

Weighted Sum Secrecy Rate Maximization for RIS-Assisted Full Duplex systems

Pengxin Guan, Yiru Wang and Yuping Zhao

Abstract—This letter considers the secure communication in a reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) aided full duplex (FD) system. A FD base station (BS) serves an uplink (UL) user and a downlink (DL) user simultaneously over the same time-frequency dimension assisted by a RIS in the presence of an eavesdropper. In addition, the BS transmits artificial noise (AN) to interfere the eavesdropper's channel. We aim to maximize the weighted sum secrecy rate of UL and DL users by jointly optimizing the transmit beamforming, receive beamforming and AN covariance matrix at the BS, and passive beamforming at the RIS. To handle the non-convex problem, we decompose it into tractable subproblems and propose an efficient algorithm based on alternating optimization framework. Specifically, the receive beamforming is derived as a closed-form solution while other variables are obtained by using semidefinite relaxation (SDR) method and successive convex approximation (SCA) algorithm. Simulation results demonstrate the superior performance of our proposed scheme compared to other baseline schemes.

Index Terms—RIS, full-duplex, secure communication, alternating optimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY, reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) has become a promising technology for 6G due to its low cost and low power consumption [1]. In general, an RIS consists of a large number of passive reflective elements, and the channel can be changed by adjusting the phase shift of the elements appropriately, which is also called passive beamforming.

Due to the open nature of wireless channels, secure communication is an interesting topic. By designing the passive beamforming at the RIS, the signal power can be enhanced at legitimate receivers and attenuated at eavesdropper. Hence, the RISs have been widely used to enhance the secure communications performance.

However, the literature mentioned above only studied the half-duplex (HD) secure communications systems, which cause the spectral efficiency (SE) loss. The full-duplex (FD) technology enables signal transmission and reception over the same time-frequency dimension and thus can double the SE theoretically compared with HD [2], [3]. The RIS assisted FD systems have gained some researches and attention. However, the secure communication in RIS-assisted FD systems has not been well studied. The authors in [4] used the deep reinforcement learning to maximize the sum secrecy rate in RIS-aided FD systems. However, the artificial noise has been shown to enhance system security, which is not considered in this paper.

Based on the above motivations, we consider a RIS aided FD system, where a FD base station (BS) communicates with

an uplink (UL) user and a downlink (DL) user simultaneously assisted by a RIS in the presence of a eavesdropper. We aim to maximize the weighted sum secrecy rate of UL and DL users by jointly optimizing the transmit beamforming, receive beamforming and AN covariance matrix at the BS, and passive beamforming at the RIS. The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We formulate a weighted sum secrecy rate problem, subjected to the maximum transmit power of the BS and the constant modulus constraints of RIS elements.
- We decompose the non-convex problem into tractable subproblems and propose an efficient algorithm based on alternating optimization framework.
- Simulation results show the benefits of our proposed scheme compared with other benchmark schemes.

Notation: $|x|$ denote the absolute value of a scalar x . $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{X})$, \mathbf{X}^T , \mathbf{X}^H , $\lambda(\mathbf{X})$, and $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the trace, transpose, conjugate transpose, maximum eigenvalue, and rank of the matrix \mathbf{X} , respectively. $\text{Diag}(\mathbf{x})$ is a diagonal matrix with the entries of \mathbf{x} on its main diagonal. $\text{diag}(\mathbf{X})$ is a vector whose elements are extracted from the main diagonal elements of matrix \mathbf{X} . $[\mathbf{x}]_m$ is the m -th element of vector \mathbf{x} . $\mathbf{X} \geq \mathbf{0}$ denotes that matrix \mathbf{X} is positive semidefinite. $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ denotes the space of $m \times n$ complex matrices.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model Description

We consider a FD BS serves an UL user and a DL aided by a RIS. The BS is equipped with N_t transmit antennas and N_r receive antennas, and both UL and DL users are equipped with a single antenna. We assume that there is a single antenna eavesdropper Eve in the system. The RIS (I), has M passive elements.

The transmit signal at the BS can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{w}_t s_D + \mathbf{v}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{w}_t \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times 1}$ is the transmit beamforming at the BS and s_D is the data symbol with normalized power for the DL user. In addition, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times 1} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{V})$ is the AN and \mathbf{V} is the corresponding covariance matrix.

