

# AUTOMORPHISMS OF DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 2 IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

IGOR DOLGACHEV AND GEBHARD MARTIN

ABSTRACT. We find normal forms for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 2. For each normal form, we describe the structure of the group of automorphisms of the surface. In particular, we classify all finite groups that can act on such del Pezzo surfaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We work over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{k}$ . A del Pezzo surface of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{k}$  is a smooth projective surface  $X$  with ample  $-K_X$  and  $d = K_X^2$ . The degree  $d$  can take all possible values between 1 and 9. A del Pezzo surface of degree  $d \geq 3$  is isomorphic to a non-degenerate surface in  $\mathbb{P}^d$  of degree  $d$ . Such surfaces were classified by Pasquale del Pezzo in 1887. Every del Pezzo surface of degree  $d$  not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  can be obtained as the blow-up of the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in  $9 - d$  points in general position. Two sets of points that lead to isomorphic surfaces are related by a Cremona transformation of the projective plane. The theory of minimal models of rational  $G$ -surfaces implies that any finite subgroup of the Cremona group  $\mathrm{Cr}_{\mathbb{k}}(2)$  of birational transformations of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the group  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  of automorphisms of a del Pezzo surface or a surface admitting a structure of a conic bundle. The classification of the automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic zero has been essentially known since the 19th century, and one can find it in many modern sources (e.g. [6]). It was used in [5] to obtain the classification of conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of  $\mathrm{Cr}_{\mathbb{k}}(2)$  in the case when  $\mathbb{k}$  is of characteristic zero.

The extension of this classification to the case of an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic  $p$  is unknown at present. One of the main obstacles is the absence of the classification of finite groups which may act on del Pezzo surfaces in positive characteristic. The classification of such groups is known in the cases  $d = 3, 4$  [8], and it is not difficult to obtain it in the cases  $d \geq 5$ . The present paper deals with the case  $d = 2$  and  $p = \mathrm{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 2$ . We hope to deal with the remaining cases  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2, p > 2$  in future papers.

If  $p \neq 2$ , the anti-canonical linear system on a del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 2 realizes it as a double cover  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  branched over a smooth quartic, thereby reducing the classification of automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces  $X$  of degree 2 to the classification of automorphism groups of smooth plane curves of degree 4. In the exceptional case which we deal with, the morphism  $f$  still turns out to be separable (see Proposition 2.1), but its branch curve is a (possibly reducible or non-reduced) conic and its preimage on the del Pezzo surface is a (possibly reducible or non-reduced) curve  $R$  of arithmetic genus 3 such that  $R_{\mathrm{red}}$  is rational. In Theorem 3.2, we exhibit six normal forms for these del Pezzo surfaces, corresponding to the six possible combinations of singularities of  $R$ .

The group of automorphisms of  $X$  is an extension of a group  $G(X)$  acting on  $R$  by a group of order 2. In Theorem 6.4, we give a complete classification, including explicit normal forms, of all

del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 for which the group  $G(X)$  is non-trivial, and we calculate  $G(X)$  in each case. As a corollary, we obtain the following classification of automorphism groups.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The following is a complete list of finite groups that occur as automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2:*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4, \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3$$

On our way, in Section 4, we study the splitting of lines under the anti-canonical morphism  $f$  and explore the failure of the connection between such “fake bitangents” and odd theta characteristic on the ramification curve  $R$ . However, the new structure of the branch locus of  $f$  in characteristic 2 gives rise to other invariants of  $X$ . For example, since the branch curve of  $f$  is a conic, there is a “strange point”  $P_X \in \mathbb{P}^2$  associated to  $f$ , and the blow-up of  $f^{-1}(P_X)$  is a rational elliptic surface. In Section 5, we study the strange elliptic fibrations that arise in this way. The fact that, for each group that occurs in Theorem 1.1, the associated group  $G(X)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{k}) \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{k})$  can be seen as a consequence of the fact that the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  acts on the associated strange fibration.

## 2. GENERALITIES ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 2

Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree  $d = 2$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{k}$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ . We refer to [4] for the basic facts from the theory of del Pezzo surfaces over fields of any characteristic. It is known that the anti-canonical linear system  $|-K_X|$  has no base points and defines a finite morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree 2.

If  $p \neq 2$ , the map  $f$  is automatically separable and its branch curve is a smooth plane quartic. So any automorphism of  $X$  induces an automorphism of the quartic, and, conversely, any automorphism of the quartic can be lifted to two automorphisms of  $X$  that differ by the deck transformation, classically called the Geiser involution.

If  $p = 2$ , the structure of  $f$ , being a morphism of degree 2, is more complicated. Nevertheless, as a first step, we observe that  $f$  is still always separable.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The anti-canonical linear system  $|-K_X|$  defines a finite separable morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree 2.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is not separable. Then,  $p = 2$  and  $f$  is purely inseparable. Hence,  $f$  is a homeomorphism in the étale topology, which is absurd since  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has rank 8 (because  $X$  is the blow-up of 7 points in the plane), while  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$  has rank 1.  $\square$

Let

$$R(X, -K_X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nK_X))$$

be the graded anti-canonical ring of  $X$ . By the Riemann-Roch Theorem,  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R(X, -K_X)_1 = 3$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} R(X, -K_X)_2 = 7$ . One can show that  $R(X, -K_X)$  is generated by  $R(X, -K_X)_1$  and one element from  $R(X, -K_X)_2$  that does not belong to the symmetric square of  $R(X, -K_X)_1$ . Let  $x, y, z$  be elements of  $R(X, -K_X)_1$  and  $w \in R(X, -K_X)_2$  which together generate  $R(X, -K_X)$ . Then the relation between the generators is of the form

$$w^2 + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are homogeneous forms of degree 2 and 4, respectively. In particular, via equation (1), we can view  $X$  as a surface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ , and the anti-canonical map is the projection of this surface onto the  $x, y, z$ -coordinates.

If  $p \neq 2$ , we can complete the square, get rid of  $A$ , and obtain the standard equation of a del Pezzo surface of degree 2. The curve  $V(B(x, y, z))$  is the smooth plane quartic we mentioned in the introduction. The Geiser involution just negates  $w$ .

In our case, when  $p = 2$ , we cannot get rid of  $A$ , for otherwise the map would become inseparable. Also, the coefficient  $B$  is not uniquely determined, since replacing  $w$  with  $w + Q$  for any quadratic form  $Q$  changes  $B$  to  $B + AQ + Q^2$ , without changing the isomorphism class of the surface. Taking  $Q = A$ , we obtain the analog of the Geiser involution, so we keep the name for this involution.

