathbb{C} .

Let $V := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ denote the complex vector space with basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$. Then write

$$A = \bigwedge V := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge^n V.$$

This is a superalgebra, and we write $A_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge^{2n} V$ for the even part. Let $J_k \subseteq A$ be the ideal generated by $\bigwedge^{k+1} V$.

1.3.5. **Theorem.** *We have an explicit isomorphism of algebras*

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\text{int}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (A/J_n)_{\bar{0}}.$$

where, up to scalar, a_λ is mapped to $v_\lambda := v_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{j_k}$, where j_1, \dots, j_k are the nonzero coordinates of λ .

1.3.6. *Relation to the Duflo-Serganova functor.* For \mathfrak{q}_n , the maps ds_x depend only on the rank of x , which is a non-negative half-integer $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. Thus we write $ds_x = ds_s$, where $s = \text{rank}(x)$, and this gives a map $ds_s : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_{n-2s}))$. We have the following simple formula for ds_s in terms of the basis $\{a_\lambda\}$, using the previously noted fact that ds_x is given by restriction:

$$ds_s(a_\mu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if zero } \mu < 2s \\ a_{\mu'} & \text{if zero } \mu \geq 2s \end{cases}$$

where $\mu' \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ is such that $\text{Nonzero}(\mu) = \text{Nonzero}(\mu')$. In [16], we compute ds_s on the basis $\{[L(\lambda)]\}_{\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})}$ of irreducible modules; remarkably it admits a similarly simple expression:

$$ds_s([L(\lambda)]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if zero } \lambda < 2s \\ [L(\lambda')] & \text{if zero } \lambda \geq 2s. \end{cases}$$

1.4. **Summary of sections.** In Section 2 we introduce the class of Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} we consider as well as introduce the Clifford algebra and its irreducible representations. In Section 3 we describe the representation theory of quasitoral Lie superalgebras, and in particular compute the tensor product of arbitrary irreducible representations. Section 4 is a reminder on highest weight irreducible modules over \mathfrak{g} . Section 5 introduces the Grothendieck and reduced Grothendieck rings, and studies the structure of these rings for quasitoral Lie superalgebras; so-called spoiled superalgebras are introduced. Section 6 presents a general result on the form of the supercharacter of an irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module in the case when the block is nice enough. Section 7 discusses what more we can say when we assume that \mathfrak{g} is quasireductive and we work with finite-dimensional modules semisimple over $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$. Section 8 introduces the map ds_x on reduced Grothendieck rings, and realizes it as coming from a restriction functor in many cases. In Section 9 the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$ is studied, and we relate the reduced Grothendieck ring of the integral subcategory to a natural quotient of an exterior algebra on an infinite-dimensional vector space.

1.5. **Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to I. Entova-Aizenbud, D. Grantcharov, and V. Hinich for numerous helpful discussions. The first author was supported in part by ISF Grant 1957/21. The second author was supported in part by NSF grant 2001191. The third author was supported in part by ISF Grant 711/18 and by NSF-BSF Grant 2019694.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We work over the field over of complex numbers, \mathbb{C} and denote by \mathbb{N} the set of non-negative integers. For a super vector space V we write $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ for its parity decomposition. Then ΠV will denote the parity-shifted super vector space obtained from V . Let $\delta_V := \delta$ denote the endomorphism of V given by $\delta(v) = (-1)^{p(v)}v$.

We work with Lie superalgebras which are not necessarily finite-dimensional. For a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} we denote by $\mathcal{F}\text{in}(\mathfrak{g})$ the full subcategory of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules.

2.1. Maximal (quasi)toral subalgebras.

2.1.1. *Definition.* Let \mathfrak{g} be Lie superalgebra. We say that a finite-dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is a *maximal toral* subalgebra if it is commutative, acts diagonally on \mathfrak{g} under the adjoint representation, and we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}^{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t}$. In this case we set $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{t}}$, and we refer to \mathfrak{h} as a *maximal quasitoral* subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Observe that $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{0}} = \mathfrak{t}$.

Denote by $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}) := \Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{t}^*$ the nonzero eigenvalues of \mathfrak{t} in $\text{Ad } \mathfrak{g}$, and write $Q = \mathbb{Z}\Delta$. We will assume throughout that

$$\text{all eigenspaces } \mathfrak{g}_{\nu} \ (\nu \in \Delta \cup \{0\}) \text{ are finite-dimensional.} \quad (*)$$

In particular we assume that \mathfrak{h} is finite-dimensional. We have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right).$$

2.1.2. *Triangular decomposition.* We choose a group homomorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. Such a homomorphism exists because $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathbb{R}$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. We introduce the triangular decomposition $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}) = \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}) \amalg \Delta^-(\mathfrak{g})$, with

$$\Delta^{\pm}(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \pm \gamma(\alpha) > 0\},$$

and define a partial order on \mathfrak{t}^* by

$$\lambda \geq \nu \text{ if } \nu - \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\Delta^{-}.$$

We set $\mathfrak{n}^{\pm} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and call a subalgebra of the form $\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{+}$ a Borel subalgebra. We further assume throughout that

$$(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^{-})_{\nu} \text{ is finite-dimensional for all } \nu \in Q \quad (**)$$

2.1.3. Examples.

- If \mathfrak{g} is a Kac-Moody superalgebra, then, by [23] any maximal toral subalgebra \mathfrak{t} satisfying (*) is Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$; one has $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}$.
- If \mathfrak{g} is a quasi-reductive Lie superalgebra ($\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is reductive and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a semisimple \mathfrak{g} -module), then a maximal toral (resp. quasitoral) subalgebra \mathfrak{t} is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ (resp. \mathfrak{g}). In both this example and the former, \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{h} are unique up to a conjugation by inner automorphism, see [23].
- If we fix an invariant form on a quasi-reductive Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} (this can be the zero form), we can construct the affinization $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{t} + \mathbb{C}K + \mathbb{C}d$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}K + \mathbb{C}d$.
- The cases when $\mathfrak{t} \neq \mathfrak{h}$ include the queer Lie superalgebras and their affinizations.

2.2. Clifford algebras. For a vector space V with a symmetric (not necessarily nondegenerate) bilinear form F , let $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F)$ denote the corresponding Clifford algebra. This is a superalgebra where the elements of V are declared to be odd. Write $K \subseteq V$ for the kernel of F , so that F induces a nondegenerate form on V/K , which we also write as F . Then we have an isomorphism of superalgebras:

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F) \cong \mathcal{C}\ell(V/K, F) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet K.$$

The superalgebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F)$ is semisimple if and only if F is nondegenerate.

2.2.1. Suppose F is nondegenerate, and write m for the dimension of V . We have

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F) \cong Q(2^{n+1}) \quad \text{if } m = 2n + 1, \quad \mathcal{C}\ell(V, F) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}|2^{n-1}}) \quad \text{if } m = 2n.$$

Here $Q(r)$ is the queer superalgebra, i.e. the associative subalgebra of $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^r|\mathbb{C}^r)$ consisting of the matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$.

It follows that $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F)$ is a simple superalgebra and admits a unique, parity invariant, irreducible module when m is odd, while if m is even there are two irreducible modules that differ by parity. Moreover, all $(\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded) $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F)$ -modules are completely reducible. For $m \neq 0$, if E is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F)$ one has

$$\dim E_{\bar{0}} = \dim E_{\bar{1}} = 2^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor}.$$

2.2.2. For a non-negative integer m , we write $\mathcal{C}\ell(m)$ for the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathbb{C}^m, F)$, where F is the standard nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathbb{C}^m . Clearly $\mathcal{C}\ell(V, F) \cong \mathcal{C}\ell(m)$ if $\dim V = m$ and F is nondegenerate.

2.2.3. Let A_1, A_2 be associative superalgebras and V_i be an A_i -modules; we define the outer tensor product $V_1 \boxtimes V_2$ as the space $V_1 \otimes V_2$ endowed by the $A_1 \otimes A_2$ -action

$$(a_1, a_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) := (-1)^{p(a_2)p(v_1)} a_1 v_1 \otimes a_2 v_2.$$

One has $\mathcal{C}\ell(m) \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell(n) \cong \mathcal{C}\ell(m+n)$; if V_1 (resp., V_2) are simple modules over $\mathcal{C}\ell(m_1)$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}\ell(m_2)$), then $V_1 \boxtimes V_2$ is a simple if either m_1 or m_2 is even, and if m_1 and m_2 are both odd then $V_1 \otimes V_2 = L \oplus \Pi L$, where L is simple over $\mathcal{C}\ell(n_1 + m_1)$.

2.3. Realization of the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n)$. Consider \mathbb{C}^{2n} with standard basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$, equipped with the symmetric nondegenerate form $(-, -)$ satisfying:

$$(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (e_i, e_j) = (f_i, f_j) = 0.$$

Consider the polynomial superalgebra $L = k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ with odd generators ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n . Then we may realize L as an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n)$ via $e_i \mapsto \xi_i$ and $f_j \mapsto \partial_{\xi_j}$, i.e. e_i acts by multiplication by ξ_i and f_j acts by the derivation sending $\xi_i \mapsto \delta_{ij}$. In this way we have defined a surjective morphism $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n) \rightarrow \text{End}(L)$ (in fact it is an isomorphism). Every irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n)$ is isomorphic to L or ΠL .

2.3.1. Continuing with the setup from 2.3, if we choose W a maximal isotropic subspace of \mathbb{C}^{2n} , then $\Lambda^\bullet W$ acts on L , and under this action L is isomorphic to the exterior algebra of W under left multiplication. Thus given two arbitrary irreducible representations of $\mathcal{C}\ell(V)$, they are isomorphic if and only if the parities of the one-dimensional W -invariant subspaces of each are the same.

2.4. **The case $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n+1)$.** Next we look at \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} with nondegenerate symmetric form $(-, -)$ and basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n, g$. Here the same inner product relations for the e_i 's and f_i 's hold along with

$$(g, e_i) = (g, f_j) = 0, \quad (g, g) = 1.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $L = k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1}]$. Then we may realize L as the unique irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(2n+1)$ by $e_i \mapsto \xi_i$, $f_j \mapsto \partial_{\xi_j}$, and $g \mapsto \xi_{n+1} + \partial_{\xi_{n+1}}$.

2.5. **The operator T .** Choose an orthonormal basis H_1, \dots, H_m of \mathbb{C}^m , and let $T = H_1 \cdots H_m \in \mathcal{C}\ell(m)$. Note that this operator is an eigenvector of $O(m)$ with weight given by the determinant. Thus it is well-defined up to an orientation on V .

Let V be an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}\ell(m)$. If m is odd, then $\Pi V \cong V$, and $\text{End}(V) = \mathcal{C}\ell(m) \oplus \mathcal{C}\ell(m)\delta_V$, where $\delta_V(v) = (-1)^{p(v)}v$. In this case, $T = \phi\delta_V$, where ϕ is an odd $\mathcal{C}\ell(m)$ -equivariant automorphism of V . If m is even, then $V \not\cong \Pi(V)$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell(m) = \text{End}(V)$. In this case, $T = (-1)^n\delta_V \in \text{End}(V)$, where $\dim V = 2n$.

3. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF QUASITORAL LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

3.1. **\mathfrak{h} -modules.** Take \mathfrak{h} as in section 2.1: \mathfrak{h} is a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra with

$$[\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$$

where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}}$. We call Lie superalgebras of this form quasitoral. For a semisimple \mathfrak{t} -module N , and $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, write N_ν for the ν -weight space in N .

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ the full subcategory of \mathfrak{h} -modules N with diagonal action of \mathfrak{t} and finite-dimensional weight spaces N_ν . We set $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ consisting of those modules which are finite-dimensional. The simple modules in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ coincide. In this section we study the questions of restriction, tensor product, and extensions of simple modules in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$.

We denote by σ the anti-automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ induced by $-\text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ (recall that anti-automorphism means $\sigma(ab) = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}\sigma(b)\sigma(a)$). This anti-automorphism induces the standard duality $*$ on $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$.

3.1.1. View $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ as a Clifford algebra over the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t})$; the corresponding symmetric bilinear form is given on $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$ by the formula $F(H, H') = [H, H']$ (see Appendix in [9] for details).

For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, the evaluation of F at λ gives the symmetric form $F_\lambda : (H, H') \mapsto \lambda([H, H'])$. We denote by n_λ the rank of this form. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we consider the Clifford algebra

$$\mathcal{C}l(\lambda) := \mathcal{C}l(\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}, F_\lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})I(\lambda),$$

where $I(\lambda)$ stands for the kernel of the algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induced by λ . We will write $K_\lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$ for the kernel of F_λ . There is an isomorphism of superalgebras

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C}l(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{C}l(\text{rank } F_\lambda) \otimes \bigwedge K_\lambda.$$

Denote by $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\lambda)$ the canonical epimorphism, and $p_\lambda : \mathcal{C}l(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\lambda)/(K_\lambda)$ for the projection. Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be an automorphism Lie superalgebras, and write also φ for the corresponding automorphism of $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{h}$. Then for every λ , φ induces isomorphisms of algebras

$$\varphi_\lambda : \mathcal{C}l(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)), \quad \overline{\varphi}_\lambda : \mathcal{C}l(\lambda)/K_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}l(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda))/(K_{\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)}).$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \\ \downarrow \phi_\lambda & & \downarrow \phi_{\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)} \\ \mathcal{C}l(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\lambda} & \mathcal{C}l(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)) \\ \downarrow p_\lambda & & \downarrow p_{\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)} \\ \mathcal{C}l(\lambda)/(K_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}_\lambda} & \mathcal{C}l(\varphi^{-1}(\lambda))/(K_{\varphi^{-1}(\lambda)}) \end{array}$$

For the anti-involution σ we also have the same diagram as above, where the induced maps $\sigma_\lambda, \overline{\sigma}_\lambda$ are anti-algebra isomorphisms.

3.1.2. Lemma.

- (i) A $\mathcal{C}l(\lambda)$ -module N is semisimple if and only if $\text{Ann}_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}} N = K_\lambda$.
- (ii) If N is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}l(\lambda)$ -module of length 2, then $[N : \mathcal{C}l(\lambda)] = [N : \Pi(\mathcal{C}l(\lambda))]$.

Proof. These follow from formula (2). □

3.1.3. Examples.

- If \mathfrak{g} is such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is commutative, then F is the zero form.
- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_n$ one has $\dim \mathfrak{h} = (n|n)$ and $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{q}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{q}_1$.
- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sq}_n$ one has $\dim \mathfrak{h} = (n|n-1)$.

