

# The Log Private Company Valuation Model

Battulga Gankhuu\*

## Abstract

For a public company, the option pricing models, hedging models, and pricing models of equity-linked life insurance products have been developed. However, for a private company, because of unobserved price, the option and the life insurance pricing, and the hedging are challenging tasks. For this reason, this paper introduces a log private company valuation model, which is based on the dynamic Gordon growth model. In this paper, we obtain closed-form option pricing formulas, hedging formulas, and net premium formulas of equity-linked life insurance products for a private company. Also, the paper provides ML estimators of our model, EM algorithm, and valuation formula for the private company. The suggested model can be used not only by private companies but also by public companies

**Keywords:** Private company, dynamic Gordon growth model, option pricing, life insurance, locally risk-minimizing strategy, ML estimators.

## 1 Introduction

Dividend discount models (DDMs), first introduced by Williams (1938), are popular tools for stock valuation. The basic idea is that the market price of a stock is equal to the present value of sum of dividend paid by the firm and price of the firm, which correspond to the next period. As the outcome of DDMs depends crucially on dividend forecasts, most research in the last few decades has been around the proper model a dividend dynamics. An interesting review of some existing DDMs that include deterministic and stochastic models can be found in d'Amico and De Blasis (2020).

Existing stochastic DDMs have one common disadvantage: If dividends have chances to take negative values, then the stock price of the firm can takes negative value with a positive probability, which is the undesirable property for a stock price. A log version of the stochastic DDM, which is called by dynamic Gordon growth model was introduced by Campbell and Shiller (1988), who derived a connection between log price, log dividend, and log return by approximation. Since their model is in a log framework, the stock price and dividend get positive values.

Black-Scholes European call and put options are contracts that give their owner the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell shares of a stock of a company at a predetermined price by a specified date. For a public company, the European option pricing models have been developed. However, since stock prices of a private company are unobserved, pricing of the European call and put options is a challenging task for the private company. For the private company, to motivate employees to adopt a personal investment in the company's success, frequently offered the call option, giving the holder the right to purchase shares of stock at a specified price of the company. Also, to motivate the employee the private company may offer equity-linked life insurance products to the workers.

---

\*Department of Applied Mathematics, National University of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia; E-mail: battulga.g@seas.num.edu.mn

In this paper, we aim to contribute the following fields: (i) to price the European options, (ii) to connect the equity-linked life insurance products with the private company, (iii) to hedge the options and life insurance products, and (iv) to estimate the model's parameter and to value the private company. To estimate a required rate of return of a private company, Battulga (2022c) considered the (iv)th field. But the (i)–(iii) fields still have not been explored before. Therefore, the novelty of the paper is threefold.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce a log private company valuation model. In Section 3, we obtain closed-form Black–Scholes call and put options pricing formula. Section 4 provides connections between the log private company valuation model and equity-linked life insurance products. In Section 6, we consider locally risk-minimizing strategies for the options and equity-linked life insurance products. Section 7 is dedicated to ML estimators of the model's parameters and an estimator of the value of a private company. In Section 8, we conclude the study. Finally, in Technical Annex, we provide Lemmas, which are useful for the pricing and hedging, and their proofs.

## 2 Log Private Company Valuation Model

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T^x, \mathbb{P})$  be a complete probability space, where  $\mathbb{P}$  is a given physical or real-world probability measure, and  $\mathcal{G}_T^x$  will be defined below. Dividend discount models (DDMs), first introduced by Williams (1938), are a popular tool for stock valuation. In this paper, we assume that a firm will not default in the future. For a DDM with default risk, we refer to Battulga, Jacob, Altangerel, and Horsch (2022). The basic idea of all DDMs is that the market price at time  $t - 1$  of stock of the firm equals the sum of the stock price at time  $t$  and dividend at time  $t$  discounted at risk-adjusted rates (required rate of return on stock). Therefore, for successive prices of the company, the following relation holds

$$P_t = (1 + k)P_{t-1} - d_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $k$  is the required rate of return,  $P_t$  is the stock price, and  $d_t$  is the dividend, respectively, at time  $t$  of the company. Note that following the idea in Battulga (2022c) one can model the required rate of return by a linear equation, which depends economic variables.

As mentioned above, if dividends have chances to take negative values, then the stock price of the firm can take negative value with a positive probability, which is the undesirable property for a stock price. For this reason, we follow the idea in Campbell and Shiller (1988). As a result, the stock price of the company takes positive value. Following the idea in Campbell and Shiller (1988), one can obtain the following approximation

$$\ln(1 + \exp\{\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t\}) \approx \ln(g_t) + \frac{g_t - 1}{g_t} (\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t - \mu_t) \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{P}_t := \ln(P_t)$  is a log price at time  $t$ ,  $\tilde{d}_t := \ln(d_t)$  is a log dividend at time  $t$ ,  $g_t := 1 + \exp\{\mu_t\}$  is a linearization parameter, and  $\mu_t := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]$  is a mean log dividend-to-price ratio at time  $t$  of the company, where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is an initial information, see below. Therefore, we have

$$\exp\{\tilde{k}\} = \frac{P_t + d_t}{P_{t-1}} \approx \exp\left\{\tilde{P}_t - \tilde{P}_{t-1} + \ln(g_t) + \frac{g_t - 1}{g_t} (\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t - \mu_t)\right\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\tilde{k} := \ln(1 + k)$  is a log required rate of return. As a result, for the log price at time  $t$ , the following approximation holds

$$\tilde{P}_t \approx (\tilde{P}_{t-1} - \tilde{d}_t + \tilde{k})g_t + \tilde{d}_t - h_t. \quad (4)$$

where  $h_t := g_t(\ln(g_t) - \mu_t) + \mu_t$  is a linearization parameter and the model is called by dynamic Gordon growth model, see Campbell and Shiller (1988). To estimate parameters of the dynamic

Gordon growth model, to price Black–Scholes call and put options and equity–linked life insurance products, and to hedge the options and life insurance products, which will appear in following sections we must add a random component, namely,  $u_t$ , to equation (4). In this case, equation (4) becomes

$$\tilde{P}_t = (\tilde{P}_{t-1} - \tilde{d}_t + \tilde{k})g_t + \tilde{d}_t - h_t + u_t. \quad (5)$$

Let  $B_t$  be a book value of equity,  $R_t$  be a return of equity (ROE),  $b_t$  be a book value growth rate, and  $\alpha_t$  be a payout ratio, respectively, at time  $t$  of the company. Since the book value of equity at time  $t - 1$  grows at rate  $b_t$ , its log value at time  $t$  becomes

$$\ln(B_t) = \tilde{b}_t + \ln(B_{t-1}). \quad (6)$$

$\tilde{b}_t := \ln(1 + b_t)$  is a log book value growth rate. On the other side, as dividend payment at time  $t$  is a product of the dividend payout ratio at time  $t$ ,  $\alpha_t$ , and earning at time  $t$ ,  $R_t B_{t-1}$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}_t = \tilde{\Delta}_t + \ln(B_{t-1}), \quad (7)$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta}_t := \ln(\alpha_t R_t) = \tilde{d}_t - \ln(B_{t-1})$  is a log dividend–to–book ratio at time  $t$ . To obtain the dynamic Gordon growth model corresponding to a private company, let  $\tilde{m}_t := \ln(P_t/B_t)$  be a log price–to–book ratio at time  $t$  of the private company, and  $\tilde{k} := \ln(1 + k)$  be the log required rate of return on the stock of the private company. It should be noted that by using the augmented Dickey–Fuller test one can easily confirm that for the quarterly S&P 500 index, its log price–to–book ratio is governed by the unit–root process with drift. For this reason, we assume that the log price–to–book ratio of the company follows the unit–root process with drift, that is,  $\tilde{m}_t = \phi + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + v_t$ . If we substitute equations  $\tilde{P}_t = \tilde{m}_t + \tilde{b}_t + \ln(B_{t-1})$ ,  $\tilde{P}_{t-1} = \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \ln(B_{t-1})$ , and  $\tilde{d}_t = \tilde{\Delta}_t + \ln(B_{t-1})$  into equation (5), then the dynamic Gordon growth model for the private company is given by the following system

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = -\tilde{m}_t + g_t \tilde{m}_{t-1} + c_t + u_t \\ \tilde{m}_t = \phi + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + v_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T \quad (8)$$

under the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , where  $c_t := \tilde{k}g_t - (g_t - 1)\tilde{\Delta}_t - h_t$  is a deterministic process. Note that if the firm does not pay dividends, then the system is given by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = -\tilde{m}_t + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \tilde{k} + u_t \\ \tilde{m}_t = \phi + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + v_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T \quad (9)$$

under the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , see Battulga (2022c). If we combine the systems, one obtains a private company valuation model (system), which models a company whose dividends are sometimes paid and sometimes not paid. Let us denote the indicator random variable by  $1_A$  for a generic event  $A \in \mathcal{G}_T^x$ . Then, the combined system is

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = -\tilde{m}_t + \tilde{g}_t \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \tilde{c}_t + u_t \\ \tilde{m}_t = \phi + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + v_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T \quad (10)$$

under the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , where  $\tilde{g}_t = 1_{\{d_t=0\}} + g_t 1_{\{d_t>0\}}$  and  $\tilde{c}_t := \tilde{k} 1_{\{d_t=0\}} + c_t 1_{\{d_t>0\}}$  are deterministic processes. Henceforth, we refer the system as a log private company valuation model (system). The model can be augmented by adding equations, which models dividend–to–book ratio, namely, VAR( $p$ ) process that depend on economic variables in system (10), see Battulga (2022a).