The non-uniqueness of  $B$  becomes more natural if we take the following different point of view: By [9, Proposition 1.11], the double cover  $f$  is a torsor under a group scheme  $\alpha_{\mathcal{L},s}$  of order 2 over  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , defined by the exact sequence of fppf-sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{L},s} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow 0$$

for some line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  and a global section  $s$ . The homomorphism of sheaves  $\phi$  is locally given by  $a \mapsto a_U^2 + a_U s_U$ , so  $s$  cuts out the branch locus of  $f$ . By [9, Proposition 1.7], we have  $\omega_X \cong f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})$ , hence  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$  and  $s = A$ . The torsor defining  $f$  is defined by a cohomology class in  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha_{\mathcal{L},s})$ . Since  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ , we have

$$H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha_{\mathcal{L},s}) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) / \wp(H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L})),$$

where  $\wp = H^0(\phi)$ . The ternary form  $B$  is a representative of this space, and hence it is defined only up to a transformation of the form  $B \mapsto B + Q^2 + AQ$ , where  $Q$  is a quadratic form in  $x, y, z$ .

By writing the equation of  $X$  locally as  $w_U^2 + a_U w_U + b_U$ , and taking partial derivatives, we see that the differentials  $w_U da_U + db_U$  restricted to  $V(A)$  glue together to define a global section  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}$ . This section vanishes if and only if  $X$  is singular. So, in our case, when  $X$  is assumed to be smooth, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.2.** *In (1), the equations*

$$A = 0, \quad wA_x + B_x = 0, \quad wA_y + B_y = 0, \quad wA_z + B_z = 0$$

*have no common solutions.*

**Remark 2.3.** More generally, Proposition 2.1 shows that  $f$  is separable if  $X$  is a not necessarily smooth canonical del Pezzo surface of Picard rank at least 2. It does not hold in general if the Picard rank is 1. Indeed, for a general homogeneous polynomial  $B$  of degree 4, the hypersurface

$$w^2 + B(x, y, z) = 0$$

in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$  defines a canonical del Pezzo surface of degree 2 with  $\text{length}_{V(\alpha)} = c_2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(4)) = 7$  singularities of type  $A_1$ .

### 3. CANONICAL FORMS

Recall that  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + Aw + B = 0,$$

where  $A$  is a quadratic ternary form and  $B$  is quartic ternary form. We say that  $V(A)$  is the branch curve of the cover, and its preimage  $R = f^{-1}(V(A))$  under the anti-canonical map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  will be called the *ramification curve*.

**Remark 3.1.** We use the notation  $A_{2n}$  for singularities of curves whose formal completion is isomorphic to the unbranched singularity  $y^2 + x^{2n+1} = 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , this is an ordinary cusp singularity. These are exactly the curve singularities that can occur on reduced purely inseparable double covers of smooth curves in characteristic 2. Indeed, complete locally, such a double cover is given by an equation of the form  $y^2 + ux^m$ , where  $u \in k[[x]]$  is a unit. Now, we can apply a substitution of the form  $y \mapsto y + f$  for a suitable power series  $f$  to assume that  $m$  is odd and then replace  $x$  by  $\lambda x$ , where  $\lambda$  is an  $m$ -th root of  $u^{-1}$ , which exists by Hensel's lemma. In other words, the singularity defined by  $y^2 + ux^m$  is of type  $A_{2n}$ , where  $2n + 1$  is the smallest odd power of  $x$  that occurs in  $ux^m$ .

The following theorem gives normal forms for the cover  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ . In total, we obtain six normal forms, corresponding to the six possible combinations of singularities of  $V(A)$  and  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Every del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2 is a quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$  given by an equation of the form*

$$w^2 + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z),$$

where  $(A, B)$  is one of the following:

Name	$A$	$B$	$B_1$	$B_0$	#Parameters
(1)(a)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$\lambda yz(y + z)$	$ay^4 + by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$	6
(1)(b)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$y^2z$	$ay^4 + by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$	5
(1)(c)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$y^3$	$by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$	4
(2)(a)	$xy$	$B_1 + B_0^2$	$xz^3 + yz^3$	$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz$	5
(2)(b)	$xy$	$B_1 + B_0^2$	$xz^3 + y^3z$	$ax^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz$	4
(3)	$x^2$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$z^3 + ayz^2$	$y^3z + by^2z^2 + cz^4$	3

The parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) (a)  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda^2 + a + b + c + d + e \neq 0, b^2 + a \neq 0, d^2 + e \neq 0$ .
- (1) (b)  $b^2 + a \neq 0, d^2 + e \neq 0$ .
- (1) (c)  $d^2 + e \neq 0$ .
- (2) (a)  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ .
- (2) (b)  $a \neq 0$ .
- (3) None.

In terms of these normal forms, the singularities of the irreducible components of  $R_{\text{red}}$  are as follows:

- (1) (a) Three  $A_2$ -singularities, over  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  and  $[1 : 1 : 1]$ .
- (1) (b) An  $A_4$ -singularity over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  and an  $A_2$ -singularity over  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ .
- (1) (c) An  $A_6$ -singularity over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .
- (2) (a) Two  $A_2$ -singularities, over  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ .
- (2) (b) Two  $A_2$ -singularities, over  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .
- (3) An  $A_2$ -singularity over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is separable,  $A$  is non-zero. Hence, up to projective equivalence, there are three possibilities for  $A$ , corresponding to (1), (2), and (3). Now, we study those cases separately. The conditions on the parameters will follow from Proposition 2.2 by computing partial derivatives, a task which we will leave to the reader.

- (1)  $A = x^2 + yz$

Applying a substitution of the form  $w \mapsto w + Q$  for a suitable quadratic form  $Q$  allows us to assume that  $B = xB_1 + B_0$  for homogeneous forms  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  in  $y$  and  $z$ .

Let  $x = uv, y = u^2, z = v^2$  define the Veronese isomorphism between  $V(A)$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Substituting in  $B$ , we get that  $R$  is isomorphic to the double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  given by the equation

$$w^2 + uvB_1(u^2, v^2) + B_0(u^2, v^2) = 0.$$

By taking the partials, we find that  $R$  is singular exactly over the roots of  $B_1$ .

After applying a suitable substitution that preserves  $A$ , we can move these roots to special positions. Note that the substitution  $w \mapsto w + Q$  of the first paragraph does not change the position of these singularities, so we can still assume that  $B = xB_1 + B_0$ .

If the roots are distinct, we get Case (a), if there are two distinct roots, we get Case (b), and if there is only a single root, we get Case (c). Note that in Cases (b) and (c), the substitution  $y \mapsto \lambda y, z \mapsto \lambda^{-1}z$  preserves the location of the roots and scales  $B_1$ , which is why we can assume that  $xB_1$  occurs with coefficient 1. Finally, in Case (c), we can apply a substitution of the form  $z \mapsto z + \lambda^2 y, x \mapsto x + \lambda y$  for a suitable  $\lambda$  to assume that  $B_0(1, 0) = 0$ .

$$(2) A = xy$$

After applying a substitution of the form  $w \mapsto w + Q$  for a suitable quadratic form  $Q$ , we may assume that  $B$  does not contain monomials divisible by  $xy$ . This allows us to write

$$B = (a_1x^3 + a_2y^3)z + (a_3x + a_4y)z^3 + B_0(x, y, z)^2.$$

Note that the preimages  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  of  $V(x)$  and  $V(y)$  on  $X$  are members of  $|-K_X|$ , hence they must be reduced.

