

A CHARACTERIZATION OF MULTIPLIER IDEALS VIA ULTRAPRODUCTS

TATSUKI YAMAGUCHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using ultra-Frobenii, we introduce a variant of Schoutens' non-standard tight closure [11], ultra-tight closure, on ideals of a local domain R essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} . We prove that the ultra-test ideal $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$, the annihilator ideal of all ultra-tight closure relations of R , coincides with the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ if R is normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein. As an application, we study a behavior of multiplier ideals under pure ring extensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of tight closure, introduced by Hochster and Huneke [5], is a powerful tool in commutative algebra of positive characteristic. Tight closure gives simple proofs of several important results, e.g. Hochster-Roberts theorem, Briançon-Skoda theorem, and so on, in equal characteristic. We say that a ring R of positive characteristic is (weakly) F -regular if every ideal of R is tightly closed. The test ideal $\tau(R)$ of R , which defines the non- F -regular locus of R , is the annihilator ideal of all tight closure relations of R . On the other hand, the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(X)$ of a variety X over a field of characteristic zero is formulated in terms of resolution of singularities [8] and defines the non-log terminal locus of X . Hara and Yoshida [4] generalized the notions of tight closure and test ideals to the pair setting, and proved the following: if R is a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local ring essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero ideal of R and t is a positive real number, then modulo p reductions of the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ coincide with the test ideals $\tau(R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t)$ of modulo p reductions (R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t) of the pair (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) for sufficiently large primes p . In this paper, we give an ultraproduct-theoretic analog of their result.

The idea of ultraproducts goes back to the construction of a non-standard model of arithmetic by Skolem [15] in 1934, and the notion of ultraproducts was introduced by Łoś [9] in 1955. Schoutens used ultraproducts to prove various results on commutative algebra. For example, he gave a new proof of Hochster-Roberts theorem for rings of equal characteristic zero and gave a new construction of a balanced big Cohen-Macaulay algebra. He introduced in [11] the notion of non-standard hulls, which enables us to apply some arguments in positive characteristic to rings of equal characteristic zero. Schoutens [12] used non-standard hulls to define ultra- F -regularity, an ultraproduct-theoretic analog of F -regularity. Based on [3], [10], [16], he showed that if R is a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local ring essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , then $\text{Spec } R$ has log terminal singularities if and only if R is ultra- F -regular. He also showed that if $R \hookrightarrow S$ is a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 14F18.

pure ring extension of \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local domains essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} and if $\text{Spec } S$ has log terminal singularities, then so does $\text{Spec } R$. The aim of this paper is to generalize his results on log terminal singularities to the pair setting. In particular, we will characterize multiplier ideals via ultraproducts.

Let R be a local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal of R and t be a positive real number. Using ultra-Frobenii, we introduce a variant of Schoutens' non-standard tight closure [11], ultra-tight closure, on ideals (modules) of R . The ultra-test ideal $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ is defined to be the annihilator ideal of all ultra-tight closure relations of R . We can easily see that $\tau_u(R, R^1) = R$ if and only if R is ultra- F -regular in the sense of Schoutens. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1 (Corollary 5.26). *Suppose that R is normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein. Then*

$$\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mathcal{J}(\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{a}^t). \quad (1)$$

We briefly explain an idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. First, comparing modulo p reductions of a multiplier ideal with its approximation, we see that test ideals of Hara and Yoshida give an approximation of the multiplier ideal. The inclusion $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) \supseteq \mathcal{J}(\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ easily follows from this fact. For the converse inclusion, we take the canonical cover of R to reduce to the quasi-Gorenstein case and then use local ultracohomology.

As an application, of Theorem 1.1, we can show that multiplier ideals behave well under pure ring extensions. This result in characteristic zero is an analog of [4, Proposition 1.12].

Theorem 1.2 (Corollary 5.30). *Let R, S be normal local domains essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero principal ideal of R and t be a positive real number. Assume that R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein and $R \hookrightarrow S$ is a pure ring extension. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}(S, (\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R \subseteq \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t). \quad (2)$$

Note that multiplier ideals can be defined without \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein hypothesis (see Definition 5.29). In particular, $\text{Spec } S$ has Kawamata log terminal singularities in the sense of de Fernex-Hacon, then so does $\text{Spec } R$.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to express his gratitude to his supervisor Professor Shunsuke Takagi for his encouragement, valuable advice and suggestions. The author is also grateful to Tatsuro Kawakami, Kenta Sato and Shou Yoshikawa for useful conversations. Without their continuous help, this paper would not have been possible. He also thanks the referee who provided useful comments and suggestions.

2. ULTRAPRODUCTS

In this section, we quickly review basic notions from the theory of ultraproduct. The reader is referred to [11], [14] for details. We fix an infinite set W . Let $\mathcal{P}(W)$ be the power set of W .

Definition 2.1. A nonempty subset $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$ is called a *filter* if the following two conditions hold.

- (i) If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) If $A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq B \subseteq W$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.2.

