

SPECIALIZATION MAP FOR QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS

GIOVANNI CERULLI IRELLI, FRANCESCO ESPOSITO, XIN FANG, GHISLAIN FOURIER

ABSTRACT. We study quiver Grassmannians associated to representations of quivers of type A . We prove that the specialization map for the integral cohomology associated to a degeneration of representation is surjective, generalizing a result of Lanini and Strickland.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quiver Grassmannians are natural generalizations of Grassmannians and flag varieties. Since every projective variety can be realized as a quiver Grassmannian [17], one has to restrict the study of their topology to those having representation-theoretic applications, e.g., to canonical bases of quantum groups, dual canonical bases, and cluster algebras. In [4], a study of the cohomologies of quiver Grassmannians was initialized by analyzing in detail the case of quiver Grassmannians attached to indecomposable representations of the Kronecker quiver. In particular, it was shown that such quiver Grassmannians admit an affine paving, and their Hilbert polynomials were explicitly determined. Subsequently, various other classes of quiver Grassmannians were investigated (see [18], [16], [13], [15], [14], [10], [11]) and some general results were obtained in [5]; namely, all quiver Grassmannians attached to a quiver of Dynkin type admit affine pavings, thus their cohomologies are concentrated in even degrees and is generated by classes attached to algebraic cycles. Furthermore, such properties have also been proved to hold in almost all cases for quivers of affine type, and the algebraicity of the cohomology holds in greater generality, i.e. for quiver Grassmannians attached to rigid representations of acyclic quivers.

Unlike ordinary Grassmannians and flag varieties, quiver Grassmannians vary in families, the so-called universal quiver Grassmannians. These are projective families of smooth total space over an affine space, which are equivariant with respect to the action of a reductive group. The fibers of these maps are the quiver Grassmannians. Therefore, we may study the variation of the cohomology of the quiver Grassmannians in these families (see [9] for results in this direction).

The variation of the cohomology of the fibers of a proper map is encoded in the constructible sheaves, which are the higher direct images of the constant sheaf over the total space. These constructible sheaves may be reconstructed from the specialization maps, which are morphisms from the cohomology of a fiber to the cohomology of a generalization of it. In significant instances of projective families, e.g. Springer fibers in type A (see [20], [8]), one observes the surjectivity of the specialization maps, which indicates a strong form of upper semicontinuity of the cohomology of the fibers of the family in question.

For (PBW) linear degenerations of flag varieties of type A , which were defined and studied in [3] and [6], the surjectivity of specialization involving the generic point has been established in [12] using their realizations as certain Schubert varieties. Linear degenerations of flag varieties of type A are particular universal quiver Grassmannians for an equioriented quiver of type A . It is hence natural to ask what is the natural domain of validity of such upper semicontinuity statement for quiver Grassmannians.

The main result of this paper is the proof of the surjectivity of the specialization map for any degeneration of representations for a quiver of type A . Such surjectivity of specialization may equivalently be stated as the surjectivity of the restriction map for the natural embedding of a quiver Grassmannian of type A in the product of ordinary Grassmannians. One may ask the question if such surjectivity of specialization holds for quivers of Dynkin type or for more general quivers.

The proof of the surjectivity of specialization is obtained by reducing to minimal degenerations, then using a result of Bongartz on minimal degenerations and applying a suitably generalized version of the reduction theorem of [5, Theorem 24], to obtain an α -partition for which the specialization maps of the single parts are readily determined. This procedure thus yields a comparison theorem between the cohomologies of the quiver Grassmannians of a pair of representations consisting in a minimal degeneration. This result states that such a specialization map is surjective and the associated graded of its kernel with respect to a suitable filtration is described.

1.1. Precise statement of the result. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver of type A_n , let M, N be two representations of \mathcal{Q} of the same dimension \mathbf{d} such that M degenerates to N . If \mathbf{e} is any dimension vector for \mathcal{Q} , then there is a specialization map

$$c : H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(N), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

between the cohomology algebra with integral coefficients of the quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(N)$ of \mathbf{e} -dimensional subrepresentations of N and the quiver Grassmannian of \mathbf{e} -dimensional representations of M . It is a homomorphism of graded algebras.

The result which we prove is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If \mathcal{Q} is a quiver of type A , then for any dimension vector \mathbf{e} , the specialization map c is surjective.*

This theorem may be stated in the following equivalent form. If $\mathbf{dim}(M) = \mathbf{d}$, the quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ is a subvariety of the product $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}) = \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathrm{Gr}_{e_i}(\mathbb{C}^{d_i})$ of ordinary Grassmannians.

Theorem 1.2. *The map in cohomology*

$$H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the inclusion $i : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}})$, is a surjective map of commutative graded algebras.

As a corollary we recover the following cohomology surjection results in [12].