Restricted to  $V(x)$ , the equation becomes

$$w^2 + a_2y^3z + a_4yz^3,$$

so  $R_1$  is singular over  $[0 : \sqrt{a_4} : \sqrt{a_2}]$ . Similarly,  $R_2$  is singular over  $[\sqrt{a_3} : 0 : \sqrt{a_1}]$ . Note that these points must be distinct, for otherwise  $X$  is singular over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  by Proposition 2.2.

If these two points are distinct and different from  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , we can apply a suitable substitution that preserves  $A$  to move them to  $[0 : 1 : 0]$  and  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ . Then, we can repeat the substitution of the first paragraph and, after rescaling, arrive at Case (a).

If the two points are distinct and one of them is  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , we can assume without loss of generality that the other one lies on  $V(y)$  and move it to  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ . After repeating the substitution of the first paragraph and rescaling, we can assume that  $B_1$  is as in Case (b). Finally, after applying a substitution of the form  $z \mapsto z + \lambda y, w \mapsto w + \lambda z^2 + \lambda^2 yz + \lambda^3 y^2$  for a suitable  $\lambda$ , we may assume that  $B_0(0, 1, 0) = 0$ .

$$(3) A = x^2$$

Applying a substitution of the form  $w \mapsto w + Q$  for a suitable quadratic form  $Q$  allows us to assume that  $B = xB_1 + B_0$  for homogeneous forms  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  in  $y$  and  $z$ .

Let  $R'$  be the preimage of  $V(x)$ . As in Case (2), since  $R' \in |-K_X|$ ,  $R'$  must be reduced. Restricted to  $V(x)$ , the double cover becomes

$$w^2 + B_0(y, z) = 0,$$

hence  $R'$  is singular over the common zero of  $B_{0,y}$  and  $B_{0,z}$ . We can assume that this zero lies at  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , that is, that  $yz^3$  does not occur in  $B_0$  and  $y^3z$  occurs with non-zero coefficient. After rescaling, we may assume that  $y^3z$  occurs with coefficient 1.

Applying a substitution of the form  $z \mapsto z + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y$ ,  $y \mapsto y + \lambda_3 x$  for suitable  $\lambda_i$  and repeating the substitution of the first paragraph, we can eliminate the monomials  $y^3$  and  $y^2 z$  in  $B_1$  and the monomial  $y^4$  in  $B_0$ . Computing partials, we see that  $X$  is singular if and only if  $B_1(0, 1) = 0$ . Hence, after rescaling, we may assume that  $B$  is as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. FAKE BITANGENTS AND ODD THETA CHARACTERISTICS

It is known that a del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 2 contains 56  $(-1)$ -curves (see [6, 8.7], where the proof is characteristic free). They come in pairs  $E_i + E'_i \in |-K_X|$  with  $E_i \cdot E'_i = 2$ . The Geiser involution  $\gamma$  switches the two curves in a pair. The image of each pair under any birational morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is either the union of a line through two points  $p_i, p_j$  and the conic through the remaining 5 points, or a cubic passing through  $p_1, \dots, p_7$  with a double point at some  $p_i$  (and one curve of the pair is contracted by  $\pi$ ). The image of  $E_i + E'_i$  under the anti-canonical map  $f$  is a line  $\ell$ .

If  $p \neq 2$ , each of the resulting 28 lines is a bitangent line to the branch quartic curve and, conversely, every bitangent to the branch quartic gives rise to a pair of  $(-1)$ -curves. A bitangent line intersects the branch curve at two points, maybe equal, whose sum is an odd theta characteristic of the curve. It is known that the number of odd theta characteristics on a smooth curve of genus 3 is equal to 28.

For arbitrary  $p$ , we still have the following.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The preimage  $f^{-1}(\ell)$  of a line  $\ell$  is a sum of two  $(-1)$ -curves if and only if  $f^{-1}(\ell)$  is reducible.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  has degree 2 and  $\ell$  is integral, the curve  $f^{-1}(\ell)$  is reducible if and only if it has two irreducible components  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . These components satisfy  $L_1 + L_2 \in |-K_X|$ ,  $L_1 \cdot L_2 = 2$ , and  $L_1^2 = L_2^2$ . Via adjunction, this easily implies that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are  $(-1)$ -curves. The converse is clear.  $\square$

So, even if  $p = 2$ , we have 28 splitting lines, which we call *fake bitangent lines* in analogy with the situation in the other characteristics. For the rest of this section, we assume  $p = 2$ . Since the anti-canonical map is étale outside the branch curve  $V(A)$ , the intersection  $E_i \cap E'_i$  lies on the ramification curve  $R$ . Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_R(E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E'_i)$ . It is an invertible sheaf on  $R$  of degree 2. We have

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E_i + E'_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-K_X).$$

Since  $B \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|$ , we have  $R \in |-2K_X|$ . By the adjunction formula

$$\omega_R \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-2K_X + K_X) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}.$$

Invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$  that satisfy this property are called *invertible theta characteristics*. They are called *even*, *odd*, or *vanishing* according to whether their space of global sections is even-dimensional, odd-dimensional, or at least two-dimensional, respectively. We note that, on singular curves, there can be theta characteristics which are not invertible, see [1], [2]. In the following, we will only discuss invertible theta characteristics, so we drop the “invertible” from the notation.

Let  $\Theta(R)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of theta characteristics on  $R$  and let  $J(R)$  be the identity component of the Picard scheme of  $R$ , also called the generalized Jacobian of  $R$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The generalized Jacobian  $J(R)$  of  $R$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a^3$ .*



*Proof.* Since  $R$  is of arithmetic genus 3,  $J(R)$  is a commutative group scheme of dimension 3. As  $R_{\text{red}}$  has only unbranched singularities, [3, Proposition 5, Proposition 9] shows that  $J(R)$  is unipotent. Finally, we have a factorization of the absolute Frobenius  $F : R \rightarrow V(A) \rightarrow R$ . Note that  $J(V(A))$  is trivial, even if  $V(A)$  is non-reduced, since  $H^1(V(A), \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}) = 0$ . Since  $F^*$  is multiplication by  $p$  on  $J(R)$ , we obtain that  $J(R)$  is  $p$ -torsion, hence isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a^3$ .  $\square$

In particular,  $J(R)(k)$  is an infinite 2-torsion group and it acts on  $\Theta(R)$  via tensor products. It is easy to check that  $\Theta(R)$  is a torsor under  $J(R)(k)$  via this action. This already shows that the problem of finding (fake) bitangents using theta characteristics on  $R$  in characteristic 2 is much more subtle than it is in the other characteristics. Let us give an example that further illustrates this point.