- (i) A filter \mathcal{F} is called an *ultrafilter* if for all $A \in \mathcal{P}(W)$, $A \in \mathcal{F}$ or $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (ii) A filter \mathcal{F} is called *principal* if there exists a finite subset $A \subseteq W$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

From now on, we only consider a non-principal ultrafilter on W . Let A_w be a family of sets indexed by W . We can define an equivalence relation \sim on $\prod A_w$ by

$$(a_w) \sim (b_w) \text{ if and only if } \{w | a_w = b_w\} \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{3}$$

Definition 2.3. Let A_w be a family of sets indexed by W and \mathcal{F} be a non-principal ultrafilter on W . The *ultraproduct* of (A_w) is defined by

$$\text{ulim } A_w = A_\infty := \prod A_w / \sim. \tag{4}$$

We denote the equivalence class of (a_w) by $\text{ulim } a_w$.

For a family of maps $f_w : A_w \rightarrow B_w$ indexed by W , we can also define the ultraproduct of maps $f_\infty : A_\infty \rightarrow B_\infty$ by

$$f_\infty(\text{ulim } a_w) := \text{ulim } f_w(a_w). \tag{5}$$

If each A_w has an operation $*_w : A_w \times A_w \rightarrow A_w$, then we can define the natural operator $*_\infty$ on A_∞ by

$$(\text{ulim } a_w) *_\infty (\text{ulim } b_w) := \text{ulim}(a_w *_w b_w). \tag{6}$$

For example, if each A_w is a (commutative) ring, we can prove A_∞ has the natural (commutative) ring structure.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{P} be a some property. We say that a_w satisfies \mathcal{P} for *almost all* w if $\{w | \mathcal{P}(a_w) \text{ holds}\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

An important property of ultraproducts is stated in Łoś theorem. We will state some weaker version of Łoś theorem that Schoutens stated in his paper.

Theorem 2.5 ([11, Theorem 2.3]). *Suppose that C is a ring and A_∞ is the ultraproduct of C -algebras A_w . Let f be a polynomial in n variables with coefficients in C and for each w we take an n -tuple \mathbf{a}_w of elements of A_w . Then $f(\text{ulim } \mathbf{a}_w) = 0$ in A_∞ if and only if $f(\mathbf{a}_w) = 0$ in A_w for almost all w .*

At the end of this section, we will state the important theorems about ultraproducts.

Theorem 2.6 ([11, 2.8.2]). *If almost all K_w are algebraically closed field, then K_∞ is algebraically closed.*

Theorem 2.7 (Lefschetz principle, [11, Theorem 2.4]). *Let W be the set of prime numbers endowed with some non-principal ultrafilter. Then*

$$\text{ulim } \mathbb{F}_p^{alg} \cong \mathbb{C}. \tag{7}$$

3. NON-STANDARD HULLS AND APPROXIMATIONS

In this section, we consider the set \mathcal{P} of prime numbers endowed with some non-principal ultrafilter as an infinite set W in the section 2. Suppose that B is an algebra of finite type over \mathbb{C} . Then we can define the non-standard hull B_∞ of B and an approximation B_p of B as in [11, 3.4]. If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of B , then $\mathfrak{p}B_\infty$ is a prime ideal of B_∞ .

Definition 3.1 ([11, 4.3]). Suppose that B is an algebra of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of B and R is a localization $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of B . Then the *non-standard hull* R_∞ of R is defined by

$$R_\infty = (B_\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}B_\infty}. \quad (8)$$

An *approximation* R_p is defined as in [11, 4.3]. Then $R_\infty = \text{ulim } R_p$.

Proposition 3.2 ([11, 4.3]). *Let the notation be as above. We list basic properties of the non-standard hull of the local algebra R .*

- (i) $R_\infty = \text{ulim } R_p$, and $R \hookrightarrow R_\infty$ is a faithfully flat extension.
- (ii) R_p are local rings essentially of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ for almost all p .

Definition 3.3. (i) For $f \in R$, we can write $f = \text{ulim } f_p$ through $R \hookrightarrow R_\infty$. We call the collection of f_p an *approximation* of f .
(ii) For an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, the collection of $\mathfrak{a}_p := (a_{1p}, \dots, a_{np})$ is called an *approximation* of the ideal \mathfrak{a} . Then $\text{ulim } \mathfrak{a}_p = \mathfrak{a}R_\infty$.

Proposition 3.4 ([11, Theorem 4.6]). *Let R be a local ring essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} with an approximation R_p . If R is regular (resp. complete intersection, Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulay), then so is R_p for almost all p .*

Definition 3.5 (Models). Let K be a field and let B be an algebra of finite type over a field K . A pair (Z, B_Z) is called a *model* of B if Z is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of K , and B_Z is a finitely generated Z -algebra such that $B \cong B_Z \otimes_Z K$.

Definition 3.6. Let B be an algebra of finite type over \mathbb{C} and (Z, B_Z) be a model of B . Suppose that μ is a maximal ideal of Z . Then $B_Z \otimes_Z \kappa(\mu)$ is said to be a *reduction* to characteristic p if $\text{char } \kappa(\mu) = p$.

Definition 3.7. Let B be an algebra of finite type over \mathbb{C} , (Z, B_Z) be a model of B and \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of B . Suppose that \mathfrak{a}_Z is an ideal of B_Z such that $\mathfrak{a}_Z B = \mathfrak{a}$. Then the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_Z(B_Z \otimes_Z \kappa(\mu))$ is said to be a *reduction* of the ideal \mathfrak{a} .

