

2-local derivations and biderivations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on all simple modules *

SHUJUAN WANG¹, ZHAOXIN LI^{2†} and XIAOMIN TANG²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Maritime University,
Shanghai 201306, China*

²*School of Mathematical Sciences, Heilongjiang University,
Harbin 150080, China*

Abstract: This paper generalizes the concepts of 2-local derivations and biderivations (without the skewsymmetric condition) of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra from the adjoint module to any finite-dimensional module, and determines all 2-local derivations and biderivations of the 3-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on its any finite-dimensional simple module.

Keywords: 2-local derivations, biderivations, $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, simple modules

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B05, 17B10, 17B45

1. Introduction

It has been proved that derivations and generalized derivations of algebras are influential and far-reaching, which are very important subjects in the study of both algebras and their generalizations. The concepts of 2-local derivations and biderivations of Lie algebras (on the adjoint module) are first introduced in 2015 and 2011 respectively (see [3, 6, 14]), which have aroused the interest of a great many authors in recent years, see [1–10, 12–17]. For any complex simple Lie algebra (on the adjoint module), each 2-local derivation must be a derivation (see [3]); and every (skewsymmetric) biderivation is an inner one (see [12, 15]). In 2018, Brešar and Zhao generalized the concept of skewsymmetric biderivations of Lie algebras from the adjoint module to any module in the paper [5]. Motivated by Brešar and Zhao's work on skewsymmetric biderivations, we aim to generalize the notions of 2-local derivations and biderivations (without the skewsymmetric condition) of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra from the adjoint module to any finite-dimensional module, and determine all 2-local derivations and biderivations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on any simple module $V(n)$. It is well-known that 3-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is so important that its simple modules determine the structure of semi-simple Lie algebras. In this paper, we determine all 2-local derivations and biderivations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(2)$, which covers the result about $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ in the paper [3] and [12]. Our main results are listed in the following, in which $\text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$ and $\text{Ibder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ are defined in Subsections 2.1. and 3.2., respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *Every 2-local derivation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(n)$ is a derivation.*

*supported by the NSF of Heilongjiang Province (YQ2020A005) and the NSF of China (12061029).

†Correspondence: LZXL15765737480@163.com

Theorem 1.2. $\text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n)) = \begin{cases} \text{Ibder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2)) & n = 2 \\ 0 & n \neq 2. \end{cases}$

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over the complex number field \mathbb{C} and V a finite-dimensional L -module. Denote by $\text{Hom}(L, V)$ the set consisting of linear maps from L to V , and V^L the maximal trivial submodule of V , that is,

$$V^L = \{v \in V \mid xv = 0, \forall x \in L\}.$$

2.1. Definitions

For $D \in \text{Hom}(L, V)$, if

$$D([x, y]) = xD(y) - yD(x), \quad \forall x, y \in L,$$

then D is said to be a derivation of L on V . Denote by $\text{Der}(L, V)$ the set consisting of all derivations of L on V . It is clear that $\text{Der}(L, V)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. Let $D \in \text{Der}(L, V)$. If there exists $v \in V$, such that $D(x) = xv$ for any $x \in L$, then D is said to be an inner determined by v , denoted by D^v . Write

$$\text{Ider}(L, V) = \{D^v \mid v \in V\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Ider}(L, V)$ is a subspace of $\text{Der}(L, V)$.

In the following, we introduce the definitions of 2-local derivations and biderivations of L on V , which generalizes the usual ones from the adjoint module to any finite-dimensional module.

Definition 2.1. Let $g : L \rightarrow V$ be a map. If for any $x, y \in L$, there exists $D_{x,y} \in \text{Der}(L, V)$ (depending on x, y), such that

$$g(x) = D_{x,y}(x), \quad g(y) = D_{x,y}(y),$$

then g is said to be a 2-local derivation of L on V .

Definition 2.2. Let $g : L \times L \rightarrow V$ be a bilinear map. If for any $x, y, z \in L$,

$$g([x, y], z) = xg(y, z) - yg(x, z),$$

$$g(x, [y, z]) = yg(x, z) - zg(x, y),$$

then g is said to be a biderivation of L on V . Denote by $\text{Bder}(L, V)$ the set consisting of biderivations of L on V .

2.2. Lemmas

In this subsection, we give some technical lemmas which will be used in the future.

