

A QUESTION OF GOLDBERG AND OSTROVSKII CONCERNING LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH COEFFICIENTS OF COMPLETELY REGULAR GROWTH

WALTER BERGWEILER

ABSTRACT. We show that a linear differential equation whose coefficients are entire functions of completely regular growth may have an entire solution of finite order which is not of completely regular growth. This answers a question of Goldberg and Ostrovskii.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

An entire function f of order ρ is said to be of *completely regular growth* in the sense of Levin and Pfluger if there exists a 2π -periodic function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which does not vanish identically such that

$$(1.1) \quad \log |f(re^{i\theta})| = h(\theta)r^\rho + o(r^\rho)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for $re^{i\theta}$ outside a union of disks $\{z: |z - z_j| < r_j\}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{|z_j| \leq r} r_j = o(r)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The function h is called the *indicator* of f . More generally, one may replace the term r^ρ in (1.1) by $r^{\rho(r)}$ with a proximate order $\rho(r)$. For the definition of a proximate order and a thorough treatment of entire functions of completely regular growth we refer to [9].

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} are polynomials, then every solution f of the linear differential equation

$$(1.2) \quad f^{(n)} + a_{n-1}f^{(n-1)} + \dots + a_1f' + a_0f = 0$$

is an entire function of completely regular growth. According to [7, Problem 16.2], this is due to Petrenko [10, Chapter IV]. It also follows from the work of Steinmetz ([11]; see also [12, Section 2]).

If the coefficients a_j are transcendental, then the general solution of (1.2) is of infinite order [14, Satz 1]. However, even if (1.2) has a solution of finite order, this solution need not be of completely regular growth. In fact, Goldberg [7, Problem 16.2] showed that every entire function is solution of some differential equation of the form (1.2) with entire coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} .

Goldberg and Ostrovskii ([7, Problem 16.2], [6, Question 5.5]) asked whether a transcendental entire solution f of (1.2) of finite order must be of completely regular growth

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34M10, 34M05, 34M03, 30D15.

Key words and phrases. Entire function, linear differential equation, completely regular growth.

if the coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} are of completely regular growth. For some further discussion and results concerning this problem we refer to [1, 8, 13].

We will show that the answer to the question of Goldberg and Ostrovskii is negative.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (z_k) be a sequence of distinct points in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ which tends to ∞ . Suppose that the exponent of convergence σ of (z_k) is less than 1 so that*

$$f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_k}\right)$$

defines an entire function f of order σ .

Suppose also that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{f''(z_k)}{f'(z_k)^2} \right| \leq C$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there exist entire functions A_0 and B_0 of order at most σ such that

$$(1.4) \quad f'' + A_0 f' + B_0 f = 0.$$

Moreover, given ρ greater than σ there exist entire functions A and B of order ρ and of completely regular growth such that

$$(1.5) \quad f'' + A f' + B f = 0.$$

To answer the question by Goldberg and Ostrovskii it thus suffices to show that there exists an entire function f which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 but which is not of completely regular growth.

One way to check that condition (1.3) is satisfied for a given function f is to establish a lower bound for f' in some disk around z_k . Since $f''/(f')^2 = -(1/f)'$ one can then obtain an upper bound for $|f''(z_k)/f'(z_k)^2|$ from Cauchy's integral formula.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < \rho < 1$, let (r_k) be an increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity and let (n_k) be a sequence of natural numbers satisfying $n_k \sim r_k^\rho$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

If (r_k) tends to ∞ sufficiently fast, then

$$(1.6) \quad f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \left(\frac{z}{r_k}\right)^{n_k}\right)$$

defines an entire function f of order ρ and lower order 0 which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1.

Since the order and lower order of the function f given in Theorem 1.2 are different, this function cannot be of completely regular growth. As noted above, combined with Theorem 1.1 this solves the problem posed by Goldberg and Ostrovskii.

Acknowledgment. I thank Alexandre Eremenko for providing a reference for Lemma 2.1.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

A standard interpolation result says that given sequences (z_k) and (w_k) in \mathbb{C} , with $z_k \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an entire function h satisfying $h(z_k) = w_k$. This result was also used by Goldberg [7] in his proof that every entire function f satisfies an equation of the form (1.2) with entire coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} .

The standard proof of this interpolation result is as follows. Let f be an entire function with simple zeros at the points z_k and let g be a meromorphic function having simple poles at the z_k with principal part $u_k/(z - z_k)$, where $u_k = w_k/f'(z_k)$. Then $h := fg$ has the required property.

We will control the growth of g and hence h using the following lemma [5, Chapter 5, Theorem 6.1]. Here and in the following we use the standard terminology of Nevanlinna theory as given in [5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let (z_k) and (u_k) be sequences in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, with $z_k \rightarrow \infty$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{u_k}{z_k} \right| < \infty.$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_k}{z - z_k}.$$

defines a meromorphic function g which satisfies $m(r, g) = o(1)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Put $u_k = -f''(z_k)/f'(z_k)^2$. Since the exponent of convergence of (z_k) is less than 1 we deduce from (1.3) that (2.1) holds. Let g be defined by (2.2) and put $A_0 = fg$. Then

$$A_0(z_k) = u_k f'(z_k) = -\frac{f''(z_k)}{f'(z_k)}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $f''(z_k) + A_0(z_k)f'(z_k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence that the function B_0 defined by

$$B_0 = -\frac{f'' + A_0 f'}{f}$$

is entire. Thus (1.4) is satisfied.

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$T(r, g) = N(r, g) + o(1) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + o(1) \leq T(r, f) + O(1).$$

Thus the order of g does not exceed that of f , which is equal to σ . It now follows from the definition of A_0 and B_0 that their orders are also not larger than σ .

To prove the existence of entire functions A and B with the properties stated, let H be any entire function which has completely regular growth of order ρ . Since $\rho > \sigma$, it follows from [9, Chapter III, § 4] that Hf and Hf' are of completely regular growth, with the same indicator as H . We may choose H such that the indicator of H is positive. It then follows that $A := A_0 + Hf$ and $B := B_0 + Hf'$ are also of completely regular

growth, again with the same indicator as H . Finally, (1.4) yields that f , A and B satisfy (1.5). \square

Remark. If the indicator of H is not positive, then the functions A and B defined in the above proof need not be of completely regular growth. However, the results in [4, 2, 3] imply in particular that there exists $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $A := A_0 + cHf$ and $B := B_0 - cHf'$ have completely regular growth. And (1.5) is also satisfied for these functions.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It is not difficult to see that if (r_k) tends to ∞ sufficiently fast, then (1.6) defines an entire function f of order ρ and lower order 0. Moreover, letting (r_k) tend to ∞ sufficiently fast we can achieve that if $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \sim r_k$, then

$$(2.3) \quad f(z) \sim \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \left(\frac{z}{r_j}\right)^{n_j}\right) \sim \left(1 - \left(\frac{z}{r_k}\right)^{n_k}\right) (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{z}{r_j}\right)^{n_j}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n_j \frac{\left(\frac{z}{r_j}\right)^{n_j}}{\left(\frac{z}{r_j}\right)^{n_j} - 1} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j + n_k \frac{\left(\frac{z}{r_k}\right)^{n_k}}{\left(\frac{z}{r_k}\right)^{n_k} - 1} + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

A zero ξ of f has the form $\xi = \omega_k r_k$ where $\omega_k^{n_k} = 1$. For $z = \omega_k r_k (1 + \zeta/n_k)$ with $|\zeta| \leq 1$ we have $(z/r_k)^{n_k} \rightarrow e^\zeta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The disk $\{\zeta: |\zeta| \leq 1\}$ corresponds to the disk $D_\xi := \{z: |z - \xi| \leq r_k/n_k\}$. Since we may assume that $\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j = o(n_k)$ it follows from (2.4) that for large k the disk D_ξ contains no zero of f' .

We deduce from (2.3) and (2.4) that if $z \in \partial D_\xi$, then

$$|f'(z)| = \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot |f(z)| \cdot \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \sim \frac{1}{r_k} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_k}{r_j}\right)^{n_j} \cdot n_k |e^\zeta|.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)^2} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D_\xi} \frac{dz}{f'(z)(z - \xi)^2} \right| \leq \frac{n_k}{r_k} \max_{z \in \partial D_\xi} \frac{1}{|f'(z)|} \leq (1 + o(1)) e \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{r_j}{r_k}\right)^{n_j} = o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus f satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ī. Bandura and O. B. Skaskīv, Boundedness of the l -index and the completely regular growth of entire functions (Ukrainian). *Ukrain. Mat. Zh.* 72 (2020), no. 3, 316–325; English translation: *Ukrainian Math. J.* 72 (2020), no. 3, 358–369.
- [2] A. A. Gol'dberg, A. È. Erëmenko and Ī. V. Ostrovs'kiĭ, On the sum of entire functions of completely regular growth (Russian). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A*, 1982, no. 2, 8–11.
- [3] A. A. Gol'dberg, A. È. Erëmenko and I. V. Ostrovskiĭ, The sum of entire functions of completely regular growth (Russian). *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat.* 18 (1983), no. 1, 3–14; English translation: *Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal.* 18 (1983), no. 1, 1–12.
- [4] A. A. Gol'dberg and Ī. V. Ostrovs'kiĭ, The growth of entire Hermitian-positive functions of finite order (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A*, 1981, no. 4, 6–9, 94.

- [5] Anatoly A. Goldberg and Iossif V. Ostrovskii, Value distribution of meromorphic functions. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 236. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [6] Gary G. Gundersen, Research questions on meromorphic functions and complex differential equations. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 17 (2017), no. 2, 195–209.
- [7] V. P. Havin and N. K. Nikolski (editors), Linear and complex analysis. Problem book 3. Part II. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1574. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [8] Janne Heittokangas, Ilpo Laine, Kazuya Tohge and Zhi-Tao Wen, Completely regular growth solutions of second order complex linear differential equations. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 40 (2015), no. 2, 985–1003.
- [9] B. Ya. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 5. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980.
- [10] V. P. Petrenko, Entire curves (Russian). “Vishcha Shkola”, Khar’kov, 1984.
- [11] Norbert Steinmetz, Exceptional values of solutions of linear differential equations. *Math. Z.* 201 (1989), no. 3, 317–326.
- [12] Norbert Steinmetz, Linear differential equations with exceptional fundamental sets. II. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 117 (1993), no. 2, 355–358.
- [13] Zhi-Tao Wen, Gary G. Gundersen and Janne Heittokangas, Dual exponential polynomials and linear differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* 264 (2018), no. 1, 98–114.
- [14] H. Wittich, Zur Theorie linearer Differentialgleichungen im Komplexen. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I*, no. 379, 1966.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR, CHRISTIAN-ALBRECHTS-UNIVERSITÄT ZU KIEL, 24098 KIEL, GERMANY

Email address: `bergweiler@math.uni-kiel.de`