3.2. Irreducible \mathfrak{h} -modules. The irreducible \mathfrak{h} -modules all arise from irreducible modules over $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We denote by $C(\lambda)$ a simple $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$ -module and also view it as an \mathfrak{h} -module. For $\lambda = 0$ we fix the grading by taking $C_0 = \mathbb{C}$; for all other values of λ we fix a grading in an arbitrary way until further notice. By above,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim C(\lambda) &= 2^{n_\lambda} \quad \text{where } n_\lambda := \lfloor \frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda + 1}{2} \rfloor, \\ \{u \in \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}} \mid uC(\lambda) = 0\} &= K_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Set:

$$(5) \quad I_i = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \text{rank } F_\lambda \equiv i \pmod{2}\} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Then $C(\lambda) \cong \Pi C(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda \in I_1$; we will often use the notation $\Pi^{\frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda}{2}} C(\lambda)$, where $\Pi^{\frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda}{2}} C(\lambda) \cong C(\lambda) \cong \Pi C(\lambda)$ if $\text{rank } F_\lambda$ is odd.

3.3. Blocks of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$. The blocks of both $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ are parameterized up to parity shift by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, as follows: If $\text{corank } F_\lambda > 0$, then there is one block of both $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ on which \mathfrak{t} acts by the character λ ; in both cases this block is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional modules over $\bigwedge K_\lambda$. If $\text{corank } F_\lambda = 0$ then for both categories there is one (resp. two) block(s) of \mathfrak{h} on which \mathfrak{t} acts by λ when $\lambda \in I_1$ (resp. $\lambda \in I_0$), and the block(s) is (are) semisimple.

3.3.1. Remark. One can think of $\text{corank } F_\lambda$ as the ‘‘atypicality’’ of its corresponding block(s). In particular $\text{corank } F_\lambda = 0$ if and only if the block is semisimple and thus its objects are projective in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$, and in general the block corresponding to λ is equivalent to modules over a Grassmann algebra on $\text{corank}(F_\lambda)$ -many variables.

3.4. The operator $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let H_1, \dots, H_n be a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$, and define

$$T_{\mathfrak{h}} = \{H_1, \{\dots \{H_n, 1\} \dots\} \in \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{h}$$

where $\{x, y\} = xy + (-1)^{\overline{x}\overline{y}}yx$ denotes the super anticommutator in $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{h}$. It is known that up to scalar, $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ does not depend on the choice of basis, see [10]. This operator anticommutes with $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$, so in particular the image of a submodule under $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ remains a submodule.

3.4.1. Action of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on simples. The action of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on simple \mathfrak{h} -modules is as follows. If $\text{corank } F_\lambda > 0$, then $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts by 0. If $\text{corank } F_\lambda = 0$, then $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts by an automorphism, although not \mathfrak{h} -equivariantly. If n is odd, then $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a nonzero multiple of $\delta\phi$, where ϕ is an \mathfrak{h} -equivariant odd automorphism. If n is even, $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a nonzero multiple of δ .

In particular when n is even, T acts on $C(\lambda)$ by an operator of the form

$$a(\lambda) \text{Id}_{(C(\lambda))_{\overline{0}}} \oplus (-a(\lambda)) \text{Id}_{(C(\lambda))_{\overline{1}}},$$

for a scalar $a(\lambda)$. Thus T distinguishes between $C(\lambda)$ and its parity shift for projective irreducible modules.

3.4.2. *Action of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on all of $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$.* Let $\text{corank } F_\lambda > 0$. Then the injective hull of $C(\lambda)$ is given by the $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$ -module $I(C(\lambda)) = C(\lambda) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet K_\lambda$. We claim that

- (i) $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ annihilates the radical of $I(C(\lambda))$;
- (ii) $\text{Im } T_{\mathfrak{h}} = C(\lambda) = \text{socle } I(C(\lambda))$.

In other words, $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts by taking the head of this module to its socle. It follows that we understand completely the action of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on every module in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$.

To prove our claim, choose a basis f_1, \dots, f_r of K_λ and extend it to a basis $e_1, \dots, e_s, f_1, \dots, f_r$ of \mathfrak{h}_Γ so that $F_\lambda(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Then the image of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$ in $\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h})$, up to scalar, is given by

$$e_1 \cdots e_s f_1 \cdots f_r.$$

By considering the action of this operator, the statement is clear.

3.4.3. *Remark.* It is possible to uniformly choose the parity of irreducible \mathfrak{h} -modules as follows. We consider a linear order on \mathbb{C} given by $c_2 > c_1$ if the real part of $c_2 - c_1$ is positive or the real part is zero and the imaginary part is positive. We fix any function

$$t : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid c > 0\}.$$

Retain notation of 3.1.1. For each λ we choose $T_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ in such a way that

$$\overline{T}_\lambda = p_\lambda \circ \phi_\lambda(T_\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)/K_\lambda$$

is an anticontral element satisfying $\overline{T}_\lambda^2 = t(\lambda)^2$ (the element \overline{T}_λ is unique up to sign).

If $\lambda \in I_0$, then T_λ is even and it acts on $C(\lambda)$ by a nonzero superconstant $\pm t(\lambda)$ and we fix a grading on $C(\lambda)$ by taking

$$(C(\lambda))_{\overline{0}} := \{v \in C(\lambda) \mid T_\lambda v = t(\lambda)v\}.$$

3.4.4. *Dualities in $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$.* Fix $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. The category $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ has the duality $*$ induced by σ , and another contragredient involution $(-)^{\#} : \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ induced by the antiautomorphism $\sigma'(a) = a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{0}}$ and $\sigma'(a) = \sqrt{-1}a$ for $a \in \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$. Note that σ' induces an anti-involution on $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$.

The element \overline{T}_λ can be written as the product $H'_1 \dots H'_k$, where H'_1, \dots, H'_k is a lift of a basis of $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)/K_\lambda$ satisfying $[H'_i, H'_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore $\overline{T}_\lambda = (-1)^{\frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda}{2}} \sigma'(\overline{T}_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in I_0$; this gives the following useful formula

$$(6) \quad C(\lambda)^{\#} \cong \Pi^{\frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda}{2}} C(\lambda);$$

which was first established in [8], Lemma 7.

Since $\overline{\sigma}_\lambda : \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)/K_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(-\lambda)/K_{-\lambda}$ is anti-isomorphism we have $\frac{\overline{\sigma}_\lambda(\overline{T}_\lambda)}{t(\lambda)} = (-1)^i \frac{\overline{T}_{-\lambda}}{t(-\lambda)}$ for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$, and correspondingly $C(\lambda)^* \cong \Pi^i C(-\lambda)$.

3.5. Restriction to quasitoral subalgebra. Given a quasitoral subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}' \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$, we have $\mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{0}} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{t}$. Thus we have a natural restriction $\mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{t}')^*$, and therefore we consider weights $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ as defining weights in $(\mathfrak{t}')^*$ naturally. We write F'_λ for the bilinear form induced on $\mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{1}}$ by a given weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, which is exactly the restriction of F_λ to $\mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{1}}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$ which is generated by $\mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{1}}$; clearly, $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{1}}, F'_\lambda)$. Denote by $E'(\lambda)$ a simple $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)$ -module.

3.5.1. Proposition. *Write $V' = \mathfrak{h}'_{\bar{1}}$.*

- (i) $C(\lambda)$ is simple over $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lfloor \frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda + 1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{\text{rank } F'_\lambda + 1}{2} \rfloor$.
- (ii) $C(\lambda)$ is semisimple over $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)$ if and only if $\text{Ker } F'_\lambda = V' \cap \text{Ker } F_\lambda$.
- (iii) If $\text{rank } F_\lambda \neq \text{rank } F'_\lambda$, then $[C(\lambda) : E'_\lambda] = [C(\lambda) : \Pi E'_\lambda]$.

Proof. The case (i) follows from (4) and case (ii) follows from part (ii) of lemma 3.1.2.

For (iii) assume that $\text{rank } F_\lambda \neq \text{rank } F'_\lambda$. Substituting \mathfrak{h} by $\mathfrak{h}/\text{Ker } F_\lambda$ we may assume that $\text{Ker } F_\lambda = 0 \neq \text{Ker } F'_\lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ admits a basis H'_1, \dots, H'_m such that V' is spanned by H_1, \dots, H'_p and the matrix of F_λ takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} Id_{p-s} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Id_s & 0 \\ 0 & Id_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Id_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with $k + s + p = m$, $k, s \geq 0$ and $k + s > 0$ (since $V' \neq V$). Note that $\text{Ker } F'_\lambda$ is spanned by H_{p+1}, \dots, H'_{p+s} and so E'_λ is a simple $\mathcal{C}\ell_{p-s}$ -module. If $k \neq 0$, then the action of H'_k to $C(\lambda)$ is an odd involutive $\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)$ -homomorphism, so $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{C}\ell'(\lambda)} C(\lambda)$ is Π -invariant. Consider the remaining case $k = 0$. Then $s \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda) = \mathcal{C}\ell_{p-s} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{2s}$. Using 2.2.3 we get $C(\lambda) \cong E'_\lambda \boxtimes E''$, where E'' is a simple $\mathcal{C}\ell_{2s}$ -module. By above, $\dim E''_{\bar{0}} = \dim E''_{\bar{1}}$. Hence $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{C}\ell_{p-s}} C(\lambda)$ is Π -invariant, that is

$$[C(\lambda) : E'_\lambda] = [C(\lambda) : \Pi E'_\lambda]$$

as required. This establishes (iii). □

3.6. Tensor product of irreducible \mathfrak{h} -modules. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We compute $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$. Observe that $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is naturally a module over $\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}/K_\lambda \cap K_\mu, F_{\lambda+\mu})$ and $K_\lambda \cap K_\mu \subseteq K_{\lambda+\mu}$. Set

$$K_{\lambda, \mu} := K_{\lambda+\mu}/(K_\lambda \cap K_\mu).$$

We have an isomorphism of superalgebras

$$\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}/K_\lambda \cap K_\mu, F_{\lambda+\mu}) \cong \mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}/K_{\lambda+\mu}, F_{\lambda+\mu}) \otimes \bigwedge K_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

3.6.1. **Lemma.** $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is projective over $\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/(K_\lambda \cap K_\mu), F_{\lambda+\mu})$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\bigwedge K_{\lambda,\mu}$ acts projectively. Let $v \in K_{\lambda,\mu}$. Then WLOG $v \notin K_\lambda$, so the subalgebra generated by v acts projectively on $C(\lambda)$, and thus also on the tensor product. The statement now follows from facts about the representation theory of exterior algebras (see [1]). \square

3.6.2. Notice that the unique (up to parity) indecomposable projective module P over $\bigwedge K_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the regular representation. Thus we have shown that $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is a sum of modules of the form $(\Pi)C(\lambda + \mu) \otimes \bigwedge K_{\lambda,\mu}$.

If the rank of either F_λ or F_μ is odd, or the rank of $F_{\lambda+\mu}$ is odd, then the tensor product $C(\lambda + \mu) \otimes P$ is parity invariant, so the explicit decomposition of $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is the appropriate number of copies of $C(\lambda + \mu) \otimes P$ and its parity shift, according to a dimension count.

3.6.3. Thus let us suppose that $\text{rank } F_\lambda, \text{rank } F_\mu,$ and $\text{rank } F_{\lambda+\mu}$ are all even and we have $\text{rank } F_\nu = 2n_\nu$ for $\nu = \lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu$.

By 2.3, we may realize $C(\lambda)$ as $k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ and $C(\mu)$ as $k[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m]$, so that

$$C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu) = k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m].$$

Now choose a maximal isotropic subspace U for $F_{\lambda+\mu}$ in $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$. Then the parity decomposition of $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is described by the U -invariants on this space. Let $u \in U$. Then because u^2 acts trivially on this module, its action on the tensor product is given by (using 2.3)

$$u \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j,$$

where $a_i, b_j \in \mathcal{C}$, and $X_i \in \{\xi_i, \partial_{\xi_i}\}$ and $Y_j \in \{\eta_j, \partial_{\eta_j}\}$. Further, because $[U, U]$ acts trivially, we can choose X_1, \dots, X_n and Y_1, \dots, Y_m uniformly so that every element of U acts in the way described for u , with potentially different coefficients a_i and b_j . Now, suppose that $X_i = \xi_i$ for some i . Define an odd, linear automorphism s_i of $k[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ as follows. For $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_{|J|}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$, write $\xi_J = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_{|J|}}$, and then set:

$$s_i(\xi_J) = \xi_i \xi_J \quad s_i(\xi_i \xi_J) = \xi_J.$$

Then under this automorphism, multiplication by ξ_i becomes ∂_{ξ_i} and vice versa, while for $i \neq j$, ∂_{ξ_j} and multiplication by ξ_j become negative themselves. Using this automorphism, we may instead assume that $X_i = \partial_{\xi_i}$, and in this way we may assume that $X_i = \partial_{\xi_i}$ for all i , and $Y_j = \partial_{\eta_j}$ for all j . Thus U acts by a subspace of constant coefficient vector fields on the tensor product. Write $Z \subseteq \langle \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \rangle$ for the invariants of U in this subspace. Then

$$(C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu))^U = \Lambda^\bullet Z.$$

Thus we have shown the following (recall that $n_\lambda := \lfloor \frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda + 1}{2} \rfloor$):

3.6.4. Theorem. *If $n_{\lambda+\mu} + \dim K_{\lambda,\mu} = n_\lambda + n_\mu$, then up to parity $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu) \cong C(\lambda + \mu) \otimes \bigwedge K_{\lambda,\mu}$. Otherwise*

$$C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu) = (C(\lambda + \mu) \otimes \bigwedge K_{\lambda,\mu}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^a |2^a}.$$

where $a = \frac{n_\lambda + n_\mu - n_{\lambda+\mu}}{2} - \dim K_{\lambda,\mu}$.

3.6.5. Corollary. *The module $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is Π -invariant except for the case when $K_\lambda, K_\mu, K_{\lambda+\mu}$ have even codimensions in $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$ and*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} = K_\lambda + K_\mu + K_{\lambda+\mu}.$$

Proof. Note that $\Pi(C(\lambda)) = C(\lambda) \otimes \Pi(\mathbb{C}) \cong C(\lambda)$ implies $\Pi(C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)) \cong C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$. On the other hand, if $\text{codim Ker } F_{\lambda+\mu}$ is odd, then any $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}/K_{\lambda+\mu}$ -module is Π -invariant. Therefore $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is Π -invariant if at least one of the numbers $\text{codim } K_\lambda, \text{codim } K_\mu, \text{codim } K_{\lambda+\mu}$ is odd. Now assume that these numbers are even. Note that

$$K_\lambda \cap K_\mu = K_{\lambda+\mu} \cap K_\mu = K_\lambda \cap K_{\lambda+\mu}.$$

and set

$$m_{\lambda,\mu} := \dim(K_\lambda \cap K_\mu), \quad r_\lambda := \dim K_\lambda / (K_\lambda \cap K_\mu), \quad r_\mu := \dim K_\mu / (K_\lambda \cap K_\mu).$$

Assume that $C(\lambda) \otimes C(\mu)$ is not Π -invariant. By Theorem 3.6.4 in this case

$$n_{\lambda+\mu} + \dim K_{\lambda,\mu} = n_\lambda + n_\mu.$$

One has

$$n_\lambda = \lfloor \frac{\text{codim } K_\lambda + 1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{\text{codim } K_\lambda}{2} = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}} - r_\lambda - m_{\lambda,\mu}}{2}$$

with the similar formulae for n_μ and $n_{\lambda+\mu} = \frac{n - \dim K_{\lambda,\mu} - m_{\lambda,\mu}}{2}$. This gives

$$\dim K_{\lambda,\mu} + m_{\lambda,\mu} + r_\lambda + r_\mu = \dim \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$$

as required. □

4. THE IRREDUCIBLE MODULES $L(\lambda)$

We now return to the setting of 2.1, so that \mathfrak{g} denotes a Lie superalgebra containing a finite-dimensional quasitoral subalgebra \mathfrak{h} .

