

# Cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and its applications in prime characteristic \*

SHUJUAN WANG<sup>1</sup> AND ZHAOXIN LI<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University,  
Shanghai 201306, China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, Heilongjiang University,  
Harbin 150080, China*

**Abstract:** Over a field of characteristic  $p > 2$ , the first cohomology of the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with coefficients in all simple modules is determined, which implies Whitehead's first lemma is not true in prime characteristic. As applications, derivations and 2-local derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any simple module are also be characterized.

**Keywords:**  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , simple modules, the first cohomology, (2-local) derivations.

**Mathematics Subject Classification :** 17B40, 17B50, 17B56

## 1. Introduction

Cohomology is an important tool in modern mathematics and theoretical physics. Recall, for instance, that relative cohomology is fundamental in the Borel-Weil-Bott theory (see [8]), or that cohomology of nilpotent radicals of parabolic subalgebras is crucial in the Kazhdan-Lusztig theory (see [4]). Particularly, the first cohomology is closely related to extensions of modules. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra and  $M$  be any finite-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module over a field of characteristic 0. It is Whitehead's first lemma that tells us the first cohomology  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0$  (see [10, Theorem 7.8.9 or Corollary 7.8.10]). In this paper, the underlying field  $\mathbb{F}$  is assumed to be of characteristic  $p > 2$ . We determine the first cohomology of the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with coefficients in all simple modules over  $\mathbb{F}$  (see Theorem 2.1 in Section 2.), which implies that Whitehead's first lemma is not true over a field of prime characteristic. As an application, we also characterize all derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any simple module over  $\mathbb{F}$  (see Theorem 3.1 in Subsection 3.1.).

Motivated by Brešar and Zhao's work on skewsymmetric biderivations, generalizing the concept of skewsymmetric biderivations of Lie algebras from the adjoint module to any module in the paper [5], we generalize the notion of 2-local derivations of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra from the adjoint module to any finite-dimensional module over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and characterize all 2-local derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any simple module (see Theorem 3.4 in Subsection 3.2.). In fact, the concept of 2-local derivations of Lie algebras (on the adjoint module) is first introduced in 2015 (see [3, 6]), which have aroused the interest of a great many authors in recent years, see [1, 2, 11] for example. It is well-known that derivations and 2-local derivations of algebras are influential

---

\*supported by Heilongjiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (YQ2020A005) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (12061029).

†Correspondence: LZXL15765737480@163.com

and far-reaching, which are very important subjects in the study of both algebras and their generalizations.

### 1.1. The first cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra and  $M$  a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module in this subsection. We call a linear map  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$  to be a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ , if

$$\phi([x, y]) = x\phi(y) - y\phi(x), \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Denote by  $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  the space consisting of derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ . For  $m \in M$ , we call a map  $D : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$  to be an inner derivation determined by  $m$ , denoted by  $D_m$ , if

$$D(x) = xm, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Denote by  $\text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  the space consisting of inner derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $M$  admit the weight space decompositions with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\alpha.$$

We call a linear map  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M$  to be a weight-map, if  $\phi(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \subseteq M_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Furthermore, if a weight-map  $\phi \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ , then  $\phi$  is said to be a weight-derivation. Denote by  $\text{Wder}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  the space consisting of weight-derivations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ . From [7, Theorem 1.1], the first cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the coefficient in the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) &= \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M) / \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \\ &= (\text{Wder}(\mathfrak{g}, M) + \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)) / \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

### 1.2. Simple modules of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$

For any  $k \in \{0, 2, -2\}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , let  $\Phi(a)$  and  $\Phi(a, k)$  be the integer numbers such that both  $\Phi(a)$  and  $\Phi(a, k)$  are in  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , and

$$\Phi(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}, \quad \Phi(a, k) \equiv \frac{a+k}{2} \pmod{p}.$$

We will follow the reference [9, Sec. 5.2] for the representation theory of the 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . For the convenience of the readers, we summarize some information. Recall that  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  has a standard basis:

