

# A unified approach to the nonlinear Rabi models

Liwei Duan\*

Department of Physics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China

(Dated: June 22, 2022)

An analytical approach is proposed and applied to study the two-photon, two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models. By virtue of the  $su(1,1)$  Lie algebra, all of them can be unified to the same Hamiltonian with  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  symmetry. There exist some exact isolated solutions which correspond to eigenstates with finite dimensions. Beyond the isolated solutions, the regular spectrum can be achieved by finding the roots of the G-function. The corresponding eigenstates are of infinite dimension. It is noteworthy that the coefficients of the eigenstates present an exponential decay behavior. The decay rates decrease with the increase of the coupling strength. When the coupling strength tends to the spectral collapse point  $g \rightarrow \omega/2$ , the decay rate tends to zero which prevents the convergence of the wave functions. This work paves a way for the analysis of the novel physics in nonlinear quantum optics.

## I. INTRODUCTION

As a paradigmatic model to study the light-matter interacting systems, the Rabi model has been proposed for more than 80 years [1–3]. Renewed attention has been paid to the quantum Rabi model over the last decades, due to the burst of experiments that push into ultra-strong and even deep strong coupling regimes [4, 5], the emergence of the quantum phase transition in the finite component systems [6–9], as well as the breakthrough of the analytical exact solutions obtained from the G-functions in the Bargmann space [10] and Bogoliubov operator approach [11]. The quantum Rabi model serves as a building block for the quantum information processing [4], and it forms a connecting link between mathematics, physics, and technology [3]. The quantum Rabi model originally describes a two-level system linearly interacting with a single bosonic mode. Recently, a generalized Rabi model has stepped into the spotlight which considers the nonlinear interaction between the two-level system and the bosonic field. Among them, two-photon, two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models are three typical ones which introduce different forms of nonlinear interactions.

The two-photon and two-mode Rabi models describe the transitions of the two-level system accompanied by emitting or absorbing two photons in single- and two-mode bosonic field respectively. They can be used to describe the second-order process with consequently small coupling strengths in different physical setups [12]. Two-photon processes can generate high-order correlations between the emitted photons which is of great significance in quantum optics and quantum information science [13]. Recently, implementations of the two-photon Rabi models in the trapped ion [12, 14] and superconducting circuits [15, 16] have been proposed which can reach the ultrastrong coupling regime. The increase in the coupling strength prompts us to search for more accurate methods

beyond the rotating wave approximation (RWA) [17–19]. Based on the numerical diagonalization in a truncated basis, Ng *et al.* found that there exist significant differences in the energy spectra with and without RWA [20]. Emary and Bishop found some exact isolated solutions for the two-photon Rabi model based on the Bogoliubov transformations [21]. Furthermore, Chen *et al.* achieved the exact analytical solutions to the two-photon and two-mode Rabi models with the Bogoliubov operator approach [11, 22, 23]. There are also some attempts to solve the two-photon and two-mode Rabi models in the Bargmann space [24–27].

Pioneered by Buck and Sukumar, they proposed an intensity-dependent Jaynes-Cummings model, namely the Buck-Sukumar model, to study the collapse and revival behavior of the two-level system [28]. The intensity-dependent Rabi model can be regarded as a generalization of the Buck-Sukumar model which introduces the counter-rotating wave terms and the Holstein-Primakoff realization of the  $su(1,1)$  operators [20, 29, 30]. The trapped ion far away from the Lamb-Dicke regime can be used to simulate the nonlinear Rabi model [31], and it can be used to generate arbitrary  $n$ -phonon Fock states [32]. To the best of our knowledge, neither the exact isolated solutions nor the regular spectrum have been found in this model.

Although the Hamiltonians of the two-photon, two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models are quite different, they share some common features: (1) One can introduce the  $su(1,1)$  Lie algebra to describe the bosonic parts of three Hamiltonians. The Bargmann index can be used to characterize different Hilbert subspaces. (2) All of them exist spectral collapse phenomena [12, 20, 22, 30]. When the coupling strength is large enough, the discrete energy levels tend to form a continuous energy band except for some low-lying states [22]. Beyond the spectral collapse point, the nonlinear Rabi models become non-physical. In this paper, we employ the  $su(1,1)$  Lie algebra to unify three models to a common Hamiltonians with  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  symmetry. Then, the analytical solutions to the common Hamiltonian are achieved by employing the Bogoliubov operator approach.