Lemma 2.3. Let V be a nontrivial and simple L -module. Then

$$a_1 D^{u_1} + a_2 D^{u_2} + \cdots + a_k D^{u_k} = 0 \iff a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \cdots + a_k u_k = 0,$$

where $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in V, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

D^{u_1}, \dots, D^{u_k} is a basis of $\text{Ider}(L, V) \iff u_1, \dots, u_k$ is a basis of V .

Proof. Let $x \in L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 D^{u_1} + a_2 D^{u_2} + \dots + a_k D^{u_k})(x) \\ &= a_1 D^{u_1}(x) + a_2 D^{u_2}(x) + \dots + a_k D^{u_k}(x) \\ &= a_1 x u_1 + a_2 x u_2 + \dots + a_k x u_k \\ &= x(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k) \\ &= D^{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$a_1 D^{u_1} + a_2 D^{u_2} + \dots + a_k D^{u_k} = D^{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k},$$

which implies the “if” direction.

Let $a_1 D^{u_1} + a_2 D^{u_2} + \dots + a_k D^{u_k} = 0$. For any $x \in L$, we have

$$(a_1 D^{u_1} + a_2 D^{u_2} + \dots + a_k D^{u_k})(x) = 0,$$

then

$$D^{a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k}(x) = x(a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k).$$

It follows that

$$a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k \in V^L.$$

Since V is nontrivial and simple, we get $V^L = 0$. Then

$$a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + \dots + a_k u_k = 0.$$

□

Denote by $\text{Blin}(L, V)$ the set consisting of bilinear maps from $L \times L$ to V . Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of L . Suppose L and V possess weight space decompositions with respect to \mathfrak{h} :

$$L = \mathfrak{h} \bigoplus \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} L_\alpha, \quad V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_\alpha.$$

In this manner, L is also an \mathfrak{h}^* -graded Lie algebra and V an \mathfrak{h}^* -graded module. Then the assumption on the dimensions implies that the L -modules $\text{Hom}(L, V)$ and $\text{Blin}(L, V)$ have \mathfrak{h}^* -grading structure induced by the ones of L and V :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(L, V) &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Hom}(L, V)_{(\gamma)}, \\ \text{Blin}(L, V) &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Blin}(L, V)_{(\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(L, V)_{(\gamma)} &= \{\phi \in \text{Hom}(L, V) \mid \phi(L_\alpha) \subset V_{\alpha+\gamma}, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*\}, \\ \text{Blin}(L, V)_{(\gamma)} &= \{\phi \in \text{Blin}(L, V) \mid \phi(L_\alpha, L_\beta) \subset V_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\text{Hom}(L, V)$ and $\text{Blin}(L, V)$ are L -modules with the following action:

$$(xf)(y) = xf(y) - f([x, y]),$$

$$(xg)(y, z) = xg(y, z) - g([x, y], z) - g(y, [x, z]),$$

where $x, y, z \in L, f \in \text{Hom}(L, V), g \in \text{Blin}(L, V)$. Clearly, $\text{Hom}(L, V)_{(\gamma)}$ and $\text{Blin}(L, V)_{(\gamma)}$ are precisely the weight spaces of weight γ with respect to \mathfrak{h} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(L, V)_{(\gamma)} &= \text{Hom}(L, V)_{\gamma} \\ &= \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(L, V) \mid h\varphi = \gamma(h)\varphi, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Blin}(L, V)_{(\gamma)} &= \text{Blin}(L, V)_{\gamma} \\ &= \{\varphi \in \text{Blin}(L, V) \mid h\varphi = \gamma(h)\varphi, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is a standard fact that $\text{Der}(L, V)$ is an \mathfrak{h}^* -graded submodule (hence a weight-submodule with respect to \mathfrak{h}) of $\text{Hom}(L, V)$:

$$\text{Der}(L, V) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Der}(L, V) \cap \text{Hom}(L, V)_{\gamma}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.4. *Keep the notations as above. Then*

$$\text{Bder}(L, V) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{Bder}(L, V) \cap \text{Blin}(L, V)_{\gamma}.$$

Proof. For any $g \in \text{Bder}(L, V)$, there exists $g_{\gamma} \in \text{Blin}(L, V)_{\gamma}$ such that $g = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} g_{\gamma}$. If $x \in L_{\beta}$ with $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then $g(x, \cdot) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*} g_{\gamma}(x, \cdot)$. Note that $g(x, \cdot) \in \text{Der}(L, V)$ and $g_{\gamma}(x, \cdot) \in \text{Hom}(L, V)_{\gamma+\beta}$. Then by Equation (2.1), we have $g_{\gamma}(x, \cdot) \in \text{Der}(L, V)_{\gamma+\beta}$. It follows that $g_{\gamma}(y, \cdot) \in \text{Der}(L, V)$ for any $y \in L$. Similarly, $g_{\gamma}(\cdot, y) \in \text{Der}(L, V)$ for any $y \in L$. Then $g_{\gamma} \in \text{Bder}(L, V)$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be a nontrivial and simple L -module. If $\text{Der}(L, V) = \text{Ider}(L, V)$, then for any $g \in \text{Bder}(L, V)_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, there exist two maps $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)_{\alpha}$ such that*

$$g(x, y) = x\varphi(y) = y\psi(x), \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