Denote $\mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_t}$, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times M}$, $\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times M}$, $\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times M}$, $\mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N_t}$, $\mathbf{h}_{\text{BD}}^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N_t}$, $h_{\text{UE}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $h_{\text{UD}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times 1}$ as the channel between the BS and the RIS, between the RIS and the BS, between the RIS and the DL user, between the RIS and the Eve, between the BS and the Eve, between the BS and the DL user, between the UL user and the Eve, between

the UL user and the DL user and between the UL user and the BS, respectively. $\mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_r \times N_t}$ represents the residual SI channel at the BS. In addition, $\mathbf{\Theta} = \text{diag}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_M\}$ is the phase-shift matrix of the RIS and $\phi_m = e^{j\theta_m}$, where $\theta_m \in [0, 2\pi)$ denotes the phase-shift of the m -th reflecting element.

Then, the signal received at the BS can be given by

$$\mathbf{y}_U = \mathbf{w}_R^H (\mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}}) \sqrt{P_U} s_U + \mathbf{w}_R^H (\mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}_B), \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{w}_R^H is the receive beamforming at the BS, s_U is the transmitted data symbol of the UL user and \mathbf{n}_B is the noise.

The received signal at the DL user and Eve can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_D = (\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BD}}^H) \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UD}}) \sqrt{P_U} s_U + n_D, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mathbf{y}_E = (\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UE}}) \sqrt{P_U} s_U + (\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H) \mathbf{x} + n_E. \quad (4)$$

Further, the SINR of the UL and DL are derived as

$$\gamma_U = \frac{P_U |\mathbf{w}_R^H (\mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}})|^2}{|\mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} \mathbf{w}_t|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{n}_B|^2}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$\gamma_D = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BD}}^H|^2}{P_U |\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UD}}|^2 + |(\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BD}}^H) \mathbf{v}|^2 + \sigma_D^2}. \quad (6)$$

We assume the EVE decodes the UL and DL symbol separately. Then, the corresponding SINRs of the downlink and uplink signals at the EVE are

$$\gamma_D^E = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H|^2}{P_U |\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UE}}|^2 + |(\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H) \mathbf{v}|^2 + \sigma_D^2}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\gamma_U^E = \frac{P_U |\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UE}}|^2}{P_U |\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UE}}|^2 + |(\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H) \mathbf{v}|^2 + \sigma_D^2}. \quad (8)$$

The secure rate can be given as

$$R_i = [0, \log_2(1 + \gamma_i) - \log_2(1 + \gamma_i^E)]^+, i = \{U, D\}. \quad (9)$$

B. Problem Formulation

In this letter, we aim to maximize the weighted sum secrecy rate (WSSR) by jointly optimizing the transmit beamforming, receive beamforming and AN covariance matrix at the BS, and passive beamforming at the RIS. Mathematically, the optimization problem is formulated as

$$\mathcal{P}1: \max_{\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_R, \mathbf{\Theta}, \mathbf{V}} \sum_{i \in \{U, D\}} w_i R_i \quad (10a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \text{Tr}(\mathbf{w}_t \mathbf{w}_t^H + \mathbf{V}) \leq P_{\text{max}}, \quad (10b)$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}_R\|^2 = 1, \quad (10c)$$

$$|\phi_m| = 1, m = 1, \dots, M, \quad (10d)$$

where $w_i, i = \{U, D\}$ is the corresponding secrecy rate weight. Note that the objective function of $\mathcal{P}1$ is non-convex. Hence, we apply alternate optimization to solve the problem.

III. WEIGHTED SUM SECRECY RATE MAXIMIZATION ALGORITHM DESIGN

In this section, we decouple the problem $\mathcal{P}1$ into three subproblems and solve them iteratively.

