

# Non-restricted representations of contact and special contact Lie superalgebras of odd type\*

SHUJUAN WANG<sup>1</sup>, WENDE LIU<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University,  
Shanghai 201306, China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hainan Normal University,  
Haikou 571158, China*

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a contact Lie superalgebra of odd type or special contact Lie superalgebra of odd type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$ . In this paper we study non-restricted representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By using induced Kac modules, we characterize all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with nonsingular or  $\Delta$ -invertible  $p$ -characters. We also obtain all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with regular semisimple  $p$ -characters.

**Keywords:** Induced Kac module; non-restricted representation; contact and special contact Lie superalgebras of odd type

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:** 17B10, 17B35, 17B50

## 0 Introduction

Analogous to finite-dimensional simple Cartan type modular Lie algebras, four families of Cartan type modular Lie superalgebras (that is, the Witt type, the special type, the Hamiltonian type and the contact type) were constructed by Zhang in [21]. Later another four families of finite-dimensional simple Cartan type modular Lie superalgebras (that is, the Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type, the contact Lie superalgebras of odd type, the special Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type and the special contact Lie superalgebras of odd type) are introduced by Fu, Liu and Zhang etc. in [1, 4, 7, 9], which occur neither in the non-super case, nor in the non-modular case (among finite-dimensional algebras). Quite recently, Shu and Zhang determined all restricted and some non-restricted simple modules of the Witt type modular Lie superalgebras by virtue of Serganova's odd reflections together with Jantzen's  $u(\mathfrak{g})$ - $T$  module category (see [11, 12]). Later, Shu, Yao and Duan studied modular representations of the first four kinds of Cartan type modular Lie superalgebras (see [2, 3, 10, 15–17]). In particular, they characterized some non-restricted representations of Witt type, special type and Hamiltonian type modular Lie superalgebras in [2, 3, 16]. This paper is a sequel to [13, 18], in which restricted representations of the Hamiltonian and contact Lie superalgebras

---

\*Supported by the NSF of China (12061029) and the NSF of Heilongjiang Province (YQ2020A005).

<sup>†</sup>Correspondence: wendeliu@ustc.edu.cn (W. Liu).

of odd type are studied. As far as I know, non-restricted representations of the last four series of Cartan type modular Lie superalgebras have not been studied yet until now. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a contact or special contact Lie superalgebra of odd type, whose superderivations and maximal graded subalgebras have been studied in [1] and [8] respectively. In this paper, we characterize all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of nonsingular or  $\Delta$ -invertible  $p$ -characters and determined all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of regular semisimple  $p$ -characters. In particular, all those simple modules mentioned above are proved to be reduced Kac modules.

## 1 Preliminaries

**1.1 Divided power superalgebras.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 3$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, \bar{1}\}$  the additive group of two elements. Fix a pair of positive integers  $m, n$  and write  $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n \mid r_{n+1}, \dots, r_{n+m})$  for a  $(m+n)$ -tuple of non-negative integers. Let  $\mathbf{I}(n, m)$  be the set of all  $(m+n)$ -tuples  $\underline{r}$  such that  $0 \leq r_i < p$  for  $i \leq n$  and  $r_i = 0$  or  $1$  for  $n \leq i \leq m+n$ . Write  $\mathcal{O}(n, m)$  for the divided power superalgebra (see [5, p. 226]), which is a supercommutative associative algebra having a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homogeneous basis:  $\{x^{(\underline{r})} \mid \underline{r} \in \mathbf{I}(n, m)\}$  with parity  $|x^{(\underline{r})}| = (\sum_{i>n} r_i) \bar{1}$  and multiplication relation:

$$x^{(\underline{r})}x^{(\underline{s})} = \prod_{i=m+1}^{m+n} \min(1, 2 - r_i - s_i)(-1)^{\sum_{n < i < j \leq m+n} r_j s_i} \binom{\underline{r} + \underline{s}}{\underline{r}} x^{(\underline{r} + \underline{s})},$$

where

$$\binom{\underline{r} + \underline{s}}{\underline{r}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{r_i + s_i}{r_i}.$$

The divided power superalgebra  $\mathcal{O}(n, m)$  is a generalization of the divided power algebra  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  and is isomorphic to the tensor product of the divided power algebra with the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading and the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(m)$  with the natural  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading:  $\mathcal{O}(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda(m)$ .

**1.2 General vectorial Lie superalgebras.** For  $i = 1, \dots, n+m$ , letting  $\varepsilon_i$  be the  $(m+n)$ -tuple with 1 at the  $i$ -th slot and 0 elsewhere, we write  $x_i$  for  $x^{(\varepsilon_i)}$  and define the distinguished partial derivatives  $\partial_i$  by letting

$$\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n+m$$

and extending  $\partial_i$  to  $\mathcal{O}(n, m)$  to a superderivation of parity  $|x_i|$ . The Lie superalgebra of all superderivations of  $\mathcal{O}(n, m)$  contains an important subalgebra, called the general vectorial Lie superalgebra of distinguished superderivations (a.k.a. the Lie superalgebra of Witt type), denoted by  $\mathbf{vect}(n, m)$  (a.k.a.  $W(n, m)$ ), having an  $\mathbb{F}$ -basis (see [5, p. 226–227])

$$\{x^{(\underline{r})} \partial_k \mid \underline{r} \in \mathbf{I}(n, m), 1 \leq k \leq m+n\}.$$