Proof. Let $x \in L$. By definition we have $g(x, \cdot) \in \text{Der}(L, V)$ and $g(\cdot, x) \in \text{Der}(L, V)$. Since $\text{Der}(L, V) = \text{Ider}(L, V)$ and V is a nontrivial and simple L -module, then there exists the unique $v \in V$ such that $g(x, \cdot) = D^v$, and the unique $w \in V$ such that $g(\cdot, x) = D^w$, that is,

$$g(x, y) = D^v(y) = yv, \quad g(y, x) = D^w(y) = yw, \quad \forall y \in L.$$

Define the maps $\psi : L \rightarrow V$ by $\psi(x) = v$ and $\varphi : L \rightarrow V$ by $\varphi(x) = w$, which means

$$g(x, y) = y\psi(x), \quad g(y, x) = y\varphi(x), \quad \forall y \in L.$$

Claim $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)$. In fact, for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_1, x_2, y \in L$, the equation

$$g(ax_1 + x_2, y) = ag(x_1, y) + g(x_2, y)$$

implies

$$y\psi(ax_1 + x_2) = ay\psi(x_1) + y\psi(x_2) = y(a\psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2)).$$

Since y is arbitrary, we have

$$\psi(ax_1 + x_2) - a\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2) \in V^L = 0,$$

where the last equality holds since V is nontrivial and simple. Hence

$$\psi(ax_1 + x_2) = a\psi(x_1) + \psi(x_2),$$

that is, $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)$. In the following, we shall prove $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)_\alpha$. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $x \in L_\beta, y \in L_\gamma$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. On one hand,

$$hg(x, y) = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(h)g(x, y) = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(h)y\psi(x).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} hg(x, y) &= hy\psi(x) = [h, y]\psi(x) + yh\psi(x) \\ &= \gamma(h)y\psi(x) + yh\psi(x) \\ &= y(\gamma(h)\psi(x) + h\psi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\alpha + \beta)(h)y\psi(x) = yh\psi(x).$$

Since both γ and y are arbitrary, so

$$h\psi(x) - (\alpha + \beta)(h)\psi(x) \in V^L = 0,$$

that is,

$$h\psi(x) = (\alpha + \beta)(h)\psi(x).$$

It follows that $\psi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)_\alpha$. Similarly, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(L, V)_\alpha$. □

Recall that 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ has a standard basis:

$$e := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we are all known, $n + 1$ -dimensional simple $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module $V(n)$ has a standard basis:

$$v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n,$$

with the action as follows:

$$ev_i = i(n + 1 - i)v_{i-1}, \quad hv_i = (n - 2i)v_i, \quad fv_i = v_{i+1},$$

where $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $v_{-1} = v_{n+1} = 0$. Note that $\mathbb{C}h$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, and

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathbb{C}h \bigoplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)_2 \bigoplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)_{-2}, \quad V(n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n V(n)_{n-2i},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2)_2 = \mathbb{C}e, \quad \mathfrak{sl}(2)_{-2} = \mathbb{C}f, \quad V(n)_{n-2i} = \mathbb{C}v_i.$$

Write

$$\Delta_V = \{n - 2i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}, \quad \Delta = \{0, 2, -2\}.$$

The following lemma characterizes the weight spaces $\text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma$ for $\gamma \notin \Delta_V$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $n \geq 1$. If $\gamma \notin \Delta_V$, then $\text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma = 0$.*

Proof. Claim that $\text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma = 0$ if $\gamma \notin \Delta_V \cup \Delta$. In fact, Let $g \in \text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma$. Then by Lemma 2.5, there exist $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma$ such that

$$g(x, y) = x\varphi(y) = y\psi(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2).$$

Let $x = h$ and $y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)_\eta$ with $\eta \in \Delta$. Then

$$\varphi(y) \in V(n)_{\gamma+\eta}, \quad \psi(h) \in V(n)_\gamma = 0.$$

It follows that

$$g(h, y) = h\varphi(y) = y\psi(h) = 0,$$

and then $\varphi(y) \in V(n)_0$. Hence we have $\varphi(y) \in V(n)_{\gamma+\eta} \cap V(n)_0$. Note that $\gamma + \eta \neq 0$, and then $\varphi(y) = 0$. Since η and y are arbitrary, so $\varphi = 0$.