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  is a restricted Lie algebra with the only  $p$ -map such that

$$h^{[p]} = h, \quad e^{[p]} = f^{[p]} = 0.$$

Denote by  $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Note that  $x^p - x^{[p]}$  lies in the center of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Let  $V$  be a simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module. Schur's Lemma tells us there exists  $\chi \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)^*$ , such that

$$x^p v - x^{[p]} v = \chi(x)^p v, \quad x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2), \quad v \in V.$$

In this case we also call  $V$  a simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module with  $p$ -character  $\chi$ . Fix  $\chi \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)^*$ . Denote by  $I_\chi$  the two-sided ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  generated by the elements  $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Write  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))/I_\chi$ , which is called the reduced enveloping algebra with  $p$ -character  $\chi$ . Note that a simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module with  $p$ -character  $\chi$  is the same as a simple  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -module. Any  $p$ -character  $\chi'$  is  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -conjugate to a  $p$ -character  $\chi$  with  $\chi(e) = 0$  and  $U_{\chi'}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) = U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ . Therefore the study of simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -modules is reduced to a problem of studying simple ones with  $p$ -character  $\chi$  when  $\chi$  runs over the representatives of coadjoint  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)^*$ . In this paper we make a convention that the symbol  $\chi$  implies  $\chi \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)^*$  and  $\chi(e) = 0$ .

Below we recall simple  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ -modules constructed in [9, p. 207]. Write

$$\Lambda_\chi = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} \mid \lambda^p - \lambda = \chi(h)^p\}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{F}v$  be a 1-dimensional vector space and  $hv = \lambda v$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}v$  is a  $U_\chi(\mathbb{F}h)$ -module if and only if  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ . By letting  $ev = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{F}v$  can be extended to a 1-dimensional  $U_\chi(\mathbb{F}h + \mathbb{F}e)$ -module, which is because  $\chi(e) = 0$ . Write

$$Z_\chi(\lambda) := U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) \otimes_{U_\chi(\mathbb{F}h + \mathbb{F}e)} \mathbb{F}v, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda_\chi,$$

which is called the Verma module of  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  and  $p$ -character  $\chi$ . Then  $Z_\chi(\lambda)$  has a basis

$$v_0 := 1 \otimes v, \quad v_i := f^i \otimes v, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1.$$

Denote by  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  the unique simple quotient of  $Z_\chi(\lambda)$ , which is also of highest weight  $\lambda$  and  $p$ -character  $\chi$ . If  $v \in Z_\chi(\lambda)$ , then we also use  $v$  to represent the residue class containing  $v$  in  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ . We describe the structure of  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  in the following:

- (1) If  $\chi(h) \neq 0$  or  $\chi(f) \neq 0$ , then  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  has a standard basis:  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}$ , with the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action as follows:

$$ev_i = i(\lambda - i + 1)v_{i-1}, \quad hv_i = (\lambda - 2i)v_i, \quad fv_i = \begin{cases} v_{i+1}, & i < p-1 \\ \chi(f)^p v_0, & i = p-1. \end{cases}$$

- (2) If  $\chi = 0$ , then  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  has a standard basis:  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{\Phi(\lambda)}$ , with the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -action as follows:

$$ev_i = i(\lambda - i + 1)v_{i-1}, \quad hv_i = (\lambda - 2i)v_i, \quad fv_i = \begin{cases} v_{i+1}, & i < \Phi(\lambda) \\ 0, & i = \Phi(\lambda). \end{cases}$$

## 2. $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda))$

The following two theorems determine the first cohomology of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with coefficients in  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ , which implies that Whitehead's first lemma in [10, Corollary 7.8.10] is not true over a field of prime characteristic.

**Theorem 2.1.**

$$H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}d_1 + \mathbb{F}d_2, & (\chi, \lambda) = (0, p-2) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where both  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are linear maps from  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  to  $L_0(p-2)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(h) = d_1(f) = 0, & \quad d_1(e) = v_{p-2}, \\ d_2(h) = d_2(e) = 0, & \quad d_2(f) = v_0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We shall prove this conclusion by steps.