Generally speaking,  $\mathbf{vect}(n, m)$  contains various finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras, which are analogous to finite-dimensional simple modular Lie algebras or infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**1.3 Contact Lie superalgebras of odd type.** From now on set  $m = n + 1$ . As in [5, p. 247–249] and [6, p. 35–42], for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(n, n + 1)$ , write

$$M_f = (2 - E)(f)\partial_{2n+1} - \text{Le}_f - (-1)^{|f|}\partial_{2n+1}(f)E,$$

where  $E = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} x_i \partial_i$  is the Euler operator and  $\text{Le}_f = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^{|\partial_i||f|} \partial_i(f) \partial_{i'}$  is the Hamiltonian vector field with

$$i' = \begin{cases} i + n, & \text{if } i \leq n \\ i - n, & \text{if } i > n. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $M_f \in \mathbf{vect}(n, n + 1)$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(n, n + 1)$ . Note that  $|M_f| = |f| + \bar{1}$  and

$$[M_f, M_g] = M_{\{f, g\}_{m.b.}} \text{ for } f, g \in \mathcal{O}(n, n + 1),$$

where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{m.b.}$  is the contact bracket given by

$$\{f, g\}_{m.b.} = M_f(g) + (-1)^{|f|} 2\partial_{2n+1}(f)(g).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{m} := \{M_f \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(n, n + 1)\}$  is a finite-dimensional simple Lie subsuperalgebra of  $\mathbf{vect}(n, n + 1)$ , which is called the contact Lie superalgebra of odd type. Note that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is also called the odd contact Lie superalgebra and denoted by  $KO(n, n + 1, \underline{1})$  in [4, p. 111].

Recall that a Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  is called restricted if  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  as a Lie algebra is restricted and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module is restricted. For a restricted Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the  $p$ -mapping of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$  is also called the  $p$ -mapping of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A standard fact is that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a restricted Lie superalgebra. Note that the unique  $p$ -mapping of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the usual (associative)  $p$ -power. Write

$$\|\underline{r}\| = \sum_{k=1}^n r_k + \sum_{k=1}^n r_{k'} + 2r_{2n+1}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{m}$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -2} \mathfrak{m}_{[i]}$ , which is said to be standard, given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{[i]} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{M_{x(\underline{r})} \mid \underline{r} \in \mathbf{I}(n, n + 1), \|\underline{r}\| = i + 2\}, i \geq -2.$$

Hereafter denote by  $\|M_{x(\underline{r})}\|$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -degree of  $M_{x(\underline{r})}$ , which is  $\|\underline{r}\| - 2$ . There is a natural filtration with respect to the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^{-2} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{-1} \supseteq \dots, \text{ where } \mathfrak{m}^i := \sum_{j \geq i} \mathfrak{m}_{[j]}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with the basis

$$\{M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i'})}, M_{x_{2n+1}} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{x \in \mathfrak{m} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{m}_{[0]}$  has a triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{m}_{[0]} = \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^+$ , where

$$\mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^- := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{j'})} \mid 1 \leq j < i \leq n\} + \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{M_{x(\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_{l'})} \mid k, l = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^+ := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{j'})} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} + \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{M_{x(\varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_l)} \mid k, l = 1, \dots, n\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Put  $\mathfrak{b}_{[0]} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^+$ , which is called the standard Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}_{[0]}$ .

**1.4 Special contact Lie superalgebras of odd type.** For any  $\kappa \in \mathbb{F}$ , let

$$\operatorname{div}_\kappa(f) = (-1)^{|f|} 2(\Delta(f) + (E - n\kappa \operatorname{id}) \partial_{2n+1}(f)), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(n, n+1),$$

where  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \partial_{i'}$  is the odd Laplacian operator. Then

$$\{M_f \in \mathfrak{m} \mid \operatorname{div}_\kappa(f) = 0\}$$

is a subsuperalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , which is called the special contact Lie superalgebra of odd type, denoted by  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$ . Its second derived algebra  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)^{(2)}$  is simple when  $n \geq 3$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$  is also called the special odd contact superalgebra, and denoted by  $SKO(n; \underline{1})$  in [1, p. 72]. Since  $\operatorname{div}_\kappa$  is homogeneous with respect to the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded subsuperalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and has also the corresponding filtration. Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$  with the basis

$$\left\{ M_{x^{(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i'})} - x^{(\varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_{(i+1)'})}}, M_{x_{2n+1+n\kappa x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{1'})}} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1 \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$  and  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*} \mathfrak{sm}_\alpha(\kappa)$ , where

$$\mathfrak{sm}_\alpha(\kappa) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{x \in \mathfrak{sm}(\kappa) \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)_{[0]}$  has a triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)_{[0]} = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{[0]}^- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{[0]}^+$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{[0]}^- = \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^-$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{[0]}^+ = \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^+$  (defined in (1.1) and (1.2).) Put  $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}_{[0]} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^+$ , which is called the standard Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)_{[0]}$ .

**1.5 Remark.** For convenience to readers, below we characterize  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{sm}$  in the cases of  $n = 1$  and  $p = 5$ :  $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^5 \mathfrak{m}_{[i]}$ , and  $\mathfrak{sm} = \bigoplus_{i=-2}^3 \mathfrak{sm}_{[i]}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_{[-2]} &= \mathfrak{sm}_{[-2]} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_1\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{[-1]} = \mathfrak{sm}_{[-1]} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x_1}, M_{x_2}\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{[0]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1)}}, M_{x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}, M_{x_3}\}, \quad \mathfrak{sm}_{[0]} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1)}}, M_{x_3 + n\kappa x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{[1]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1)}}, M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}, M_{x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}}, M_{x^{(\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{sm}_{[1]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1)}}, M_{x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)} + (n\kappa - 1)x^{(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{[2]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}}, M_{x^{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}}, M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}, M_{x^{(4\varepsilon_1)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{sm}_{[2]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)} + (n\kappa - 2)x^{(3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}, M_{x^{(4\varepsilon_1)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{sm}_{[3]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)} + (n\kappa - 3)x^{(4\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{[3]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}}, M_{x^{(4\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}}, M_{x^{(2\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}}\}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{[4]} &= \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(3\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}}, M_{x^{(4\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}}\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{[5]} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{M_{x^{(4\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact the ones of right sides are the standard bases.