The stochastic properties of the systems (8)–(10) are governed by the random variables  $\{u_1, \dots, u_T, v_1, \dots, v_T, m_0\}$ . Throughout the paper, we assume that the error random variables  $u_t$  and  $v_t$  for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  and the initial log book–to–price ratio  $\tilde{m}_0$  are mutually independent, and follow normal distribution, namely,

$$\tilde{m}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2), \quad u_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_u^2), \quad v_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_v^2), \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T \quad (11)$$

under the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ .

### 3 Option Pricing

Black and Scholes (1973) developed a closed-form formula for evaluating a European option. The formula assumes that the underlying asset follows geometric Brownian motion, but does not take dividend into account. For public companies, most stock options traded on the option exchange pay dividends at least once before they expire and for most private companies, they also pay dividends. Therefore, it is important to develop formulas for the European call and put options on dividend-paying stocks from a practical point of view. Merton (1973) first time used continuous dividend in the Black–Scholes framework and obtained a similar pricing formula with the Black–Scholes formula. However, if the dividend process does not depend on the stock level, the Black–Scholes framework with dividends will collapse. In this paper, we develop an option pricing model for private and public companies, where the dividend process is discrete and correlated with the stock level. To price the Black–Scholes call and put options, we use the risk-neutral valuation method.

Let  $T$  be a time to maturity of the Black–Scholes call and put options, and for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ,  $\xi_t := (u_t, v_t)'$  be a  $(2 \times 1)$  random error process of system (10). According to equation (11),  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_T$  is a random sequence of independent identically multivariate normally distributed random vectors with means of  $(2 \times 1)$  zero vector and covariance matrices of  $(2 \times 2)$  matrix  $\Sigma := \text{diag}\{\sigma_u^2, \sigma_v^2\}$ . Therefore, a distribution of a residual random vector  $\xi := (\xi_1', \dots, \xi_T')'$  is given by

$$\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_T \otimes \Sigma), \quad (12)$$

where  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product of two matrices.

Let  $x := (x_1', \dots, x_T')'$  be a  $(2T \times 1)$  vector, which consists of all book value growth rates and dividend-to-book ratios of a company and whose  $t$ -th sub-vector is  $x_t := (\tilde{b}_t, \tilde{m}_t)'$ . We define  $\sigma$ -fields, which play major roles in the paper:  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \sigma(B_0, \tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_T)$  and for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma(\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_t)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_t := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\tilde{m}_0, \dots, \tilde{m}_t)$ , where for generic  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_1 \vee \mathcal{O}_2$  is the minimal  $\sigma$ -field containing them. Note that  $\sigma(B_0, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_t) = \sigma(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_t)$ , the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_t$  represents available information at time  $t$  for a private company, the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{G}_t$  represents available information at time  $t$  for a public company, and the  $\sigma$ -fields satisfy  $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{G}_t$  for  $t = 0, \dots, T$ . For the public company, to price and hedge we have to use the information  $\mathcal{G}_t$ . Therefore, one obtain pricing and hedging formulas for the public company by replacing the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , corresponding to the private company by the information  $\mathcal{G}_t$ . It follows from equation (12) that a joint density function of the random vector  $x$  is given by

$$f_x(x) = c \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (Qx_t - Q_t x_{t-1} - q_t) \Sigma^{-1} (Qx_t - Q_t x_{t-1} - q_t) \right\} \quad (13)$$

under the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , where the constant is  $c := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^T |\Sigma|^{T/2}}$ , the coefficient matrices of the vectors  $x_t$  and  $x_{t-1}$  are

$$Q := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \tilde{g}_t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad q_t := \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{c}_t \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}.$$

To price the call and put options and equity-linked life insurance products, we need to change from the real probability measure to some risk-neutral measure. Let  $D_t := 1/(1+r)^t$  be a discount process, where  $r$  is a risk-free rate. According to Pliska (1997) (see also Bjork (2020)), a conditional expectation of a return process  $(P_t + d_t)/P_{t-1} - 1$  must equal the risk-free rate  $r$  under some risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  and a filtration  $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t=0}^T$ . Thus, it must hold

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{P_t + d_t}{P_{t-1}} \middle| \mathcal{G}_{t-1} \right] = 1 + r \quad (14)$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$  denotes an expectation under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . If we substitute equation (5) into approximation equation (3), then condition (14) is equivalent to the following condition

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\exp\{u_t/\tilde{g}_t - (\tilde{r} - \tilde{k})\}|\mathcal{G}_{t-1}] = 1, \quad (15)$$

where  $\tilde{r} := \ln(1 + r)$  is a log risk-free rate. It should be noted that condition (15) corresponds only to the error random variable  $u_t$ . Thus, we need to impose a condition on the error random variable  $v_t$  under the risk-neutral probability measure. This condition is fulfilled by  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\exp\{v_t\}|\mathcal{G}_{t-1}] = \hat{\theta}_t$  for  $\mathcal{G}_{t-1}$  measurable any random variable  $\hat{\theta}_t$ . Because for any admissible choices of  $\hat{\theta}_t$ , condition (15) holds, the market is incomplete. But prices of the options and equity-linked life insurance products are still consistent with the absence of arbitrage. In this paper, we assume that a joint distribution of the state variables  $\tilde{m}_t$ ,  $t = 0, \dots, T$  is the same for the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  and the risk-neutral measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Thus, we require that

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\exp\{v_t - \sigma_v^2/2\}|\mathcal{G}_{t-1}] = 1. \quad (16)$$

If we combine conditions (15) and (16), then we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\exp\{R_t(\xi_t - \theta_t)\}|\mathcal{G}_{t-1}] = 1, \quad (17)$$

where  $R_t := \text{diag}\{1/\tilde{g}_t, 1\}$  is a  $(2 \times 2)$  diagonal matrix and  $\theta_t := (\tilde{g}_t(\tilde{r} - \tilde{k}), \sigma_v^2/2)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  deterministic Girsanov kernel process. To obtain the risk-neutral probability measure, we define the following state price density:

$$L_t := \prod_{m=1}^t \exp\left\{(\theta_m - \alpha_m)' \Sigma^{-1} \xi_m - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_m - \alpha_m)' \Sigma^{-1} (\theta_m - \alpha_m)\right\} \quad (18)$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , where  $\alpha_m := \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_u^2/\tilde{g}_m, \sigma_v^2)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  deterministic vector. Then,  $L_t$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t=0}^T$  and the real probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ . Since  $L_T > 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[L_T|\mathcal{F}_0] = 1$ , we can define the following new probability measure:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(x \in B) &= \int_B L_T(z) f_x(x) dx_1, \dots, dx_T \\ &= \int_B c \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (Qx_t - Q_t x_{t-1} - \tilde{q}_t + \alpha_t) \Sigma^{-1} (Qx_t - Q_t x_{t-1} - \tilde{q}_t + \alpha_t)\right\} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{2T})$  is an any Borel set,  $f_x(x)$  is the joint density function of the random vector  $x$  given by equation (13), and  $L_T$  is the state price density process at time  $T$  given by equation (18). Therefore, the log private company valuation system (10) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = -\tilde{m}_t + \tilde{g}_t \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \tilde{c}_t^* + \tilde{u}_t \\ \tilde{m}_t = \phi + \tilde{m}_{t-1} + \tilde{v}_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (20)$$

under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , where  $\tilde{c}_t^* := (1 - \tilde{g}_t)\tilde{\Delta}_t + \tilde{r}\tilde{g}_t - h_t - \frac{1}{2\tilde{g}_t}\sigma_u^2$  is a deterministic process, and a residual random vector  $\tilde{\xi} := (\tilde{\xi}_1^1, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_T^1)'$  with  $\tilde{\xi}_t := (\tilde{u}_t, \tilde{v}_t)^T$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, T$  has the same distribution as the residual random vector  $\xi$ , that is,

$$\tilde{\xi} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_T \otimes \Sigma) \quad (21)$$

under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Comparing the two systems (10) and (20), one can deduce that the log required rate of return changed from  $\tilde{k}$  to the log risk-free rate  $\tilde{r}$ , and an additional term  $\frac{1}{2\tilde{g}_t}\sigma_u^2$  arises. Observe that the first line of system (20) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{P}_t = (\tilde{P}_{t-1} - \tilde{d}_t + \tilde{r})\tilde{g}_t + \tilde{d}_t - h_t 1_{\{d_t > 0\}} - \frac{1}{2\tilde{g}_t}\sigma_u^2 + \tilde{u}_t. \quad (22)$$