*Step 1:* Let  $\chi(h) \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_p$  from  $\lambda \in \Lambda_\chi$ . Then  $L_\chi(\lambda)_\alpha = 0$  for any  $\alpha = 0, 2, -2$ , which implies that  $\text{Wder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)) = 0$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get  $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)) = 0$  under this case.

*Step 2:* Let  $\chi(h) = 0$ . Since  $hv_i = (\lambda - 2i)v_i$ , then we let  $\phi \in \text{Wder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda))$  such that

$$\phi(h) = av_{\Phi(\lambda,0)}, \quad \phi(e) = bv_{\Phi(\lambda,-2)}, \quad \phi(f) = cv_{\Phi(\lambda,2)}.$$

For any  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}h)^*$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)_\alpha$ , by

$$\phi([h, x]) = \phi(\alpha(h)x) = \alpha(h)\phi(x)$$

and

$$h\phi(x) - x\phi(h) = \alpha(h)\phi(x) - x\phi(h),$$

we get  $x\phi(h) = 0$ . Considering that  $x$  are arbitrary and  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module, we get  $\phi(h) = 0$ .

*Step 2.1:* Let  $\chi = 0$ . In this case,  $L_0(\lambda)$  has a basis  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{\Phi(\lambda)}$ . Write  $k \in \{0, 2, -2\}$  and  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, \Phi(\lambda)\}$ . Then

$$v_{\Phi(\lambda,k)} \neq 0 \iff \Phi(\lambda, k) \in I.$$

In fact, for any  $k \in \{0, 2, -2\}$ , we get

$$\Phi(p-1, k) \in I, \quad \Phi(2, k) \in I, \quad \Phi(1, k) \notin I (p \geq 5), \quad \Phi(p-3, k) \in I (p \geq 7)$$

and the following conclusions:

(a) If  $p = 3$ , then

$$\Phi(0, k) \in I \iff k = 0; \quad \Phi(1, k) \in I \iff k = \pm 2.$$

(b) If  $p \geq 5$ , then

$$\Phi(0, k) \in I \iff k = 0; \quad \Phi(p-2, k) \in I \iff k = \pm 2.$$

(c) If  $p \geq 7$  and  $3 \leq \Phi(\lambda) < p-3$ , then

$$\Phi(\lambda, k) \in I \iff \Phi(\lambda) \text{ is even.}$$

In the following, we shall determine  $\phi$ .

- If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\Phi(\lambda, k) \notin I$  for  $k = \pm 2$ . The fact  $\phi(e) = \phi(f) = 0$  implies  $\phi([e, f]) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi(h) = 0$ . It follows that  $\phi = 0$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get  $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(\lambda)) = 0$  under this case.
- If  $\lambda = 1$  with  $p \geq 5$ ; or  $3 \leq \Phi(\lambda) < p-3$  is odd with  $p \geq 7$ , then  $\Phi(\lambda, k) \notin I$  for  $k = 0, \pm 2$ . It follows that  $\phi = 0$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get  $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(\lambda)) = 0$  under this case.
- If  $\lambda = p-2$ , then the fact  $\phi(h) = 0$  implies  $\phi = bd_1 + cd_2$ . By a direct computation, we get that both  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are lie in  $\text{Wder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(p-2))$ . Claim that  $bd_1 + cd_2$  is inner if and only if  $b = c = 0$ . In fact, if  $bd_1 + cd_2 = D_v$  for  $v = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} a_i v_i \in L_0(p-2)$ , then

$$0 = (bd_1 + cd_2)(h) = D_v(h) = h \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} a_i v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} a_i (-2 - 2i)v_i.$$

It follows that  $a_i = 0$  from  $-2 - 2i \neq 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$ , which forces  $v = 0$ , and then  $b = c = 0$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get

$$H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(p-2)) = \mathbb{F}d_1 + \mathbb{F}d_2.$$