4.1. Highest weight modules. We call a \mathfrak{g} -module N a module of highest weight λ if $N_\lambda \neq 0$ and $N_\nu \neq 0$ implies $\nu \leq \lambda$.

View $C(\lambda)$ as a \mathfrak{b} -module with the zero action of \mathfrak{n} and set

$$M(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} C(\lambda);$$

the module $M(\lambda)$ has a unique simple quotient which we denote by $L(\lambda)$. Each simple module of highest weight λ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ if $\text{rank } F_\lambda$ is odd (i.e., if $\lambda \in I_1$); if $\text{rank } F_\lambda$ is even (i.e., if $\lambda \in I_0$), each simple module of highest weight λ is isomorphic to $L(\lambda)$ or to $\Pi L(\lambda)$, and these modules are not isomorphic.

Note that \mathfrak{t} acts diagonally on $M(\lambda)$ and all weight spaces $M(\lambda)_\nu$ are finite-dimensional (since we assume all weight spaces $(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^-)_\nu$ are finite-dimensional); in particular, $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M(\lambda)$ lies in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$.

4.2. Duality. In many cases the antiautomorphism $(-)^{\#}$ introduced in 3.4.4 can be extended to an antiautomorphism of \mathfrak{g} which satisfies $(a^{\#})^{\#} = (-1)^{p(a)}a$. Using this antiautomorphism we can introduce a contragredient duality on \mathfrak{g} -modules N satisfying $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$, in such a way that $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} N^{\#} = (\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} N)^{\#}$. The map $N \xrightarrow{\sim} (N^{\#})^{\#}$ is given by $v \mapsto (-1)^{p(v)}v$. By (6), $L(\lambda)^{\#} \cong L(\lambda) \cong \Pi L(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in I_1$ and

$$(7) \quad L(\lambda)^{\#} \cong \Pi^{\frac{\text{rank } F_\lambda}{2}} L(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in I_0.$$

The antiautomorphism $(-)^{\#}$ exists for Kac-Moody superalgebras. For $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ the antiautomorphism $(-)^{\#}$ can be given by the formula $a^{\#} := a^t$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ and $a^{\#} := \sqrt{-1}a^t$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ (where a^t stands for the transposed matrix); this antiautomorphism induces $(-)^{\#}$ for the queer superalgebras $\mathfrak{q}_n, \mathfrak{sq}_n, \mathfrak{pq}_n, \mathfrak{psq}_n$.

4.2.1. Remark. The duality $\#$ can be defined using a “naive antiautomorphism”, i.e. an invertible map $\sigma' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $\sigma'([a, b]) = [\sigma'(b), \sigma'(a)]$ via the formula $g.f(v) := f(\sigma(g)v)$ for $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f \in N^*$ and $v \in N$ (this was done in [9] and [8]).

Consider the map $\theta' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ given by $\theta'(g) = (\sqrt{-1})^m g$, where $m = 0$ for $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ and $m = 1$ for $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$. If σ' is a “naive antiautomorphism”, then $\sigma'\theta'$ is an antiautomorphism.

5. GROTHENDIECK RINGS AND CHARACTER MORPHISMS

Let \mathcal{C} be a subcategory of the full category of \mathfrak{g} -modules. In this paper we always assume that all modules in \mathcal{C} are of finite length and that \mathcal{C} is a dense subcategory, i.e. for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ the module M lies in \mathcal{C} if and only if M', M'' lie in \mathcal{C} . In addition, we usually assume that $\Pi(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.

An additional notation we will use is the following: for a super ring B we set $B_{\mathbb{Q}} := B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

5.1. Grothendieck groups. We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ the Grothendieck group of \mathcal{C} which is the free abelian group generated by N_{gr} for each module N in \mathcal{C} . When $\Pi\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$, we define the structure of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]/(\xi^2 - 1)$ -module on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ by setting $\xi N_{gr} := \Pi(N)_{gr}$ for $N \in \mathcal{C}$. Set $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})/(\xi \mp 1)$.

We call the group $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})/(\xi + 1)$ the *reduced Grothendieck group*. We denote by $N_{gr,\pm}$ the image of N_{gr} in $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}(\mathcal{C})$; later we will use $[N]$ for $N_{gr,-}$.

Note that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is an abelian group, so $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}(\mathcal{C})$ are also abelian groups.

5.1.1. We denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible modules in \mathcal{C} modulo Π and write

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{0}} \amalg \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{1}},$$

where $L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{0}}$ if $\Pi(L) \not\cong L$ and $L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{1}}$ if $\Pi(L) \cong L$.

By our assumptions on \mathcal{C} , $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ a free \mathbb{Z} -module with a basis

$$\{L_{gr}, \xi L_{gr} \mid L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{0}}\} \amalg \{L_{gr} \mid L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{1}}\}.$$

5.1.2. The group $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{C})$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with a basis $\{L_{gr,+} \mid L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})\}$.

5.1.3. *Reduced Grothendieck group.* One has

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{free} \oplus \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{2-tor},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{free}$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with a basis $\{L_{gr,-} \mid L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{0}}\}$ and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{2-tor}$ is a 2-torsion subgroup which is a free \mathbb{Z}_2 -module with a basis $\{L_{gr,-} \mid L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{1}}\}$.

5.1.4. Proposition. *Consider the natural map $\psi : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{C})$.*

- (i) *The map ψ is an embedding.*
- (ii) *The image of ψ is a subgroup consists of the pairs*

$$\left(\sum_{L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} m_L L_{gr,+}, \sum_{L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} n_L L_{gr,-} \right)$$

where $m_L, n_L \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m_L \equiv n_L \pmod{2}$ for all L and $n_L \in \{0, 1\}$ for $L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{1}}$.

- (iii) *The map ψ induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. For (i) take $a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})(\xi - 1) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})(\xi + 1)$. Then $a = a_+(\xi - 1) = a_-(\xi + 1)$ for $a_{\pm} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$. Using $\xi^2 = 1$ we obtain

$$2a_+(1 - \xi) = a_+(\xi - 1)^2 = a_-(\xi^2 - 1) = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{C})$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module this gives $a_+(\xi - 1) = 0$, so $a = 0$. This establishes (i).

The assertion (ii) follows from the observation that the subgroup generated by the pairs $\psi(L_{gr}) = (L_{gr,+}, L_{gr,-})$, $\psi(\Pi L_{gr}) = (L_{gr,+}, -L_{gr,-})$ for all $L \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ coincides with the subgroup described in (ii).

Finally, (iii) follows from (ii). \square

5.1.5. *Grothedieck rings.* If \mathcal{C} is closed under \otimes , then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}(\mathcal{C})$ are commutative rings with unity and ψ in Proposition 5.1.4 is a ring homomorphism. In this case $\mathcal{K}_{-}(\mathcal{C})_{2\text{-tor}}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{K}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$.

If \mathcal{C} is rigid, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is equipped by an involution $*$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\pm}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{K}_{-}(\mathcal{C})_{free}$, $\mathcal{K}_{-}(\mathcal{C})_{2\text{-tor}}$ are $*$ -invariant.

5.2. **The map $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi}$.** Let \mathfrak{g}' be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}'}^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be the restriction functor; this functor induces a map $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{g}'} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}')$ for a suitable category \mathcal{C}' for \mathfrak{g}' -modules, which is very useful if $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}')$ is simple enough. Below we consider this map for the case when $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{t}$ is a maximal toric subalgebra and for $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{h}$, a quasitoral subalgebra.

As we will see below, $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an embedding if \mathcal{C} is “nice enough”; in this case $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{K}_{+}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{+}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{t}))$ and this map is given by the usual (non-graded) characters.

5.2.1. Let \mathfrak{h} be quasitoral, and let $\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -module consisting of the sums

$$\sum_{\nu \in I_0} (m_{\nu} + k_{\nu}\xi)[C(\nu)] + \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_{\nu}[C(\nu)], \quad m_{\nu}, k_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the ξ -action given by

$$(9) \quad \xi \left(\sum_{\nu \in I_0} (m_{\nu} + k_{\nu}\xi)[C(\nu)] + \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_{\nu}[C(\nu)] \right) = \sum_{\nu \in I_0} (m_{\nu}\xi + k_{\nu})[C(\nu)] + \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_{\nu}[C(\nu)].$$

For $N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ we introduce

$$\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi}(N) := \sum_{\nu \in I_0} (m_{\nu} + k_{\nu}\xi)[C(\nu)] + \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_{\nu}[C(\nu)] \in \tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$$

where $m_{\nu} := [N_{\nu} : C(\nu)]$ and $k_{\nu} := [N_{\nu} : \Pi(C(\nu))]$.

This defines a linear map $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})) \rightarrow \tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$, which we refer to as the graded \mathfrak{h} -character of N . We denote $\text{sch } N$ the image of $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi}$ in $\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})/\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})(\xi + 1)$. Then

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}(N) := \sum_{\nu \in I_0} (m_{\nu} - k_{\nu})[C(\nu)] + \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_{\nu}[C(\nu)]$$

where $m'_{\nu} = 0$ if m_{ν} is even and $m'_{\nu} = 1$ if m_{ν} is odd.

5.2.2. Lemma. *The maps $[N] \rightarrow \text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} N$, respectively $[N] \rightarrow \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} N$ define isomorphisms $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})) \rightarrow \tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$, respectively $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})) \rightarrow \tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})/\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})(\xi + 1)$. Further, these maps are compatible with $(-)^{\#}$.*

5.2.3. Note: Because of this lemma, we will subsequently do away with the notation $\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$ and instead directly identify $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$ (and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$) with the corresponding spaces $\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})$ (and $\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})/\tilde{R}(\mathfrak{h})(1 + \xi)$) as presented above.

Proof. We show that $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi}$ is an isomorphism, with the result for $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ following easily. Clearly $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi}$ is surjective, so it suffices to prove injectivity. First we observe that for N in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$, we have the following equality in the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$:

$$[N] = \left[\bigoplus_{\nu \in I_0} (C(\nu)^{\oplus m_\nu} \oplus \Pi C(\nu)^{\oplus k_\nu}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\nu \in I_1} C(\nu)^{m_\nu} \right]$$

This simply follows from the fact that N has finite Loewy length, since this is true for the algebras $\mathcal{C}(\lambda)$. Further, it is not difficult to see that a basis of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$ is given by elements of the form:

$$\left[\bigoplus_{\nu \in I_0} (C(\nu)^{\oplus m_\nu} \oplus \Pi C(\nu)^{\oplus k_\nu}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\nu \in I_1} C(\nu)^{m_\nu} \right]$$

where $m_\nu, k_\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. From this the isomorphism easily follows. The compatibility with $(-)^{\#}$ is obvious. \square

5.2.4. Corollary.

- (i) *One has $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} \Pi N = \xi \text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} N$, $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} \Pi N = -\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} N$.*
- (ii) *For $\lambda \in I_1$ one has $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in I_1} m_\mu [C(\mu)]$ for $\mu \in I_1$.*
- (iii) *If \mathfrak{g} admits $\#$ as in 4.2, then the coefficient of $[C(\nu)]$ in $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda)$ is zero if $\text{rank } F_\lambda, \text{rank } F_\nu$ are even and $\text{rank } F_\nu \not\equiv \text{rank } F_\lambda \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. The assertions follow from (5) and (7). \square

The next corollary is a direct generalization of [30], Proposition 4.2.

5.2.5. Corollary. *Let \mathcal{C} be a full subcategory of \mathfrak{g} -modules with the following properties: each module in \mathcal{C} is of finite length and is locally finite over \mathfrak{b} , and the restriction to \mathfrak{h} lies in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$. Then the map $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ induces injective maps $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$ and $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$.*

Proof. Let us check the injectivity of the first map $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h},\xi} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h}))$. Any simple module in \mathcal{C} is $L(\lambda)$ or $\Pi(L(\lambda))$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Since every module in \mathcal{C} has a

finite length, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is a free \mathbb{Q} -module spanned by $[L(\lambda)], \xi[L(\lambda)]$ for $\lambda \in I_0$ and $[L(\lambda)]$ for $\lambda \in I_1$. Assume that

$$\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s (m_i + k_i \xi) [L(\lambda_i)] \right) = 0$$

where $k_i = 0$ for $\lambda_i \in I_1$ and $\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is maximal among $\gamma(\lambda_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then for $i = 2, \dots, s$ one has $L(\lambda_i)_{\lambda_1} = 0$, so the coefficient of $[C(\lambda_1)]$ in $\text{ch}_i([L(\lambda_i)])$ is zero. Hence $(m_1 + k_1 \xi)[L(\lambda_1)] = 0$. This gives $m_1 = k_1 = 0$ and implies the injectivity of $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi}$. The injectivity of $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ easily follows. \square

5.3. Example. The category of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules $\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g})$ is a rigid tensor category with the duality $N \mapsto N^*$ given by the anti-automorphism $-\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that the Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a commutative ring with a basis $\{[L]\}$, where L runs through isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional simple modules. Corollary 5.2.5 gives an embedding $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g})) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{h}))$ ($[M] \mapsto \text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} M$) which is a ring homomorphism. By abuse of notation we will also denote the image of this homomorphism by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g}))$.

The duality induces an involution on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g}))$, which we also denote by $*$. One has $\xi^* = \xi$. The homomorphism $\text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g})) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{h}))$ is compatible with $*$, so $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g}))$ is a $*$ -stable subring of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{h}))$.

5.3.1. Remark. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Kac-Moody superalgebra (so $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h}$) and let $\Lambda_{int} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a lattice containing $\Delta(\mathfrak{g})$ such that the parity $p : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ can be extended to $p : \Lambda_{int} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. Assume, in addition, that for the category \mathcal{C} , each $N \in \mathcal{C}$ has $N_{\nu} = 0$ if $\nu \notin \Lambda_{int}$.