Let \mathcal{Q} be an equioriented quiver of type A_n , $\mathbf{d} = (n+1, \dots, n+1)$ and $\mathbf{e} = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ be two dimension vectors. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we fix a tuple $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1$. To this tuple we associate a representation $M^{\mathbf{i}}$ of \mathcal{Q} defined by:

$$M^{\mathbf{i}} = P_1^{\oplus n+1-k} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^k I_{i_\ell} \oplus P_{i_\ell+1} \right),$$

where P_i (resp. I_i) are the projective (resp. injective) representation associated to the vertex i . The quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M^{\mathbf{i}})$ is called a linear degenerate flag variety of PBW type ([3, Section 5]).

For $M = P_1^{\oplus n+1}$, the quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ is the type A complete flag variety. Since M degenerates to $M^{\mathbf{i}}$, applying Theorem 1.1 to this situation gives:

Corollary 1.3 ([12]). *The specialization map $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_e(M^i), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_e(M), \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective.*

Moreover, Theorem 1.1 gives a positive answer to the question in [12, Remark 1].

Acknowledgements: The first author acknowledges support of the University La Sapienza of Rome (Bando di ateneo RP120172B7D7C329). The second author acknowledges the support of the project of the University of Padova BIRD203834/20. The fourth author gratefully acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft – Project-ID 286237555– TRR 195.

2. GENERALITIES

In this paper, if not mentioned otherwise, we fix \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers, as base field.

2.1. Representations of quivers. Let $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_1)$ be a quiver, that is, an oriented graph; its set of vertices is \mathcal{Q}_0 and its set of edges is \mathcal{Q}_1 . We assume furthermore that the quiver \mathcal{Q} is finite: that is to say, both \mathcal{Q}_0 and \mathcal{Q}_1 are finite sets. A finite-dimensional representation of \mathcal{Q} is the data $((V_x)_{x \in \mathcal{Q}_0}, (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_1})$, where the V_x are finite-dimensional vector spaces, and $f_\alpha : V_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow V_{e(\alpha)}$ are linear maps between the vector spaces associated with the start and end vertices of the arrow α .

Let $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$ be the category of finite-dimensional representations of the quiver \mathcal{Q} . It is an abelian category which, in the case of an acyclic quiver \mathcal{Q} , is Krull-Schmidt and hereditary. Given two \mathcal{Q} -representations M and N we denote $[M, N] = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(M, N)$ and $[M, N]^1 = \dim \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{Q}}^1(M, N)$.

Let $V = ((V_x)_{x \in \mathcal{Q}_0}, (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_1})$ be in $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. Its dimension vector is

$$(\dim(V_x))_{x \in \mathcal{Q}_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{Q}_0}.$$

A subrepresentation of V is the data of a subspace $W_x \subseteq V_x$ for every vertex x of \mathcal{Q} , such that, for every arrow $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_1$, one has $f_\alpha(W_{s(\alpha)}) \subseteq W_{e(\alpha)}$.

A quiver is of Dynkin type if its underlying unoriented graph is a disconnected union of simply laced Dynkin diagrams. According to Gabriel's theorem, $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$ has finitely many isoclasses of indecomposables if and only if \mathcal{Q} is of Dynkin type with finitely many vertices.

2.2. Varieties of representations. Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{Q}_0}$ be a dimension vector for the quiver \mathcal{Q} and let $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}$ be the \mathcal{Q}_0 -graded vector space of dimension vector \mathbf{d} . The automorphism group of the graded vector space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is denoted $G_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbb{C})$; it is a product of general linear groups.

For a Dynkin quiver \mathcal{Q} , Gabriel's theorem implies that there are only finitely many isoclasses of representations of \mathcal{Q} of dimension \mathbf{d} . Nevertheless, it should not be thought of just as a set, since one representation may degenerate to another, thus giving rise to a partial order.

To formalize this, one considers the variety of representations $R_{\mathbf{d}}$ which parametrizes isoclasses of pairs (V, \mathcal{B}) , where V is a representation of \mathcal{Q} of dimension vector \mathbf{d} , and \mathcal{B} is a homogeneous basis of the graded vector space $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}$. It is an affine algebraic variety which is a product of matrix spaces. Furthermore, the reductive group $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ acts from the right on $R_{\mathbf{d}}$ by change of basis. The $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbits in $R_{\mathbf{d}}$ correspond to isoclasses of representations of \mathcal{Q} of dimension \mathbf{d} . Furthermore, if \mathcal{Q} is Dynkin, then there are finitely many $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbits in $R_{\mathbf{d}}$. The closure of orbits defines a partial order on the set of $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbits in $R_{\mathbf{d}}$; it is the

so-called degeneration order; we denote it $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$, if $G_{\mathbf{d}} \cdot N \subseteq \overline{G_{\mathbf{d}} \cdot M}$, and we say that N is a degeneration of M .

Remark 2.1. For a Dynkin quiver \mathcal{Q} , the degeneration order can be expressed in terms of the category $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$ as the Hom order or also as the Ext order. This result is not used directly in the present paper; however, it is indirectly used through the characterization of Bongartz of minimal degenerations.

2.2.1. *Minimal degenerations.* We recall the fundamental result of Bongartz on minimal degenerations and derive from it a consequence used in the study of specialization maps.