Let us rewrite system (20) in the following form

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = \psi_t' z_t + \tilde{c}_t^* + \tilde{u}_t \\ z_t = Az_{t-1} + a + \eta_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (23)$$

where  $z_t := (\tilde{m}_t, \tilde{m}_{t-1})'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  state vector of the log price-to-book ratios at times  $t$  and  $t-1$ ,  $\psi_t := (-1, \tilde{g}_t)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  deterministic vector,  $a := (\phi, 0)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  constant vector,  $\eta_t := (\tilde{v}_t, 0)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  random vector, and

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a  $(2 \times 2)$  constant matrix. Observe that  $\tilde{m}_t = e_1' z_t$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  unit vector. If we repeatedly use the process  $z_t$ , which is given in system (23), then one get that

$$z_{t+i} = A^i z_t + (I_2 - A)^{-1} (I_2 - A^i) a + A^{i-1} \eta_{t+1} + \dots + A \eta_{t+i-1} + \eta_{t+i} \quad (24)$$

for  $i \geq 1$ , where for the matrix  $A$  and vector  $a$ , the following equations hold

$$A^i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (I_2 - A)^{-1} (I_2 - A^i) a = \begin{bmatrix} i\phi \\ (i-1)\phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (25)$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . As a result, for each  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$  and the information  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , a conditional expectation of the state vector at time  $t+i$  and a covariance matrix between the state vectors at times  $t+i$  and  $t+j$  are obtained as

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[z_{t+i} | \mathcal{G}_t] = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{m}_t + i\phi \\ \tilde{m}_{t-1} + (i-1)\phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_{t+i}, z_{t+j} | \mathcal{G}_t] = \sigma_v^2 \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{i,j} & \gamma_{i,j-1} \\ \gamma_{i-1,j} & \gamma_{i-1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

where  $\gamma_{i,j} := \min(i, j)$  is a minimum of  $i$  and  $j$ . Consequently, as  $\tilde{m}_t = e_1' z_t$ , it follows from system (23) and equation (26) that for each  $i = 1, \dots, T-t$ , conditional on  $\mathcal{F}_t$  expectations of the log state variable and the log book value growth rate are given by

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{m}_{t+i} | \mathcal{G}_t] = \tilde{m}_t + i\phi \quad (28)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{b}_{t+i} | \mathcal{G}_t] = \tilde{m}_t (\tilde{g}_{t+i} - 1) + \phi ((i-1)\tilde{g}_{t+i} - i) + \tilde{c}_{t+i}^* \quad (29)$$

The log price at time  $T$  can be written in terms of the log price-to-book ratio at time  $T$ , the log book value growth rates at times  $t+1, \dots, T$ , and the log book value at time  $t$ , that is,

$$\tilde{P}_T = \tilde{m}_T + \tilde{b}_{t+1} + \dots + \tilde{b}_T + \ln(B_t) \quad (30)$$

for  $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ . Since a random vector  $(\xi_{t+1}', \dots, \xi_T)'$  follows multivariate normal distribution with mean zero and covariance matrix  $I_{T-t} \otimes \Sigma$ , conditional on the information  $\mathcal{G}_t$  the log price at time  $T$  follows normal distribution:

$$\tilde{P}_T | \mathcal{G}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t), \sigma_{T|t}^2), \quad (31)$$

where due to equations (28) and (29),

$$\mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) := \tilde{\alpha}_{T|t} \tilde{m}_t + \tilde{\beta}_{T|t} \quad (32)$$

is a conditional expectation of the log price at time  $T$ , where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{T|t} := \sum_{i=t+1}^T \tilde{g}_i - T + t + 1 \quad (33)$$

and

$$\tilde{\beta}_{T|t} := \left( \sum_{i=t+1}^T (i-t-1)\tilde{g}_i - \frac{(T-t)(T-t+1)}{2} \right) \phi + \sum_{i=t+1}^T \tilde{c}_i^* + \ln(B_t), \quad (34)$$

and

$$\sigma_{T|t}^2 := \sum_{i=t+1}^T e_1' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_T, z_i | \mathcal{G}_t] \psi_i + \sum_{i=t+1}^T \sum_{j=t+1}^T \psi_i' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_i, z_j | \mathcal{G}_t] \psi_j + (T-t)\sigma_u^2 \quad (35)$$

is a conditional variance of the log price at time  $T$ .

Therefore, according to equations (32) and (35), and Lemma 3 that is used to price the Black–Scholes call and put options, see Technical Annex, conditional on the information  $\mathcal{G}_t$  prices at time  $t$  of the Black–Scholes call and put options are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(P_T - K)^+ | \mathcal{G}_t] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi(d_{T|t}^1) - e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi(d_{T|t}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(K - P_T)^+ | \mathcal{G}_t] \\ &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi(-d_{T|t}^1) - \exp \left\{ \mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi(-d_{T|t}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where  $d_{T|t}^1 := (\mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) + \sigma_{T|t}^2 - \ln(K)) / \sigma_{T|t}$  and  $d_{T|t}^2 := d_{T|t}^1 - \sigma_{T|t}$ . Note that equation (36) and (37) are used to price call and put options for public companies because their price-to-book ratios are known.

As  $\tilde{m}_t = \tilde{m}_0 + t\phi + \tilde{v}_1 + \dots + \tilde{v}_t$ , one can obtain that  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{m}_t | \mathcal{F}_0] = \mu_0 + t\phi$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{b}_i | \mathcal{F}_0] = \mu_0(\tilde{g}_i - 1) + \phi((i-1)\tilde{g}_i - i) + \tilde{c}_i^*$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_i, z_j | \mathcal{F}_0] = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_v^2 \gamma_{i,j} & \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_v^2 \gamma_{i,j-1} \\ \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_v^2 \gamma_{i-1,j} & \sigma_0^2 + \sigma_v^2 \gamma_{i-1,j-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consequently, covariances between log book value growth rates at times  $1, \dots, t$  and log price-to-book ratio at time  $t$  are obtained by

$$\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[b_i, b_j | \mathcal{F}_0] = \begin{cases} \psi_i' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_i, z_j | \mathcal{F}_0] \psi_j + \sigma_u^2 & \text{if } i = j \\ \psi_i' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_i, z_j | \mathcal{F}_0] \psi_j & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, t, \quad (38)$$

$$\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{m}_t, b_i | \mathcal{F}_0] = e_1' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_t, z_i | \mathcal{F}_0] \psi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, t \quad (39)$$

and  $\widetilde{\text{Var}}[\tilde{m}_t | \mathcal{F}_0] = e_1' \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[z_t, z_t | \mathcal{F}_0] e_1$ . To obtain conditional distribution of the price-to-book ratio at time  $t$ ,  $\tilde{m}_t$ , given the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , let us introduce the following notations:  $\bar{b}_t := (\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_t)'$  be a  $(t \times 1)$  vector, which consists of the log book value growth rates,  $\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]$  is a  $(t \times t)$  variance-covariance matrix of the vector  $\bar{b}_t$ , whose elements is calculated by equation (38), and  $\widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{m}_t, \bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]$  is a  $(1 \times t)$  covariance matrix between the random variable  $\tilde{m}_t$  and the vector  $\bar{b}_t$ , whose elements is calculated by equation (39). As a result, according to the well known conditional distribution formula

of a multivariate normal random vector, one get that conditional on the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , distribution of the price-to-book ratio at time  $t$  is given by

$$\tilde{m}_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\tilde{m}_{t|t}, \Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t)),$$

where

$$\tilde{m}_{t|t} := \mu_0 + t\phi + \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{m}_t, \bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0] \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]^{-1} (\bar{b}_t - \mathbb{E}[\bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0])$$

and

$$\Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t) := \widetilde{\text{Var}}[\tilde{m}_t | \mathcal{F}_0] - \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{m}_t, \bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0] \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]^{-1} \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{m}_t, \bar{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_0]'$$

are conditional mean and variance of the price-to-dividend random variable  $\tilde{m}_t$  given the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ . Note that the conditional mean and variance  $\tilde{m}_{t|t}$  and  $\Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t)$  are can be obtained by the Kalman filtering in Section 6 (by replacing  $\tilde{c}_t$  by  $\tilde{c}_t^*$ ). Therefore,

$$\mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\tilde{\mu}_{T|t}, \tilde{\alpha}_{T|t}^2 \Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t)),$$

where  $\tilde{\mu}_{T|t} := \tilde{\alpha}_{T|t} \tilde{m}_{t|t} + \tilde{\beta}_{T|t}$  is a mean of the random variable  $\mu_{T|t}(\tilde{m}_t)$  or equivalently, a mean of the random variable  $\tilde{P}_T$  given the information  $\tilde{F}_t$ . By using Lemma 1 for the call and put option formulas, which are given by equations (36) and (37), we obtain that for the private company, prices at time  $t$  of the Black-Scholes call and put options are given by the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} C_{T|t}(K) &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(P_T - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi(\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1) - e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi(\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T|t}(K) &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(K - P_T)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi(-\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2) - \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi(-\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

respectively, where  $\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2 := \sigma_{T|t}^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_{T|t}^2 \Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t)$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 := (\tilde{\mu}_{T|t} + \tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2 - \ln(K)) / \tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}$ , and  $\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 := \tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 - \tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}$ .