Then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_+ \oplus \Pi(\mathcal{C}_+)$, where $N \in \mathcal{C}$ lies in \mathcal{C}_+ if and only if $N_{\nu} \subset N_{p(\nu)}$. We have that

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_+) \times \mathbb{Z}[\xi]/(\xi^2 - 1).$$

and thus one can recover $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ from $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_+)$; however we note that \mathcal{C}_+ is not Π -invariant.

By above, $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{K}_+(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_+)$. If \mathcal{C} is a tensor category, then \mathcal{C}_+ is also a tensor category (but $\Pi(\mathcal{C}_+)$ is not). In [30], Sergeev and Veselov described the ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}_+)$ for the finite-dimensional Kac-Moody superalgebras.

5.4. The ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$. We write $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h}) := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{h}))$. The map $[N] \mapsto \text{ch}_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} N$ introduced in 5.2 gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ and the free \mathbb{Z} -module spanned by $[C(\nu)], \xi[C(\nu)]$ for $\nu \in I_0$ and $[C(\nu)]$ for $\nu \in I_1$. We view $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ as a commutative algebra endowed by the involutions $*$ and $\#$. One has $C(\lambda) \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})_{\bar{i}}$ if and only if $\lambda \in I_i$.

One has $[E_0] = 1$, $[\Pi(E_0)] = \xi$ and

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} [C(\lambda)]^* &\in \{[C(-\lambda)], \xi[C(-\lambda)]\}, & [C(\lambda)]^{\#} &= \xi^{\frac{\text{rank } F_{\lambda}}{2}} [C(\lambda)], \text{ for } \lambda \in I_0 \\ \xi[C(\lambda)] &= [C(\lambda)], & [C(\lambda)]^* &= [C(-\lambda)], & [C(\lambda)]^{\#} &= [C(\lambda)], \text{ for } \lambda \in I_1. \end{aligned}$$

5.4.1. The multiplication in $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{h})$ is given by

$$C(\lambda)_{gr,+} C(\nu)_{gr,+} = \frac{\dim C(\lambda) \dim C(\nu)}{\dim C(\lambda + \nu)} C(\lambda + \nu)_{gr,+}.$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}[e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*]$ be the group ring of \mathfrak{t}^* . For $N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ we set

$$\text{ch}_t N := \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*} \dim N_\nu e^\nu.$$

The map $N \mapsto \text{ch}_t N$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*]$. The image is the subring $\sum_\nu m_\nu e^\nu$ with m_ν divisible by $\dim C(\nu)$ and $\mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}[e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*]$.

5.5. **Spoiled superalgebras.** We call a \mathbb{Z} -superalgebra $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ *spoiled* if $2A_{\bar{1}} = A_{\bar{1}}^2 = 0$. Given a superalgebra B , we make it into a spoiled superalgebra B^{spoil} by setting, as a ring:

$$B^{spoil} = (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2, 2\varepsilon))_{\bar{0}}.$$

Here ε is an element of degree 1. The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading on B^{spoil} is given by $B_{\bar{0}}^{spoil} = B_{\bar{0}}$ and $B_{\bar{1}}^{spoil} = B_{\bar{1}}\varepsilon$. We in particular observe that

$$B_{\mathbb{Q}}^{spoil} := (B_{\bar{0}})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

5.5.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$.* From now on we will write $[M]$ in place of $M_{gr,-}$. Using Corollary 3.6.5 we obtain in $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$

$$[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] = \begin{cases} \pm[C(\lambda + \nu)] & \text{if } \text{rank } F_\lambda + \text{rank } F_\nu = \text{rank } F_{\lambda+\nu}, \text{ rank } F_\lambda \text{ rank } F_\nu \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As a result, $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_i$$

where $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_i$ is spanned by $[C(\nu)]$ with $\text{rank } F_\nu = i$; in particular, $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{free}$ is purely even, $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2-tor}$ is odd and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_i = 0$ for $i > \dim \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$. We consider the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\bar{0}} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2i}, \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\bar{1}} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2i+1}.$$

One has $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\bar{1}} \cdot \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\bar{1}} = 0$ and $2\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\bar{1}} = 0$, so that $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ is a spoiled algebra.

5.5.2. Corollary.

(i) The algebra $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ is a spoiled superalgebra with

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\overline{0}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2i} = \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{free}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\overline{1}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2i+1} = \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{2-tor}.$$

(ii) The algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}[e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*] \times \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ consisting of

$$\left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*} m_\nu^+ \dim C(\nu) e^\nu, \sum_{\nu \in I_0} m_\nu^- [C(\nu)] + \varepsilon \sum_{\nu \in I_1} m_\nu^- [C(\nu)] \right)$$

where $m_\nu^\pm \in \mathbb{Z}$ are equal to zero except for finitely many values of ν , $m_\nu^+ \equiv m_\nu^-$ modulo 2 and $m_\nu^- \in \{0, 1\}$ for $\nu \in I_1$.

(iii) The algebra $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*] \times \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

5.5.3. For the rest of this section we set $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{K}(Fin(\mathfrak{g}))$. Let $\psi_\pm(\mathfrak{g}) : \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\pm(\mathfrak{g})$ be the canonical epimorphism. By Proposition 5.1.4, $\psi_+ \times \psi_-$ gives an embedding $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g}) \times \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$.

We will use the following construction: for any subsets $A_\pm \subset \mathcal{K}_\pm(\mathfrak{h})$ we introduce

$$A_+ \times_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})} A_- := \{a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h}) \mid \psi_\pm(a) \in A_\pm\}.$$

Note that $A_+ \times_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})} A_-$ is a subring of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ if A_\pm are rings.

5.5.4. **Lemma.** *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ with the following property: if $a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $2a \in A$, then $a \in A$. Then*

$$A = \psi_+(A) \times_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})} \psi_-(A).$$

Proof. Take $a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $\psi_\pm(a) \in \psi_\pm(A)$. Then A contains $a - c(1 - \xi)$ for some $c \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$. Since A is a $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -submodule, A contains $(1 + \xi)(a - c(1 - \xi)) = (1 + \xi)a$. Similarly, A contains $(1 - \xi)a$, so $2a \in A$. Then the assumption gives $a \in A$ as required. \square

By Corollary 5.2.5 the map $ch_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi}$ induces an embedding of

5.5.5. **Corollary.** $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{K}_+(\mathfrak{g}) \times_{\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. The ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ -submodule of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$. Take $a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ with $2a \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g})$. Write

$$2a = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+(\Delta^+)} m_\lambda ch_{\mathfrak{h}, \xi} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu} 2m'_\nu [C(\nu)]$$

where $m_\lambda, m'_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\xi]$. Let λ be maximal such that $m_\lambda \neq 0$. Then $m_\lambda = 2m'_\lambda$, so we may subtract $2L(\lambda)_{gr}$, and conclude by induction. \square

5.6. Equivariant setting. Suppose that G is a finite group which acts on \mathfrak{h} by automorphisms. Our main example of this setup is when we consider quasireductive Lie superalgebras in Section 7.1, and G is the Weyl group.

The group G then acts naturally on $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$ by twisting, i.e. $g \cdot V_{gr} = V_{gr}^g$ for an \mathfrak{h} -module V . This descends to a natural action of G on $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$. Suppose that $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ and $g \in G$ such that $g\nu = \nu$. Then we have either $g \cdot C(\nu)_{gr} = C(\nu)_{gr}$ or $g \cdot C(\nu)_{gr} = \xi C(\nu)_{gr}$. It follows that on the reduced Grothendieck ring we have $g \cdot [C(\nu)] = \pm[C(\nu)]$. Thus $\text{Stab}_G[C(\nu)] \subseteq \text{Stab}_G \nu$. Define

$$\mathfrak{t}_G^* = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \text{Stab}_G[C(\nu)] = \text{Stab}_G \nu\}.$$

We observe that \mathfrak{t}_G^* is a non-empty, G -stable cone in \mathfrak{t}^* . It need not be open or closed, and it may consist only of 0. It is clear that $I_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_G^*$.

Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. We introduce the grading on $C(\nu)$ in the way described in 3.4.3. Since \overline{T}_ν is proportional to a product of a basis elements in $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu$, one has

$$(12) \quad g(\overline{T}_\nu) = \det(g|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu})\overline{T}_\nu \quad \text{for each } g \in \text{Stab}_W \nu.$$

Since g is acting by an orthogonal transformation on $\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu$ we have $\det(g|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu}) = \pm 1$. Therefore for $\nu \in I_0$ and $g \in \text{Stab}_G \nu$ we have

$$g[C(\nu)] = \det(g|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu})[C(\nu)].$$

5.6.1. Corollary.

(i) *We have:*

$$\mathfrak{t}_G^* \cap I_0 = \{\nu \in I_0 : \det(g|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\nu}) = 1 \text{ for all } g \in \text{Stab}_G \nu\}.$$

(ii) *For $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}_G^*$, the element*

$$a_\nu := \sum_{g \in G/\text{Stab}_G \nu} g[C(\nu)]$$

is non-zero and well-defined.

(iii) *The algebra $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})^G$ is naturally a spoiled superalgebra; the even part has a \mathbb{Z} -basis given by a_ν for a choice of coset representatives $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}_G^* \cap I_0/G$. The odd part it has a \mathbb{Z}_2 -basis given by a_ν for a choice of coset representatives $\nu \in I_1/G$.*

6. \mathfrak{h} -SUPERCHARACTERS OF SOME HIGHEST WEIGHT \mathfrak{g} -MODULES

We continue to write $[N]$ for the image a module N in the corresponding reduced Grothendieck group.

Fix a triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$, and consider the corresponding category \mathcal{O} with respect to $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$. For weights $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we write $\lambda \sim \mu$ if $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\mu)$ lie in the same block in the BGG category \mathcal{O} . We take $M(\lambda)$ as in 4.1.

6.1. Notation. Let $\mathfrak{a}' \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be Lie superalgebras, L' a simple \mathfrak{a}' -module, and N an \mathfrak{a} -module such that $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}'}^{\mathfrak{a}} N$ has a finite length. Set

$$(13) \quad \text{smult}(N; L') := \begin{cases} [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}'}^{\mathfrak{a}} N : L'] - [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}'}^{\mathfrak{a}} N : \Pi L'] & \text{if } L' \not\cong \Pi L' \\ [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}'}^{\mathfrak{a}} N : L'] \bmod 2 & \text{if } L' \cong \Pi L'. \end{cases}$$

If $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{a}} N \in \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{h})$ we set

$$(14) \quad \Omega(N) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid N_{\mu} \neq 0\}, \quad \text{s}\Omega(N) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \text{smult}(N_{\mu}; E_{\mu}) \neq 0\}.$$

Then

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} N = \sum_{\nu \in \text{s}\Omega(N)} \text{smult}(N_{\nu}; C(\nu)) [C(\nu)].$$

6.2. On $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\lambda)$. For $\nu \in Q^-$ we have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{h} -modules:

$$M(\lambda)_{\lambda+\nu} \cong (\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^-)_{\nu} \otimes C(\lambda).$$

By Theorem 3.6.4, $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}(C(\nu) \otimes C(\lambda)) \neq 0$ implies $\dim C(\nu) \cdot \dim C(\lambda) = \dim C(\lambda + \nu)$. Therefore

$$(15) \quad \text{s}\Omega(M(\lambda)) \subset \{\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \dim C(\nu) \cdot \dim C(\lambda) = \dim C(\lambda + \nu)\}.$$

6.2.1. Corollary. *Assume that $F_{\nu} \neq 0$ for $\nu \neq 0$. If $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} \leq 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$ is even, or $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} = 0$, then*

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\lambda) = \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} C(\lambda).$$

Proof. If $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} = 0$, or $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} = 1$ and $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}$ is even, then $\dim C(\lambda) \geq \dim C(\lambda + \nu)$ for any ν , so the formula follows from (15). \square

6.3. $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda)$ when $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} \leq 1$. We make the following assumptions on our triangular decomposition:

- (A1) Setting $Q^- = \mathbb{N}\Delta^-$, we have that $\gamma(Q^-) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^-$ is discrete.
- (A2) One has $F_{\nu} \neq 0$ for each $\nu \in Q^- \setminus \{0\}$.
- (A3) For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\text{corank } F_{\lambda} \leq 1$, we have that $M(\lambda)$ has finite length as a \mathfrak{g} -module, (one can weaken this to assume that it admits a “local composition series” in the sense of [6]).

6.3.1. **Theorem.** *Let $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be an equivalence class modulo \sim such that*

(A4) *corank $F_\lambda \leq 1$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ if $\dim \mathfrak{h}_\Gamma$ is even, and otherwise corank $F_\lambda = 0$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ one has

$$(16) \quad \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} m_\mu \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} C(\mu)$$

for some $m_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Assume that the assertion does not hold. Take (μ, μ') such that $\gamma(\mu' - \mu)$ is maximal with the properties

$$\mu \in \Lambda, \quad \mu' \notin \Lambda, \quad \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\mu)_{\mu'} \neq 0$$

(the existence of such pair follows from (A1)).

By (A3), in the Grothendieck ring of \mathfrak{g} we may write:

$$[L(\mu)] = [M(\mu)] - \sum_{\nu \in Q^- \setminus \{0\}: \mu + \nu \in \Lambda} a_{\mu\nu} [L(\mu + \nu)]$$

where $a_{\mu\nu} \in \mathbb{N}$. We may map the above formula to the Grothendieck ring of \mathfrak{h} -modules via restriction, and thus learn that

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\mu)_{\mu'} = \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\mu)_{\mu'} - \sum_{\nu \in Q^- \setminus \{0\}: \mu + \nu \in \Lambda} \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\mu + \nu)_{\mu'}.$$

By (15), $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\mu)_{\mu'} = 0$ and the maximality of $\gamma(\mu' - \mu)$ implies $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\mu + \nu)_{\mu'} = 0$. Hence $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\mu)_{\mu'} = 0$, a contradiction. \square

We will see in Section 9 that Theorem 6.3.1 holds for any irreducible highest weight representation of \mathfrak{q}_n .

7. ON $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ IN THE CASE WHEN \mathfrak{g} IS QUASIREDUCTIVE

In this subsection \mathfrak{g} is quasireductive, i.e. \mathfrak{g} is finite-dimensional, $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ is reductive and $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ is a semisimple $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ -module (see [28], [24], and [17] for examples and partial classification of such algebras). The maximal toral subalgebras \mathfrak{t} in $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ are the Cartan subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$, and the maximal quasitoral subalgebras \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} are the Cartan subalgebras in \mathfrak{g} ; all such subalgebras are conjugate to one another by inner automorphisms. We fix \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{h} . Let $P(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the set of weights appearing in finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules, which is the same as the set of weights appearing in finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ -modules. We fix a positive system of roots on \mathfrak{g} , and thus also on $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$. We denote by $P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of dominant weights, i.e.

$$P^+(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \dim L(\lambda) < \infty\}.$$

We have

$$P(\mathfrak{g}) := P^+(\mathfrak{g}) + \mathbb{Z}\Delta = P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}) + \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{\bar{0}}.$$

Write \mathcal{C} for the subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ consisting of modules with weights lying in $P(\mathfrak{g})$.