**Example 4.3.** Assume that  $V(A)$  is a smooth conic.

Consider  $\pi : R \rightarrow V(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$ . We have  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) = (f|_R)^* \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}(1) = (\omega_X)|_R$ , so  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  is a theta characteristic on  $R$ . Moreover, we have  $h^0(R, \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 2$ , so  $\mathcal{L} := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  is a vanishing theta characteristic. In fact, this is the unique vanishing theta characteristic on  $R$ : Indeed, let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be another vanishing theta characteristic. Then, the Riemann–Roch formula yields

$$h^0(R, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}') - h^0(R, \omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}) = -2.$$

Since  $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}) \geq 2$  and  $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}') \geq 2$ , we have  $h^0(R, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}') \geq 3$ , so  $h^0(R, \omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}) \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is integral and  $\omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}$  has degree 0, this implies that  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}'$ .

Next, let  $\ell$  be any line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $f^{-1}(\ell)$  meets  $R$  in two distinct smooth points. Then,  $f^{-1}(\ell \cap V(A))_{\text{red}}$  defines an effective theta characteristic  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$ . By the previous paragraph, we have  $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}) = 1$ , hence all the infinitely many theta characteristics arising in this way are odd. It would be interesting to find an abstract characterization of the fake bitangent lines among the odd theta characteristics of  $R$ .

Nevertheless, we can find explicit equations of fake bitangent lines using the following result.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be an Artin–Schreier double cover given by an equation of the form*

$$w^2 + f(u, v)w + g(u, v) = 0,$$

*where  $f$  and  $g$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  and  $2n$ , respectively, and  $f \neq 0$ . Then,  $C$  is reducible if and only if there exists a homogeneous polynomial  $h$  of degree  $n$  with  $g(u, v) = f(u, v)h(u, v) + h(u, v)^2$ .*

*Proof.* If there exists an  $h$  as in the assertion, then  $w^2 + aw + b = (w + f + h)(w + h)$ , so  $C$  is obviously reducible.

Conversely, assume that  $C$  is reducible. Then,  $C$  has exactly two irreducible components and these components are interchanged by the substitution  $w \mapsto w + f$ . In other words, we can write  $w^2 + fw + g = h'(h' + a)$ , where  $h'$  is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n$ . This is only possible if  $h'$  is of the form  $h' = w + h$  for some  $h$  homogeneous of degree  $n$  in the variables  $u$  and  $v$ . Then,  $w^2 + fw + g = (w + h)(w + h + a) = w^2 + fw + h^2 + fh$ , hence  $g = fh + h^2$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Finally, for later use, we record some simple restrictions on the possible positions of fake bitangent lines with respect to the singularities of  $R$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\ell$  be a fake bitangent line that passes through the image  $P$  of a singular point of an irreducible component of  $R_{\text{red}}$ . Then,  $V(A)$  is smooth and  $\ell$  is tangent to  $V(A)$  at  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $f^{-1}(\ell) = L_1 + L_2$ . Since  $R_{\text{red}}$  is singular at  $f^{-1}(P)$ ,  $L_i$  and  $R$  have intersection multiplicity at least 2 in  $f^{-1}(P)$ . Since  $R \in |-2K_X|$  and  $L_1 + L_2 \in |-K_X|$ , we have  $(L_1 + L_2).R = 2K_X^2 = 4$ , hence  $L_1 + L_2$  and  $R$  meet only in  $f^{-1}(P)$ . Therefore, their images in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  meet only in  $P$ . If  $V(A)$  is smooth, this implies that  $\ell$  is tangent to  $V(A)$  in  $P$ . If  $V(A)$  is the union of two lines, this implies that  $\ell$  passes through their intersection. However, in this case,  $L_i$  and  $R$  have intersection multiplicity at least 3 in  $f^{-1}(P)$ , which is absurd. Finally, if  $V(A)$  is a double line, then  $R_{\text{red}} \in |-K_X|$  and  $2 = K_X^2 = (L_1 + L_2).R_{\text{red}} \geq 4$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** We note that there are del Pezzo surfaces for which fake bitangents satisfying the properties of Proposition 4.5 exist. See Proposition 5.1 for a classification in terms of the normal forms of Theorem 3.2.

## 5. STRANGE GENUS ONE FIBRATIONS

To each del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 2 in characteristic 2 with branch locus  $V(A)$  of the anti-canonical map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , there is a naturally associated point  $P_X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ : If  $V(A)$  is smooth, we let  $P_X$  be the strange point of  $V(A)$ , if  $V(A)$  is the union of two lines, we let  $P_X$  be their intersection, and if  $V(A)$  is a double line, we let  $P_X$  be the image of the singular point of  $f^{-1}(V(A))_{\text{red}}$ . We call  $P_X$  the *strange point* of  $X$  and note that the action of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  fixes  $P_X$ .

The pencil  $\mathcal{P}$  of lines through  $P_X$  is  $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant as well. Its preimage  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $X$  is an  $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant pencil of curves of arithmetic genus 1 with two base points if  $V(A)$  is smooth and with one base point of multiplicity 2 if  $V(A)$  is singular. We let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of the base points of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{C}$  defines a relatively minimal elliptic or quasi-elliptic fibration  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . This fibration  $\phi$  is called the *strange genus one fibration* associated to  $X$ .

By construction, the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  lifts to a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(Y)$  and we will use this in Proposition 6.3 to find restrictions on the possible structure of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ . To make the most of this connection, we will now describe the singular fibers of the elliptic fibration  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . We employ Kodaira's notation: we say that a fiber isomorphic to an irreducible cuspidal cubic curve is of type II, a fiber that consists of two smooth rational curves intersecting non-transversally at one point is of type III, and a fiber that consists of three smooth rational curves intersecting at one point is of type IV.

We use the canonical forms of Theorem 3.2, so that  $A = x^2 + yz, xy$ , or  $x^2$  and  $P_X = [1 : 0 : 0]$  in the first case and  $P_X = [0 : 0 : 1]$  in the other two cases. In the first case, we let  $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$  be the line  $V(t_0y + t_1z)$  and in the other two cases, we let  $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$  be the line  $V(t_0x + t_1y)$ . The fiber of  $\phi$  corresponding to  $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is denoted by  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *The generic fiber of the strange fibration associated to  $X$  is smooth and supersingular. Its singular fibers are of type II, III, or IV and its Mordell-Weil group is torsion-free. More precisely:*

- (1) If  $A = x^2 + yz$ , then the following hold:
- The fiber  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is smooth if and only if  $t_0y + t_1z \mid B_1$ .
  - The fiber  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is of type III if  $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is a fake bitangent and of type II otherwise.
  - The line  $\ell_{[1:0]}$  is a fake bitangent if and only if  $e = 0$ .
  - The line  $\ell_{[0:1]}$  is a fake bitangent if and only if  $a = 0$ .
  - The line  $\ell_{[1:1]}$  is a fake bitangent if and only if  $a + b + c + d + e = 0$ .
- (2) (a) If  $A = xy$  and  $B_1 = xz^3 + yz^3$ , then the following hold:
- The fiber  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is smooth if and only if  $[t_0 : t_1] \neq [1 : 0], [0 : 1], [1 : 1]$ .
  - $F_{[1:0]}$  and  $F_{[0:1]}$  are of type II.