**1.6  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional restricted Lie superalgebra with a  $p$ -mapping  $[p]$  and  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ . As in the case of restricted Lie algebras, we define the so-called  $\chi$ -reduced enveloping superalgebra  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$  to be the quotient of the universal enveloping superalgebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  by the two-sided ideal generated by

$$\{x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}_0\}.$$

A  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  is said to be of a  $p$ -character  $\chi$ , if  $x^p v - x^{[p]}v = \chi(x)^p v$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $v \in V$ . When  $\chi = 0$ , a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of the  $p$ -character  $\chi$  is also said to be a restricted  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, otherwise a non-restricted  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Parallel to the case of restricted Lie algebras, any simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module is finite-dimensional and has a unique  $p$ -character  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  (see [14, 20]). All the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules with the  $p$ -character  $\chi$  constitute a full subcategory of the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module category, which coincides with the  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module category. For any  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ , we can extend  $\chi$  to an element in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by letting  $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_1) = 0$ . Hereafter we always regard  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  in this way. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \supseteq \dots$  be a filtration of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ . Define the height  $\text{ht}(\chi)$  of  $\chi$  by  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \min\{i \mid \chi(\mathfrak{g}^i) = 0\}$ .

From now on, write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $\mathfrak{sm}(\kappa)$ . Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  and  $M$  be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module. Then the  $\chi$ -reduced Kac module (see [16, p. 243]) associated with  $M$  is defined to be the induced module  $K_\chi(M) = u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)} M$ . Note that

$$K_\chi(M) \stackrel{\text{superspace}}{\cong} u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{[-2]}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} M.$$

Fix an ordered basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[-1]} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{[-2]}$  :

$$M_{x_{1'}}, M_{x_{2'}}, \dots, M_{x_{n'}}, M_{x_n}, M_{x_{n-1}}, \dots, M_{x_1}, M_1.$$

Let  $s = (s_{1'}, s_{2'}, \dots, s_{n'}, s_n, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_1, s_{2n+1})$  be an  $(2n+1)$ -tuple of non-negative integers with  $s_i, s_{2n+1} \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $s_{i'} = 0, \dots, p-1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then by the PBW theorem, any element  $v$  of  $K_\chi(M)$  can be written as  $v = \sum_s X^s \otimes v(s)$  uniquely, where  $v(s) \in M$  and

$$X^s = M_{x_{1'}}^{s_{1'}} M_{x_{2'}}^{s_{2'}} \dots M_{x_{n'}}^{s_{n'}} M_{x_n}^{s_n} M_{x_{n-1}}^{s_{n-1}} \dots M_{x_1}^{s_1} M_1^{s_{2n+1}}.$$

Write  $|s| = \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i + s_{i'} + s_{2n+1})$ . By abuse of language, for a positive integer  $m$  below denote by  $o(m)$  the summation  $\sum_{|s| < m} X^s \otimes v(s)$  in  $K_\chi(M)$ .

Below we give a basic lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s) \in K_\chi(M)$  be an eigenvector of some homogeneous element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^3$  with the eigenvalue  $a$ . Then for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ , the nonzero  $v(s)$ 's are eigenvectors of  $x$  with the eigenvalue  $a$  or  $-a$ .

*Proof.* Since  $v$  is a eigenvector of  $x$  with the eigenvalue  $a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} av = xv &= \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm X^s \otimes xv(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s - \varepsilon_i} \otimes [x, M_{x_i}]v(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s - \varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes [x, M_1]v(s) + o(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$a \sum_{|s|=m} X^s \otimes v(s) = \sum_{|s|=m} \pm X^s \otimes xv(s),$$

from which it follows that  $xv(s) = \pm av(s)$  for any  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ .  $\square$

## 2 Simple modules with nonsingular characters

For convenience, for  $a_i, b_j \in \mathfrak{g}$  we put

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k)^t(b_1, \dots, b_l) := \begin{pmatrix} [a_1, b_1] & [a_1, b_2] & \cdots & [a_1, b_l] \\ [a_2, b_1] & [a_2, b_2] & \cdots & [a_2, b_l] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [a_k, b_1] & [a_k, b_2] & \cdots & [a_k, b_l] \end{pmatrix}$$

and for  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$

$$\chi((a_1, \dots, a_k)^t(b_1, \dots, b_l)) := \begin{pmatrix} \chi([a_1, b_1]) & \chi([a_1, b_2]) & \cdots & \chi([a_1, b_l]) \\ \chi([a_2, b_1]) & \chi([a_2, b_2]) & \cdots & \chi([a_2, b_l]) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \chi([a_k, b_1]) & \chi([a_k, b_2]) & \cdots & \chi([a_k, b_l]) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  with  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h \geq 2$ , and  $M$  a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Every simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$  is 1-dimensional.
- (2) Suppose

- $\chi([\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}, \mathfrak{g}_{[-2]}]) \neq 0$ ;
- there exist  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \in \mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq 2n$  such that

$$\chi((f_1, \dots, f_r)^t(M_{x_{i_1}}, M_{x_{i_2}}, \dots, M_{x_{i_{2n}}})) = (A_r \mid 0)$$

with  $A_r$  an invertible  $r \times r$  matrix and  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n})$  a rearrangement of  $(1, 2, \dots, 2n)$ .

Then for any 1-dimensional  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -submodule  $\mathbb{F}v$  of  $K_\chi(M)$  with

$$v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s),$$

we have

$$s_{i_1} = s_{i_2} = \cdots = s_{i_r} = s_{2n+1} = 0$$

for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $V$  be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ . Then  $\chi([\mathfrak{g}^{h-1}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-1}]) = 0$  by  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h \geq 2$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}^{h-1}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-1}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^h$ . Since the filtration associated with the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is restricted, every element of  $[\mathfrak{g}^{h-1}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-1}]$  acts nilpotently on  $V$ . By [16, Lemma 3.3],  $V$  is 1-dimensional.