## 4 Life Insurance Products

Now we consider a pricing of some equity-linked life insurance products using the risk-neutral measure. Here we will price segregated fund contract with guarantee, see Hardy (2001) and unit-linked life insurance with guarantee, see Aase and Persson (1994) and Møller (1998). We suppose that stock represents some fund and an insured receives dividends from the fund. Let  $T_x$  be  $x$  aged insured's future lifetime random variable,  $\mathcal{T}_t^x = \sigma(1_{\{T_x > s\}} : s \in [0, t])$  be  $\sigma$ -field, which is generated by a death indicator process  $1_{\{T_x \leq t\}}$ ,  $F_t$  be an unit of the fund, and  $G_t$  be an amount of the guarantee, respectively, at time  $t$ . We assume that the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_T$  and  $\mathcal{T}_T^x$  are independent, and operational expenses, which are deducted from the fund and withdrawals are omitted from the life insurance products. A common life insurance product in practice is endowment insurance, and combinations of a term life insurance and pure endowment insurance lead to interesting endowment insurances, see Aase and Persson (1994). Thus, it is sufficient to consider only the term life insurance and the pure endowment insurance.

A  $T$ -year pure endowment insurance provides payment of a sum insured at the end of the  $T$  years only if the insured is alive at the end of  $T$  years from the time of policy issue. For the pure endowment insurance, we assume that the sum insured is form  $f(P_T)$  for some Borel function  $f$ . In this case, the

sum insured depends on the random stock price at time  $T$  and the form of the function  $f$  depends on an insurance contract. Choices of  $f$  give us different types of life insurance products. For example,  $f(x) = 1$ ,  $f(x) = x$ ,  $f(x) = \max\{x, K\} = [x - K]^+ + K$ , and  $f(x) = [K - x]^+$  correspond to simple life insurance, pure unit-linked, unit-linked with guarantee, and segregated fund contract with guarantee, respectively, see Aase and Persson (1994), Bowers, Gerber, Hickman, Jonas, and Nesbitt (1997), and Hardy (2001). As a result, a discounted contingent claim of the  $T$ -year pure endowment insurance can be represented by the following equation

$$\bar{H}_T := D_T f(P_T) 1_{\{T_x > T\}}. \quad (42)$$

To price the contingent claim we define  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t^x := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{T}_t^x$  for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ . Since the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_T$  and  $\mathcal{T}_T^x$  are independent, one can obtain that value at time  $t$  of a contingent claim  $f(P_T) 1_{\{T_x > T\}}$  is given by

$$V_t = \frac{1}{D_t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\bar{H}_T | \mathcal{F}_t^x] = \frac{1}{D_t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D_T f(P_T) | \mathcal{F}_t]_{T-t} p_{x+t}, \quad (43)$$

where  ${}_t p_x := \mathbb{P}[T_x > t]$  represents the probability that  $x$ -aged insured will attain age  $x + t$ .

A  $T$ -year term life insurance is an insurance that provides payment of a sum insured only if death occurs in  $T$  years. In contrast to the pure endowment insurance, the term life insurance's sum insured depends on time  $t$ , that is, its sum insured form is  $g(P_t)$  because random death occurs at any time in  $T$  years. Therefore, a discounted contingent claim of the  $T$ -term life insurance is given by

$$\bar{H}_T := D_{K_x+1} f(P_{K_x+1}) 1_{\{K_x+1 \leq T\}} = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} D_{k+1} f(P_{t+k}) 1_{\{K_x=k\}}, \quad (44)$$

where  $K_x := [T_x]$  is the curtate future lifetime random variable of life-aged- $x$ . For the contingent claim of the term life insurance, providing a benefit at the end of the year of death, it follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{T}_t^x$  are independent that a value process at time  $t$  of the term insurance is

$$V_t = \frac{1}{D_t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\bar{H}_T | \mathcal{F}_t^x] = \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{1}{D_t} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D_{k+1} f(P_{k+1}) | \mathcal{F}_t]_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}. \quad (45)$$

where  ${}_t q_x := \mathbb{P}[T_x \leq t]$  represents the probability that  $x$ -aged insured will die within  $t$  years.

For the  $T$ -year term life insurance and  $T$ -year pure endowment insurance both of which correspond to the segregated fund contract, observe that the sum insured forms are  $f(P_k) = F_k [G_k/F_k - P_k]^+$  for  $k = 1, \dots, T$ . On the other hand, the sum insured forms of the unit-linked life insurance are  $f(P_k) = F_k [P_k - G_k/F_k]^+ + G_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, T$ . Therefore, from the structure of the sum insureds of the segregated funds and the unit-linked life insurances, one can conclude that to price the life insurance products it is sufficient to consider European call and put options with strike price  $G_k/F_k$  and maturity  $k$  for  $k = t + 1, \dots, T$ .

To price the options for given  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , we need conditional distributions of the prices at times  $k = t + 1, \dots, T$ . If we replace  $T$  in equation (31) with  $k = t + 1, \dots, T$ , then one get that

$$\tilde{P}_k | \mathcal{G}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{k|t}(\tilde{m}_t), \sigma_{k|t}^2), \quad k = t + 1, \dots, T, \quad (46)$$

where  $\mu_{k|t}(\tilde{m}_t)$  and  $\sigma_{k|t}^2$  are conditional mean and variance of the random variable  $P_k$  given information  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and are obtained by replacing  $T$  in equations (32) and (35) with  $k$ , respectively. Therefore, analogous to equations (40) and (41), one can obtain that for  $k = t + 1, \dots, T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{k|t}(G_k/F_k) &= e^{-(k-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(P_k - G_k/F_k)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{k|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi(\tilde{d}_{k|t}^1) - e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} G_k/F_k \Phi(\tilde{d}_{k|t}^2), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{k|t}(G_k/F_k) &= e^{-(k-t)\tilde{r}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(G_k/F_k - P_T)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= e^{-(k-t)\tilde{r}} G_k/F_k \Phi(-\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2) - \exp\left\{\tilde{\mu}_{k|t} - (k-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}^2}{2}\right\} \Phi(-\tilde{d}_{k|t}^1),
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

respectively, where  $\tilde{\mu}_{k|t} := \tilde{\alpha}_{k|t}\tilde{m}_{t|t} + \tilde{\beta}_{k|t}$  is a mean of the random variable  $\mu_{k|t}(\tilde{m}_t)$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}^2 := \sigma_{k|t}^2 + \tilde{\alpha}_{k|t}^2 \Sigma(\tilde{m}_t|t)$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{k|t}^1 := (\tilde{\mu}_{k|t} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}^2 - \ln(G_k/F_k))/\tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}$ , and  $\tilde{d}_{k|t}^2 := \tilde{d}_{k|t}^1 - \tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}$ .

Consequently, from equations (47) and (48) net single premiums of the  $T$ -year life insurance products without withdrawal and operational expenses, providing a benefit at the end of the year of death (term life insurance) or the end of the year  $T$  (pure endowment insurance), are given by

1. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed term life insurance, corresponding to segregated fund contract, it holds

$$S_{x+t:\overline{T-t}|}^1 = \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} F_{s+1} P_{k+1|t} (G_{k+1}/F_{k+1})_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}; \tag{49}$$

2. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed pure endowment insurance, corresponding to segregated fund contract, it holds

$$S_{x+t:\overline{T-t}|}^1 = F_T P_{T|t} (G_T/F_T)_{T-t} p_{x+t}; \tag{50}$$

3. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed unit-linked term life insurance, it holds

$$U_{x+t:\overline{T-t}|}^1 = \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} [F_{k+1} C_{k+1|t} (G_{k+1}/F_{k+1}) + G_{k+1}]_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}; \tag{51}$$

4. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed unit-linked pure endowment insurance, it holds

$$U_{x+t:\overline{T-t}|}^1 = [F_T C_{T|t} (G_T/F_T) + G_T]_{T-t} p_{x+t}. \tag{52}$$

## 5 Locally Risk-Minimizing Strategy

By introducing the concept of mean-self-financing, Föllmer and Sondermann (1986) extended the concept of the complete market into the incomplete market. If a discounted cumulative cost process is a martingale, then a portfolio plan is called mean-self-financing. In a discrete-time case, Föllmer and Schweizer (1989) developed a locally risk-minimizing strategy and obtained a recurrence formula for optimal strategy. According to Schäl (1994) (see also Föllmer and Schied (2004)), under a martingale probability measure the locally risk-minimizing strategy and remaining conditional risk-minimizing strategy are the same. Therefore, in this section, we will consider locally risk-minimizing strategies, which correspond to the Black-Scholes call and put options given in Section 4 and the equity-linked life insurance products given in Section 5. In the insurance industry, for continuous-time unit-linked term life and pure endowment insurances with guarantee, locally risk-minimizing strategies are obtained by Møller (1998).