- $F_{[1:1]}$  is of type IV if  $\ell_{[1:1]}$  is a fake bitangent and of type III otherwise.
  - The curve  $\ell_{[1:1]}$  is a fake bitangent if and only if  $c = d^2 + e^2$ .
- (2) (b) If  $A = xy$  and  $B_1 = xz^3 + y^3z$ , then the following hold:
- The fiber  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is smooth if and only if  $[t_0 : t_1] \neq [1 : 0], [0 : 1]$ .
  - The curve  $F_{[0:1]}$  is of type II.
  - The curve  $F_{[1:0]}$  is of type III.
- (3) If  $A = x^2$ , then the following hold:
- The fiber  $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$  is smooth if and only if  $[t_0 : t_1] \neq [1 : 0]$ .
  - The curve  $F_{[1:0]}$  is of type III.

*Proof.* We study each case separately. The Mordell-Weil group is torsion free by [11, Main Theorem], since the lattice spanned by fiber components is of rank at most 4 in each case.

- (1) Assume that  $A = x^2 + yz$ .

First, consider  $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(y + tz)$ . Plugging  $y = tz$  into the equation of  $X$ , we obtain

$$w^2 + (x^2 + tz^2)w + xB_1(tz, z) + (at^4 + bt^3 + ct^2 + dt + e)z^4$$

with  $B_1(tz, z) \in \{\lambda t(t+1)z^3, t^2z^3, t^3z^3\}$ . If  $y + tz \nmid B_1$ , then  $B_1(tz, z) \neq 0$ , so taking partials with respect to  $x$  and  $w$  shows that a singular point must satisfy  $x = z = 0$ , which is absurd. If  $y + tz \mid B_1$ , then  $B_1(tz, z) = 0$  and  $F_{[1:t]}$  is singular over  $[t : t : 1]$ . Similarly, one checks that  $F_{[0:1]}$  is singular.

The equation

$$w^2 + (x^2 + tz^2)w + xB_1(tz, z) + (at^4 + bt^3 + ct^2 + d + e)z^4$$

shows that  $F_{[1:t]}$  is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched over a single point. Hence, if  $F_{[1:t]}$  is smooth, then it is supersingular, and if it is singular and irreducible, it is a cuspidal cubic.

Finally, consider the curve  $F_{1:0}$  given by

$$w^2 + x^2w + ez^4.$$

By Lemma 4.4, it is clear that  $F_{[1:0]}$  is reducible if and only if  $e = 0$ . The calculation for  $F_{[1:1]}$  and  $F_{[0:1]}$  is similar.

- (2) (a) Assume that  $A = xy$  and  $B_1 = xz^3 + yz^3$ .

First, consider  $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(x + ty)$  with  $t \neq 0, 1$ . Plugging  $x = ty$  into the equation of  $X$ , we obtain

$$w^2 + ty^2w + (t+1)yz^3 + B_0(ty, y, z)^2.$$

Then, taking partials shows that  $F_{[1:t]}$  is smooth. Since it is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched over a single point, it is supersingular.

Next, consider  $F_{[1:1]}$ , whose image in  $X$  is given by

$$w^2 + y^2w + ((a+b)y^2 + (d+e)yz + cz^2)^2.$$

This curve is singular over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , so  $F_{[1:1]}$  has one irreducible component contracted by  $Y \rightarrow X$ . By Lemma 4.4, the image of  $F_{[1:1]}$  in  $X$  is reducible if and only if  $c = d^2 + e^2$ .

Finally, the curves  $F_{[1:0]}$  and  $F_{[0:1]}$  are isomorphic to their images in  $X$  and these images are irreducible and cuspidal by Theorem 3.2.

- (2) (b) Assume that  $A = xy$  and  $B_1 = xz^3 + y^3z$ .

First, consider  $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(x + ty)$  with  $t \neq 0$ . Plugging  $x = ty$  into the equation of  $X$ , we obtain

$$w^2 + ty^2w + tyz^3 + y^3z + B_0(ty, y, z)^2.$$

As in the previous cases, taking partials shows that  $F_{[1:t]}$  is smooth and supersingular.

The curve  $F_{[0:1]}$  is isomorphic to its image in  $X$ , since  $f^{-1}(\ell_{[0:1]})$  is smooth over the point  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . Hence,  $F_{[0:1]}$  is of type II. On the other hand, the curve  $F_{[1:0]}$  is of type III, since its image in  $X$  has multiplicity 2 over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ .

(3) Assume that  $A = x^2$ .

First, consider  $\ell_{[t:1]} = V(tx + y)$ . Plugging  $y = tx$  into the equation of  $X$ , we obtain

$$w^2 + x^2w + xz^3 + (bt^2 + at)x^2z^2 + cz^4.$$

Then, taking partials shows that  $F_{[t:1]}$  is smooth. Since it is a double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched over a single point, it is supersingular.

The curve  $F_{[1:0]}$  is of type III, by the same argument as in the previous case.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** The classification of singular fibers of rational elliptic surfaces with a section in characteristic 2 can be found in [10]. Lang shows that in the cases where the general fiber is a supersingular elliptic curve, the number of singular fibers is at most 3, which agrees with what we observed in the case of strange elliptic fibrations. Proposition 5.1 shows that the singular fibers that occur on strange genus one fibrations are of type  $9A, 9B, 10A, 10B, 10C$  or 11 in Lang's terminology.

## 6. AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

Assume that  $p = 2$ . Recall once more from Section 2 that a del Pezzo surface  $X$  of degree 2 is a surface of degree 4 in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$  given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z) = 0.$$

Since this is the anti-canonical model of  $X$  and  $\omega_X^{-n}$  admits a natural  $\text{Aut}(X)$ -linearization for all  $n$ , we obtain that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is isomorphic to the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$  of automorphisms that preserve  $X$ .