(2) Let  $V = \mathbb{F}v$  be any 1-dimensional  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$  with  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s)$ . Since  $\chi([\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}, \mathfrak{g}_{[-2]}]) \neq 0$ , there exists an element  $g$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$

such that  $[g, M_1] \neq 0$ . Applying  $g$  to  $v \in V$  and considering that  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$  acts nilpotently on  $V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = gv &= \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm X^s \otimes gv(s) + \sum_{|s| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [g, M_{x_i}]v(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s-\varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes [g, M_1]v(s) + o(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that both  $\mathfrak{g}^h$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{h+1}$  are  $p$ -unipotent restricted ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}^0$  and  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h$ . By [16, Lemma 3.5], we have  $gM = 0$  and  $[g, M_{x_i}]M = 0$ . Hence, we have

$$\sum_{|s|=m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s-\varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes [g, M_1]v(s) = 0.$$

Since  $[g, M_1] \in \mathfrak{g}_{[h-1]} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{h-1}$ ,  $[g, M_1]$  acts on  $v$  by a scalar. And by  $[g, M_1]^{[p]^k} = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get that  $[g, M_1]v = \chi([g, M_1])v$ . Then by Lemma 1.1, we have that  $[g, M_1]v(s) = \chi([g, M_1])v(s)$  or  $-\chi([g, M_1])v(s)$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ . It follows that  $\sum_{|s|=m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s-\varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes \chi([g, M_1])v(s) = 0$ . Consequently, we get that  $s_{2n+1} \chi([g, M_1])v(s) = 0$  for  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . This implies that  $s_{2n+1} = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ . Hence we obtain that  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s)$ , where  $s_{2n+1} = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\chi((f_1, \dots, f_r)^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}})) = (A_r \mid 0).$$

For  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , applying  $f_j$  to  $v \in V$  and considering that  $f_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  acts nilpotently on  $V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = f_j v &= \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm X^s \otimes f_j v(s) + \sum_{|s| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [f_j, M_{x_i}]v(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s-\varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes [f_j, M_1]v(s) + o(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{g}^h$  is a  $p$ -unipotent restricted ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}^0$  and  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h$ . Then by [16, Lemma 3.5], we have  $\mathfrak{g}^h M = 0$ . Then, keeping in mind that  $s_{2n+1} = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ , we have

$$f_j v = \sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [f_j, M_{x_i}]v(s) + o(m-1).$$

Hence  $\sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [f_j, M_{x_i}]v(s) = 0$ . A similar argument shows that  $[f_j, M_{x_i}]v(s) = \chi([f_j, M_{x_i}])v(s)$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes \chi([f_j, M_{x_i}])v(s) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . We claim that  $s_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ . Indeed, by (2.1), for every  $j = 1, \dots, r$  we have

$$\sum_{|t|=m-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \pm(t_i + 1)X^t \otimes \chi([f_j, M_{x_i}])u(t + \varepsilon_i) = 0.$$

Note that the matrix  $\chi((f_1, \dots, f_r)^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_r}))$  is invertible. One sees that  $(t_i + 1)u(t + \varepsilon_i) = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $s_i v(s) = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Hence  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_r = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ .  $\square$

Similar to [19, p. 413], we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  with  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h \geq 2$ .

(1) The  $\text{rank}(\chi)$  of  $\chi$  is defined to be  $\text{rank}(\chi(A))$  for any matrix

$$A := (f_1, \dots, f_k)^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}) \oplus (g_1, \dots, g_l)^t(M_1),$$

where  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$ .

(2)  $\chi$  is called a nonsingular (resp. singular)  $p$ -character if  $\text{rank}(\chi) = 2n + 1$  (resp.  $\text{rank}(\chi) \neq 2n + 1$ ).

Note that  $\text{rank}(\chi)$  is independent of the choice of the base for  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$ . Below we give two examples about nonsingular and singular  $p$ -characters.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{m}_0^*$  with  $2 \leq \text{ht}(\chi) = h < p - 2$ . For  $n + 1 \leq i \leq 2n - 1$ , write

$$\Sigma_i := \{M_{x(\underline{s})} \in \mathfrak{m}_{[h-1]} \mid \chi(M_{x(\underline{s})}) \neq 0, s_{n+1} = s_{n+2} = \dots = s_i = 0\},$$

and for  $j = 2n, 2n + 1$ , write

$$\Sigma_j := \{M_{x(\underline{s})} \in \mathfrak{m}_{[h-1]} \mid \chi(M_{x(\underline{s})}) \neq 0, s_j = 0\}.$$

If every  $\Sigma_k$  for  $n + 1 \leq k \leq 2n + 1$  is not empty, then  $\chi$  is nonsingular.

*Proof.* Define a partial order on the standard basis of  $\mathfrak{m}_{[h-1]}$  as follows:

$$M_{x(\underline{x})} < M_{x(\underline{s})} \Leftrightarrow r_{2n+1} < s_{2n+1} \text{ or } r_{2n+1} = s_{2n+1}, r_1 = s_1, \dots, r_{i-1} = s_{i-1}, r_i > s_i$$

for some  $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ , where  $\|\underline{x}\| = \|\underline{s}\| = h + 1$ . For each  $i = n + 1, \dots, 2n + 1$ , let  $M_{x(\underline{x}^i)}$  be a minimal element of the set  $\Sigma_i$  under this partial order. Denote by  $\chi(M_{x(\underline{x}^i)}) = c_i \neq 0$ . Note that  $\|M_{x(\underline{x}^i)}\| = h - 1 < p - 3$  and so  $r_j^i < p - 1$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $i = n + 1, \dots, 2n + 1$ . For simplicity, let  $M_{x(\underline{x}^k)} := M_{x(\underline{x}^{n+1})}$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence the following matrix is nonzero:

$$A' = \chi((M_{x(\underline{x}^{1+\varepsilon_1})}, \dots, M_{x(\underline{x}^{2n+\varepsilon_{2n}})})^t(M_{x_{n+1}}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}, M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_n})) \\ \oplus \chi([M_{x(\underline{x}^{2n+1+\varepsilon_{2n+1}})}, M_1])$$

By the definition of  $\Sigma_i$  and the minimality of  $M_{x(\underline{x}^i)}$  in  $\Sigma_i$ , one sees that  $A'$  is a lower triangular matrix with the diagonal entries  $\pm c_{n+1}, \dots, \pm c_{2n+1}$ . Hence  $\chi$  is nonsingular.  $\square$



**Example 2.4.** Fix  $h = p - 2$ . We take  $\chi \in \mathfrak{m}_0^*$  such that

- (1)  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{g}^h} = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\chi(M_{x(x)}) = \delta_{r_{2n}, p-1}$  with  $\|x(x)\| = h + 1$ ;
- (3)  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{g}^{[i]}} = 0$  for every  $2 \leq i \leq h - 2$ .