---

\* duanlw@gmail.com

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we revisit the  $\text{su}(1,1)$  Lie algebra. In Sec. III, we introduce a common Hamiltonian which recovers three nonlinear Rabi models by employing different realizations of  $\text{su}(1,1)$  algebra. In Sec. IV, we construct an ansatz for the common Hamiltonian by choosing appropriate bases. The asymptotic behavior of the coefficient of the ansatz is analyzed. The condition to achieve exact isolated solutions is given. Beyond the isolated solutions, the regular spectrum is achieved by solving the G-function. The energy spectrum and the behavior of the eigenstates can be found in Sec. V. Finally, a brief summary is given in Sec. VI.

## II. $\text{SU}(1,1)$ GROUP

The group theory has been employed in various branches in quantum optics [33, 34]. We begin by briefly reviewing the basic properties of the  $\text{SU}(1,1)$  group and its associate  $\text{su}(1,1)$  algebra. The  $\text{SU}(1,1)$  group is non-compact. The generators associated with  $\text{SU}(1,1)$  group satisfy

$$[\hat{K}_0, \hat{K}_\pm] = \pm \hat{K}_\pm, \quad [\hat{K}_+, \hat{K}_-] = -2\hat{K}_0. \quad (1)$$

The corresponding Casimir  $\hat{C}$  operator can be written as

$$\hat{C} = \hat{K}_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{K}_+ \hat{K}_- + \hat{K}_- \hat{K}_+), \quad (2)$$

which commutes with all the elements of  $\text{su}(1,1)$  the Lie algebra. One can choose the basis  $|k, m\rangle$ , which satisfies the following relations,

$$\hat{K}_0 |k, m\rangle = (k + m) |k, m\rangle, \quad (3a)$$

$$\hat{K}_+ |k, m\rangle = \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2k)} |k, m+1\rangle, \quad (3b)$$

$$\hat{K}_- |k, m\rangle = \sqrt{m(m+2k-1)} |k, m-1\rangle, \quad (3c)$$

$$\hat{C} |k, m\rangle = k(k-1) |k, m\rangle, \quad (3d)$$

with  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . All states can be obtained from the lowest one  $|k, 0\rangle$  by successive actions of the raising operator  $\hat{K}_+$  according to

$$|k, m\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2k)}{m! \Gamma(2k+m)}} \hat{K}_+^m |k, 0\rangle. \quad (4)$$

The number  $k$  is known as the Bargmann index which separates different irreducible representations.

## III. NONLINEAR RABI MODEL

A general nonlinear Rabi model with an  $\text{su}(1,1)$  coupling scheme can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \omega \hat{K}_0 + g \hat{\sigma}_x (\hat{K}_+ + \hat{K}_-), \quad (5)$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\omega$  correspond to the frequency of the two-level system and bosonic field respectively,  $g$  is the coupling strength. Such a Hamiltonian has been studied by Penna *et al.* [30] who mainly focused on the two-mode and Holstein-Primakoff realizations of the  $\text{su}(1,1)$  algebra. Depending on the choice of the realizations,  $\hat{H}$  can be expressed in different forms.

### A. Two-photon Rabi model

In the one-mode bosonic realization, the generators can be expressed as

$$\hat{K}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (6a)$$

$$\hat{K}_+ = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}^\dagger)^2, \quad (6b)$$

$$\hat{K}_- = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^2, \quad (6c)$$

where  $\hat{a}$  ( $\hat{a}^\dagger$ ) is the bosonic annihilation (creation) operator. The corresponding Bargmann index is  $k = 1/4$  or  $3/4$ . Given the Fock states  $|n\rangle_a$  which satisfies  $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} |n\rangle_a = n |n\rangle_a$ , the basis  $|k, m\rangle$  can be rewritten as

$$|k, m\rangle = \left| 2 \left( m + k - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right\rangle_a. \quad (7)$$

Therefore,  $k = 1/4$  and  $3/4$  correspond to the even and odd numbers of bosons respectively.