Theorem 2.2 ((Bongartz, [1], Theorem 4)). *Let $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ be a degeneration of representations of a quiver \mathcal{Q} of Dynkin type. Then, it is minimal if and only if there are indecomposables X_1, S_1 , a (nonsplit) short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow 0$$

and Y' such that

- (i) $M = Y_1 \oplus Y'$;
- (ii) $N = X_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus Y'$;
- (iii) for any indecomposable factor A of N that is not isomorphic to S_1 , one has

$$\text{Ext}^1(A, M) = \text{Ext}^1(A, N);$$

- (iv) for any indecomposable factor B of N that is not isomorphic to X_1 , one has

$$\text{Ext}^1(M, B) = \text{Ext}^1(N, B).$$

We now state a consequence of Theorem 2.2 to be used in the study of the specialization map for type A .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ be a minimal degeneration between representations of a quiver of type A . Then, there are*

- (a) indecomposables X_1, S_1 ;
- (b) a nonsplit short exact sequence

$$\xi_1 : 0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow 0;$$

- (c) representations X', S' ;

satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $M = Y_1 \oplus X' \oplus S'$;
- (ii) $N = X_1 \oplus S_1 \oplus X' \oplus S'$;
- (iii) $\text{Ext}^1(S_1 \oplus S', X_1 \oplus X') = \text{Ext}^1(S_1, X_1)$;
- (iv) $\text{Ext}^1(X_1, X') = \text{Ext}^1(S', S_1) = 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, one gets the data (X_1, S_1, ξ_1, Y') . Therefore, to define the data $(X_1, S_1, \xi_1, X', S')$ that meet the requirements of the theorem, one needs to suitably split Y' as a direct sum $X' \oplus S'$.

Since Y_1 is the middle term of a nonsplit short exact sequence with indecomposable extremes, under the type A assumption, it is straightforward to check that, for any indecomposable A , one has either $\text{Ext}^1(A, Y_1) = 0$ or $\text{Ext}^1(Y_1, A) = 0$; furthermore, if A, B are two indecomposables such that $\text{Ext}^1(B, Y_1) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(Y_1, A) \neq 0$, then it follows that $\text{Ext}^1(A, B) = 0$.

Denote by Σ the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposables of Y' that are different from X_1 and S_1 . Then, the set $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cup \{Y_1\}$ may be linearly ordered in such a way that, for $A, B \in \Sigma'$ such that $A < B$, one has $\text{Ext}^1(A, B) = 0$. With respect to this linear

order, let $Y' = X' \oplus S'$, where S' is the sum of the indecomposables A of Y' corresponding to an element of Σ for which $A < Y_1$ and X' is the sum of the indecomposables B of Y' corresponding to an element of Σ for which $B > Y_1$.

Then, by the property of the ordering, one gets:

$$\text{Ext}^1(S', X') = \text{Ext}^1(S', Y_1) = \text{Ext}^1(Y_1, X') = 0.$$

Furthermore, since Theorem 2.2 implies that for A an indecomposable of $X' \oplus S'$ different from both X_1 and S_1 , one has

$$\text{Ext}^1(A, Y_1) = \text{Ext}^1(A, X_1 \oplus S_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}^1(Y_1, A) = \text{Ext}^1(X_1 \oplus S_1, A),$$

it follows that

$$\text{Ext}^1(S', X') = \text{Ext}^1(S', S_1) = \text{Ext}^1(X_1, X') = 0.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

2.3. Generating short exact sequences. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver and let

$$\xi : 0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\iota} Y \xrightarrow{p} S \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence. Following Caldero and Chapoton [2], for every dimension vector \mathbf{e} there is a map $\Psi : \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(Y) \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathbf{f}+\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{e}} \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)$ given by $N \mapsto (\iota^{-1}(N), p(N))$. This is not a nice map in general, but as noticed in [5], it becomes very useful if ξ has good homological properties. Following [5], we say that ξ is *generating* if $\text{Ext}^1(S, X) = \mathbb{C}\xi$. Thus, ξ is either split and $[S, X]^1 = 0$ or ξ is not split and $[S, X]^1 = 1$. For every $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{e}$ we denote $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi} = \Psi^{-1}(\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S))$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)$. We recall ([5, Lemma 20]) that the collection of the strata $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi}$ forms an α -partition of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(Y)$ in the sense of [8, Section 1.3]. It is now important to describe the image of $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi}$. This is done in [5, Theorem 31] as follows: to a generating short exact sequence ξ are associated the two sub-representations

$$(1) \quad X_S = \text{Ker}(X \rightarrow \tau S) \subset X, \quad S^X = \text{Im}(\tau^{-1} X \rightarrow S) \subset S,$$

where τ denotes the AR-translate. Then, [5, Theorem 31] states that $(N_1, N_2) \in \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)$ belongs to the image of $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi}$ if and only if (N_1, N_2) does not belong to $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim S^X}(S/S^X)$. This means that

$$(2) \quad \text{Im}(\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi}) = (\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)) \setminus (\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim S^X}(S/S^X)).$$

Moreover $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{\xi}$ is a (locally trivial) affine bundle over its image.