The structure of the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$  is well-known. The vector space  $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_2$  of quadratic forms is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$  that acts via  $(x, y, z, w) \mapsto (x, y, z, w + Q)$ . The quotient by this subgroup is the group of transformations that change  $(x, y, z)$  linearly and multiply  $w$  by a scalar. Since the transformation  $(x, y, z, w) \mapsto (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z, \lambda^2 w)$  is the identity, this quotient is isomorphic to  $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k})/\mu_2(\mathbb{k})$ . Since we are in characteristic 2, the subgroup  $\mu_2(\mathbb{k})$  is trivial. This gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)) \cong \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_2 \rtimes \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k}).$$

We denote elements of this group by  $(Q, g) \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_2 \times \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k})$  where the semi-direct product structure is

$$(Q, g) \circ (Q', g') = (g^*(Q') + Q, gg').$$

Using this description of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2))$ , it is straightforward to calculate the subgroup of automorphisms preserving  $X$ . We obtain

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong \{(Q, g) : g^*(A) = A, g^*(B) = B + AQ + Q^2\}.$$

The kernel of the homomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k}), \quad (Q, g) \mapsto g$$

is generated by the Geiser involution  $\gamma$ . We let  $G(X)$  be the image of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  in  $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{k})$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *The homomorphism  $G(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G(X)$  be in the kernel of this homomorphism. Then,  $g = \lambda \mathbb{I}_3$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $G(X)$ , we have  $g^*(A) = A$ . Since  $A$  has degree 2, this implies  $\lambda^2 = 1$ . Hence,  $\lambda = 1$ .  $\square$

We recall the well-known fact that the orthogonal complement of  $K_X$  in  $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ , equipped with the intersection form, is isomorphic to the root lattice of type  $E_7$ . This yields a natural homomorphism  $\rho : \mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow W(E_7)$  to the Weyl group  $W(E_7)$  of  $E_7$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *The homomorphism  $\rho$  and the Weyl group  $W(E_7)$  satisfy the following properties:*

- (1)  $\rho$  is injective.
- (2)  $\rho(\gamma) = -\mathrm{id}$ .
- (3)  $W(E_7) = \langle -\mathrm{id} \rangle \times W(E_7)^+$ , where  $W(E_7)^+ \subseteq W(E_7)$  is the kernel of the determinant.

*In particular, there is an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \langle \gamma \rangle \times G(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Claims (1), (2), and (3) are proved in [5, Section 6] or [7, p. 17,18] over  $\mathbb{C}$  and the proof carries over essentially without change to arbitrary characteristic. Identifying  $G(X)$  with its image under  $\rho$ , the section  $W(E_7)^+ \rightarrow W(E_7)$  of  $W(E_7) \rightarrow W(E_7)/\langle -\mathrm{id} \rangle$  induces a section of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(X)/\langle \gamma \rangle \cong G(X)$ , giving the desired presentation of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ .  $\square$

In particular, to determine  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ , it suffices to determine  $G(X)$  and both groups are isomorphic to subgroups of  $W(E_7)$  via  $\rho$ . This puts severe restrictions on the possible structure of  $G(X)$ . Finally, we can use the strange genus one fibrations of the previous section to get information on  $G(X)$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be the strange genus one fibration associated to  $X$ . Choose an exceptional curve  $E$  of  $Y \rightarrow X$  as the zero section of  $\phi$  and let  $C$  be the second exceptional curve. Then, there is a homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(Y)$  that satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $\varphi$  is injective.
- (2)  $\varphi(\gamma)$  preserves every fiber of  $\phi$ .
- (3) If  $V(A)$  is smooth, then  $C$  is a section of  $\phi$ . We have  $\varphi(\gamma) = t_C \circ \iota$ , where  $\iota$  is the negation automorphism and  $t_C$  is translation by  $C$ .
- (4) If  $V(A)$  is singular, then  $C$  is a component of a reducible fiber of  $\phi$ . We have  $\varphi(\gamma) = \iota$  and  $\varphi$  factors through the stabilizer of the pair  $(E, C)$ .

*Proof.* The surface  $Y$  is obtained by blowing up  $X$  in two points that are uniquely determined by  $V(A)$ , hence stable under the action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ . This shows existence and injectivity of the homomorphism  $\varphi$ . The fibration  $\phi$  is induced by the pencil of lines in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  through the strange point of  $X$ . Since  $\gamma$  preserves these lines, it preserves the fibers of  $\phi$ .

If  $V(A)$  is smooth, then  $E$  and  $C$  are interchanged by  $\varphi(\gamma)$ . The automorphism  $t_{-C} \circ \varphi(\gamma) \circ \iota$  maps  $E$  to  $E$  and  $-C$  to  $-C$ . It is well-known that every fixed point of a non-trivial automorphism of an elliptic curve is a torsion point. On the other hand, by Proposition 5.1,  $\phi$  has no torsion sections, so  $t_{-C} \circ \varphi(\gamma) \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}$ , which yields Claim (3).

If  $V(A)$  is singular, then  $C$  is a  $(-2)$ -curve which meets  $E$ , hence it is the identity component of a reducible fiber of  $\phi$ . Since  $\varphi(\gamma)$  is an involution that preserves  $E$ , we have  $\varphi(\gamma) = \iota$  and we obtain Claim (4).  $\square$

Now we are ready to compute the possible automorphism groups of  $X$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** *Every del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2 such that in the decomposition  $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times G(X)$  the group  $G(X)$  is non-trivial is a surface of degree 4 in  $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$  given by an equation of the form*

$$w^2 + Aw + B,$$

where  $(A, B, G(X))$  is one of the following:

Name	A	B	$B_1$	$B_0$	$G(X)$	#Parameters
(1)(a)(i)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$\lambda yz(y + z)$	$ay^4 + by^3z + cy^2z^2 + byz^3 + az^4$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	4
(1)(a)(ii)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$\lambda yz(y + z)$	$ay^4 + \lambda y^3z + ay^2z^2 + \lambda yz^3 + az^4$	$S_3$	2
(1)(c)(i)	$x^2 + yz$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$y^3$	$by^3z + cy^2z^2 + ez^4$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$	3
(2)(a)(i)	$xy$	$B_1 + B_0^2$	$xz^3 + yz^3$	$ax^2 + ay^2 + cz^2 + dxz + dyz$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	3
(2)(a)(ii)	$xy$	$B_1 + B_0^2$	$xz^3 + yz^3$	$ax^2 + by^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	2
(2)(a)(iii)	$xy$	$B_1 + B_0^2$	$xz^3 + yz^3$	$ax^2 + ay^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	1
(3)(i)	$x^2$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$z^3$	$y^3z + cz^4$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	1
(3)(ii)	$x^2$	$xB_1 + B_0$	$z^3$	$y^3z$	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	0

The parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) (a) (i)  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda^2 + c \neq 0, b^2 + a \neq 0, (b, c) \neq (\lambda, a)$ .
- (1) (a) (ii)  $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda^2 + a \neq 0$ .
- (1) (c) (i)  $e \neq 0$ .
- (2) (a) (i)  $a \neq 0, (c, d) \neq (0, 0)$ .
- (2) (a) (ii)  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0, a \neq b$ .
- (2) (a) (iii)  $a \neq 0$ .
- (3) (i)  $c \neq 0$ .
- (3) (ii) None.