One can obtain the well-known two-photon Rabi model [20–22] by substituting  $\hat{K}_0$  and  $\hat{K}_\pm$  in Eq. (5) with those in Eq. (6), which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{2p} &= \hat{H} - \frac{\omega_{2p}}{2}, \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \omega_{2p} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g_{2p} \hat{\sigma}_x \left( (\hat{a})^2 + \hat{a}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with  $\omega_{2p} = \omega/2$ ,  $g_{2p} = g/2$ .

### B. Two-mode Rabi model

In the two-mode bosonic realization, the generators can be expressed as

$$\hat{K}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + 1 \right), \quad (9a)$$

$$\hat{K}_+ = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}^\dagger, \quad (9b)$$

$$\hat{K}_- = \hat{a} \hat{b}. \quad (9c)$$

The corresponding Bargmann index is  $k = 1/2, 1, 3/2, \dots$ . Given the Fock states  $|n\rangle_s$  ( $s = a, b$ ) which satisfies  $\hat{s}^\dagger \hat{s} |n\rangle_s = n |n\rangle_s$ , the basis  $|k, m\rangle$  can be rewritten as

$$|k, m\rangle = |m + 2k - 1\rangle_a \otimes |m\rangle_b. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the Bargmann index are related with the number difference between two modes.

One can obtain the two-mode Rabi model [23, 26, 30] by substituting  $\hat{K}_0$  and  $\hat{K}_\pm$  in Eq. (5) with those in Eq. (9), which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_{2m} &= \hat{H} - \omega_{2m} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z + \omega_{2m}(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}) + g_{2m}\hat{\sigma}_x(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{a}\hat{b}),\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

with  $\omega_{2m} = \omega/2$  and  $g_{2m} = g$ .

### C. Intensity-dependent Rabi model

In the Holstein-Primakoff realization, the generators can be expressed as

$$\hat{K}_0 = \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + k, \quad (12a)$$

$$\hat{K}_+ = \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 2k - 1}\hat{a}^\dagger, \quad (12b)$$

$$\hat{K}_- = \hat{a}\sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 2k - 1}, \quad (12c)$$

where  $k$  is the Bargmann index. In this case, the basis  $|k, m\rangle$  is nothing but the Fock state, namely,  $|k, m\rangle = |m\rangle_a$ .

One can obtain the intensity-dependent Rabi model [30, 35] by substituting  $\hat{K}_0$  and  $\hat{K}_\pm$  in Eq. (5) with those in Eq. (12), which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_I &= \hat{H} - k\omega_I \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z + \omega_I\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} \\ &\quad + g_I\hat{\sigma}_x\left(\sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 2k - 1}\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}\sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + 2k - 1}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

with  $\omega_I = \omega$  and  $g_I = g$ . The well-known Buck-Sukumar model [28] is recovered if we choose  $k = 1/2$  and perform the RWA.

## IV. METHODS

Up to a global shift, three nonlinear Rabi models can be expressed in a united form  $\hat{H}$  [Eq. (5)] in terms of the  $\text{su}(1,1)$  generators. Instead of solving three nonlinear Rabi models individually, we only need to find the analytical solutions to  $\hat{H}$  itself.

One can define the parity operator  $\hat{\Pi} = -\hat{\sigma}_z \otimes \hat{T}$  with  $\hat{T} = \exp\left[i\pi\left(\hat{K}_0 - k\right)\right]$ , which commutes with Hamiltonian (5). The parity operator  $\hat{\Pi}$  has eigenvalues  $\Pi = \pm 1$ , and it can separate the whole Hilbert space into two subspaces with even and odd parities respectively. In the basis of  $\{|\pm\rangle\}$  which satisfy  $\hat{\sigma}_x|\pm\rangle = \pm|\pm\rangle$ , Hamiltonian (5) can be written in a matrix form,