We can now interpret Theorem 2.3 in this language and notice that for quivers of type A , every minimal degeneration is given by a generating short exact sequence.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver of type A and let $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ be a minimal degeneration. Then, in the notation of Theorem 2.3, the short exact sequence*

$$\xi : 0 \longrightarrow X = X_1 \oplus X' \longrightarrow Y = M \longrightarrow S = S_1 \oplus S' \longrightarrow 0$$

is generating. Moreover, $X_S = X' \oplus (X_1)_{S_1}$ and $S^X = (S_1)^{X_1}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

2.4. Quiver Grassmannians. Quiver Grassmannians are schematic fibers of projective families called universal quiver Grassmannians (see [19], [7]) defined as follows: one considers the incidence variety

$$X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}} = \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{d}) := \{((U_i), (M_{\alpha})) \in \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}) \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}} \mid M_{\alpha}(U_{s(\alpha)}) \subseteq U_{t(\alpha)} \forall \alpha \in Q_1\}$$

equipped with two projections

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}) \xleftarrow{p_1} X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}} \xrightarrow{p_2} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$$

which are $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -equivariant. The map p_1 realizes $X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$ as the total space of a homogeneous vector bundle on $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}})$ (see [7, section 2.2]). This implies that it is irreducible and smooth.

The map $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}} = p_2$ is proper, and its image is the closed $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -stable subvariety of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ consisting of those representations admitting a subrepresentation of dimension vector \mathbf{e} . The morphism $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$ is the universal family of quiver Grassmannians of \mathbf{e} -dimensional subrepresentations of \mathbf{d} -dimensional representations. For $M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the quiver Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ is the fiber $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(M)$ of $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$ over M .

3. ONE-DIMENSIONAL FAMILIES

Let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ be a nonsplit short exact sequence in $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. Fixing bases of X and S and a section of the map $\pi : Y \rightarrow S$ as graded vector spaces, one gets two distinct points $M, N \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ corresponding respectively to Y and $X \oplus S$, where $\mathbf{d} = \dim(Y)$. Furthermore, the $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbit of M closes to the $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbit of N .

Let \mathcal{L} be the affine line in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ passing through M and N . Let T be the one-dimensional algebraic sub-torus of $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ consisting of those automorphisms of the graded vector space $X \oplus S$ that act as identity on the subspace X and by homotheties on the subspace S . We define here one-dimensional families of quiver Grassmannians which play a major role in the comparison of cohomology of quiver Grassmannians.

Definition 3.1. Let $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the inverse image $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ of \mathcal{L} by the map $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$ and let $\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : X_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the restriction of $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$ to $X_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Then, the following statements hold.

Proposition 3.2. (i) *The line \mathcal{L} is T -stable. Moreover, identifying \mathcal{L} with \mathbb{C} through the affine map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which sends $0, 1$ to N, M , the T -action on \mathcal{L} corresponds to the natural action of \mathbb{C}^{\times} on \mathbb{C} .*

(ii) *The fiber of $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ over N is $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(N)$ and the family $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a trivial fibration over $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{N\}$, with fiber $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$.*

Proof. As mentioned, the space $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ may be identified with a product of spaces of matrices, obtained by describing a point of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ by the sequence of matrices associated with the linear maps of the representation with respect to the fixed basis.

Let us now consider, as above, the points M, N of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$ corresponding respectively to $Y, X \oplus S$ together with the basis obtained by the union of the fixed bases of X and S , and through the identification, given by the splitting, of Y and $X \oplus S$ as graded vector spaces.

With respect to this basis, the linear maps of $N = X \oplus S$ are block-diagonal

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the linear maps of $M = Y$ are block-upper triangular, with block-diagonal part coinciding with that of N

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\alpha & n_\alpha \\ 0 & g_\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the line through M and N in this space of matrices is described by

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f_\alpha & tn_\alpha \\ 0 & g_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Identify the torus T with \mathbb{C}^\times , as follows: the complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ corresponds to the element of T that acts by rescaling S by λ . Then, clearly one has that λM corresponds to the point

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\alpha & \lambda n_\alpha \\ 0 & g_\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves (i).

The point (ii) follows from the fact that, by definition, $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(N) = \pi_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(N) = \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(N)$, and the fact that $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is T -equivariant and T acts simply transitively on $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{N\}$. \square

3.1. α -partitions for one-dimensional families. Let $\xi : 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ be a non-split generating short exact sequence (see Section 2.3). We define here an α -partition of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$, which extends the α -partition recalled in Section 2.3 on the generic fiber $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$. Moreover, it is T -stable and behaves nicely with respect to the map $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$, with $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{e}$ and $i = 0, 1$, be the subset of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)} = \{(A, B) \in X_{\mathcal{L}} \mid \dim(A \cap X) = \mathbf{f} \text{ and } [A/A \cap X, X/A \cap X]^1 = i\}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The partition $X_{\mathcal{L}} = \coprod_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, i} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is an α -partition.*