A. Receive Beamforming Optimization

Note that the receive beamforming is only related to the UL rate, so the problem $\mathcal{P}1$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{P}2: \max_{\mathbf{w}_R} \gamma_U \quad (11a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{w}_R\|^2 = 1. \quad (11b)$$

The problem $\mathcal{P}2$ is a generalized eigenvalue problem and then the optimal \mathbf{w}_R can be given as

$$\mathbf{w}_R^* = \frac{[\mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} (\mathbf{W}_t + \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}}^H + \sigma_U^2]^{-1} (\mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}})}{\|[\mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}} (\mathbf{W}_t + \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}}^H + \sigma_U^2]^{-1} (\mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} + \mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}})\|}, \quad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{W}_t = \mathbf{w}_t \mathbf{w}_t^H$.

B. Transmit Beamforming and AN Covariance Matrix Optimization

To facilitate formulation, we define $\mathbf{h}_1 = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{H}_{\text{IB}} \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} \\ \mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{h}_{\text{UB}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1 \mathbf{h}_1^H$, $\mathbf{h}_2 = \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}}^H \mathbf{w}_R \mathbf{w}_R^H \mathbf{H}_{\text{BB}}$, $\mathbf{h}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H) \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\text{BD}}^H \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{h}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{ID}}^H) \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\text{UD}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{H}_4 = \mathbf{h}_4 \mathbf{h}_4^H$, $\mathbf{h}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H) \mathbf{H}_{\text{BI}} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\text{BE}}^H \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{h}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_{\text{IE}}^H) \mathbf{h}_{\text{UI}} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\text{UE}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{H}_6 = \mathbf{h}_6 \mathbf{h}_6^H$.

Then, after some intuitive operations, we can formulate transmit beamforming and AN covariance matrix optimization problem as

$$\mathcal{P}3: \max_{\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}} F(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) - G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) \quad (13a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}_t + \mathbf{V}) \leq P_{\text{max}}, \quad (13b)$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{W}_t) = 1. \quad (13c)$$

where both $F(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V})$ and $G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V})$ are concave functions of \mathbf{W}_t and \mathbf{V} . Note that the problem $\mathcal{P}3$ is difficult to solve due to the non-convex objective function and rank-one constraint. However, the objective function of problem $\mathcal{P}3$ is a difference-of-concave (DC) function. Hence, we can utilize the first-order Taylor approximation at local point in the n -th iteration to approximate it, which can be written as follow

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) &\leq G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V} | \mathbf{W}_t^{(n)}, \mathbf{V}^{(n)}) \\ &= G(\mathbf{W}_t^{(n)}, \mathbf{V}^{(n)}) + \text{Tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{W}_t}^H G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) (\mathbf{W}_t - \mathbf{W}_t^{(n)})) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}^H G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{V}^{(n)})). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that $G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V} | \mathbf{W}_t^{(n)}, \mathbf{V}^{(n)})$ is a global upper bound of $G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V})$. By dropping the non-convex constraint and applying the SDR method, we can solve the following problem iteratively until convergence.

$$\mathcal{P}4: \max_{\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}} F(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V}) - G(\mathbf{W}_t, \mathbf{V} | \mathbf{W}_t^{(n)}, \mathbf{V}^{(n)}) \quad (15a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \text{Tr}(\mathbf{W}_t + \mathbf{V}) \leq P_{\text{max}}, \quad (15b)$$

Problem $\mathcal{P}4$ is a convex optimization problem, and thus CVX can be used to obtain the optimal solution. After obtaining the \mathbf{W}_t , the eigenvalue decomposition or Gaussian randomization will be applied to recover the \mathbf{w}_t .

C. Passive Beamforming Optimization

The constant modulus constraint in (10d) is difficult to solve. Hence, we denote $\mathbf{q} = [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N, 1]^H$ and $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^H$. Then the phase-shifts of RIS optimization problem can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{P}5 : \max_{\mathbf{Q}} Y(\mathbf{Q}) - Z(\mathbf{Q}) \quad (16a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } [\mathbf{Q}]_{m,m} = 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M+1, \quad (16b)$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}) = 1, \quad (16c)$$

where both $Y(\mathbf{Q})$ and $Z(\mathbf{Q})$ are concave functions of \mathbf{Q} after some mathematical transformation. Then, similar to the optimization of transmit beamforming and AN, we can apply the DC algorithm to solve it. For the concave function $Z(\mathbf{Q})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{Q}) &\leq Z(\mathbf{Q}|\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}) \\ &= Z(\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}) + \text{Tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}}^H Z(\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}^{(i)})), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}$ is a feasible solution in the i -th iteration. Then, we drop the non-convex constraint and apply the SDR method to solve the following problems.