Below we shall prove that $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma = 0$ if n is odd and $\gamma \in \Delta$. Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_\gamma$. Then

$$\varphi(e) \in V(n)_{2+\gamma}, \quad \varphi(f) \in V(n)_{-2+\gamma}, \quad \varphi(h) \in V(n)_\gamma.$$

Since n is odd and $\gamma \in \Delta$, so $V(n)_{\pm 2+\gamma} = V(n)_\gamma = 0$, which implies $\varphi = 0$. \square

3. Proof of the Main Results

In this section, we shall determine all 2-local derivations and biderivations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(n)$.

3.1. 2-local derivations

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let g be a 2-local derivation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(n)$. In the following we shall prove that g is a derivation by steps.

Step 1 By definition, there exists $D_{h,e} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$, such that

$$g(h) = D_{h,e}(h), \quad g(e) = D_{h,e}(e),$$

that is,

$$(g - D_{h,e})(h) = g(h) - D_{h,e}(h) = 0, \quad (g - D_{h,e})(e) = g(e) - D_{h,e}(e) = 0.$$

Note that $g_1 := g - D_{h,e}$ is also a 2-local derivation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(n)$ and $g_1(h) = g_1(e) = 0$.

Step 2 By definition, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, there exists $D_{x,y} \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$, such that

$$g_1(x) = D_{x,y}(x), \quad g_1(y) = D_{x,y}(y).$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\text{Ider}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$ has a basis: $D^{v_0}, D^{v_1}, \dots, D^{v_n}$. Since the first cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ with the coefficients $V(n)$ is trivial (see [11]), we have

$$\text{Ider}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n)) = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n)).$$

That is, $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$ has a basis: $D^{v_0}, D^{v_1}, \dots, D^{v_n}$. Thus we may assume that

$$D_{x,y} = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x, y) D^{v_i} = D^{v_{x,y}}, \quad a_i(x, y) \in \mathbb{F},$$

where $v_{x,y} = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,y)v_i$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= D_{x,y}(x) = x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,y)v_i \right), \\ g_1(y) &= D_{x,y}(y) = y \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,y)v_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3 On one hand, by Step 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(f) &= f \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,e)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,e)v_{i+1}, \\ g_1(e) &= e \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,e)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,e)i(n+1-i)v_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1(e) = 0$ by Step 1, we get $i(n+1-i)a_i(f,e) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies that $a_i(f,e) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$g_1(f) = a_0(f,e)v_1. \quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, by Step 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(f) &= f \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,h)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,h)v_{i+1}, \\ g_1(h) &= h \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,h)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(f,h)(n-2i)v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_1(h) = 0$ by Step 1, we get $a_i(f,e)(n-2i) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

If n is odd, then $a_i(f,h) = 0$, which implies $g_1(f) = 0$.

If n is even and nonzero, then $a_i(f,h) = 0$ for $i \neq \frac{n}{2}$, which implies

$$g_1(f) = a_{\frac{n}{2}}(f,h)v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}. \quad (3.2)$$

By Equations (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$a_0(f,e)v_1 = a_{\frac{n}{2}}(f,h)v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even and nonzero.}$$

When n is even and nonzero, $v_1, v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ are linearly independent, then $a_0(f,e) = a_{\frac{n}{2}}(f,h) = 0$, which means $g_1(f) = 0$.

If $n = 0$, then $V(n)$ is a trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module. It is clear that $g_1(f) = 0$ by the definition of 2-local derivations.

In summary, $g_1(f) = 0$.

Step 4 Let $x = x_e e + x_h h + x_f f \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, where $x_e, x_h, x_f \in \mathbb{C}$. By Steps 1 and 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(f) &= f \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,f)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,f)v_{i+1} = 0, \\ g_1(e) &= e \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,e)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x,e)i(n+1-i)v_{i-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $a_i(x, f) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a_j(x, e) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. It follows that

$$g_1(x) = xa_n(x, f)v_n = (x_e e + x_h h)a_n(x, f)v_n = a_n(x, f)(nx_e v_{n-1} - nx_h v_n), \quad (3.3)$$

$$g_1(x) = xa_0(x, e)v_0 = (x_h h + x_f f)a_0(x, e)v_0 = a_0(x, e)(nx_h v_0 + x_f v_1). \quad (3.4)$$

By Equations (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$a_0(x, e)(nx_h v_0 + x_f v_1) = a_n(x, f)(nx_e v_{n-1} - nx_h v_n).$$

If $n > 2$, then $a_0(x, e) = 0$ or $a_n(x, f) = 0$, and $g_1(x) = 0$.