7.1. **On $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}))$.** Define $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}in(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of modules which are semisimple over $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

The Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ acts naturally on \mathfrak{h} , and thus we are in the setup of Section 5.6; we refer to that section for the definition of \mathfrak{t}_W^* . Further W preserves the subcategory \mathcal{C} . We set

$$P(\mathfrak{g})' = \mathfrak{t}_W^* \cap P(\mathfrak{g}), \quad P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})' = \mathfrak{t}_W^* \cap P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}).$$

Recall that

$$P(\mathfrak{g})' \cap I_0 := \{\lambda \in P(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_0 \mid \forall w \in \text{Stab}_W \lambda \quad \det(w|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}/\text{Ker } F_\lambda}) = 1\}.$$

From the theory of reductive Lie algebras, in this case we have a natural bijection $P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})' \rightarrow P(\mathfrak{g})'/W$. Recall that for $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}_W^*$ we set

$$a_\nu = \sum_{w \in W/\text{Stab}_W \nu} w[C(\nu)].$$

7.1.1. **Theorem.**

- (i) *The algebra $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$ is naturally a spoiled superalgebra; its even part has \mathbb{Z} -basis given by $a_\nu := \sum_{\mu \in W\nu} w[C(\mu)]$ for $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})' \cap I_0$ and its odd part has a \mathbb{Z}_2 -basis a_ν for $\nu \in (I_1 \cap P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}))$.*
- (ii) *$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ defines an embedding $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$.*
- (iii) *For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_0$ one has*

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu} k_\nu a_\nu$$

where $k_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_\lambda = 1$.

- (iv) *If $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_1$, then*

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in I_1} k_\nu a_\nu.$$

with $k_\lambda = 1$.

- (v) *$P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}})'$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from Corollary 5.6.1. For part (ii) let $L := L(\lambda)$ be a simple finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module. Clearly all its weights lie in $P(\mathfrak{g})$. Because W can be realized from inner automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} , it is clear that the $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda)$ must be W -invariant, proving (ii).

Part (iii) follows uses (7), and part (4) uses that $L(\lambda) \cong \Pi L(\lambda)$. Finally part (v) then follows from (iii). \square

7.1.2. *Remark.* The algebra $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ is not naturally spoiled with respect to the embedding in $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$. For example if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}(2) \times \mathfrak{q}(1)$, then consider the module $S^2 V_{std} \boxtimes V_{std}$, where V_{std} denotes the standard module of $\mathfrak{q}(2), \mathfrak{q}(1)$ respectively. Then this module is of the form $L \oplus \Pi L$ for some simple $\mathfrak{q}_2 \times \mathfrak{q}_1$ -module L . Then one may check that $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L$ is not a homogeneous element of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$.

7.2. Corollary. *Let $\lambda \in I_0$ and $w_0 \in W$ be such that $w_0 \lambda = -\lambda$ and $L(\lambda)^*$ has highest weight λ . Then we have*

$$L(\lambda)^* \cong \Pi^i L(\lambda) \quad \text{where} \quad (-1)^i = \det(w_0|_{\mathfrak{h}_1 / \text{Ker } F_\lambda}).$$

Proof. Recall that $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)$, $p_\lambda : \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)/K_\lambda$ stand for the canonical epimorphisms. By 3.4.4 we have $\overline{T}_\lambda = H'_{2k} \dots H'_1$, where H'_1, \dots, H'_{2k} is a basis of $p_\lambda \phi_\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_1) \subset \mathcal{C}\ell(\lambda)/K_\lambda$ satisfying $[H'_i, H'_j] = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Set

$$\overline{T}_{-\lambda} = \overline{T}_{w_0 \lambda} = w_0(H'_{2k}) \dots w_0(H'_1).$$

From this definition we have $C(-\lambda) = C(\lambda)^{w_0}$. We have $\overline{\sigma}_{-\lambda}(H'_i) = -H'_i$, and thus

$$\overline{\sigma}_{-\lambda}(\overline{T}_{-\lambda}) = (-1)^{k(2k-1)} w_0(H'_1) \dots w_0(H'_{2k}) = (-1)^{k(2k-1)} \det(w_0|_{\mathfrak{h}_1/K_\lambda}) H'_1 \dots H'_{2k} = (-1)^i \overline{T}_\lambda.$$

It follows that $C(\lambda)^* = \Pi^i C(-\lambda)$. Therefore

$$(L(\lambda)^*)_\lambda = (L(\lambda)_{-\lambda})^* = (\Pi^i C(\lambda)^*)^* = \Pi^i C(\lambda).$$

and we obtain $L(\lambda)^* \cong \Pi^i L(\lambda)$ as required. \square

8. DS-FUNCTOR AND THE REDUCED GROTHENDIECK GROUP

In sections 8.1, 8.2 \mathfrak{g} is any Lie superalgebra. We fix $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\overline{1}$ with $[x, x] = c \in \mathfrak{g}_\overline{0}$ such that $\text{ad } c$ is semisimple (such elements x are called *homological*).

8.1. DS-functors: construction and basic properties. The DS-functors were introduced in [7]; we use a slight generalization (see [EAS] for a more in-depth treatment). For a \mathfrak{g} -module M and $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ we set

$$M^u := \text{Ker}_M u.$$

Let M be a \mathfrak{g} -module on which c acts semisimply. Write $DS_x M = M_x := M^x / (\text{Im } x \cap M^x)$. Then \mathfrak{g}^x and \mathfrak{g}_x are Lie superalgebras.

Observe that M^x, xM^c are \mathfrak{g}^x -invariant and $[x, \mathfrak{g}^c]M^x \subset xM^c$, so $DS_x(M)$ is a \mathfrak{g}^x -module and \mathfrak{g}_x -module. This gives the functor $DS_x : M \mapsto DS_x(M)$ from the category of \mathfrak{g} -modules with semisimple action of c to the category of \mathfrak{g}_x -modules.

There are canonical isomorphisms $DS_x(\Pi(N)) \cong \Pi(DS_x(N))$ and

$$DS_x(M) \otimes DS_x(N) \cong DS_x(M \otimes N).$$

8.2. The map ds_x . Let \mathfrak{a} be any subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . We view

$$\mathfrak{a}_x := \mathfrak{a}^x / ([\mathfrak{g}^c, x] \cap \mathfrak{a}^c)$$

as a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_x .

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ be a full subcategory of the category of \mathfrak{g} -modules with semisimple action of c and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}^x), \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}^x)$) be a full subcategory of the category of \mathfrak{a} -modules (resp., $\mathfrak{g}^x, \mathfrak{a}^x$) such that the restriction functors

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}), \quad \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}^x), \quad \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}^x).$$

are well-defined and that for each $N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ the \mathfrak{g}^x -modules N^x and xN^h lie in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}^x)$ (note that N^x and xN^c are submodules of $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}}(N)$).

We denote by $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ (resp., by $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}_x)$) the full category of \mathfrak{g}_x -modules N satisfying $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}_x}(N) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}^x)$ (resp., $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{a}_x}(N) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}^x)$).

For $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}^x, \mathfrak{a}^x, \mathfrak{g}_x, \mathfrak{a}_x$ we denote the reduced Grothendieck group $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{m}))$ by $R(\mathfrak{m})$, for ease of notation.

8.2.1. Take $M \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ and set $N := \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M$. The action of x gives a \mathfrak{g}^x -homomorphism $\theta_N : N^c \rightarrow \Pi(N^c)$. One has

$$\theta_N \theta_{\Pi(N)} = 0, \quad \text{Im } \theta_{\Pi(N)} = \Pi(\text{Im } \theta_N)$$

and $\text{DS}_x(M) = \text{Ker } \theta_N / \text{Im } \theta_{\Pi(N)}$ as \mathfrak{g}^x -modules. Using the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \theta_{\Pi(N)} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \theta_N \rightarrow \text{DS}_x(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \theta_N \rightarrow N^c \rightarrow \text{Im } \theta_N \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain $[\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M^h] = [\text{DS}_x(M)]$ in $R(\mathfrak{g}^x)$. However if M_r is the $r \neq 0$ eigenspace of h on M , $x : M_r \rightarrow M_r$ will define an \mathfrak{g}^x -equivariant isomorphism of M_r , and thus we have $[\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M_r] = 0$. It follows that $[\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M] = [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M^h] = [\text{DS}_x(M)]$. Since $\text{DS}_x(M)$ is a \mathfrak{g}_x -module this gives the commutative diagram

$$(17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{g}^x) \\ & \searrow \text{ds}_x & \uparrow \\ & & R(\mathfrak{g}_x) \end{array}$$

where $\text{ds}_x : R(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ is given by $\text{ds}_x([M]) := [\text{DS}_x(M)]$, and the two other arrows are induced by the restriction functors $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}}, \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}_x}$ respectively.

8.2.2. Remark. If $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ are closed under \otimes , then ds_x is a ring homomorphism.

8.2.3. Example. Recall that $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ a rigid tensor category with the duality $N \mapsto N^*$ given by the anti-automorphism $-\text{Id}$; since DS_x is a tensor functor, it preserves the $*$ -duality, so

$$\text{ds}_x : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}_x)$$

is a ring homomorphism compatible with $*$.

8.3. ds_x and restriction. We present results which explain the relationship between ds_x and the restriction functor.

8.3.1. Lemma. *Suppose that we have a splitting $\mathfrak{g}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^x$ so that $\mathfrak{g}^x = \mathfrak{g}_x \ltimes [x, \mathfrak{g}^c]$. Then for M in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$ we have*

$$ds_x[M] = [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}_x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M].$$

Proof. This follows immediately by applying the restriction $R(\mathfrak{g}^x) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ to our equality $[DS_x M] = [\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{g}_x}^{\mathfrak{g}} M]$. \square

8.3.2. Lemma. *Let $y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ with $[y, y] = d$ where $\text{ad } d$ acts semisimply on \mathfrak{g} and $d, c + d$ act semisimply on all modules in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$. Suppose further that $[x, y] = 0$, and that we have splittings*

$$\mathfrak{g}^y \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_y \ltimes [y, \mathfrak{g}^d], \quad \mathfrak{g}^{x+y} = \mathfrak{g}_{x+y} \ltimes [x + y, \mathfrak{g}^{c+d}],$$

Furthermore suppose that under these splittings, $x \in \mathfrak{g}_y$ and

$$(\mathfrak{g}_y)^x = \mathfrak{g}_{x+y} \ltimes [x, \mathfrak{g}_y^c].$$

Then we have

$$ds_{x+y} = ds_x \circ ds_y : R(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{g}_{x+y})$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 8.3.1 and the corresponding statement for restriction. \square

8.3.3. Proposition. *We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{a}^x) \\ \downarrow ds_x & & \uparrow \text{res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{a}} \\ R(\mathfrak{g}_x) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{a}_x) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the corresponding restriction functors and $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is induced by $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Proof. The restriction functors give the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}^x) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}^x) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g}_x) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}_x) \end{array}$$

which, in combination with (17) gives the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{g}^x) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{a}^x) \\
 & \searrow \text{ds}_x & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & R(\mathfrak{g}_x) & \longrightarrow & R(\mathfrak{a}_x)
 \end{array}$$

where all arrows except ds_x are induced by the restriction functors. By (17), the above diagram is commutative, and we obtain our result. \square

8.3.4. Example: $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let E be a simple \mathfrak{a}^x -module. By Proposition 8.3.3 for a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module N we have

$$\text{smult}(\text{DS}_x(N); E) = \text{smult}(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{a}^x}^{\mathfrak{g}} N; E).$$

For example, let \mathfrak{g} be a classical Lie superalgebra in the sense of [22] and $\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$. The map $R(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{h})$ is given $[N] \mapsto \text{sch } N$. If \mathfrak{h}_x is a Cartan subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\overline{0}}$, then the composed map $R(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{h}_x)$ is given by $[N] \mapsto \text{sch } \text{DS}_x(N)$. If we fix an embedding $\mathfrak{h}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^x$, we obtain the Hoyt-Reif formula [20]

$$\text{sch } \text{DS}_x(N) = (\text{sch } N)|_{\mathfrak{h}_x}.$$

8.4. A special case. Consider the case when \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{a} are as in 2.1. Denote by F^x the restriction of the form F to \mathfrak{h}^x and set

$$I_x := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \lambda([x, \mathfrak{g}^c] \cap \mathfrak{t}) = 0\}.$$

By assumption, given $\lambda \in I_x$ we have that $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}^x}$ lies in the subspace $(\mathfrak{t}_x)^*$. For $\lambda \in I_x$ we denote this element by $\lambda_x \in (\mathfrak{t}_x)^*$.

Note that for $\nu \in I_x$ satisfying $\text{rank } F_{\nu|_{\mathfrak{t}^x}}^x = \text{rank } F_{\nu}$, the module $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}^{\mathfrak{h}} C(\nu)$ is simple, so $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}^{\mathfrak{h}} C(\nu) \cong \Pi^i C(\nu_x)$ for some i .

8.4.1. Corollary. Take $N \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$. If $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}(N) = \sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} [C(\nu)]$, then

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}(\text{DS}_x(N)) = \sum_{\mu \in I_x : \text{rank } F_{\mu}^x = \text{rank } F_{\mu}} m_{\mu} (-1)^{i_{\mu}} [C(\mu_x)].$$

where $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}^{\mathfrak{h}} C(\nu) \cong \Pi^{i_{\mu}} C(\mu_x)$.

Proof. Recall that $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ gives an embedding of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$. Applying Proposition 8.3.3 to $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ we obtain for $R(\mathfrak{m}) := \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{m})$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}^x}} & R(\mathfrak{h}^x) \\ \text{ds}_x \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{res}_{\mathfrak{h}^x}^{\mathfrak{h}^x} \\ R(\mathfrak{g}_x) & \xrightarrow{\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}} & R(\mathfrak{h}_x) \end{array}$$

where $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}^{\mathfrak{h}^x} : R_{\mathfrak{h}_x} \rightarrow R^{\mathfrak{h}^x}$ is induced by $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_x}^{\mathfrak{h}^x}$. In light of Proposition 3.5.1 (v) we have $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}^x}(C(\mu)) = 0$ except for the case $\text{rank } F_{\mu}^x = \text{rank } F_{\mu}$. \square

8.4.2. Example. If, in addition, $(\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\bar{0}}^{\mathfrak{a}_x} = \mathfrak{a}_x$, then, by Corollary 5.2.5, $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{a}_x}$ gives an embedding of the reduced Grothendieck ring of \mathfrak{g}_x to $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h}_x)$.