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega\hat{K}_0 + g\left(\hat{K}_+ + \hat{K}_-\right) & -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ -\frac{\epsilon}{2} & \omega\hat{K}_0 - g\left(\hat{K}_+ + \hat{K}_-\right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Since diagonal elements only consist of the linear combination of the  $\text{su}(1,1)$  generators, one can easily achieve its eigenstates and eigenvalues which satisfy

$$\left[\omega\hat{K}_0 \pm g\left(\hat{K}_+ + \hat{K}_-\right)\right]|k, m\rangle_\pm = \beta(k+m)|k, m\rangle_\pm, \quad (15)$$

with  $\beta = \sqrt{\omega^2 - 4g^2}$  and

$$|k, m\rangle_\pm = \hat{S}(\mp r)|k, m\rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\hat{S}(\mp r) = \exp\left[\mp\frac{r}{2}\left(\hat{K}_+ - \hat{K}_-\right)\right], \quad (17)$$

$$r = \text{arctanh}\left(\frac{2g}{\omega}\right). \quad (18)$$

For the one-mode and two-mode realizations,  $\hat{S}(\mp r)$  corresponds to the well-known Bogoliubov transformation or squeezing operator [2]. It should be noted that when  $g \rightarrow \omega/2$ ,  $\beta$  tends to zero, which leads to spectral collapse [12, 20, 22, 30]. Beyond the spectral collapse point ( $g > \omega/2$ ), the ground state is ill-defined and the nonlinear Rabi model becomes unphysical. Therefore, we only focus on  $0 < g < \omega/2$  in this paper.

To achieve the eigenstates of  $\hat{H}$  [Eq. (14)], one can construct an ansatz which is written as a superposition of  $\{|k, m\rangle_+\}$ , namely,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \begin{pmatrix} c_m |k, m\rangle_+ \\ d_m |k, m\rangle_+ \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

From the Schrödinger equation  $\hat{H}|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ , the coefficients  $c_m$  and  $d_m$  should satisfy

$$\beta(k+m)c_m - \frac{\epsilon}{2}d_m = Ec_m, \quad (20)$$

---


$$-\frac{\epsilon}{2}c_m + \beta\left(\cosh 2r(k+m)d_m - \frac{\sinh 2r}{2}\left(\sqrt{m(m+2k-1)}d_{m-1} + \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2k)}d_{m+1}\right)\right) = Ed_m. \quad (21)$$


---

Eq. (20) leads to

$$c_m = \frac{\epsilon/2}{\beta(k+m) - E}d_m. \quad (22)$$

Substituting the above equation into Eq. (21), we can



TABLE I. Exact isolated solutions for  $k = 1/4$  and  $1/2$  with  $\epsilon = \omega = 1$ . The energy is located on the baseline  $E = \beta(k + M)$ , while the corresponding coupling strength  $g$  is determined by Eq. (29).

	$M = 1$	$M = 2$	$M = 2$	$M = 3$	$M = 3$	$M = 3$
$g^a$	0.3535533906	0.2204002402	0.4547316538	0.1568336781	0.3626210904	0.4782672783
$E^a$	0.8838834765	2.0196115013	0.9355144259	3.0859820301	2.2376050069	0.9477617545
$g^b$	0.3061862178	0.1994076564	0.4291793563	0.1457789392	0.3419056455	0.4636529203
$E^b$	1.1858541226	2.2925781698	1.2826250435	3.3479361619	2.5538061692	1.3100658403

<sup>a</sup>  $k = 1/4$

<sup>b</sup>  $k = 1/2$

with  $\xi = \tanh \frac{r}{2}$ . Projecting Eq. (34a) onto  $|k, 0\rangle$ , we achieve the G-function as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_k^\Pi(E) &= \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} d_m (-1)^m \langle k, 0 | k, m \rangle_- - \Pi c_m \langle k, 0 | k, m \rangle_+ \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \Xi_{k,m}^\Pi(E) \xi^m \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

with

$$\Xi_{k,m}^\Pi(E) = (d_m - \Pi c_m) (1 - \xi^2)^k \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2k + m)}{m! \Gamma(2k)}}, \tag{37}$$