By Steps 1 and 2, we get

$$g_1(x) = x \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x, h)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x, h)xv_i,$$

$$g_1(h) = h \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x, h)v_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n (n-2i)a_i(x, h)v_i = 0.$$

If $n = 1$, then all $a_i(x, h) = 0$, which implies $g_1(x) = 0$.

Let $n = 2$ in the following. Then $a_i(x, h) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= xa_1(x, h)v_1 = (x_e e + x_f f)a_1(x, h)v_1 \\ &= a_1(x, h)(2x_e v_0 + x_f v_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

By Equations (3.5) and (3.4), we get

$$a_0(x, e)(2x_h v_0 + x_f v_1) = a_1(x, h)(2x_e v_0 + x_f v_2).$$

If $x_f \neq 0$, then $a_1(x, h) = 0$ and $a_0(x, e) = 0$, which means $g_1(x) = 0$. Then by Steps (1) and (3), it is sufficient to assume $x_f = 0$, $x_e x_h \neq 0$ in the following. Considering Equations (3.5) and (3.3), we get

$$a_2(x, f)(2x_e v_1 - 2x_h v_2) = a_1(x, h)(2x_e v_0 + x_f v_2),$$

which means $a_1(x, h) = a_2(x, f) = 0$. Then by Equation (3.5), we get $g_1(x) = 0$.

In summary, $g_1(x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, that is $g_1 = 0$.

Step 5 By Steps 1 and 4, we get $g = D_{h,e}$.

3.2. Biderivations

As we are known, $V(2)$ is isomorphic to the adjoint module of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ by setting $v_0 = e$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, it is easy to verify that the map

$$f : \mathfrak{sl}(2) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2) \longrightarrow V(2), \quad (x, y) \longmapsto \lambda[x, y]$$

is a biderivation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ on $V(2)$, which is said to be inner. Write $\text{Ibder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ for all inner biderivations from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ to $V(2)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $g \in \text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(0))$. Note that $V(0)$ is a trivial $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module, then by Definition 2.2, we have

$$g([x, y], z) = g(x, [y, z]) = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{sl}(2).$$

Since $[\mathfrak{sl}(2), \mathfrak{sl}(2)] = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, so $g = 0$.

In the following, we assume that $n \neq 0$. Let $g \in \text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$. Define $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Blin}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))$ by

$$g_1(x, y) = (g(x, y) + g(y, x))/2, \quad g_2(x, y) = (g(x, y) - g(y, x))/2,$$

where $x, y \in L$. Then every g_i is a biderivation and

$$g = g_1 + g_2, \quad g_1(x, y) = g_1(y, x), \quad g_2(x, y) = -g_2(y, x),$$

where $x, y \in L$. Schur's Lemma tells us that every $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module homomorphism from $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ to $V(n)$ must be of the form $\delta_{n,2}\lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ is the identity map on $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. Hereafter the symbol $\delta_{i,j}$ means 1 if $i = j$, and 0 otherwise. Then by [5, Theorem 2.3], we have that $g_2(x, y) = \delta_{n,2}\lambda[x, y]$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in L$.

Below it is sufficient to show $g_1 = 0$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, we may assume $g_1 = g_{10} + g_{11} + \cdots + g_{1n}$, where $g_{1i} \in \text{Bder}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_{n-2i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 2.5 there exist two maps $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{sl}(2), V(n))_{n-2i}$, such that

$$x\psi_i(y) = g_{1i}(y, x) = g_{1i}(x, y) = x\varphi_i(y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2).$$

It follows that $\psi_i = \varphi_i$, and

$$\varphi_i(h) \in V(n)_{n-2i}, \quad \varphi_i(e) \in V(n)_{n-2(i-1)}, \quad \varphi_i(f) \in V(n)_{n-2(i+1)},$$

$$g_{1i}(x, y) = x\varphi_i(y) = y\varphi_i(x), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{sl}(2). \quad (3.6)$$