For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n), \mathfrak{osp}(m|n), \mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{q}_n, \mathfrak{sq}_n$ and the exceptional Lie superalgebras, for each x we can choose a suitable \mathfrak{h} such that $(\mathfrak{g}_x)_{\bar{0}}^{\mathfrak{t}_x} = \mathfrak{t}_x$, see [7],[27],[11].

9. REDUCED GROTHENDIECK RING FOR $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

In this section we describe the reduced Grothendieck ring for $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$, the category of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{q}_n -modules with semisimple action of $(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\bar{0}}$. In addition we will explicitly describe the homomorphisms $\text{ds}_s : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{q}_{n-2s})$ induced by the DS functor, to be defined. Wherever it is not stated, we set $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{q}_n$. We will mainly concentrate on the category $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\text{int}}$ which is the full subcategory of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{q}_n -modules with integral weights and then reduce the corresponding results for $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ to $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\text{int}}$.

9.1. Structure of \mathfrak{q}_n . Recall that \mathfrak{q}_n is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ consisting of the matrices with the block form

$$T_{A,B} := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

9.1.1. One has $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$. The group GL_n acts on \mathfrak{g} by the inner automorphisms; all triangular decompositions of \mathfrak{q}_n are GL_n -conjugated. We denote by \mathfrak{t} the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{gl}_n spanned by the elements $h_i = T_{E_{ii},0}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the basis dual to $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$. The algebra $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{q}_n^{\mathfrak{t}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{q}_n ; one has $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{t}$. The elements $H_i := T_{0,E_{ii}}$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{1}}$; one has $[H_i, H_j] = 2\delta_{ij}h_i$.

9.1.2. We write $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ as $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$ and denote by $\text{Nonzero}(\lambda)$ the set of non-zero elements in the multiset $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and by $\text{zero } \lambda$ the number of zeros in the multiset $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Recall that $\text{rank } F_{\lambda}$ is equal to the cardinality of $\text{Nonzero}(\lambda)$ ($= n - \text{zero } \lambda$).

We call a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ *integral* (resp., *half-integral*) if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp., $\lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$) for all i . We call a weight λ *typical* if and only if $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \neq 0$ for all i, j and *atypical* otherwise; in particular if λ is typical then $\text{zero}(\lambda) = 0$.

We fix the usual triangular decomposition: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where $\Delta^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.

9.2. The monoid Ξ and cores. We denote by Ξ the set of finite multisets $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^s$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $a_i + a_j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq s$.

We assign to each finite multiset $A := \{a_i\}_{i=1}^s$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ the multiset $\text{Core}(A) \in \Xi$ obtained by throwing out all zeros and the maximal number of elements a_i, a_j with $i \neq j$ and $a_i + a_j = 0$; for example, $\text{Core}(\{1, 1, -1, -1\}) = \emptyset$, $\text{Core}(\{1, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1\}) = \{1\}$.

We view Ξ as a commutative monoid with respect to the operation

$$A \diamond B := \text{Core}(A \cup B)$$

(\emptyset is the identity element in Ξ).

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we set

$$\text{Core}_\lambda := \text{Core}(\{\lambda\}_{i=1}^n) = \text{Core}(\text{Nonzero}(\lambda)),$$

and denote by χ_λ the central character of $L(\lambda)$. From [29] it follows that $\chi_\lambda = \chi_\nu$ if and only if $\text{Core}_\lambda = \text{Core}_\nu$. Observe that $\lambda \in I_0$ if and only if the cardinality of $\text{Core}(\lambda)$ is even.

9.2.1. Relation \sim . Recall that we write $\lambda \sim \nu$ if $L(\lambda), L(\nu)$ lie in the same block in the BGG category \mathcal{O} . By above,

$$\lambda \sim \nu \implies \text{Core}(\lambda) = \text{Core}(\nu).$$

It is known that the above formula is equivalence if both λ, ν are integral or half-integral; in fact it follows from the following fact:

$$(18) \quad \lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0 \implies \lambda - \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j \sim \lambda$$

(this easily follows from the formula for Shapovalov determinants established in [9], Thm. 11.1: from this formula it follows that for $i < j$ the module $M(\lambda)$ has a primitive vector of weight $\lambda - \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ if λ is a “generic weight” satisfying $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$; the usual density arguments (see [2],[18]) imply that $M(\lambda)$ has a primitive vector of weight $\lambda - \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ if λ is any weight satisfying $\lambda_i + \lambda_j = 0$).

9.2.2. Dominant weights. Recall that $P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the set of dominant weights, i.e.

$$P^+(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \dim L(\lambda) < \infty\}.$$

By [?], $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_{i+1}$ implies $\lambda_i = 0$. This implies the following properties:

- $P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_0 = P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}})' \cap I_0$; in particular

$$P^+(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}) : \det(w|_{\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}/K_\lambda}) = 1 \text{ for all } w \in \text{Stab}_W \lambda\}.$$
- if $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is atypical, then λ is either integral or half-integral;
- the set $\text{Nonzero}(\lambda)$ uniquely determines a dominant weight for a fixed n .

9.2.3. *Grading on $C(\nu)$.* For $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, we set

$$T_\nu := H_{i_1} \dots H_{i_k}$$

where $\text{Nonzero}(\nu) = \{\nu_{i_1} \geq \nu_{i_2} \geq \dots \geq \nu_{i_k}\}$, and if $\nu_{i_j} = \nu_{i_{j+1}}$ then we require that $i_j \geq i_{j+1}$. This formula determines T_ν uniquely; for $\nu \in P(\mathfrak{g})'$ note that we have $T_{w\nu} = wT_\nu$ for each $w \in W$.

Since $T_\nu^2 = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_k}$ the function $t : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow \{c \in \mathbb{C} \mid c > 0\}$ is given by

$$t(\lambda)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \prod_{i:\lambda_i \neq 0} \lambda_i, \quad \text{where } k := \text{rank } F\lambda;$$

for $\lambda \in I_0$ we obtain $t(\lambda)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{\text{rank } F\lambda}{2}} \prod_{i:\lambda_i \neq 0} \lambda_i$.

As explained in Remark 3.4.3, this function t gives well-defined irreducible modules $L(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_n)$.

9.2.4. *Remark.* If $\nu \sim 0$, then $t(\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^+$. It follows that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, $\nu \sim 0$, and $[C(\lambda)][C(\nu)] = \pm[C(\lambda + \nu)]$, then $t(\lambda)t(\nu) = t(\lambda + \nu)$.

9.2.5. Note that $\lambda = -w_0\lambda$ if and only if $\text{Core}(\lambda) = \emptyset$; in this case Corollary 7.2 gives $L(\lambda)^* \cong \Pi^{\frac{\text{rank } F\lambda}{2}} L(\lambda)$.

9.2.6. *Example.* For $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ one has $T = H_j H_i$. Since for $e \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$ one has $(\text{ad } H_j)(\text{ad } H_i)e = e$, we obtain $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cong C_\alpha$. Moreover, $S^k C(\alpha) = C(k\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$.

9.3. Embedding into exterior algebra. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} generated by H_1, \dots, H_n , and let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the integral enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Consider the canonical epimorphism $\phi_0 : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the exterior ring generated by $\xi_i := \phi_0(H_i)$. Note that $\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded supercommutative supererring, free over \mathbb{Z} with basis $\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_j}$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ consisting of weights λ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for all i . In particular, $\frac{t_\lambda}{|t_\lambda|} \in \{1, i\}$.

9.3.1. We view the ring $B := \mathbb{Z}[e^\nu : \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_{\overline{1}}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ as a \mathbb{Z} -graded supercommutative ring by defining the degree of $\mathbb{Z}[e^\nu : \nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*]$ to be zero. We construct the ring B^{spoil} as in 5.5.

9.3.2. Proposition. *The map $[C(\lambda)] \mapsto \varepsilon^i \frac{t(\lambda)}{|t(\lambda)|} \cdot e^\lambda \phi_0(T_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in I_i$ gives a ring monomorphism*

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow B^{\text{spoil}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$$

which is compatible with the action of W . One has

$$\phi_0(T_\lambda) = \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k},$$

where $\text{Nonzero}(\lambda) = \{\lambda_{i_1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{i_k}\}$.

Proof. That the map is injective and W -equivariant is straightforward. We show that it is an algebra homomorphism. First observe that:

$$[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] \neq 0 \iff [C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] = \pm[C(\lambda+\nu)] \iff \phi_0(T_\lambda T_\nu) \neq 0 \iff T_\lambda T_\nu = \pm T_{\lambda+\nu}.$$

Suppose that $[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] \neq 0$. Then we may write $T_\lambda T_\nu = (-1)^j T_{\lambda+\nu}$ and $\phi_0(T_\lambda)\phi_0(T_\nu) = (-1)^j \phi_0(T_{\lambda+\nu})$.

Fix even vectors $v_\lambda \in C(\lambda)_{\bar{0}}$ and $v_\nu \in C(\nu)_{\bar{0}}$. Since $H_i C(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda_i = 0$ we have

$$T_\lambda T_\nu(v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu) = T_\lambda v_\lambda \otimes T_\nu v_\nu = \frac{t(\lambda)t(\nu)}{|t(\lambda)t(\nu)|} v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu.$$

Note that $v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu$ is an even vector of $\Pi^i C(\lambda + \nu) \cong C(\lambda) \otimes C(\nu)$. On the other hand we have

$$T_\lambda T_\nu(v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu) = (-1)^j T_{\lambda+\nu}(v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu) = (-1)^{j+i} t(\lambda + \nu) v_\lambda \otimes v_\nu.$$

Thus we have that $\frac{t(\lambda+\nu)}{|t(\lambda+\nu)|} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{t(\lambda)t(\nu)}{|t(\lambda+\nu)|}$. From the above equalities it is easy to check our map is a homomorphism. Injectivity is straightforward. \square

9.3.3. Remark. If we extend scalars to \mathbb{C} , the above map defines an embedding

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow B_{\bar{0}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}.$$

However this kills the two-torsion part of $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{h})$.

9.3.4. One has

$$\phi_0(T_\lambda T_\nu) \neq 0 \iff T_\lambda T_\nu = \pm T_{\lambda+\nu} \implies \text{Core}(\lambda + \nu) = \text{Core}(\lambda) \diamond \text{Core}(\nu).$$

For example, for $[C(2, 0, 0, 1)][C(0, -2, -1, 0)] = \pm[C(2, -2, -1, 1)]$ and

$$\text{Core}(\lambda) = \{2, 1\}, \quad \text{Core}(\nu) = \{-2, -1\}, \quad \text{Core}(\lambda + \nu) = \emptyset.$$

9.3.5. Recall that $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ has a finite \mathbb{Z} -grading (see 11). By 9.3.4, $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ is also Ξ -graded:

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{A \in \Xi} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_A \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_A \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_B \subset \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{A \diamond B},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})$ is spanned by $[C(\lambda)]$ with $\text{Core}(\lambda) = A$; note that $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_A = 0$ if the cardinality of A is odd or greater than n . Further $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_A$ is W -stable.

9.3.6. Corollary.

- (i) The subring $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_\emptyset \cap \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{int}$ is spanned by $[C(\nu)]$ with $\nu \sim 0$. This subring is generated by $[C(k\alpha)]$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (ii) If $\nu \sim 0$ and $[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] \neq 0$, then $[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] = \pm[C(\lambda + \nu)]$ and $\lambda + \nu \sim \lambda$.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from 9.2.1. By (i) it is enough to verify (ii) for $\nu := k(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)$. In this case the inequality $[C(\nu)][C(\lambda)] \neq 0$ implies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = 0$. By (18) for such λ one has $\lambda + k(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) \sim \lambda$. \square

9.3.7. Remark. All integral, non-typical blocks \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{q}_n have that $\Pi\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$. Thus we may consider for such blocks the corresponding reduced Grothendieck group $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{B})$. Then the embedding in Proposition 9.3.2 exists over the coefficient ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. If we let \mathcal{B}_0 denote the principal block of \mathfrak{q}_n , then $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{B}_0) = \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_\emptyset \cap \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{int}$ is a ring. In this case, because $t(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for all $\lambda \sim 0$, the map in Proposition 9.3.2 descends to an embedding with *integral* coefficients for $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{B}_0)$.

9.4. Supercharacters of some highest weight modules.

9.4.1. Lemma. $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \sim \lambda} m_\nu [C(\nu)]$.

Proof. We start from $\lambda = 0$; in this case $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(0) = \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^-$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ denote the negative roots of \mathfrak{g} . We have

$$(19) \quad (\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^-)_\nu = \bigoplus_{\sum k_j \alpha_j = \nu} \bigotimes_j S^{k_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j}.$$

By 9.2.6 $S^k \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cong C(k\alpha)$. Since $k\alpha \sim 0$, the required formula follows Corollary 9.3.6 (i).

For an arbitrary λ one has $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} M(\lambda) = \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^- \otimes [C(\lambda)]$ and the result follows from Corollary 9.3.6 (ii). \square

We need some terminology for the following proposition: for roots $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_{i_1} - \varepsilon_{j_1}, \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_{i_2} - \varepsilon_{j_2}$, we write $\alpha_1 \prec \alpha_2$ if $\max(i_1, j_1) < \min(i_2, j_2)$. If $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ is any root, we call the set $\{i, j\}$ its support. We note that if we have roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ with non-overlapping supports, and positive integers k_1, \dots, k_j , then $S^{j_1} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes S^{k_j} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j}$ is an irreducible \mathfrak{h} -module.

9.4.2. Proposition. *We have*

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^- = \sum_{\nu \sim 0} m_\nu [C(\nu)]$$

where each coefficient m_ν is either 0 or ± 1 .

Further if $m_\nu \neq 0$, then ν may uniquely be written as

$$\nu = k_1(\alpha_{11} + \cdots + \alpha_{1j_1}) + \cdots + k_r(\alpha_{r1} + \cdots + \alpha_{rj_r}),$$

where all of k_1, \dots, k_r are distinct, the supports of all α_{ij} are distinct, and $\alpha_{i1} \prec \cdots \prec \alpha_{ij_i}$. In this case we have

$$m_\nu[C(\nu)] = \prod_{i=1}^r [S^{k_i} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{i1}}] \cdots [S^{k_i} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{ij_i}}].$$

Proof. We use the embedding of Proposition 9.3.2. Let y_ν be the image of $[(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}^-)_\nu]$ in $R(\mathfrak{t}) \otimes (\mathcal{S}\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{T})_{\overline{0}}$ (see Proposition 9.3.2). By (19) one has

$$y_\nu = e^\nu \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_N) : \sum k_i \alpha_i = \nu} t_{k\alpha_i} \phi_0(T_{k\alpha_i})$$

Recall that $\phi_0(T_{\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_q}) = \xi_q \xi_p$. In particular, $\phi_0(T_\alpha T_\beta) = 0$ if $(\alpha|\beta) \neq 0$ (where $(-|-)$ stands for the usual form on \mathfrak{t}^*). Hence

$$y_\nu = e^\nu \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_N) \in U} t_{k\alpha_i} \phi_0(T_{k\alpha_i}) = e^\nu t(\nu) \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_N) \in U} \phi_0(T_{\alpha_i}),$$

where $U := \{(k_1, \dots, k_N) \mid \sum k_i \alpha_i = \nu, k_i k_j = 0 \text{ for } (\alpha_i|\alpha_j) \neq 0\}$.