$$\mathcal{P}5 : \max_{\mathbf{Q}} Y(\mathbf{Q}) - Z(\mathbf{Q}|\mathbf{Q}^{(i)}) \quad (18a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } [\mathbf{Q}]_{m,m} = 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M+1, \quad (18b)$$

After getting the \mathbf{Q}^* , we can apply the eigenvalue decomposition or Gaussian randomization to recover the \mathbf{q}^* . Then, the phase-shifts of RIS can be given as

$$\theta_m = -\arg(\mathbf{q}_m^*/\mathbf{q}_{M+1}^*), \quad m = 1, \dots, M. \quad (19)$$

Then, we can optimize the transmit beamforming, receive beamforming, AN covariance matrix at the BS and phase-shifts at the RIS iteratively until convergence.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, numerical simulations are provided to demonstrate the performance advantages of the proposed algorithm. We assume that the locations of BS, RIS, UL user, DL user and Eve are (0m, 0m), (20m,10m), (60m, 0m), (40m, 5m) and (20m, -5m), respectively. We assume that there are $N_t = N_r = 4$ antennas at the BS. We set $\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_U^2 = \sigma_D^2 = -90\text{dBm}$. The large-scale fading is modelled by $PL(d) = PL_0(d/d_0)^{-\varpi}$, where $PL_0 = -30\text{dB}$ is the path loss at the reference distance $d_0 = 1\text{m}$, d is the distance, and ϖ is the path-loss exponent. The path-loss exponent of the channels related to the RIS is set to 2.5 and that of other channels are set to 4. In addition, we set $w_D = w_U = 1$ and they can be adjusted in practical applications.

The proposed scheme is compared to the following benchmark schemes:

- Without AN: The AN is not applied in the system.
- Without RIS: The RIS is not deployed in the system.




Fig. 1. Performance of the proposed method versus the number of iterations.




Fig. 2. Total transmit power versus the number of RIS elements.

Fig. 1 investigates the convergence performance of the proposed algorithm. From the figure, it can be seen that as the number of iterations continues to increase, the WSSR of system increases to convergence, which verifies the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

Fig. 2 illustrates the the WSSR versus different number of RIS elements. We can find that the WSSR of system increases with the increase of RIS element number in the RIS assisted schemes, revealing the effectiveness of RIS. In addition, the WSSR of proposed algorithm is always better than that of other schemes.

Fig. 3 shows the WSSR of different schemes versus the transmit power of the BS. With the increase of transmit power, the WSSR of all schemes increase. It is worth noting that the proposed algorithm achieves the best performance.

The impact of self-interference coefficient of the BS on the WSSR performance is presented in Fig. 4. The WSSR of the system decreases with the increase of the power of the residual SI signal, which indicates the powerful SIC methods is necessary for FD system.




Fig. 3. Performance of the proposed method versus the maximize transmit power of BS.




Fig. 4. Performance of the proposed method versus the residual SI power.

V. CONCLUSION

This letter studied the WSSR maximization for RIS-aided FD systems and the artificial noise is also considered. We propose an efficient algorithm based on AO to optimize the transmit beamforming, receive beamforming, AN covariance matrix at the BS and phase-shifts at the RIS iteratively. Simulation results show the performance advantages of the proposed algorithm compared with other schemes, which reveal the benefits of combining FD and RIS technologies in terms of security.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Towards smart and reconfigurable environment: Intelligent reflecting surface aided wireless network," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 106–112, Nov. 2020.
- [2] A. Sabharwal *et al.*, "In-band full-duplex wireless: Challenges and opportunities," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1637–1652, Jun. 2014.
- [3] E. Everett, A. Sahai, and A. Sabharwal, "Passive self-interference suppression for full-duplex infrastructure nodes," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 680–694, Jan. 2014.

- [4] Z. Peng *et al.*, "Deep reinforcement learning for ris-aided multiuser full-duplex secure communications with hardware impairments," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, pp. 1–1, 2022.