Then it is easy to check that  $\chi([\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}, M_{x_n}]) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\chi$  is singular.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  and  $M$  a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module. If  $\chi$  is nonsingular, then  $1 \otimes M$  is the unique simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ , and  $V'$  a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -submodule of  $V$ . By Definition 2.2,  $\text{ht}(\chi) \geq 2$  and there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_{2n} \in \mathfrak{g}^{[h]}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{g}^{[h+1]}$ , such that  $\chi(A) = \chi((f_1, \dots, f_{2n})^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}))$  is invertible and  $\chi([g, M_1]) \neq 0$ . Then it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $V' = \mathbb{F}v$ , where  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s)$  with  $s_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, 2n + 1$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . This implies  $m = 0$ , that is,  $v \in 1 \otimes M$ . Consequently,  $1 \otimes M = V$ , since both  $1 \otimes M$  and  $V$  are simple  $\mathfrak{g}^0$ -modules. Thus  $1 \otimes M$  is the unique simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ .  $\square$

We are in the position to state the main result of this section, which characterizes all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of nonsingular  $p$ -characters.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  is nonsingular. Then the following statements hold.

- (1)  $K_\chi(M)$  is simple for any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module  $M$ .
- (2) For any two simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,

$$K_\chi(M) \cong K_\chi(N) \iff M \cong N.$$

- (3) Any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is isomorphic to some  $\chi$ -reduced Kac module  $K_\chi(M)$  with  $M$  some simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $K$  be any nonzero  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ . Then by Corollary 2.5,  $1 \otimes M$  is also a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K$ . Hence  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})(1 \otimes M) \subseteq K$ . Note that  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})(1 \otimes M) = K_\chi(M)$ , which forces  $K = K_\chi(M)$ . Hence  $K_\chi(M)$  is simple.

(2) Assume that  $K_\chi(M) \cong K_\chi(N)$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $1 \otimes M$  (resp.  $1 \otimes N$ ) is the unique simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$  (resp.  $K_\chi(N)$ ). Consequently,  $M \cong N$ .

(3) Let  $A$  be any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Take a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $A$ , denoted by  $M$ . Hence there exists a canonical surjective morphism

$$\varphi : K_\chi(M) = u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)} M \longrightarrow A.$$

Since both  $K_\chi(M)$  and  $A$  are simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

### 3 Simple modules of singular $p$ -characters

Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts naturally on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by the coadjoint action, i.e., if  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(x) = \chi(\Phi^{-1}x)$  for any  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Similar to the case of Lie algebras of Cartan type,  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  preserves the

filtration of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and it is easy to check that  $\text{ht}(\chi) = \text{ht}(\Phi \cdot \chi)$  for any  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$ . For any  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^\Phi$  be  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module having  $M$  as its underlying vector space and  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action given by  $x \cdot m = (\Phi^{-1}x)m$ , for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $m \in M$ , where the action on the right is the given one. Then  $M^\Phi$  is simple if and only if  $M$  is simple. If  $M$  has a  $p$ -character  $\chi$ , then  $M^\Phi$  has the  $p$ -character  $\Phi \cdot \chi$ . Moreover, similar to the case of Lie algebras of Cartan type,  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{g}) \ltimes \text{Aut}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ , where

$$\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \Phi(\mathfrak{g}_{[i]}) = \mathfrak{g}_{[i]}, \forall i\}$$

and

$$\text{Aut}_1(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (\Phi - \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(\mathfrak{g}_{[i]}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{i+1}, \forall i\}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  and  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $\text{rank}(\Phi \cdot \chi) = \text{rank} \chi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h$ . Write

$$A_1 = (f_1, \dots, f_k)^t (M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}) \text{ and } A_2 = (g_1, \dots, g_l)^t (M_1),$$

where  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$ . If  $\Phi$  is in  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ , then there exist invertible matrices  $R, S, T$  and  $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}(f_1, \dots, f_k) &= (f_1, \dots, f_k)R, \\ \Phi^{-1}(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}) &= (M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}})S, \\ \Phi^{-1}(g_1, \dots, g_l) &= (g_1, \dots, g_l)T, \\ \Phi^{-1}(M_1) &= (M_1)c. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \cdot \chi)(A_1) &= \chi(\Phi^{-1}A_1) \\ &= \chi(\Phi^{-1}(f_1), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(f_k))^t (\Phi^{-1}(M_{x_1}), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(M_{x_{2n}})) \\ &= \chi(R^t(f_1, \dots, f_k)^t (M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}})S) \\ &= R^t \chi(A_1)S, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \cdot \chi)(A_2) &= \chi(\Phi^{-1}A_2) \\ &= \chi(\Phi^{-1}(g_1), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(g_l))^t (\Phi^{-1}(M_1)) \\ &= \chi(T^t(g_1, \dots, g_l)^t (M_1)c) \\ &= T^t \chi(A_2)c. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ , we have

$$(\Phi \cdot \chi)(A) = R^t \chi(A_1)S \oplus T^t \chi(A_2)c = (R^t \oplus T^t) \chi(A)(S \oplus c).$$

It is clear that  $\text{rank}(\Phi \cdot \chi)(A) = \text{rank} \chi(A)$ , since  $R, S, T$  are invertible and  $c \neq 0$ .