*Proof.* We use the normal forms of Theorem 3.2 and the description of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and  $G(X)$  given in the beginning of the current section. We go through the cases of Theorem 6.4.

(1) (a) Here,  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + (x^2 + yz)w + \lambda xyz(y + z) + B_0$$

with

$$B_0 = ay^4 + by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$$

and the cusps lie over  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , and  $[1 : 1 : 1]$ . Let  $(Q, g) \in \text{Aut}(X)$  be an automorphism of  $X$ . Then,  $g$  preserves the three points lying under the cusps. Moreover, if  $g$  fixes the three cusps, then it fixes  $V(A)$  pointwise, hence  $g$  is trivial in  $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$ , so, by Lemma 6.1,  $g$  is the identity and  $(Q, g)$  coincides with the Geiser involution. Hence,  $G(X)$  acts faithfully on  $\{[0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1], [1 : 1 : 1]\}$ .

Note that  $G(X)$  contains an involution if and only if  $X$  admits an equation where this involution is given by  $y \leftrightarrow z$ . This involution is in  $G(X)$  if and only if there exists a quadratic form  $Q$  such that

$$Q^2 + (x^2 + yz)Q = g^*B_0 + B_0. \quad (2)$$

Since  $Q^2 + (x^2 + yz)Q$  contains a non-zero monomial divisible by  $x^2$  as soon as it is non-zero and  $g^*B_0 + B_0$  does not contain such a monomial, we must have  $Q \in \{0, x^2 + yz\}$  and Equation (2) holds if and only if  $a = e$  and  $b = d$ , as claimed.

Next, note that  $G(X)$  contains an automorphism  $g$  of order 3 if and only if  $g$  is given by  $x \mapsto x + z, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto y + z$  and there exists a quadratic form  $Q$  such that

$$Q^2 + (x^2 + yz)Q = \lambda yz^2(y + z) + g^*B_0 + B_0. \quad (3)$$

By the same argument as in the previous paragraph, we have  $Q \in \{0, x^2 + yz\}$  and Equation (3) holds if and only if  $a = c = e$  and  $b = d = \lambda$ . In particular, note that these conditions imply the conditions of the previous paragraph in this case, hence  $G(X) = S_3$ .

- (1) (b) In this case,  $V(A)$  is smooth and  $R$  has two non-isomorphic singularities. Then,  $g \in G(X)$  must fix the images of them on  $V(A)$ . Since an automorphism of order 2 of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  has only one fixed point, we may assume that the order of  $g$  is odd. By Proposition 4.5, the line  $\ell$  through the images of the singularities is not a fake bitangent. Its preimage  $E$  in  $X$  is an integral curve of arithmetic genus one and the Geiser involution has two fixed points on  $E$ . Hence, either  $E$  is smooth and ordinary, or nodal. In both cases, there is no non-trivial automorphism of odd order that commutes with the involution, hence  $g$  fixes  $\ell$  pointwise. Since  $g$  also fixes the strange point  $P$  on  $V(A)$  and the projection from  $P$  is inseparable,  $g$  fixes  $V(A)$  pointwise, hence  $g$  is the identity.

- (1) (c) Here,  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + (x^2 + yz)w + xy^3 + B_0$$

with

$$B_0 = by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4.$$

The singularity of  $R$  lies over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . An element  $g \in G(X)$  of odd order has at least two fixed points on  $V(A)$  and then the same argument as in the previous case shows that  $g$  is the identity. Therefore,  $G(X)$  is a 2-group that acts on  $V(A) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$  with a fixed point. In particular,  $G(X)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{k})$ , hence isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

We may assume that  $g$  acts as  $x \mapsto x + \alpha y, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z + \alpha^2 y$ . Then  $g$  lifts to  $\text{Aut}(X)$  if and only if there exists a quadratic form  $Q$  such that

$$(x^2 + yz)Q + Q^2 = \alpha y^4 + g^*(B_0) + B_0.$$

Since the right-hand side does not contain a monomial divisible by  $x^2$ , we get, as in the previous cases,  $Q = x^2 + yz$  or  $Q = 0$ . Comparing coefficients yields the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha^2 &= 0 \\ d\alpha^4 &= 0 \\ e\alpha^8 + d\alpha^6 + c\alpha^4 + b\alpha^2 + \alpha &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $d \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha = 0$  and  $G(X)$  is trivial. If  $d = 0$ , there are 8 possibilities for  $\alpha$ , one for each root of  $ex^8 + cx^4 + bx^2 + x$ . All the roots are distinct since the derivative of this polynomial is 1. Here, we also use that  $e \neq 0$  by Theorem 3.2. Thus  $G(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ .

- (2) (a) Here,  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + xyw + xz^3 + yz^3 + B_0^2$$

with

$$B_0 = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz.$$

The singularities of the irreducible components of  $R$  lie over  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ . Let  $(Q, g) \in \text{Aut}(X)$ . Then,  $g$  preserves these two points and the intersection of  $V(x)$  and  $V(y)$ . Moreover, by Proposition 6.3 and Proposition 5.1,  $g$  preserves the line  $V(x+y)$ .

Assume that  $g$  has odd order. Then,  $g$  preserves the three lines  $V(x)$ ,  $V(y)$ , and  $V(x+y)$ , hence it is of the form  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \alpha z)$ . The quadratic form  $Q$  satisfies

$$Q^2 + xyQ = g^*(B_1 + B_0^2) + B_1 + B_0^2.$$

The right-hand side does not contain monomials divisible by  $xy$ , hence  $Q \in \{0, xy\}$ . Now,  $g^*B_1 + B_1 = 0$  implies that  $\alpha^3 = 1$ , and if  $\alpha \neq 1$ , then  $g^*B_0^2 + B_0^2 = 0$  holds if and only if  $c = d = e = 0$ .

Assume that  $g$  has order a power of 2. If  $g$  does not swap the points  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ , then it acts diagonally, hence it is the identity. Therefore, we may assume that  $g$  swaps these two points and  $g^2 = \text{id}$ . Hence,  $g$  acts as  $x \leftrightarrow y$ . The quadratic form  $Q$  satisfies

$$Q^2 + xyQ = g^*(B_1 + B_0^2) + B_1 + B_0^2,$$

hence  $a = b$  and  $d = e$ .

(2) (b) Here,  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + xyw + xz^3 + y^3z + B_0^2$$

with

$$B_0 = ax^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz$$

and the singularities of the irreducible components of  $R$  map to  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . Let  $(Q, g) \in \text{Aut}(X)$ .