Note that we could pull out $t(\nu)$ using the formula $t_{k\alpha} = k$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$.

If for any $(k_1, \dots, k_N) \in U$ we have that $k_i \neq k_j$ for all nonzero k_i, k_j with $i \neq j$ then it is clear U is a singleton set and we are done.

Thus suppose that (k_1, \dots, k_n) has $k_i = k_j \neq 0$ for some $i \neq j$, and WLOG suppose $i = 1, j = 2$. Write $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_{p_i} - \varepsilon_{q_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$; then $p_i > q_i$ and, since $(\alpha_1|\alpha_2) = 0$, the numbers p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 are pairwise distinct. If $p_1 > q_2$ and $p_2 > q_1$ then we have negative roots

$$\alpha'_1 := \varepsilon_{p_1} - \varepsilon_{q_2}, \quad \alpha'_2 := \varepsilon_{p_2} - \varepsilon_{q_1}$$

with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha'_1 + \alpha'_2$. We may assume that $\alpha'_1 = \alpha_3$ and $\alpha'_2 = \alpha_4$. Since $(\alpha_j|\alpha_1), (\alpha_s|\alpha_1) \neq 0$, one has $k_3 = k_4 = 0$, so

$$(k_1, \dots, k_N) = (k_1, k_1, 0, 0, k_5, \dots, k_N).$$

Observe that $(0, 0, k_1, k_1, k_5, \dots, k_N) \in U$. One has

$$\phi_0(T_{\alpha_1} T_{\alpha_2} + T_{\alpha'_1} T_{\alpha'_2}) = \xi_{p_1} \xi_{q_1} \xi_{p_2} \xi_{q_2} + \xi_{p_1} \xi_{q_2} \xi_{p_2} \xi_{q_1} = 0.$$

Therefore we can substitute U by a smaller set, where $k_i = k_j$ implies that $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_{p_i} - \varepsilon_{q_i}$, $\alpha_j = \varepsilon_{p_j} - \varepsilon_{q_j}$ are such that $p_i > q_i > p_j > q_j$ or $p_j > q_j > p_i > q_i$. From this the result follows. □

9.4.3. Corollary. *One has $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{t}} M(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \sim \lambda} m_\nu [C(\nu)]$ with $m_\nu \in \{0, \pm 1\}$.*

9.4.4. Theorem. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we have $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \sim \lambda} k_{\nu} [C(\nu)]$.

Proof. With the help of Lemma 9.4.1, the proof works in the exact same fashion as Theorem 6.3.1. \square

9.4.5. Corollary. Let $L(\nu)$ be a finite-dimensional module. Then

$$\text{smult}(L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu); L(\mu)) \neq 0 \implies \text{Core}(\mu) = \text{Core}(\lambda) \diamond \text{Core}(\nu)$$

Note further that when $\text{smult}(L(\lambda) \otimes L(\nu); L(\mu)) \neq 0$, then either $\lambda \in I_0$ or $\nu \in I_0$.

9.4.6. Remark. The Kac-Kazhdan modification of \mathcal{O} introduced in [18] is closed under tensor product; the modules in this category are not always of finite length, but the multiplicity is well-defined (see [6]). In this category the above formula holds for arbitrary $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\nu)$.

9.4.7. Recall that $P(\mathfrak{g}) := P^+(\mathfrak{g}) + \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ and for $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ we set

$$a_{\nu} := \sum_{w \in W/\text{Stab}_W \nu} w[C(\mu)].$$

9.4.8. Lemma. Suppose that $|\text{Nonzero}(\nu)|$ is odd with $\nu_i = \nu_j \neq 0$ for some $i \neq j$; then for $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_n)$, $[L(\lambda)_{\nu} : C(\nu)]$ is even.

Proof. Assume that this does not hold. WLOG we may assume $i = 1, j = 2$, and set $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$. Let \mathfrak{h}' to be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} generated by H_3, \dots, H_n , and set $\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha)$ to be the natural subalgebra of \mathfrak{q}_n isomorphic to \mathfrak{q}_2 with weight ϵ_1, ϵ_2 . Clearly $\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{q}_n .

Then by Theorem 9.4.4, $\text{Core}(\nu) = \text{Core}(\lambda)$. We view

$$N := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L(\lambda)_{\nu + i\alpha}$$

as a $\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'$ -module. We will write $\nu = k(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) + \nu'$ where ν' is the corresponding $\mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{h}'_{\mathfrak{0}}$ -weight. We assume that $k > 0$, with the case of $k < 0$ being similar. By the representation theory of \mathfrak{q}_2 , the only irreducible \mathfrak{q}_2 -modules with $k(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ are those of the form $L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha)}(k + i; k - i)$ for some $i > 0$. These are always typical, and are isomorphic to their parity shifts if and only if $i = k$.

Therefore since $\text{rank } F_{\nu}$ is odd one has

$$L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha)}(k + i; k - i) \boxtimes L_{\mathfrak{h}'}(\nu') = \begin{cases} L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + i\alpha) & \text{if } i \neq k \\ L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + k\alpha) \oplus \Pi L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + k\alpha) & i = k. \end{cases}$$

Because $L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha)}(k+i; k-i)$ is typical,

$$\dim L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + i\alpha)_\nu = \begin{cases} 2 \dim C(\nu) & \text{if } i \neq k; \\ \dim C(\nu) & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

Therefore in order to prove that $\dim N_\nu$ is divisible by $2 \dim C(\nu)$ it is enough to show that $\text{mult}(N; L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + k\alpha))$ is even, where mult denotes that non-graded multiplicity. By above, for $i \neq k$ one has

$$\dim L_{\mathfrak{q}_2(\alpha) \times \mathfrak{h}'}(\nu + i\alpha)_{\nu+k\alpha} = 2 \dim C(\nu + k\alpha).$$

Hence it is enough to verify that $\dim N_{\nu+k\alpha}$ is divisible by $2 \dim C(\nu + k\alpha)$ that is

$$\text{mult}(L(\lambda); C(\nu + k\alpha)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Let $\{\nu_i\}_{i=1}^n$ contain i_+ copies of k and i_- copies of $-k$. Then $\{\nu + k\alpha\}_{i=1}^n$ contains $j_+ = i_+ - 2$ copies of k and j_- copies of $-k$. Therefore $i_+ - i_- \neq j_+ - j_-$, so

$$\text{Core}(\nu + k\alpha) \neq \text{Core}(\nu) = \text{Core}(\lambda)$$

and thus by Theorem 9.4.4, $\text{mult}(L(\lambda); C(\nu + k\alpha)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ as required. \square

Combining Theorem 7.1.1, Theorem 9.4.4, and the results of [16], we obtain our main result:

9.4.9. Theorem. $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ defines a morphism of spoiled superalgebras $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})^W$. Further:

(i) For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cap I_0$ one has

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_0: \nu \sim \lambda \\ \text{zero } \lambda \geq \text{zero } \nu, \text{ zero } \lambda - \text{zero } \nu \equiv 0 \pmod{4}}} k_\nu a_\nu, \quad k_\nu \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_1$ one has

$$\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}) \cap I_1: \nu \sim \lambda \\ \text{zero } \lambda \geq \text{zero } \nu}} k_\nu a_\nu, \quad k_\nu \in \{0, 1\}.$$

In both cases $k_\lambda = 1$, and $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = a_\lambda$ if $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is typical.

Proof. The only part that remains to be justified is the inequality $\text{zero } \lambda \geq \text{zero } \nu$. For this we invoke the results of [16], where it is shown that if $x = H_1 \in (\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\overline{1}}$, and $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_n)$, then $ds_x^{\text{zero } \lambda + 1} L(\lambda) = 0$. \square

9.4.10. Corollary. For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\nu \notin WP^+(\mathfrak{g})$ we have $2 \dim E_\nu$ divides $\dim L(\lambda)_\nu$.

9.4.11. Corollary.

(i) The map $N \mapsto \text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} N$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{q}_n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}^W.$$

(ii) For $A \in \Xi$, let $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_A$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ consisting of modules of central character corresponding to A . Then $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_n)_A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_A)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W$.

(iii) The duality is given by $a_{\nu}^* = a_{-w_0(\nu)}$

Proof. It is clear that $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ has image lying in $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}^W$, and by Corollary 5.2.5, $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an embedding. From Theorem 9.4.9 and the fact that for each $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ the number of elements $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying $\nu < \lambda$ and $\nu \sim \lambda$ is finite, it follows that the image of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a_{ν} for each $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence the image is equal to $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{h})_{\mathbb{Q}}^W$, proving surjectivity giving (i). Part (ii) is an easy consequence of (i).

For (iii) we simply apply Theorem 9.4.4, and for (iii) we observe that $T_{-\lambda} = \sigma(T_{\lambda})$ and $t(\lambda) = t_{-\lambda}$. Thus it is easy check that $C(\lambda)^* \cong C(-\lambda)$. \square

9.5. The map ds_s . For $s = \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$, set

$$x_s = H_{n+1-2s} + \dots + H_n.$$

Then $c = x_s^2$ is a semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}$, and we have $DS_x \mathfrak{q}_n = \mathfrak{q}(n-2s)$. Further we have a splitting $\mathfrak{q}_n^c = \mathfrak{q}(n-2s) \times [x, \mathfrak{q}_n^c]$, where $\mathfrak{q}(n-2s) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$ is the natural embedding such that $\mathfrak{t}_x \subseteq \mathfrak{t}$ is spanned by h_1, \dots, h_{n-2s} and $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_x) = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n-2s}$.

We write $DS_s := DS_{x_s}$ and $ds_s : R(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{q}_{n-2s})$ for the induced homomorphism on reduced Grothendieck rings. These splittings of \mathfrak{g}_{x_s} in \mathfrak{g}^{x_s} satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 8.3.2, thus we have $ds_i \circ ds_j = ds_{i+j}$.

9.5.1. Remark. For $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ set

$$\alpha_i := \varepsilon_{n-2s+1} - \varepsilon_{n-2s+2}, \quad \alpha_i^{\vee} := h_{n-2s+1} - h_{n-2s+2}.$$

Let y_{α} be a nonzero odd element in \mathfrak{g}_{α} . For each $1 \leq s \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and consider $y_s := \sum_{i=1}^s x_{\alpha_i}$. Then clearly $[y_s, y_s] = 0$, and one can show that $ds_{y_s} = ds_{2s}$ as defined above.

We view $\mathfrak{t}_{x_s}^*$ as a subspace in \mathfrak{t}^* via the natural embedding $\iota_{n,s} : \mathfrak{t}_{x_s}^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ (given by $\varepsilon_i \mapsto \varepsilon_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-2s$).

9.5.2. Corollary. Take $N \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$. For each $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}_{x_s}^*$ we have

$$\text{smult}(DS_s(N); C(\nu)) = \text{smult}(N; C(\iota_{n,s}(\nu))).$$

Proof. Retain notation of 8.4 and set $\mu := \iota_{n,s}(\nu) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Note that \mathfrak{t}_{x_s} is spanned by $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-2s}$ and $\mathfrak{t}^{x_s} = \mathfrak{t}$.

Since $\mathfrak{t} \cap [x_s, \mathfrak{g}^c]$ is spanned by h_{n-s+1}, \dots, h_n , for $\mu \in I_x$ the restriction of F_μ to \mathfrak{t}^{x_s} written with respect to the above basis has the diagonal entries μ_1, \dots, μ_{n-s} and zeros on the last s places. In particular, for $\mu \in I_x$ one has $\text{rank } F_\mu^{x_s} = \text{rank } F_\mu$ if and only if $\mu_i = 0$ for $i > n - s$, i.e. $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_x^*$. By Corollary 8.4.1 we obtain

$$\text{smult}(\text{DS}_s(N); C(\nu)) = (-1)^{i_\mu} \text{smult}(N; C(\mu))$$

where $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_{x_s}}^{\mathfrak{h}} C(\mu) \cong \Pi^{i_\mu} C(\nu)$. The formulae

$$T_\mu = \prod_{i: \mu_i \neq 0} H_i = \prod_{i: \nu_i \neq 0} H_i = T_\nu, \quad t(\mu) = t(\nu)$$

give $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}_{x_s}}^{\mathfrak{h}} C(\mu) \cong C(\nu)$ as required. \square

9.5.3. Corollary. *The map $\text{ds}_s : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}_{x_s})$ is given by*

$$\text{ds}_s(a_\mu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if zero } \mu < s \\ a_{\mu'} & \text{if zero } \mu \geq s \end{cases}$$

where $\mu' \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ is such that $\text{Nonzero}(\mu) = \text{Nonzero}(\mu')$.

Proof. Write $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} N = \sum_{\mu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})} k_\mu a_\mu$ and $\text{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}_x} \text{DS}_s(N) = \sum_{\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_x)} m_\nu a_\nu$. By Corollary 9.5.2 $k_\mu = m_\nu$ if $\mu = \iota_{n,s}(\nu)$. \square

9.5.4. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{\text{int}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ with the modules whose weights with nonzero weight spaces lie in the lattice generated by $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$.