- If  $\lambda = 2$ ; or  $\lambda \in \{p-1, p-3\}$  with  $p \geq 5$ ; or  $3 \leq \Phi(\lambda) < p-3$  is even with  $p \geq 7$ , then  $\Phi(\lambda, k) \in \mathbb{I}$  for  $k = 0, \pm 2$ . The fact  $\phi(h) = 0$  implies  $\phi([e, f]) = 0$ . From

$$e\phi(f) - f\phi(e) = cev_{\Phi(\lambda, 2)} - bfv_{\Phi(\lambda, -2)} = c\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} + 1 \right) v_{\Phi(\lambda, 0)} - bv_{\Phi(\lambda, 0)},$$

it follows that  $b = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} + 1 \right) c$ , which implies that  $\phi = cD_{v_{\Phi(\lambda, 0)}}$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get  $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(\lambda)) = 0$  under this case.

*Step 2.2:* Let  $\chi(f) \neq 0$ . In this case,  $L_\chi(\lambda)$  has a basis  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}$ . The fact  $\phi(h) = 0$  implies  $\phi([e, f]) = 0$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = e\phi(f) - f\phi(e) &= cev_{\Phi(\lambda, 2)} - bfv_{\Phi(\lambda, -2)} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-b + c\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} + 1 \right)) v_{\Phi(\lambda, 0)}, & \lambda \neq 0 \\ -b\chi(f)^p v_0, & \lambda = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $b = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} + 1 \right) c$ , that is  $\phi = D_{v_{\Phi(\lambda, 0)}}$ . As a result, by Equation (1.1), we get  $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_0(\lambda)) = 0$  under this case.  $\square$

### 3. Applications

In this section, we shall determine all derivations and 2-local derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ .

#### 3.1. Derivations

By Equation (1.1) and Theorem 2.1, we have the following theorem, which determines all derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.**

$$\text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)) + \mathbb{F}d_1 + \mathbb{F}d_2, & (\chi, \lambda) = (0, p-2) \\ \text{Ider}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda)), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### 3.2. 2-local derivations

In the following, we introduce the definition of 2-local derivations of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra on any finite-dimensional module, which generalizes the usual ones from the adjoint module to any finite-dimensional module.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra,  $M$  a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module and  $\varphi$  a map from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $M$ . If for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , there exists  $D_{x,y} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$  (depending on  $x, y$ ), such that

$$\varphi(x) = D_{x,y}(x), \quad \varphi(y) = D_{x,y}(y),$$

then  $\varphi$  is said to be a 2-local derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $M$ .

Below we give a technical lemma which will be used in the future.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra,  $M$  a nontrivial and simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of finite dimension. Then

$$a_1 D_{u_1} + a_2 D_{u_2} + \dots + a_k D_{u_k} = 0 \iff a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k = 0,$$

where  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in M, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}$ . Particularly,

$u_1, \dots, u_k$  is a basis of  $M$  if and only if  $D_{u_1}, \dots, D_{u_k}$  is a basis of  $\text{Ider}(\mathfrak{g}, M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 D_{u_1} + a_2 D_{u_2} + \dots + a_k D_{u_k})(x) \\ &= a_1 D_{u_1}(x) + a_2 D_{u_2}(x) + \dots + a_k D_{u_k}(x) \\ &= a_1 x u_1 + a_2 x u_2 + \dots + a_k x u_k \\ &= x(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k) \\ &= D_{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$a_1 D_{u_1} + a_2 D_{u_2} + \dots + a_k D_{u_k} = D_{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k},$$

which implies the ‘‘if’’ direction.