If  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_1(\mathfrak{g})$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi \cdot \chi)([f_i, M_{x_j}]) &= \chi([\Phi^{-1}f_i, \Phi^{-1}M_{x_j}]) \\ &= \chi([f_i + u_i, M_{x_j} + v_i]) \\ &= \chi([f_i, M_{x_j}]), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi \cdot \chi)([g_i, M_1]) &= \chi([\Phi^{-1}g_i, \Phi^{-1}M_1]) \\
 &= \chi([g_i + w_i, M_1 + a]) \\
 &= \chi([g_i, M_1]),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{h+1}$ ,  $v_i \in \mathfrak{g}^0$ ,  $w_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{h+2}$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ . Hence,  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(A) = \chi(\Phi^{-1}A) = \chi(A)$ . Consequently,  $\text{rank}(\Phi \cdot \chi)(A) = \text{rank} \chi(A)$ .  $\square$

The statements at the start of this section and the above proposition tell us that we should find a convenient representative for the orbit of  $\chi$  under the coadjoint action of the automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For our purposes,  $\chi$  may often be replaced by this  $p$ -character, with the advantage being that certain arguments are thus simplified.

Let  $\chi$  be singular and of rank  $r + 1 (< 2n + 1)$  and  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h$ . Recall the characteristic matrix of  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated with  $\chi$  is defined to be

$$\chi(A) = \chi((f_1, \dots, f_k)^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}) \oplus (g_1, \dots, g_l)^t(M_1)),$$

where  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  and  $\{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h+1]}$ . Then  $\text{rank}(\chi(A)) = r + 1$ . Below we always assume that  $\chi((g_1, \dots, g_l)^t(M_1))$  is nonzero. Hence  $\text{rank}(\chi((f_1, \dots, f_k)^t(M_{x_1}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}))) = r$ . That is, there exists a subset  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$  such that the matrix  $\chi((f_1, \dots, f_k)^t(M_{x_{i_1}}, \dots, M_{x_{i_r}}))$  has an invertible  $r \times r$  minor.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  with  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h \geq 5$  and  $\text{rank}(\chi) = r + 1 < 2n + 1$ . We say  $\chi$  to be  $\Delta$ -invertible, if there exists an element  $\Phi$  of  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

- (1) there exists a partition of the set  $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ :

$$I \cup J = \{1, \dots, 2n\} \text{ and } I \cap J = \emptyset,$$

where  $I := \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \neq \{1, \dots, 2n\}$  and  $J := \{i_{r+1}, \dots, i_{2n}\}$ ;

- (2) there exists a basis  $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[h]}$  such that the matrix

$$(\Phi \cdot \chi)((f_1, \dots, f_k)^t(M_{x_{i_1}}, \dots, M_{x_{i_r}}))$$

has an invertible  $r \times r$  minor;

- (3)  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)([g_{[h]}, M_{x_j}]) = 0$  for every  $j \in J$ ;

- (4) there is a  $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$ -submodule  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{[h-1]}$  with  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(\Delta) = 0$ ;

- (5) there are homogeneous elements  $e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n} \in \Delta$  such that the matrix

$$(\Phi \cdot \chi)((e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n})^t(M_{x_{i_{r+1}}}, \dots, M_{x_{i_{2n}}}))$$

is invertible.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  and  $M$  be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module. If  $\chi$  is  $\Delta$ -invertible, then  $1 \otimes M$  is the unique simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{rank}(\chi) = r + 1$ . By Proposition 3.1 and the arguments before Definition 3.2, without loss of generality, we may assume  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  and  $\Phi = \text{id}$ . Let  $V$  be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-2})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ . We have  $[\mathfrak{g}^{h-2}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-2}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{2h-5} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^h$ , where the latter inclusion is implied by  $h \geq 5$ . Since  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h$ , it follows that  $\chi([\mathfrak{g}^{h-2}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-2}]) = 0$ . Consequently, every element in  $[\mathfrak{g}^{h-2}, \mathfrak{g}^{h-2}]$  is nilpotent. Then by [16, Lemma 3.3],  $V$  is 1-dimensional, denoted by  $\mathbb{F}v$ , where  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s)$ . Moreover,  $V$  is also a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^{h-1})$ -module. By Definition 3.2 and Proposition 2.1, we have  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_r = s_{2n+1} = 0$ , for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ .

By Definition 3.2, there exists a  $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$ -submodule  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{[h-1]}$  with  $\chi(\Delta) = 0$ . Since  $\text{ht}(\chi) = h \geq 5$  and the filtration is restricted, we get that  $\Delta + \mathfrak{g}^h$  is a  $p$ -unipotent restricted ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}^0$  on which  $\chi$  vanishes. Then by [16, Lemma 3.5], we have  $(\Delta + \mathfrak{g}^h)M = 0$ . Let  $e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n} \in \Delta$  such that the matrix  $\chi((e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n})^t (M_{x_{r+1}}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}))$  is invertible. For  $r+1 \leq j \leq 2n$ , applying  $e_j$  to  $v$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e_j v &= \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm X^s \otimes e_j v(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [e_j, M_{x_i}] v(s) \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq m} \pm s_{2n+1} X^{s-\varepsilon_{2n+1}} \otimes [e_j, M_1] v(s) + o(m-1) \\ &= \sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [e_j, M_{x_i}] v(s) + o(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality we should note that  $\Delta$  acts trivially on  $M$  and  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_r = s_{2n+1} = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}v$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}^{h-1}$ -module and the homogeneous element  $e_j$  is in  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{[h-1]}$ , we may assume that  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $e_j$  with the eigenvalue  $a$ . By Lemma 1.1, for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ , the nonzero  $v(s)$ 's are eigenvectors of  $e_j$  with the eigenvalue  $a$  or  $-a$ . Since  $\Delta$  acts trivially on  $M$ , we have  $a = 0$ . Hence

$$\sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes [e_j, M_{x_i}] v(s) + o(m-1) = 0.$$

Since  $[e_j, M_{x_i}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{[h-2]} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{h-2}$ ,  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $[e_j, M_{x_i}]$ . By  $[e_j, M_{x_i}]^{[p]^k} = 0$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get that  $[e_j, M_{x_i}] v(s) = \chi([e_j, M_{x_i}]) v(s)$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{|s|=m} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2n} \pm s_i X^{s-\varepsilon_i} \otimes \chi([e_j, M_{x_i}]) v(s) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Consequently,  $s_i \chi([e_j, M_{x_i}]) v(s) = 0$  for  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ , and  $i, j = r+1, \dots, 2n$ . We claim that  $s_i = 0, i = r+1, \dots, 2n$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . Indeed, by (3.1), for every  $j = r+1, \dots, 2n$ , we have

$$\sum_{|t|=m-1} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2n} \pm (t_i + 1) X^t \otimes \chi([e_j, M_{x_i}]) u(t + \varepsilon_i) = 0.$$