Proof. Let us introduce the coarser partition $X_{\mathcal{L}} = \coprod_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}$ where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}} := \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(0)} \cup \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$. This is a finite partition and for every dimension vector \mathbf{n} the set $\coprod_{\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} \geq \mathbf{n}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}$ is closed (here \geq is meant componentwise). It is thus an α -partition. By upper semi-continuity of $\dim(\text{Ext}^1(A/(A \cap X), X/(A \cap X)))$, the partition $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}} := \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(0)} \cup \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$ is an α -partition with $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$ closed. Combining these two statements together one gets the result. \square

We consider the following commutative diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)} & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}} & X_{\mathcal{L}} \\ \downarrow \Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)} & & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{L}} \\ \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \times \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{p_3} & \mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

where $j_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is the natural inclusion, the map p_3 is the projection on the third factor, and $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ maps (A, B) to $(A \cap X, \pi(A), B)$. We notice that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is \mathbb{C}^\times -stable; furthermore, noticing that \mathbb{C}^\times acts on $\text{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \text{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \times \mathcal{L}$ by its natural action on \mathcal{L} , one has that $\Psi_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ and p_3 are \mathbb{C}^\times -equivariant.

Now we study the parts $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ of the α -partition. Our analysis is based on the techniques of [5, Section 3].

Lemma 3.5. *The map $\Psi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is a locally trivial affine bundle on its image. Moreover, the image of $\Psi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is*

- (i) $((\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)) - (\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X))) \times \mathcal{L}$, if $i = 0$; and
- (ii) $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X) \times \{N\}$, if $i = 1$.

Proof. Following [5, Section 3], one has two vector bundles H and K on

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \times \mathcal{L}$$

and a map $\Phi : H \rightarrow K$ of vector bundles. A splitting as vector spaces $\theta : S \rightarrow Y$ of the short exact sequence ξ determines a splitting as vector spaces of all short exact sequences ξ_λ , for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. As in [5, Section 3], one gets a section z_θ of K . As in [5, Lemma 22], one shows that there is an isomorphism of schemes

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(0)} \amalg \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(1)} \cong \Phi^{-1}(z_\theta).$$

Analogously to [5, Theorem 24], we find that the parts $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$ are affine bundles on their images under Φ . Moreover, as in [5, Theorem 32], one gets the statement (i) of the lemma.

Statement (ii) follows, using [5, Lemma 31 (6)], by observing that the image of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$ through Φ is exactly $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}(S)-\dim S^X}(S/S^X) \times \{N\}$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver of type A and let $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$ be a minimal degeneration associated with the generating short exact sequence $\xi : 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ as in Corollary 2.4. Then both $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g} \setminus \dim(S^X)}(S/S^X)$ admit affine pavings.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.4 there are decompositions $X = X_1 \oplus X'$ and $S = S_1 \oplus S'$ and a generating short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & S_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\ & & X' & \longlongequal{\quad} & X' & & \\ & & & & \oplus & & \\ & & & & S' & \longlongequal{\quad} & S' \end{array}$$

Moreover, $X_S = X' \oplus (X_1)_{S_1}$ and $S^X = (S_1)^{X_1}$. We now prove that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S)$ admits an affine paving. By Theorem 2.3(iv), the split short exact sequence

$$\eta : \quad 0 \longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\iota_\eta} X \xrightarrow{p_\eta} X_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

is generating split. Thus, we get an α -partition $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) = \coprod_{\mathbf{f}'+\mathbf{f}''=\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{f}''}^\eta$ and vector bundles

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{f}''}^\eta \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}'}(X') \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}''}(X_1), \quad N \mapsto (\iota_\eta^{-1}(N), p_\eta(N)).$$

Since X_1 is indecomposable and \mathcal{Q} is of type A , $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}''}(X_1)$ is either empty or a point. We notice that $X_S = p_\eta^{-1}((X_1)_{S_1})$. We conclude that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S)$ is the union of those strata $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{f}''}^\eta$ such that $\mathbf{f}'' \leq \mathbf{dim}(X_1)_{S_1}$. Since $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}'}(X') \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}''}(X_1)$ admits an affine paving by [5], the same holds for all strata $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{f}''}^\eta$ and thus for $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S)$.

The proof of the fact that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \setminus \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X)$ admits an affine paving is obtained using the generating split short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow S' \rightarrow 0$ and proceeding analogously. \square

4. SPECIALIZATION

In this section, we define the specialization map between the cohomology of quiver Grassmannians attached to representations related by a degeneration. Moreover, we study the case of a degeneration attached to a short exact sequence; in particular, a generating short exact sequence.