An important relation between approximations and models is the following;

Theorem 3.8 ([12, Proposition 2.18]). *Let R be an algebra of finite type over \mathbb{C} . We can find a model (Z, R_Z) of R with the following property: for almost all p there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{p}_p such that the collection of base changes $R_Z \otimes_Z \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ gives an approximation of R .*

Proposition 3.9. *Let R be a local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} . The following conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (i) R is normal.

- (ii) R_p is normal for almost all p .
- (iii) R_∞ is normal.

Proof. The condition (iii) follows from (ii) by Łoś' theorem. The condition (i) follows from (iii) since $R \hookrightarrow R_\infty$ is faithfully flat. It is enough to show that the condition (ii) follows from (i). Suppose that S is a normal domain of finite type over \mathbb{C} such that there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of S such that $R \cong S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then for almost all p , $R_p \cong (S_p)_{\mathfrak{p}_p}$. Let (Z, S_Z) be a model of S as in Theorem 3.8. A set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{\mu \in \text{Spec } Z \mid S_Z \otimes_Z \kappa(\mu) \text{ is geometrically normal over } \kappa(\mu)\}$$

is constructible by [2, Corollaire (9.9.5)] and contains the generic point of $\text{Spec } Z$. Hence \mathcal{N} contains a dense open subset of $\text{Spec } Z$. Enlarging the model S_Z , we may assume that $S_Z \otimes_Z \kappa(\mu)$ is geometrically normal over $\kappa(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in \text{Spec}(Z)$. Therefore, S_p is normal for almost all p . Hence, R_p is normal for almost all p . \square

Definition 3.10. Let R be a Noetherian ring, I be an ideal of R and n be a natural number. Then the n -th symbolic power of I is the ideal

$$I^{(n)} = R \cap \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} I^n R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (9)$$

where \mathfrak{p} runs through all the associated primes of R/I .

Proposition 3.11. Let R be a local ring essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} and let I be an ideal of R . Fix a natural number n , taking symbolic power commutes with taking approximations that is $(I_p)^{(n)} = (I^{(n)})_p$ holds for almost all p .

Proof. It is enough to show the case $I = \mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal. Since $\mathfrak{p}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary, $(\mathfrak{p}_p)^n \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}^{(n)})_p$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^{(n)})_p$ is \mathfrak{p}_p -primary. Therefore $(\mathfrak{p}_p)^{(n)} \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}^{(n)})_p$ holds. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{p}^n (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_\infty \cap R = \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}$ and $(\mathfrak{p}_p)^n (R_{\mathfrak{p}})_p \cap R_p = (\mathfrak{p}_p)^{(n)}$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{p}_\infty^{(n)} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}^{(n)} \quad (10)$$

and

$$((\mathfrak{p}_\infty)^{(n)})_p = (\mathfrak{p}_p)^{(n)}. \quad (11)$$

Thus $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} R_\infty \subseteq (\mathfrak{p}_\infty)^{(n)}$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^{(n)})_p \subseteq ((\mathfrak{p}_\infty)^{(n)})_p = (\mathfrak{p}_p)^{(n)}$. \square

Corollary 3.12. Suppose that R is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} . Then an approximation R_p of R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein for almost all p .

4. MULTIPLIER IDEALS AND TEST IDEALS

In this section, we quickly review test ideals of Hara and Yoshida, and multiplier ideals.

Definition 4.1 ([4]). Let R be a Noetherian domain of characteristic $p > 0$, \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal and t be a positive real number. For an ideal I of R , we define the \mathfrak{a}^t -tight closure $I^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ of I as follows: $z \in I^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ if and only if there exist $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil tq \rceil} \subseteq I^{\lceil q \rceil}$ for all $q = p^e \gg 0$.

Definition 4.2 ([4]). Let R be a Noetherian domain of characteristic $p > 0$, \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal and t be a positive real number. Suppose that M is an R -module and $F : R \rightarrow R$ is the Frobenius morphism. Then z lies in the \mathfrak{a}^t -tight closure $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ of 0 in M if there exists an element $c \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $ca \otimes z = 0 \in F_*^e R \otimes M$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil tq \rceil}$, for all $q = p^e \gg 0$.

Definition 4.3 ([4]). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be an excellent local domain of characteristic $p > 0$, $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ be the injective hull of the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} , \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal and t be a positive real number. Then we define the *test ideal* $\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ of (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) by

$$\tau(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \bigcap_{M \subseteq E} \text{Ann}_R(0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}), \quad (12)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E .

Definition 4.4 ([8, Definition 9.3.60]). Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein variety over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X and t be a positive real number. Take a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-Z)$. Then the *multiplier ideal* $\mathcal{J}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ of a pair (X, \mathfrak{a}^t) is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil K_Y - \mu^* K_X - tZ \rceil). \quad (13)$$

If $X = \text{Spec } R$, we also denote $\mathcal{J}(\text{Spec } R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ by $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$.

Definition 4.5. Let X be a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein variety over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X and t be a positive real number. (X, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be *Kawamata log terminal* if the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(X, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ is trivial. If $X = \text{Spec } R$, then R is said to be *Kawamata log terminal*.

Theorem 4.6. *Let R be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} and let \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal of R and t be a positive real number. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)_p = \tau(R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t) \quad (14)$$

for almost all p .