Note that the matrix  $\chi((e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n})^t(M_{x_{r+1}}, \dots, M_{x_{2n}}))$  is invertible. For every  $i = r + 1, \dots, 2n$ , we have  $(t_i + 1)u(t + \varepsilon_i) = 0$ . Therefore,  $s_i v(s) = 0$  for all  $s$  with  $v(s) \neq 0$  and  $|s| = m$ . Furthermore,  $s_i = 0, i = r + 1, \dots, 2n$  for all  $s$  with  $|s| = m$ . Consequently,  $m = 0$ , that is,  $v \in 1 \otimes M$ . Thus  $1 \otimes M$  is the unique  $K_\chi(M) u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule.  $\square$

As for Theorem 1, using Corollary 3.3 one may prove the following theorem, which characterizes all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of  $\Delta$ -invertible  $p$ -characters.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  is  $\Delta$ -invertible. Then the following statements hold.

- (1)  $K_\chi(M)$  is simple for any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module  $M$ .
- (2) For any two simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,

$$K_\chi(M) \cong K_\chi(N) \iff M \cong N.$$

- (3) Any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is isomorphic to  $K_\chi(M)$  for some simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module  $M$ .

## 4 Simple modules of regular semisimple $p$ -characters of height one

Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  with  $\text{ht}(\chi) \leq 1$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  is a  $p$ -unipotent restricted ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}^0$ . Then by [16, Lemma 3.5],  $\mathfrak{g}^1$  acts trivially on any simple  $\mathfrak{g}^0$ -module. Consequently, any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module is a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module. And any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module can be extended to be a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -module with the trivial action by  $\mathfrak{g}^1$ . Then we can obtain the  $\chi$ -reduced Kac module  $K_\chi(M)$  for a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module  $M$ .

For convenience, write  $h_j = M_{x^{(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_{j'})} - x^{(\varepsilon_{j+1} + \varepsilon_{(j+1)'})}}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  with  $\text{ht}(\chi) = 1$ .  $\chi$  is said to be regular semisimple, if there exists an element  $\Phi$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

- (1)  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(\mathfrak{n}_{[0]}^\pm) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ ;
- (2)  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(h_j) \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ;
- (3)  $(\Phi \cdot \chi)(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h_j) \neq 0$ .

We are in the position to state the main result of this section, which gives all simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules of regular semisimple  $p$ -characters.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\chi$  be a regular semisimple  $p$ -character. Then the set

$$\{K_\chi(M) \mid M \text{ is a simple } u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})\text{-module}\}$$

exhausts all simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

*Proof.* (1) Firstly we shall prove that  $K_\chi(M)$  is simple for any simple  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module  $M$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\chi$  satisfies

$$\chi\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_k\right) \neq 0 \text{ and } \chi(h_j) \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Let  $K$  be a nonzero  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of  $K_\chi(M)$ . Take a nonzero element  $v \in K$  and suppose  $v = \sum_{|s| \leq m} X^s \otimes v(s)$ . Write

$$y = M_{x_{1'}}^{p-1} M_{x_{2'}}^{p-1} \cdots M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1.$$

Then applying  $M_1$  and  $M_{x_i}$  to  $v$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ , we get  $y \otimes v(s) \in K$  for some nonzero  $v(s) \in M$ . For any  $i \neq j = 1, \dots, 2n$ , we get  $[M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)}, y] = 0$ . Consequently, we have  $y \otimes M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)} v(s) \in K$ . Note that  $M$  is a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module. Hence, it is obvious that  $y \otimes M$  is contained in  $K$ . Assume that  $v_\lambda \in M$  is a highest weight vector of the weight  $\lambda$  with respect to the standard Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{[0]}$ . So we get  $y \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Write

$$y_{1'}^{\hat{1}} = M_{x_{1'}}^{p-2} M_{x_{2'}}^{p-1} \cdots M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1.$$

Then applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_{2'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_{3'})}$  to  $y \otimes v_\lambda$ , we get  $(p-1)\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_2)y_{1'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Since  $M$  is a  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module, for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have  $(\mathfrak{h}_i^p - \mathfrak{h}_i^{[p]} - \chi(\mathfrak{h}_i)^p)v_\lambda = 0$ . Then by  $\mathfrak{h}_i^{[p]} = \mathfrak{h}_i$ , we get  $\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_i)^p - \lambda(\mathfrak{h}_i) = \chi(\mathfrak{h}_i)^p$ . Since  $\chi(\mathfrak{h}_i) \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$\lambda(\mathfrak{h}_i) \neq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.1)$$

This implies that  $y_{1'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Write

$$y_{1'}^{\widehat{p-1}} := M_{x_{2'}}^{p-1} \cdots M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1.$$

Then, applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_{2'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_{3'})}$  to  $y_{1'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda$ , we obtain from (4.1) that  $y_{1'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Write

$$y_{(n-2)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} := M_{x_{(n-1)'}}^{p-1} M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1.$$

Similarly, by applying  $(M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1} + \varepsilon_{(i+1)'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+2} + \varepsilon_{(i+2)'})})^{p-1}$  in the order of  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$ , it follows from (4.1) that  $y_{(n-2)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Write

$$y_{(n-1)'}^{\hat{1}} := M_{x_{(n-1)'}}^{p-2} M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1.$$

Applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{1'})}$  to  $y_{(n-2)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda$ , we have

$$(p-1) \left( \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right) + 1 \right) y_{(n-1)'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K.$$

Since  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^p v_\lambda - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^{[p]} v_\lambda = \chi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^p v_\lambda$  and  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^{[p]} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i$ , we have  $\lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^p - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right) = \chi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right)^p$ . Considering that  $\chi$  is regular semisimple, we have  $\chi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right) \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $\lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{h}_i \right) \neq -1$ , so  $y_{(n-1)'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{1'})}$  to  $y_{(n-1)'}^{\hat{1}} \otimes v_\lambda$ , we get  $y_{(n-1)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$  where  $y_{(n-1)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} := M_{x_{n'}}^{p-1} M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1$ . Applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{1'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_{2'})}$  to  $y_{(n-1)'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda$ , we obtain from (4.1) that  $y_{n'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda \in K$  where  $y_{n'}^{\widehat{p-1}} := M_{x_n} M_{x_{n-1}} \cdots M_{x_1} M_1$ .

Applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_{i'} + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_{1'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_{i'} + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_{2'})}$  to  $y_{n'}^{\widehat{p-1}} \otimes v_\lambda$  in the order of  $i = n, n-1, \dots, 3$  and (4.1), we get  $M_{x_2} M_{x_1} M_1 \otimes v_\lambda \in K$ . Going on applying  $M_{x(\varepsilon_{j'} + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_{3'})} M_{x(\varepsilon_{j'} + \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_{4'})}$

to  $M_{x_2}M_{x_1}M_1 \otimes v_\lambda$  in the order of  $j = 2, 1$ , we get  $M_1 \otimes v_\lambda \in K$  by (4.1). For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}$ , apply  $M_{x^{(\varepsilon_{2n+1+\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{1'}})}_x^{(\varepsilon_{2n+1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_{2'}})}} to  $M_1 \otimes v_\lambda$ . For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sm}$ , apply  $M_{x^{(\varepsilon_{2n+1+\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{1'}})}_x^{(\varepsilon_{2n+1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_{2'}})} + (n\kappa-2)x^{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_{1'}+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_{2'})}}$  to  $M_1 \otimes v_\lambda$ . Then we get  $1 \otimes v_\lambda \in K$  by (4.1). Therefore  $1 \otimes M$  is contained in  $K$ , since  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module  $M$  is simple. Consequently, we get  $K = K_\chi(M)$ . Hence  $K_\chi(M)$  is simple.$

(2) Finally we shall prove that any simple  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module is isomorphic to some  $\chi$ -reduced Kac module  $K_\chi(M)$  with  $M$  some simple  $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module. Let  $A$  be any simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Take a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}^0)$ -submodule of  $A$ , denoted by  $M$ . Note that  $M$  is also a simple  $u_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_{[0]})$ -module. Hence there exists a canonical surjective morphism  $\varphi : K_\chi(M) \longrightarrow A$ . Since both  $K_\chi(M)$  and  $A$  are simple,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

## References

- [1] W. Bai and W. D. Liu, Superderivations for Modular Graded Lie Superalgebras of Cartan-type, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **17** (2014): 69–86.
- [2] F. F. Duan and B. Shu, Representations of Witt Superalgebra  $W(2)$ , *J. Algebra*, **396** (2013): 272–286.
- [3] F. F. Duan, Representations of the Witt Lie Superalgebra with  $p$ -character of Height One, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **19**(4) (2016):873–893.
- [4] J. Y. Fu, Q. C. Zhang and C. P. Jiang, The Cartan-type modular Lie superalgebra  $KO$ , *Commun. Algebra*, **34** (2006): 107–128.
- [5] A. Lebedev, Analogs of the orthogonal, Hamiltonian, Possion and contact Lie superalgebras in characteristic 2, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **17**(Suppl. 1) (2010): 217–251.
- [6] D. Leites (ed.) (J. Bernstein, S. Bouarroudj, B. Clarke, P. Grozman, A. Lebedev, D. Leites, I. Shchepochkina) Representation Theory, (Vol. 2. Quest for Simple Modular Lie algebra and Lie Superalgebras), Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Lahore (2009).
- [7] W. D. Liu and Y. H. He, Finite-dimensional special odd Hamiltonian superalgebras in prime characteristic, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **11**(4) (2009): 523–546.
- [8] W. D. Liu and Q. Wang, Maximal subalgebras for modular graded Lie superalgebras of odd Cartan type, *Transform. Groups*, **20**(4) (2015): 1075–1106.
- [9] W. D. Liu and Y. Z. Zhang, Finite-dimensional odd Hamiltonian superalgebras over a field of prime characteristic, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **79** (2005): 113–130.
- [10] B. Shu and Y. F. Yao, Character formulas for restricted simple modules of the special superalgebras, *Math. Nachr.*, **285**(8-9) (2012): 1107–1116.
- [11] B. Shu and C. W. Zhang, Restricted representations of the Witt superalgebras, *J. Algebra*, **324** (2010): 652–672.
- [12] B. Shu and C. W. Zhang, Representations of the restricted Cartan type Lie superalgebra  $W(m, n, 1)$ , *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **14** (2011): 463–481.

- [13] S. J. Wang and W. D. Liu, On restricted representations of restricted contact Lie superalgebras of odd type, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **15**(4) (2016): 1650075.
- [14] W. Q. Wang and L. Zhao, Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic I, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **99** (2009): 145–167.
- [15] Y. F. Yao, On restricted representations of the extended special type Lie superalgebra  $\bar{S}(m, n, 1)$ , *Monatsh. Math.*, **170** (2013): 239–255.
- [16] Y. F. Yao, Non-restricted representations of simple Lie superalgebras of special type and Hamiltonian type, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **56**(2) (2013): 239–252.
- [17] Y. F. Yao and B. Shu, Restricted representations of Lie superalgebras of Hamiltonian type, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **16**(3) (2013): 615–632.
- [18] J. X. Yuan and W. D. Liu, Restricted Kac module of Hamiltonian Lie superalgebras of odd type, *Monatsh. Math.*, **178** (2015): 473–488.
- [19] C. W. Zhang, Representations of the restricted Lie algebras of Cartan-type, *J. Algebra*, **290** (2005): 408–432.
- [20] C. W. Zhang, On the simple modules for the restricted Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(n|1)$ , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **213** (2009): 756–765.
- [21] Y. Z. Zhang, Finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras of Cartan-type over a field of prime characteristic, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, **42** (1997): 720–724.