Then we assume that

$$\varphi_i(h) = a_{hi}v_i, \quad \varphi_i(e) = a_{ei}v_{i-1}, \quad \varphi_i(f) = a_{fi}v_{i+1},$$

where $a_{*i} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then by Equation (3.6), we get

$$h\varphi_i(e) = e\varphi_i(h), \quad h\varphi_i(f) = f\varphi_i(h), \quad e\varphi_i(f) = f\varphi_i(e),$$

that is,

$$(n - 2i + 2)a_{ei}v_{i-1} = i(n + 1 - i)a_{hi}v_{i-1},$$

$$(n - 2i - 2)a_{fi}v_{i+1} = a_{hi}v_{i+1},$$

$$(i + 1)(n - i)a_{fi}v_i = (1 - \delta_{i,0})a_{ei}v_i.$$

It follows that

$$(1 - \delta_{i,n})a_{hi} = (1 - \delta_{i,n})(n - 2i - 2)a_{fi}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$(1 - \delta_{i,0})(n - 2i + 2)a_{ei} = (1 - \delta_{i,0})i(n + 1 - i)a_{hi}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$(1 - \delta_{i,0})a_{ei} = (i + 1)(n - i)a_{fi}. \quad (3.9)$$

In the following, we shall determine φ_i and ψ_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$ by steps.

(a) If $i = 0$, then

$$a_{fi} \stackrel{(3.9)}{=} 0, \quad a_{hi} \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} (n - 2)a_{fi}.$$

It follows that $g_{10} = 0$.

(b) If $i = n$, then

$$a_{ei} \stackrel{(3.9)}{=} 0, \quad (2-n)a_{ei} \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} na_{hi}.$$

It follows that $g_{1n} = 0$.

(c) If $i \neq 0$ and $i \neq n$, then by Equations (3.7)-(3.9), we have

$$(i+1)(n-i)(n-2i+2)a_{fi} = i(n+1-i)(n-2i-2)a_{fi},$$

which implies $a_{fi} = 0$. It follows that $a_{ei} \stackrel{(3.9)}{=} 0 \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} a_{hi}$. Hence $g_{1i} = 0$.

From (a)-(c), it follows that $g_1=0$.

References

- [1] Ayupov S. and Yusupov B., 2-Local derivations on infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 2020, 19(5): 2050100 (12 pages).
- [2] Ayupov S., Khudoyberdiyev A. and Yusupov B., Local and 2-local derivations of solvable Leibniz algebras, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 2019, 30(6): 1185-1197.
- [3] Ayupov S., Kudaybergenov K. and Rakhimov I., 2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2015, 474: 1-11.
- [4] Benkovic Z., Biderivations and linear commuting maps on simple generalized Witt algebras over a field, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 2016, 31(1): 1-12.
- [5] Brešar M. and Zhao K., Biderivations and commuting linear maps on Lie algebras, *J. Lie Theory*, 2018, 28(3): 885-900.
- [6] Chen Z. and Wang D., 2-Local automorphisms of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2015, 486: 335-343.
- [7] Du Y. and Wang Y., Biderivations of generalized matrix algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2013, 438(11): 4483-4499.
- [8] Fan G. and Dai X., Super-biderivations of Lie superalgebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2017, 65(1): 58-66.
- [9] Ghosseiri N., On biderivations of upper triangular matrix rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2013, 438(1): 250-260.
- [10] Han X., Wang D. and Xia C., Linear commuting maps and biderivations on the Lie algebras $W(a, b)$, *J. Lie Theory*, 2016, 26(3): 777-786.
- [11] P. Grozman and D. Leites, Lie superalgebra structures in $H^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$, *Czechoslovak J. Phys.*, 2004, 54(11): 1313-1319.
- [12] Tang X., Biderivations of finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2016, 66(3): 1-10.
- [13] Wang D. and Yu X., Biderivations and linear commuting maps on the Schrodinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, *Comm. Algebra*, 2013, 41(6): 2166-2173.

- [14] Wang D., Yu X. and Chen Z., Biderivations of the parabolic subalgebras of simple Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 2011, 39(11): 4097-4104.
- [15] Xia C., Wang D. and Han X., Linear super-commuting maps and super-biderivations on the super-Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 2016, 44(12): 5342-5350.
- [16] Xu H. and Wang L., The properties of biderivations on Heisenberg superalgebras, *Math. Aeterna*, 2015, 5: 285-291.
- [17] Zhao Y., Chen Y. and Zhao K., 2-Local derivations on Witt algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.*, 2021, 20(4): 2150068 (11 pages).