where we have employ Eq. (35).  $G_k^\Pi(E)$  can be regarded as a power series in  $\xi$ . From Eq. (26), we can find that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Xi_{k,m+1}^\Pi}{\Xi_{k,m}^\Pi} \leq \coth r, \tag{38}$$

which indicates that the radius of convergence satisfy  $R \geq \tanh r$ . Therefore,  $G_k^\Pi(E)$  is well-defined and will always converge due to  $\xi < R$ . The roots of  $G_k^\Pi(E)$  determine the eigenenergies, with which we can obtain the eigenstates according to Eqs. (22) and (23). The G-functions of the two-photon and two-mode Rabi models [22–26] have been analyzed separately, whereas few studies focused on the intensity-dependent Rabi model. In this paper, three nonlinear Rabi models can be described by a unified G-function [Eq. (36)], and one only need to keep in mind that they correspond to different realizations of  $\text{su}(1,1)$  algebra.

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The energy spectra for  $k = 1/4$  and  $1/2$  are illustrated in Fig. 1. The two-photon Rabi model are associated with  $k = 1/4$ , as shown in Fig. 1(a). It should be noted that the eigenenergies of the two-photon Rabi model should be  $E_{2p} = E - \frac{\omega_{2p}}{2}$ , as indicated in Eq. (8). Fig. 1(b) depicts the energy spectrum at  $k = 1/2$ , which are associated with the two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models. The eigenenergies of the two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models should be




FIG. 1. Energy spectrum as a function of the coupling strength  $g$  at  $\epsilon = \omega = 1$  for (a)  $k = 1/4$  and (b)  $k = 1/2$ . The blue (red) solid lines correspond to the even (odd) parity. The dashed lines refer to the baseline energies. Different symbols are related with the exact isolated solutions given in Table I. The circle, square and triangle correspond to  $M = 1, 2$  and  $3$  respectively.

$E_{2m} = E - \omega_{2m}$  and  $E_I = E - k\omega_I$  respectively, as indicated in Eq. (11) and (13). Especially, different symbols located on the baseline correspond to the exact isolated solutions given in Table I, which can be achieved by solving Eq. (29). Clearly, the exact isolated solution corresponds to the level crossing between even and odd parities, and its number on each baseline is given by  $M$ .




FIG. 2.  $G_k^\Pi$  as function of  $E$  for  $\epsilon = \omega = 1$ ,  $g = 0.4$ , and  $k = 1/2$ . The blue (red) solid lines correspond to the even (odd) parity. The dashed lines correspond to the baseline energies. The black circles refer to the eigenvalues obtained from the numerical diagonalization.

Beyond the exact isolated solutions, the regular spectrum is determined by finding the roots of the G-function. As an example, Fig. 2 shows the G-function at  $\epsilon = \omega = 1$ ,  $g = 0.4$  and  $k = 1/4$ . It can be used to describe either the two-mode Rabi model with  $\omega_{2m} = \omega/2 = 0.5$  and  $g_{2m} = g = 0.4$ , or the intensity-dependent Rabi model with  $\omega_I = \omega = 1$  and  $g_I = g = 0.4$ . The eigenenergies obtained from the numerical diagonalization are also depicted as a benchmark. The roots of  $G_k^\Pi(E)$  correspond to the eigenenergies, which fits well with those obtained from the numerical diagonalization.

Figure 3(a) shows the coefficients  $d_m$  of wave functions near the eigenenergy, and the asymptotic behaviors are also depicted. The exact eigenenergy corresponds to the root of the G-function, which is given in the inset. As demonstrated in Eqs. (26)-(28), there exist two linearly independent solutions for  $d_m$  at  $0 < g < \omega/2$ : in the limit of  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $|d_m^{(0)}| \propto (2g/\omega)^m = \exp(-\gamma_d m)$ ,  $|d_m^{(1)}| \propto (\omega/2g)^m = \exp(\gamma_d m)$ , with  $\gamma_d = \ln(\omega/2g)$ . Due to  $\gamma_d > 0$ ,  $d_m^{(0)}$  tends to exponentially decay, whereas  $|d_m^{(1)}|$  tends to exponentially increase. As indicated by the red dots in Fig. 3(a), when  $E$  is far away from the eigenenergy,  $d_m$  obtained from Eq. (23) is dominated by  $d_m^{(1)}$ . When  $E$  is closer to the eigenenergy, the weight of  $d_m^{(0)}$  increases.  $d_m$  shows an exponential decay behavior which is governed by  $d_m^{(0)}$ , when  $m$  is small. On the contrary, an exponential increase behavior emerges which is governed by  $d_m^{(1)}$  when  $m$  is large. When  $E$  is exactly the eigenenergy, we expect that  $d_m = d_m^{(0)}$  which leads to exponential decay of the coefficients of the wavefunction, as illustrated by the green circles in Fig. 3(a).