Proof. Suppose that S is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein finitely generated \mathbb{C} -domain and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of S such that $R \cong S_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let \mathfrak{b} be $\mathfrak{a} \cap S$ and let (Z, S_Z) be a model of S , and μ be a maximal ideal of Z and S_{μ} be a reduction to characteristic $p > 0$, $S_Z \otimes_Z \kappa(\mu)$. Let \mathfrak{b}_{μ} be the reduction of \mathfrak{b} . Then R_p is a localization of $S_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ for some μ . Since multiplier ideals commute with localizations and test ideals also commute with localizations,

$$\mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mathcal{J}(S, \mathfrak{b}^t)R, \tau(R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t) = \tau(S_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}, (\mathfrak{b}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}})^t)R_p \quad (15)$$

By arguments similar to [17, Lemma 1.5].

$$\tau(S_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}, (\mathfrak{b}_{\mu} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}})^t) = \tau(S_{\mu}, \mathfrak{b}_{\mu}^t) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} \quad (16)$$

By [4, Theorem 6.8], reduction to characteristic $p \gg 0$, $\mathcal{J}(S, \mathfrak{b}^t)_{\mu} = \tau(S_{\mu}, \mathfrak{b}_{\mu}^t)$. In conclusion, $\tau(R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t)$ gives an approximation of $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$. \square

5. ULTRA-TEST IDEAL

In this section, we will consider a pair (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) where R is a normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero ideal of R and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Notation 5.1. (i) We denote $\text{ulim } \mathbb{N}$ the ultraproduct of countably many copies of \mathbb{N} by ${}^*\mathbb{N}$. This is called the set of non-standard natural number in the non-standard analysis.
(ii) For $\varepsilon = \text{ulim } e_p \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we denote $\text{ulim}[te_p]$ by $\lceil t\varepsilon \rceil$.
(iii) For $\varepsilon = \text{ulim } e_p \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, we denote $\text{ulim } p^{e_p}$ by π^ε .
(iv) For $\varepsilon = \text{ulim } e_p \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , we define a non-standard power of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}^\varepsilon = \text{ulim } \mathfrak{a}_p^{e_p} \subseteq R_\infty$.

Definition 5.2. (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be a *pair* if R is a local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero ideal of R and t is a positive real number. A pair (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be a *\mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair* if R is normal \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein. A pair (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be a *quasi-Gorenstein pair* if R is normal quasi-Gorenstein.

Definition 5.3. For $\varepsilon = \text{ulim } e_p \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, we define an *ultra-Frobenius* $F^\varepsilon : R \rightarrow R_\infty$ as the map sending an element x of R to an element $\text{ulim } x_p^{e_p}$. We denote R_∞ viewed as an R -module via F^ε by $F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty$.

Definition 5.4 (ultra-tight closure of zero). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a pair. We define the *ultra-tight closure* $\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M$ of zero by

$$\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M := \bigcup_{c \in R^\circ} \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\varepsilon \rceil}} \text{Ker}(M \rightarrow F^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R M; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta) \quad (17)$$

where R° is $R \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 5.5 (ultra-test ideal). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a pair and \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R . We define the *ultra-test ideal* $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ of a pair (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) by

$$\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) := \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_E \quad (18)$$

where $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is the injective hull of the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} .

Definition 5.6 (Ultra- F -regular). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be *ultra- F -regular* if $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = R$.

Definition 5.7. A ring extension $R \hookrightarrow S$ is said to be *pure* if for any R -module M , $M \rightarrow S \otimes_R M$ is injective.

Lemma 5.8. *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is an ultra- F -regular pair.
- (ii) For all $c \in R^\circ$, there exist $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\varepsilon \rceil}$ such that

$$E \rightarrow F^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta \quad (19)$$

is injective.

(iii) For all $c \in R^\circ$, there exist $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}$ such that

$$R \rightarrow F^\varepsilon R_\infty; x \mapsto caF^\varepsilon(x) \quad (20)$$

is pure.

Proof. The condition that (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) is an ultra- F -regular pair is equivalent to the following by definition.

$$0 = \bigcup_{c \in R^\circ} \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}} \text{Ker}(E \rightarrow F^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta) \quad (21)$$

Hence, the second condition is equivalent to the first. Let M, N be R -modules and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. Then, $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is pure if and only if $\varphi \otimes E : M \otimes E \rightarrow N \otimes E$ is injective. Therefore, the third condition is equivalent to the second. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let R be a local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) (R, R^t) is an ultra- F -regular pair.
- (ii) For all $c \in R^\circ$, there exists $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ such that $R \rightarrow F^\varepsilon R_\infty; x \mapsto cF^\varepsilon(x)$

The above conditions are equivalent to the ultra- F -regularity of R in [12, Definition 3.3].

Proof. This follows from the above lemma. \square

Definition 5.10 (finitistic ultra-test ideal). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair.

$$\tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) := \bigcap_M \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M, \quad (22)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -modules.

Proposition 5.11. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then*

$$\tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \bigcap_M \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M, \quad (23)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E .