If  $g$  has odd order, then there is a  $g$ -invariant line  $\ell$  through  $[1 : 0 : 0]$  and we may assume that  $\ell \not\subseteq V(A)$ . By the same argument as in Case (1) (b),  $\ell$  is fixed pointwise. Then, every line through  $[0 : 0 : 1]$  is  $g$ -invariant. Since  $g^*A = A$ , this means that  $g$  acts as  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \alpha z)$ . An automorphism of this form satisfies  $g^*B_1 = B_1$  if and only if  $\alpha = 1$ , so  $g$  is trivial.

If  $g$  has order a power of 2, then by Proposition 5.1,  $\varphi((Q, g)) \in \text{Aut}(Y)$  preserves the two singular fibers of  $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , hence  $\varphi((Q, g))$  acts trivially on the base of  $\phi$ . The 2-Sylow subgroup of automorphisms of the geometric generic fiber of  $\phi$  is the quaternion group  $Q_8$  and  $\varphi((Q, g))$  commutes with the unique involution  $\varphi(\gamma)$  in  $Q_8$ . This implies that  $(Q, g) \in \langle \gamma \rangle$ , so  $g$  is trivial.

(3) If  $V(A)$  is a double line, then  $X$  is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + x^2w + xB_1 + B_0$$

with  $B_1 = z^3 + ayz^2$  and  $B_0 = y^3z + by^2z^2 + cz^4$ . The singularity of  $R_{\text{red}}$  lies over  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ . Let  $(Q, g) \in \text{Aut}(X)$ . Then,  $g$  is of the form

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, \alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y + \epsilon z)$$

with  $\beta, \epsilon \neq 0$  and  $Q$  satisfies the equation

$$Q^2 + x^2Q = x(g^*B_1 + B_1) + g^*B_0 + B_0. \quad (4)$$

The monomials  $y^3z, xz^3, xyz^2, xy^2z$  and  $xy^3$  do not appear on the left-hand side, hence their coefficients on the right-hand side must be zero. This yields the following conditions:

$$\epsilon = \beta^{-3}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^9 &= 1 \\
\delta &= a(\beta + \beta^6) \\
\alpha &= a^2(1 + \beta) \\
\gamma &= a^3(1 + \beta^2)
\end{aligned}$$

So, the order of  $g$  is equal to the order of  $\beta$  in  $k^\times$ , hence it is equal to 1, 3 or 9. Now, we calculate that if  $\beta^3 = 1$ , then  $g^3$  acts as

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, a^3(\beta + \beta^2)x + z).$$

Hence, if  $a \neq 0$ , then  $g$  is the identity.

So, assume that  $a = 0$ , so that, in particular,  $\alpha = \delta = \gamma = 0$ . Equation (4) becomes

$$Q^2 + x^2Q = (\epsilon^3 + 1)xz^3 + (\beta^3\epsilon + 1)y^3z + b(\beta^2\epsilon^2 + 1)y^2z^2 + c(\epsilon^4 + 1)z^4.$$

On the left-hand side, the coefficients of  $z^4$  and  $y^2z^2$  are the squares of the coefficients of  $x^2z^2$  and  $x^2yz$ , respectively. Since the latter monomials do not appear on the right-hand side, the coefficients of the former monomials must vanish. Therefore, we get the four conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon^3 + 1 &= 0 \\
\beta^3\epsilon + 1 &= 0 \\
b(\beta^2\epsilon^2 + 1) &= 0 \\
c(\epsilon^4 + 1) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $b \neq 0$ , then  $\beta = \epsilon = 1$ , so  $G(X)$  is trivial. If  $b = 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ , then  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $\beta^3 = 1$ , and so  $G(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $b = c = 0$ , then  $\epsilon = \beta^{-3}$  and  $\beta^9 = 1$ , hence  $G(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ . □

**Corollary 6.5.** *Let  $X$  be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2. Then,*

$$\text{Aut}(X) \in \{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4, \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_3\}.$$

*All possibilities occur.*

**Remark 6.6.** With our choice of normal form in Theorem 6.4, the map  $g \mapsto (0, g)$  defines an explicit section of the surjection  $\text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  in every case.

**Remark 6.7.** In characteristic different from 2, our canonical form (3)(ii) can be transformed to

$$w^2 + x^4 + xz^3 + y^3z = 0.$$

In characteristic 0, by [5, Table 6], this is the equation of the unique del Pezzo surface of degree 2 whose automorphism group is cyclic of order 18. The subgroup of order 9 is realized as a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the Fermat cubic surface in characteristic  $p \neq 3$ . It fixes an ordinary node of some plane section. Its blow-up is isomorphic to our surface.

The group  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  that appears in Corollary 6.5 occurs as a group of automorphisms of a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 in all characteristics [8]. In characteristic 0, there is a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$  in the Cremona group. One can prove, using the theory of birational links, that in characteristic 2, the two subgroups of  $\text{Cr}_k(2)$  are not conjugate.

**Remark 6.8.** The fact that 2 and 3 are the only primes that divide the order of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  can be proven without the classification. It is known that 2, 3, 5, and 7 are the only primes that divide the order of  $W(E_7)$ . To exclude the primes 5 and 7, one can use the Lefschetz fixed-point formula and the known traces of elements of  $W(E_7)$  acting on the the root lattice of type  $E_7$  to get a contradiction with the possible structure of the set of fixed points of an element of the group  $G(X)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Barth, *Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane*. Invent. Math. 42 (1977), 63–91.
- [2] A. Beauville, *Prym Varieties and the Schottky Problem*. Inventiones Mathematicae **41** (1977), 149–196.
- [3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron models*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), **21**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [4] M. Demazure, *Surfaces de del Pezzo, I-V*, in “Séminaire sur les Singularités des Surfaces”, ed. by M. Demazure, H. Pinkham and B. Teissier. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 777. Springer, Berlin, 1980, pp. 21–69.
- [5] I. Dolgachev, V. Iskovskikh, *Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I, 443–548, Progr. Math., **269**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.
- [6] I. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012.
- [7] I. Dolgachev, *Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group*, Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Seoul 2008, 1–49, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 60, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [8] I. Dolgachev, A. Duncan, *Automorphisms of cubic surfaces in positive characteristic*. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **83** (2019), no. 3, 15–92.
- [9] T. Ekedahl, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*. Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS, Tome **67** (1988), 97–144.
- [10] W. Lang, *Classification of singular fibers on rational elliptic surfaces in characteristic three*. Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), 4533–4566.
- [11] K. Oguiso, T. Shioda, *The Mordell-Weil lattice of a rational elliptic surface*. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. **40** (1991), no. 1, 83–99.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN  
 525 EAST UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
 ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1109 USA  
*Email address:* idolga@umich.edu

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT  
 UNIVERSITÄT BONN  
 ENDENICHER ALLEE 60  
 53115 BONN  
 GERMANY  
*Email address:* gmartin@math.uni-bonn.de