For  $t = 0, 1, \dots, T$ , let us denote a discounted stock price at time  $t$  by  $\overline{P}_t := D_t P_t$ , discounted dividend at time  $t$  by  $\overline{d}_t := D_t d_t$ , and a proper number of shares at time  $t$  by  $h_t$  and a proper amount of cash (risk-free bond) at time  $t$  by  $h_t^0$ , which are required to successfully hedge a generic contingent claim  $H_T$ , where we assume that the contingent claim  $H_T$  is square-integrable under the risk-neutral probability measure. Also, we denote a discounted contingent claim by  $\overline{H}_T := D_T H_T$ . Then, according to Föllmer and Schied (2004) and Föllmer and Schweizer (1989), for the filtration

$\{\mathcal{F}_t^x\}_{t=0}^T$  and the contingent claim  $H_T$ , under the risk-neutral measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  the locally risk-minimizing strategy  $(h^0, h)$  is given by the following equations:

$$h_{t+1} = \frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}}{\bar{\sigma}_{t+1}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{t+1}^0 = V_{t+1} - h_{t+1}(P_{t+1} + d_{t+1}) \quad (53)$$

for  $t = 0, \dots, T-1$  and  $h_0^0 = V_0 - h_1 P_0$ , where  $V_{t+1} := \frac{1}{D_{t+1}} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\bar{H}_T | \mathcal{F}_{t+1}^x]$  is a value process of the contingent claim  $H_T$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 := \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(\bar{P}_{t+1} + \bar{d}_{t+1} - \bar{P}_t)^2 | \mathcal{F}_t^x]$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} := \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\bar{H}_T, \bar{P}_{t+1} + \bar{d}_{t+1} - \bar{P}_t | \mathcal{F}_t^x]$ . Note that  $h_t$  is a predictable process, which means its value known at time  $t-1$ , while for the process  $h_t^0$ , its value only known at time  $t$ , and if the contingent claim  $H_T$  is generated by stock prices  $P_t$  and dividends  $d_t$  for  $t = 0, \dots, T$ , then the process  $h_t^0$  becomes predictable, see Föllmer and Schweizer (1989). For  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , since  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{T}_t^x$  are independent, if  $X$  is any random variable, which is independent of  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}_t^x$  and integrable with respect to the risk-neutral measure, then it holds

$$\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}_t^x] = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}_t], \quad (54)$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_t^x := \mathcal{G}_t \vee \mathcal{T}_t^x$  is the minimal  $\sigma$ -field that contains the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{T}_t^x$ . To obtain the locally risk-minimizing strategy, we need a distribution of a random variable, which is a sum of the price at time  $t+1$  and the dividend at time  $t+1$ , namely,  $\pi_{t+1} := P_{t+1} + d_{t+1}$  conditional on  $\mathcal{G}_t$ . If we substitute equation (22) into the approximation equation (3), then we have

$$\ln\left(\frac{P_t + d_t}{P_{t-1}}\right) \approx \tilde{r} - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{2\tilde{g}_t^2} + \frac{\tilde{u}_t}{\tilde{g}_t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\tilde{r} - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{2\tilde{g}_t^2}, \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_t^2}\right) \quad (55)$$

under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Therefore, one get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}_{t+1}^2(\tilde{m}_t) &:= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[(\bar{P}_{t+1} + \bar{d}_{t+1} - \bar{P}_t)^2 | \mathcal{G}_t] = \frac{\bar{P}_t^2}{(1+r)^2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\left(\frac{P_{t+1} + d_{t+1}}{P_t} - (1+r)\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right] \\ &= D_{2t} \left( \exp\left\{\frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}^2}\right\} - 1 \right) \exp\left\{2(\tilde{m}_t + \ln(B_t))\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Consequently, as  $2\tilde{m}_t \sim \mathcal{N}(2(\mu_0 + t\phi), 4(\sigma_0^2 + t\sigma_v^2))$ , one obtain that

$$\bar{\sigma}_{t+1}^2 = D_{2t} \left( \exp\left\{\frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}^2}\right\} - 1 \right) \exp\left\{2(\mu_0 + t\phi + \ln(B_t) + \sigma_0^2 + t\sigma_v^2)\right\}. \quad (57)$$

We define a conditional covariance given  $\mathcal{G}_t^x$ :  $\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}(\mathcal{G}_t) := \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\bar{H}_T, \bar{P}_{t+1} + \bar{d}_{t+1} - \bar{P}_t | \mathcal{G}_t^x]$  for the generic contingent claim  $H_T$ . Then, because under the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , a conditional expectation of the sum random variable  $\pi_{t+1}$  is  $(1+r)P_t$ , and the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{T}_t^x$  are independent, the conditional covariance is

a) for the Black-Scholes call and put options,

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}(\mathcal{G}_t) = D_{2t+1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{1}{D_t} \bar{H}_T (P_{t+1} + d_{t+1} - (1+r)P_t) \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right], \quad (58)$$

b) for the equity-linked pure endowment insurances,

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}(\mathcal{G}_t) = D_{2t+1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{D_T}{D_t} f(P_T) (P_{t+1} + d_{t+1} - (1+r)P_t) \middle| \mathcal{G}_t\right]_{T-t} p_{x+t}, \quad (59)$$

c) and for the equity-linked term life insurances,

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}(\mathcal{G}_t) = D_{2t+1} \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \frac{D_{k+1}}{D_t} f(P_{k+1}) \left( P_{t+1} + d_{t+1} - (1+r)P_t \right) \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right]_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}. \quad (60)$$

In order to obtain the locally risk-minimizing strategies for the Black-Scholes call and put options, and the equity-linked life insurance products, we need to calculate the conditional covariances given in equations (58)–(60) for contingent claims  $H_T = [P_T - K]^+$  and  $H_T = [K - P_T]^+$ , and sum insureds  $f(P_k) = F_k [P_k - G_k/F_k]^+ + G_k$  and  $f(P_k) = F_k [G_k/F_k - P_k]^+$  for  $k = t+1, \dots, T$ . Thus, we need Lemma 4, see Technical Annex.

To use Lemma 4 for the options and equity-linked life insurance products, for given  $\mathcal{G}_t$ , we need conditional covariances between the log price at time  $k$ ,  $k = t+1, \dots, T$  and a log of the sum random variable at time  $t+1$ . Since the random variable  $\tilde{u}_{t+1}$  is independent of the random variables  $\tilde{u}_{t+2}, \dots, \tilde{u}_T$  and  $\tilde{v}_{t+1}, \dots, \tilde{v}_T$  and log price at time  $k$  is represented by  $\tilde{P}_k = \tilde{m}_k + \tilde{b}_{t+1} + \dots + \tilde{b}_k + \ln(B_t)$ , according to system (20) and equation (24), we get that

$$\sigma_{\tilde{P}_k, \tilde{\pi}_{t+1}|t} := \widetilde{\text{Cov}}[\tilde{P}_k, \ln(P_{t+1} + d_{t+1}) | \mathcal{G}_t] = \sigma_u^2 / \tilde{g}_{t+1} \quad (61)$$

where for  $k = t+1, \dots, T$ .

Consequently, it follows from Lemma 4 and equations (58)–(60) that for  $t = 0, \dots, T-1$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda}_{t+1}$ s, which correspond to the call and put options and equity-linked life insurance products are obtained by the following equations

1. for the dividend-paying Black-Scholes call option on the weighted asset price, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = D_{2t} & \left[ \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( \frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - C_{T|t}(K) - e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( \frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

2. for the dividend-paying Black-Scholes put option on the weighted asset price, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = D_{2t} & \left[ e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) - P_{T|t}(K) \right. \\ & \left. - \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

3. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed term life insurance, corresponding to a segregated fund contract, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = -D_{2t} & \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} F_{k+1} \left[ e^{-(k+1-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{k+1|t}^2 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) - P_{k+1|t}(G_{k+1}/F_{k+1}) \right. \\ & \left. - \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{k+1|t} - (k+1-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{k+1|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{k+1|t}^1 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right]_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}; \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

4. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed pure endowment insurance, corresponding to a segregated fund contract, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = -D_{2t} F_T & \left[ e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) - P_{T|t}(G_T/F_T) \right. \\ & \left. - \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( -\frac{\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}}}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right]_{T-t} p_{x+t}; \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

5. for the  $T$ -year guaranteed unit-linked term life insurance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = & D_{2t} \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \left\{ F_{k+1} \left[ \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{k+1|t} - (k+1-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{k+1|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( \tilde{d}_{k+1|t}^1 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - C_{k+1|t} (G_{k+1}/F_{k+1}) - e^{-(k+1-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( \tilde{d}_{k+1|t}^2 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right] + G_{k+1} \right\}_{k-t} p_{x+t} q_{x+k}; \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

6. and for the  $T$ -year guaranteed unit-linked pure endowment insurance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{t+1} = & D_{2t} \left\{ F_T \left[ \exp \left\{ \tilde{\mu}_{T|t} - (T-t)\tilde{r} + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2}{2} \right\} \Phi \left( \tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - C_{T|t} (G_T/F_T) - e^{-(T-t)\tilde{r}} K \Phi \left( \tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 + \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\tilde{g}_{t+1}} \right) \right] + G_T \right\}_{T-t} p_{x+t}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where for equations (62) and (63),  $\tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 := (\tilde{\mu}_{T|t} + \tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}^2 - \ln(K))/\tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}$  and  $\tilde{d}_{T|t}^2 := \tilde{d}_{T|t}^1 - \tilde{\sigma}_{T|t}$ , and for equations (64)–(67),  $\tilde{d}_{k|t}^1 := (\tilde{\mu}_{k|t} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}^2 - \ln(G_k/F_k))/\tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}$  and  $\tilde{d}_{k|t}^2 := \tilde{d}_{k|t}^1 - \tilde{\sigma}_{k|t}$  for  $k = t+1, \dots, T$ . As a result, by substituting equations (57) and (62)–(67) into (53) we can obtain the locally risk-minimizing strategies for the Black–Scholes call and put options and the equity-linked life insurance products corresponding to the private company.