Proof. $\tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \bigcap_{M \subset E} \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M$, where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E , is clear. We need to prove the reverse inclusion. If

$$a \in \bigcap_{M \subset E} \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M, \quad (24)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E , but $a \notin \tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$, then there exist a finitely generated R -module such that $a \notin \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M$. Hence there exists $x \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M$ such that $ax \neq 0$. Then there exists n such that $ax \notin \mathfrak{m}^n M$. Let

$$N := M/\mathfrak{m}^n M, \bar{x} := x + \mathfrak{m}^n M, \quad (25)$$

then $\bar{x} \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_N$. Since $\text{Ass } N = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \hookrightarrow E^{\oplus l}$. Therefore, $a\bar{x} = 0$, and this is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 5.12. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$*

Proof. If $M \subset E$, then $\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M \subset \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_E$. Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 5.13. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair and let J be an ideal of R which has finite order in the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R)$. Then $\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_{\text{H}_m^d(J)} = \text{cl}_{\text{H}_m^d(J)}^{\mathfrak{a}^t \text{fg}}(0)$, where*

$$\text{cl}_{\text{H}_m^d(J)}^{\mathfrak{a}^t \text{fg}}(0) = \bigcup_M \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M, \quad (26)$$

. where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E .

Proof. Take $x_1 \in R$ such that $J^{(r)} = (x_1)$ and let $U = R \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } R/J} \mathfrak{p}$. Then there exists an element $a \in J$ such that $JU^{-1}R = aU^{-1}R$ and there exists $x_2 \in U$ such that $x_2J \subseteq (a)R$. Take x_1, \dots, x_d to be a system of parameters of R . Then x_{1p}, \dots, x_{dp} has the same property for J_p and U_p . Let H be $\text{H}_m^d(J)$ and let H_p be $\text{H}_{m_p}^d(J_p)$. Then $H \cong \varinjlim_s R/(x_1^s J, x_2^s, \dots, x_d^s)$ and $H_p \cong \varinjlim_s R_p/(x_{1p}^s J_p, x_{2p}^s, \dots, x_{dp}^s)$. For $\eta \in H$,

$$\eta = [z + (x_1^s J, \dots, x_d^s)], \quad (27)$$

we define the approximation of η by

$$\eta_p = [z_p + (x_{1p}^s J_p, \dots, x_{dp}^s)]. \quad (28)$$

If $\eta \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_H$ such that $\eta = [z + (x_1^s J, \dots, x_d^s)]$, using the proof by contradiction, $\eta_p \in 0_{H_p}^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ for almost all p . $\eta_p = [z_p + (x_{1p}^s J_p, \dots, x_{dp}^s)]$, then $[z_p] \in 0_{R_p/(x_{1p}^{s+1} J_p, x_{2p}^{s+1}, \dots, x_{dp}^{s+1})}^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ by the proof of [4, Theorem 1.13, Definition-Theorem 6.5]. Hence $\eta \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_{R/(x_1^{s+1} J, x_2^{s+1}, \dots, x_d^{s+1})}$ and $\eta \in \text{cl}_H^{\mathfrak{a}^t \text{fg}}(0)$. \square

Corollary 5.14. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then*

$$\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \bigcap_M \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M = \tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t), \quad (29)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -submodules of E .

Proof. By Proposition 5.11 and Proposition 5.13, the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 5.15. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then $\mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \text{ulim } \tau(R_p, \mathfrak{a}_p^t) \cap R$. Let $\eta \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_E$, then there exist

$$c \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) \cap R^\circ \quad (30)$$

such that for any $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}$, the image of η under the morphism

$$E \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta \quad (31)$$

is zero. By Proposition 5.13, there exists a finitely generated submodule M of E which contains η such that the image of η under the morphism

$$M \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R M; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta \quad (32)$$

is zero. If for almost all p , $\eta_p \notin 0_{M_p}^{*\mathbf{a}_p^t}$, then there exist $e_p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_p \in \mathbf{a}_p^{[tp^{e_p}]}$, the image of η_p under the morphism

$$M_p \rightarrow F_*^{e_p} R_p \otimes_{R_p} M_p; \eta_p \mapsto ca \otimes \eta_p \quad (33)$$

is non-zero. Then we take $\eta := \text{ulim } e_p$, $a := \text{ulim } a_p$, then taking the ultraproduct, the image of η under the morphism

$$M \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R M; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta \quad (34)$$

is non-zero. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\eta_p \in 0_{M_p}^{*\mathbf{a}_p^t}$ for almost all p . Then $x_p \eta_p = 0$ for almost all p and $x\eta = 0$. In conclusion, $x \in \tau_u(R, \mathbf{a}^t)$. \square

Corollary 5.16. *If (R, \mathbf{a}^t) is a Kawamata log terminal pair, then (R, \mathbf{a}^t) is ultra- F -regular.*

Lemma 5.17. *Let R, S be local rings essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} such that S is a finite extension of R , and let \mathbf{a} be an ideal of R . Then $\mathbf{a}^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]} S_\infty = (\mathbf{a}S)^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]}$.*

Proof. $\mathbf{a}^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]} S_\infty \subseteq (\mathbf{a}S)^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]}$ is clear. To prove the reverse inclusion, let ζ_1, \dots, ζ_l be a system of generators of S as an R -module. Take $a \in (\mathbf{a}S)^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]}$. Then for almost all p , $a_p \in \mathbf{a}_p^{[tp^{e_p}]} S_p$. Therefore, there exist $a_{ip} \in \mathbf{a}_p^{[tp^{e_p}]}$ such that $a_p = \sum_i a_{ip} \zeta_{ip}$. Hence, $a = \sum_i (\text{ulim } a_{ip}) \zeta_i \in \mathbf{a}^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]} S_\infty$. \square