We recall here a general sheaf-theoretic result that will be used to define the specialization map.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Y be a complex algebraic variety endowed with a stratification $\{Y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$, and let \mathcal{F} be a constructible sheaf on Y whose restriction to any stratum is constant. Let y_α, y_β be points of Y , respectively, in the strata Y_α, Y_β satisfying $Y_\alpha \subset \overline{Y_\beta}$. Then, there is a well-defined specialization map*

$$c_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{F}_{y_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{y_\beta}$$

between the stalk of \mathcal{F} at the point y_α and the stalk of \mathcal{F} at the point y_β . Moreover, for $y_\alpha, y_\beta, y_\gamma$ in strata one in the closure of the next, one has

$$c_{\alpha, \gamma} = c_{\beta, \gamma} \circ c_{\alpha, \beta}$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{F} is constructible, for every $y \in Y$ there is an open neighborhood U of y such that $\mathcal{F}_y = \mathcal{F}(U)$. Therefore, one may choose an open neighborhood U_α of y_α such that $\mathcal{F}_{y_\alpha} = \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$. Furthermore, since y_α is in the closure of the stratum Y_β , it follows that there is a point $y'_\beta \in U_\alpha \cap Y_\beta$. Let U_β be a sufficiently small open neighborhood of y'_β , such that $U_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{F}_{y'_\beta} = \mathcal{F}(U_\beta)$. The restriction of sections then gives a map

$$\mathcal{F}_{y_\alpha} = \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_\beta) = \mathcal{F}_{y'_\beta}.$$

Moreover, since the restriction of \mathcal{F} to the strata of Y is constant, it follows that there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{y'_\beta} \cong \mathcal{F}_{y_\beta}$, and composition of the previous map with this isomorphism gives the specialization map

$$c_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{F}_{y_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{y_\beta}.$$

In the case of $y_\alpha, y_\beta, y_\gamma$ as in the statement of the proposition, one may find a sufficiently small open neighborhood $U_\alpha \supset U_\beta \supset U_\gamma$ of $y_\alpha, y'_\beta, y''_\gamma$ respectively, where y'_β, y''_γ are in the strata of y_β, y_γ respectively. The restriction of sections from one of these neighborhoods to another gives the various specialization maps and one gets the sought equality. \square

Consider the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}}$ on the universal quiver Grassmannian $X_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}$; then the (derived) direct image $(\pi_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}})_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}}$ is such that its graded cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}((\pi_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}})_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}})$ is a constructible sheaf on $R_{\mathbf{d}}$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ the restriction of \mathcal{F} to a $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbit \mathcal{O} .

Lemma 4.2. *For any $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbit \mathcal{O} , the local system $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is trivial. The stalk \mathcal{F}_M at $M \in R_{\mathbf{d}}$ is the cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{O}$. The stabilizer $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(M)$ of M in $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ is connected, being open and dense in the vector space of endomorphisms of the representation M . It follows that the $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -equivariant fundamental group of \mathcal{O} is trivial. Moreover, the local system $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -equivariant and thus it must be constant. \square

Definition 4.3. Let $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$ be a degeneration of representations of \mathcal{Q} of dimension vector \mathbf{d} . Then, the specialization map

$$c_{N, M} : H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(N), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

is the map obtained by applying Proposition 4.1 to the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}((\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}})_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}})$, and to the $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbits of N and M .

Since the cohomology of quiver Grassmannians of Dynkin type is concentrated in even degree by [5, Theorem 45], the specialization map is a homomorphism of commutative graded algebras.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $N_0 = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}$ be the semisimple representation of dimension vector \mathbf{d} . Then the specialization map $c_{N_0,M}$ coincides with the map in cohomology corresponding to the inclusion $i : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}})$.*

Proof. By definition, the universal quiver Grassmannian is a subfamily of the constant family with fiber $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}})$. Denoting $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{H}(p_{2*}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{d}}}))$, one has a map $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, which gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{c_{N_0,M}} & \mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathrm{id} \uparrow & & i^* \uparrow \\ \mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}), \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the maps on the stalks at N_0 and M , respectively, corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, and the horizontal arrows are specialization maps attached to the sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_0$ respectively. Thus, we conclude $c_{N_0,M} = i^*$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver of Dynkin type. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *for every minimal degeneration $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$, the specialization map $c_{N,M}$ is surjective;*
- (ii) *for every degeneration $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$, the specialization map $c_{N,M}$ is surjective;*
- (iii) *for every $M \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the map in cohomology $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M), \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{d}})$ is surjective.*

Proof. The equivalence of statements (i) and (ii) follows from the transitivity of specialization maps established in Proposition 4.1, applied to the sheaf $\mathcal{H}(\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}})_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}}$.

Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 4.4 that statement (iii) is equivalent to the surjectivity of specialization maps $c_{N_0,M}$, where N_0 is semisimple. Thus (ii) implies (iii); but one has also, for any $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$,

$$c_{N_0,M} = c_{N,M} \circ c_{N_0,N}$$

and hence the surjectivity of $c_{N_0,M}$ implies the surjectivity of $c_{N,M}$. This proves the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). \square

5. SURJECTIVITY OF SPECIALIZATION IN TYPE A

In this section, we study specialization maps in type A and give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

We start by studying specializations in the case of minimal degenerations. The setting is as follows. Let \mathcal{Q} be a quiver of type A and let $M \leq_{\mathrm{deg}} N$ be a minimal degeneration. By Corollary 2.4, it is associated with a nonsplit generating short exact sequence, so we may consider the one-dimensional family $\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : X_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, following Definition 3.1. Furthermore, let $\{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}\}$ be the α -partition of $X_{\mathcal{L}}$ as in Definition 3.3.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}(\pi_{\mathcal{L}*} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathcal{L}}})$ be the cohomology sheaf of the (derived) push-forward of the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathcal{L}}}$ on $X_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $j_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ be the inclusion of the locally closed subset $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ in

$X_{\mathcal{L}}$, and let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ be the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}(\pi_{\mathcal{L}*} \circ (j_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)})! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}})$. These are constructible sheaves of graded algebras on the line \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 5.1. *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The graded sheaves \mathcal{F} , $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ are even, i.e., concentrated in even degree.*
- (ii) *The graded sheaf \mathcal{F} is filtered, the successive subquotients of the filtration are the sheaves $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$.*
- (iii) *Such a filtration induces filtrations of \mathcal{F}_N and \mathcal{F}_M for which the specialization map is filtered, and the successive subquotients are the specialization maps $c_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$.*
- (iv) *The specialization map $c_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is a surjective map; moreover, it is zero if $i = 1$ and it is an isomorphism if $i = 0$.*

Proof. Let $p_3 : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S) \times \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the projection on the third factor. Being the restriction of the proper map $\pi_{\mathbf{e},\mathbf{d}}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{L}*} = \pi_{\mathcal{L}!}$. Then one has

$$\pi_{\mathcal{L}*} \circ (j_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)})! = \pi_{\mathcal{L}!} \circ (j_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)})! = (p_3)! \circ (\Psi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)})!$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5, the map $\Psi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is a locally trivial affine bundle over its image (which is explicitly described), it thus follows that $(\Psi_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)})! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}}$ is isomorphic to a constant sheaf up to an even shift. By Lemma 3.5, one concludes that, if $i = 0$, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is constant on \mathcal{L} , with stalks isomorphic to

$$H_c((\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)) \setminus (\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X)), \mathbb{Z})$$

and, if $i = 1$, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is concentrated at N , with stalk

$$H_c(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X), \mathbb{Z}).$$

In [5, Theorem 45] it was proved that any quiver Grassmannian of Dynkin type admits an affine paving. This implies that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(1)}$ is even. Furthermore, using also Lemma 3.6, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(0)}$ is even as well. Indeed, we set $C = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X)$, $C_1 = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{f}}(X_S)$, $D = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}}(S)$, $D_1 = \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{g}-\dim(S^X)}(S/S^X)$ and get

$$(C \times D) \setminus (C_1 \times D_1) = [(C \setminus C_1) \times (D \setminus D_1)] \coprod [C_1 \times (D \setminus D_1)] \coprod [(C \setminus C_1) \times D_1],$$

since C_1 , D_1 , $C \setminus C_1$ and $D \setminus D_1$ admit affine pavings (C_1 and D_1 by [5, Theorem 45] and $C \setminus C_1$ and $D \setminus D_1$ by Lemma 3.6), we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(0)}$ is even.

Rearranging and renaming the α -partition $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$, one gets a sequence

$$\emptyset \subset U_1 \subset \dots \subset U_{r-1} \subset U_r = X_{\mathcal{L}}$$

of locally closed subsets, such that, for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, one has that

$$Z_i = \bigcup_{j \leq i} U_j$$

is closed in $X_{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus, a partition

$$Z_{i-1} \subset Z_i \supset U_i$$

of Z_i into a disjoint union of a closed and an open subset. Denoting $\eta : Z_{i-1} \rightarrow Z_i$ and $\gamma : U_i \rightarrow Z_i$ the open and closed inclusions of these subsets into Z_i , one gets an exact triangle

$$\gamma! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{U_i} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_i} \rightarrow \eta_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_{i-1}} \rightarrow \dots$$

Applying $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ to this exact triangle, one gets an exact triangle

$$\pi_{\mathcal{L}}! \gamma! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{U_i} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{L}}! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_i} \rightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{L}}! \eta_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_{i-1}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

of complexes on \mathcal{L} . The graded sheaf of cohomology of the complex $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}! \gamma! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{U_i}$ is one of the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$, thus it is even. By induction, one may suppose that the cohomology of the complex $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}! \eta_* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{Z_{i-1}}$ is even. It follows that the long exact sequence in cohomology attached to the above exact triangle splits and gives rise to a short exact sequence between cohomology sheaves.

Since $U_r = X_{\mathcal{L}}$, it follows that \mathcal{F} is even. This completes the proof of (i). Moreover, these short exact sequences give rise to a filtration of \mathcal{F} , the successive quotients of which are the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$. This proves (ii).

Furthermore, (iii) is just a translation of (ii) in terms of specialization maps.