9.5.5. Corollary. *The kernel of $\text{ds}_s : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ is spanned by a_μ with zero $\mu < s$ and the image of ds_s is equal to $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g}_{x_s})_{\text{int}})$.*

9.5.6. Corollary. *For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_{x_s}^*)$ one has*

$$\text{zero } \lambda - \text{zero } \nu - s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \implies \text{smult}(\text{DS}_s(L(\lambda)); L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu)) = 0.$$

Proof. Combining Theorem 9.4.9 and Corollary 9.5.3 we conclude that $\text{ds}_s(\text{sch } L(\lambda))$ lies in the span of a_ν with $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g}_x)$ such that $n - \text{zero}(\lambda) - (n - s - \text{zero}(\nu)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ that is $\text{zero } \lambda - \text{zero } \nu - s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Let ν_0 be maximal (with respect to the standard partial order in \mathfrak{t}_x^*) such that

$$\text{smult}(\text{DS}_s(L(\lambda)); L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{zero } \lambda - \text{zero } \nu - s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

The maximality of ν_0 forces $\text{zero } \nu \not\equiv \text{zero } \nu_0$ if $\nu > \nu_0$ and $L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu)$ is a subquotient of $\text{DS}_s(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda))$. By Theorem 9.4.9 we obtain $[L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu) : C(\nu_0)] = 0$ if $\nu \neq \nu_0$ and $L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu)$ is a

subquotient of $\mathrm{DS}_s(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda))$. Therefore $\mathrm{smult}(\mathrm{DS}_s(L(\lambda)); L_{\mathfrak{g}_x}(\nu_0))$ is equal to the coefficient of a_{ν_0} in $\mathrm{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathrm{DS}_s(L(\lambda)) = \mathrm{ds}_s(\mathrm{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda))$, which is zero by above. \square

9.6. Example: \mathfrak{q}_2 . Recall that the atypical dominant weights for \mathfrak{q}_2 are of the form $s(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)$ for $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

Proposition. *Take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}_2$ with $\Delta^+ = \{\alpha\}$. For $\lambda \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_2)$ one has*

$$\mathrm{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} L(\lambda) = \begin{cases} a_{\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is typical;} \\ \sum_{i=1}^s a_{i\alpha} & \text{if } \lambda = s\alpha, s \in \mathbb{N}; \\ \sum_{i=0}^s a_{i+\frac{1}{2}\alpha} & \text{if } \lambda = (s + \frac{1}{2})\alpha, s \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If λ is typical, the assertion follows from Theorem 6.3.1. Consider the case when $\mu \in P^+(\mathfrak{q}_2)$ is atypical and $\mu \neq 0$. Write $K(\mu)$ for the maximal finite-dimensional quotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{q}_2} C(\mu)$. It is known that $\mathrm{sch}_{\mathfrak{h}} K(\mu) = a_{\mu}$. Further, if $\mu = s\alpha$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then it is known (for example see Section 7 of [9]) that we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow K(\alpha) \rightarrow L(\alpha) \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \Pi L((s-1)\alpha) \rightarrow K(s\alpha) \rightarrow L(s\alpha) \rightarrow 0$$

where V_0 is a nontrivial extension of \mathbb{C} and $\Pi\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand if $\mu = (s + \frac{1}{2})\alpha$ then for $s = 0$ we have $K(\alpha/2) = L(\alpha/2)$ and for $s > 0$ we have short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Pi L((s - \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \rightarrow K((s + \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \rightarrow L((s + \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \rightarrow 0.$$

From these results we can obtain the desired formulas by induction. \square

9.7. Realization of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{q}_n)$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of the modules with integral weights and that \mathcal{C} denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{h})$ consists of modules with weights lying in $P(\mathfrak{g})$. We write \mathcal{C}_{int} for the subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of those modules with integral weights.

9.7.1. By Theorem 9.4.9, the map sch gives embeddings

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W, \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{int})^W.$$

Further, we have identified the image of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp., $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int})$) with the subalgebra spanned by a_{ν} with $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp., $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})_{int}$).

One has $a_0 = 1$; we denote by $\mathrm{ev}_0 : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the co-unit map given by $\mathrm{ev}_0(a_{\nu}) = \delta_{0,\nu}$ for $\nu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$. One has

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{int})^W \oplus \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{nint})^W,$$

where \mathcal{C}_{nint} consists of modules with weights that lie in $P(\mathfrak{g})$ and are non-integral. For $b \in \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})^W$ and $b' \in \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{nint})^W$ one has $bb' = \mathrm{ev}_0(b)b'$, so $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{nint})$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})$. By Corollary 9.5.5, for $x \neq 0$, $\mathrm{ds}_x(\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{nint})^W) = 0$ and the image of ds_x lies in $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{int})$. This reduces a study of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C})$ to a study of $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{C}_{int})$.

9.7.2. The ring $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ can be realized in the following way.

Let V be a free $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$ -module with a basis $\{v_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Denote by $\bigwedge V$ the external ring of V . This is a \mathbb{N} -graded supercommutative ring; we consider $(\bigwedge V)^{spoil}$, which has

$$\left(\bigwedge V\right)^{spoil} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bigwedge^{2i} V \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bigwedge^{2i+1} V \varepsilon$$

where, we recall, ε is a formal variable satisfying $\varepsilon^2 = 2\varepsilon = 0$. This is a \mathbb{N} -graded commutative and supercommutative ring (which means that $(\bigwedge V)_i^{spoil} (\bigwedge V)_j^{spoil} = 0$ if i, j are odd).

We denote by Ξ_{int} the set of finite multisets $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^s$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $a_i + a_j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. For $A \in \Xi_{int}$ we denote by $(\bigwedge V)_A^{spoil}$ the span of the elements $v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_p}$ (resp., the elements $\varepsilon v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_p}$) with $\text{Core}(\{i_1, \dots, i_p\}) = A$ if A has an even (resp., an odd) cardinality. Clearly, this gives a grading

$$\left(\bigwedge V\right)_A^{spoil} \left(\bigwedge V\right)_B^{spoil} \subseteq \left(\bigwedge V\right)_{A \diamond B}^{spoil}$$

and $(\bigwedge V)_{\emptyset}^{spoil}$ is the subring of $(\bigwedge V)^{spoil}$ generated by $v_i \wedge v_{-i}$. In other words $(\bigwedge V)^{spoil}$ is Ξ -graded algebra. For each k we consider the ideal

$$J_k := \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \left(\bigwedge V\right)_i^{spoil}.$$

Recall that the map $\lambda \mapsto \text{Nonzero}(\lambda)$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between $P^+(\mathfrak{g})_{int}$ and the subsets $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ of cardinality at most n . The weights in I_0 correspond to the subsets of even cardinality; the zero weight correspond to \emptyset . We define

$$\psi : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bigwedge V)^{spoil} / J_n$$

by setting, for $\lambda \in I_i \cap P^+(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$\psi(a_\lambda) := \varepsilon^i \frac{t(\lambda)}{|t(\lambda)|} \cdot v_\lambda,$$

where $v_\lambda := v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k}$, and $\text{Nonzero}(\lambda) = \{i_1 > i_2 > \dots > i_k\}$.

Combining Corollary 9.4.11, Proposition 9.3.2 and Corollary 9.5.3 we obtain

9.7.3. Theorem.

- (i) *The map $\psi : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bigwedge V)^{spoil} / J_n$ is an isomorphism of Ξ_{int} -graded spoiled super rings.*
- (ii) *In particular, for $A \in \Xi_{int}$, ψ restricts to an isomorphism of vector spaces $\psi_A : \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_A) \rightarrow (\bigwedge V)_A^{spoil} / J_n$.*

(iii) The map $ds_s : R(\mathfrak{g}_n)_{int} \rightarrow R(\mathfrak{g}_{n-s})_{int}$ corresponds to the natural quotient map

$$\left(\bigwedge V\right)^{spoil} / J_n \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge V\right)^{spoil} / J_{n-s}$$

(one has $J_{n-s} \supset J_n$ for $s > 0$).

Proof. It is clear that ψ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules which preserves the Ξ -grading. The result on ds_s is also clear from the map. Thus it remains to check that ψ respects multiplication.

Let $\lambda, \mu \in P^+(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\lambda, \mu \in I_0$; the case when either is in I_1 is much easier. If $a_\lambda a_\mu = 0$ then the result is clear, thus we assume that $a_\lambda a_\mu \neq 0$. In this case, there exists unique elements $\lambda' \in W\lambda$ and $\mu' \in W\mu$ such that $\lambda' + \mu'$ is dominant, and thus we have $a_\lambda a_\mu = (-1)^i a_{\lambda'+\mu'}$, where $E_{\lambda'} \otimes E_{\mu'} = \Pi^i E_{\lambda'+\mu'}$. We may write $T_{\lambda'+\mu'} = (-1)^j T_{\lambda'} T_{\mu'}$ for some j , and it is clear that this j also satisfies

$$v_{\lambda'+\mu'} = (-1)^j v_\lambda v_\mu.$$

Then as in the proof of Proposition 9.3.2, we have $E_{\lambda'} \otimes E_{\mu'} = \Pi^{j+\ell} E_{\lambda'+\mu'}$, where $(-1)^\ell = \frac{t(\lambda)t(\mu)}{t(\lambda'+\mu')} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Therefore $a_\lambda a_\mu = (-1)^j \frac{t(\lambda)t(\mu)}{t(\lambda'+\mu')} a_{\lambda'+\mu'}$. Therefore

$$\psi(a_\lambda a_\mu) = (-1)^j \frac{t(\lambda)t(\mu)}{t(\lambda'+\mu')} \left(\frac{t(\lambda'+\mu')}{|t(\lambda'+\mu')|} v_{\lambda'+\mu'} \right) = \left(\frac{t(\lambda)}{|t(\lambda)|} v_\lambda \right) \left(\frac{t(\mu)}{|t(\mu)|} v_\mu \right)$$

where we have used that $|t(\lambda'+\mu')| = |t(\lambda)||t(\mu)|$. \square

For $A \in \Xi_{int}$, we define

$$\bar{A} := \begin{cases} \frac{\#\{i:a_i>0\} - \#\{i:a_i<0\}}{2} \bmod 2 & \text{for } |A| \text{ even;} \\ \frac{\#\{i:a_i>0\} - \#\{i:a_i<0\} - 1}{2} \bmod 2 & \text{for } |A| \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that we have $\overline{A \diamond B} = \bar{A} + \bar{B}$ if $|A|$ or $|B|$ is even.

9.7.4. Lemma. *The grading*

$$\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int})_{\bar{0}} = \bigoplus_{\bar{A}=\bar{0}} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_A), \quad \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int})_{\bar{1}} = \bigoplus_{\bar{A}=\bar{1}} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_A)$$

defines another super ring structure on $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int})$; note that it does not have the structure of a spoiled super ring under this grading.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_{int})$ is Ξ_{int} -graded, and $\mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_A) \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_B) = 0$ if $|A|$ and $|B|$ are odd. \square

9.7.5. Corollary. *If $|A|$ is even, then $t(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and thus the isomorphism ψ_A admits an integral structure. In particular we have an isomorphism of graded rings:*

$$\bigoplus_{\overline{A}=\overline{0}} \mathcal{K}_-(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{g})_A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\overline{A}=\overline{0}} \left(\bigwedge V^{\mathbb{Z}} \right)_A^{\text{spoiled}}.$$

Here $V^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the free \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{v_i : i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aramova, L.L. Avramov, J. Herzog, *Resolutions of monomial ideals and cohomology over exterior algebras*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc., Volume 352-2, (1999), 579–594.
- [2] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, S. I. Gelfand, *On certain category of \mathfrak{g} -modules*, Functional Analysis and Applications, **10** (1976), no. 2, 1–8.
- [3] J. Brundan, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_n* , Adv. Math. **182** (2004), 28–77.
- [4] S. J. Cheng *Supercharacters of queer Lie superalgebras*, J. Math. Phys. 58, 061701 (2017).
- [5] J.-S. Cheng, J.-H. Kwon, *Finite-dimensional half-integer weight modules over queer Lie superalgebras* Comm. Math. Phys., **346** (2016), 945–965.
- [6] V. Deodhar, O. Gabber, V. Kac, *Structure of some categories of representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **45** (1982), 92–116.
- [7] M. Duflo, V. Serganova, *On associated variety for Lie superalgebras*, arXiv:0507198.
- [EAS] I. Entova-Aizenbud, V. Serganova. *Jacobson-Morozov lemma for algebraic supergroups*, Advances in Mathematics 398, 108240, (2022).
- [8] A. Frisk, *Typical blocks of the category \mathcal{O} for the queer Lie superalgebra*, J. Algebra and Applications, **6**, No. 5 (2006).
- [9] M. Gorelik, *Shapovalov determinants for Q -type Lie superalgebras*, IMRP (2006), Art. Id. 96895, 1–71.
- [10] M. Gorelik, *On the ghost centre of Lie superalgebras*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, Tome 50 (2000) no. 6, pp. 1745–1764.
- [11] M. Gorelik, *Depths and cores in the light of DS-functors*, arXiv:2010.05721.
- [12] M. Gorelik, *Bipartite extension graphs and the Duflo–Serganova functor*, arXiv: 2010.12817.
- [13] M. Gorelik, *On modified extension graphs of a fixed atypicality*, preprint.
- [14] M. Gorelik, *On Duflo–Serganova functor for the queer Lie superalgebras*, preprint.
- [15] M. Gorelik, T. Heidersdorf, *Gruson–Serganova character formulas and the Gruson–Serganova cohomology functor*, arXiv:2104.12634.
- [16] M. Gorelik, A. Sherman, *On the Duflo–Serganova functor for the queer Lie superalgebra*, arXiv:2204.05048.
- [17] N.I. Ivanova, A.L. Onishchik, *Parabolic subalgebras and gradings of reductive Lie superalgebras*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 152, No. 1, 2008.
- [18] V. G. Kac, D. Kazhdan, *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **34** (1979), no. 1, 97–108.
- [19] N. Grantcharov, V. Serganova *Extension quiver for Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(3)$* , SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **16** (2020), Paper No. 141.
- [20] C. Hoyt, S. Reif, *Grothendieck rings for Lie superalgebras and the Duflo–Serganova functor*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 9, 2167–2184.
- [21] M.S. Im, S. Reif, V. Serganova *Grothendieck rings of periplectic Lie superalgebras*, arXiv:1906.01948.
- [22] V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. in Math., **26**, no. 1 (1977), 8–96.

- [23] V. G. Kac, D. H. Peterson, *Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **80** (1983), 1778–1782.
- [24] V. Mazorchuk, *Parabolic category O for classical Lie superalgebras*. Advances in Lie superalgebras, 149–166, Springer INdAM Ser., 7, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [25] S. Reif, *Grothendieck rings of queer Lie superalgebras*, arxiv:2107.02219.
- [26] V. Serganova *On the superdimension of an irreducible representation of a basic classical Lie superalgebra*, in Supersymmetry in mathematics and physics, 253–273, Lecture Notes in Math., 2027, Springer, Heidelberg, (2011).
- [27] V. Serganova, *Finite-dimensional representation of algebraic supergroups*, ICM
- [28] V. Serganova, *Quasireductive supergroups*, Contemporary Mathematics (2011), Vol. 544, 141-159
- [29] A. Sergeev, *The centre of the enveloping algebra for Lie superalgebra $Q(n, \mathbb{C})$* , Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983), no. 3, 177–179.
- [30] A. Sergeev, A. Veselov, *Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 173 No. 2 (2011), 663-703.

WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL

Email address: maria.gorelik@gmail.com

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY, BEER-SHEVA, ISRAEL

Email address: xandersherm@gmail.com