Theorem 5.18. *Let (R, \mathbf{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Assume that S is the canonical cover of R . Then*

$$\tau_u(R, \mathbf{a}^t) = \tau_u(S, (\mathbf{a}S)^t) \cap R. \quad (35)$$

Proof. First, we prove $\tau_u(R, \mathbf{a}^t) \supseteq \tau_u(S, (\mathbf{a}S)^t) \cap R$. Since $R \hookrightarrow S$ is étale in codimension one, the support of the kernel and the cokernel of $\omega_R \otimes_R S \rightarrow \omega_S$ has codimension at least two. Hence,

$$E_R \otimes_R S \cong H_n^d(\omega_R \otimes_R S) \cong H_n^d(\omega_S) \cong E_S \quad (36)$$

Since $R \hookrightarrow S$ is étale in codimension one, $F_*^{e_p} R_p \otimes S_p \cong F_*^{e_p} S_p$ for almost all p . Hence for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R S \cong F_*^\varepsilon S_\infty$. We get the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_R & \longrightarrow & E_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E_R & \longrightarrow & F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E_S \end{array} \quad (37)$$

and we have $F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R E_R \cong F_*^\varepsilon S_\infty \otimes_S E_S$. Using these and $\mathbf{a}^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]} S_\infty = (\mathbf{a}S)^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]}$, it follows that $\text{cl}_u^{\mathbf{a}^t}(0)_{E_R} \subseteq \text{cl}_u^{(\mathbf{a}S)^t}(0)_{E_S}$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, take $\eta \in E_S$. E_S has a direct sum decomposition $\bigoplus_i (\omega_R^{(i)} \otimes_R E_R)$. Write $\eta = \eta_0 + \dots + \eta_{r-1}$ where $\eta_i \in \omega_R^{(i)} \otimes_R E_R$. There exist $c \in R^\circ$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in (\mathbf{a}S)^{[t\pi^\varepsilon]}$ the image of η under the morphism

$$E_S \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon S_\infty \otimes_S E_S; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta \quad (38)$$

is zero. Since $R \hookrightarrow S$ is finite, there exists $b \in R$ such that b is a multiple of c . Hence, $\eta_i \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathbf{a}^t}(0)_{\omega_R^{(i)} \otimes_R E_R}$. If $x \in \tau_u(R, \mathbf{a}^t) = \tau_{\text{fu}}(R, \mathbf{a}^t)$, since $\eta_i \in \text{cl}_{\omega_R^{(i)} \otimes_R E_R}^{\mathbf{a}^t \text{fg}}(0)$ by Proposition 5.13, $x\eta_i = 0$. Therefore, $x \in \tau_u(S, (\mathbf{a}S)^t) \cap R$. \square

Definition 5.19 (ultra-tight closure). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a pair. We define the *ultra-tight closure* $\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$ of I as follows: $x \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$ if and only if there exists $c \in R^\circ$ such that for any

$$\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}, a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}, \quad (39)$$

we have

$$caF^\varepsilon(x) \in F^\varepsilon(I)R_\infty. \quad (40)$$

Remark 5.20. For $x \in R$, $x \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$ if and only if $\bar{x} \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_{R/I}$, where \bar{x} is the equivalence class of x in R/I . Therefore, $I : (\text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)) = \text{Ann}_R \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_{R/I}$.

Definition 5.21 ([11, Definition 5.2]). Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a pair. The *generic tight closure* $\text{cl}_{\text{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$ of I is defined by

$$\text{cl}_{\text{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I) = (\text{ulim } I_p^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}) \cap R. \quad (41)$$

Proposition 5.22. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then $\text{cl}_{\text{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I) \subseteq \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$.*

Proof. Take $x \in \text{cl}_{\text{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}$ and $c \in \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$. For almost all p , for any $e_p \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_p \in \mathfrak{a}_p^{\lceil tpe_p \rceil}$ $c_p a_p F^{e_p}(x) \in F^{e_p}(I_p)R_p$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ and for any $a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}$, we have $caF^\varepsilon(x) \in F^\varepsilon(I)R_\infty$. It follows that $x \in \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$. \square

Theorem 5.23. *Let R be a normal quasi-Gorenstein local domain of characteristic $p > 0$ and let \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero ideal of R , $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Assume that I is an ideal of R generated by a system of parameters. Then $I^{*\mathfrak{a}^t} = I : \tau(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$.*

Proof. The proof is same as the Gorenstein case proved in [7]. \square

Theorem 5.24. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair.*

$$\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \bigcap_I I : \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I) \subseteq \bigcap_I I : \text{cl}_{\text{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I), \quad (42)$$

where I runs through all parameter ideals of R .