## 6 The Kalman Filtering

Let us reconsider the log private company valuation model (10). The system can be written by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{b}_t = \psi_t' z_t + \tilde{c}_t + u_t \\ z_t = A z_{t-1} + a + \eta_t \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, T, \quad (68)$$

where  $z_t := (\tilde{m}_t, \tilde{m}_{t-1})'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  state vector of the price-to-book ratios at times  $t$  and  $t-1$ ,  $\psi_t := (-1, \tilde{g}_t)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  vector,  $a := (\phi, 0)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  vector,  $\eta_t := (v_t, 0)'$  is a  $(2 \times 1)$  random vector, and

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a  $(2 \times 2)$  matrix. For system (68), its first line determines the measurement equation and the second line determines the transition equation. For each  $t = 0, \dots, T$ , conditional on the information  $\mathcal{F}_t$ , conditional expectations and covariance matrices of the log book value growth rates and the state vectors are recursively obtained by the Kalman filtering (see Hamilton (1994) and Lütkepohl (2005)):

- Initialization:

- Expectation

$$z_{0|0} := \mathbb{E}[z_0 | \mathcal{F}_0] = (\mu_0, \mu_0)' \quad (69)$$

- Covariance

$$\Sigma(z_{0|0}) := \text{Cov}[z_0 | \mathcal{F}_0] = \text{diag}\{\sigma_0^2, \sigma_0^2\} \quad (70)$$

- Prediction step: for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ,

- Expectations

$$z_{t|t-1} := \mathbb{E}(z_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = A z_{t-1|t-1} + a \quad (71)$$

$$\tilde{b}_{t|t-1} := \mathbb{E}(\tilde{b}_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = \psi_t' a + \tilde{c}_t + \psi_t' A z_{t-1|t-1} \quad (72)$$

– Covariances

$$\Sigma(z_t|t-1) := \text{Cov}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = A\Sigma(z_{t-1}|t-1)A' + \Sigma_\eta \quad (73)$$

$$\Sigma(\tilde{b}_t|t-1) := \text{Var}[\tilde{b}_t|\mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \psi_t'\Sigma(z_t|t-1)\psi_t + \sigma_u^2 \quad (74)$$

- Correction step: for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ,

– Expectations

$$z_{t|t} := \mathbb{E}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_t] = z_{t|t-1} + \mathcal{K}_t(\tilde{b}_t - \tilde{b}_{t|t-1}) \quad (75)$$

– Covariances

$$\Sigma(z_t|t) := \text{Cov}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_t] = \Sigma(z_t|t-1) - \mathcal{K}_t\Sigma(\tilde{b}_t|t-1)\mathcal{K}_t', \quad (76)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_t := \Sigma(z_t|t-1)\psi_t/\Sigma(\tilde{b}_t|t-1)$  is the Kalman filter gain.

For each  $t = T+1, T+2, \dots$ , conditional on the information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , conditional expectations and covariance matrices of the log book value growth rates and the state vectors are recursively obtained by (see Hamilton (1994) and Lütkepohl (2005)):

- Forecasting step: for  $t = T+1, T+2, \dots$ ,

– Expectations

$$z_{t|T} := \mathbb{E}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = Az_{t-1|T} + a \quad (77)$$

$$\tilde{b}_{t|T} := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{b}_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = \psi_t'z_{t|T} + \tilde{c}_t \quad (78)$$

– Covariances

$$\Sigma(z_t|T) := \text{Cov}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = A\Sigma(z_{t-1}|T)A' + \Sigma_\eta \quad (79)$$

$$\Sigma(\tilde{b}_t|T) := \text{Var}[\tilde{b}_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = \psi_t'\Sigma(z_t|T)\psi_t + \sigma_u^2 \quad (80)$$

The Kalman filtering, which is considered above provides an algorithm for filtering of the state vector of price-to-book ratio  $z_t$ , which is unobserved variable. To estimate parameters of our model (10), in addition to the Kalman filtering, we also need to make inference about the state vector of price-to-book ratio  $z_t$  for each  $t = 1, \dots, T$  based on the full information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , see below. Such an inference is called the smoothed estimate of the state vector of price-to-book ratio  $z_t$ . The smoothed inference of the state vector can be obtained by the following Kalman smoother recursions, see Hamilton (1994) and Lütkepohl (2005).

- Smoothing step: for  $t = T-1, T-2, \dots, 0$ ,

– Expectations

$$z_{t|T} := \mathbb{E}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = z_{t|t} + \mathcal{S}_t(z_{t+1|T} - z_{t+1|t}) \quad (81)$$

– Covariances

$$\Sigma(z_t|T) := \text{Cov}[z_t|\mathcal{F}_T] = \Sigma(z_t|t) - \mathcal{S}_t(\Sigma(z_{t+1}|t) - \Sigma(z_{t+1}|T))\mathcal{S}_t', \quad (82)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_t := \Sigma(z_t|t)A'\Sigma^{-1}(z_{t+1}|t)$  is the Kalman smoother gain. Also, it can be shown that

$$\Sigma(z_t, z_{t+1}|T) := \text{Cov}[z_t, z_{t+1}|\mathcal{F}_T] = \mathcal{S}_t\Sigma(z_{t+1}|T), \quad (83)$$

see Battulga (2022c).

In the EM algorithm, one considers a joint density function of a random vector, which is composed of observed variables and state variables. In our cases, the vectors of observed variables and the state variables correspond to a vector of the dividend-to-book ratios,  $\tilde{b} := (\tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_T)'$ , and a vector of the price-to-book ratios,  $\tilde{m} := (\tilde{m}_0, \dots, \tilde{m}_T)'$ , respectively. Interesting usages of the EM algorithm in econometrics can be found in Hamilton (1990) and Schneider (1992). Let us denote the joint density function by  $f_{\tilde{b}, \tilde{m}}(\tilde{b}, \tilde{m})$ . The EM algorithm consists of two steps. In the expectation (E) step of the EM algorithm, one has to determine a form of an expectation of log of the joint density given the full information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . We denote the expectation by  $\Lambda(\theta|\mathcal{F}_T)$ , that is,  $\Lambda(\theta|\mathcal{F}_T) := \mathbb{E}[\ln(f_{\tilde{b}, \tilde{m}}(\tilde{b}, \tilde{m}))|\mathcal{F}_T]$ . For our log private company valuation model (10), one can show that the expectation of log of the joint density of the vectors of the dividend-to-book ratios  $\tilde{b}$  and the price-to-book ratios  $\tilde{m}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\theta|\mathcal{F}_T) &= \mathbb{E}[\ln(f_{\tilde{b}, \tilde{m}}(\tilde{b}, \tilde{m}))|\mathcal{F}_T] \\ &= -\frac{2T+1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \ln(\sigma_u^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_u^2} \sum_{\{t|d_t=0\}} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{b}_t + \tilde{m}_t - \tilde{m}_{t-1} - \tilde{k})^2|\mathcal{F}_T] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sigma_u^2} \sum_{\{t|d_t>0\}} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{b}_t + \tilde{m}_t - \tilde{\Delta}_t - (\tilde{m}_{t-1} - \tilde{\Delta}_t + \tilde{k})g_t + h_t)^2|\mathcal{F}_T] \\ &\quad - \frac{T}{2} \ln(\sigma_v^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_v^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{m}_t - \phi - \tilde{m}_{t-1})^2|\mathcal{F}_T] - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{m}_0 - \mu_0)^2|\mathcal{F}_T], \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where  $\theta := (k, \phi, \mu_0, \sigma_u^2, \sigma_v^2, \sigma_0^2)'$  is a  $(6 \times 1)$  vector, which consists of all parameters of the model (10).