The influences of the coupling strength  $g$  on  $d_m$  are shown in Fig. 3(b), where we have chosen  $E$  the exact eigenenergies. The asymptotic behavior in the limit of




FIG. 3.  $\ln |d_m|$  for  $\epsilon = \omega = 1$  and  $k = 1/2$ . The solid lines are plotted as a benchmark, which correspond to the asymptotic behavior  $|d_m| \propto \exp(-\gamma_d m)$  with  $\gamma_d = \ln(\omega/2g)$ . (a) The coupling strength is fixed at  $g = 0.4$ . Different  $E$  are marked with different symbols, and the corresponding  $G_k^\Pi(E)$  are also shown in the inset. (b)  $\ln |d_m|$  for different coupling strength  $g$ .  $E$  is fixed at the corresponding lowest energy for  $\Pi = -1$ .

$m \rightarrow +\infty$  is well described by  $|d_m^{(0)}| \propto \exp(-\gamma_d m)$ . Increasing the coupling strength  $g/\omega$  leads to the decrease of  $\gamma_d$ , which indicates that one need more bases to describe the corresponding eigenstate. When the coupling strength tends to the spectral collapse point  $g \rightarrow \omega/2$ , the decay rate  $\gamma_d$  tends to zero. Therefore, one can hardly describe the properties near the spectral collapse point with a truncated Hilbert space.

## VI. SUMMARY

In the last decades, exploring the strong and nonlinear coupling between light and matter has achieved great processes. The interest in the nonlinear Rabi models has blossomed, both experimentally and theoretically. In this paper, we focus on three typical nonlinear Rabi models: two-photon, two-mode and intensity-dependent Rabi models, and propose a unified analytical approach.

Previous studies mainly dealt with three models individually, and their common behaviors didn't receive sufficient attention. By virtue of different realizations of the  $su(1,1)$  Lie algebra, three models can be described by the same Hamiltonian with  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  symmetry. By choosing appropriate bases, we construct an ansatz to describe the eigenstates, whose coefficients satisfy a three-term recurrence relation. There exist some exact isolated solutions located on level crossings between different parities. The eigenstates corresponding to the exact isolated solutions can be reduced to a closed-form with finite dimensions. We reproduce the exact isolated solutions in the two-photon and two-mode Rabi models, whereas those in the intensity-dependent Rabi models are first achieved.

Beyond the exact isolated solutions, we propose a G-function based on the  $\mathcal{Z}_2$  symmetry, whose roots give the regular spectrum. The coefficients of the eigenstates present an exponential decay behavior in the limit of  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , and the decay rate  $\gamma_d$  can be achieved analytically. With the increase of the coupling strength  $g/\omega$ , the decay rate  $\gamma_d$  decreases and it tends to zero in the spectral collapse points  $g \rightarrow \omega/2$ .

With the unified analytical approach, we achieve the eigenstates and eigenenergies of three nonlinear Rabi models, and their common behaviors are addressed. The exotic nonlinear phenomena and their applications in quantum information deserve further consideration, which are left to future research.

- 
- [1] I. I. Rabi, *Phys. Rev.* **51**, 652 (1937).  
[2] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, 1997).  
[3] D. Braak, Q.-H. Chen, M. T. Batchelor, and E. Solano, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 300301 (2016).  
[4] P. Forn-Díaz, L. Lamata, E. Rico, J. Kono, and E. Solano, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 025005 (2019).  
[5] A. Frisk Kockum, A. Miranowicz, S. De Liberato, S. Savasta, and F. Nori, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 19 (2019).  
[6] M.-J. Hwang, R. Puebla, and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 180404 (2015).  
[7] M.-J. Hwang and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 123602 (2016).  
[8] M. Liu, S. Chesi, Z.-J. Ying, X. Chen, H.-G. Luo, and H.-Q. Lin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 220601 (2017).  
[9] M.-L. Cai, Z.-D. Liu, W.-D. Zhao, Y.-K. Wu, Q.-X. Mei, Y. Jiang, L. He, X. Zhang, Z.-C. Zhou, and L.-M. Duan, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1126 (2021).  
[10] D. Braak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 100401 (2011).  
[11] Q.-H. Chen, C. Wang, S. He, T. Liu, and K.-L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 023822 (2012).  
[12] S. Felicetti, J. S. Pedernales, I. L. Egusquiza, G. Romero, L. Lamata, D. Braak, and E. Solano, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 033817 (2015).  
[13] A. H. Toor and M. S. Zubairy, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 4951 (1992).  
[14] R. Puebla, M.-J. Hwang, J. Casanova, and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 063844 (2017).  
[15] S. Felicetti, D. Z. Rossatto, E. Rico, E. Solano, and P. Forn-Díaz, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 013851 (2018).  
[16] S. Felicetti, M.-J. Hwang, and A. Le Boité, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 053859 (2018).  
[17] Z. Lü, C. Zhao, and H. Zheng, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50**, 074002 (2017).  
[18] L. Cong, X.-M. Sun, M. Liu, Z.-J. Ying, and H.-G. Luo, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 013815 (2019).  
[19] X.-Y. Chen, Y.-Y. Zhang, L. Fu, and H. Zheng, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 033827 (2020).  
[20] K. Ng, C. Lo, and K. Liu, *Physica A* **275**, 463 (2000).  
[21] C. Emary and R. F. Bishop, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35**, 8231 (2002).  
[22] L. Duan, Y.-F. Xie, D. Braak, and Q.-H. Chen, *J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys.* **49**, 464002 (2016).  
[23] L. Duan, S. He, D. Braak, and Q.-H. Chen, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **112**, 34003 (2015).  
[24] I. Travêñec, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 043805 (2012).  
[25] A. J. Maciejewski, M. Przybylska, and T. Stachowiak, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 037801 (2015).  
[26] Y.-Z. Zhang, *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 102104 (2013).  
[27] D. Braak, arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02509 (2022).  
[28] B. Buck and C. Sukumar, *Phys. Lett. A* **81**, 132 (1981).  
[29] V. Penna and F. A. Raffa, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 043814 (2016).  
[30] V. Penna, F. A. Raffa, and R. Franzosi, *J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys.* **51**, 045301 (2017).  
[31] W. Vogel and R. L. d. M. Filho, *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 4214 (1995).  
[32] X.-H. Cheng, I. n. Arrazola, J. S. Pedernales, L. Lamata, X. Chen, and E. Solano, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 023624 (2018).  
[33] K. Wodkiewicz and J. H. Eberly, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2**, 458 (1985).  
[34] C. C. Gerry, *Opt. Express* **8**, 76 (2001).  
[35] B. M. Rodríguez-Lara, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **31**, 1719 (2014).  
[36] B. R. Judd, *J. Chem. Phys.* **67**, 1174 (1977).  
[37] H. G. Reik, H. Nusser, and L. A. A. Ribeiro, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15**, 3491 (1982).  
[38] J. Peng, Z. Ren, D. Braak, G. Guo, G. Ju, X. Zhang, and X. Guo, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 265303 (2014).  
[39] J. Peng, C. Zheng, G. Guo, X. Guo, X. Zhang, C. Deng, G. Ju, Z. Ren, L. Lamata, and E. Solano, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **50**, 174003 (2017).  
[40] J. Peng, J. Zheng, J. Yu, P. Tang, G. A. Barrios, J. Zhong, E. Solano, F. Albarrán-Arriagada, and L. Lamata, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 043604 (2021).