Let  $a_1 D_{u_1} + a_2 D_{u_2} + \dots + a_k D_{u_k} = 0$ . For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$(a_1 D_{u_1} + a_2 D_{u_2} + \dots + a_k D_{u_k})(x) = 0,$$

then

$$D_{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k}(x) = x(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k).$$

It follows that  $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k \in M^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , where  $M^{\mathfrak{g}} := \{m \in M \mid xm = 0, \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ . Since  $M$  is nontrivial and simple, we get  $M^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ . Then

$$a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k = 0.$$

□

Now we are in the position to give the main result on 2-local derivations of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a 2-local derivation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on any  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ .*

- (1) *If  $(\chi, p, \lambda) \neq (0, 3, 1)$ , then  $\varphi$  is a derivation.*
- (2) *If  $(\chi, p, \lambda) = (0, 3, 1)$ , then for any  $x = x_e e + x_f f + x_h h \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with  $x_e, x_f, x_h \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exist a derivation  $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), L_\chi(\lambda))$  and two maps  $m(x), n(x) : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , such that*

$$\varphi(x) = D + \begin{cases} 0, & x_e x_f = 0 \\ m(x)v_0 + n(x)v_1, & x_e x_f \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For any fixed  $x', y' \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , then by definition, there exists a derivation  $D_{x', y'} : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow L_\chi(\lambda)$ , such that  $\varphi(x') = D_{x', y'}(x')$  and  $\varphi(y') = D_{x', y'}(y')$ . Write  $\varphi_1 = \varphi - D_{x', y'}$ . Then  $\varphi_1$  is also a 2-local derivation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  on  $L_\chi(\lambda)$ , and

$$\varphi_1(x') = \varphi_1(y') = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

In the following we shall prove by steps that there exist maps  $m(x)$  and  $n(x)$  from  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ , such that

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} m(x)v_0 + n(x)v_1, & x_e x_f \neq 0 \text{ and } (\chi, p, \lambda) = (0, 3, 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any  $x = x_e e + x_f f + x_h h \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with  $x_e, x_f, x_h \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Step 1:* Let  $\chi(h) \neq 0$ . Let  $x' = h$  and  $y' = f$  in Equation (3.1). Then for any  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , by definition there exists a derivation  $D_{x,h}$ , letting  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i(x, h)D_{v_i}$  by Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and  $\chi(h) \neq 0$ , such that

$$\varphi_1(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i(x, h)D_{v_i}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i(x, h)(\lambda - 2i)v_i = 0.$$

It follows that  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{F}_p$  from  $\chi(h) \neq 0$ . Then each  $a_i(x, h) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .

*Step 2:* Let  $\chi(e) = \chi(h) = 0$  and  $\chi(f) \neq 0$ . Let  $x' = h$  and  $y' = f$  in Equation (3.1). Then for any  $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , by definition there exists a derivation  $D_{x,f}$ , letting  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i(x, f)D_{v_i}$  by Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and  $\chi(f) \neq 0$ , such that

$$\varphi_1(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i(x, f)D_{v_i}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} a_i(x, f)v_{i+1} + a_{p-1}(x, f)\chi(f)^p v_0 = 0.$$

It follows that each  $a_i(x, f) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .

*Step 3:* Let  $\chi = 0$ . By letting  $x' = e$  and  $y' = f$  in Equation (3.1), then we may assume that  $\varphi_1(e) = \varphi_1(f) = 0$ . Again by definition, for any fixed  $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ , there exists a derivation  $D_{x,y} : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow L_\chi(\lambda)$ , such that  $\varphi_1(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$  and  $\varphi_1(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$ . By Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and  $\chi = 0$ , we may set

$$D_{x,y} = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(x, y)D_{v_i} + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(x, y)d_j,$$

where  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are defined in Theorem 2.1. Hereafter, the symbol  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Kronecker Symbol.