If the dividend is paid at time  $t$ , observe that the log dividend-to-price ratio is given by  $\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t = \tilde{\Delta}_t - \tilde{b}_t - \tilde{m}_t$ . Thus, due to system (8), the log dividend-to-price ratio is represented by  $\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t = h_t - (\tilde{m}_{t-1} - \tilde{\Delta}_t + \tilde{k})g_t - u_t$ . Therefore, as  $\mu_t = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{d}_t - \tilde{P}_t|\mathcal{F}_0]$ , we get that

$$\mu_t = h_t + (\tilde{\Delta}_t - \tilde{k} - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{m}_{t-1}|\mathcal{F}_0])g_t. \quad (85)$$

Consequently, because  $h_t = g_t(\ln(g_t) - \mu_t) + \mu_t$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{m}_{t-1}|\mathcal{F}_0] = \mu_0 + (t-1)\phi$ , one obtain that

$$g_t = \frac{1}{1 - \exp\{\varphi_t\}}, \quad (86)$$

where  $\varphi_t := \tilde{\Delta}_t - \tilde{k} - (\mu_0 + (t-1)\phi)$ . Further, since  $\mu_t = \ln(g_t - 1) = \varphi_t + \ln(g_t)$ , we get that

$$h_t = -\left\{ \frac{\varphi_t \exp\{\varphi_t\}}{1 - \exp\{\varphi_t\}} + \ln(1 - \exp\{\varphi_t\}) \right\}. \quad (87)$$

Thus, partial derivatives of the linearization parameters  $g_t$  and  $h_t$  are obtained as

$$\frac{\partial g_t}{\partial \alpha} = (g_t - 1)g_t \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial \alpha} = -\varphi_t(g_t - 1)g_t \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \alpha}, \quad (88)$$

where  $\alpha \in \{\tilde{k}, \mu_0, \phi\}$ . The partial derivatives of the linearization parameters will be used to obtain parameter estimators.

In the maximization (M) step of the EM algorithm, we need to find a maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes the expectation, which is determined in the E step. Taking partial derivatives from  $\Lambda(\theta|\mathcal{F}_T)$  with respect to the parameters and setting these partial derivatives to zero gives the maximum likelihood estimators as

$$\hat{\tilde{k}} := \frac{\sum_{\{t|d_t>0\}} (f_t^k(g_t - 1) + u_{t|T}^k)g_t + \sum_{\{t|d_t=0\}} (b_t + \tilde{m}_{t|T} - \tilde{m}_{t-1|T})}{\sum_{\{t|d_t>0\}} ((\mu_0 + (t-1)\phi - \tilde{m}_{t-1|T})(g_t - 1) - 1)g_t^2 + T_0}, \quad (89)$$

$$\hat{\phi} := \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \tilde{m}_{T|T} - \tilde{m}_{0|T} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{\sigma_u^2} \sum_{\{t|d_t>0\}} f_t(t-1)(g_t-1)g_t \right\}, \quad (90)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_0 := \tilde{m}_{0|T} + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_u^2} \sum_{\{t|d_t>0\}} f_t(g_t-1)g_t, \quad \hat{\sigma}_0^2 := \sigma_{0|T}^2, \quad (91)$$

and

$$\hat{\sigma}_u^2 := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(u_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T), \quad \hat{\sigma}_v^2 := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}(v_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T), \quad (92)$$

where  $T_0 := \#\{t|d_t = 0, t = 1, \dots, T\}$  is a number of non dividend paying times of the firm,

$$f_t := u_{t|T}(\mu_0 + (t-1)\phi) - \left\{ \tilde{b}_t + \frac{\tilde{m}_{t-1,t|T}}{\tilde{m}_{t-1|T}} - \tilde{\Delta}_t - \left( \frac{\tilde{m}_{t-1,t-1|T}}{\tilde{m}_{t-1|T}} - \tilde{\Delta}_t + \tilde{k} \right) g_t + h_t \right\} \tilde{m}_{t-1|T},$$

$$f_t^k := u_{t|T}^k(\mu_0 + (t-1)\phi) - \left\{ \tilde{b}_t + \frac{\tilde{m}_{t-1,t|T}}{\tilde{m}_{t-1|T}} - \tilde{\Delta}_t - \left( \frac{\tilde{m}_{t-1,t-1|T}}{\tilde{m}_{t-1|T}} - \tilde{\Delta}_t \right) g_t + h_t \right\} \tilde{m}_{t-1|T},$$

is a constant that deletes the terms, related to  $\tilde{k}$  from  $f_t$ ,  $u_{t|T} := \tilde{b}_t - \psi'_t z_{t|T} - \tilde{c}_t$  is a smoothed residual of the random error  $u_t$ ,  $u_{t|T}^k := u_{t|T} + \tilde{k}g_t$  is a constant, which deletes the term  $\tilde{k}g_t$  from the smoothed residual  $u_{t|T}$ ,  $\tilde{m}_{t|T} := e'_1 z_{t|T}$  is the first component of the smoothed inference vector  $z_{t|T}$ ,  $\tilde{m}_{t-1,t-1|T} := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{m}_{t-1}\tilde{m}_{t-1} | \mathcal{F}_T] = e'_1(\Sigma(z_{t-1|T}) + z_{t-1|T}z'_{t-1|T})e_1$  is an expectation of a product of the state variables,  $\tilde{m}_{t-1}\tilde{m}_{t-1}$ , given the full information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , and due to equation (83),  $\tilde{m}_{t-1,t|T} := \mathbb{E}[\tilde{m}_{t-1}\tilde{m}_t | \mathcal{F}_T] = e'_1(\mathcal{S}_{t-1}\Sigma(z_t|T) + z_{t-1|T}z'_{t|T})e_1$  is an expectation of a product of the state variables,  $\tilde{m}_{t-1}\tilde{m}_t$ , given the full information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ .

To calculate the conditional expectations  $\mathbb{E}(u_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T)$  and  $\mathbb{E}(v_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T)$ , let  $v_{t|T} = e'_1(z_{t|T} - Az_{t-1|T} - a)$  be a smoothed residual at time  $t$  of the error random variable  $v_t$ . The random errors at time  $t$  of the log book value growth rate and the log price-to-book ratio can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{t|T} - \psi'_t(z_t - z_{t|T}) \\ v_t &= v_{t|T} + e'_1(z_t - z_{t|T}) - e'_1A(z_{t-1} - z_{t-1|T}). \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Therefore, as  $u_{t|T}$  and  $v_{t|T}$  are known at time  $T$  (measurable with respect to the full information  $\mathcal{F}_T$ ), it follows from equations (83) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(u_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T) &= u_{t|T}^2 + \psi'_t \Sigma(z_t | T) \psi_t \\ \mathbb{E}(v_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_T) &= v_{t|T}^2 + e'_1 \Sigma(z_t | T) e_1 + e'_1 A \Sigma(z_{t-1} | T) A' e_1 - 2e'_1 A \mathcal{S}_{t-1} \Sigma(z_t | T) e_1, \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

c.f. Schneider (1992). If we substitute equation (94) into (92), then under suitable conditions the zig-zag iteration that corresponds to equations (69)–(76), (81), (82), and (89)–(92) converges to the maximum likelihood estimators of our log private company valuation model. As a result, an estimator of the value at time  $t$  of the private company is calculated by the following formula

$$\hat{P}_t = m_{t|T} B_t, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, T, \quad (95)$$

where  $m_{t|T} = \exp\{\tilde{m}_{t|T}\}$  is a smoothed price-to-book ratio at time  $t$ . Also, an analyst can forecast the value of the private company by using equations (77) and (78). It should be noted that the log private company valuation model we consider in this section can be used not only by private companies but also by public companies.

## 7 Conclusion

For a private company, because of unobserved price, the option and the life insurance pricing, and the hedging are challenging tasks. For this reason, this paper introduces a log private company valuation model, which is based on the dynamic Gordon growth model. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to introduce an option pricing model for a private company. For a public company, the traditional option valuation model concentrate on observed price. In this paper, we introduce an option pricing model that is based on book values and price-to-book ratios for the public company. Since most private (public) companies pay dividends at least once before they expire, we consider the dividend-paying Black-Scholes call and put options and obtain closed-form pricing formulas for the options. Next, under the assumption that the unobserved (observed) stock price represents a fund and an insured receives dividends from the fund, we obtain pricing formulas for the equity-linked life insurance products, which consist of the unit-linked term life and pure endowment insurance products, and the term life and pure endowment insurances, which correspond to segregated fund contract. Because hedging is an important concept for options and life insurance products, we derived locally risk-minimizing strategies for the Black-Scholes call and put options and the equity-linked life insurance products. Finally, to use the model, we provide maximum likelihood estimators of the model's parameters and EM algorithm.

Further extensions of the model are as follows: (i) error term should be modeled by correlated conditional heteroscedastic models, (ii) price-to-book ratio should be modeled by AR( $p$ ) process with unit root, (iii) risk-free rate should be modeled by a model that varies over time, and (iv) as mentioned before dividend-to-book ratio should be modeled by some model, see Battulga (2022a) and Battulga (2022b).