Proof. By Corollary 5.14 and Remark 5.20, $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \bigcap_I I : \text{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(I)$, where I runs through all ideals of R . By Proposition 5.22, the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 5.25. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a quasi-Gorenstein pair. Then $\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$.*

Proof. We will use similar arguments as in [13, Theorem 6.2]. Let $X \rightarrow Y := \text{Spec } R$ be a log resolution of \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is invertible, and set $W = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$. Considering approximations, we have the corresponding morphisms $X_p \rightarrow Y_p := \text{Spec } R_p$, invertible sheaves $\mathfrak{a}_p\mathcal{O}_{X_p} = \mathcal{O}_{X_p}(-Z_p)$ and closed fibers $W_p = f_p^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$. Then we have

the following commutative diagram. (Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(tZ) := \mathcal{O}_X([tZ])$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathrm{H}_m^d(R) & & \\
& & \downarrow \gamma & \searrow \delta & \\
\mathrm{H}^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(tZ)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{d-1}(X - W, \mathcal{O}_X(tZ)|_{X-W}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{W_p}^d(\mathcal{O}_X(tZ)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow u^{d-1} & & \\
\mathrm{UH}^{d-1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(tZ)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_\infty^{d-1}} & \mathrm{UH}^{d-1}(X - W, \mathcal{O}_X(tZ)|_{X-W}) & &
\end{array} \tag{43}$$

Similarly, we have the following.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathrm{H}_{m_p}^d(R_p) & & \\
& & \downarrow \gamma_p & \searrow \delta_p & \\
\mathrm{H}^{d-1}(X_p, \mathcal{O}_{X_p}(tZ_p)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_p^{d-1}} & \mathrm{H}^{d-1}(X_p - W_p, \mathcal{O}_{X_p}(tZ_p)|_{X_p - W_p}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{W_p}^d(\mathcal{O}_{X_p}(tZ_p)) \\
& & & & \\
& & & &
\end{array} \tag{44}$$

Assume $\eta \in \mathrm{Ker} \delta$. Then $u^{d-1} \circ \gamma(\eta) \in \mathrm{Im} \rho_\infty^{d-1}$. Therefore, $\gamma_p(\eta_p) \in \mathrm{Im} \rho_p^{d-1}$ for almost all p . Hence $\eta_p \in \mathrm{Ker} \delta_p$ for almost all p . By the proof of [4, Theorem 6.9],

$$0_{\mathrm{H}_{m_p}^d(R_p)}^{*\mathfrak{a}_p^t} \supseteq \mathrm{Ker} \delta_p. \tag{45}$$

Let $\eta = [\frac{a}{y}] \in \mathrm{Ker} \delta$, where y is the product of a system of parameters \mathbf{x} . Then $a_p \in (\mathbf{x}_p R_p)^{*\mathfrak{a}_p^t}$ for almost all p . It follows that $a \in \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(\mathbf{x}R)$. If $b \in \mathbf{x}R : \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(\mathbf{x}R)$, then $b\eta = 0$. Therefore,

$$\bigcap_n (\mathbf{x}^n R : \mathrm{cl}_{\mathrm{gen}}^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(\mathbf{x}^n R)) \subseteq \mathrm{Ann} \mathrm{Ker} \delta = \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t). \tag{46}$$

By Theorem 5.24, the conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 5.26. *Let (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) be a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein pair. Then*

$$\tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t). \tag{47}$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.18, Theorem 5.25. \square

The following theorem is a non-standard analytic analog of [4, Proposition 1.12].

Theorem 5.27. *Let R, S be local domains essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , let \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero principal ideal of R and let $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Assume $R \hookrightarrow S$ is a pure ring extension. Then*

$$\tau_u(S, (\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R \subseteq \tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t). \tag{48}$$

Proof. Let M be a finite R -module. For

$$c \in R^\circ, \varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}, a \in R_\infty, K_{c,\varepsilon,a} := \mathrm{Ker}(M \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon R_\infty \otimes_R M; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta). \tag{49}$$

Similarly, for

$$c \in S^\circ, \varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}, a \in S_\infty, L_{c,\varepsilon,a} = \mathrm{Ker}(S \otimes_R M \rightarrow F_*^\varepsilon S_\infty \otimes_S S \otimes_R M; \eta \mapsto ca \otimes \eta). \tag{50}$$

If $c \in R^\circ, \varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}, a \in R_\infty$, we have an injection $K_{c,\varepsilon,a} \hookrightarrow L_{c,\varepsilon,a}$ via the injection $M \hookrightarrow S \otimes_R M$. Since \mathfrak{a} is principal, $\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil} S_\infty = (\mathfrak{a}S)^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}$, we have

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}} K_{c,\varepsilon,a} \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in (\mathfrak{a}S)^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}} L_{c,\varepsilon,a}. \quad (51)$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M = \bigcup_{c \in R^\circ} \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}} K_{c,\varepsilon,a} \hookrightarrow \bigcup_{c \in S^\circ} \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in {}^*\mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{a \in (\mathfrak{a}S)^{\lceil t\pi^\varepsilon \rceil}} L_{c,\varepsilon,a} = \mathrm{cl}_u^{(\mathfrak{a}S)^t}(0)_{S \otimes_R M}. \quad (52)$$

Therefore,

$$\tau_u(S, (\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_S(\mathrm{cl}_u^{(\mathfrak{a}S)^t}(0)_{S \otimes_R M}) \cap R \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_R(\mathrm{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M). \quad (53)$$