**Claim 1:**  $\varphi_1(h) = 0$ . In fact, on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(h) &= D_{h,e}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, e)D_{v_i}(h) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(h, e)d_j(h) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, e)(\lambda - 2i)v_i, \\ \varphi_1(e) &= D_{h,e}(e) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, e)D_{v_i}(e) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(h, e)d_j(e) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, e)i(\lambda - i + 1)v_{i-1} + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(h, e)v_{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_i(h, e) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \Phi(\lambda)$  and  $\delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(h, e) = 0$ , since  $\varphi_1(e) = 0$ . As a result,

$$\varphi_1(h) = a_0(h, e)\lambda v_0. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(h) &= D_{h,f}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, f)(\lambda - 2i)v_i, \\ \varphi_1(f) &= D_{h,f}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, f)D_{v_i}(f) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(h, f)d_j(f) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(h, f)v_{i+1} + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(h, f)v_0.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_i(h, f) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \Phi(\lambda) - 1$  and  $\delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(h, f) = 0$ , since  $\varphi_1(f) = 0$ . As a result,

$$\varphi_1(h) = -\lambda a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(h, f)v_{\Phi(\lambda)}. \quad (3.3)$$

From Equations (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$a_0(h, e)\lambda v_0 = -\lambda a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(h, f)v_{\Phi(\lambda)}.$$

If  $\Phi(\lambda) = 0$ , then by Equation (3.2) or (3.3), we get  $\varphi_1(h) = 0$ . If  $\Phi(\lambda) \neq 0$ , then  $a_0(h, e) = a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(h, f) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi_1(h) = 0$ . Consequently, we prove Claim 1.

Let  $x = x_e e + x_h h + x_f f \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$  with  $x_e, x_h, x_f \in \mathbb{F}$ . On one hand,

$$\varphi_1(e) = D_{x,e}(e) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(x, e)i(\lambda + 1 - i)v_{i-1} + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, e)v_{p-2} = 0.$$

It follows that  $a_i(x, e) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \Phi(\lambda)$  and  $\delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, e) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x) &= a_0(x, e)D_{v_0}(x) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, e)d_2(x) \\ &= a_0(x, e)D_{v_0}(x_h h + x_f f) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, e)d_2(x_h h + x_f f) \\ &= a_0(x, e)(x_h \lambda v_0 + x_f v_1) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, e)x_f v_0.\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi_1(f) = D_{x,f}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\Phi(\lambda)} a_i(x, f)v_{i+1} + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, f)v_0 = 0.$$

It follows that  $a_i(x, f) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \Phi(\lambda) - 1$  and  $\delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, f) = 0$ . Then by definition, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x) &= a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(x, f)D_{v_{\Phi(\lambda)}}(x) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, f)d_1(x) \\ &= a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(x, f)D_{v_{\Phi(\lambda)}}(x_e e + x_h h) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, f)d_1(x_e e + x_h h) \\ &= a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(x, f)(x_e \lambda v_{\Phi(\lambda)-1} - x_h \lambda v_{\Phi(\lambda)}) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, f)x_e v_{p-2}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From Equations (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}a_0(x, e)(\lambda x_h v_0 + x_f v_1) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_2(x, e)x_f v_0 \\ = a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(x, f)(\lambda x_e v_{\Phi(\lambda)-1} - \lambda x_h v_{\Phi(\lambda)}) + \delta_{\Phi(\lambda), p-2} b_1(x, f)x_e v_{p-2}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

*Step 3.1:* Let  $\Phi(\lambda) = 1$  and  $p = 3$ . Then by Equation (3.6), we have

$$(a_0(x, e)x_h + b_2(x, e)x_f)v_0 + a_0(x, e)x_f v_1 = a_1(x, f)x_e v_0 + (b_1(x, f)x_e - a_1(x, f)x_h)v_1.$$



On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(h) &= D_{x,h}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^1 a_i(x,h)D_{v_i}(h) + \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(x,h)d_j(h) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^1 a_i(x,h)(1-2i)v_i = 0\end{aligned}$$

Then  $a_0(x,h) = a_1(x,h) = 0$ , since  $\varphi_1(h) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x) &= D_{x,h}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^2 b_j(x,h)d_j(x) \\ &= b_1(x,h)(x_e v_1) + b_2(x,h)(x_f v_0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

By Equations (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(x) &= a_1(x,f)x_e v_0 + b_1(x,h)x_e v_1 \\ &= a_0(x,e)x_f v_1 + b_2(x,h)x_f v_0.\end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x_e x_f = 0 \\ m(x)v_0 + n(x)v_1, & x_e x_f \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$m(x) = b_2(x,h)x_f = a_1(x,f)x_e, \quad n(x) = a_0(x,e)x_f = b_1(x,h)x_e.$$

*Step 3.2:* Let  $2 < \Phi(\lambda) \neq p-2$  or  $\Phi(\lambda) = 0$ . Then by Equation (3.6), we get  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .

*Step 3.3:* Let  $\Phi(\lambda) = 2$  and  $x_h \neq 0$ . Then by Equation (3.6), we get  $a_{\Phi(\lambda)}(x,f) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$  by Equation (3.5).

*Step 3.4:* Let  $\Phi(\lambda) = 2$  and  $x_h = 0$ . Then  $\Phi(\lambda) \neq p-2$ , and by definition we get

$$\varphi_1(h) = D_{x,h}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i(x,h)(2-2i)v_i = 0,$$

and then  $a_i(x,h) = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ . Hence by definition, we have

$$\varphi_1(x) = a_1(x,h)(x_e e + x_f f)v_1 = a_1(x,h)(2x_e v_0 + x_f v_2).$$

It follows from Equations (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$a_0(x,e)x_f v_1 = 2a_2(x,f)x_e v_1 = a_1(x,h)(2x_e v_0 + x_f v_2).$$

Then  $a_1(x,h) = 0$ , that is,  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .

*Step 3.5:* Let  $\Phi(\lambda) = 1$  and  $p \neq 3$ . If  $x_h = 0$ , then by Equation (3.6) we have  $a_0(x,e) = a_1(x,f) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ . If  $x_h \neq 0$ , then

$$\varphi_1(h) = D_{x,h}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^1 a_i(x,h)D_{v_i}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^1 a_i(x,h)(1-2i)v_i = 0.$$

Since  $1-2i \neq 0$ , we get  $a_i(x,h) = 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ , and then  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .

*Step 3.6:* Let  $\Phi(\lambda) = p-2$  and  $p \neq 3$ . Then by Equation (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}& a_0(x,e)(x_h(p-2)v_0 + x_f v_1) + b_2(x,e)x_f v_0 \\ &= a_{p-2}(x,f)(x_e(p-2)v_{p-3} + (2-p)x_h v_{p-2}) + b_1(x,f)x_e v_{p-2}.\end{aligned}$$

As a result,  $a_0(x,e) = a_{p-2}(x,f) = 0$  since  $p \neq 3$ , that is,  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Ayupov and B. Yusupov, 2-Local derivations on infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **19**(5)(2020): 2050100 (12 pages).
- [2] S. Ayupov, A. Khudoyberdiyev and B. Yusupov, Local and 2-local derivations of solvable Leibniz algebras, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, **30**(6)(2019): 1185-1197.
- [3] S. Ayupov, K. Kudaybergenov and I. Rakhimov, 2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **474**(2015): 1–11.
- [4] J. Brundan, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)$ , *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, **16**(2002): 185–231.
- [5] M. Brešar and K. Zhao, Biderivations and commuting linear maps on Lie algebras, *J. Lie Theory*, **28**(3)(2018): 885–900.
- [6] Z. Chen and D. Wang, 2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **486**(2015): 335–343.
- [7] R. Farnsteiner, Dual space derivations and  $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$  of modular Lie algebras, *Can. J. Math.*, **39**(5)(1987), 1078–1106.
- [8] I. Penkov, Borel-Weil-Bott theory for classical Lie superalgebras, *J. Sov. Math.*, **51**(1990): 2108–2140.
- [9] H. Strade and R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and their Representations*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [10] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [11] Y. Zhao, Y. Chen and K. Zhao, 2-Local derivations on Witt algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **20**(4)(2021): 2150068 (11 pages).