Finally, (iv) follows from the fact that the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}}^{(i)}$ is concentrated over $\{N\}$ if $i = 1$ and is constant over \mathcal{L} if $i = 0$. \square

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let us now give the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Observe that Proposition 4.5 implies that Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are both equivalent to proving the surjectivity of the specialization map for the case of minimal degenerations. Let $M \leq_{\text{deg}} N$ be a minimal degeneration in type A . Then, for \mathbf{d} the dimension vector of M and N , the specialization map $c_{N, M}$ is computed by considering the universal family $\pi_{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}}$ and it coincides with the specialization map attached to the family $\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : X_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which is the specialization map associated to the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}(\pi_{\mathcal{L}*} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{X_{\mathcal{L}}})$ by Proposition 4.1. Furthermore, by Proposition 5.1, the associated graded of the specialization map $c_{N, M}$ is surjective. Hence, one concludes that $c_{N, M}$ is surjective. This concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Bongartz. “Minimal singularities for representations of Dynkin quivers”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 69.4 (1994), pp. 575–611.
- [2] P. Caldero and F. Chapoton. “Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 81.3 (2006), pp. 595–616.
- [3] G. Cerulli Irelli, X. Fang, E. Feigin, G. Fourier, and M. Reineke. “Linear degenerations of flag varieties”. In: *Math. Z.* 287.1-2 (2017), pp. 615–654.
- [4] G. Cerulli Irelli and F. Esposito. “Geometry of quiver Grassmannians of Kronecker type and applications to cluster algebras”. In: *Algebra Number Theory* 5.6 (2011), pp. 777–801.
- [5] G. Cerulli Irelli, F. Esposito, H. Franzen, and M. Reineke. “Cell decompositions and algebraicity of cohomology for quiver Grassmannians”. In: *Adv. Math.* 379 (2021), Paper No. 107544, 47.
- [6] G. Cerulli Irelli, X. Fang, E. Feigin, G. Fourier, and M. Reineke. “Linear degenerations of flag varieties: partial flags, defining equations, and group actions”. In: *Math. Z.* 296.1-2 (2020), pp. 453–477.
- [7] G. Cerulli Irelli, E. Feigin, and M. Reineke. “Quiver Grassmannians and degenerate flag varieties”. In: *Algebra Number Theory* 6.1 (2012), pp. 165–194.
- [8] C. De Concini, G. Lusztig, and C. Procesi. “Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1.1 (1988), pp. 15–34.
- [9] X. Fang and M. Reineke. “Supports for linear degenerations of flag varieties”. In: *Doc. Math.* 26 (2021), pp. 1981–2003.

- [10] M. Lanini and A. Pütz. *GKM-Theory for torus actions on cyclic quiver Grassmannians*. preprint, arXiv:2008.13138.
- [11] M. Lanini and A. Pütz. *Permutation actions on Quiver Grassmannians for the equioriented cycle via GKM-Theory*. preprint, arXiv:2105.10122.
- [12] M. Lanini and E. Strickland. “Cohomology of the flag variety under PBW degenerations”. In: *Transform. Groups* 24.3 (2019), pp. 835–844.
- [13] O. Lorscheid and T. Weist. “Plücker relations for quiver Grassmannians”. In: *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 22.1 (2019), pp. 211–218.
- [14] O. Lorscheid and T. Weist. “Quiver Grassmannians of extended Dynkin type D : Part 1: Schubert systems and decompositions into affine spaces”. In: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 261.1258 (2019), pp. v+78.
- [15] O. Lorscheid and T. Weist. *Quiver Grassmannians of extended Dynkin type D : Part 2: Schubert decompositions and F -polynomials*. preprint, arXiv:1507.00395.
- [16] O. Lorscheid and T. Weist. “Representation type via Euler characteristics and singularities of quiver Grassmannians”. In: *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 51.5 (2019), pp. 815–835.
- [17] M. Reineke. “Every projective variety is a quiver Grassmannian”. In: *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 16.5 (2013), pp. 1313–1314.
- [18] D. Rupel and T. Weist. “Cell decompositions for rank two quiver Grassmannians”. In: *Math. Z.* 295.3-4 (2020), pp. 993–1038.
- [19] A. Schofield. “General representations of quivers”. In: *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 65.1 (1992), pp. 46–64.
- [20] N. Spaltenstein. “The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold”. In: *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **79**=*Indag. Math.* 38.5 (1976), pp. 452–456.

GIOVANNI CERULLI IRELLI:

SAPIENZA - UNIVERSITY OF ROME, DIPARTIMENTO SBAI INGEGNERIA CIVILE ED INDUSTRIALE, VIA SCARPA 10, 00161 ROMA, ITALY

Email address: giovanni.cerulliirelli@uniroma1.it

FRANCESCO ESPOSITO:

UNIVERSITY OF PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY

Email address: esposito@math.unipd.it

XIN FANG:

DEPARTMENT MATHEMATIK/INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN, 50931, COLOGNE, GERMANY

Email address: xinfang.math@gmail.com

GHISLAIN FOURIER:

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, CHAIR OF ALGEBRA AND REPRESENTATION THEORY, PONTDRIESCH 10–16, 52062 AACHEN, GERMANY

Email address: fourier@art.rwth-aachen.de