By Corollary 5.14,

$$\tau_u(S, (\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R \subseteq \bigcap_M \mathrm{Ann}_R(\mathrm{cl}_u^{\mathfrak{a}^t}(0)_M) = \tau_u(R, \mathfrak{a}^t), \quad (54)$$

where M runs through all finitely generated R -modules. \square

Definition 5.28 ([8, Definition 9.3.60]). Assume that X is a normal variety over \mathbb{C} , Δ is a \mathbb{Q} -Weil divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor, \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero ideal sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X and t is a positive real number. Take a log resolution $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ of \mathfrak{a} such that $\mathfrak{a}\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-Z)$. Then the *multiplier ideal* $\mathcal{J}((X, \Delta), \mathfrak{a}^t)$ of $((X, \Delta), \mathfrak{a}^t)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}((X, \Delta), \mathfrak{a}^t) = \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil K_Y - \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) - tZ \rceil). \quad (55)$$

Definition 5.29 ([1]). If S is a (not necessarily \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein) normal local domain essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero ideal of S and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the *multiplier ideal* of (S, \mathfrak{a}^t) is defined as the following.

$$\mathcal{J}(S, \mathfrak{a}^t) := \sum_{\Delta} \mathcal{J}((\mathrm{Spec} S, \Delta), \mathfrak{a}^t), \quad (56)$$

where Δ runs through all effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. If this multiplier ideal is trivial, then (S, \mathfrak{a}^t) is said to be *Kawamata log terminal in the sense of de Fernex-Hacon*.

Corollary 5.30. *Let R, S be normal local domains essentially of finite type over \mathbb{C} , let \mathfrak{a} be a non-zero principal ideal of R and let $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Assume R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein and $R \hookrightarrow S$ is a pure ring extension. Then $\mathcal{J}(S, (\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R \subseteq \mathcal{J}(R, \mathfrak{a}^t)$. In particular if S is Kawamata log terminal in the sense of de Fernex-Hacon, so does R .*

Proof. Even if S is not \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, $\mathcal{J}(S, \mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \tau_u(S, \mathfrak{a}^t)$ by the same argument as in Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 5.15. Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.27. \square

Remark 5.31. We cannot use the usual reduction argument to conclude Corollary 5.30 because purity is not necessarily preserved under reduction modulo $p > 0$. For example, you can take $t = 2, m = n = 3$ in Theorem 1.1 (a) in [6].

Question 5.32. Can we prove Corollary 5.30 without using ultraproducts?

Remark 5.33. Let R and S be as in Corollary 5.30. Quite recently Zhuang [18] proved that if S is Kawamata log terminal in the sense of de Fernex-Hacon, then so is R , without assuming that R is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein.

Data Availability Statement. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. de Fernex, D. Hacon, Singularities on normal varieties, *Compos. Math.* 145 (2009), no. 2, 393–414.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique : IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, Troisième partie. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, Tome 28, pp. 5–255 (1966).
- [3] N. Hara, A characterization of rational singularities in terms of injectivity of Frobenius maps, *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998), 981–996.
- [4] N. Hara and K. Yoshida, A generalization of tight closure and multiplier ideals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 355 (2003), 3143–3174.
- [5] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, Tight closure, invariant theory, and the Briançon-Skoda theorem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3(1990), 31–116.
- [6] M. Hochster, J. Jeffries, V. Pandey, A. K. Singh, When are the natural embeddings of classical invariant rings pure?, [arXiv:2210.09351](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.09351), preprint (2022).
- [7] C. Huneke, Tight Closure, Parameter Ideals, and Geometry. (1998) In: Elias J., Giral J.M., Miró-Roig R.M., Zarzuela S. (eds) *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra*. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [8] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry, II: Positivity for Vector Bundles, and Multiplier Ideals, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, vol. 49. Springer, Berlin, (2004).
- [9] J. Łoś, Quelques remarques, théorèmes et problèmes sur les classes définissables d’algèbres, pp. 98–113 in *Mathematical Interpretations of Formal Systems*, North-Holland (1955).
- [10] V. Mehta, V. Srinivas, A characterization of rational singularities, *Asian J. Math.* 1 (1997), no. 2, 249–271.
- [11] H. Schoutens, Non-standard tight closure for affine \mathbb{C} -algebras. *Manuscripta Math.* 111, 379–412 (2003).
- [12] H. Schoutens, Log-terminal singularities and vanishing theorems via non-standard tight closure. *J. Alg. Geom.* 14, 357–390 (2005).
- [13] H. Schoutens, Pure subrings of regular rings are pseudo-rational. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 360, 609–627 (2008).
- [14] H. Schoutens, Use of ultraproducts in commutative algebra. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1999, Springer (2010).
- [15] T. Skolem, Über die Nicht-Charakterisierbarkeit der Zahlenreihe mittels endlich oder abzählbar unendlich vieler Aussagen mit ausschliesslich Zahlenvariablen, *Fund. Math.* 23 (1934), 150–161.
- [16] K. Smith, F-rational rings have rational singularities, *Amer. J. Math.* 119 (1997), no. 1, 159–180.
- [17] V. Srinivas, S. Takagi., Nilpotence of Frobenius action and the Hodge filtration on local cohomology. *Advances in Mathematics* 305 (2017), 456–478.
- [18] Z. Zhuang, Direct summands of klt singularities, [arXiv:2208.12418](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.12418), preprint (2022).

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

Email address: tyama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp