




Fate of false vacuum in non-perturbative regimes: Gravity effects

Gianluca Calcagni ^{1,*} Marco Frasca ^{2,†} and Anish Ghoshal ^{3,‡}

¹*Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain*

²*Rome, Italy*

³*Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics,
University of Warsaw, ul. Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland*

A formalism to describe the false-vacuum decay in non-perturbative regimes was proposed recently. Here, we extend it to the presence of Einstein gravity and calculate the corresponding effective potential and decay rate for a $\lambda\phi^4$ scalar field theory. A comparison with the usual perturbative decay rate shows that the higher the coupling λ , the greater the decay probability. From the running of the self-interaction coupling, we conclude that the theory becomes weakly coupled in the infrared limit, which proves that Einstein gravity made the weak coupling approximation even more reliable as the universe cooled down. We comment on future applications of these results to cosmological phase transitions, gravitational-wave astronomy, and condensed matter systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

The arrival of gravitational-wave astronomy in the last few years [1] and evidence about the Higgs meta-stability after the discovery of the Higgs boson at the LHC [2, 3] made the possibility to observe a stochastic gravitational-wave background produced from primordial first-order phase transition more concrete than ever (see, e.g, [4] and references therein). Constraints on such a background had been given well before the first observations of gravitational waves [5] and they are constantly refined across different frequencies and regions in the parameter space of the models [4]. The rapid evolution of the field has increased the necessity of accurate calculations of the false-vacuum decay rate in the myriad of beyond-the-Standard-Model theories available, ranging from scenarios described by weak perturbation theory to strongly coupled regimes.

The Euclidean path integral approach in the semi-classical approximation describes quite well the decay of meta-stable states. For instance, Callan and Coleman showed that a vacuum decay driven by quantum fluctuations leads to the spontaneous nucleation of a true-vacuum phase in a bubble [6–8]. However, this nucleation rate is exponentially small since the probability of such decay is proportional to the exponential of the Euclidean action evaluated on a particular $O(4)$ -symmetric trajectory between the tunneling point and the false vacuum. This is known as the *Coleman–De Luccia instanton* or *bounce* in the presence gravitational interactions. Numerical results for such non-linear physics have been studied quite extensively in the literature [9–18], along with some attempts for analytical solutions [19–25], which are particularly important in a situation, popularly known as the thin-wall approximation, where the energy difference between the false and the true vacuum is sufficiently small [8, 19, 21, 23, 26, 27]. Bounce solutions for the SM Higgs [17, 28–30] or general scalar fields non-minimally coupled to gravity [31, 34] or in higher-order gravity [35–38] have been in the focus of recent interest.

Callan and Coleman’s saddle-point approximation and its extension to loop-level bounce calculations to incorporate radiative corrections [39] have been mostly limited to weakly-coupled theories, since it is very challenging to figure out whether an actual bounce solution beyond tree level exists or not in this method. Going beyond weak perturbation theory towards strongly-coupled regimes, false-vacuum decay methods which are accurate, versatile, robust, and may be applicable to phase transitions and the generation of gravitational waves have been actively sought. In Ref. [40], such an attempt was made via a quasi-stationary effective action relying upon functional renormalization group techniques [41].

In an earlier paper [42], two of us proposed an alternative and novel method for computing the false-vacuum decay rate in strongly-coupled theories. Using exact solutions to the Higgs theory as well as analytical expressions of the Green’s functions found in terms of Jacobi elliptical functions [43], we computed the effective action via the partition function of the theory and, subsequently, we found the false-vacuum decay rate in terms of Jacobi elliptical function. Such a technique has been applied to quantum chromodynamics, confinement, and hadronic contributions to the muon magnetic moment [44–47], to the Higgs sector of the Standard Model [48], and to the cosmology of dark energy [49]. The results obtained in this way are valid even in strongly coupled regimes and go beyond the usual applications

* g.calcagni@csic.es

† marcofrasca@mclink.it

‡ anish.ghoshal@fuw.edu.pl

to high-energy physics and condensed matter systems. In this paper, we expand our previous analysis and, on one hand, note an increase of the instability of the false vacuum at strong coupling. On the other hand, we generalize and explore the effect of gravitational interactions on the vacuum decay rate computed in the non-perturbative regimes, with the goal of understanding how gravity may impact the effective potential, the false vacuum, and its corresponding bouncing solution. We assume a minimal coupling between the scalar field and gravity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we recall an iterative procedure to obtain n -point correlation functions in quantum field theory (Sec. II A) and, from these, the effective potential (Sec. II B). The non-perturbative method is presented in Sec. III in the absence of gravity. Apart from reviewing past results, we make a new comparison with the perturbative result and discuss the consequences of working at strong coupling. The modifications due to gravity are calculated in Sec. IV and are discussed in Sec. V.

II. CORRELATION FUNCTIONS AND EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL IN SCALAR FIELD THEORY

When considering a Lorentzian spacetime, we work in the the mostly minus signature $(+, -, -, -)$, as in this section. Since we are interested in solutions interpolating inequivalent vacua in the quantum theory, we will later move to Euclidean signature $(+, +, +, +)$.

A. Dyson–Schwinger equations

In this section, we briefly present the Bender–Milton–Savage technique [50]. We start from the following partition function for a scalar field:

$$Z[j] = \int [D\phi] e^{iS(\phi) + i \int d^4x j(x)\phi(x)}. \quad (1)$$

The equation for the one-point function is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi(x)} \right\rangle = j(x), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\langle \dots \rangle = \frac{\int [D\phi] \dots e^{iS(\phi) + i \int d^4x j(x)\phi(x)}}{\int [D\phi] e^{iS(\phi) + i \int d^4x j(x)\phi(x)}}. \quad (3)$$

One has

$$G_n^{(j)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (-i)^n \frac{\delta^n \ln(Z[j])}{\delta j(x_1) \delta j(x_2) \dots \delta j(x_n)}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$-i \frac{\delta G_k^{(j)}(\dots)}{\delta j(x)} = G_{k+1}^{(j)}(\dots, x), \quad (5)$$

starting with

$$G_1^{(j)}(x) = \frac{\langle \phi(x) \rangle}{Z[j]}. \quad (6)$$

We apply all this to a ϕ^4 theory,

$$S = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4 \right], \quad (7)$$

so that

$$\partial^2 \langle \phi \rangle + \lambda \langle \phi^3(x) \rangle = j(x). \quad (8)$$

For the one-point function,

$$Z[j]\partial^2 G_1^{(j)}(x) + \lambda\langle\phi^3(x)\rangle = j(x), \quad (9)$$

After varying with respect to $j(x)$, we get

$$Z[j][G_1^{(j)}(x)]^2 + Z[j]G_2^{(j)}(x, x) = \langle\phi^2(x)\rangle, \quad (10)$$

and after another derivation step one has

$$Z[j][G_1^{(j)}(x)]^3 + 3Z[j]G_1^{(j)}(x)G_2(x, x) + Z[j]G_3^{(j)}(x, x, x) = \langle\phi^3(x)\rangle. \quad (11)$$

We insert this into Eq. (8) to obtain

$$\partial^2 G_1^{(j)}(x) + \lambda[G_1^{(j)}(x)]^3 + 3\lambda G_2^{(j)}(0)G_1^{(j)}(x) + G_3^{(j)}(0, 0) = Z^{-1}[j]j(x). \quad (12)$$

Setting $j = 0$, we get the first Dyson–Schwinger equation

$$\partial^2 G_1(x) + \lambda[G_1(x)]^3 + 3\lambda G_2(0)G_1(x) + G_3(0, 0) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where we realize that quantum corrections induced a mass term.

Our next step is to derive Eq. (12) again with respect to $j(y)$. This yields the result

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial^2 G_2^{(j)}(x, y) + 3\lambda[G_1^{(j)}(x)]^2 G_2^{(j)}(x, y) + 3\lambda G_3^{(j)}(x, x, y)G_1^{(j)}(x) + 3\lambda G_2^{(j)}(x, x)G_2^{(j)}(x, y) + G_4^{(j)}(x, x, x, y) \\ & = Z^{-1}[j]\delta^4(x - y) + j(x)\frac{\delta}{\delta j(y)}(Z^{-1}[j]). \end{aligned}$$

By setting $j = 0$, for the two-point function one has

$$\partial^2 G_2(x, y) + 3\lambda[G_1(x)]^2 G_2(x, y) + 3\lambda G_3(0, y)G_1(x) + 3\lambda G_2(0)G_2(x, y) + G_4(0, 0, y) = \delta^4(x - y). \quad (14)$$

In principle, one can iterate such a procedure to whatever desired order yielding the full hierarchy of Dyson–Schwinger equations into the form of partial differential equations.

The equations for the one-point and two-point correlation functions can be solved exactly. We just quote here the results. For the one-point function, one has

$$G_1(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2(p^2 - m^2)}{\lambda}} \operatorname{sn}(p \cdot x + \theta, \kappa) \quad (15)$$

with

$$p^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{m^4 + 2\lambda\mu^4} + m^2 \right), \quad \kappa = \frac{m^2 - p^2}{p^2} \quad (16)$$

where μ and θ are integration constants and $\operatorname{sn}(\zeta, \kappa)$ is Jacobi's elliptic function of the first kind. We have set

$$m^2 = 3\lambda G_2(0) \quad (17)$$

for the gap equation arising from purely quantum effects. This will need renormalization, as it takes the form

$$\delta m^2 = 3\lambda \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} G_2(p). \quad (18)$$

In momentum space, the two-point function is given by

$$G_2(p) = \frac{\pi^3}{2(1 - \kappa)K^3(\kappa)\sqrt{\kappa}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^2 (-1)^n \frac{q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - q^{2n+1}} \frac{1}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (19)$$

where $K(\kappa)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind such that $K^*(\kappa) = K(1 - \kappa)$,

$$\kappa = \frac{m^2 - \sqrt{m^4 + 2\lambda\mu^4}}{m^2 + \sqrt{m^4 + 2\lambda\mu^4}}, \quad (20)$$

and

$$q = e^{-\pi \frac{K^*(\kappa)}{K(\kappa)}}. \quad (21)$$

The spectrum is given by

$$m_n = (2n + 1) \frac{\pi}{2K(\kappa)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{m^2 + \sqrt{m^4 + 2\lambda\mu^4}}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, assuming the shift m^2 negligibly small, these equations simplify to

$$G_2(p) = \frac{\pi^3}{4K^3(-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^2 \frac{e^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1 + e^{-(2n+1)\pi}} \frac{1}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (23)$$

where now

$$m_n = (2n + 1) \frac{\pi}{2K(-1)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mu. \quad (24)$$

Higher-order n -point correlation functions can be obtained in a similar way. For instance, the three-point and four-point functions have the form

$$G_3(x, y, z) = -6\lambda \int dx_1 G_2(x - x_1) G_1(x_1 - y) G_2(x_1 - y) G_2(x_1 - z), \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(x, y, z, w) = & -6\lambda \int dx_1 G_2(x - x_1) G_2(x_1 - y) G_2(x_1 - z) G_2(x_1 - w) \\ & -6\lambda \int dx_1 G_2(x - x_1) [G_1(x_1 - y) G_2(x_1 - y) G_3(x_1 - z, x_1 - w) \\ & + G_1(x_1) G_2(x_1 - z) G_3(x_1 - y, x_1 - w) + G_1(x_1 - y) G_2(x_1 - w) G_3(x_1 - y, x_1 - z)]. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

B. Effective potential

The effective potential for a scalar field, as defined in [51], is obtained using the exact solution presented in [43]. The main reference is [42]. We assume that the partition function of a scalar field theory is given by

$$Z[j] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{m=1}^n \int d^4 x_m \right) G_n(x_1 \dots x_n) \prod_{p=1}^n j(x_p), \quad (27)$$

with G_n being the n -point function. From this, one is able to get, in principle, all the n -point functions exactly. We can easily write down the effective action by noting that

$$\phi_c(x) = \langle \phi(x) \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta j(x)} W[j], \quad (28)$$

where

$$W[j] = \ln Z[j]. \quad (29)$$

Now, let us introduce the effective action Γ by a Legendre transform:

$$\Gamma[\phi_c] = W[j] - \int d^4 x j(x) \phi_c(x). \quad (30)$$

This is nothing but

$$\Gamma[\phi_c] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{m=1}^n \int d^4 x_m \right) \Gamma_n(x_1 \dots x_n) \prod_{p=1}^n \phi_c(x_p). \quad (31)$$

The first few relations between the n -point functions and the n -point vertices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(x_1, x_2) &= \Gamma_2^{-1}(x_1, x_2), \\ G_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \int d^4 x'_1 d^4 x'_2 d^4 x'_3 \Gamma_3(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3) G_2(x_1, x'_1) G_2(x_2, x'_2) G_2(x_3, x'_3), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and so on. Note that

$$\int dz \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma[\phi_c]}{\delta \phi_c(x) \delta \phi_c(z)} \left(\frac{\delta^2 \Gamma[\phi_c]}{\delta \phi_c(z) \delta \phi_c(y)} \right)^{-1} = \delta^4(x - y). \quad (33)$$

Finally, we can evaluate the effective potential as

$$L^4 V_{\text{eff}}[\phi_c] = - \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \int d^4 x_1 \dots d^4 x_n \Gamma_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) (\phi_c(x_1) - \phi_0(x_1)) \dots (\phi_c(x_n) - \phi_0(x_n)), \quad (34)$$

where L^4 is the volume of spacetime, which we will assume finite.

It should be recalled that

$$\phi_c(x)|_{j=0} = \phi_0(x). \quad (35)$$

This corresponds to the one-point function that, in our case, is non-trivial because it depends on the coordinates. In contrast, in perturbative ϕ^4 theory one usually starts from $\phi_0 = 0$. Finally, we give the same equation in momentum space as

$$V(\phi_c) = - \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \Gamma_n(q_1, \dots, q_n)|_{q_i=0} (\phi_c - \phi_0) \dots (\phi_c - \phi_0). \quad (36)$$

III. NON-PERTURBATIVE METHOD FOR FALSE-VACUUM DECAY

In this section we will report the computations done in Ref.[42]. Our aim is to make the paper self-contained. The computation of the so-called bounce action involves a stationary phase approximation where one can write solutions of the equations of motion in Euclidean space and compute the false vacuum decay rate. For a real scalar theory one has

$$S[\phi] = - \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [\partial \phi(x)]^2 + V[\phi(x)] \right\}. \quad (37)$$

The fate of the semi-classical vacuum depends on its decay rate γ per unit volume and can be related to the imaginary part of the false vacuum energy, which can be expressed in terms of the path integral such as

$$\gamma = -2 \text{Im } \mathcal{E}, \quad e^{-L^4 \mathcal{E}} \simeq Z = \int_{\phi_{\text{FV}}}^{\phi_{\text{FV}}} D\phi e^{-S[\phi]}, \quad (38)$$

where ϕ_{FV} denotes the false vacuum state, and the path integral is performed over all field configurations such that $\phi(x, \pm\infty) = \phi_{\text{FV}}$. Such an integral is then expanded as a sum over saddle points (or stationary points), each of which corresponds to solutions of the equations of motion [6, 7]. In our case, by *bounce solution* we simply mean a solution such that the two-point correlation function has the property to decay at infinity being an infinite sum of Yukawa propagators. Indeed, from (19) one has

$$G_2(p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{p^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon}, \quad (39)$$

that can be transformed back to position space:

$$G_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi}\delta(s) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{m_n}{8\pi\sqrt{s}} H_1^{(1)}(m_n\sqrt{s}) & s \geq 0 \\ -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{im_n}{4\pi^2\sqrt{-s}} K_1(m_n\sqrt{-s}) & s < 0, \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

where $s = (x^0 - y^0)^2 - (-y)^2$ and $H_1^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_1(x)$ are the Hankel and the Bessel modified function, respectively. Use has been made of the identity $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n = 1$. From this equation, the proper asymptotic behavior can be obtained and one can see that (40) is suppressed at $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

It is evident that this bounce formalism does not take into account radiative corrections, and, hence, is valid only for the tree-level action. However, when radiative corrections to the tree-level potential become important, there exist regions of *bounce-like* field configurations which are not stationary points of the tree-level action, but for which the phase varies sufficiently slowly so that they dominate the integral anyway [52].

Now, as usual, if one incorporates radiative corrections and utilizes the effective potential instead, one obtains a generalization only applicable to weakly-coupled theories, since the effective potential is calculated in perturbation theory. However, we expect to see corrections also to the kinetic term, which are missed in this approach. Moreover, there is no relation between the bounce formalism and the exact, non-perturbative effective action.

Given the effective potential, we can compute the decay rate of the false vacuum in agreement to Refs. [6, 7]. The decay rate is given by

$$\gamma \sim L^{-4} e^{-\Gamma[\phi_c]}, \quad (41)$$

where ϕ_c is obtained from

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\phi_c} = 0. \quad (42)$$

In order to evaluate the decay rate, we need to compute the effective potential given in Eq. (36). We do this by exploiting the exact solutions for the one-point and two-point functions. Following the techniques developed in Ref. [43],

$$\begin{aligned} G_3(x - x_1, x - x_2) &= - \int d^4x_3 G_2(x - x_3) V'''[\phi_0(x_3)] G_2(x_1 - x_3) G_2(x_2 - x_3) \\ &= - \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 d^4x_3 G_2(x - x_3) V'''[\phi_0(x_3)] \delta^4(x - x_1) \delta^4(x - x_2) G_2(x - x_3) G_2(x - x_3). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

This equation yields immediately Γ_3 by comparing the last line directly with Eq. (32):

$$\Gamma_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = -V'''[\phi_0(x_1)] \delta^4(x_1 - x_2) \delta^4(x_1 - x_3). \quad (44)$$

This implies, using Eq. (36) and stopping at the third term, that the full potential has the form

$$U(\phi_c) = V(\phi_c) + \frac{1}{2} V_2 (\phi_c - \phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{3!} |V_3| (\phi_c - \phi_0)^3. \quad (45)$$

Let us evaluate the effective potential for the ϕ^4 theory. From eq.(23) we get $G_2(p)$ and the mass spectrum. This yields immediately for the two-point vertex function

$$V_2 = \frac{K(i)}{2\pi} \mathcal{A} \sqrt{2\lambda} \mu^2 = \sqrt{2\lambda} \mu^2, \quad (46)$$

with¹

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \right)^{-1} = \frac{2\pi}{K(i)}, \quad A_n = \frac{e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}}{1 + e^{-(2n+1)\pi}}. \quad (47)$$

Then, one has

$$V_3 = -6\lambda\phi_0(0) = -3(2\lambda)^{\frac{3}{4}} \mu \operatorname{sn}(\theta, i). \quad (48)$$

¹ Another interesting identity is given by $[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 A_n]^{-1} = 4K^3(i)/\pi^3$.

Here, θ is an integration constant of the equation for the one-point correlation function. This shows that the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, that holds at a classical level, is indeed broken by quantum corrections.

Now we can write the Euclidean effective action as

$$\Gamma_E(\phi_c) = - \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_c)^2 + U(\phi_c) \right] \quad (49)$$

with the effective potential

$$U(\phi_c) = \frac{1}{2}V_2(\phi_c - \phi_0)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}V_3(\phi_c - \phi_0)^3 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi_c^4. \quad (50)$$

In this formula, we can neglect the ϕ_0 contribution in the formal limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as it goes like $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Then, for the extremes of the potential, we get the following values in the strong coupling limit:

$$2^{\frac{3}{4}}k_1\mu\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad 0, \quad -2^{\frac{3}{4}}k_2\mu\lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (51)$$

where $k_1 = k_1(\theta)$ and $k_2 = k_2(\theta)$ are numerical constants depending on the initial phase θ given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(\theta) &= 3 \operatorname{sn}(\theta, i) + \sqrt{9 \operatorname{sn}^2(\theta, i) - 8}, \\ k_2(\theta) &= -3 \operatorname{sn}(\theta, i) + \sqrt{9 \operatorname{sn}^2(\theta, i) - 8}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We can now see that the only condition for the existence of the extremes is

$$|\operatorname{sn}(\theta, i)| > \sqrt{\frac{8}{9}} \approx 0.94. \quad (53)$$

This means that the phase should be chosen to have $|\operatorname{sn}(\theta, i)|$ near 1.




FIG. 1. Plot of $U(\phi)$ as given in Eq. (45) for a fixed constant ϕ_0 . Note that decaying is easier with increasing λ showing the effect of non-perturbative regimes.

Similarly, setting $\lambda = 10$ and varying the phase we get the plot in Fig. 2. Here the effect is a simple horizontal shift of the potential without altering its shape.




FIG. 2. Plot of $U(\phi)$ at fixed λ but varying the phase θ .

In order to evaluate the decay rate, we can use the argument presented in Refs. [6, 53]:

$$\gamma \propto e^{-B}, \quad (54)$$

where

$$B = \frac{27\pi^2 \mathcal{S}^4}{2\epsilon^3} \quad (55)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_0^{\langle \phi_c \rangle} df \sqrt{2U(f)}, \quad \epsilon = U(\langle \phi_c \rangle). \quad (56)$$

We have $\epsilon = c_1 \mu^4$ with c_1 being a numerical constant. For λ large enough, we can evaluate \mathcal{S} as seen in Ref.[42] obtaining

$$\mathcal{S} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2U(\langle \phi_c \rangle)} \langle \phi_c \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\epsilon} \langle \phi_c \rangle. \quad (57)$$

Assuming $\text{sn}(\theta, i) \approx 1$, we get

$$\epsilon = \kappa_2(\theta) \frac{\mu^4}{16}, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\kappa_2(\theta) = -27 \text{sn}^4(\theta, i) + 9\sqrt{9 \text{sn}^2(\theta, i) - 8} \text{sn}^3(\theta, i) + 36 \text{sn}^2(\theta, i) - 8\sqrt{9 \text{sn}^2(\theta, i) - 8} \text{sn}(\theta, i) - 8. \quad (59)$$

Then,

$$B = \frac{432\pi^2 k_2^4(\theta)}{\lambda \kappa_2(\theta)}. \quad (60)$$

As already shown in Ref.[42], in the interesting range for θ , both $k_2(\theta)$ and $\kappa_2(\theta)$ are always greater than 1 and similarly for $k_1(\theta)$ and $\kappa_1(\theta)$. For $\text{sn}(\theta, i) = 1$, we get the formula

$$B = 3456 \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda}, \quad (61)$$

that holds for $\lambda \gg 1$. The final decay rate becomes

$$\gamma \sim L^{-4} e^{-\frac{432\pi^2}{\lambda} \frac{\kappa_2^4(\theta)}{\kappa_2(\theta)}} \simeq L^{-4} e^{-3456 \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda}}, \quad (62)$$

with the approximation $\text{sn}(\theta, i) = 1$ that satisfies the aforementioned condition $|\text{sn}(\theta, i)| > \sqrt{8/9} \approx 0.94$.

This result should be compared with the one given in standard textbooks in the weak perturbation limit [53], in order to understand where the crossing border lies,

$$B_{\text{weak}} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3\lambda}. \quad (63)$$

We see that

$$B = 1296 B_{\text{weak}}. \quad (64)$$

This defines a crossing line between the strong- and weak-coupling regimes.

IV. CORRECTIONS DUE TO GRAVITY

The effect of gravity in the thin-wall approximation can be easily evaluated by considering Ref. [8]. Assuming Einstein gravity with standard Einstein equations $R_{\mu\nu} - (1/2)g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$, the physical interpretation and the arguments leading to the non-perturbative result are the same and the only difference is in the shape of the potential. One starts with a universe sitting in the false vacuum of the scalar field. Through quantum tunneling, a bubble of true vacuum of radius ρ_0 is created in space within the false vacuum. The bubble expands to incorporate all nearby regions, eventually converting the whole universe to the true vacuum.

A. Decay rate and bubble radius

In the absence of gravity, a calculation identical to that in Ref. [8] gives the bubble radius at the moment of its materialization

$$\bar{\rho}_0 = \frac{3\mathcal{S}}{\epsilon}, \quad (65)$$

with \mathcal{S} and ϵ given by Eq. (56). Switching gravity on, when the decay is from a minimum at positive energy to 0 the radius becomes smaller,

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{1 + \frac{\bar{\rho}_0^2}{4\Lambda^2}}. \quad (66)$$

while

$$B_g = \frac{B}{\left[1 + \frac{\bar{\rho}_0^2}{4\Lambda^2}\right]^2} < B, \quad (67)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{8\pi G\epsilon}{3}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (68)$$

being G Newton's constant. In this case, gravity reduces $B_g < B$ and makes the decay (creation of the true-vacuum bubble) more likely. In contrast, when the decay is from 0 to a negative-energy minimum, the initial radius of the bubble is shrunk,

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{\bar{\rho}_0}{1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0^2}{4\Lambda^2}}, \quad (69)$$

and the decay becomes less likely:

$$B_g = \frac{B}{\left[1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0^2}{4\Lambda^2}\right]^2} > B. \quad (70)$$

Using the results of Sec. III, we see that the impact of the non-perturbative regime is to further enhance the decay rate from a positive-energy false vacuum to 0 and to compensate the slower decay from a zero-energy false vacuum to the negative-energy true vacuum. One can check this explicitly incorporating the results of [31], computing $\bar{\rho}$ using the potential (50).

At this point, we can also find a condition on λ such that $B_g^{\text{strong}} = B_{\text{weak}}$. In fact, the left-hand side includes both gravitational and non-perturbative effects, while the right-hand side includes none. In this way, we determine for which value of λ non-perturbative and gravity effects compensate each other. Plugging Eq. (64) into (70) and setting $B_g = B_{\text{weak}}$ as argued above, we obtain

$$\frac{1296}{\left[1 - \frac{\bar{\rho}_0^2}{4\Lambda^2}\right]^2} = 1, \quad (71)$$

which yield the running coupling

$$\sqrt{\lambda} = \frac{9}{35} 2^{\frac{9}{2}} (\Lambda\mu)^{-2}. \quad (72)$$

In order to obtain (72), we combined Eqs. (58), (64), (65) and (68). We just point out that $(\Lambda\mu)^{-2} \propto \mu^2/M_{\text{Pl}}^2$, where M_{Pl} is the Planck mass. We can recognize here the running coupling law for the scalar field already put forward in [32]. This law characterizes also conformally flat general relativity [33]. We get a infrared weakly coupled theory in the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, from the coupling of the ϕ^4 term in the one-loop effective action, the beta function is immediately given by

$$\beta(\lambda) = 4\lambda. \quad (73)$$

The conclusion is that, if the theory is weakly coupled in the infrared, then perturbative quantum field theory is an adequate tool to describe the physics at large scales. In this case, if the coupling takes the value (72), then gravity effects exactly compensate non-perturbative corrections.

As a side note not relevant for the rest of the analysis, we can interpret the scalar field as arising from a conformal transformation of the Einstein–Hilbert action, in vacuum and with a cosmological constant [33]. Defining a new metric $g'_{\mu\nu}$ such that $g_{\mu\nu} = \phi^2 g'_{\mu\nu}$, one gets the Einstein–Hilbert action non-minimally coupled to a ϕ^4 theory with the wrong sign of the kinetic term. Then, after setting $g'_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, one obtains a quartic scalar field with the wrong overall sign.

B. Instabilities

In [54, Section III], the presence of instabilities was noted with a general argument independent of the shape of U'' . Therefore, the same argument applies here. An explicit check can be carried on noting that the eigenvalue equation

$$[-\square + U''(\phi_c)]\eta = A\eta \quad (74)$$

implies the eigenvalue equation $-\square\eta = (A - U'')\eta$, where $-\square + U''$ is the Hessian in Euclidean signature, η is the eigenfunction and A is the eigenvalue. Thanks to the spherical symmetry of the problem, we can write $\square = d^2/ds^2 + (3/s)d/ds$ and consider single-variable eigenfunctions $\eta(s)$. Equation (74) is real-valued provided A and U'' are real, which is true for $\text{Im}[\text{sn}(\theta, i)] = 0$. This selects a discrete set of values for the parameter θ . Taking such values and assuming $A < 0$, one can check that, since $-U''$ has concavity pointing downwards, it is impossible to get $A - U'' > 0$ for all values of ϕ_c . To state it differently, for $A < 0$ the eigenfunction $\eta(s)$ is well defined.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper, we developed a new approach to compute the effective potential for quartic scalar field theory in presence of gravitational interactions using exact background solutions. We investigated the false vacuum and its decay. Our main findings are as follows:

- We obtained bounce solutions from effective potentials based on exact solutions and exact Green's functions. Therefore, the results hold for both small and large coupling values (as shown in Eq. (50) and in Fig. 2).
- We showed the effect of the quartic interaction (see Fig. 1) on the false minima. It is evident that, as the interaction strength increases, it is easier to tunnel and the vacuum decays quickly. We also showed the effect of the initial θ (see Fig. 2) on the false minima for fixed λ . The choice of initial θ does not alter the probability of false vacuum decay.
- We compared the vacuum decay of the strongly coupled theory to that of Coleman's bounce in Eqs. (63) and (64). It is easily seen that in the limit when the interaction strength is weak, for small values of λ the solutions become identical.
- We showed that, in the strong coupling regime, the quartic interaction leads to a decay of the false vacuum also in the presence of gravitational interactions. The decay rate was evaluated by following our exact Green's function in the non-perturbative regime, as given in Eqs. (60) and (62).
- We compared the vacuum decay rate with and without the inclusion of gravity effects in Eqs. (67) and (70). The effect of Einstein gravity is unidirectional inasmuch as it always facilitates the decay from the false vacuum, regardless of whether the latter has positive or negative energy. This may not be true in other gravitational theories such as Starobinsky's, $\mathcal{L} \propto R + \alpha R^2$ [38]. It may be worth generalizing these results to $f(R)$ or other, more realistic models including the Ricci tensor.
- In the $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the gravitational interactions become negligible and the solution becomes the same as that without gravity.
- The running of the coupling of the self-interaction of the scalar field is obtained by comparing the strongly and weakly coupled regimes. This has the behavior already shown in [32] and shows that the theory becomes weakly coupled in the infrared limit. This proves that Einstein gravity made the weak coupling approximation even more reliable as the universe cooled down. Besides, the origin of this scalar sector could be traced back to a conformally flat limit of the Einstein equations.

Thanks to the exact Green's function technique applied to the effective potential, the study of the fate of false vacuum is now free from the limitations of perturbation theory and its range of applications can be enlarged to non-perturbative regimes in quantum field theory. The method developed so far is applicable to ultracold atoms and Bose gas [55] and quantum spin chain systems [56], as well as to other condensed matter systems involving strong interactions in general. In particular, we can extend the analysis to non-perturbative strongly-coupled beyond-the-Standard-Model theories in the presence of gravitational interactions. We envisage this to have a remarkable impact in primordial cosmological scenarios with first-order phase transitions, especially for the search for a stochastic gravitational-wave background from such a primordial source. LIGO-Virgo has already constrained this scenario [57, 58], but the result is model dependent and this new non-perturbative window could alter the prediction of the model. A detailed analysis of phase transitions and gravitational-wave predictions following our non-perturbative method is beyond the scope of this paper and should be taken up in the future.

-
- [1] B.P. Abbott *et al.*. [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], Tests of general relativity with GW150914. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 221101 (2016); *Erratum-Ibid.* **121**, 129902 (2018) [arXiv:1602.03841].
- [2] G. Degrassi, S. Di Vita, J. Elias-Miro, J.R. Espinosa, G.F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Strumia, Higgs mass and vacuum stability in the Standard Model at NNLO. *JHEP* **08**, 098 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6497].
- [3] G. Isidori, G. Ridolfi and A. Strumia, On the metastability of the standard model vacuum. *Nucl. Phys. B* **609**, 387 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0104016].
- [4] P. Auclair *et al.*. [LISA Cosmology Working Group], Cosmology with the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna. [arXiv:2204.05434].
- [5] B.P. Abbott *et al.*. [LIGO Scientific and VIRGO], An upper limit on the stochastic gravitational-wave background of cosmological origin. *Nature* **460**, 990 (2009) [arXiv:0910.5772].
- [6] S.R. Coleman, The fate of the false vacuum. 1. Semiclassical theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2929 (1977); *Erratum-Ibid.* **D 16**, 1248 (1977).
- [7] C.G. Callan, Jr. and S.R. Coleman, The fate of the false vacuum. 2. First quantum corrections. *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 1762 (1977).
- [8] S.R. Coleman and F. De Luccia, Gravitational effects on and of vacuum decay. *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 3305 (1980).