## 8 Technical Annex

Here we give the Lemmas and their proofs.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 > 0$ , and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, it holds*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\alpha_1 X + \beta_1)] = \Phi\left(\frac{\alpha_1 \mu + \beta_1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_1^2 \sigma^2}}\right) \quad (96)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{\alpha_2 X + \beta_2\} \Phi(\alpha_3 X + \beta_3)\right] = \exp\left\{\alpha_2 \mu + \beta_2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right\} \Phi\left(\frac{\alpha_3 \mu + \beta_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \sigma^2}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_3^2 \sigma^2}}\right), \quad (97)$$

where  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du$  is the cumulative standard normal distribution function.

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a standard normal random variable, which is independent of the random variable  $X$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(\alpha_1 X + \beta_1)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}[Z \leq \alpha_1 X + \beta_1 | X]] = \mathbb{P}[Z - \alpha_1 X \leq \beta_1].$$

Because the random variable  $Z - \alpha_1 X$  follows normal distribution with mean  $-\alpha_1 \mu$  and variance  $1 + \alpha_1^2 \sigma^2$ , we prove equation (96). For equation (97) of the Lemma, observe that

$$\alpha_2 x + \beta_2 - \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} = \alpha_2 \mu + \beta_2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma^2}{2} - \frac{(x - \mu - \alpha_2 \sigma^2)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

As a result, we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\{\alpha_2 X + \beta_2\} \Phi(\alpha_3 X + \beta_3)\right] = \exp\left\{\alpha_2 \mu + \beta_2 + \frac{\alpha_2^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right\} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\alpha_3 Y + \beta_3)],$$

where  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \alpha_2 \sigma^2, \sigma^2)$ . By using equation (96), one prove equation (97). That completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be real random variables and their joint distribution is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Then, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(e^{X_1} - e^{X_2})^+\right] = \exp\left\{\mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2}\right\} \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_{12}}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_2^2}}\right) - \exp\left\{\mu_2 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2}\right\} \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \sigma_{12} - \sigma_2^2}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_{12} + \sigma_2^2}}\right).$$

*Proof.* The tower property of a conditional expectation implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(e^{X_1} - e^{X_2})^+\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[(e^{X_1} - e^{X_2})^+ | X_1]\right]. \quad (98)$$

At the first step, let us consider the conditional expectation of equation (98). According to the well known conditional distribution formula of the multivariate normal distribution, we have

$$X_2 | X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{2.1}(X_1), \sigma_{22.1}^2),$$

where  $\mu_{2.1}(X_1) := \mu_2 + \sigma_{12}/\sigma_1^2(X_1 - \mu_1)$  is a conditional mean and  $\sigma_{22.1}^2 := \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_{12}^2/\sigma_1^2$  is a conditional variance of the random variable  $X_2$  given  $X_1$ . Let us denote a density function of the random variable  $X_2$  given  $X_1$  by  $\phi(x_2|X_1)$ . Then, one get that

$$\mathbb{E}[(e^{X_1} - e^{X_2})^+ | X_1] = e^{X_1} \int_{-\infty}^{X_1} \phi(x_2|X_1) dx_2 - \int_{-\infty}^{X_1} e^{X_1} \phi(x_2|X_1) dx_2.$$

For the first and second term of the right-hand side of the above equation, by using the partial integration formula and the completing the square method one can show that

$$e^{X_1} \int_{-\infty}^{X_1} \phi(x_2|X_1) dx_2 = e^{X_1} \Phi\left(\frac{X_1 - \mu_{2.1}(X_1)}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22.1}}}\right)$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{X_1} e^{X_1} \phi(x_2|X_1) dx_2 = \exp\left\{\mu_{2.1}(X_1) + \frac{\sigma_{22.1}}{2}\right\} \Phi\left(\frac{X_1 - \mu_{2.1}(X_1) - \sigma_{22.1}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22.1}}}\right).$$

At final step, since  $\mu_{2.1}(X_1)$  is the linear function for its argument  $X_1$ , using Lemma 1 one completes the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Then for all  $K > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(e^X - K)^+] = \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \Phi(d_1) - K \Phi(d_2) \quad (99)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[(K - e^X)^+] = K \Phi(-d_2) - \exp\left\{\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right\} \Phi(-d_1), \quad (100)$$

where  $d_1 := (\mu + \sigma^2 - \ln(K))/\sigma$ ,  $d_2 := d_1 - \sigma$ , and  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du$  is the cumulative standard normal distribution function.

*Proof.* By taking  $X_1 = X$  and  $X_2 = \ln(K)$  for equation (99) and  $X_1 = \ln(K)$  and  $X_2 = X$  for equation (100) in Lemma 2, one obtain the proof of the Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be real random variables and their joint distribution is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Then, for all  $K > 0$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[(e^{X_1} - K)^+(e^{X_2} - \mathbb{E}[e^{X_2}])\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{X_2}] \left[ \mathbb{E}[e^{X_1}] \left\{ e^{\sigma_{12}} \Phi\left(d_1 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1}\right) - \Phi(d_1) \right\} - K \left\{ \Phi\left(d_2 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1}\right) - \Phi(d_2) \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[(K - e^{X_1})^+(e^{X_2} - \mathbb{E}[e^{X_2}])\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{X_2}] \left[ K \left\{ \Phi\left(-d_2 - \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1}\right) - \Phi(-d_2) \right\} - \mathbb{E}[e^{X_1}] \left\{ e^{\sigma_{12}} \Phi\left(-d_1 - \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_1}\right) - \Phi(-d_1) \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where for each  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[e^{X_i}] = e^{\mu_i + \sigma_i^2/2}$  is the expectation of the log-normal random variable,  $d_1 := (\mu_1 + \sigma_1^2 - \ln(K))/\sigma_1$ ,  $d_2 := d_1 - \sigma_1$ , and  $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-u^2/2} du$  is the cumulative standard normal distribution function.

*Proof.* The proof of the Lemma follows from Lemmas 2 and 3. □

## References

- Aase, K. K., & Persson, S.-A. (1994). Pricing of unit-linked life insurance policies. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 1994(1), 26–52.
- Battulga, G. (2022a). Augmented dynamic gordon growth model. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06012>.
- Battulga, G. (2022b). Option pricing under bayesian ms-var process. *submitted to Numerical Algebra, Control & Optimization*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.05998>.
- Battulga, G. (2022c). Parameter estimation methods of required rate of return on stock.
- Battulga, G., Jacob, K., Altangerel, L., & Horsch, A. (2022). Dividends and compound poisson-process: A new stochastic stock price model. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 25(3), 2250014.
- Bjork, T. (2020). *Arbitrage theory in continuous time* (4th ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Black, F., & Scholes, M. (1973). The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. *Journal of Political Economy*, 81(3), 637–654. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1086/260062>
- Bowers, N. L., Gerber, H. U., Hickman, J. C., Jonas, D. A., & Nesbitt, C. J. (1997). *Actuarial mathematics* (2nd ed.) (No. 517/A18). The Society of Actuaries.
- Campbell, J. Y., & Shiller, R. J. (1988). Stock prices, earnings, and expected dividends. *the Journal of Finance*, 43(3), 661–676.
- d’Amico, G., & De Blasis, R. (2020). A review of the dividend discount model: from deterministic to stochastic models. *Statistical Topics and Stochastic Models for Dependent Data with Applications*, 47–67.
- Föllmer, H., & Schied, A. (2004). *Stochastic finance: an introduction in discrete time* (2nd ed.). Walter de Gruyter.
- Föllmer, H., & Schweizer, M. (1989). Hedging by sequential regression: An introduction to the mathematics of option trading. *ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA*, 18(2), 147–160.
- Föllmer, H., & Sondermann, D. (1986). Hedging of non-redundant contingent claims. , 206–223.

- Hamilton, J. D. (1990). Analysis of time series subject to changes in regime. *Journal of econometrics*, 45(1-2), 39–70.
- Hamilton, J. D. (1994). *Time series econometrics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Hardy, M. R. (2001). A regime-switching model of long-term stock returns. *North American Actuarial Journal*, 5(2), 41–53. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10920277.2001.10595984>
- Lütkepohl, H. (2005). *New introduction to multiple time series analysis* (2nd ed.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Merton, R. C. (1973). Theory of rational option pricing. *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*, 4(1), 141–183.
- Møller, T. (1998). Risk-minimizing hedging strategies for unit-linked life insurance contracts. *ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA*, 28(1), 17–47.
- Pliska, S. (1997). *Introduction to mathematical finance*. Blackwell publishers Oxford.
- Schäl, M. (1994). On quadratic cost criteria for option hedging. *Mathematics of operations research*, 19(1), 121–131.
- Schneider, W. (1992). Systems of seemingly unrelated regression equations with time varying coefficients—an interplay of kalman filtering, scoring, em-and minque-method. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 24(8-9), 1–16.
- Williams, J. B. (1938). *The theory of investment value*. Harvard University Press.