- [9] M. Claudson, L.J. Hall and I. Hinchliffe, Low-energy supergravity: False vacua and vacuum predictions. *Nucl. Phys. B* **228**, 501 (1983).
- [10] A. Kusenko, Improved action method for analyzing tunneling in quantum field theory. *Phys. Lett. B* **358**, 51 (1995) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9504418](#)].
- [11] A. Kusenko, P. Langacker and G. Segre, Phase transitions and vacuum tunneling into charge and color breaking minima in the MSSM. *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5824 (1996) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9602414](#)].
- [12] I. Dasgupta, Estimating vacuum tunneling rates. *Phys. Lett. B* **394**, 116 (1997) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9610403](#)].
- [13] J.M. Moreno, M. Quiros and M. Seco, Bubbles in the supersymmetric standard model. *Nucl. Phys. B* **526**, 489 (1998) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9801272](#)].
- [14] P. John, Bubble wall profiles with more than one scalar field: A numerical approach. *Phys. Lett. B* **452**, 221 (1999) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9810499](#)].
- [15] A. Masoumi, K.D. Olum and B. Shlaer, Efficient numerical solution to vacuum decay with many fields. *JCAP* **01**, 051 (2017) [[arXiv:1610.06594](#)].
- [16] J.R. Espinosa, A fresh look at the calculation of tunneling actions. *JCAP* **07**, 036 (2018) [[arXiv:1805.03680](#)].
- [17] J.R. Espinosa, Fresh look at the calculation of tunneling actions including gravitational effects. *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 104007 (2019) [[arXiv:1808.00420](#)].
- [18] S. Chigusa, T. Moroi and Y. Shoji, Bounce configuration from gradient flow. *Phys. Lett. B* **800**, 135115 (2020) [[arXiv:1906.10829](#)].
- [19] A.D. Linde, Decay of the false vacuum at finite temperature. *Nucl. Phys. B* **216**, 421 (1983); Erratum-Ibid. *B* **223**, 544 (1983).
- [20] A. Ferraz de Camargo, R.C. Shellard and G.C. Marques, Vacuum decay in a soluble model. *Phys. Rev. D* **29**, 1147 (1984).
- [21] K.M. Lee and E.J. Weinberg, Tunneling without barriers. *Nucl. Phys. B* **267**, 181 (1986).
- [22] K. Dutta, C. Hector, P.M. Vaudrevange and A. Westphal, More exact tunneling solutions in scalar field theory. *Phys. Lett. B* **708**, 309 (2012) [[arXiv:1110.2380](#)].
- [23] S. Kanno, M. Sasaki and J. Soda, Tunneling without barriers with gravity. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29**, 075010 (2012) [[arXiv:1201.2272](#)].
- [24] A. Aravind, B.S. DiNunno, D. Lorshbough and S. Paban, Analyzing multi-field tunneling with exact bounce solutions. *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 025026 (2015) [[arXiv:1412.3160](#)].
- [25] V. Guada and M. Nemevšek, Exact one-loop false vacuum decay rate. *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 125017 (2020) [[arXiv:2009.01535](#)].
- [26] D. Garfinkle and R. Gregory, Corrections to the thin-wall approximation in general relativity. *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 1889 (1990).
- [27] K. Eckerle, A unified system for Coleman–De Luccia transitions. *Annals Phys.* **424**, 168362 (2021) [[arXiv:2003.04365](#)].
- [28] J.R. Espinosa, J.F. Fortin and J. Huertas, Exactly solvable vacuum decays with gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 065007 (2021) [[arXiv:2106.15505](#)].
- [29] J.R. Espinosa, The stabilizing effect of gravity made simple. *JCAP* **07**, 061 (2020) [[arXiv:2005.09548](#)].
- [30] J.R. Espinosa, Vacuum decay in the Standard Model: Analytical results with running and gravity. *JCAP* **06**, 052 (2020) [[arXiv:2003.06219](#)].
- [31] G. Isidori, V.S. Rychkov, A. Strumia and N. Tetradis, Gravitational corrections to standard model vacuum decay. *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 025034 (2008) [[arXiv:0712.0242](#)].
- [32] M. Frasca, Scalar field theory in the strong self-interaction limit. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2929 (2014) [[arXiv:1306.6530](#)].
- [33] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and L. Modesto, to appear (2022).
- [34] A. Salvio, A. Strumia, N. Tetradis and A. Urbano, *JHEP* **09**, 054 (2016) [[arXiv:1608.02555](#)].
- [35] B. Salehian and H. Firouzjahi, Vacuum decay and bubble nucleation in $f(R)$ gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 025002 (2019) [[arXiv:1810.01391](#)].
- [36] S. Vicentini, New bounds on vacuum decay in de Sitter space. [[arXiv:2205.11036](#)].
- [37] S. Vicentini and M. Rinaldi, Vacuum decay and quadratic gravity: the massive case. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **54**, 22 (2022) [[arXiv:2101.03520](#)].
- [38] S. Vicentini and M. Rinaldi, Vacuum decay in quadratic gravity. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **137**, 332 (2022) [[arXiv:2009.04435](#)].
- [39] E.J. Weinberg, Vacuum decay in theories with symmetry breaking by radiative corrections. *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 4614 (1993) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9211314](#)].
- [40] D. Croon, E. Hall and H. Murayama, Non-perturbative methods for false vacuum decay. [[arXiv:2104.10687](#)].
- [41] C. Wetterich, Exact evolution equation for the effective potential. *Phys. Lett. B* **301**, 90 (1993) [[arXiv:1710.05815](#)].
- [42] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and N. Okada, Fate of false vacuum in non-perturbative regimes. [[arXiv:2201.12267](#)].
- [43] M. Frasca, Quantum Yang–Mills field theory. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **132**, 38 (2017); Erratum-ibid. **132**, 242 (2017) [[arXiv:1509.05292](#)].
- [44] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and S. Groote, On a novel evaluation of the hadronic contribution to the muon’s $g - 2$ from QCD. *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 114036 (2021) [[arXiv:2109.05041](#)].
- [45] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and S. Groote, Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model correlation functions from QCD. To appear in *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* [[arXiv:2109.06465](#)].
- [46] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and S. Groote, Confinement in QCD and generic Yang–Mills theories with matter representations. [[arXiv:2202.14023](#)].
- [47] M. Chaichian and M. Frasca, Condition for confinement in non-Abelian gauge theories. *Phys. Lett. B* **781**, 33 (2018) [[arXiv:1801.09873](#)].
- [48] M. Frasca, A theorem on the Higgs sector of the Standard Model. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **131**, 199 (2016) [[arXiv:1504.02299](#)].

- [49] M. Frasca, A. Ghoshal and A. Koshelev, Quintessence dark energy from strongly-coupled Higgs mass gap: local and non-local higher-derivative non-perturbative scenarios. [[arXiv:2203.15020](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.15020)].
- [50] C.M. Bender, K.A. Milton and V. Savage, Solution of Schwinger–Dyson equations for PT symmetric quantum field theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 085001 (2000) [[arXiv:hep-th/9907045](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9907045)].
- [51] S.R. Coleman and E.J. Weinberg, Radiative corrections as the origin of spontaneous symmetry breaking. *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 1888 (1973).
- [52] J. Iliopoulos, C. Itzykson and A. Martin, Functional methods and perturbation theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47**, 165 (1975).
- [53] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996). Page 468.
- [54] H. Lee and E.J. Weinberg, Negative modes of Coleman–De Luccia bounces. *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 124002 (2014) [[arXiv:1408.6547](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.6547)].
- [55] T.P. Billam, K. Brown and I.G. Moss, False-vacuum decay in an ultracold spin-1 Bose gas. *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, L041301 (2022) [[arXiv:2108.05740](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.05740)].
- [56] G. Lagnese, F.M. Surace, M. Kormos and P. Calabrese, False vacuum decay in quantum spin chains. *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, L201106 (2021) [[arXiv:2107.10176](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.10176)].
- [57] A. Romero, K. Martinovic, T.A. Callister, H.K. Guo, M. Martínez, M. Sakellariadou, F.W. Yang and Y. Zhao, Implications for first-order cosmological phase transitions from the third LIGO-Virgo observing run. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 151301 (2021) [[arXiv:2102.01714](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01714)].
- [58] <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P2000314/public>.